

#### Exclusively Distributed by

# MUNSHIRAM MANOHARLAL

ORIENTAL PUBLISHERS. A BOOKSELLERS
54, RANI JHANSI ROAD, NEW DELHI-55
Sales-counter: 4416, NAI SARAK, DELHI-6

# ŢABAĶĀT-I-NĀŞIRĪ:

# A GENERAL HISTORY

OF THE

# MUHAMMADAN DYNASTIES OF ASIA,

INCLUDING HINDUSTAN;

from A.H. 194 (810 A.D.) to A.H. 658 (1260 A,D.)

and the

Irruption of the Infidel Mughals into Islam

by

MAULĀNĀ, MINHĀJ-UD-DĪN, ABŪ-'UMAR-I-'USMĀN

Translated from Original Persian Manuscripts

by

MAJOR H. G. RAVERTY

VOL. II



Oriental Books Reprint Corporation Book Publishers, 54 Rani Jhansi Road, New Delhi-55 Reprinted February 1970

FIRST PUBLISHED 1881 IN THE
BIBLIOTHECA INDICA SERIES BY
ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BENGAL

Published by Mrs. Nirmal D. Jain for Oriental Books Reprint Corporation, Post Box 1165, 54 Rani Jhansi Road, New Delhi-55 and printed by Mr. K. L. Sachadeva at Skylark Printers, Idgah Road, New Delhi-55

#### SECTION XXII.

#### ACCOUNT OF THE SHAMSIAH MALIKS IN HIND.

OUR author—after laying much stress on the necessity of showing due gratitude to benefactors for favours and benefits conferred by them, which necessity is clear to every well ordered mind, and which the most wise and pious men have inculcated and enjoined, for, as philosophers have said: 'They who have no gratitude for man have no gratitude for God,'-therefore returns his grateful thanks, as in duty bound-in highly-coloured terms-to the august Sultān of the Sultāns of Islām, Shams-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, I-val-timish, and to those sovereigns, his children [and grandchildren], who have placed the foot of dignity on the throne of empire, for their manifold donations and benefactions, and also to those Maliks and Khans, the servants of that dynasty, who have attained to the hall of their sovereignty—the arena of dominion—for their kindnesses and favours towards himself, his children, his dependents, and his followers, from the year 625 H., up to this present time which is the year 658 II., and which benefits and favours, day by day, and hour by hour, have been increasing and augmenting by the granting of offices and dignities, by gifts and benefactions, the enumeration of which cannot be contained within the limits of this abbre-"I have now," he says, "reached the point of my design;" and here I must render what he says, as nearly as possible, in his own words.]

SINCE Almighty God of His favour prolonged the reign of the Sultans of the I-yal-timishi dynasty, and raised on

high the standards of jurisdiction of IIIs servants in the decree of duration, this frail one, in repayment of some of those many debts of gratitude, desired that he should thread upon the thread of description, and string on the string of writing, an account of those Maliks and Khans, the servants of that Court which is the asylum of the universe, more particularly the mention of the successive benefits, and increasing generosity of that Khākān-i-Mu'azzam', Shahr-yār-i-'Adil wa Akram, Khusrau-i-Banī Adam, Bahā-ul-Hakk wa ud-Din, Mughis-ul-Mulūk-i-Islām wa ul-Muslimin, Zil-l-ullah fi ul-'Alamin, 'Uzd-ud-Daulah wa us-Sultanat. Yamin-ul-Mamlakat. Kutb-ul-Ma'āli, Rukn-ul-'Ala, Ulugh Kutlugh-i-A'zam, ULUGH KHAN-I-BALBAN-US-SULTĀNĪ2, Abi-Salāţin, Zahir-i-Amir-ul-Muminin [The Great King, the Most Just and Most Gcnerous Prince, the Khusrau of the race of Adam, the precious of God and of the Faith, the auxiliary of the Maliks of Islam and of Musalmans, the shadow of the Almighty upon the worlds, the pole-star of grandeur, the sublime prop, the arm of the kingdom and empire,

It must not be supposed that these are his actual titles: the greater part of them are conferred on him by our author out of gratitude for account received; neither do these titles prove that Ulugh Khān-i-Balban was Sultān of Dihlī when these words were penned. The contrary is proved over and over again in the following pages. As to the word Khākān, which signifies a king or emperor [particularly the rulers of Īrān and Chīn], being applied to a great noble, without his being a sovereign prince, I have myself seen it applied to a petty Afghān of Multān, who had been a servant of the late Dīwān Multān on the liberal salary of 15 rūpīs monthly. Our author has also styled Ulugh Khān the father of kings, although he could not tell whether either of Balban's sons would succeed their father, who was not king in 658 H., when he finished this History. Moreover, had Ulugh Khān been Sultān of Dihlī at this time, he would not have been styled "the right aim of the state," &c. See next page, and note 5.

In his titles given farther on, as here, he is styled "Khakān-i-Mu'-azzam," in the same line being called "the Sultān's stare." His brother also is styled "Ulugh Kultugh" by our author after the same fashion.

It will also be noticed that, with some of these titles, our author uses the Arabic article Ji but with others no J' is given, and, actually, although no radiats are written, he means them to be used, otherwise the names and titles would be unintelligible nonsense. I suppose however, after the fashion of "Firúzjang," "Khán Zāmán," "Khán Khánán, 'Mi. Blochmann will consider this too "a dangerous innovation," but I prefer to read them according to the Irānī fashion, which by the bye, Mr. Blochmann is sometimes guilty of - as "Rustam-t-Zamán," "Khan-t-Kalán," &c.

the right hand of the state, the most great Ulugh Kutlugh, ULUGH KHAN-I-BALBAN of the [time of the] I-yal-timishi dynasty, the father of Sultans, the Supporter of the Lord of the Faithful]-May the Almighty exalt his Helper and double his power!—for, since the pen of the orbit of existence on the pages of the dawn of empire delineated the tracery of prosperity and the figure of dominion, it hath not depicted a countenance of felicity more charming than the aspect of his power; and the exalting hand of time, a standard more sublime than his precious and superb banner, hath never raised. The Court of no sovereign of the universe, either in the east or the west, who hath placed the foot on the throne of dominion, hath had a servant more sagacious, and no ear hath heard a tale of the might of dominion more brilliant than the narration of his rule, for verily his equitable age appears like the succession of 'Umr, his benevolence tells of the liberality of Hatim, his sword reminds [one] of the force of Rustam's blow, and his arrow the penetration of the arm of Arash 3. May God crown his banner with victory: make strong his nobles and chiefs: and annihilate his foes!

In the way of repayment therefore of debts [of gratitude] due to those renowned Maliks, and more particularly for the mention of the rule of that powerful prince [Ulugh Khān], this TABAKAH has been written after the manner of a miscellany, in order that scrutinizers, when they look into these pages, may, according to the benediction for those departed and the invocation for the preservation of those remaining, have the character of every one of them clearly defined upon the page of the mind. In the arrangement also of this TABAKAH, some Maliks were earlier, in time, than they appear here, and some have been mentioned later, arising from the period that the author arrived at this Court 4. May the Most High God preserve the Sultān of Sultāns and 5 the Ulugh-i-A'zam, Ulugh Khān-i-Mu'azzam,

One of the old Persian heroes—the famous archer—who is also mentioned in the Shāh-Nāmah.

<sup>4</sup> Several are not mentioned at all, the reason of which does not appear.

<sup>5</sup> This proves what I have already alluded to at page 720. Our author would scarcely have invoked blessings upon Ulugh Khān, as "a great monarch," while Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, was alive, and prayed for in the same sentence. His manumission is never noticed.

in the hall of existence to the utmost limits of possibility.

Amin 1

# I. TÄJ-UD-DĪN, SANJAR-I-GAJZ-LAK KHĀN 7.

The arrival of the author [of this history] at the Court—the asylum of the world—of the beneficent king of kings [I-yal-timish] took place on Wednesday, the 1st of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 625 H., before [the walls of] the preserved city of Uchchah, at the period when the Shamsi forces had marched from the capital city of Dihli for the purpose of taking possession of the kingdom of Sind, and had turned their faces towards that country. Fifteen days prior to this, the victorious troops of that monarch, comprising the force under Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān—The Almighty's mercy be upon him!—had arrived before Ūchchah; and the first personage among the Maliks of that Court who was seen by the author was Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān.

When, on Wednesday, the 16th of the month of Safar, the author proceeded from the city of Uchchah, and reached the camp of the victorious [forces], that Malik of good disposition treated him with reverence, and rose from his masnad, and went through the ceremonial of receiving him, and came to meet him, and seated the author in his own place, and put a rosy apple into his hand, and

- <sup>6</sup> To translate that portion of our author's work referring to the kings of Dihli, without translating this Section, which throws much light on the previous ones, would be much like the play of Hamlet with the Prince of Denmark left out.
  - 7 He is also called Gaz-lak Khān.
- \* Literally "apple of ruby." Apples grow in Upper Sind, but they are small. The description of apple here referred to, was probably such as the traders, up to this day, bring down from above the Passes. It is usual to carry an apple in the hand for its grateful perfume. I have witnessed this constantly, and, probably, the custom is not new.

The printed text, which has lately become of considerable authority, because its statements, in its very defective state, happen to coincide with some errors and erroneous statements made on the faith of translations from Firishtah, has, contrary to all MSS. copies collated, the words ——i. e. twenty rubies—instead of \_\_\_\_\_\_interpretables—instead of \_\_\_\_\_\_interpretables—instead of \_\_\_\_\_\_interpretables—instead of \_\_\_\_\_\_interpretables—instead of description—interpretables—they mean "apple ruby"—which is nonsense of course, but, with the necessary "innovation," would be seb-i-la'l—an apple of ruby, that is an apple ied as a ruby.

observed: "Take this Maulānā, that it may be a good omen." I found Malik Taj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān, a Malik of sufficiently formidable aspect, his form of magnitude, and his piety pure, and with a numerous suite, and followers countless.

Trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that the august Sultan [I-yal-timish] purchased Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān, during the reign of the late Sultan, Kutb-ud-Din, I-bak, from the Khwajah, 'Ali, the Bastabādi [of Bastābād ], when he held the government of the fief of Baran, and gave him to his eldest son, the late Malik Nāsir-ud-Din, Mahmūd Shāh, and in the hall of felicity, along with him, was he nurtured and brought up. After some time, when the Sultan perceived signs of merit upon his forehead, he removed him from attendance on Malik Nāsir-ud-Din, Mahmūd Shāh, and took him into his own immediate service, and gave him the office of Chāshni-gir [Comptroller of the [royal] Kitchen]. After serving [in this office] for some time, he became Amir-i-Akhur [Lord or Head of the Stables]. Subsequently, in the year in which the Sultan proceeded towards Multan, namely, in 625 H., the territory of Wanj-rūt' of Multan was made over to him. When the Sultan returned from thence, he conferred upon Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān, the fief of Kuhrām. After some time, the preserved city of Tabarhindah was given to him, and, in that year, the author reached the Court.

The Sultan had despatched him [Gajz-lak Khān] in advance, at the head of a force, in concert with Malik 'Izz-ud-

These words might, certainly, be translated "a ruby like an apple in shape," but I think this very unlikely for the reasons above stated; and a ruby of that size would be a very costly present, and not to be carried about in one's hand.

<sup>9</sup> Or might be, Bust-abad. The name is doubtful.

I This place, in most of the copies of the text is written is a for including the Lanj-rūt for Banj-rūt, and also Ganj-rūt, but Wanj-rūt is a well-known place, giving name to a parganah. At present there is a tolerably strong fort there, and it is now contained in the Bahāwal-pūr state. At the period Gajz-lak Khān held it, it was in the Multān province, the river Biāh then flowed in its old bed. Between Wanj-rūt and Multān no river then existed, whilst the Lost River—the Hakṛā and its feeders, now the Sutlaj or Ghārā, separated it from Bikānīr. In Persian words is sometimes used for j but in Sanskrit words, or words derived from that language, T is often substituted for and vice versa. The printed text, which displays such a profound knowledge of the geography of India, has Gujarāt and Multān!!

Din, Muhammad-i-Sālāri—The Almighty's mercy be upon him!—from the frontier of the territory of Sind to the foot [of the walls] of Uchchah.

When Sultān Shams-ud-Din [I-yal-timish], with his army, pitched his camp before the fortress of Uchchah, in the year 625 H., Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān, was despatched [at the head of a force] in attendance on the Wazir of the realm, the Nizām-ul-Mulk, Muḥammad, the Junaidi, against the fortress of Bakhar? After some time, that fortress was taken, and Malik [Sultān] Nāṣir-ud-Din, Ķabā-jah—The Almighty's mercy be on him!—was drowned in the river Sind, and the fortress fell into their hands, as has been before recorded. The preserved city? of Uchchah, with its dependencies and territories, was all placed in Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar's charge.

When the Sultān with his forces returned towards the glorious capital, Dihli, Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān, assumed jurisdiction over those territories, and caused them to flourish and prosper; and he brought the scattered people, both gentle and simple, together, who dwelt happily under the justice and benevolence of this Malik of good disposition. He continued to pursue the beaten track of impartiality and kindness towards all, and exerted his powers for the security, safety, and repose of the peasantry, and the welfare of all [the people]; and, after some time, under the safeguard of faith, and alms for pious uses, charitable foundations, and works of public utility, he came to a happy end, and was removed from the house of this world to the mansions of life eternal, in the year 629 H. The Almighty's mercy and pardon be upon him!

# II. MALIK 'IZZ-UD-DİN, KABIR <u>KH</u>ĀN, AYĀZ-I-HĀZĀR-MARDAII, UL-MU'IZZĪ 4.

Malik Kabir Khān-i-Ayaz was a Rūmi Turk, and he had been the slave of Malik Naṣir-ud-Din, Ḥusain, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Turned into Thangir in the printed text.

What Uchchah was in those days may be gathered from the account of its investment by the Muchals in the last Section.

So styled because he was the slave of Sulfan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sam, Chūri.

Amir-i-Shikār [Chief Huntsman] of Ghaznin, and, after he was put to death, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, along with his children, reached the country of Hindūstān. He attracted the benevolent notice of the august Sultān [I-yal-timish], and served him in every degree of employment. He was a Turk, wise, prudent, and experienced, and, in agility and martial accomplishments, was the incomparable of his time. Malik Naṣir-ud-Din, Ḥusain, the Amir-i-Shikār of Ghaznin, who was his owner and lord, was the theme of every tongue throughout the whole of the countries of Ghūr, Ghaznin, Khurāsān, and Khwārazm, for warlike powers and skill; and Malik Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz had accompanied his master, in all circumstances and situations, and had learnt from him martial accomplishments and the modes of warfare, and had become a perfect master in the art.

When Malik Nasir-ud-Din, Husain, was put to death by the Turks of Ghaznin 5, his sons, namely Sher Khān-i-Surkh [the Red], and his brother, reached the presence of the sublime Court, and Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, purchased 'Izz-ud-Din, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, direct from them. Some have related on this wise, that, when the august Sultan brought the territory of Multan under his sway in the year 625 H., he conferred upon 'Izz-ud-Din, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, the city and fortress of Multan, with the whole of its towns, districts, and dependencies , and installed him in the government of that territory, and exalted him to the title of Kabir Khān-i-Man-girni, and. although he used to be styled by people Ayaz-i-Hazar-Mardah—the name he was famed by—he, consequently, became celebrated under the title of Kabir Khan-i-Mangirni. On the return of the Sulta [with his forces] to Dihli, the capital, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz took possession of that territory and brought it under his jurisdiction, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> He had shown disaffection, and, when I-yal-dūz marched towards Dihlit against I-yal-timish, the Turkish chiefs of Ghaznin put him, as well as the former Wazir, to death. See pages 504-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This fact is not mentioned under the reign of I-yal-timish, and, in the account of the preceding Malik, it is stated that he—Gajz-lak Khān—had the territory of Wanj-rūt of Multān conferred upon him in that same year, 625 H.

This name is somewhat doubtful. In the most trustworthy copies of the text it is منكرنى —Man-girni—as above, and also سمكونى —Man-girni; but in others it is written all sorts of ways — منكونى 
caused it to flourish; and, after a period of two, three, or four years, he was recalled to the capital, and Palwal was assigned to him for his maintenance 8.

When the Shamsi reign came to its termination, and Sultan Rukn-ud-Din, Firūz Shāh, succeeded, he conferred upon Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz the district of Sunām<sup>9</sup>; and. when Malik 'Alā-ud-Din, Jāni, from Lohor, and Malik Saifud-Din, Kūji, from Hānsi, assembled with hostile intent against the Court, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz joined them; and, for a considerable period, they alarmed and distracted the forces of Sultan Rukn-ud-Din, Firūz Shāh. At last, when Sultan Raziyyat 1 ascended the throne, they advanced upon the capital, and for a considerable period molested the city and parts around, and engaged in conflict with the servants of the Court of the Sultan of Islam, until Sultan Raziyvat. secretly, by promises of favour, detached him from that party, and he, in concert with Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sālāri, went over to the service of the Court. Through their coming [over to the Court party], the Sultan, the servants of her Court, and the people of the city, gained a great accession of strength, and Malik Jani and Malik Kūji, baffled, withdrew.

Sultān Raziyyat showed Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz great honour, and conferred upon him the province of Lohor, with the whole of the dependencies and districts belonging to that territory; but, after a year or two 2, a slight change manifested itself in the mind of Sultan Raziyyat towards him, and, in the year 636 H., her sublime standards advanced towards Lohor. Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz retired before her, crossed the Rāwah3 of Lohor, and retreated as far as the borders of Sūdharah, and the army marched in pursuit of Finding it was impossible to follow any other course.

See under the reign of Rukn-ud-Din, Firuz Shah, at page 633.

2 Most copies of the text have "some years," and a few "some time."

Raziyyat only reigned three years and a half.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> He must have, consequently, fallen under the Sultan's displeasure, for some reason.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here too is a "dangerous innovation:" I have ventured to spell the name of this queen the right way, and different to the "best authorities."

<sup>3</sup> Thus written in the oldest copies of the text—راوه و See also the account of the march against the Mughals in 643 H. in the notice of Ulugh Khan farther on.

<sup>4</sup> See the reign under, page 645.

he made his submission, and Multān was again placed under his charge. After a considerable period had passed away, and, when an army of Mughals, under the accursed Mangūtah, the Nū-in, and the Bahādur, Tā-ir, turned its face towards Lohor, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz [assumed sovereignty] in the territory of Sind, and a canopy of state, and possessed himself of Ūchchah. Shortly after this disaffection, in the year 639 H., he died.

After his decease, his son, Tāj-ud-Dīn, Abū-Bikr-i-Ayāz, who was a young man of good disposition, fiery, very impetuous, and courageous, brought the territory of Sind under his sway. Several times he attacked the Karlugh? army before the gate of Multān and put it to flight, and showed such great skill and high-spiritedness that he was noted for his manliness and valour, when, suddenly, in the morning of life and flower of his youth, he passed to the Almighty's mercy. May God have mercy upon them both [father and son].

#### III. MALIK NAŞÎR-UD-DÎN, AI-YITIM-UL-BAHÂ-Î.

Malik Naṣtr-ud-Din, Ai-yitim, was the slave of Malik Bahā-ud-Din, Tughril, the slave of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Sām, and some [persons] have related that the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, had purchased Malik Naṣtr-ud-Din, Ai-yitim, from the heirs of Bahā-ud-Din, Tughril<sup>8</sup>.

- 5 The feudatory of Multan got the fief of Lahor in lieu of it. See page 747.
- <sup>6</sup> This indicates that the province of Multān, as well as Ūchchah, was called Sind in those days. Some writers style all the tract as far north as the Salt Range by the name of Sind; but see next page.
- <sup>7</sup> Also Kārlūgh. I have given an account of them in the last Section. See note <sup>5</sup>, para. 2, page 374. This was the second invasion of the Karlughs. See page 730.

This shows the state of the Dihlī kingdom at this time, for, although the father had openly thrown off allegiance to its sovereign, the latter appears to have been unable to recover possession of those provinces until after some time elapsed on the death of the son, Abū-Bikr-i-Ayār. Nothing whatever respecting this assumption of sovereignty is mentioned under Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Bahrām Shāh's reign. The izāfat here stands for bin · Ayāz was the father's name, another of thousands of undoubted proofs, were any wanting, to show that "the use of the izāfat" is not "restricted to poetry, and that it constantly occurs in prose for bin or pisar. See Blochmann's "Contributions," Part III., page 138, last line, and note ‡.

8 See page 544 for an account of Malik Bahā-ud-Dīn, Tughril.

Malik Nașir-ud-Din, Ai-yitim, was a man of great prudence and experience, intrepid and steadfast, and just. When he first was honoured by the august Sultan's service, he became Sar-i-Jān-dār [Chief or Head of the Jān dārs 9], and, after some time, having done good service, the fief of Lohor was assigned to him. When in the year 625 H. 1. the august Sultan [I-yal-timish] came for the purpose of seizing the territory of Sind, and Uchchah and Multan, by the Sultan's command, Malik Nașir-ud-Din, Ai-vitim, advanced from Lohor and appeared before the fortress of Multan, and did good service in the acquisition of that fortification; and, at length, that stronghold and city he gained possession of by capitulation?. When the Sultan came back from the territory of Sind, and returned to the capital, Dihli, the Siwālikh country, and Ajmir, Lāwah, Kāsili, and Sanbhar Namak', he made over to his charge, and the Sultan assigned him an elephant, and in this honour he was distinguished above the other Maliks.

On Malik Naṣir-ud-Din, Ai-yitim's proceeding to Ajmir, he showed many proofs of vigour and judgment, in undertaking expeditions and making holy-war upon the infidel Hindūs and devastating their country, and performed great achievements. Once, during the time he held that government, the author found him in the territory of Sanbhar Namak, and he was pleased to show him much honour and respect; and, of a verity, he was a Malik of exemplary faith. Suddenly, he set out on an expedition against the infidel Hindūs into the Bundī territory, and came upon the Hindūs in a position in a defile, and was under the necessity of passing a river which lay at that place. Being heavily armed with cuirass, and other defensive armour, he sank in that river, and was drowned.—The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

- Already described, in note 7, page 603.
- <sup>1</sup> He says 624 H. at page 542: at pages 723, 725, and 731, we have 625 H. See also under the reign of I-yal-timish.
- <sup>3</sup> See under Kabā-jah page 544, and I-yal-timish's reign, pages 611 and 612.
- \*\* Sānbhar—which our author writes as above, and also Sanbhal, with 1, is the name of a town and district, on the great Salt Lake in Rājpūtānah, north of Ajmīr. Kāsilī is written Kassullie in Tod's map, but, in the Indian Atlas, sheet No. 33, it is turned into Ausli. Lāwah is more to the S. W., in Long. 74°, Lat. 25°, 10.

#### IV. MALIK SAIF-UD-DĬN, Ī-BAK 4-I-ÚCHCHAH.

Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, was the slave of the august Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, and was a Turk of energy and sagacity, and exemplary faith, and the Sultan had purchased him from Jamāl ud-Din, the Armourer , at Budā'un.

At first he was made Sar-i-Jān-dār [Head of the Jān-dārs]. He was directed to enter upon that office against his wishes; and the sum of three laks of *jītals* for the maintenance of his position he did not receive with appreciation. When this came to the Sultān's hearing he in-

4 From the fact of so many chiefs, mentioned in this work, being styled as well as Sultan Kuth-ud-Din, there is some room to doubt whether this word may not here be intended to be pronounced otherwise than I-bak, since all of them would have fingers, although all could scarcely have had any peculiarity of finger; and, as regards Kuth-ud-Din, the matter is cleared up by the adjective shill or shall added to it. With other vowel points—the word Ai-bak-signifies but-idol, or, may be a compound word, from i aimoon, and & bak-lord-the moon-lord-which, although it might be the by-name of one, could scarcely be the by-name of several individuals, all of whom were sold as slaves. The probability however is that Ai-buk is the most correct meaning here, viz. ai-moon, and buk-face, countenance - the moonfaced, but even then it would be strange that there were so many of them. Another matter for consideration is, that the word & has several other meanings, and is written with -b-but described as Persian b, which signifies  $\psi$ -p; and that the vowel points also may change its meaning; for example: pak means a finger joint, and the heel, and also, beauty, grace, &c.; and puk signifies a frog.

with madd over the Alif--and that is Turkish and signifies female, not moon. Another matter for consideration is, that, if we divide the word wal-assuming it to be a compound word - and take the last portion of it-----it has various significations, most of which are said to be Turkish, according to the pronunciation as shown by the vowel points, and also whether the u and are described as 'Arabic or Persian letters, the former being b and k, and the latter p and g; but, at the same time, it must be understood that they are continually used indiscriminately, for example: -Bak, a lord or chief. 2. A wild cucumber. Buk, cheek, countenance. 2. Ignorant, stupid. 3. Weak, languid, &c. Bik, finger. 2. A live coal. Pak, aid, help. 2. A defender, patron. 3. The finger joint, the heel. A A turban. Puk, in Persian is the same in signification as the 'Arabic رعما'ی-which means, relaxed, weak, languid. 2. Lean, ignorant, &c. As well as 3. Delicate, beautiful. There are some other meanings which I need not mention, but I fear we shall be unable to come to any. certain or satisfactory conclusion until some competent scholar, who is thoroughly acquainted with the old Turkish dialects, shall examine this and several other titles in this Section which are undoubtedly Turkish.

<sup>5</sup> Literally, one who gives to swords or armour the fine water, as it is termed, so much esteemed in the east.

quired of him the reason of his not regarding it. Appreh nsive, he replied: "My lord, the Sultan, in the first place, commands his slave to take an office of affliction. while his humble servant is unable to practise blood-shedding torture, extortion, and oppression upon Muslims and subjects. Let the Sultan be pleased to assign other employment to his slave." The Sultan showed great reliance on him sin consequence] and made Nārnūl his fief. He served in the government of that fief for sometime, and, subsequently, the fief of Baran was assigned to him, and, after that again, the fief of Sunām was conferred upon him. When the expedition into Lakhanawati was undertaken, and the force had reduced Balka, the Khali, and was on its way back to the capital, Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khan, died at Uchchah [while holding the government of Sind], and the august Sultan, Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, assigned the fief of Uchchah, and the fortress and city of Uchchah to Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak.

For a considerable period he exercised the government, and was guardian of the people of that country, and brought it under his control. When the Sultan passed to the Creator's mercy, Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, became very powerful; and, at that juncture, Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, became covetous of the possession of Uchchah and the Panjab territory, and he arrived before the gate of the city of Uchchah, from the direction of Banian with a large army. Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, with a well organized force, in battle array, marched out of the fortress of Uchchah and encountered them in battle. Almighty God gave him the victory, and the Karlugh forces were routed, and retired without gaining their object7.

This, truly, was a very important victory, at this time, because, at this period, through the decease of Sultan

7 This, of course, has been omitted under the reign to which it properly belongs. It was the first occasion on which the Kar-lughs, or Karlughs-the word is written both ways-invaded the Dihli kingdom after Shams-ud-Din,

I-yal-timish's decease. See also page 677.

<sup>6</sup> This is the tract of country so often mentioned in these pages and which I have already indicated the position of; but it is often written in a very careless manner [the Calcutta printed text sometimes turns it into Multan], and this fact has led Thomas into a great error, at page 76 of his "PATHAN KINGS OF DEHLI." All the references made by him to the printed text in the foot-note to that page refer to Banian-, and not to Multan.

Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, awe and fear of the kingdom of Hindūstān in [people's] hearts had sustained detriment, and enemies had sprung up on all sides of the empire, and the vain desire of appropriating its territory began to trouble their minds, when Almighty God bestowed this victory on him. The good name of Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, remained in that country, and in all the territory of Hindūstān his renown was diffused.

Shortly after this victory, Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, sustained a fall from his horse, and the animal kicked him in a mortal place, and he was killed. The mercy and forgiveness of the Almighty be upon him!

# V. MALIK SAIF-UD-DÎN, Î-BAK-I-YUGHÂN-TAT.

Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, I-bak-i-Yughān-tat, was a Khiṭā-i Turk, and was, both externally and internally, adorned and endowed with divers manly qualities. The august Sulṭān [Shams-ud-Dīn, I-yal-timish] had purchased him from the heirs of Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn-i-Chust-Ķabā [of the tight-fitting vest], and he distinguished him by his intimacy, and conferred upon him the office of Amīr-i-Majlis [Lord of the Assembly or Council]. After he had performed good service in that appointment, he was raised to a high position, and the fief of the district of Sursutī was bestowed upon him. At the time of this honour being conferred upon him, he gave directions for the presentation of a horse to each of the Amīrs, Maliks, and Grandees; and this gift caused him to be remembered, and his acquirement of some influence.

In the year 625 H., at the time that the author found the Sultān's camp in the territory of Uchchah of Multān, Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, was the feudatory of Sursuti, and, in the presence of the Sultān, he posses ed much influence and intimacy; and when, after some time, he had done distinguished services, the fief of Bihār was entrusted to his charge. On Malik 'Alā-ud-Din, Jāni's 'being deposed from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This appears to have been the nick-name of two persons who dealt in slaves, since I-yal-timish himself was sold to Kutb-ud-Din, by Jamāl-ud-Din-i-Chust-Kabā.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Referred to in the List of Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish's Maliks as Prince of Turkistān, who gave such trouble in the reign of Sultān Raziyyat.

the fief of Lakhanawați, that country was made over to Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak.

In that territory he displayed great vigour, and captured several elephants from the country of Bang, and despatched them to the most sublime Court; and, from the Sultan, he received the title of Yughān-tat, and his name became great. He held the government of that country for some time, and in the year 631 H. he died. The Almighty's mercy and pardon be upon him!

#### VI. MALIK NUŞRAT-UD-DİN, TĀ-YASA'Ī 2.

Malik Nuşrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, was the slave of the illustrious martyr, Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Sām. He was a Turk of short sight, but Almighty God had adorned him with all manly virtues and humanity, and he was endowed with great resolution, gallantry, and vigour, and possessed perfect sense, and sagacity.

At the time that the writer of this TABAKAT, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, attached himself to the sublime Shamsi court, Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, was the feudatory of Jind [Jhind], Barwālah, and Hānsi. After some time, as he had performed approved services, two years subsequent to the taking of the fortress of Gwāliyūr, the august Sultān [Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish] entrusted Bhiānah and Sultān-kot to his charge, together with the Superintendency of the territory of Gwāliyūr, and he received directions likewise to make Gwāliyūr [the fortress] his

<sup>1</sup> Stewart in his "HISTORY OF BENGAL" says [page 65] that Sief Addeen Yugan Tunt [!!] died in 651 H.—a mistake of only twenty years.

In nearly every copy of the text this word or title is somewhat differently written; but the above— Tā-yasa'i—seems most correct. In one copy it is written with vowel points thus Ti-yasa'i is to the word of the word of the written with vowel points thus.

VAMBÉRY considers it is a Chinese word, and that it means a writer, or secretary, but that does not seem applicable here. I think it undoubtedly Turkish, and it possibly may refer to his shortsightedness, but more probably to the name of some place. A somewhat similar term occurs in Sharf-ud-Din, 'Ali's, History, but written Tāishi, but it may be wholly different from the above.

<sup>3</sup> Its being founded is mentioned in the account of Malik Bahā-ud-Ţughril, at page 545.

The word here used is <u>shahnagi</u>—which is rarely used by our author except with reference to those states and territories over which the Mughals obtained sway. The meaning of Shahnah has been already given.

residence. The contingents of Kinnauj, and Mahir [or Mihar], and Mahā'ūn were all placed under his control, in order that he might undertake an inroad into the Kālinjar and Chandiri territories. In the year 631 H.<sup>5</sup>, he accordingly led an army from Gwāliyūr towards the Kālinjar country, and the Rāe of Kālinjar fled discomfited before him. He plundered the townships of that territory, and, in a very short period, obtained vast booty, in such wise, that, in the space of fifty days, the Sultān's fifth share was set down at twenty-five laks [of jītals or dirams?].

On the return of Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, Tā-yasa'ī, the Rānah of Ajār', Chāhar, by name, occupied the route of the Musalmān forces, and blocked up the road in the narrow parts of [some] deep ravines, and was drawn up [with his forces], at the head of the road, prepared to oppose their passage'. Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, Tā-yasa'ī, was somewhat weak in body [from sickness?] at the time, and he divided his force into three bodies, at the head of three roads—the first body consisted of the unincumbered horsemen [under his own command]; the second body of the baggage, material, and the followers of the force, with an Amīr in charge; and the third consisted of the booty and the cattle with an Amīr with it also. I heard Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn himself state, saying: "Through the divine favour,

- In the account of Ulugh Khān farther on, it is stated that this took place in 632 H., and Jamū is mentioned as well as Kinnauj and the other places just mentioned; but 632 H. was the year in which I-yal-timish himself advanced into Mālwah, and took Bhīlsān and Ujjain. See under his reign, page 621.
- This is according to the best copies of the text, which style him, respectively, المعناة الماركي المعناة الماركي المعناة and المعناة المعناة all have the hamzah denoting the genitive case—Rānah of Ajār, Ajārki, or Ajārnah [probably Ajāriah or Achāriah], and state that his name was Chāhir. See page 691, and the account of Ulugh Khān farther on.
- In his account of Ulugh Khān farther on, our author, in all the oldest copies, mentions "the ravines of the river Law Karānah or Garānah" which, in the more modern copies of the text, is which, in the more modern copies of the text, is which, in the more modern copies of the text, is which, in the more modern copies of the text, is which which is latter river flows by the fortress of Nurwul, previously referred to at page 690, bounds the Gwāliyūr territory on the east, and falls into the Jūn or Yamunā. In about the direction Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn must have taken on his return to Gwāliyūr, this river is about 200 yards broad in the rainy season, and about forty in the dry, and some two feet deep; and, at this part of its course, its banks are steep, and cut into numerous ravines. Whether the Karānah or Garānah and the Sindī be one and the same river it is difficult to say; but it is not improbable that the first is its proper name, as Sindī is, of course, derived from which was river, and that one and the same river is referred to.

never in Hindūstān had an enemy seen my back; and, on that day, that Hindū fellow fell upon me like a wolf upon a flock of sheep. I divided my force into three bodies in order that, in the event of the Hindū confronting me and the unincumbered horsemen, the baggage and war material and cattle might pass on in safety, and, in case he should show a desire towards the baggage and war material or cattle, I, together with the auxiliaries of the true faith, would come behind him and take satisfaction on his malignity." The Hindū confronted Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn's own division, and Almighty God gave him the victory. The Hindūs were routed, and numbers of them sent to hell, and he returned with his booty to the fortress of Gwāliyūr in safety.

An anecdote of an occurrence, showing his perfect sagacity, which happened during this expedition, which was made known [to the author], is here related, that readers may derive profit therefrom: and that anecdote is as follows. A milch sheep, from among his flocks, had been lost for some time—nearly a month and a half—during this inroad. One day, Malik Nusrat-ud-Din was moving round the camp among the tents, after the force had been encamped at that same place a week, and every one had set up something or other to shade himself. Suddenly, during his perambulation, the bleating of a sheep reached his ear. He immediately said to his attendants: "That is the bleats ing of my sheep." They proceeded in the direction, and found that it was as that Amir-i-Ghāzi had said: the animal was there, and they brought back the [stray] sheep again.

Many other acts of his sagacity and intelligence occurred during this expedition, and one of them is as follows. At the time when the Rāe of Kālinjar faced about and retired routed before him, Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, Tā-yasa'i, pursued him. Having succeeded in obtaining a Hindū guide, he set out, on their track, in pursuit of the fugitive [Hindūs] and pushed on for four nights and days, and part of the fifth night until half the night had passed, when the Hindū guide stated that he had lost the road, and was unacquainted with the route in advance. Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn commanded so that they sent the Hindū to hell, and began to

act as guide himself. So they reached some high ground \*, at which place the fugitives had watered, and the cattle of their army had cast the water and heavy baggage away. In the victorious army every one said: "It is night and the enemy near: let it not be that we fall among them. Their camp must be near by." Malik Nusrat-ud-Din Tāvasa'i dismounted from his horse, and went up round the place on foot, and examined the water cast away by the horses of the infidels. He exclaimed fafter his examination]: "Be of good cheer, my friends: the force, which is here and has watered here, is the rearmost column of the enemy's army, by this proof. Had it been the van or the main body, in this place would have been the tracks of the rest of their army, but, on this place, there are no tracks: keep up your hearts, for we are on the rear of the enemy!" With these prognostics of victory he remounted, and, at dawn the following morning, came up with those infidels. and sent the whole [!] of them to hell, and captured the canopy of state, and the standards of the Rae of Kalinjai and returned in safety from that expedition 9.

When the reign of the Sulṭān [Rukn-ud-Dīn, Fīrūz Shāh] terminated, and Malik Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad Shāh [his brother], son of Sulṭān Shams-ud-Dīn, I-yal-timiṣh, became the victim of misfortune¹, Sulṭān Raziyyat conferred [the fief of] Awadh upon Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, Tā-yasa'ī; and, at the period when Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī, and Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Kūjī, advanced to the gate of the city [of Dihlī], and began to act in a rebellious manner, he set out from Awadh for the sublime Court of Sovereignty to render his services. Suddenly and unexpectedly, Malik Kūjī moved against him, and took Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, Tā-yasa'ī, prisoner². He was overcome by sickness

The untrustworthy Calcutta printed text makes بالى — a bridge, of بالاگ.— high ground, a height, &c.

This important expedition took place during the reign of I-yal-timish, in the year after he gained possession of Gwāliyūr, and the year before he took Bhīlsān and Ujjain, but not the least reference is made to it under that Sultān's reign, and no reference is made to either Rānah Chāhar nor to the Rāe of Kālinjar. See the account of Ulugh Khān farther on, and page 690, and note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This refers to his rebellion. 'See page 633.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See page 639.

at the time, and the malady carried him off, and he died. The mercy of the Almighty be upon him!

# VII. MALIK 'IZZ-UD-DĪN, ŢUGHRIL 3-I-ŢUGHĀN KHĀN.

Maiik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān was a Turk of good looks and good disposition, and his origin was from Karah 'Khitā. He was adorned with all sorts of humanity and sagacity, and graced with many virtues and noble qualities, and in liberality, generosity, and winning men's hearts, he had no equal, in that day, among the [royal] retinue or military.

When the Sultan [I-yal-timish] first purchased Tughrili-Tughān Khān, he made him his Sāķī-i-Khās sown personal Cup-bearer]\*; and, having served in that capacity for sometime, he became Sar-Dawāt-dār [Chief Keeper of the Private Writing-case], when, suddenly, he lost the Sultan's own jeweled pen-case. The Sultan administered to him a sound chastisement, but, subsequently, bestowed upon him a rich dress of honour and made him Chashni-gir [Comptroller of the Royal Kitchen]. After a considerable time. Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan became Amir-i-Akhur [Lord of the Stable], and, subsequently, in 630 H., was made feudatory of Buda'un. When the territory of Lakhanawati was made the fief of Malik [Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i]-Yughāntat, the country of Bihar was conferred upon Malik Tughril; and, when Malik Yughān-tat died [in 631 H.], Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan became feudatory of the country of Lakhanawati, and he brought that territory under his jurisdiction.

After the decease of the august Sultan [I-yal-timish], between him and the feudatory of Lakhanawati-Lakhanor, I-bak, by name, whom they used to style Aor Khān, a Turk of great daring and impetuosity, enmity arose, and a

For the pronunciation of this Turkish word see note 4, page 544. Tughān, in the Turkish language, is equivalent to the Persian word عرض عهودنده of hawk.

Our author writes this Turkish word Karā and Karah indiscriminately.

It is worthy of notice regarding these great men of the so-called "PATHÁN" dynasties, that nearly every one of these Maliks were Turkish Mamlüks or purchased slaves; but did any one ever hear of an Afghān or Paṭān a slave?

battle took place between them for [the possession of] the town of Basan-kot of Lakhaṇawaṭi, within the environs of the city of Lakhaṇawaṭi itself. During the engagement, Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān pierced Aor Khān with an arrow in a mortal place, and he forthwith died. Tughril's name became great [in consequence], and both sides of the country of Lakhaṇawaṭi—the one part of which they style Rāl [Rārh] which is towards Lakhaṇ-or, and the other is named Barind [Barindah] on the side of Basan-kot—became one, and came into Malik Tughril's possession?

When the throne of the kingdom passed to Sultān Raziyyat, Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān despatched some persons of note to the sublime Court, and he was dignified by being honoured with a canopy of state and standards, and was paid high honour. He made an inroad into the country of Tirhut from Lakhaṇawaṭi, and acquired much valuable booty.

When the throne devolved upon Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Bahrām Shāh, Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān was distinguished in the same manner, and was in the continual habit of sending for the service of the sublime Court offerings of great value. After the termination of the Mu'izzi dynasty, in the beginning of the 'Alā-i reign [the reign of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd-Shāh], his confidential adviser, Bahā-ud-Dīn, Hilāl, the Sūriānī [Syrian], instigated him to take possession of the territory of Awadh, and Karah and Mānik-pūr, and An-desah-i-Balā-tar [Upper-most An-des—or Urnā-desa] <sup>9</sup>. In the year 640 H., when this author, with his dependents, and children, set out from the capital,

<sup>6</sup> All this is omitted from the reign in which it took place.

<sup>7</sup> This indicates then that at this time there were two great fiefs in this part—Lakhanawati and Lakhan-or, one on each side of the Ganges, but that, by way of distinction, as stated above, the Rāth "wing" was called Lakhanawati-Lakhan-or. See also page 585, and note 6.

This is equivalent to acknowledging him as a sovereign, but tributary, of course. Some few copies have red standards. He duly publishes this in his Bihār inscription given in Blochmann's "Contributions," page 37.

That part of Tibbat through which the Sutlaj flows on issuing from the lake Rāwan Hrida, and bounded by the Kailās and Himālaya ridges. In the time here referred to this name may have been applied to a larger extent of country, farther to the south-east, now included in Nepāl.

In the Calcutta printed text An-desah is turned into اندیث - andeshah"consideration, meditation, thought," &c.

Dihli, for Lakhaṇawaṭi, when he arrived in Awadh, Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān had reached the country of Karah and Mānik-pūr. The author, taking his family along with him, proceeded from Awadh and waited on him; and Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān remained for sometime in that vicinity, close upon Awadh, but afterwards returned to Lakhaṇawaṭi again. The author accompanied him '.

In the year 641 H., the Rae of Jaj-nagar commenced molesting the Lakhanawati territory; and, in the month of Shawwal, 641 H., Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan marched towards the Jāi-nagar country, and this servant of the state accompanied him on that holy expedition. On reaching Katāsin², which was the boundary of Jāj-nagar [on the side of Lakhanawati, on Saturday, the 6th of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 641 H., Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan made his troops mount, and an engagement commenced. The holy-warriors of Islam passed over two ditches, and the Hindū infidels took to flight. So far as they continued in the author's sight, except the fodder which was before their elephants, nothing fell into the hands of the foot-men of the army of Islām, and, moreover, Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān's commands were that no one should molest the elephants, and, for this reason, the fierce fire of battle subsided.

When the engagement had been kept up until mid-day the foot-men of the Musalmān army—every one of them—returned [to the camp?] to eat their food, and the Hindūs, in another direction, stole through the cane jangal, and took five elephants; and about two hundred foot and fifty horsemen came upon the rear of a portion of the Musalmān army. The Muḥammadans sustained an overthrow, and a great number of those holy warriors attained martyrdom; and Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān retired from that place without having effected his object, and returned to Lakhanawati. He despatched the Sharf-ul-Mulk, the Ash'ari,

<sup>1</sup> See pages 662 and 663.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See note <sup>4</sup>, para. 8, page 587.

<sup>3</sup> In every copy of the text collated this sentence, like the preceding, is very defective—no two copies being alike—and, altogether, our author's account of this affair seems imperfect. It appears improbable that 250 Hindus only should throw a whole army into confusion, in broad daylight.

<sup>4</sup> The title of the Malik's minister probably, not his name. At page 664 it is stated that Kāzī Jalal-ud-Dīn, who was Ķāzī of Awadh, was directed to

to the Court of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, to solicit assistance. Ķāzī Jalāl-ud-Dīn, the Kāsāni—on whom be peace!—was deputed, along with the Sharf-ul-Mulk, bearing a rich robe of honour, a canopy of state, a standard and tent, coupled with [expressions of] much honour and reverence, to return to Lakhaṇawatī; and the forces of Hindūstān', under the orders of Ķamar-ud-Dīn, Tamur Khān-i Ķī-rān, who was feudatory of Awadh, moved towards Lakhaṇawatī, by the sublime command of the Sultān, to operate against the infidels of Jāj-nagar.

In this same year likewise [642 H.], the Rae of Jajnagar 6, in order to avenge the plundering of Katāsin, which had taken place the preceding year, as has been already recorded, having turned his face towards the Lakhanawati territory, on Tuesday, the 13th of the month of Shawwal, 642 H., the army of infidels of Jaj-nagar, consisting of elephants, and pāyiks [foot-men] in great numbers, arrived opposite Lakhanawati. Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan came out of the city to confront them. The infidel host. on coming beyond the frontier of the Jaj-nagar territory, first took Lakhan-or; and Fakhr-ul-Mulk, Karim-ud-Din. Lāghri<sup>7</sup>, who was the feudatory of I akhan-or, with a body of proceed to Lakhanawati along with the Sharf-ul-Mulk, bearing a red canopy of state, and a robe of honour, and that they reached Lakhanawati on the 11th of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 641 H. This is impossible, as the repulse before Katāsin took place eight months after this date. The year must be 642 H. Another discrepancy is that [page 664], under the reign, it is said that the agent was sent to the Court, when Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan returned from Karah towards Lakhanawati !

- <sup>5</sup> That is of the Antarbed Do-ābah and districts lying immediately east of the Gang.
- 6 Mr. Blochmann ["Contributions to the History and Geography of Bengal," page 143, para. 4] is really too magnanimous when he says that "Regarding Jájnagar" I have "come to the same conclusion" he "had." I beg leave to state that I HAD come to the conclusion in 1865, when I first made translations of the history of Bengal from as many works as I could find—eight in all, I think, or more—and then collected the materials which enabled me to insert the notes in question in this translation. I hope to publish the fruit of these translations not long hence, with additions since obtained.

The italics noticed in the same "Contributions" [note §, page 144] namely, Jāt-nagar, page 592 of my translation, I daresay, do not imply a reference to Jāj-nagar; and, further, whether it be a mistake or not, the work I quoted has the word, and also the account of Kadhah-Katankah. Perhaps Mr. Blochmann will refer to the Ma'dan-i-Akhbār-i-Ahmadī and satisfy himself.

<sup>7</sup> He must have succeeded Î-bak-i-Aor Khān in that fief, under Tughril-i-Tughān Khān perhaps. See page 736.

Musalmāns, they made martyrs of, and, after that, appeared before the gate of Lakhaṇawaṭi <sup>8</sup>. The second day after that, swift messengers arrived from above [the Do-ābah and Awadh, &c.] and gave information respecting the army of Islām that it was near at hand. Panic now took possession of the infidels, and they decamped.

When the army from above reached the gate of Lakhanawati, distrust arose between Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khān and Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān, and led to strife; and a conflict took place between the two armies of Musalmans before the gate of the city of Lakhanawati, and continued from day-dawn to the early forenoon, when certain people appealed to them, and the two forces disengaged from each other, and each returned to its own camp. Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan's own quarters were before the city gate, by the time he had alighted at his own tent. the whole of his troops had returned to their own dwellings within the city, and he remained alone. Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān however, on returning to his camp, continued ready armed as before, when, finding opportunity, and becoming aware that Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan was all alone in his tent within his camp, he mounted with his whole force, and made a dash upon Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān's camp. The latter was under the necessity of mounting and flying within the city; and this event took place on Tuesday, the 5th of the month of Zi-Hijjah, б42 н.

On Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān's reaching the city, he employed the author, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, and despatched him out of the city to seek an accommodation and his safety; and a truce and compact were entered into between the two Maliks, under the engagement that Lakhanawati should be delivered up to Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ķi-rān, and that Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān should proceed to the Sublime Court, taking along with him his treasures

<sup>\*</sup> The Jāj-nagar forces must have crossed the Ganges before they could invest the city of Lakhaṇawaṭi, if the course of that river was then as it is at present. For further details of this—for our author appears to have been totally unable to give the details of one affair in one place—see the account of Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ķi-rān at page 763, where the name of the leader of the infidels is also mentioned. These are the Mughals of Chingiz Khān referred to at page 665, and note \*.

and elephants, his dependents and followers. Under this agreement, Lakhaṇawaṭi was delivered up to Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ķi-rān, and Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, in company with Malik Ķarā-Ķash Khān, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Māh-peshāni [of the moon-like brow], and the [other?] Amīrs of the Court¹, returned to the sublime presence. The author, with his family and dependents, returned to Dihlī along with Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, and the Sublime Court was reached, on Monday, the 14th of the month of Safar, 643 H.²

On Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān's arrival at Court, he was distinguished by great honour and reverence, and, in the [following] month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal of that same year, the territory of Awadh was consigned to him, and he received much comfort and encouragement.

When the throne of sovereignty acquired additional glory from [the accession of] the Sultān-i-Mu'azzam, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, in the year 644 H., Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān proceeded into Awadh; and, a short time afterwards, on the night of Friday, the end of the month of Shawwāl of that year, he passed to the Almighty's mercy. Of destiny's wonderful decrees one was this, that, enmity and contest having arisen between Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān and Malik Tamur Khān-i-Kī-rān, and each having seized the other's territory, Tamur Khān should have died in Lakhanawati, and Tughān Khān in Awadh [the same night], in such wise that neither of the two, in this world, was aware of the other's death.

On this subject, that prince of mortals of the great

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The sending of a robe of honour and standards to <u>Tughril-i-Tughān Khān</u> must have been merely for the purpose of putting him off his guard, and it must have been previously determined to deprive him of his government. See pages 665—667.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Who had accompanied the troops sent to the relief of Lakhanawatt, or, rather, under pretence of relieving it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Blochmann's "Contributions" previously referred to, page 38. 'Izzud-Din, Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, did not withdraw from Lakhanawaṭi direct into Awadh, but proceeded to Dihli first, and then, in Nāṣir-ud-Din's reign, proceeded to take charge of the latter fief, as shown immediately under, and at page 744.

Not so, by the writer's own account: Tughril-i-Tughan Khan's territory was seized by treachery, but he had not seized his rival's.

and of the less, Sharf-ud-Din, the Balkhi, composed a verse ':—

"On Friday, the end of the month entitled <u>Shawwāl</u>, In the year, according to the 'Arab era, <u>khā</u>, mim, dāl, Was Tamur <u>Khān</u>'s and <u>Tughān Khān</u>'s march from the world. This [one] at the beginning of the night went, that at its close ."

Doubtless, their meeting will have taken place in the Court of the King of Glory in the everlasting mansion in the other world. The Almighty's mercy be upon them!

# VIII. MALIK ĶAMAR-UD-DĪN, ĶĪ-RĀN-I-TAMUR <u>KH</u>ĀN-US-SULŢĀNĪ.

Malik Tamur <sup>6</sup> Khān-i-Ķī-rān was a Turk of good qualities and excellent disposition, and very hasty and impetuous, prudent and intrepid. His origin was from Khifchāk, and he was good looking, and had a long beard and mustachios. The Sultān [I-yal-timish], at the outset [of his career], purchased him of Asad-ud-Dīn, Mankalī, the brother's son of Malik Fīrūz <sup>7</sup>, for the sum of fifty thousand Sultānī dirams <sup>8</sup>.

During the expedition to Chand-wal [i. e. Chand-war],

- 4 This paragraph, and these lines may be looked upon as an interpolation, for they are only contained in some of the more modern copies of the text.
- The printed text has  $s\bar{i}n$ —but that letter stands for sixty, which is not correct. <u>Khā</u>—t—stands for 600,  $m\bar{i}m$ —for 40, and  $d\bar{a}l$ —s—for 4= 644 H. The last day of the month is the 29th.
  - 6 Tamur, in Turkish, signifies iron.
- 7 In some copies, "brother" of Malik Firuz. This is the person who stands first in the list of the Maliks of Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, and bore likewise the latter Turkish name. See page 625
  - 8 All the old copies have dirams, but the modern ones jitals.
- Chand-wāl and Chand-wār are synonymous. It is, no doubt, the place referred to at page 470, near which Jai-Chand, Rājah of Kinnauj and Banāras, was overthrown by Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī. Its name even has nearly perished, and Fīrūzābād has arisen almost upon its ruins. It is situated about twenty-five miles east of Āgrah on the banks of the Jūn or Yamunā. There are other places bearing similar names which led me to suppose, as stated in note 1, page 470, and, also from the loose manner in which native writers refer to it, as noticed in para. 5 to note at page 518, that it was a different place, several authors stating that the battle above referred to took place "in the neighbourhood of Chand-wār and Itāwah," while, at the same time, these two places are some forty or more miles apart. The ruins of the ancient city of Chand-wār cover the surrounding country for miles round Fīrūzābād—masjids, mausoleums, gateways, and other extensive buildings—indicating the size and importance of the place.

unexpectedly, the son of the Rāe of <u>Ch</u>and-wāl, Laddah, by name, fell into his hands; and, when he brought him to the Sultān's presence, Tamur <u>Khān-i-Ķi-rān</u> received suitable commendation. Subsequently, he became Nā'ib Amir-i-Ākhur [Deputy Lord of the Stable], and, at that time, the Amir-i-Ākhur was <u>Tughril-i-Tughān Khān</u> [No. vii.]. Having obtained this office, he performed approved service therein; and, when <u>Tughril-i-Tughān Khān</u> was assigned the fief of Budā'ūn, Tamur <u>Khān-i-Ķi-rān</u> became Amir-i-Ākhur.

During the reign of Sultan Raziyyat—on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—he became feudatory of Kinnauj; and, during that reign, by the sublime command, he was despatched towards Gwāliyūr and Mālwah in command of the Islāmī forces, and, during that expedition, he did good service. Subsequently, after he returned to the Court, the fief of Karah was entrusted to him, and, in that part, he undertook many expeditions against the infidels, and discharged, in a complete manner, all the duties of good general-ship.

When Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, who was feudatory of Awadh, died, the territory of Awadh, with its dependencies, was entrusted to Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ķi-rān's charge. In that part, as far as the frontier of the Tirhut territory, he performed great deeds, and obtained possession of vast booty; and compelled the Rāes and Rānās, and independent [Hindū] tribes², of that country, to pay him tribute. On several occasions he plundered the territory of Bhati-ghor³, and extorted tribute.

In the year 642 H., when he proceeded to Lakhanawati, his behaviour towards Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan, and to what point it reached, has been previously recorded in this Section ; and, whilst Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No mention of this expedition occurs in the account of her reign.

² Here the word مواسات referred to in note 7, page 705, is used evidently as the plural of مواس The meaning is apparent.

<sup>3</sup> Bhati-Ghorā, or Bhath-Ghorah—the tract lying on the left bank of the Son, east of Banāras, in the centre of which Kālinjar is situated.

See pages 664—667. His death occurred on the 29th of Shawwal, 644 H. There is an inscription respecting him in the Bihār Museum, dated in the first month of this year, which has been published in the Bengal Asiatic Journal for 1871. That inscription tends to show that he considered himself inde-

was at the capital, he came, unattended, to Mānish, and removed his family, and the whole of his effects, from Awadh to Lakhaṇawaṭi. For a period of two years he continued, in rebellion, at Lakhaṇawaṭi, and afterwards died, on the same night in which Tughril-i-Tughān Khān took his departure from the world; and, as the daughter of Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Yughān-tat, was his wife, she duly performed her obligation [to him], and took his corpse to Awadh from Lakhaṇawaṭi, and there he was buried. The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

# IX. MALIK HINDŪ <u>KH</u>ĀN, MU-AYYID-UD-DĪN, MIHTAR-I-MUBĀRAK-UL-<u>KH</u>ĀZĪN-US-SULŢĀNĪ.

Hindū <u>Kh</u>ān, Mihtar -i-Mubārak, was, by origin, of Mahir . When he first came into the august Sultān's service, the Sultān [I-yal-timish] purchased him of Fakhrud-Din, the Ṣafahāni. Hindū <u>Kh</u>ān was a man of exceeding good disposition, and of exemplary conduct, of sincere piety, and, in the Sultān's service, had attained great intimacy with him, and had reached a position of the most

pendent, unless, as is often the case, and as repeatedly shown in this work, his subordinate has given him titles after his own fancy. This inscription is also given in Blochmann's "Contributions," page 37, with a translation. There is an error in the last however, the words Tughril-us-Sultāni do not mean Tughril, the Royal, but Tughril, the Sultāni's [I-yal-timish's] slave—the Sultāni Tughril—a term applied to the following Malik and to several of the great Maliks herein mentioned. See also note \*, to page 41 of the same paper.

- 5 This name is doubtful. In the most reliable copies it is above, but in others مالش and مالس The Calcutta printed text has تاس Tābas.
- In the printed text, the word farzand—child or daughter of—has been left out, so it may be imagined what a sentence it makes.
- <sup>8</sup> The word Mihtar signifies greater, and a lord, the head or chief of a tribe, &c. It is here probably used as a title.
- <sup>9</sup> If he was originally from Mihir or Mihir, which is probably intended for the place of that name in the Sāgar and Narbadah territories, in Lat. 24° 16′, Long. 80° 49′—for I know of no place of such name in Turkistān—Hindū Khān was probably a converted Hindū. This seems to show that there was a brisk trade carried on in Hindū as well as Turkish slaves.

perfect confidence. Throughout the whole reign of Sulţān I-yal-timish—from the beginning to the close—and the reign of Sulţān Raziyyat likewise, he was honoured and esteemed, had held the office of Treasurer, and had done good services. All Sulţān I-yal-timish's slaves who attained offices in the state, and positions of greatness, were objects of his regard and affection; and they all looked upon him as a kind and loving father.

When Hindū Khān first came into the Sultān's service, he became Yūz-bān [Keeper of the hunting leopards], and, subsequently, he was made Torch-bearer; and, whilst holding that office, within the limits of the territory of Baran, at the time when the Sultān [I-yal-timish] was feudatory of Baran [before he succeeded to the throne], in the reign of the beneficent Sultān, Ķutb-ud-Din, I-bak, during a raid against one of the independent tribes of Hindū infidels, Hindū Khān Mihtar-i-Mubārak, with the spike of his torch, unhorsed a Hindū and sent the man to Hell. The Sultān [afterwards] made him his Tasht-dār [Ewer-bearer], and, in that capacity, he served for a considerable time.

When the affairs of the kingdom came under the administration of the Shamsi dynasty, the Mihtar-i-Mubārak became Treasurer to Sultan I-yal-timish, but he did not ever give up the office of Tasht-dar up to the end of the Sultan's lifetime, and used, as heretofore, to perform the duties of personal Ewer-bearer. When the august Sultan encamped before the preserved fortress of Gwaliyur, and took that place, this servant of the victorious dynasty, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, during that expedition, for a period of seven months, in accordance with commands, was in the habit of delivering a discourse, twice in each week, at the entrance of the royal pavilion; and, throughout the month of Ramazān, and on the 10th of Zi-Hijjah, and 10th of Muharram, the author used to perform the service daily. After the fortress was taken possession of, as the just claims of his priestly duties had been established. the administration of all matters of law and religion of that fortress was entrusted to the author, and this installation took place in the year 630 H.<sup>2</sup> This is mentioned because.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See page 619. There our author makes a different statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This appears to have been our author's first appointment under the government of Dihli, at least the first one he mentions.

at the time of investing the author with the direction of law affairs, that Mihtar of Mihtars, Mihtar-i-Mubārak, Hindū Khān himself, was present in the royal treasury, and treated him with such kindness and encouragement that this servant of the state was much beholden to him for such honour on his part. Almighty God reward him, and have mercy on him!

When the <u>Sh</u>amsi reign came to a termination, in the reign of Sultan Raziyyat, the territory and fortress of <u>Uchchah</u> was entrusted to Malik Hindū <u>Khān</u>'s charge; and, when the throne passed to Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām <u>Shāh</u>, he returned from that province to the Court, and the territory of Jalandhar [Jalhandar] was conferred upon him, and there also he died.

# X. MALIK I<u>KH</u>TIYĀR-UD-DĪN, ĶARĀ-ĶA<u>SH</u> \* <u>KH</u>ĀN-I-AET-KĪN.

Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Ķarā-Ķash Khān-i-Aet-kin , was from Ķarah-Khitā, and was a man of exceeding amiable disposition, magnanimous, pure in mind, and adorned with all manliness and valour, and was one of the ancient slaves of the Sultān [I-yal-timish].

When the august Sultān first purchased him, he made him his personal Cup-bearer, and, after he had served in that capacity for a considerable time, he acquired the fief of Barihūn and Darangawān [Daran-gā-oṇ?]. Some years subsequently, he became the Superintendent of the Khālişah [crown province] of Tabarhindah; and, after that, also during the reign of the august Sultān, Multān became his fief, after Malik Kabīr Khān [Izz-ud-Dīn, Ayāz-i-Hazār-Mardah], and his title then became Ķarā-Ķash Khān.

On the expiration of the Shamsi reign, Sultan Raziyyat

Also written قرا قوش Karā-Kūsh.

<sup>4</sup> Some writers give the pronounciation of this word Aytkin, instead of Aet-kin, but the last, I think, is the most correct. See page 318. Under the reign he is styled Malik-al-Kabir—the Great Malik.

I fail to recognize these places satisfactorily. One may be meant for Dharam-gā-on, a very common name. The words are written—بريمون — درنكون and درنكون and درنكون See page 725.

took Lohor from Malik Kabir Khān-i-Avāz, and made over to him, in lieu thereof, the fief of Multan, as has been previously narrated. What befell Malik Karā-Kash at Lohor, and his evacuation of that city, during the inroad of the infidel Mughals and their appearance before Lohor, will be recorded in the account of the Lohor disaster 8. He [then] had the territory of Bhianah conferred upon him. and he continued in that part some time. When the reign of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, came, and the Maliks revolted, Malik Karā-Kash Khān, with Malik Yūz-Bak [Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Tughril Khān], came to the capital and attached themselves to Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram As Mihtar-i-Mubārak [Fakhr-ud-Din, Mubārak Shāh, the Farrāsh], Farrukhi, conspired against the Turk Maliks and Amirs, he influenced Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh, against Malik Karā-Kash and Malik Yūz-Bak, and the Sultan imprisoned both of them .

After the city of Dihlī was taken, and the throne passed to Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, Malik Ķarā-Ķash Khān, became Amīr-i-Ḥājib, and, shortly afterwards, on Friday, the 25th of the month of Jamādī-ul-Awwal, 640 H., Bhīānah became his fief '. After some time Karah was assigned to him; and, from thence, in company with Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ķī-rān, with troops, he marched towards Lakhaṇawāṭī, and returned from thence along with Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān'.

When the throne of sovereignty acquired beauty and adornment from the auspicious dignity of the Sultan of the Universe, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, in the year 644 H., Malik Ṣarā-Ṣash Khān was killed within the limits of Karah. The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

<sup>7</sup> At pages 644 and 727.

In the account of the Mughal irruption in the next Section. See also page 655.

<sup>9</sup> See pages 659 and 761.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This must mean that he was restored to that fief again, because, just before, it is stated that he was made feudatory of Bhiānah after the evacuation of Lāhor, and that, from Bhiānah, he marched to support Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See page 741.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> No particulars of this affair occur anywhere throughout this work.

# XI. MALIK I<u>KH</u>TIYĀR-UD-DĪN, ALTŪNĪAH, OF TABAR-HINDAH.

Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Altūniah of Tabarhindah, was a great Malik, of vast boldness and gallantry, manliness and energy, lion-heartedness and magnanimity; and the whole of the Maliks of that time were unanimous as to his manliness and valour. At the time of the imprisonment of Sultān Raziyyat—on whom be peace!—he had fought encounters with the forces of the disaffected Maliks, in conjunction with Sultān Raziyyat, and had displayed great heroism.

When the august Sultan [I-yal-timish] first purchased him, he gave him the Sharab-dari soffice—the care of the liquors]. After some time, as the Sultan had remarked proofs of manliness depicted upon his brow, he gave him the office of Sar Chatar-dar [Head of the state canopybearers]; and, when the Shamsi rule came to its termination, during the reign of Sultan Razivyat, the fief of Baran was conferred upon Ikhtiyār-ud-Din. Subsequently, Tabarhindah was given to him; and, at the time when the hearts of the Turk Maliks and Amirs, who were the slaves of the Shamsi dynasty, became changed towards Sultan Raziyyat, on account of the favour Jamal-ud-Din, Yā-kūt, the Abvssinian, had found with her, the Amir-i-Hājib, Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din-i-Aet-kin, and Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Altuniah, of Tabarhindah, were connected by a firm compact of unanimity and friendship, and bonds of intimacy; and, by virtue of this fabric of union, Malik Aet-kin, secretly, gave intimation of this change to the latter. Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Altūniah, in the fortress of Tabarhindah, began openly to rebel, and withdrew his head from the yoke of obedience to that Sultan.

Sultan Raziyyat, in the month of Ahar, moved from the

<sup>4</sup> The ambitious and rebellious conduct of himself and his colleague in sedition was the cause of her downfall, as is stated under.

Not necessarily intoxicating.

This was a Khālisah district, as mentioned at page 746.

Ahār, from the Sanskrit—WITIC—the third solar month of the Hindus— June—July. The Muhammadans, as early as this, it seems, had begun to use the names of the Hindu months. Under her reign it is said to have been the

capital towards Tabarhindah with the [contingents] comprising the centre [division] of her forces, as has been related [under her reign]; and, when Sultan Razivvat was seized and imprisoned, and the Maliks and Amirs returned to the capital again, and the throne of sovereignty came to Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Altūniah, contracted marriage with Sultan Raziyyat, who was in duress [under his charge in the fort of Tabarhindah]. and, by reason of that union, began to evince contumacy 1. When Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Aet-kin [Altūniah's confederate], was assassinated, and Malik Badr-ud-Din-i-Sunkar, the Rūmi, became Amir-i-Hājib, Malik Ikhtiyārud-Din, Altūniah, brought forth Sultān Raziyyat from the fortress of Tabarhindah, assembled forces, and marched towards the capital. In the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, however, they retired unsuccessful. Sultan Raziyyat was taken prisoner within the limits of Kaithal; and Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Altuniah, was taken in the Mansur-pur 2 district, and was martyred by Hindus on Tuesday, the 25th of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 638 II.3 The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

# XII. MALIK IKHTIYÄR-UD-DÎN, AET-KÎN.

Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Act-kin, was a Ķarah-Khitā-i, a well-favoured Turk, a man of good disposition and of hand-some countenance, dignity, wisdom, and sagacity.

The august Sultan [I-yal-timish] purchased him from Amir I-bak, Sannā-i 4, and he had served the Sultan long in

9th of Ramazān 637 H.—May, 1239, A.D. It was a great object with the rebels to make Sultan Raziyyat move in the hot season.

- <sup>8</sup> See note <sup>3</sup>, page 634.
- They lost no time, for on the 28th of the same month they set up Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Bahrām Shāh.
- <sup>1</sup> Contumacy towards the usurper of her throne. See under Raziyyat's reign, page 645, and 647. His confederates in sedition against Raziyyat had now been removed from the scene and their ambitious designs frustrated, and therefore Altūniah thought it to his advantage to espouse her cause.
  - West of Dihli, and north-west of Kaithal, Lat. 30° 21', Long. 76° 5'.
  - These events are related differently under Raziyyat's reign, which see.
- <sup>4</sup> In some copies Nisāwi—native of Nisā, and, in the list of Maliks at the beginning of the Nāṣiri reign, page 673, there is a Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ī-bak-i-Balkā Khān, styled, Sanā-ī, but not the person here referred to He may have been the son of the above.

every office and degree, and become deserving of royal kindness and dignities of greatness. In the beginning of the Sultan's reign, he was made Sar-i-Jan-dar [Head of the Tan-dars], and, after some time, as proofs of merit were conspicuous upon his brow, Mansūr-pūr was given to him in fief. Some time after, Kūjāh and Nandanah were entrusted to his charge, and, on that frontier, he performed distinguished services. When the period of sovereignty devolved upon Sultan Raziyyat, she summoned him to the Court, and assigned him the fief of Buda'un. After some farther period, he attained the dignity of Amir-i-Hājib, and performed approved services before the throne; but, on account of the favour which Jamal-ud-Din, Ya-kut, the Abyssinian, had acquired, the whole of the Maliks and Amirs, Turks, Ghūris, and Tājiks 7, were withdrawing from their attendance on the Court of Sultan Razivvat, and were afflicted in heart, particularly Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Aet-kin, the Amir-i-Hajib, as has been recorded in the account of Sultan Raziyyat. For this reason, Jamal-ud-Din, Yā-kūt, was martyred, and the throne passed to Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah '.

On the day of rendering fealty at the Küshk [the

In some copies, Sar-i-Jamadar [Sar-i-Jamah-dar?] or Head of the Wardrobe, and, in one good copy, Shart-badar.

• This place is generally mentioned in connexion with Banian and the Kārlūgh Turks. The word might be spelt with g-Gūjāh. The majority of copies and the oldest have كوفياء but one has كوحان and a second كوفياء The

E likewise may be intended for See list of victories, page 627.

7 At pages 304 and 333, our author says the Ghūris are Tājiks, or Tāziks -i. e. descendants of Arabs born in 'Ajam-but here he evidently applies the term as it originally means, and Ghūris to natives of Ghūr only. The compilers of "Pathán" dynasties may see that such a term as theirs never occurs in any Muhammadan History. Tājiks are not Scythians, I beg leave to say.

• The "affliction" that appears to have troubled him was ambition and sedition, as may be gathered from the statement in the account of Malik

Altuniah, just related, and a little farther on.

• The modern copies of the text have an additional sentence and a verse here, but it is evidently an interpolation: they are as follows:-" As sovereignty turned its face from Sultan Raziyyat, on this account, a wag gives these lines :--

> 'Sovereignty from her robe's skirt turned away, When it perceived black dust on the hem thereof."

Our author, who was resident at her Court, does not attempt to make us believe that Sultan Raziyyat was guilty of any criminal familiarity with the Abyssinian, although more modern writers do insinuate it, but, I believe, without reason.

every office and degree, and become deserving of royal kindness and dignities of greatness. In the beginning of the Sultan's reign, he was made Sar-i-Jan-dar [Head of the Tan-dars], and, after some time, as proofs of merit were conspicuous upon his brow, Mansūr-pūr was given to him in fief. Some time after, Kūjāh and Nandanah were entrusted to his charge, and, on that frontier, he performed distinguished services. When the period of sovereignty devolved upon Sultan Raziyyat, she summoned him to the Court, and assigned him the fief of Buda'un. After some farther period, he attained the dignity of Amir-i-Hājib, and performed approved services before the throne; but, on account of the favour which Jamal-ud-Din, Ya-kut, the Abyssinian, had acquired, the whole of the Maliks and Amirs, Turks, Ghūris, and Tājiks 7, were withdrawing from their attendance on the Court of Sultan Razivvat, and were afflicted in heart, particularly Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Aet-kin, the Amir-i-Hajib, as has been recorded in the account of Sultan Raziyyat. For this reason, Jamal-ud-Din, Yā-kūt, was martyred, and the throne passed to Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah '.

On the day of rendering fealty at the Küshk [the

In some copies, Sar-i-Jamadar [Sar-i-Jamah-dar?] or Head of the Wardrobe, and, in one good copy, Shart-badar.

• This place is generally mentioned in connexion with Banian and the Kārlūgh Turks. The word might be spelt with g-Gūjāh. The majority of copies and the oldest have كوفياء but one has كوحان and a second كوفياء The

E likewise may be intended for See list of victories, page 627.

7 At pages 304 and 333, our author says the Ghūris are Tājiks, or Tāziks -i. e. descendants of Arabs born in 'Ajam-but here he evidently applies the term as it originally means, and Ghūris to natives of Ghūr only. The compilers of "Pathán" dynasties may see that such a term as theirs never occurs in any Muhammadan History. Tājiks are not Scythians, I beg leave to say.

• The "affliction" that appears to have troubled him was ambition and sedition, as may be gathered from the statement in the account of Malik

Altuniah, just related, and a little farther on.

• The modern copies of the text have an additional sentence and a verse here, but it is evidently an interpolation: they are as follows:-" As sovereignty turned its face from Sultan Raziyyat, on this account, a wag gives these lines :---

> 'Sovereignty from her robe's skirt turned away, When it perceived black dust on the hem thereof."

Our author, who was resident at her Court, does not attempt to make us believe that Sultan Raziyyat was guilty of any criminal familiarity with the Abyssinian, although more modern writers do insinuate it, but, I believe, without reason.

inflicted several wounds on the <u>Kh</u>wājah, Muhazzab-ud-Din, the Wazir, but he got away from them, wounded as he was, and made his escape.

#### XIII. MALIK BADR-UD-DĪN, SUNKAR 6-I-RŪMĪ.

Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, was a Rūmi [of Rūm—Rūmiliah] by origin, and some of the trustworthy have related after this manner, that he was the son of a Musalmān and had fallen into slavery; but he was a man of exceedingly good disposition, with comeliness and dignity, of admirable morality, humble, and endowed with kindness and laudable qualities for winning men.

When the Sultan [I-val-timish] first purchased him, he became Tasht-dar [Ewer-bearer], and, after he had performed that office for some time, he became Bahlah-dar [Bearer of the Privy Purse]. Subsequently, he became Shahnah [Superintendent] of the Zarrad Khanah of Budā'ūn ; and, after some farther time, he rose to be Nā-ib Amir-i-Ākhur [Deputy Lord of the Stable], and served the Sultan in every capacity, and did approved services. After he became Amir-i-Akhur, he used never to be absent from the gate of the royal stable for a moment save through unavoidable necessity; and, whether on the move or stationary, he used to be always present in attendance at the threshold of sovereignty. Whilst the fortress of Gwaliyur was being invested, he was pleased to show such goodness and countenance towards the writer of these words, and to treat him with such honour and respect. that the impression of such benevolence will never be effaced from his heart. May the Almighty have mercy on him!

When the sovereignty passed to Sultan Raziyyat, the fief of Buda'un was given him; and, in the year 638 H., at the time that Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Aet-kin, was

<sup>•</sup> Sunkar, in the Rumi [Turkish] dialect, is said to signify a black-eyed falcon, which lives to a great age, and to have the same meaning as Shunghar or Shunkar.

<sup>7</sup> See note 4, page 732.

Whilst I-yal-timish held that fief before he came to the throne. The office was the same as that of Sar-i-Jān-dār. ee note 7, page 603.

<sup>9</sup> On the 8th of Muharram, 638 H

assassinated, in the reign of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din. Bahram Shāh, the latter summoned Malik Badr-ud-Din. Sunkar. from Buda'un, and conferred upon him the office of Amir-i-Hājib. When Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Altūniah, of Tabarhindah, along with Sultan Raziyyat, resolved to march upon the capital, and they arrived in the vicinity of Dihli. in the quelling of that sedition, Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, performed excellent services; but, after a short time, disagreement arose between him and the Khwaiah, Muhazzabud-Din, the Wazir, through a trifling cause which it behoveth not to mention. This irritation continued to increase, and, on this account, the Khwajah, Muhazzab-ud-Din 1, incited the Sultan against him, and the Sultan's confidence in Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, departed, and his faith in the Sultan likewise ceased. He [Badr-ud-Din Sunkar] convoked the great men of the capital, for the purpose [of discussing] a change in the government, at the mansion of Sayyid Tāj-ud-Din, Mūsāwi, on Monday, the 14th<sup>2</sup> of the month of Safar, 639 H. The Khwajah, Muhazzab-ud-Din, gave intimation to the Sultan of this circumstance, and the Sultan mounted, and called upon Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, to give up his intentions. He joined the Sultan; and, on that same day, he was sent off [on his way] to Budā'ūn.

After some time, the decree of destiny having gone forth, it brought him back to the capital again, without having received orders to return, and he came to the city of Dihli, and alighted at the dwelling of Malik Kutb-ud-Din [Husain, son of 'Ali, the Ghūri]—on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—thinking that perhaps, under his protection, he might obtain mercy. A mandate was issued from the sovereign's Court so that they seized him, and he was cast into prison. He continued in imprisonment and confinement for some time, and, in the end, on the night of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the "upright officer" in ELLIOT, referred to in note 6, page 641.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some copies here, as well as under the reign, disagree about this date. Some have the 10th, and some, the 17th, but two of the best copies have here, as well as previously, the 14th of Şafar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The particulars of this affair have been already given under the reign, pages 652 and 653. Here likewise is additional proof, were any required, to show who the parties were, and who betrayed Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar.

Wednesday, the 14th of the month of Jamadi-ul-Awwal, 639 H., he attained martyrdom. The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

### XIV. MALIK TAJ-UD-DĪN, SANJAR-I-ĶĪĶ-LUĶ.

Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Ķīķ-luķ, was a thorough man, and his native country was <u>Khifchāk</u>. He was a person of vast energy, manliness, sagacity, stateliness, gallantry, and valour, and in all endowments he had reached the acme. He was of great rectitude and continence, and no intoxicating drink was ever allowed to come near him.

The august Sultan [I-yal-timish] had purchased him from the Khwājah, Jamāl-ud-Din-i-Nadimān; and, in the beginning of the Sultan's reign, he became Jāma-dār [Keeper of the Wardrobe]; and, after some time, he became Shaḥnah [Superintendent] of the Stable, and in every department he performed distinguished services for the Sultan.

When the <u>Shamsi</u> reign came to a termination, and the throne devolved on Sultān Raziyyat, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Ķiķ-luķ, became feudatory of Baran, and was appointed to proceed at the head of a body of troops towards the fortress of Gwāliyūr, and in <u>Sha'bān</u>, 635 H., the writer of these words, the servant of the victorious dynasty, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, in company with Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Ķiķ-luķ, came out of the fortress of Gwāliyūr and proceeded, and presented himself at the Court of Sultān Raziyyat. On the road Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar, showed

<sup>4</sup> See note 8, page 654.

There must have been some reason why he returned to the capital—probably to sue for pardon in person—and our author could, evidently, have said more, had he chosen to do so. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, son of 'Ali, the Ghūri, is the same venerable chief as mentioned, at pages 658 and 661. He was one of the greatest of I-yal-timish's Maliks, and his name is entered in the list of them at the end of his reign. He too was made away with, in some mysterious manner, during the reign of that paragon of perfection, according to our author, Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, in 653 H. The events, which induced him to seek an asylum in Hind, will be found in the account of the Mughal irruption in the next Section.

<sup>•</sup> See note •, page 732.

<sup>7</sup> See page 643, and note 4.

such goodness towards the author as cannot be expressed. At the time of removing from Gwāliyūr he carried two chests of books, the private property of this servant, upon one of his own camels, and brought them to Mahā'ūn, and, upon other occasions, had treated the author with manifold kindness—May the Almighty make him be acceptable, and have mercy upon him!

On his reaching the capital again, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar, became feudatory of the district of Sursuti; and, when the throne of sovereignty came to Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh, he performed abundant services. On the termination of the Mu'izzi reign, and the throne passing to 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, he became feudatory of Budā'ūn\*; and. in the year 640 H., he overthrew the independent [Hindu] tribes of Kathehr of Buda'un, and performed many exploits against the infidels, and founded Jāmi' Masjids in several places, and established pulpits for the Khatibs 1. He assembled a numerous body of forces-8000 horse and foot, besides pāviks with horses 2-and his determination was to lead a force towards Kālinjar and Mahobah, and reduce that tract of country to subjection. A certain party [however] began to be envious of the number of his following, the quantity and efficiency of his war material, the greatness of his power, the awe in which he was held. and his intrepidity in leading troops. The deceitful promptings of the spirit of devilry moved them, so that they prepared some poison placed in a betel leaf and administered it to him, and disease of the bowels supervened, and, from that disorder, in a few days, he joined the Almighty's mercy. May the Most High God accept, in behalf of that amiable Malik, in repayment of the many debts of gratitude he owed him, the prayers of this frail one!

One among those debts of gratitude due to him is this. In the year 640 H., when the author resolved upon leaving

<sup>•</sup> In the oldest copy of the text the name of this city and district is always written with Budāṇūn—the middle n is nasal, and this is the correct mode of writing the word.

There seem to have been numbers of the Mew tribe in that part in those days.

<sup>1</sup> The preacher who pronounces the Khutbah already explained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All the copies of the text are alike here—mounted payiks is a novel term I think—considering that the word means foot-man.

the capital city of Dihli, on a journey to Lakhanawati, and sent off his family and dependents, in advance, towards Budā'ūn, that Malik of excellent disposition assigned a stipend for his family and children, and treated them with all sorts of honour and reverence. Five months afterwards, when the author, following after his family, reached Budā'ūn, Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar, beetowed upon him so many gifts, and treated him with such honour as cannot be contained within the area of writing. He was pleased to assign the author a fief with a residence at Buda'un. together with ample benefits and favours: but, as destiny, and the means of livelihood, was attracting him to the country of Lakhanawati, and the decree of fate was carrying him, the writer proceeded thither. May Almighty God accept in his favour the kindness [towards the author] of that Malik of good disposition!

## XV. MALIK TĀJ-UD-DĪN, SANJAR-I-KURET KHĀN 4.

Malik Kuret Khān was a Turk of Khifchāk, of great manhood and courage, energy and wisdom, and among warriors, for warlike accomplishments, he was the peerless in all the ranks of the army of Islam; and, in horsemanship and skill in arms, he had no equal. For example, he would have two horses under saddle, one of which he would ride. and the other he would lead after him, and thus used to dash on, and, whilst the horses were galloping, he would leap from this horse to that with agility, would return to this first one again, so that, during a gallop, he used several times to mount two horses. In archery he was so skilful that no enemy in battle, and no animal in the chase used to escape his arrow. He never used to take along with him into any Shikar-gah [chase] either leopard, hawk, or sporting dog: he brought down all with his own arrow; and in every fastness in which he imagined there would be game he would be in advance of the whole of his retinue.

Our author was evidently unable to remain at Dihli, in safety, after the attack made upon him by the Khwājah Muhazzab-ud-Din's creatures, and hence resolved to retire for a time. See under the reign of 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, pages 659 to 662.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is the only Malik among twenty-five who was not a slave.

He was the Shaḥnah [Superintendent] of rivers and vessels; and this author had a great regard and affection for him. May Almighty God immerse him in forgiveness! When the Turks of the [late] Sultān [I-yal-timish] first rose against the Khwājah, Muhazzab-ud-Dīn, the Wazīr, on Wednesday, the 2nd of the month of Jamādī-ul-Awwal, 640 H., the ring-leader of the party in that outbreak was Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Kuret Khān; and a slave of the Khwājah, Muhazzab-ud-Dīn, Mihtar Jattā [by name], a Farrāsh [carpet-spreader, &c.], wounded the Malik on the face with a sword in such manner that the mark of it ever after remained.

After the Khwājah, Muhazzab-ud-Din, was put to death, Malik Sanjar-i-Kuret Khān became Shaḥnah [Superintendent] of the elephants, and, after that, was made Sar-i-Jān-dār [Head of the Jān-dārs]. Subsequently, he was made feudatory of Budā'ūn, and, some time after that again, obtained the fief of Awadh. In that part he undertook many holy expeditions against infidels, achieved numerous gallant exploits, and reduced several powerful independent [Hindū] tribes. From Awadh he proceeded into Bihār and plundered that territory. Suddenly, when before the preserved city of Bihār, an arrow struck him in a mortal place, and he attained martyrdom. The mercy of the Almighty be upon him!

# XVI. MALIK SAIF-UD-DĪN, BAT KHĀN-I-Ī-BAK, THE KHITĀ-Ī. Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Bat Khān-i-Ī-bak, the Khitā-ī, was a

If word used is —e—ocean, sea, great river, which last meaning must be intended here, as the Dihli kings had no more to do with the sea and seagoing vessels than Sher Shāh the Afghān had, who is said, by a modern translator, to have "built great ships to convey Pilgrims to Makkah," by land, possibly.

It was when the "upright officer"—the Khwājah, met with his deserts in the plain of the Rānī's have, or reservoir.

<sup>7</sup> From this it is apparent that, after the fall of the Khalj dynasty, and the death of I-yal-timish, Bihār could not have remained in Musalmān hands. We hear of the fief of Karah, Mānik-pūr, Awadh, and Lakhanawaṭi, but never of Bihār, which must have been recovered by the Hindūs in the same way as Kālinjar, Mahobah, and other places which, previously, our author says, were conquered, and as mentioned in the lists of victories of some of the Sultāns. The particulars respecting this chief's death before Bihār, which would have been so interesting to us, our author either considered not worth mentioning, or has purposely suppressed.

person of very excellent qualities, gentle, humble, and of exemplary piety, and, in skill and warlike accomplishments, had become a master, and for manliness and sagacity was famed.

The august Sultān [I-yal-timish] purchased him in the beginning of his reign, and he became Sar Jāma-dār [Head Keeper of the Wardrobe]. Subsequently, in the reign of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, he became Sar-i-Jān-dār [Head of the Jān-dārs] \*, and Kuhrām and Sāmānah became his fief. Afterwards he obtained the fief of Baran, and was appointed to proceed at the head of [a body of] forces for the purpose of taking possession of the territory of Uchchah and Multān \*. During that expedition, one of his sons, who, at the very outset of his youth, had become a proficient in manliness and skill, together with his horse, was drowned in the river Sind.

Some time after his return from thence, during the reign of the Sultan of Sultans, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, the Khitā-i, became Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bār], and, in the service of the Sublime Court, performed distinguished services 1.

He served for a considerable time during the Sultan's reign, and during the expedition to Santūr he suddenly sustained a fall from his horse and was killed. The mercy and pardon of the Almighty be upon him!

- See the printed text: the editors are sorely puzzled here.
- This expedition is not referred to under the reign, but probably has reference, in some way, to the advance of the Dihli forces to the Biāh, the Mughals having appeared before Uchchah mentioned at page 667. Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, was probably sent to Uchchah to take charge of it after the death of Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr, son of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, mentioned at page 727.

There are two other persons named Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, an account of one of whom has been given at page 729, and the other is Ulugh Khān's brother, an account of whom will be found farther on.

- <sup>1</sup> See page 699. He appears to have become Wakil-i-Dar, when 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān was disgraced, from what is stated in the account of Ulugh Khān farther on, in which the events of this period are much more detailed than under the different reigns.
- <sup>2</sup> On Sunday, the 6th of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 655 H., the 12th year of Sultan Naşir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah's reign.

# XVII. MALIK TÄJ-UD-DĪN, SANJAR-I-TEZ KHĀN.

Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Tez <u>Kh</u>ān, is a Kara<u>kh</u>i [of Kara<u>kh</u>] <sup>3</sup>, and is exceedingly impetuous, manly, sagacious, and intelligent, and is endowed with many excellent qualities, and numberless worthy habits. He is famed for his valour and military talents, and distinguished for his amiable disposition.

The august Sultān [I-yal-timish] purchased him; and, in the reign of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Bahrām Shāh, he became Amīr-i-Ākhur. Subsequently, in the reign of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, he was made Nā-ib Amīr-i-Ḥājib [Deputy Lord Chamberlain] and Jhanjhānah was made his fief; and, when the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in felicity, proceeded towards Nāg-awr, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Tez Khān, who was particularly devoted to his interest and friendship, received charge of the fief of Kasmandi and Mandiānah, of the country of Hindūstān, and there he continued some time. When the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, again joined the Court, Malik Tez Khān again returned to the capital, and Baran was made his fief, and there he remained a considerable time.

In the year 654 H., he became Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bār] to the sovereign of Islām, and obtained the fief of Budā'ūn. Since Malik Kutlugh Khān remained in Awadh [as feudatory], contrary to the commands of the Sublime Court, and, with the forces of Hindūstān advanced

<sup>\*</sup> Karkh is the name of a village near Baghdad, but the name of this place is pronounced Karakh, with the difference of a vowel point. It is the name of a place [township] in Mawar-un-Nahr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In Rajab, 647 H., shortly after the marriage of Ulugh Khān's daughter to the Sultan.

A district in Awadh, a few miles N.W. of Lakhnau, also written Kasmandhi in some copies of the text.

Who married Sulfan Nāṣir-ud-Din's mother, and who appears to have held the fief in conjunction with her husband. These matters are related in quite a different way under the reign of Nāṣir-ud-Din, page 703, There our author says that Malik Bak-Tamur, the Rukni [i. e. of Sulfan Rukn-ud-Din, Firūz Shāh's, reign] was sent from the capital to expel Kutlugh Khān from Awadh, and that Bak-Tamur was defeated and slain; and that, upon this, the Sulfan had to take the field with Ulugh Khān. See also in the account of Ulugh Khān farther on, where these events are again differently related.

towards Budā'ūn, Malik Tez Khān, at the head of a body of troops, was nominated, along with Malik Bak-tam-i-Aor Khān, to march from the capital for the purpose of repelling the troops of Hindūstān. When the two armies met within the limits of Sihrā-mū', Malik Tez Khān was under the necessity of retiring, and he returned to the capital again. The fief of Awadh was now given to him, and he proceeded into that part, and brought that territory under his control; and gave the independent communities of infidels of Hindūstān a thorough chastisement, and extorted tribute from them.

Malik Tez <u>Kh</u>ān returned to the sublime presence in conformity with orders, and, at all times, kept the neck of service within the yoke of obedience; and, in the year in which this history was written, namely the year 658 H., he returned to the capital in conformity with the sublime command, and by the counsel of the <u>Khān-i-Mu'azzam</u>, Ulugh <u>Khān-i-A'zam</u>, at the head of the [contingents forming the] centre [division] of the forces, and those at the capital, marched towards the Koh-pāyah of Mewāt, and performed distinguished services, and returned again to the presence of the Court, the asylum of the universe.

On a second occasion, in attendance at the illustrious stirrup of the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, he again proceeded to the Koh-pāyah of Mewāt on an expedition and holy war against the Hindūs, and displayed great gallantry and activity. On his return to the capital, he was distinguished by being presented with ample honours; and he returned again towards [his fief of] Awadh. May the Almighty God preserve and continue the servants of the Nāṣirī dynasty in power and dominion. Amīn!

<sup>7</sup> A place west'of the Ghograh river, in Lat. 28° 19', Long. 80° 24', the Sera-Mow of the Indian Atlas.

The available troops at the capital probably. The kalb—or centre contingents forming it—has been explained in a previous note. See also the latter part of the year 657 H., under Nāṣir-ud-Dīn's reign, page 714.

Our author ends his history, under Nāṣir-ud-Dīn's reign, with the force leaving upon this expedition, on the 13th of Ṣafar, 658 H., and the events of the following day. These operations, on this occasion, were against the Mew or Mewrā. See page 715, and in the account of Ulugh Khān farther on, where these events are related in a totally different manner.

## XVIII. MALIK I<u>KH</u>TIYĀR-UD-DĪN, YŪZ-BAK-I-ŢU<u>GH</u>RIL KHĀN.

Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Yūz-Bak-i-Tughril Khān, was a native of Khifchāk, and the slave of the august Sulţān, Shams-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Dīn, I-yal-timish; and during the investment of the preserved fortress of Gwāliyūr he was Nā-ib Chāshnī-gīr [Deputy Comptroller of the Royal Kitchen]. When Sulţān Rukn-ud-Dīn, Fīrūz Shāh, came to the throne, the office of Amīr i-Majlis [Lord of the Council] was entrusted to Malik Yūz-Bak, and he was confirmed therein. Subsequently, the Shāḥnagī [Superintendency] of the elephants was assigned to him; and, during this reign, he became especially distinguished by the Sulţān's intimacy and favour.

When the Turkish slaves of the Sultan broke out into rebellion in the plain of Tarā'in ', and a number of grandees, such as Tāj-ul-Mulk, Muḥammad [Maḥmūd?], the Secretary, Bahā-ud-Din, Ḥasan [Ḥusain?] -i-Ash'ari, Karim-ud-Din-i-Zāhid [the Recluse], and Nizām-ud-Din, the Shafurkāni, were put to death, one of the ringleaders of the faction was Malik Yūz-Bak-i-Ṭughril Khān.²

When the throne came to Sulţān Raziyyat, he was made Amir-i-Ākhur [Lord of the Stable], and on Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Bahrām Shāh's, ascending the throne, and when, subsequently, a party of the Turkish Maliks and Amirs invested the city of Dihli', Malik Yūz-Bak, along with Malik Ķarā-Ķash, came into the city and attached themselves to Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Bahrām Shāh's party', on Tuesday, the last day of the month of Sha'bān, 639 H., and, upon several occasions, rendered approved service. Mihtar-i-Mubārak Shāh, Farrukhi, who had acquired entire power over the Sulţān, and had caused the Turkish Maliks and Amirs to be expelled from the capital, instigated the Sulţān in such manner that he seized Malik Yūz-Bak and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The scene of Rae Pithora's victory over the forces of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sam, and of his own total overthrow in the following year—the present Talawari.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See under the reign at page 635.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See the account of Malik Kara-Kash Khan, page 747.

<sup>4</sup> See under the reign, pages 658 and 659.

Malik Karā-Ķash, and they were imprisoned on Wednesday, the 9th of the month of Ramazān, 639 H. When the city was taken, on Tuesday, the 8th of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, Malik Yūz-Bak was liberated of

When Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, ascended the throne, Tabarhindah was entrusted to his charge, and, subsequently. Lohor was made his fief. There he continued some time, when a feud arose between him and Malik Nasir-ud-Din, Muhammad of Bindar, and, subsequently, he began to rebel against the Court, for rashness and imperiousness were implanted in his nature and constitution, until Ulugh Khān-i-Mu'azzam, unexpectedly, brought him to the Court, and he was made much of. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam likewise made a representation for the royal consideration so that Malik Yūz-Bak was distinguished by the Sultan's favour, and his disobedient conduct was pardoned. Subsequently, for some time, Kinnauj was his fief, when he again began to act in a contumacious manner, and Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain [son of 'Ali, the Ghūri], on whom be peace!—was despatched from the capital, at the head of a body of troops, against him. He reduced Malik Yūz-Bak to duty and obedience, and brought him back to the sublime Court again 8.

After some time had passed, Awadh was entrusted to his charge. When he again returned to the capital, the territory of Lakhaṇawaṭi was made over to him?. After he went to that part, and brought that country under his jurisdiction, hostility arose between him and the Rāe of Jāj-nagar. The leader of the forces of Jāj-nagar was a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See page 747.

Malik Karā-Kash was liberated at the same time.

<sup>7</sup> The same person, no doubt, who is styled <u>Chā-ūsh</u>, or Pursuivant, in the list of I-yal-timish's Maliks at page 626.

There is nothing of all this referred to either under the reign of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, or in the account of Ulugh Khān.

This also is not mentioned under the two last reigns. STEWART, in his "HISTORY OF BENGAL," page 65, states that "Ikhtyar Addeen Toghril Khan, Mulk [mulk signifies a country] Yuzbek," succeeded "Sief Addeen Yugan Tunt," who died in 651 H., as governor of Bengal, but, as he makes a mistake of only twenty years respecting the death of Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Yughān-Tat, it may be imagined what dependence can be placed upon the statements in that work.

person, by name, Sāban-tar [Sāwan-tara?] 10, the son-in-law of the Rāe, who, during the time of Malik Izz-ud-Dīn, Tughril-i-Tughān-Khān, had advanced to the bank of the river 1 of Lakhaṇawaṭi, and, having shown the greatest audacity, had driven the Musalmān forces as far as the gate [of the city] of Lakhaṇawaṭi 2. In Malik Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak's time, judging from the past, he [the Jāj-nagar leader] manifested great boldness, and fought, and was defeated. Again, another time, Malik Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak fought an engagement with the Rāe of Jāj-nagar, and again came out victorious.

On a third occasion , Malik Yūz-Bak sustained a slight reverse, and a white elephant, than which there was no other more valuable in that part, and which was ruttish, got out of his hands in the field of battle, and fell into the hands of the infidels of Jāj-nagar.

The following year, however, Malik Yūz-Bak asked assistance from the Court of Dihli, and, then, marched an army from Lakhaṇawaṭi into the territory of Umurdan, and, unexpectedly, reached the Rāe's capital, which city [town] they style Umurdan'. The Rāe of that place retired before Malik Yūz-Bak, and the whole of the Rāe's family, dependents, and followers, and his wealth, and elephants, fell into the hands of the Musalmān forces.

On his return to Lakhaṇawaṭi, Malik Yūz-Bak began to act contumaciously towards the Court, and assumed three canopies of state, red, black, and white. He then marched an army from Lakhaṇawaṭi towards Awadh, and entered the city of Awadh; and directed that the Khuṭbah should

<sup>10</sup> Evidently the Sanskrit—सामंत—brave, heroic, and—तल or तर—nature, bottom.

A branch of the Ganges is probably meant here, as it is styled in the original, the Ab-i-Lakhanawati.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See page 740.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Compare STEWART, [page 65], who states that Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak, "invaded the dominions of that prince"—the Rāe of Jāj-nagar, and "was completely defeated, and lost all his elephants; among which was a white one, esteemed a great curiosity."

<sup>4</sup> This evidently refers to the capital of Jāj-nagar, and not a different territory—Sylhet—as STEWART makes it out.

In the oldest copies the word is ارمردن as above, but in others ارمردن Armurdan or Urmardan, and ارمردن—Azmurdan or Uzmurdan. See note 4, page 587, para. 8.

be read for him, and styled himself Sultan Mughis-ud-Din. After a couple of weeks, one among the Turkish Amirs, belonging to the troops of the sovereign which were [located] in the vicinity of [the territory of] Awadh, unawares, pushed forward into Awadh [giving out] that the Sultan's troops were at hand. Malik Yūz-Bak, discomfited, embarked on board a vessel and returned to Lakhaṇawaṭi again.

This rebellious act on the part of Malik Yūz-Bak, the whole of the people of the realm of Hindūstān—both clergy and laity, Musalmāns and Hindūs —condemned, that he should have become a traitor to his sovereign, and displayed such hostility and sedition. Undoubtedly the evil consequences attending such conduct befell him, and he fell from foundation and root. After he returned from Awadh to Lakhaṇawaṭi, he determined upon marching into Kāmrūd, and transported an army across the river Beg-mati. As the Rāe of Kāmrūd had not the power to resist him, he retired precipitately some whither. Malik Yūz-Bak took the city of Kāmrūd and possessed himself of countless wealth and treasure, to such extent, that the amount and weight thereof cannot be contained within the area of record.

The author, at the time he was sojourning at Lakhan-awaṭi, had heard from travellers whose statements are to be relied upon, that from the reign of Gushtāsib<sup>7</sup>, Shāh of 'Ajam, who had invaded Chīn, and had come towards Hindūstān by that route [by way of Kāmrūd], twelve hundred hoards of treasure, all sealed, which were [there deposited], and any portion of which wealth and treasures not one of the Rāes had availed himself of, the whole fell into the hands of the Musalmān troops. The reading of the Khutbah, and Friday religious service were instituted

<sup>5</sup> This remark would seem to show that the Hindus were actually begun to be thought something of, or that even infidels reprobated such conduct.

<sup>6</sup> Also written Beg-hatī and Bak-matī, as in the account of Muḥammad, son of Bakht-yār, and is the same river. The old capital of Kāmrūd was Komata-pūr on the west bank of the Darlah river, and the mention of it and the Begmatī here tends to elucidate what I have before stated respecting the route taken by Muḥammad, son of Bakht-yār, referred to at page 561. See also notes 8 and 3 in the same page.

<sup>7</sup> Garshāsib, as at page 561, in some copies.

in Kāmrūd, and signs of the people of Islām appeared there. But of what avail was all this, when the whole, from phrensy, he gave to the winds? for the wise have said that, "the seeking to perform overmuch work hath never turned out fortunate for the seeker." Distich:—

"Wealth is best which will be falling and rising: Wealth will be quick in springing up."

After Kāmrūd was taken [possession of], so they related, several times the Rāe sent confidential persons [to Malik Yūz-Bak], saying: "Thou hast subdued this territory, and no Malik of the Musalmān people ever before obtained such success. Now do thou return, and replace me upon the throne, and I will send to thee tribute every year so many bags of gold, and so many elephants, and I will continue the Khutbah unchanged, and the Musalmān stamped coin as established "."

Malik Yūz-Bak did not become willing to agree to this in any way; and the Rāe gave command that all his train, and the peasantry, should go to Malik Yūz-Bak, and get him to pledge his right hand [for their safety], and buy up all the grain procurable in [the city and country of?] Kāmrūd, at whatever price he might require, so that the Musalmān troops might have no provisions left. They did so accordingly, and bought up from them all the produce that was obtainable at a heavy rate.

Depending on the cultivated state and flourishing condition of the country, Malik Yūz-Bak did not lay up any stores of grain; and, when the time of the spring harvest came round, the Rāe, with the whole of his subjects, rose, and opened the water dykes all around, and brought Malik Yūz-Bak and the troops of Islām to a state of helplessness, in such wise, that they were near perishing through destitution. They now took counsel together, and came to the conclusion that it was necessary to retreat, otherwise they would die of starvation.

<sup>8</sup> Out of this passage STEWART [History of Bengal, page 66] makes the following, which is rather a free translation, certainly—"Mulk [Malik probably: Mulk means country] Yuzbek ordered a mosque to be built: and, after the accustomary prayers and thanksgiving, for the success of the Mohammedan arms, had been read, he was proclaimed Sovereign of the United Kingdoms of Bengal and Kamroop"!

They accordingly set out from Kāmrūd with the intention of proceeding towards Lakhanawati. The route through the plain [country] was flooded with water, and occupied by the Hindus. The Musalmans obtained a guide to bring them out of that country by conducting them towards the skirt of the mountains. After they had proceeded some few stages, they got entangled among passes and defiles, and narrow roads, and both their front and rear was seized by the Hindus. In a narrow place a fight took place in front of the leading rank between two elephants; the force fell into confusion, the Hindus came upon them from every side, and Musalman and Hindu mingled pell mell together. Suddenly an arrow struck Malik Yūz-Bak. who was mounted on an elephant, in the breast, and he fell, and was made prisoner; and all his children, family, and dependents, and the whole of his force, were made captive.

When they carried Malik Yūz-Bak before the Rāe, he made a request that they would bring his son to him; and, when they brought his son to him, he placed his face to the face of his son, and yielded his soul to God?. The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

# XIX. MALIK TÁJ-UD-DĨN, ARSALÁN <u>KH</u>ĂN, SANJAR-I-<u>CH</u>AST.

Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Arsalān Khān, was an impetuous and warlike man, and had attained the acme of capacity and intrepidity. The august Sultān [I-yal-timish] had purchased him from Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Abū-Bikr, the Ḥabash [Abyssinian]. Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk had brought him from 'Adan'; and some have narrated on this wise, that he was one among the sons of the Khwārazmi Amirs, in the territory of Shām [Syria], and Miṣr [Egypt]², and had been carried away captive from those parts and sold to Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Abū-Bikr.

When the Sultan first purchased him, he became Jamahdar <sup>3</sup> [Keeper of the Wardrobe], and in that office he served

<sup>•</sup> See pages 769 to 776, farther on, where our author makes a totally different statement from this, and also in the account of Ulugh Khān farther on.

<sup>1</sup> Anglicized, Aden.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See page 230.

<sup>3</sup> Some copies have Khāşah-dār, instead of Jāmah-dār.

the Sultān some time. When the period of the <u>Sh</u>amsi sovereignty terminated, and the reign of Sultān Rukn-ud-Din, Firūz <u>Sh</u>āh, also came to its conclusion, he became <u>Chāsh</u>ni-gir [Comptroller of the Royal Kitchen] in the reign of Sultān Raziyyat. After some time, he obtained the fief of Balārām <sup>4</sup>.

During his own lifetime, the august 5 Sultan [I-yaltimish] conferred upon him, in marriage, a daughter of Malik [Sultan] Baha-ud-Din, Tughril, of Bhianah, which territory and adjacent parts were, in the beginning of the Musalman rule, rendered flourishing and cultivated by him. By this connexion, in the reign of Sultan Nasir-ud-Din, Mahmūd Shāh-May his sovereignty continue!-Bhianah was made Arsalan Khan's fief. Some years subsequent to this, the dignity of Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Darbarl was entrusted to him. Subsequently, when the preserved city of Tabarhindah was recovered from the dependents of Sher Khān [Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Sher Khān-i-Sunkarl, it was made over to his charge, in the month of Zi-Hijjah, 651 H.7 After that, when by the sublime order of the Court, the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, had departed, and gone to Nāg-awr 8, and proposed to return again to the service of the Court, Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar attached himself to his service and accompanied him 9. When they arrived at the capital, Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar received honour at the Court, the asylum of the universe, and returned again to Tabarhindah<sup>1</sup>.

4 In Awadh. In some copies Balaram or Balram.

<sup>5</sup> Nearly every copy of the text here, the Calcutta Text included, has the words سعيد شهيد مهيد نهيد للها august martyr, but it is a blunder of course.

6 It does not appear how I-yal-timish became possessed of the right to dispose of another man's daughter; and we must suppose that, after Bahā-ud-Dīn, Tughril's death, his family, in some way, came under Kutb-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak's authority, and from him to I-yal-timish. See the account of Tughril, page 544.

7 See page 695.

This occurred some time after Ulugh Khān had been banished from the Court, and directed to proceed to Hānsī. See in the account of Ulugh Khān arther on.

<sup>9</sup> Joined in the outbreak against 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān, in the middle of he year 652 H.

1 This must have been early in 653 H.—in Muharram probably—as Ulugh Khān, having recovered power again, returned to Dihli, in company with the

Malik Sher Khān, having come back again from Turkistān, determined upon [re-]possessing himself of Tabarhindah. He brought a large number of cavalry and infantry from the side of Lohor along with him against Tabarhindah, and, at night, appeared before the walls of the fortress. Sher Khān's troops dispersed themselves in the town, and about the fortress; and when, in the morning, the world became illumined with the sun's light, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, with his sons and principal retainers, attacked him As his cavalry had become dispersed, Sher Khān was under the necessity of retiring. When Sher Khān, subsequently to this affair, came to the sublime Court, in conformity with the royal command, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar likewise presented himself there 2.

He continued to sojourn at the capital for some time, after which Awadh was entrusted to his charge. On several occasions, Kutlugh Khān³, with those Amīrs who had confederated themselves with him, began to harass the borders of Awadh and Karah. Arsalān Khān averted this annoyance: he led a body of troops against them, and compelled that faction to disperse. After that, a slight change in his mind, antagonistic to the Court, became manifest; and the sublime standards moved towards Awadh⁴ and Karah for the purpose of suppressing his designs. When the sublime standards cast their shadow upon that country, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar retired before the [contingents forming the] centre division of the royal forces, and he despatched confidential persons, and sought safety for himself, under the

Sultan, on the 9th of Zi-Ḥijjah, 652 H. See also the account of Sher Khan farther on.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See page 793. Sher Khān's fief of Tabarhindah was restored to him, together with others he had previously held. The year is not mentioned, but, from the occurrence of other events, it appears to have been early in 653 H.

The second husband of the Sultan's mother. The fief of Awadh was assigned to them, our author says, on the 6th of Muharram, 653 H. See page 701, and note. The statements there and in the account of Ulugh Khān, farther on, differ greatly from this.

<sup>4</sup> In one of the oldest copies of the text, and a more modern one, "Awadh and the Koh-pāyah." The reason for this movement is very differently stated in the account of Ulugh Khān. Arsalān Khān is said there to have delayed joining the Sultān's army concentrated before Dihlī, on the invasion of Sind by the Mughals at the end of 655 II., and Kutlugh Khān—there styled Kulij Khān, Mas'ūd-1-Jānī—had done the same, and, consequently, they were in a state of apprehension.

ipulation that, when the Sultān's troops returned [to the apital], he, Arsalān Khān, along with Kutlugh Khān's, son f [the late] Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī, should present themelves there. Their supplication was graciously complied ith; and, when the royal army returned again to the bode of sovereignty and illustrious seat of government, bihli, after some time, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar again ttached himself to the sublime Court, and was distinuished by ample honour and deference.

After he had remained in attendance at the Court for ome time, in the year 657 II., the city of Karah 6 was ssigned to him as a fief, and, in the beginning of that same ear, he led an army from Karah with the intention of illaging the country of Malwah and Kalinjar. After he ad advanced some stages, he turned aside and marched owards the territory of Lakhanawati. At this time, the cudatory of Lakhanawati [Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Tuz-Bakil had proceeded towards the country of Bang and eft the city of Lakhanawati empty [of troops]. Arsalan Chān-i-Saniar had not unfolded this secret to a single erson among his Amirs and Maliks, his sons and slaves, hat he was entertaining the intention of marching against akhanawati, and he had neither permission nor orders rom the sublime Court for this undertaking. When he eached the frontier of that country, a number of his sons, Amirs, and slaves, discovered that which he had resolved 1 his mind, and they refused to follow him. As, however, here was no means of returning, out of necessity, they ccompanied him.

When Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Sanjar arrived before the gate of he city of Lakhaṇawaṭi, the inhabitants thereof took efuge within the walls [and defended themselves]. Annalists have stated on this wise, that, for a space of three lays, they fought, and, at the end of that time, Arsalān <u>Ch</u>ān-i-Sanjar took the city, and gave orders to sack it. The property, cattle, and Musalmān captives that fell into

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This second Kutlugh Khān cannot be correct, and does not refer to the ultān's step-father. The person here referred to, as stated in the previous ote, is, in some places, styled Kulij and Kuligh Khān. See also the List at age 673, and page 712, where he is styled Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd.

<sup>6</sup> See following note, para, third. This is not mentioned in the account of Vasir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah's reign.

the hands of his followers was very great; and, for a period of three days, that plunder, sack, and rapine was kept up. When that tumult had been allayed, and he had taken possession of the city, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki, who was the feudatory of Lakhaṇawaṭi, at the place he then was in, became acquainted with this misfortune. He returned from thence, and between him and Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar an engagement took place.

From the sublime Court an order granting the investiture of the government of Lakhaṇawaṭi had been [previously] issued to Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki', after that he had despatched, to the presence of the sublime Court, two elephants, valuable property, and precious things to a large amount.

Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar thus gained the upper hand, and Malik 'Izz-ud-Din', Balban-i-Yūz-Baki, became a captive, and it is so stated that he was martyred'. This much,

7 STEWART appears to have used the I.O.L. MS., No. 1952, of our author's work, for his History of Bengal as well as another mentioned subsequently; and, when I mention that, on the margin of that MS., which is quite correct, he has written, in pencil, that "this person"—from his being also named Balban, I suppose—"is The Vizier," it is not surprising that the HISTORY OF BENGAL, in that place, contains so many absurd errors.

8 One of the oldest and best copies has 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Balban, here, but in other places agrees with the above.

• Very great discrepancy occurs here, and in other places in this work, with respect to the history of Lakhanawatt, which is the more to be regretted because our author is the sole authority, as a contemporary writer, for the events of this early period. This discrepancy is occasioned chiefly from the loose manner in which he records important events, which may have appeared to him of minor consequence, and from the fact of his ment oning them in different places, with, very often, considerable difference in the details. Another cause of confusion is his omission of dates, and, as his history is brought to conclusion in 658 H., just six years of the reign of Sultan Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, is a perfect blank in the history of Muḥammadan India which no other writer has supplied.

Since I wrote note 5 to page 617, some further facts have been gleaned about the previous obscure period in the history of Lakhaṇawaṭi, viz. from the putting to death or butchery of Sulṭān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Din, 'Iwaẓ, the Khalj, by the eldest son of Sulṭān I-yal-timish—Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh [the first of that name]—who invaded his territory from Awadh whilst he was absent on an expedition into Bang and Kāmrūd, and had left the carital, the city of Lakhaṇawaṭi, denuded of troops, and the appointment, as feudatory, but of which the date is not given, of Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ī-bak-i-Yughān-Tat [Malik, No. V.], who die I there in 631 H.

I must, therefore, go back a little, in order to make the facts stand out a little more clearly; but, first, I must refer to Mr. Blochmann's "Contributions

that the author was aware of, as to the state of affairs in

to the Geography and History of Bengal," as there are some errors and discrepancies therein which require to be noticed and corrected.

At page 37 of Part I., he says the Muhammadan period of the history of Bengal may be "conveniently divided into five parts. I. The 'Initial period,' or reigns of the governors of Lak'hnautí appointed by the Dihlí sovereigns, from the conquest of Bengal by Muhammad Bakhtyár Khiljí [i.e. Ikhtiyär-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Bakht-yār-ud-Din, Mahmūd, the Khalj] A.D. 1203 to 1338 A.D."

In Part III. of his "Contributions," page 134 [See also APPENDIX D, page xxiv.], he criticizes my statement respecting the year of the conquest of Bengal by the Khalj chief, and says it was conquered in 594 H., or A.D. 1198, whilst A.D. 1203, mentioned in the preceding paragraph, is equivalent to 600 H.—a difference of only six years! He also calls these rulers "governors," and says they were "appointed by the Dihli sovereigns," but this is erroneous. The Khalj rulers, from the "conquest" to the acknowledgment of I-yaltimish's suzerainty by Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Din, 'Iwaz, in 622 H., were entirely independent of the Dihli rulers with the single exception of the mad-man, 'Alī-i-Mardān.

Mr. Blochmann also commences his 'Initial period' [Part I., page 38] with "Tughril" in 613 H., but 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, was the third feudatory of Lakhaṇawaṭī after the downfall of the Khalj sovereigns. Mr. Blochmann's List [condensed] is as follows:—

"Saifuddin Aibak. Dies at Lak'hnauti in 631 H.

"Tughril, 631 H., to 5th Zí Qa'dah, 642 H.

"Qamarud-dín Timur [?] [See page 742, note 6] Khán, governor from 5th Zí Qa'dah, 642, to 29th Shawwál, 644.

"Ikhtiyáruddín Yúzbak Tughril Khán, proclaims himself king under the title of Sultán Mughişuddín. Perishes in Kámrúp. No dates are given.

"Jaláluddín Mas'úd, Malik Jání Khiljí [!] Khán becomes governor, 18th Zí Qa'dah 656."

[Mr. Blochmann eschews izāfats, and criticizes my use of them as un-Persian. By not using an izāfat here, as is meant in the original, he turns Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd, into his father, Malik Jānī, who was killed in 634 H., and at page 206 of the Calcutta Printed Text, what Mr. Thomas styles the impossible name of Khilji Khān is not given, but خاصسها which is an error in the "official text," "officially imperfect" I suppose is meant—for لم المالية "Kuligh, in some copies, but Malik Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī, who is styled, at page 626 of this Translation [Calcutta Text, page 187, with معنى]. Shāh-zādah of Turkistān, was his father.]

"Izzuddín Balban, was governor in 657, in which year he was attacked by Tájuddín Arsalán Klián Sanjar i Khwárazmí, who, however, was captured or killed by 'Izzuddín. Tabq. p. 267 [in a foot-note]—Hence Tájuddín Arsalán Khán should not be put among the governors of Bengal."

[He must be put among the Sultans then, for he ruled some years. The "official text" here kills the wrong man. It was 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz' Baki, who was made captive by Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, and "some say was put to death." The certainty of this is proved from the fact that Tatār Khān, who now follows in Mr. Blochmann's list, was Arsālan Khān-i-Sanjar's son. 1

"Muhammad Arsalán Tatár Khán, son of Arsalán Khán Sanjar. He had been for some time governor, when the *emperor* Balban ascended the throne [664]. Baranl, p. 66. After a few years he was succeeded by—

that country, and of the events which happened in those parts, is here recorded. May Almighty God have mercy

"Tughril, who proclaimed himself king under the name of Sultán Mughíşuddín. His fate has been mentioned above. No dates are given."

See also note at page 589 of this translation.

In Part II. of his "Contributions," Mr. Blochmann varies the latter part of the above list; and, after "Muhammad Arsalán Tátár Khán," we have two additional names, "Sher Khán," "Amín Khán," and then Tughril [II.], Amín Khán's Ndib; but, as I do not propose, at present, to go into matters relating to the successor of Sulṭān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, I will here return to the Khalj dynasty, with the object of giving a brief consecutive account of those rulers and the feudatories who succeeded them, from the time that Sulṭān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz, was forced to acknowledge the supremacy of I-yal-timiṣh.

This event happened about the middle of 622 II., and the coins of Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn. 'Iwaz [See Thomas: "Initial Coinage of Bengal," Journal R. A. Soc., vol. vi., 1873, pages 352—357], prove that he was an independent sovereign up to that period, and used the title of Kasīm-i-Amīr-ul-Mūminīn, which was peculiar to the Shansabānīs of Fīrūz-koh, and never assumed by their mamlūk successors; but he did not necessarily "share his property" with the Khalīfah. For the origin of the title see note 3, page 315, and pages 368 and 389.

Mr. Thomas also gives, in the same paper, coins of Sultān I-yal-timish as early as 614 H. and 616 II.—eight and six years before Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 'lwaz, had to acknowledge a superior, and these coins are attributed by him to the Bengal mints. I am not aware how this conclusion has been arrived at, for I-yal-timish had certainly not been acknowledged by the ruler of Lakhaṇawaṭī at that time. I think the issue of these coins may be well accounted for, from a passage in our author [see pages 590—591], which may not have received such attention as it ought to have receive!, namely, that I-yal-timish, "on several occasions, sent forces from Dihlī towards Lakhaṇawaṭī, possessed himself of Bihār, and installed his own Amīrs therein;" but our author, unfortunately, mentions nothing definite until 622 H., when Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz, was reduced.

We may therefore conclude that the coins bearing I-yal-timish's name and titles, attributed to the Bengal mints, were track in Bihār on the occasions mentioned by our author in the passage above referred to, and before he had obtained any decided advantage over the Khali Sultān.

However, having compelled Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz, about the middle of 622 H., to acknowledge him as suzerain and to coin the money in his name [page 593], I-yal-timish left Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī [who is called 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Jānī, at page 594], Shāh-zādah of Turkistān [see List, page 626] in charge of Bihār; but I-yal-timish had no sooner withdrawn than Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz, marched into Bihār, compelled Malik Jānī to fly into Awadh, and took possession of that territory again. I should imagine the coins bearing the high-sounding titles given by Thomas, at page 357 of the same paper, must have been issued at that time—622 H. or 623 H.—but he reads the date 620. This, however, is not very material to the present subject.

With this fact before him, it seems inexplicable to me why Mr. Thomas calls him "this self-made king," and that "Altamsh" [I-yal-timish] "con-

## on that great Khān, and long preserve the Sultan of Sul-

ceded the tardy justice of decreeing, that, in virtue of his good works, Ghíyás-ud-dín 'Awz ['Iwaz?] should, in his grave, be endowed with that coveted title of Sultán, which had been denied to him while living." Who denied it to him? Minhāj-ud-Dīn, even at page 163 of the Calcutta "Official Text," does not say so. What he did say will be found literally rendered at page 587 of this Translation. Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz, was equally as much entitle to the "coveted title" of Sultān as his adversary, I-yal-timiṣħ, was. He had been chosen precisely in the same way, by the chief men of the country, he owed no fealty whatever to Dihlī or its sovereigns, was a Turk like his rival, what is more, was a free-born man, and not a manumitted slave—the slave of a slave—which I-yal-timiṣħ was, and was included among the great Maliks of Sultān Mu'izz ud-Dīn, Muḥanmad-i-Sām, master of I-yal-timiṣħ's master.

These erroneous ideas respecting the two Sultans I have felt myself bound to correct, according to historical facts, and our author's statements.

I would also remark, en passant, that Nāṣir-ud-Din, Ķahā-jah, was not overcome by I-yal-timish until ten years after 614 H. See page 348 of "Initial Coinage of Bengal," and page 542 of this Translation, and Printed Text, page 144.

At the time Malik Jani fled before the Khalj Sultan into Awadh, Malik Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, the eldest son and heir-apparent of I-yaltimish, was there located, having been entrusted with the fief of Awadh in 623 H. About two years and a half after he had been compelled to acknowledge the suzerainty of the Dihli Sultan, as shown by his coins, Sultan Ghivās-ud-Din, 'Iwaz, having set out on an expedition against the infidels of Bang and Kāmrūd [See page 594], Malik Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, incited by Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī [I-yal-timish was occupied elsewhere in 624 H., but he sent reinforcements to his son, see page 611], whom the Khalj Sultan had expelled from Bihar, seized the opportunity-no intimation. of course, having been given beforehand-and invaded Lakhanawati, which had been left nearly empty of troops, seized the fortress of Basan-kot, and took possession of the city of Lakhanawati. Sultan Chiyaş-ud-Din, 'Iwaz, on becoming aware of this perfidious act, flew to the rescue-with a portion only of his forces, from what our author states at page 595-encountered the son of I-yal-timish, but was defeated, and taken captive, along with "all the Khali Amirs," and the whole of them were butchered.

Malik Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, died, or was killed, for there is some mystery about it, seemingly, some time in the month of Rabi'-uṣ-Ṣānī probably, since the news reached Dihlī in the following month, or it may have happened in that same month. How or where he died our author, "the sole authority for this period," does not say, but he repeatedly styles him "the martyred Malik" [See note 1, page 630]. Firishtah's assertion that he died at Lakhaṇawaṭī is like a good many of his assertions, without any proof whatever, and his own invention probably.

Immediately after the death of Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz, it appears, another Khalj chief succeeded in acquiring power, but how, is not clear, and, I fear, can never be thoroughly made so. He is styled, by our author, at page 617, Balkā Malik-i-Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz—that is to say, the son of Ḥusām, &c., the izāfat standing for son of [See APPENDIX D.], which is sufficiently proved, I imagine, from the fact that he was not at all likely to have been called by the precise title of his predecessor—Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz—as well; at page 626,

tāns, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, in sovereignty and prosperity!

in the List of I-yal-timish's Maliks, Daulat Shāh-i-Balkā, son [the izāfat understood] of Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaz, Malik of Lakhaṇawaṭi, thus showing beyond a doubt that he had been acknowledged by I-yal-timish as dependent ruler, otherwise why put him in the List of Maliks? [See Thomas, "Initial Coinage," page 366]; in two copies of the text, Ī-rān Shāh-i-Balkā; in one, his title is Abū-l-Ma'ālī, and by others he is styled Nāṣir-ud-Dīn-i-'Iwaz [See pages 617—618]; in the Calcutta "Official Text," at page 177, Malik Gazlak Khān Daulat Shāh, Khaljī, with too names jumbled into one; and, the next page, Ī-rān Shāh, Balkā, Khaljī. Balkā is not peculiar to the Ghaznawi rulers: it is a purely Turkish name. There is another Balkā—Saif-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak-i-Balkā Khān—in the List of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's Maliks at page 673, and, from what is said in the account of Malik Kashlī Khān [No. XXIV.], there were many Khalj Amīrs in the time of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh.

From the coin given by Thomas ["Initial Coinage of Bengal," page 367], his titles and name—plate, fig. 9—are Abū-l-Ma'ālī [Thomas, himself, as mentioned at page 367, was in doubt about being correct, and thought it ended in being correct, and thought it ended in being correct, and thought it ended in being correct, and thought it ended in being correct, and thought it ended in being correct, and thought it ended in being correct, and though the titular name of Sultān, ben Mau-dūd [and there is nothing in the titular name of Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, who, before he came to the throne was entitled Husām-ud-Dīn-i-'Iwaz, to show that his name was not Mau-dūd], and, although he acknowledges the suzerainty of I-yal-timiṣh, and styles him Sultān-ul-A'zam, he calls himself Shāhan-Shāh, and also inserts on his coin the name of the Khalīfah, and, doubtless, had received a patent conveying the titles from Baghdād. The date on this coin, the only one that has come to light, I believe, is which may be either 627 or 629 H., the stubborn was accurring again. It is not to be wondered at that "the coin does not give him the name Husámuddín:" Husām-ud-Dīn was his title before he assumed that of Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, which he did—not at all an unusual thing—with the title of Sultān.

In 628 H., I-yal-timish had to move against this Khalj Sultan, who, doubtless, was getting too powerful to please the Dihli sovereign, and he was overthrown, and "secured," as our author remarks, much in the same way, probably, as Tāj-ud-Dīn, I-yal-dūz, was—in the grave. With him the Khalj dynasty finally terminated.

I-yal-timish now conferred the "throne of Lakhanawați" upon Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī—the Shāh-zādah of Turkistān—but he was shortly after deposed, and then governors, or more correctly feudatories, were appointed from Dihlī, and the first of them was Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak-i-Yughān-Tat, as he is

styled at page 729, which see.

It will be noticed from the above that Mr. Blochmann has fallen into considerable error [See "Remarks on Mr. Thomas's readings," in the "Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal," No. x., December, 1872], in assuming that "Daulat shah seems to be the Malik 'Alauddín Janí mentioned in the Tabaqát i Náçirí (Bibl. Ind. Edition), pp. 174, 178)." Our author very distinctly shows that they were two totally different persons.

In the same way, I cannot agree with him that "The royal titles assumed by the early Bengal Governors were customary in those days," but, on the contrary, such titles were never assumed unless the feudatory rebelled as in the case of Malik [No. XVIII.] Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Yūz-Bak-i-Ţughril Khān, who assumed the title of Sultān [see page 764]. Malik Ţughril-i-Ţughān Khān

# XX. MALIK 'IZZ-UD-DĪN, BALBAN-I-KA<u>SH</u>LŪ <u>KH</u>ĀN-US-SULŢĀNĪ <u>SH</u>AMSĪ.

# Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban, is a native of Khifchāk, and

had been specially honoured by his sovereign [See note 8, page 641], hence he records it in the Bihār inscription, in which the words "Iughril-us-Sultānī," with yā-i-nisbat, merely show, as in Mu'izzī, Ķutbī, Shamsī, &c., that he was a slave of the reigning dynasty, as shown at page 736.

Under the events of the 13th year of Sultan Naṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, it is stated that, on the 18th of Zi-Ka'dah—the last month—656 H., the kingdom of Lakhaṇawaṭi was conferred upon Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, son of the late Malik 'Alā-ud-Din, Jāni—the same, who, in the List of Maliks at the end of Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish's reign, is called "Prince of Turkistān," and who was made ruler of Lakhaṇawaṭi at the close of the Khālj dynasty, as already related, and subsequently held Lāhor, rebelled, and was slain in 634. See page 640. Afterwards, in the account of the r4th year of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, it is mentioned that, on the 4th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 657 H., two elephants, treasure, &c., reached the capital from Lakhaṇawaṭi, but who the sender was is not mentioned.

In his account of Ulugh Khān, farther on, our author states that Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar—the subject of the above notice—and Kutlugh [Kulich] Khān, Mas'ūn-i-Jānī, i. e. son of ['Alā-ud-Dīn], Jānī, on the advance of Ulugh Khān against them with the Sultān's forces, and as referred to in note 4, page 768, having agreed to present themselves at Court, did so on the 27th of Shawwāl—the tenth month—656 H. Two months after this, which would be the twelfth month of that year, the state of Lakhaṇawatī was conferred upon Kutlugh [Khān—as he is styled—and the districts of the Koh-pāyah upon Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar.

A few lines under, it is stated, that, on the 4th of Jamādī-ul-Ākhir, 657 H., only the sixth month after Lakhaṇawaṭi is said to have been conferred on Kutlugh [Kulich] Khān, two elephants, treasure, and other valuables, reached Dihli from Lakhaṇawaṭi—some time must be allowed for Kutlugh [Kulich] Khān, so called, to have reached that part from Dihli, and some time also for the elephants, &c., to have arrived from thence—and that the sender of these things was not Kutlugh [Kulich] Khān, but Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-1-Yūz-Bakī; not Yūz-Bak [Yūz-Bakī refers to a dependent or slave, in the same manner as the terms, Kutbī, Shamsī, and the like]; and, that, through Ulugh Khān's exertions and good offices, the investiture of Lakhaṇawaṭi was conferred upon him, and that an honorary robe and other honours were despatched for him, stated above also, in this notice of Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar.

From these statements of our author, it would appear, that 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki, would not allow Jalāl-ud-Din, Mas'ūd-i-Jāni—otherwise Kutlugh [Kulich] Khān—if he ever went there, to assume authority, or that he had died suddenly, and 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban, had assumed the government. Had the word been Yūz-Bak, and not Yūz-Baki, we might safely assume that he was Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak's, otherwise Sultān Mughiṣ-ud-Din's, son, and the same that had been made prisoner with his father in the disastrous retreat from Kāmrūd [just related at page 766], and named successor by him. This assump-

a man impetuous and gallant, of good disposition, and the votary of 'Ulama, upright and good men, and recluses.

tion, too, would have explained what appears strange above, namely, that the first mention of 'Izz-ud-Din, Yūz-Baki, is that he was absent on an expedition, in Bang, when Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar took advantage of it to invade his fief, slew him, and took possession of the territory.

This also shows what a state the Dihli kingdom must have been in for one feudatory to make war upon another, put him to death, and seize his fief and hold it with perfect impunity.

Our author himself is uncertain of the upshot of the circumstances; and it must also be remembered that these events must have happened about the time our author closes his history so abruptly, and leaves all the rest of the events of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn's reign a perfect blank; and, from the time he closed his history, no other writer, that we know of—or, at least, that is available—continued the history of the Dihli sovereigns, until ninety-five years after, when Ziyā-ud-Dīn, Barani, finished his work, which, however, only took up the events from the accession of Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Balban, and still left the events of six years—from 658 H. to 664 H.—blank as before.

Very little is to be gathered from the Tārīkh-i-Fīrūz-Shāhī of Ziyā-ud-Dīn respecting the events which happened in Lakhaṇawatī—for the work is written in a gossiping style, and dates are rarely given. This much, however, is stated therein, that, in 662 II., on the accession of Sultān Balban [the date is corrected to 664 H. in a foot-note—I quote from the printed text], sixty-two elephants were despatched from Lakhaṇawaṭī to Dihlī by Tatār Khān, son of Arsalān Khān. From this it must be assumed that he held the fief, but when or how he was appointed, or when and how he was removed, and whether Tughril, who subsequently rebelled, succeeded him or what, cannot be discovered therein. Mr. Blochmann ["Contributions," page 114, Part II.] says a person named Sher Khān succeeded Tatār Khān, and that another named Amīn Khān succeeded him, but the authority is not stated. The title of Khān, given to both these persons, sayours much of Firishtah's statements.

No dependence whatever is to be placed on either the Tabakāt-i-Akbarī, Budā'ūnī, or Firishtah, for the events of Sultān Balban's reign. They all copy one from another, and the first-mentioned work closes the reign of Nāṣirud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, when our author does, takes the reign of Balban from Ziyā-ud-Dīn, Baranī, and says neither more nor less, except in an abbreviated form, than is contained in Ziyā-ud-Dīn's work.

Stewart is totally wrong in his statements [HISTORY OF BENGAL, pages 66 and 67] respecting this period. He says "Mulk—Mulk means a country Kingdom, &c.—Yuzbek"—he means MALIK Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak, otherwise, Sultān Mughīṣ-ud-Dīn—" died, on the occasion of his being taken captive" [as related at page 766], "in 656 H.," but who says so? and in what work is such a statement to be found? Our author does not say so; and Stewart takes his account from him, for I have now before me the MS. copies of our author's work which Stewart used, with his writing in pencil, every here and there, on the margins of the pages, where he alters the names and makes those written correctly totally wrong—as "Aza Addeen," "Mulk Yuzbek," &c. At this very place, in one MS. which has 'Izz-ud-Dīn, he alters it with a pencil to Jalal Addeen Khany, and, in his History, this same name is thus given. This enabled me to discover that I had the identical copies he used, before me.

# The august Sultan [I-yal-timish] purchased him of

As I have already shown, our author does not give the date of Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak's death [see page 766], and there is not a word more used than I have rendered above; yet Stewart goes on to say that Jalal Addeen Khany [Khān-ship, that is Khānī, I suppose, signifying Khān-ship, the office or dignity of Khān] was sent to take possession of the province of Lucknowty, "as soon as the death of Mulk Yuzhak was known at Court." There is not the least authority for all this, more than our author's words above; and yet his statement respecting the elephants and treasure, and the name of 'Izz-ud-Dīn-Balban-i-Yūz-Bakī, is ignored altogether or changed at the caprice of Stewart into "Jalal Addeen Khany"!

Stewart then perpetrates a terrible blunder, in consequence of altering the names given by our author, in stating, that it was this Jalal Addeen Khany who was killed in battle with Irsilian Khan [Arsalān Khān-1-Sanjar], the Imperial Governor of Kurrah [Karah?], who had "been intrusted with the command of an army to subdue the Raja of Callinger [Kātinjar?], in Bundelcund," &c. [see our author's statement, page 769], and then crowns the blunder with another still greater in making Tāj-ud Dīn, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, whom he here styles "Isa Al Mulk, Trj addeen Irsilan Khan Sunjir," the sender of the elephants, for he says [page 68], that, in consequence of his sending "elephants, horses, and other property of the murdered chief, as a bribe to the flagitious minister [all this is his own amplification of our author's simple statements], the Vizier [Wazīr?] of the contemptible Court of Dehly, his conduct was overlooked."

He then goes on to say, under his account of "Iza Addeen," that, "The Governor, Jelal Addeen, returning soon afterwards, an engagement took place, in the month of Jumad [there are two months named Jamādī—the first and second Jamādī], 657, between the two chiefs. The latter (sic) was slain in the contest [he is the murdered chief just before]; and the plunder of his property having been remitted to Dehly procured the confirmation of the usurper. He continued to rule Bengal for two years, and died at Lucknowty in the year 659."

Whether Stewart obtained these dates from the very modern Riāz-us-Salātin, which eschews its authorities, referred to by Mr. Blochmann in his "Contributions," page 1, I cannot say, or whether they were taken from some such work as I have shown Firishtah's to be, but these dates are certainly to be doubted, unless some authority for them is forthcoming.

The Tabakāt-i-Akbarī says—evidently copying our author, after a fashion—in 656 II., "Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn-i-Jānī"—i. e. Jalāl-ud-Dīn, son of 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī—" was presented with an honorary robe, and sent to Lakhanawaṭī," and that, "in 657 H., & sent two elephants, jewels, &c." Immediately alter, it is stated that "Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Kashlū Khān, who is mentioned above, died in Rajab" of that yea: Now this is a pretty hash, but if the reader will look at the passages under Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, and in the account of Ulugh Khān, previously referred to, and compare them with the work in question, he will find that the author of the Ṭabakāt-i-Akbarī has turned 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Yūz-Bakī, into 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, the seditious feudatory of Sind, who marched upon Dıhlī, in concert with Kutlugh Khān, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's step-father, in 655 H., who is the person "mentioned above" immediately before in that work, and who was never in Bengal in his life. He, too, did not die in Rajab, 657 H., for he was living when our author closed his history, in 658 H., as may be found at page 786.

The Tabakat-i-Akbari, and Firishtah, both make the same great blunder

# a merchant, when before the fortress of Manda-

throughout Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign of confusing 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, with Ulugh Khān's brother, Saif-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak-i-Kushlī Khān, who died on the 20th of Rajab, 657 H. In fact, because the name Balban occurs, Kashlū Khān is often mistaken, in that work, for Ulugh Khān himself. Firishtah of course, by copying from the Tabakāt-i-Akbarī, copies all its blunders, without exception.

From what our author states in different places in this work, it may be supposed, merely, that, when Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn [Kulich Khān], Mas'ūd Shāh, son of the late Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jāuī, was appointed to the government of Lakhaṇawaṭī, in the last month of the year 656 H., there must either have been a vacancy, or the Court had determined to oust Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak, who had invaded it, and usurped the fief; and, if the former, it must, in all probability, have been caused by his death.

If this latter supposition be correct, the 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Yūz-Bakī, mentioned by our author, who is evidently the same person who is referred to at page 827—Kutlugh Khān's [the Sulṭān's father-in-law] son-in-law—who became Deputy Amīr-i-Hājib, when 'Imād ud-Dīn-i-Rayhān conspired against Ulugh Khān, must have been confirmed in it by the Court of Dihlī.

I think he must have been a dependent of Tughril's, not his son, for this reason, that, when referring to a son, our author merely adds the father's name to the son's, using the izāfat for bin [see APPENDIX C], namely: — Muḥammadi-Sām, Mas'ūd-i-Jānī, Abū-Bikr-i-Ayāz, and the like; but, when he refers to a retainer, freedman, or slave, he always adds the yā-i-nisbat, signifying relation or connexion, to the person's name, as, Sultānī, Ķutbī, Shāmsī, Ayāzī, Yūz-Bakī, — as previously stated.

The Zubdat-ut-Tawārīkh, which quotes our author largely, as far it goes, throws a little more light than others on this subject [Alfi, possibly, which I have not the means of examining just at this time, might throw more], although very meagre. It states that Malik, 'Alā-ud-Din, Jāni, ruled over Lakhaṇawaṭi three years, and was removed.

Salf-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Yughān-Tat, succeeded, and died in 633 H. Our author says he died in 631 H.

'Izz-ud-Din, Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, succeeded him and held the government thirteen years and some months [to the end of the year 642 H. See page 740].

Ki-rān-i-Tamur Khān succeeded and held it ten years [two years less two months. He died in 644 H. See page 741]; and, after him, in Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Yūz-Bak-i-Tughril Khān, who assumed the title of Sultān Mughiṣ-ud-Dīn, became feudatory.

The Tārīkh.i-Fīrūz-Shāhī says "this assumption of sovereignty took place in Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Balban's reign, but God knows best." He ruled over Lakhaṇawaṭī twenty-six years [from the death of Ķī-īān-i-Tamur Khān', twenty-six years would bring us to Shawwāl, 670 H., however], and, after him, Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Balban, conferred Lakhaṇawaṭī upon his youngest son, Bughrā Khān.

The Gau M.S., previously referred to [in note?, page 558], also states that Yūz-Bak-i-Tughril Khān reigned twenty-six years, from 653 H. to 682 H., and both authors make the same mistake with respect to Ki-rān-i-Tamur Khān's holding the government ten years instead of two; but, in this matter, our author himself gives the date of his being ousted from Lakhaṇawaṭī as the last month of 642 II.

war¹. At the outset [of his career] he became Cup-bearer, and, after he had served the Sultān some time, he was made Shrāb-Dār [Purveyor of Drinkables], when before the fortress of Gwāliyūr. Subsequently, Barhamūn² [or Barhanmūn] was assigned to him in fief; and, after some time, the fief of Baran was entrusted to him.

When the Shamsi reign came to its termination, in the outbreak of the Turkish Amirs in the camp of Sultān Rukn-ud-Dīn, Firūz Shāh, at Tarā'in', he was the ringleader. On the Rukni reign passing away, and the disaffection of Malik Jāni and Malik Kūji' towards Sultān Raziyyat continuing, during the conflicts [which then took place,] before the gate of the city of Dihli, between the Turkish Amirs who were the slaves of the [late] Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn, I-yal-timish, and who were present in the service of Sultān Raziyyat's Court, Malik Balban fell captive into the hands of the rebels. He again obtained his release, and was treated with distinguished honour and

It seems utterly impossible, in the face of Ziyā-ud-Dīn, Baranī's statement about Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar's son—Tātār Khān—that Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak, otherwise Sultān Mughiṣ-ud-Dīn, who is said to have been mortally wounded in the retreat from Kāmrūd, and died there, and the Tughril, who also assumed the very same title of Mughiṣ-ud-Dīn, and was killed on the frontier of the Jāj-nagar territory, can be one and the same person; but such the works above quoted consider to be the case; and, from the remark of Mr. Blochmann, in his "Contributions" [Part I. fifth line, page 39], he seems to entertain the same opinion.

Farther research may throw more light on this matter of Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak's, and Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar's successor to the fief of Lakhaṇawaṭi; but, at present, the matter is clouded in obscurity.

Thomas, in his "PATHÁN KINGS OF DEHLI," gives, at page 8, a list of the rulers and kings of Bengal, in which he styles Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Yūz-Bak-i-Tughril Khān, Yuzbeg, and 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki, Uzbegi. and, in a note, says "These contrasts in the orthography follow the Persi text of Minháj-us-Siráj, who seems to have designed to mark a ldifference in the pronunciation"! This is erroneous: the words are—vl.y. and vije.—vā-i-nisbat, is merely added to the last, and nothing indicates any g in the names.

- <sup>1</sup> This was in 624 H. See page 611.
- This is one of the two places referred to at page 746, and I fail to recognize it. It is written, in the original, in various ways; but the above is contained in the majority of the copies—رهبون—and in others, according to the ratio in which they are to be depended upon, مندور—ارهبون المناسبة (Hindūn!) مندور المناسبة and مندور المناسبة and مندور المناسبة المناسبة and مندور Burnawa, Lat. 29° 7′, Long. 77° 29′.
- Now A'zim-ābād-i-Talāwari, the scene of Rāe Pithorā's victory and sub-sequent defeat. See page 635.
  - 4 Not they only: there were several others. See page 639.

favour; and, when the reign of Sultān Raziyyat lapsed, and the throne of sovereignty devolved on Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dîn, Bahrām Shāh, he was honoured as heretofore, until the time when the Khwājah, Muhazzab-ud-Dîn, the Wazir, caused animosity to show itself between that Sultān and his Turkish Amirs, as is recorded [under his reign]. Previous to this, the whole of the Amirs and Maliks had entered into a compact together to expel Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh, from the throne. In the year 640 H., the whole of them, in concert together, advanced to the gate of the city of Dihli, and, for a period of five months or more, this hostility and strife went on; and, when the city was taken by the Maliks [and Amirs], the ring-leader in this outbreak was Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān.

Early in the day on which the troops of the Amirs [and Maliks) entered the city, Malik Balban proceeded to the Royal Palace, and once, by his command, a proclamation was circulated throughout the city [announcing his assumption of the sovereignty]. Immediately, Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Aet-kin, of Kuhrām, Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Kikluk, and Malik Nasir-ud-Din, Ai-vitim, and several other Amirs, assembled at the mausoleum of Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish—May he rest in peace!—and repudiated that proclamation, and, in concert together, brought forth the sons of the late Sultan [I-yal-timish], and the princes who were in confinement. When Malik Balban became aware of this, he took part with them, and they raised 'Alaud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, to the throne. He assigned the territory of Nag-awr, together with an elephant, to Malik Balban, and he proceeded thither.

After some time had passed, when an army of the infidels of Chin [Mughals] appeared before the fortress of Uchchah, and Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh. marched from the capital with the troops of Islām towards the river Biāh to repel them, Malik Balban came from Nāg-awr with a body of troops [and joined the Sultān's army], and that

<sup>•</sup> See under the reign, pages 658-662.

<sup>•</sup> See pages 660 and 661 and note 1.

<sup>7</sup> This was accounted a great honour in these days, as may be seen from what is stated at page 650, note 5.

momentous affair terminated successfully. When the army of infidels retired from before Uchchah precipitately, Malik Balban returned to Nāg-awr again, and Multān was placed under his charge.

When the Sultan of Islam, Nasir-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, ascended the throne of sovereignty—May it ever continue!—after Malik Balban had come [to Court?] he, on several occasions, made a request for Uchchah together with Multan. This was acquiesced in, under the understanding that the Siwalikh [territory] and Nag-awr should be relinquished, by him, to other Maliks who are servants of the government, and that the Court should have the nomination [of them].

After he brought <u>Uchchah</u> under his jurisdiction, he still continued to retain possession of Nāg-awr, and did not relinquish it. The Sultān-i-Mu'azzam—The Almighty perpetuate his reign!—with the Maliks of Islām—Be victory always theirs!—particularly the <u>Khān-i-Mu'azzam</u>, Ulugh <u>Khān-i-A'zam</u>—Be his <u>Khilāfat'</u> perpetuated!—determined to proceed from the capital in the direction of Nāg-awr. On the Sultān's reaching that part, after making much difficulty of the matter, and protracting as long as possible, in the semblance of submission, Malik Balban presented himself [in the Sultān's presence], relinquished Nāg-awr, and proceeded towards <u>Uchchah</u>.

When the territory of Uchchah and Multan was made over to Malik Balban's charge from the sublime Court. Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, from the direction of [the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See note <sup>4</sup>, page 667, para. 4, and proceedings of Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, in the last Section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Our author has just above said that Multān was made over to him before this. He means that the understanding was, that, if he got <u>Uchchah</u> as well as Multān, Nāg-awr was to be relinquished. After he was compelled to give it up, <u>Ulugh Khān</u>'s brother, Saif-ud-Dīn, <u>Ī-bak-i-Kash</u>lī Khān, got that fief. See page 798.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Khilāsat signifies deputy-ship, or lieutenancy, as well as imperial dignity and monarchy, but, under any circumstances, the Sultan was alive when this was written.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>. Some details are necessary respecting the Karlugh Turks, which I must reserve for the concluding Section, in which Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, again appears, and his previous history is referred to. I will merely observe here, that he had appeared before Multān long before this—soon after the death of Sultān I-yal-timish, who, it will be remembered [page\_623], was marching

territory of Banian brought an army before the gate of Multan in order to possess himself of that city [and fortress], and Malik Balban advanced from Uchchah to repel him. When the two armies came opposite to each other, a band of warriors and heroic men in Malik Balban's service, to the number of about fifty picked horsemen, having formed a ring, attacked Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, charged into the centre [of his army], and Malik Hasan was slain, the greater part of those heroic men, who displayed such impetuosity, having fallen in the attack. Malik Balban entered the fortress of Multan; and the Karlugh troops kept the death of their Malik concealed. and pitched their camp before the gate of the city of Multan. Emissaries passed to and fro between the two armies and discussed terms of peace, and the surrender of Multan to the Karlughs. The peace was concluded, and Malik Balban delivered up Multan to the Karlughs, and returned towards Uchchah; and the Karlughs took possession of Multan.

When Malik Balban became aware that Malik Ḥasan, the Ḥarlugh, had been killed, he repented of having given up Multān, but it was useless. After some time, Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Sher Khān-i-Sunḥar, wrested Multān out of the hands of the Ḥarlughs, and took possession of it, and located there Malik Kurez '. On Saturday, the 2nd of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 648 H., Malik Balban, having advanced from Uchchah for the purpose of regaining possession of Multān, appeared before the walls of that fortress '. The writer of these words, two days subse-

towards the territory of Banian, when taken ill, and obliged to return to Dihli, where he soon after died.

The whole band must have fallen or have been taken, otherwise the news of Ḥasan's having been slain would certainly have reached Malik Balbani-Kashlū Khān. Or, perhaps, the band, or, rather, the remainder of it, did not know whether they had killed him or not, or whether he had only been left for dead. Ḥasan's son, who is referred to under Sulṭān Raziyyat's reign [note 7, page 644], and in the account of Ulugh Khān, farther on, and in the last Section—Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad—would, probably, have been the person to whom Multān was surrendered; and it is strange our author does not mention who succeeded Malik Ḥasan in the command of the Karlughs.

<sup>4</sup> He is the person referred to under the fifth year of Sultan Naşir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah's reign, page 688.

<sup>•</sup> Under the events of the year 648 H., at page 688, our author states that he

quently [to that], arrived before Multān from the illustrious capital, Dihli, for the purpose of despatching [a number] of male slaves to Khurāsān 6. After that he [the author] continued at that place for a period of two months; and the fortress did not come into Malik Balban's possession, and he returned again towards Üchchah.

Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar advanced from Tabarhindah and Lohor, and appeared before the fortress of Uchchah and invested it, and remained before it for some time. Malik Balban, who was away from it at this period, placing confidence in this, that they were both of one house and of one threshold, unexpectedly, presented himself in the camp of Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, and seated himself down in the latter's pavilion, upon which Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar manifested some show of regard towards him, arose, and came out by way of the back of the pavilion, giving directions to guard Malik Balban, and not permit him to depart from the place until such time as the garrison of Uchchah should surrender that fortress. As Malik Balban was helpless and in straits, he gave directions to the garrison of the fortress to surrender it to Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, who, after he had taken possession of the fortress of Uchchah, set Malik Balban at liberty, who came to the capital?.

Having presented himself at Court, the [fief of the]

reached Multān on Wednesday, the 6th of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, of that year, and that Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān reached it from Üchchah, the same day. In his account of Ulugh Khān, farther on, the same date is given.

- See note 1, page 686.
- 7 Under the events of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, 648 H., our author says he had an interview with Sher Khān, on the banks of the Bīāh, on the 11th day of the second month of that year, when proceeding to Multān [see page 687], and that Malik Balban-i-Kaṣhlū Khān reached Multān, to endeavour to take it, on the same day that he himself reached it—the 6th of the third month,
- The "official" Calcutta Printed Text and the MSS. from which it is chiefly taken have الشيان —nest—for أشيانه—threshold.
- <sup>9</sup> See page 689. There he says, under the events of 649 H., that Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān began to act contumaciously at Nāg-awr in that year, and the Sultān had to move against him; and that, after that, in the fourth month of that same year, he presented himself at Dihlī. Between that date, and the date on which he made his submission, at Nāg-awr, he had fallen into Sher Khān's hands.
- <sup>1</sup> Under Sultan Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, it is stated, that, on the 22nd of Shawwāl, 650 H. [in the account of Ulugh Khān, it is the 12th],

province of Budā'ūn with its dependencies was assigned to him; and, when the sublime standards advanced towards the upper parts [of the kingdom—the Biāh and Lohor], and the preserved city of Tabarhindah was recovered, forces were nominated to march towards Uchchah and Multān.' Between Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar and the Maliks of the Court, contention went on; and Sher Khān proceeded into Turkistān², and Uchchah and Multān were entrusted, a second time, to Malik Balban's charge³.

No sooner had Malik Balban taken possession of that country than he became disloyal to the Court, and, making Malik Shams-ud-Din, Kurt , the Ghūri, his medium, preferred a request [through him] to Hulā'ū [Hulākū] the Mughal, who was a Shāh-zādah [Prince] of Turkistān , for a Shaḥnah [Intendant]. Malik Balban sent [to Hulākū's Court] a grand-son in pledge, and brought a Shaḥnah thither [into Sind and Multān]. Subsequently, when the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, had returned to

the Sultan moved towards Lahor, intending to march to Uchchah and Multan, and that Malik Kutlugh Khan from Bhianah, and Malik Balban-i-Kashlu Khan from Buda'un, with their contingents, accompanied him. On reaching the Biah, however, 'Imad-ud-Din-i-Rayhan's plot developed itself, and Ulugh Khan was banished to his fief.

Under the year 651 H., it is again stated that the Sultān marched from Dihli to "secure" Ūchchah and Multān, and that, on reaching the Biāh [it flowed in its old bed then], a force was detached to Tabarhindali to secure it, as Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar had withdrawn to Turkistān, and that they were taken possession of on the 26th of Zi-Ḥijjah, the last month of 651 H., and made over to Malik Arsalān Khān, Sanjar-i-Chast; but, in the account of the latter Malik [page 767], it is said he got Tabarhindah, and Ūchchah and Multān are not mentioned.

- <sup>2</sup> See pages 695 and 792, where are two other and different statements, with respect to the cause of <u>Sher Khān-i-Sunkar's</u> withdrawal.
- His restoration to the fief of <u>Uchchah</u> and Multan is never referred to in any other place in the present work save the above, but that he was restored to it there is, of course, no doubt from the context. It appears probable that, when <u>Ulugh Khan</u> succeeded in ejecting 'Imad-ud-Din-i-Rayhan from power at the end of 652 H., and on his being sent to rule at Buda'un, Malik Balban-i-Kashlu Khan got <u>Uchchah</u> and Multan again, some time in 653 H. See also note <sup>3</sup>, page 692.
- <sup>4</sup> He will be noticed in the last Section with reference to the Mughal invasion of the Panjāb.
- <sup>5</sup> Hulā'ū or Hulākū was, certainly, a Prince of Turkistān, but, at this time, ruled over Īrān on the part of his brother, Mangū Ķā'ān. More respecting him will be found in the next Section.
  - He thus threw off his allegiance to Dihli.

the Court, and Malik Kutlugh Khan had separated from it and had joined Malik Balban, and the Sultan and his forces had returned to the capital, Malik Balban, in the year 655 H., suddenly resolved to advance to the frontiers of the kingdom of Dihli with the troops of Uchchah and Multan. When this determination and purpose [of the confederates] was represented before the sublime throne. the royal command was given to repel that faction, and Malik Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the whole or the Maliks and Amirs. marched against the troops of Malik Balban.

On the 15th of the month of Jamadi-ul-Awwal, 655 H., when, within the limits of [the districts of ] Kuhrām and Sāmānah, they drew near [Malik Balban's army], a faction of seditious [persons] of the capital city, Dihli, consisting of turban wearers [priest-hood] and cap-wearers' [Sayyids], wrote and despatched letters secretly to Malik Balban, soliciting him to come thither, saying: "In order that we may deliver up the city to thee it behoveth thee to set out for it." Malik Balban accordingly moved towards Dihli, and, on Thursday, the 6th of Jamadi-ul-Akhir, 655 II., he [and Kutlugh Khan and their forces] reached the environs and suburbs of the city; but his conceptions were not realized, and the faction, who had written letters to him, had, by the sublime command, left the city 1.

When Malik Balban reached the Bagh-i-Jud [the Jud Garden]2, which is in the environs of the city of Dihli, along with Malik Kutlugh Khan and the Malikah-i-Jahan [Sultan Nasir-ud-Din's mother], the account of the expul-

<sup>7</sup> He had been sent away from the Court, with his wife, the Sultan's mother, as early as 653 H., but the events here referred to took place in 655 H., some time previous to which Kutlugh Khan was in rebellion. See page 707.

<sup>\*</sup> See page 707.

Only turban-wearers are mentioned under the reign, but cap-wearers merely refers to others besides the regular priest-hood, such as the descendants and disciples of Zain-ud-Din, 'Alf, probably, who wore black caps or tiaras. The allusion is to Sayyid Kutb-ud-Din, the Shaikh-ul-Islam, and this party. See page 707.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> They had been sent away out of the city four days before. See page 708.

In the Calcutta Printed Text, the word -- Jud-has been invariably mistaken for - khud, which signifies self, &c., and thereby the Jud Garden is turned into his own garden. The Bagh-i-Jud, and Şaḥrā-i-Jud, are often mentioned.

The account of this affair varies from that detailed under the reign, page 708, and in the account of Ulugh Khan farther on.

sion of that faction became known to them, and that flame of the fire of hope [from the faction] was extinguished with the water of disappointment. After the time of forenoon prayers, they advanced to the gate of the city, and made a perambulation round the place. They remained at the Bāgh-i-Jūd for the night, and, at morning dawn, they came to the determination to retire. On the Friday, which was the 7th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, the troops of Uchchah and Multān, the whole of them, separated from Malik Balban, and went off in bodies in various directions, but the greater number were those [among them] who entered the city, and who joined the service of the sublime Court.

Malik Balban—the Almighty have him in His keeping!—withdrew, and by way of the Siwālikh [country], and, with a slight retinue, less than 200 or 300 in number, returned to Uchchah again, Subsequent to these events, Malik Balban came to the determination of undertaking a journey into Khurāsān, and proceeding into 'Irāk to the presence of Hulā'ū, the Mughal, who is a Shāh-zādah [Prince] of Turkistān', and presented himself before him. He returned from thence, and came back to his own place of residence again [to Uchchah]; and, up to the date of this narrative, which was the year 658 H., he has despatched his own agents, along with the Shaḥnah [the Mughal Intendant] of the territories of Sind, which was on account of the army of Mughals [then on the Dihli frontier], to the presence of the Court'.

Please God, it may turn out well and advantageously,

Our author always uses the word "gate" when gates may be understood. In this instance he may mean the gate on the side of the Jūd plain and garden.

According to some copies the dates are, respectively, the 26th and 27th of Jamādī-ul-Ākhir.

It is remarkable that he should have gone to Hulākū's camp in 'Irāk, and Sher Khān to that of the Great Khān—Mangū Ķā'ān, in Turkistān. Their object, probably, was the same.

This refers to the return of Ulugh Khān's agent despatched some time before to Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Ḥasan, the Kārlūgh, the details of which affair will be found at the end of this Section. There he says Shaḥnagān—Intendants—as if there were more than one at Uchchah. The Mughal army referred to, is that of the Nū-īn, Sārī, or Sālīn, as he is also called, which entered Sind, a few months after Malik Balban's attempt on Dihlī, in the latter part of 655 H, an account of which will be found at page 711,

and may He long preserve the Sultan of Islām. Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Abū-l-Muzaffar-i-Maḥmūd Shāh, on the throne of sovereignty!

# XXI. MALIK NUŞRAT <u>KH</u>ĀN, BADR-UD-DĪN, SUNĶAR-I-ŞŪFĪ<sup>7</sup>, THE RŪMĪ.

Malik Nuṣrat Khān-i-Sunkar, the Ṣūfi, is a Rūmi [Rūmiliān] by birth. He is a person of exceeding laudable qualities and inestimable virtues, valiant and warlike, and of good disposition, and adorned with all the attributes of thanliness and resolution.

He was a slave of the august Sultan Shams-ud-Din [Iyal-timish], and he had, in the reigns of every one of the Sultans [his descendants], served in offices of every degree: but, in the reign of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, in the year 640 H., when the Turk Amirs rebelled and put the Khwājah, Muhazzab, the Wazir, to death, this Malik, Nusrat Khān-i-Sunkar, was one of the Amirs, the principal ringleaders in that outbreak. After that event he became Amir of Kol; and he brought that territory under his control, and, along with his retinue and the people, on the beaten track of equity and justice, he passed his days. In that same year [640 H.], the writer of these words, Minhāji-Sarāj, chanced to undertake a journey to Lakhanawati. On reaching the district of Kol, this Amir of excellent disposition treated him with great kindness and encouragement.

Subsequently, Malik Nuṣrat Khān-i-Sunķar obtained other fiefs; and, in the reign of the Sultān of Sultāns, Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, the territory of Bhiānah was made his fief. He continued to remain some time in that part, and many times punished the seditious and evil doers.

At the time when Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū

and in the biography of Ulugh Khan, but more particularly detailed in the last Section.

<sup>7</sup> He was of the Şūfi sect, apparently.

Our author has never used the word Amir like this before: he generally uses feudatory.

Khān, advanced out of the territory of Sind and appeared before the gate of Dihli, Malik Nuṣrat Khān-i-Sunķar, with a numerous force, reached the city of Dihli from Bhiānah. The inhabitants of the city, and grandees of the Court, were placed in safety by his arrival at the head of a body of troops. After that affair, in the year 657 H., from the implicit faith which the Sultān of Islām placed in Malik Nuṣrat Khān-i-Sunķar, and the powerful support of the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the preserved city of Tabarhindah, and Sunām, Jhajhar, and Lakhwāl, and the frontiers, as far as the ferries over the river Biāh, all were conferred upon him , and his title became Nuṣrat Khān.

On those frontiers he performed distinguished services, and assembled a numerous body of troops; and, up to the date of this book's [being written], by the sublime command, he is still [stationed] on that frontier, with ample military resources, and a large army'. May the Almighty long preserve the Sultan of Sultans upon the throne of sovereignty!

# XXII. AZ KULLĪ DĀD-BAK², MALIK SAIF-UD-D**ĪN, Ī-BAK,** THE <u>SH</u>AMSĪ, 'AJAMĪ.

Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, the Shamsi, 'Ajami, is, by

It was at this period that Malik Sher Khan-i-Sunkar had the extensive fiefs of Bhianah, Kol, Balaram, and Gwaliyur, conferred upon him; but, under that year, in the Sultan's reign, the plaqing of these frontier territories in Nusrat Khan-i-Sunkar's charge is not stated. See page 794.

The mention of "the frontiers," and the "ferries of the Biah," taken in connexion with the orders of Hulaku to his general, mentioned at the end of the account of Ulugh Khan, plainly indicates the limits within which the

Dihli territory was now confined.

The Biāh, as before stated, then flowed in its old bed, entirely separate from the Sutlaj.

- Why are not his "distinguished services" mentioned; and, if he had such "ample military resources and large army," why did he not drive away Sārī, the Nū-īn, and his Mughals, who were making constant raids upon the Dihlī territory?
- The Calcutta Printed Text has I instead of I Amir-i-Dād, and Dād-Bak, are synonymous, the former being Persian and the latter the Turkish form, and the office appears to have been much the same as that of Mir-i-'Adl in Akbar's time. The words az kullī show that he was the head of that department and exercised full powers. See note 4, page 529, and page 605, note 1.

origin, of Khifchāk, a Malik adorned with justice, sagacity, strictness, and judgment, and famed and celebrated for all manner of energy and ability. In the learning of the Musalmān faith he was proficient, in religiousness perfect, and in words and in deeds sincere, on the path of probity and justice staunch and regular.

It must be about eighteen years 4 since the bench of the administration of justice was adorned by his dignity; and, during the whole period, he has followed the path of justice and equity, and been obedient to the canons of the [Muhammadan] law, and beyond those which the law decrees he has not added a tittle. The writer of this History, Maulānā Minhāj-i-Sarāj-God protect him!upon two occasions, for nearly eight years, by the gracious command of the Sultan of Sultans, Nasir-ud-Dunva wa ud-Din-The Almighty perpetuate his rule and sovereignty!—is seated on the same bench with that just Malik in the Court of Justice at the capital city, Dihli, and the author has seen that the whole of his acts, procedures, and expositions have been conformable with the faith and its ordinances. By the dignity of his punishments, and the majesty of his justice, the multitude of contumacious [persons] round about the capital, and the gangs of evil doers and robbers, having drawn back the hand of violence within the sleeve of relinquishment and suspension, are quiescent in the corner of fear and terror.

From the period when Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, became enrolled among the series of slaves of the Court of the Shamsi dynasty—the asylum of the universe—he has, at all times, been reverenced; and every district, fief, or tract of country, which has been entrusted to his charge, through his equity and rigour, has become flourishing and pros-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> His being styled "Shamsi, and 'Ajami," in this instance, means that, originally, he was the slave of the Khwājah Shams-ud-Din, the 'Ajami.

What year is referred to is left to conjecture, unless he means the year in which he closed this history—658 H. He was, however, appointed Kāzī of the realm, for the second time, in 649 H. See page 690.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This expression shows that the term Malik is not peculiar to the military only, and the fallacy of translating the word *general*, as in Elliot, in aumerous places.

<sup>•</sup> Nearly every copy of the text, Calcutta Printed Text included, has سلاطين —Sultāns—for سماطين —series, &c. In this instance the I. O. L. MS., No. 1952, and the R. A. S. MS., are both correct.

perous, and the commonalty have dwelt in quiet and tranquillity, and have continued safe and exempt from oppression and violence. During this period since he has been the Amir-i-Dād [Chief Justiclary] of the kingdom of Dihli, the customary fees at the rate of ten or fifteen per cent, which other Chief Justices before him have imposed, he has not extorted, nor has he had any concern with such, neither has he considered such to be legal.

At the outset of his career when he became severed from the tribes of <u>Khifchāk</u> and his native country, and through the discord of kindred became a captive in the bonds of misfortune, he chanced to fall into the service of the generous <u>Khwājah</u>, <u>Shams-ud-Dīn</u>, the 'Ajamī, who was the Malik-ut-Tujjār' [Chief of the Merchants] of the countries of 'Ajam, 'Irāķ, <u>Kh</u>wārazm, and <u>Gh</u>aznīn, and, up to this period of time, they call Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, I-bak, by the term <u>Sh</u>amsī, after that great man.

When Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, reached the sublime Court of Sultān Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish [along with his master], and the Sultān purchased him, he acquired favour and influence. Perceiving the indications of energy and vigour which were depicted on his brow, the august Sultān used to send him upon important affairs into different parts of the kingdom, and assign him duties, until, in the reign of Sultān Raziyyat, he became Sahm-ul-Ḥasham [Marshal of the Retinue 8]. In the reign of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh, he became Amīr-i-Dād [Justiciary] of Karah; and, when the throne devolved on Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, in the year 640 H., he became Amīr-i-Dād of the illustrious capital, the city of Dihlī, and the fief of the Amīrs-i-Dād, and the bench [pertaining to that office] passed to him.

After some time, when the throne devolved upon the Sultan of Sultans, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, the fief of Palwal and Kāmah, with the bench of

<sup>7</sup> That is, Prince or Chief of the Merchants—a term often used in the Arabian Nights, and applied to the chief or general syndic of the merchants, trading exclusively with particular countries.

See note , page 150.

<sup>•</sup> In the Bharat-pūr territory, on the route from Mathurah to Fīrūz-pūr, 39 miles N.W. of the former place, Lat. 27° 40′, Long. 77° 20′. It was taken by Najaf Khān about eighty years since, and was then a small city fortified

the justice-ship, was entrusted to him; and, after some time, he obtained the fief of Baran; and, in that part, inflicted condign punishment upon the contumacious. Some time subsequently, Kasrak¹ [?], with the office of chief justiciary, was given him in fief, and, after two years, he again obtained Baran; and, up to this present time, it is in his charge.

#### XXIII. MALIK NUŞRAT-UD-DİN', <u>SH</u>ER <u>KH</u>ĀN, SUNĶAR-I-SAGHALSŪS'.

Malik Sher Khān is a person consummately brave and sagacious, and distinguished for all princely qualities, and famed for all kingly accomplishments. He is the uncle's son of the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and, in Turkistān, their fathers have been persons of importance, and among the families of the Ilbari [tribe] have borne the name of Khān, and, for their numerous clan and dependents, have been noted and renowned, each of whom will, Please God, in the account of that Malik of the Maliks of the universe, be separately mentioned.

Sher Khān was the slave of the august Sultān [I-yal-timish] who purchased him 6; and he performed much ser-

with walls and towers. If sought after, perhaps some inscriptions might be found at this place.

- I fail to find this place, and there is great doubt as to the correct reading. One of the oldest copies has على as above, the second عنى the third is minus a whole line, and another copy has على Karak or Kuruk, which certainly is the name of a place in ! ariānah, between Rot-hak and Bhawāni, in Lat. 28°, 49′, Long. 76°, 22′, about 58 miles W. of Dihli. Other copies of the text have what appears to be
- <sup>3</sup> In some copies he is also styled Bahā-ul-Ḥakk wa ud-Din, instead of Nuṣrat-ud-Din.
- 4 Thomas, however [Pathán Kings of Dehlí, page 125], turns him into a brother of Ulugh Khān's!
- b It seems somewhat strange that I-yal-timish should also belong to the Ilbari tribe, as well as Ulugh Khān, his brother, and his cousin, and all be slaves of the former.
- 6 Our author relates how Ulugh Khān and his brother became slaves, and from whom they were purchased, but he appears not to have known much

vice before the throne, and the signs of worthiness were indicated upon his brow. He served the Sultāns of that dynasty much in every rank and degree; and, when he attained greatness, Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, at the time he led an army from the capital towards Lohor', with the object of repelling the army of infidel Mughals which was before the walls of the fortress of Uchchah, assigned to Malik Sher Khān the fortress of Tabarhindah and the whole of its dependencies as his fief.

Afterwards, when the Karlughs wrested Multan out of the hands of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān', Malik Sher Khān led an army from the preserved city of Tabarhindah towards Multan, and liberated it again out of the hands of the Karlughs, and placed therein Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Kurez?. Subsequently, upon several occasions, contention arose between Malik Sher Khan and Malik Balban, arising from their proximity to each other, as has been previously stated; and Malik Sher Khan wrested the fortress of Uchchah out of the hands of Malik Balban, and the whole of the territory of Sind came under the sway of Malik Sher Khan. When the Malik-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, led a body of forces 1 towards Nāg-awr, and strife went on between Malik Sher Khān and him near the banks of the river Sind. Malik Sher Khān [retired from thence], and proceeded towards Upper Turkistān<sup>2</sup>, and went to the urdū [camp] of the Mughal, and presented himself at the Court of Mangū [Kā'ān].

respecting Sher Khan's early years, or was unwilling to relate much on the subject.

- <sup>7</sup> See page 667, and page 811.
- See also the account of Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, page 782.
- <sup>9</sup> It will be seen, from this, that these great Maliks were like so many dependent kings, and had Maliks of their own. Kurez is the person who, in 648 H., sent some Mughal prisoners to Dihli; and they were, evidently, so rare, that, even for this small mercy, Dihli was decorated.
- Our author gives no less than three other and different accounts of these events—one, at page 693, another at page 794, and a third in his account of Ulugh Khān, farther on. Leading "a body of forces towards Nāg-awr" is our author's mode of stating the fact of Ulugh Khān's banishment to his fief, when ousted from power by the Rayhāni plot, already referred to, and further detailed in the account of Ulugh Khān. Strife, with his cousin, seems altogether improbable, for, immediately on his return to Hind, he joined the party of his cousin, Ulugh Khān, in ousting the Rayhāni clique.
  - <sup>2</sup> This whole sentence is one of the most defective in the entire work: there

He returned with honour from thence, and set out towards Lohor. When he arrived in the neighbourhood of Lohor and these parts 3, he joined Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh 4, son of the august Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn, I-yal-timish. In the end, matters did not go on without disagreements between them, and Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, retired in disappointment, and his dependents and followers fell into the hands of Malik Sher Khān's train 3.

After that affair, Malik Sher Khān endeavoured to gain possession of Tabarhindah [again], but, as Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar [the then feudatory], issued from the fortress [to oppose him], Malik Sher Khān was under the necessity of withdrawing again. Swift messengers went from the capital from the nobles, and a covenant and pledges were entered into, and Malik Sher Khān proceeded and presented himself at Court . Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Arsalān Khān, likewise came to the capital, and [the fief of] Awadh was assigned to him, and Tabarhindah was [again] committed to Malik Sher Khān, with the whole of the territory and fiefs which he had previously held.

For some time he remained upon that frontier, during

are scarcely two copies alike. One copy has something more after the words "Upper Turkistān," namely, that "he proceeded towards Lohor, and every one who used to see him, would bow down his head to the ground [درسنيده انتادي] out of awe of him."

<sup>3</sup> The neighbourhood of Dihli where our author wrote his History.

<sup>4</sup> This too is one of our author's mysteries. I shall have to refer to it again. See note <sup>2</sup>, page 699, and note <sup>1</sup>, page 767.

<sup>5</sup> For some further particulars respecting this Prince, whose proceedings are made a mystery of, see pages 683, 699, 818 and note <sup>4</sup>, and pages 830 to 834. I shall have to refer to him, in connexion with the Mughals, in the last Section.

STEWART has written on the margin of the MS. I have referred to in note , page 776, notwithstanding it is plainly indicated who Jalal-ud-Din was, that he is "Jallal Addeen King of Khuarism," who died or disappeared from the world nearly thirty-five years before!! See page 297, and note 9.

See under Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar, page 768.

7 Although Lähor is mentioned, after fourteen years' silence, as the fief of Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh—probably half-brother only of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh—in the ninth year of the latter's reign, page 700, it does not again occur. It also appears that Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, held Lāhor with foreign aid, independent of Dihli. I shall have to refer to this matter again. The frontier here referred to indicates, as in several other places, the limits of the Dihli kingdom in this direction—namely, the banks of the Biāh.

which contention used to go on between him and Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, as on former occasions. A mandate was issued from the sublime Court so that Malik Sher Khān returned to the capital; and, in order to avert strife on the frontier, the fief of Tabarhindah was entrusted to the charge of Malik Nuṣrat Khān, Sunkar-i-Ṣūfi. The territory of Kol and Bhānah, and Balārām, Jali-sar, Baltārah', Mihir and Mahāwan, and the fortress of Gwāliyūr, which is among the most famous strongholds of Islām, were placed in Malik Sher Khān's charge'; and there he still is, up to the date that these pages were written, in the month of Rajab, 658 H.

May the Most High God long preserve the Sultan-i-Mu'azzam upon the throne of sovereignty'!

not as it at present flows, but when it ran in its old bed. See also page 818, and note 4.

الرام we have ملتاره as above, but in one, instead of بلرام we have

On the 21st of the month of Sasar, 657 H. See pages 712 and 788, and the account of Ulugh Khān sarther on. It is strange that such leniency was shown to Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, for this was after his attempt to seize the capital, and after he had thrown off allegiance to the Dihli kingdom, and had received a Mughal Shahnah. He appears always to have been treated with the utmost consideration, and there must have been some reason for it.

It may not be amiss here to give an extract from the Tārīkh-i-Fīrūz-Shāhi of Ziyā-ud-Dīn, respecting Sher Khān, as there may be somewhat of the leaven of correctness in it, but, at the same time, it shows that the statements of Ziyā-ud-Dīn are not to be wholly depended upon, at least for the accounts of Sultān Balban's reign. I take this from the printed text, which, in many places, is lamentably incorrect.

"After four or five years of Sultān Balban's reign [had passed], thirty years after the decease of Sultān Shams-ud-Din, Sher Khān, the uncle's son of Sultān Balban, who was a Khān greatly honoured, and who had become as the Sadd-i-Yājūj Mājūj [the Barrier of Gog and Magog, or Great Wall of China] to the Mughals, died."

[As Sultān Shams-ud-Din died in 633 H., Sher Khān, consequently, died in 663 H. According to Ziyā-ud-Din, himself, Balban came to the throne in 662 H., but, according to others, Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, did not die until 664 H.]

"I have heard from some credible persons, that he, Sher Khān, used not to come to Dihli, and that Sultān Balban caused poison to be administered to him in his drink. [The word used is eth which is said to be a liquor made from barley and other things, a sort of beer.] This Sher Khān had built a lofty cupola at Bhatnir, and the fortresses of Bhatindah and Bhatnir are among the places founded by him.

"He was one of the great slaves of Sultan Shams-ud-Din, and one among the CHIHL-GANIAN—of that Sultan—Chihl—forty; gāniān—the plural form of the redundant particle used after numerals—BRIGGS' "Toorky tribe of

### XXIV. MALIK SAIF-UD-DĪN, Ī-BAK-I-KA<u>SH</u>LĪ <u>KH</u>ĀN-US-SULŢĀNĪ.

The Malik-ul-Ḥujāb [Chief of Chamberlains], Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Kashli Khān²—on whom be peace!—was the

HELGANY"!]—every one of whom became styled by the title of Khān, and Sher Khān had great confidence placed in him. From the reign of Nāṣir-ud-Din [Maḥmūd Shāh], Sunnām [in the text المرابع instead of hambelonging to the first clause of the sentence—and المرابع إلى Lohor, and Dibāl-pūr [in the text مرابع المحاسبة]. Lohor, and Dibāl-pūr [in the text مرابع المحاسبة] and other fiefs in the direction of the coming of the Mughals, the whole he held."

[The dependence to be placed upon the statements in this last sentence may be judged of from our author's account above—the statement of a contemporary writer living at Dihli, who knew him personally, and the statement of one "who heard" about these things ninety-five years after, and, who states that he has only taken up the history of these times from the end of Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, where our author left off. Sher Khān did not hold these fiefs during the period our author's work embraces; and so the last years of Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign still remain a blank with Ziyā-ud-Din, as with others.]

"He [Sher Khān] entertained many thousand well-organized and efficient cavalry in his service, and several times had he fallen upon the Mughals, turned them upside down, and dispersed them, and caused the Khutbah to be read for Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn at Chaznīn; and, on account of his vigilance, valour, strength, and grandeur, and the number of his followers, it was impossible for the Mughals to prowl around the frontiers of Hindūstān"!!

The statements contained in this paragraph are enough to stamp the Tārīkh i-Fīrūz Shāhī for the history of this period as utter rubbish. Our author's statements respecting Sher Khān and the fiefs he held, and of the state of the frontier on the Biāh, in the latter part of his account of Ulugh Khān, show, that these things were not true, and could not have been true. Malik Balbani-Kashlū Khān, who held Sind and Multān, and who was in league with the Mughals, is ignored altogether by Ziyā-ud-Dīn; but he, like Sher Khān, was living when our author closed his history. Firishtah, probably, got his version of this absurdity about Ghaznīn from Ziyā-ud-Dīn, only he relates it as taking place in 649 H. See page 689, and note 8.

According to Ziyā-ud-Dīn, Sher Khān reduced under his subjection the Jats, Khokhars, Bhatīs, the Mīnīs, and the Mandāhars, and was succeeded in the fief of Sunnām by Tamur Khān, who was also one of the Chihl-gānīān. He is not the person referred to by our author, at page 741, he having died many years previously.

<sup>2</sup> At page 702, he is styled Malik Kashli Khān, Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, Sultāni Shamsi [i. e. the slave of Sultān Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish], and further entitled, "Ulugh Kutlugh, A'zam-i-Băr-Bak."

There is no doubt, I think, but that the 'Ali-garh inscription given by Thomas [PATHÁN KINGS OF DEHLI, page 129, and by Blochmann, in his Contributions, page 40] refers to him, as his brother, Ulugh Khān, is neyer, throughout this work, styled "A'zam-i-Bār-Bak," but his brother did hold the office of Bār-Bak, and is styled Kutlugh and Saif-ul-Hakk wa ud-Dīn. He

brother, paternally and maternally, of the <u>Khān-i-Mu'azzam</u>, Ulugh <u>Khān-i-A'zam</u>. They were both two pearls of one shell, two suns and two moons of one exalted constellation, two rubies of one mine, two flowers of one parterre of prosperity, two Maliks of one royal Court, and two great lords of one imperial conclave. Their lineage was from the <u>Khāns</u> of the Ilbari; and, when the infidel Mughals acquired predominance over the countries of Turkistān and the tribes of <u>Khifchāk</u>, as a matter of necessity, it became incumbent on them to remove, with their families, dependents, and effects, from their accustomed place of abode.

Malik Kashlī Khān-i-I-bak was the younger brother, and the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-ì-A'zam, the elder. At this time the [future] Malik and Amīr-i-Ḥājib was of tender years; and, when they [the tribe] decamped before the Mughals, on their way was marshy ground, and the [future] Malik, the Amīr-i-Ḥājib, in the night, fell out of the waggon, in which he was, into the mud, and no one had the power to take him out of the quagmire, because the Mughals were at their heels. They urged forward their waggons, and he [the child] remained in that same place [where he fell]. Ulugh Khān returned to the spot where his little brother was, and took him up. A second time the Mughals came up behind them, and the [future] Malik, the Amīr-i-Ḥājib, fell into their hands.

By the decrees of heaven, a merchant, having purchased him, brought him to the cities of Islām; and Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Rashid-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr, the Ḥabash [Abyssinian], who had proceeded from the Court of Dihli on a mission to Misr [Egypt] and Baghdād<sup>3</sup>, purchased the [future] Malik, the

also held the fief in which 'Alī-garh, otherwise Sābit garh, is situated, but not until 653 H. I doubt, however, the correctness of the reading of *Balban* in the inscription given in the first-named work.

The year 652 H., mentioned in the reading of this inscription, is that in which Ulugh Khān and his party, who had been ousted from power, succeeded, in the latter part of it, in regaining it; and, at this time, his brother had been recently deprived of his office, and sent to the fief of Karah. Whoever he was, it would require a great stretch of the imagination to conceive what he had to do with China—

Baghdad with a robe of honour, from the Khalifah, for I-yal-timish, mentioned under the latter's reign, at page 616, which see, and note 2.

Amir-i-Ḥājib, from that merchant. Indications of rectitude were manifest on his brow, and Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk brought him from thence to the capital, Dihli, and the august Sultān [I-yal-timish] purchased him of Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk. The lights of intellect and intelligence, and the indications of rectitude and sagacity were beaming upon his brow. These words are written from a sense of justice and truth, for, among the Turks, a Malik more sagacious, with more modesty or more constancy, the eye of beholder never gazed upon. The Most High God had graced him with divers endowments of manliness and humanity, and adorned him with laudable qualities and excellent conduct.

In wisdom and sagacity he excelled all the Wazirs of the past, and, in valour and prowess, had placed the foot of manhood higher on the pinnacle [of perfection?] than the whole of the champions of Irān and Tūrān. May the Most High God, in the gardens of bliss on high, confer upon him pardon and mercy, and bless him; and continue the Khān-i-Mu'azzam [his brother], in sway and authority, permanent and perpetual! 'We now come to the topic of history.

When the august Sultan purchased the [future] Malik, the Amir-i-Hājib, he continued to serve in the Sultan's

I-yal-timish evidently despatched this envoy to the court of the Khalifah of Baghdād to seek from him a deed of investiture as sovereign of Hindūstān. This was done probably after he had "secured" all his rivals, and found himself firmly established, and the person above mentioned was his envoy. The Khalj Sultān of Lakhanawaṭi appears to have done the same. See note page 774.

4 No place mentioned, but, from what is stated above, he may have purchased him at Baghdād.

The Calcutta Printed Text, and two modern copies of the text, with slight variation, have the words—"who is the Bādshāh of the age, and the Shāhan-Shāh of the time" here; and, from this, Thomas says, it is a proof that this part of our author's work was written when Balban was King of Dihli. There are, however, many proofs to the contrary, in the shape of several invocations for the reigning Sultān and for Ulugh Khān in the same sentence farther on, and a more particular proof in the fact, that this evident interpolation does not occur in two of the three oldest copies of the text, nor even in the I. O. L. MS. 1952, and R. A. S. MS. By what follows after the word Mu'azzam we need scarcely imagine, from the power which Ulugh Khān held as Deputy of the kingdom under the puppet Sultān—the former possessing and exercising the whole power in reality—that our author means that Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, was dead, for, a few lines farther on, such a doubt is set at rest completely. Our author evidently refers to his authority as Deputy of the kingdom, and no more.

own presence chamber, until, in the reign of Sultan Raziyyat, he became the deputy Sar-i-Jān-dār. After some time, in the reign of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, he was made Sar-i-Jān-dār 6. Subsequently, during the reign of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, he became Amir-i-Akhur. He continued, in this manner, to exercise that authority and office up to the time that the throne of sovereignty became adorned with the august dignity of the Sultan of Sultans, Nasir-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, Mahmud Shāh—May God prolong his reign and sovereignty!—and, when the Sultan conferred upon the Khan-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam-May God prolong his prosperity! -the name and title of Khan, the Malik, Kashli Khan, was elevated from the office of Amir-i-Akhur to the dignity of Amir-i-Hājib. When Nāg-awr was taken from Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, it was entrusted to the charge of Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Kashli Khan, the Amir-i-Hājib 7:

Whilst Malik Kashli Khān filled the office of Amiri-Ḥājib, he studied so much to please the great, the middle rank, and the least in degree, as the pen cannot write, and showed such regard and favour towards the Turk Maliks, the Tājzik nobles, and the Khalj Amirs, as cannot be tontained within the limits of writing. All hearts became filled with good-will towards him, and all persons felt obliged by his favours. When the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, departed for Nāg-awr, they gave the khiṭṭah [district or territory] of Karah to the Amir-i-Ḥājib, Kashli Khān, his brother, and to that part he proceeded. When Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam returned to the Court again, the Amir-i-Ḥājib returned likewise, and he became Amir-i-Ḥājib the second time.

After some time, when, in the month of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 653 H., Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, son of 'Ali [the Ghūri, who was the Lieutenant of the kingdom]—May he rest in peace!—departed to the eternal mansion, the territory

<sup>•</sup> The printed text generally turns جامدار Jān-dār into جامدار - Jām-dār.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See pages 689 and 781.

<sup>•</sup> When he was ousted from office, deprived of the fief of Hānsi, and forced to content himself with the fief of Nāg-awr.
• See page 702.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He was put to death, and the reason of it is not plainly stated. It is another of our author's mysteries.

and city of Mirat was placed in the Amir-i-Hājib's charge, to the skirt of the mountains of Bandiaran. During some years he reduced under his sway those confines and districts, and he took possession of the country within the mountain territory of Bandiārān , as far as Rurki, and Miā-pūr, and extorted tribute, and overthrew Ranas and independent [Hindu] tribes, and reduced them to subjection, until the year 656 H., when weakness gained the ascendancy over his dear body and delicate form, and his intestines became swollen<sup>3</sup>. Through excessive modesty and bashfulness, he did not make known the whole of his disease to any one, and, for a period of some months, he endured affliction; and, as the appointed time was come, on Sunday, the 20th of the month of Rajab, 657 H., he transmitted his blessed soul, on the couch of pardon, attended by the escort of sincere piety, to the presence of majesty and nearness of glory.

May the Most High God keep in His protection the sovereign of the present time, the Sultan of Sultans, Nāṣirud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, for the sake of His most illustrious prophet, Muḥammad!

XXV. UL-KHĀĶĀN-UL-MUA'ZZAM-UL-A'ZAM, BAHĀ-UL-ḤAĶĶ WA UD-DĪN, ULUGH KHĀN-I-BALBAN-US-SULTĀNĪ 4.

The Khākān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, is of

<sup>2</sup> Six copies of the text, including the three oldest, have الدياران as above, two have مديان one مديان one مديان one the others are unintelligible. The Kumā'ūn mountains are undoubtedly referred to, and I' should have expected the first part of the word to have been الدي—Nandt or الدي—Nandah. Nandah Diwi is the name of one of the peaks overlooking them.

دركى The second word is written دركى in the majority of the copies, in some دركى and ددكى [these are probably meant for مركى as, in MS.] ددكى are much alike if carelessly written], and دوكى Miā-pūr occurs in every copy collated with a single exception, which has Mahā-pūr.

I have spelt Rurki, as it should be written with the equivalent of Sanskrit The Miā-pūr, here mentioned, is probably Miā-pūri, a very old place, a little to the S.W. of Hardwar [Hrad-war].

Hernia probably.

4 That is, Balban, the Sultān's slave. It is a wholly erroneous statement that Ulugh Khān was called "Bahá-ud-Dín Balban, Ulugh Khán:" the title Bahā-ul-Ḥakk wa ud-Dín—a title bestowed by our author—is also given to his cousin, Sher Khān, and to his brother, Saif-ud-Dín, I-bak. Ulugh

the posterity of the renowned <u>Khāns</u> of the Ilbari [tribe]. The father of Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, <u>Sh</u>er <u>Khān-i-Sunķar</u>, and the father of Ulugh <u>Khān-i-A'zam</u>, were born of one father and one mother. The father of these two was ot the seed of Abar <u>Khān</u>, the Ilbari, and he was the <u>Khān</u> of about 10,000 families; and their affinity to the Ilbari [tribe] of Turkistān is well-known among the tribes of Turks. At this period, the sons of his [Ulugh <u>Khān's</u>] paternal uncle still continue to hold the name of greatness among those tribes of Turks: this fact was heard [by the author] from the late Malik, Kuret <u>Khān-i-Sanjar</u>.

Forasmuch as the Almighty God had willed to grant a bulwark for the support of Islām and the stability of the Muḥammadi religion, and confer a shelter of protection in [this] the end of the world, and keep Hindūstān within the area of His own favour and the sphere of integrity, He had removed Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in his youth, from Turkistān, and, on account of the domination of the Mughals in that country, had severed him from his family and kinsmen, and from among his tribes and people, so that they brought him to Baghdād. The Khwājah, Jamāl-ud-Dīn, of Baṣrah

Khān's name, before he received that title, was Ghiyās-ud-Din, Balban. See Thomas: PATHÁN KINGS, page 124.

- There is not a word about "Khákáns" of the Ilbari either in the MS. copies of the text or the Calcutta Printed Text, as in Elliot: India, vol. ii. page 360. The word is المرقبة The renown of the "renowned" Khāns above mentioned is not recorded in history I believe. It is somewhat remarkable that Shāms-ud-Din, and his three slaves—Ulugh Khān, his brother, and their cousin—should have been all of the same tribe, as I have before noticed. In one copy of the text only, the name of the tribe is written الرقبة Ilbarri. It is also strange that the name of Ulugh Khān's father is not mentioned.
- Several copies of the text have "Sultan" instead of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, but the former may be attributed to over-zealous copyists.
- This name is written in several ways, but the majority of copies have Abar, with the vowel points, some have A-Ayah, one A-Abah, one A-Ayyah, and some leave it out altogether.
- Some copies have "and from thence into Gujarāt," but this does not occur in the oldest copies. Abū-'Abd-ullah, Muḥammad, of Ṭānjīrs, otherwise, the son of Baṭūṭah, in his Travels, gives the following account of Ulugh Khān, I quote the translation by Lee. "This man's name was originally Balaban [Balban]; his character had been just, discriminating, and mild: he filled the office of Nawāb [Nawwāb] of India, under Nāsir Oddīn [Nāṣir-ud-Dīn], for twenty years: he also reigned twenty years. . . . When a child he lived at Bokhāra in the possession of one of its inhabitants, and was a little despicable ill-looking wretch. Upon a time, a certain Fakeer saw him there, and said, 'You little Turk!' which is considered by them as a very reproachful

—on whom be peace!—who was noted for his piety, honesty, resolution of purpose, and conscientiousness, purchased him, and used to foster him in the hall of his kindness like a son. As the signs of integrity and sagacity were clear and manifest upon his sacred brow, he [the Khwājah] was wont to look upon him with the eye of benevolence, and regard him with special esteem; and, in the year 630 H., he brought Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to the capital city of Dihlī, at which time the throne of sovereignty was adorned with the sublime majesty of the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish—May he rest in peace!—and brought, along with him, several other Turks, to the presence of the Sultān.

When the sacred look of that august monarch fell upon Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, under the auspices of his dignity and sagacity, the whole of those Turks were disposed of, and he was honoured with an office before the throne. As the lustre of felicity and the effulgence of prosperity

term. The reply was: "I am here, good Sir!" This surprised the Fakeer, who said to him, 'Go and bring me one of those pomegranates,' pointing to some which had been exposed for sale in the street. The urchin replied, 'Yes, Sir;' and immediately, taking out all the money he had, went and bought the pomegranate. When the Fakeer received it, he said to Balaban, 'We give you the kingdom of India.' Upon which the boy kissed his own hand, and said, 'I have accepted of it, and am quite satisfied.'

"It happened, about this time, that the Sultan Shams Oddin sent a merchant to purchase slaves from Bokhāra and Samarkand. He accordingly bought a hundred, and Balaban was among them. When these Mamlüks were brought before the Sultan, they all pleased him except Balaban, and him he rejected, on account of his despicable appearance. Upon this, Balaban said to the Emperor, 'Lord of the world! why have you bought all these slaves?' The Emperor smiled, and said, 'For my own sake, no doubt.' The slave replied, 'Buy me then, for God's sake.' 'I will,' said he. He then accepted him, and placed him among the rest; but, on account of the badness of his appearance, gave him a situation among the cup-bearers"!!

Ulugh Khān has the reputation of having been a very fine man.

The traveller appears to have mixed up an anecdote respecting the Atā-Bak, Îlatt-giz, of 'Irāk and Āzarbāijān, and that related of Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, by our author [page 600], into one delightful jumble.

There is a great deal more of such like nonsense as this, but the translator mentions Firishtah, and quotes him—his text: not a translation—showing that, according to the crude idea of the writer, he considers the name Balban to be that of a Turkish tribe because several persons, so named, occur in Firishtah's history. After the same fashion I-bak would be the name of a Turkish tribe perhaps. See also note 3, page 678.

• ELLIOT: "When the monarch observed him he bought all the lot of Turks and appointed them to attend before his throne!"

shone upon his brow, the Sultān made him his Khāṣah-dār¹ [personal attendant] as if he had placed the falcon of dominion and power upon his sacred wrist; and, in fact, this took place in order, that, in the reign of his children, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam should restrain the enemies of the realm from violence and bar their ambition, and so it turned out to the end that the glory of the Shamsi sovereignty might shine forth from the horizon of good fortune. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam continued to serve in that office; and, by the will of heaven, he found his brother [the future] Kashli Khān, the Amir-i-Ḥājib, again, and greatly rejoiced at his re-appearance, and acquired strength therefrom.

When the throne of empire devolved upon Sultān Ruknud-Din, Firūz Shāh, Ulugh Khān went out of the capital along with the Turks when they left it, and proceeded towards [upper?] Hindūstān², and, when they were brought back, he returned likewise, in their army, and was imprisoned for a short time, and disappointment overspread his sacred face. The purpose, in that incident, may have been—God knows!—that he might realize the measure of misery of the griefstricken, so that, when he attained the felicity of dominion, he might have compassion upon such persons, and give thanks for the blessing of power.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Khāṣah-bardār is the name formerly applied to a soldier whose arms were furnished by his master, and, in more recent times, applied to the bearer of the betel box; but we are not to suppose that Sultān I-yal-timish was so much of a Hindū as to chew pān supārī. The word above used seems to signify a page, henchman, or personal attendant, perhaps a falconer.

The Tabakāt-i-Akbari states that Ulugh Khān was the slave and dāmād—son-in-law, and sometimes, but rarely, used for the husband of the sovereign's sister—of I-yal-timish, and Firishtah, of course, agrees. But where is there the least authority whatever for such a statement? Our author was not likely to conceal such a matter as this, tending to the glorification of his patron. To judge from the fact of his causing himself to be proclaimed Sultān [see page 661], the lenient manner in which he was treated after such continual and repeated misconduct, and an elephant having been assigned him, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān is more likely to have been related by marriage to I-yal-timish than Balban-i-Ulugh Khān. Fancy Ibn-Batūtah's "little despicable ill-looking wretch," his master's son-in-law! I-yal-timish died only about two years after the future Ulugh Khān's purchase, but Kashlū Khān was purchased in 624 H.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This refers to the affair which culminated at Tarā'in in 634. See page 638.

### ANECDOTE.

They have related that there was a monarch at the acme of felicity and the zenith of dominion. He had a son of extreme beauty and sagacity, merit and innocence. That monarch commanded, so that wherever wise, intelligent, learned, and accomplished persons were [to be found], they got them together for the purpose of instructing that son; and one of those accomplished men, who was superior to the others, and excelled the rest in a variety of wisdom, knowledge, and learning, and various arts and sciences, was selected by the monarch, and placed in charge of the education of that light of his eyes, his son.

The king commanded, saying: "It is necessary that this son of mine should acquire instruction in, and information respecting, the theory of the truths of religion, and thorough knowledge of the difficulties of power, the subtile distinctions of knowledge, the treasuring up of information, the conditions of government, the institutions of prosperity, the ways of fostering subjects, and the laws respecting the dispensation of justice, and that he should be acquainted with the contingencies and complications of them all."

That learned man placed the face of acceptance to the ground of service, and occupied himself in his task. When the prescribed period of the youth's education terminated. and the seeds of instruction came up, and the honorary robe of erudition became fitted to the person, and that son, the one fruit of the king's tree, became embellished in all accomplishments, they made known to the monarch the matter of his son's perfect acquirements. He commanded, saying: "It is necessary that the preceptor should be present in the audience-hall of sovereignty to-morrow at morning dawn; and let the prince also be brought thither, in order that the divers pearls of learning, which he has acquired, he may string upon the thread of demonstration, so that gentle and simple-high and low-may become enlightened and cognizant of the perfect knowledge, the elegance of attainments, the realities of capacity, and performances of penetration of my son."

On this command being issued, the preceptor solicited three days' delay from the monarch; and, on his request

being granted, the preceptor, early in the morning of the [next] day, mounted, and brought forth the young prince from the city for the purpose of taking a ramble. After they had passed beyond the habitations, the preceptor made the prince dismount, and constrained him to walk on in front of his [the preceptor's] horse, and obliged him to run along several leagues to keep up with his horse's cantering, in such wise that the delicate person of the prince became excessively afflicted from the fatigue of walking and running. So he brought him back to the city again.

The second day, the preceptor entered the school-room, and commanded the prince, saying: "Get up, and remain standing;" and, in this way, he kept him standing during the whole day, in such wise that the prince's tender body was sorely pained. When the third day came, the preceptor entered the school-room, and directed that the place should be cleared, tied the hands and feet of the king's son together, and inflicted upon him more than a hundred blows with a cane; and, from the severity of the flogging, all the limbs of the young prince's body, from the number of blows, became wounded. He left him thus bound, repeated the invocation before flight, and disappeared.

A number of servants, on becoming aware of the circumstance, liberated the king's son from his bonds, and sought for the preceptor, but could not find him. They made a representation to the king, and he directed them to bring his son before him; and, upon every science wherein they questioned him, they found him so proficient that "there is no exceeding perfection" fitly described his proficiency. The king remarked, saying: "The preceptor, in teaching and instructing, and making his pupil perfect, has, by the grace of Almighty God, not neglected the least thing. It would be well to know the cause of these cuts and hurts, and what was the reason of his flight."

He commanded, so that they used their utmost endeavours in seeking the preceptor; and, after a considerable time, and at a distant period, they again found him, and brought him into the monarch's presence. He showed the preceptor great reverence and honour, and inquired of

A prayer or invocation according to Musalman custom.

him the motive of the severe flogging, and compelling the young prince to run on foot on the first day, making him stand all the second day, the reason of leaving him bound. and the cause of his own disappearance, on the third. The preceptor, bowing the head of service to the ground of representation, replied: "May the king's felicity continue! It will be manifest to the sublime mind, that it behoveth the possessor of dominion to understand the condition of those persons who are objects of commendation and approval, and likewise the state of those individuals who are the objects of indignation and reprehension, so that whatever he may command in such circumstances may be fitting; and, in no manner whatsoever, either in pleasure or displeasure, may he deviate from the bounds of modera-Your slave was desirous of making the prince acquainted with the condition of the oppressed, the captive, and of the numbers who have to run before [his] horse, of the people who may have to remain standing [before him]. and of the state of those persons who may have become deserving of condign punishment, or of being made public examples of, so that, when exercising his royal wrath, he may conceive what measure of distress and pain their hearts and bodies suffer, and that, when he should have endured somewhat of such severities, whatsoever he may direct as regards punishment, running, or standing, he may do so in proportion to their powers of endurance and strength. The reason, moreover of my flight and disappearance was apprehension, since the noble person and delicate frame of the prince had sustained affliction, lest parental affection should have induced the king, in requital of such act on the part of his humble servant, to have censured him. whereby all his pains and labours would have been thrown awav."

This anecdote was applicable to the case of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam in the amount of trouble that befell him in being brought back again to the capital among the Turks , in order that, when he attained unto power, and became Lieutenant of the kingdom , he might be cognizant of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> It would be interesting to know why he was treated in this manner, but the reason does not transpire.

<sup>5</sup> The word used in one of the best and oldest copies of the text is نائب سلطة، على المائدة

condition of the broken and oppressed. May Almighty God cause justice and beneficence to be the associate of all his acts and proceedings!

We have returned to the subject of the narration of this history.

When the sovereignty devolved upon Sultan Razivvat. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam was, as before, Khāsah-dār, until good fortune came to his aid, and he became Amir-i-Shikar [Chief Huntsman]. The ball of fate was saying: "a world will be the quarry of his power, and a universe the prey of his authority," hence the first of his offices was that of the Chief Huntsmanship. When he had filled that office some time, and had done approved service, suddenly, the sun of the Raziyyat dynasty came to its setting, and the luminary of the Mu'izzi sovereignty arose, and the Ulugh Khani prosperity began to increase. As in the duties of Chief Huntsman he had filled that office well, and done approved service, he became Amir-i-Akhur, and the horses of state and of sovereignty came under the bridle of his possession. When Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, the Sūfi, was made Amir-i-Hājib, he, having a parental affection towards Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, showed a sincere regard for his welfare, and raised Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to a still higher degree. The fief of Riwari was entrusted to his charge; and, when he proceeded to that part, he thoroughly chastized the independent [Hindu] tribes of the Koh-payah? by the power of his valour, and reduced those tracts under his jurisdiction.

When the Mu'izzi sovereignty began to totter, and the Maliks, in concert together, appeared before the gate of the city [of Dihli], and the whole of the Amirs and Maliks

as above, and another has نات العنان but, if I left out the word طوبيل but, if I left out the word deputy or lieutenant—the sense would be materially changed, and it would be "in order that when he attained unto power and sovereignty," &c.,—but this is not meant by our author—and it might then naturally be supposed, by a reader, that this history was written during Balban's reign, if one solitary passage were sufficient to prove it, contrary to scores of others. See note 1, page 797.

<sup>6</sup> Not "lands:" it was an extensive and important tract of country, as the context shows.

In Elliot, page 362, the words are rendered "hill chiefs," but in several other places the word is not translated at all, and "the Mawahs" are introduced as if the word was a proper name. The Koh-pāyah is a tract repeatedly mentioned, the literal meaning of which is "hill skirt."

conspired among themselves, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—May his power be perpetuated !—who was feudatory of Riwāri, in concert with other Maliks and Amirs, displayed so much sagacity in ascertaining the aims and intentions of the Maliks, that among the whole of them—Turk or Tājzik, not one attained to the hundredth part thereof; and the whole [of them] admitted his firmness, heroism, intrepidity, and enterprise to be greater than that of all the Maliks and Amirs of that period. On the city being taken, the fief of Hānsi was made over to his charge, and, on that territory coming under his control, he turned his attention to its cultivation and improvement; and the people, from the effects of his justice and conspicuous liberality, became contented and prosperous.

Ulugh Khān's affairs became so flourishing, that the whole of the Maliks and Amirs began to be jealous at the freshness of his good fortune, and envy's disquieting thorn began to prick their minds, but, as Almighty God had willed that he should be greater than the whole [of them], by how much the more the fire of their envy increased, by so much the more did the incense of his prosperity, within the censer of time, diffuse additional perfume: "They endeavour to extinguish the light of God with [the breath of] their mouths, but God rejects aught but the perfection of his light."—May Almighty God prolong his office of power,

The Printed Text, and two MS. copies—modern ones—but neither of them the I. O. L. MS., No. 1952, nor the R. A. S. MS., have "the Sulfan-May his dominion and sovereignty continue!—who was feudatory of Riwari," &c., which convinces Mr. Dowson [Elliot: vol. ii. page 362] "that this part of the work was written in the reign of Balban." The majority of copies, however, including the oldest, have as rendered above. See note 4, next page.

The word "Maliks," here, does not signify princes any more than it does kings: it refers to those persons, with a single exception, slaves, mentioned in this Section. Compare Elliot here.

<sup>1</sup> In a few copies "to the charge of his retainers."

Here is a good proof of how the Calcutta Printed Text—the "official Text"—has been "revised" before printing. It has, whilst referring to Ulugh Khān the whole time—عالى الله الله الله الله thus turning him into a Sultān, a quarter of a century too soon. All the best copies have the name of Ulugh Khān, where Sultān has been inserted in the Printed Text, or Ulugh Khāni, which latter mode of writing makes it "the prosperity of the Ulugh Khāni affairs," whilst the first would be "the prosperity of the Ulugh Khān affairs." In two modern copies of the text, the word written in mistake for

and make this servant of the state, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, who is the author of this TABAKAT, feel due gratitude towards him. for his abundant benefactions combined with respect, for, should a thousand sections of paper be filled with closely written encomiums on his admirable qualities and inestimable virtues, it would be but as a drop in the vast ocean, and not even a single particle from out of the fragrance from the paradise-like flower garden [thereof] would have affected the smelling sense of hearer or reader; and, should a hundred thousand such-like effusions be composed. out of gratitude for the princely countenance of this great lord, at the foot-step of the exalted throne of the king of the sovereigns of the face of the earth-May God perpetuate his dominion and sovereignty !--towards this servant, in the entrusting of offices, the bestowal of appointments, and abundant benefactions, together with honour and reverence, which he still continues to bestow, even yet. the debts of gratitude will be due to him, in return for those benefits, by this servant, by his children, and by his family. May the Almighty God long preserve his high majesty, the Sultan of the Sultans of the universe. NASIR-UD-DUNYA WA UD-DIN, ABŪ-L-MUZAFFAR-I-MAHMŪD SHĀH, in the pomp of power, decked in the jewels of submission, and adorned with the garment of the services of that KHĀKĀN-I-MU'AZZAM, the Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, to the utmost bounds of possibility in the revolution of time, for the sake of Muhammad and the whole of his posterity!

We have returned to the subject of this history.

This frail one, in the year 640 H., chanced to undertake a journey to Lakhanawati. On this journey he continued two years with his family and dependents. Trustworthy

But two copies have the correct word here, which is —celestial—all the rest have ماحي the adjective derived from ساحي Malik, or Mulk.

<sup>4</sup> Had those, who looked upon the imperfect passages in the Printed Text for "proofs" that this account of Ulugh Khān was written in his reign, read or translated this passage, where "The author continues in a high strain of benediction and eulogy," they would have found undoubted proof that Ulugh Khān was not king when this was written. He was, however, father-in law to the Sultān and his Deputy or Lieutenant, in fact, his master, and possessed all the power, and Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, was a mere puppet. It is somewhat strange that there is not the most remote allusion to Ulugh Khān's having been manumitted throughout this work. It seems scarcely possible that he was still a slave.

persons have related on this wise, that, in the year 642 H.5, the Khākān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam became Amīr-i-Ḥājibin the illustrious capital, Dihlī, when the august standards—may victory and success expand them!—moved out of Dihlī, into the Do-āb of the Jūn and Gang, and when he gave the rebels of Jarālī and Datolī, and other independent [Hindū] tribes, a thorough chastisement, and carried on holy war, as by the faith enjoined, and the roads in the adjacent parts of that territory were cleared of the violence of the contumacious The author of this work [at this time], in conformity with the sublime commands, in company with Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, returned from Lakhaṇawaṭī again with his family and dependents, and arrived at the capital, Dihlī, in the year 643 H.6

In this same year, Mangūtah¹ the accursed, who was one of the Mughal leaders, and of the Maliks of Turkistān, led an army from the borders of Tāe-kān¹ and Kunduz, into the territories of Sind, and invested the fortress of Uchchah, which is one of the famous strongholds of the country of Sind, and of the territory³ of Manṣūrah. Within

- <sup>5</sup> The Calcutta Printed Text has 642 H.; and 641 H., as in ELLIOT, is incorrect. See page 664, and note <sup>3</sup>.
- <sup>6</sup> He is thus styled, except at page 810, throughout the remainder of this work, but I shall, for simplicity's sake, merely style him as previously, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam.
- r Every copy of the text has = 1/2 as above with very trifling differences, and the oldest and best copies have also seems intended for the same, but the copyists have put the points under and made the letter instead of and a few have no points at all. I fail to recognize these places. There is a Jurowli in Lat. 28° 17', Long. 78° 17', in the Survey Map, and a place named Atrowli, in Lat. 28° 2', Long. 78" 20'.
  - 8 This is not mentioned under the reign. See page 663, and note 9.
  - <sup>9</sup> See page 667, and 735.
- <sup>1</sup> In some few copies Mangūti, which is not correct. In Elliot, vol. ii. page 363, Mr. Dowson makes Mangū Khān of him, although his name is given correctly in the text. The Great Khān, Mangū Kā'ān, was never east of the Indus in his life.
- Two of the three oldest copies have طلعان Tal-kān—here, the third oldest and others الآمالي Tāl-kān, and المالية Tāl-kān, and some have no points to the third letter, hence it may be read Tāe-kān or Tāl-kān. The place intended is that east of "Kunduz," and is correctly Tāe-kān as distinct from Tāl-kān of Tukhāristān. I shall have to refer again to these places in the last Section, as they are very liable to be mistaken one for the other.
- The word ارض does not mean "equal to." The Printed Text is quite correct here, and "the words" are "as precise" as they are anywhere through-

that fortress a Khwājah Sarāe [Eunuch], one of the servants of Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Abū-Bikr, son of [the late] Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Kabīr Khān, Ayāz-i-Hazār-Mardah, named Mukhliş-ud-Dīn, was the Kotwāl-Bak [Seneschal], and a slaveof Ķabīr Khān, Aķ-Sunķar, by name, was the Amīr-i-Dād [Lord Justiciary].

When intimation of this irruption reached the capital, Malik Ulugh Khān represented it for the sublime consideration, and caused an army to be organized for the purpose of repelling the Mughals. While every one of the [other] Amīrs and Maliks was showing indecision about this undertaking, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam showed determination in carrying it out; and, when the royal standards moved for-

out that work. See Elliot: vol. ii. page 363. The very same word, at page 303 of that work, is rendered "lands."

Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, and his son Tāj-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr, are both mentioned at page 727, and there it is stated that, when the Mughals under the Nū-in, Mangūtah, turned their faces towards Lohor, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, who was feudatory of Multān, assumed sovereignty, and soon after, in 639 H., died. The death of his son is also recorded, but nothing whatever respecting this attack upon Ūchchah, which must have happened after the son's death, the date of which is not given.

There is an excellent specimen of the lamentable errors that may be caused through not knowing when and where the  $iz\bar{a}fat$ —so "un-Persian," and "never used to signify son of," according to Mr. Blochmann [See Appendix C., xvii., and his "Bengal Contributions," part iii. page 138], and which "is restricted to poetry, and does not occur in prose"—ought to be used, in the extract from our author's work given in Elliot. The following is the rendering of this passage in that work, vol. ii. page 363. "He laid siege to Uch, one of the most renowned fortresses of Sindh, and equal to Mansúra. There was a eunuch in (command of) the fort who belonged to the household of Tajil-d-din. Abil Bakr-Kabir Khán Aksunkar was chief justice, and Mukhlisu-d-din was kotwal." This last rendering is well worthy Mr. Blochmann's attention.

Here we have Tāj-ud-Dīn, Abū-Bikr's title and name separated into two parts, then his name is given to his father, Kabīr Khān, and the father's name and title, and his son's name also, are all given to Kabīr Khān's SLAVE whose name was Ak-Sunkar. I need but add that, in this instance, the Calcutta "official" Text is perfectly correct with the exception of not having all the father's names and titles recorded as above: had they all been contained in it, what a number might not have been heaped upon the slave! Kabīr Khān's titles, and his son's will be found at pages 724—727.

- <sup>4</sup> He is thus styled in the text, but did not receive the title of Ulugh Khān until many years after—in 664 H. At this period he was Malik Ghiyāg-ud-Dīn, Balban, only.
- <sup>5</sup> Not "his views." He brought it to the Sultān's notice by virtue of the office he held. He was the cause of an army's being got ready. See page 667 and note <sup>4</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See note <sup>9</sup>, page 807.

ward towards that [threatened] quarter, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—Be his power prolonged!—despatched guides in advance on the line of route, so that [the troops] used to get over the marches with rapidity. He was wont to represent to the troops that the [next] halting-ground would be about eight kuroh off, and [consequently] about twelve kuroh, and even more than that they used to march, until the forces reached the banks of the Biāh, and passed over that river, and he conducted them to the banks of the river Rāwah [Rāwi] of Lohor s.

In this manner used he to show such-like determination on this expedition, and such lion-heartedness, and was wont to stimulate the Sultan and Maliks to repel the infidel Mughals, until Monday, the 25th of the month of Sha'ban, 643 H., when intimation reached the royal camp that the army of infidel Mughals had raised the investment of the fortress of Uchchah. The cause of it was, that, on reaching the vicinity of the river Biah, Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam appointed couriers, and directed so that they wrote letters from the sublime presence to the garrison of the fort of Uchchah, and announced to them the approach of the royal standards, the vast numbers of the array and elephants, the host of cavalry with the army, and the courage of the soldiery in attendance at the august stirrup, and despatched them towards the fortress of Uchchah. A division from the army was moved on in front, to act as a reconnoitring force and advance guard.

When the couriers reached the vicinity of Uchchah, a few of these letters fell into the hands of the host of the accursed, and some reached the people of the fortress. On the drum of joy being beaten in the fort, and the subject of the letters, the advance of the victorious army, and approach of the royal standards, becoming manifest to the

<sup>7</sup> His object, in making the troops believe that the marches were much shorter than they were in reality, can be easily seen through, but compare ELLIOT, vol. ii. page 363.

There is nothing in the text about "reaching Lahore:" it is the Rāwah [in some, Rāwī] of Lohor. See also page 726, and page 792.

As the Biāh and Rāwi then flowed, before the Sutlaj ran in its present bed, the Dilhi forces would have been in a position to threaten the Mughal line of retreat, as stated farther on, and would have marched down the Do-abah and reached Uchchah without having any other river to cross. See the note on the Lost River or Hakrā, and the changes in the beds of the Panjāb rivers.

accursed Mangūtah, and the cavalry of the advance guard approaching the banks of the river Biāh of Lohor, near to the frontiers of the territory of Sind, fear and terror became manifest in the heart and spirit of the Mughal [leader], and the favour of the Creator became a helper of the Dihli army].

Trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that, when Mangūtah became aware of the advance of the army of Islām, and approach of the royal standards, and that the army moved towards the river Biāh, near the skirts of the mountains, and from thence, in the same manner, was marching along the banks of that river, he made inquiry of some persons what might be the reason of the deviation of the army of Islām towards the skirts of the hills, because that was a longer route, while that by way of Sursuti and Marūṭ was near. They replied that, on account of the number of islands on the banks of the river there might

- See under the reign of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, page 667.
- 1 Not " prisoners" necessarily.
- <sup>3</sup> Compare Elliot, vol. ii. page 364, where Marūt is supposed to be intended for *Mīrat*. It is the same place as mentioned at page 350 of the same volume, where the same error is made. See also page 688.

Marūt is a well known place. It is a small town with a bastioned wall, in the direct route from Dihli to Bahāwal-pūr and Üchchah, and to Bahāwal-pūr and Multān. It is only about five degrees west of "Mirat," if that east of Dihli is referred to. Bahāwal-pūr is, comparatively, quite a modern town. The Mughals seem to have been pretty well acquainted with the geography of these parts.

<sup>3</sup> Sic in MSS. Long narrow banks of sand, probably extending in some places for several miles, and, sometimes, of some height, are doubtless meant here, such as are formed after the annual inundations, with water, sometimes of considerable depth, between. These would have caused great obstructions, and have taken much time to cross, and, therefore, the forces of Dihli kept faither north, and made their march a flank movement at the same time, which may have been the original intention. In what direction they went may be seen farther on.

The above passage, as rendered in Elliot, is quoted by the writer of an interesting article in one of the numbers of the Calcutta Review for 1874, entitled "The Lost River of the Indian Desert," to prove his theory respecting it; but the passage in question is not correct in the Calcutta Printed Text, neither is it quite correctly rendered in the translation referred to. The word in the printed text which is supposed to mean "fissures" [plural], namely, is but part of the word action is supposed to mean "fissures" [plural], namely, is but part of the word action is supposed to mean "fissures" [plural], namely, is but part of the word does not quote Elliot correctly. He says, "It is stated in the Tabakát-i-Násiri that when Uchh was besieged by the Mughals in 643 H. (A.D. 1245) the army sent to its relief was unable to march by Sarsuti and Marot, in consequence of the drought on the banks

not be a road for the army of Islām. Mangūtah remarked: "This is a vast army: we have not the power to resist it: it is necessary to retire;" and fear overcame him and his army lest, if they remained longer, their line of retreat should be cut off 4. Their army was formed into three divisions, and routed, they fled, and numerous captives, both Musalmān and Hindū, obtained their liberty [in consequence]. The instrument of that success was the vigour, the military talent, intrepidity, and zeal of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam', for, had he not shown such lion-heartedness and heroism, such a success would not have resulted. Almighty God of His favour and beneficence have him in His keeping!

After such a success came to pass, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam represented that it was advisable that the royal forces should move towards the river Sūḍharah, in order that their strength, their number, valour, and energy might be established in the hearts and minds of the enemy, and, according to that counsel, the army marched to the banks of the

of the river." Neither is drought nor inability to match mentioned in our author's text, and, even in the passage in ELLIOT, there is not a word about drought.

The lower part of the course of the present Ghārah, which formed, or close to which lay, at the period in question, the bed of the Biāh, before they flowed in the same channel, had low banks of soft alluvial earth which were overflowed to the extent of several miles on occasion of the slightest swell.

I shall probably have to refer to this article on the "LOST RIVER" again when I come to the account of the investment of <u>Uchchah</u> in the next Section. The mention of this lost river is by no means new: a great deal respecting it is contained in a geographical work in Persian, written in the last century from a personal survey, and to which excellent work I have often referred in these notes.

Compare this passage in ELLIOT.

<sup>5</sup> Our author had forgotten, probably, that he had just before attributed this favourable upshot of the affair to Divine aid, and forgets to mention, here, the wide spread disaffection, at this very time, in 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh's army. See page 668.

I think it is rather doubtful, from our author's own words, in his previous accounts of this reign, whether Ulugh Khān possessed such power at this time. As Amīr-i-Ḥājib, no doubt it was very considerable, but there were a great number of powerful Maliks living at this period, who brought about the dethronement of 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, and set up his uncle, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh. Our author does not mention Ulugh Khān's having had anything in particular to do with that matter, and would scarcely have omitted to mention it, had he been the instrument of the latter's accession to the throne.

river Sūḍharah<sup>6</sup>, until, on the 27th of the month of <u>Shawwāl</u>, 643 H., the army set out from the banks of the Sūḍharah on its return to the capital, Dihli, which was reached on Monday, the 12th of the month of <u>Z</u>i-Ḥijjah, of the same year.

During this short time, the disposition of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dṛn, Mas'ūd Shāh, received a change towards the Maliks; and, for the greater part of that [time] that he was wont to be invisible to the army, malignity had become established in his mind. The whole of the Maliks, in league together, wrote secretly and surreptitiously, and tendered their allegiance to Sultān' Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, and besought him to put his august standards in motion [towards the capital], for the purpose of assuming the sovereignty. On Sunday, the 23rd of the month of Muḥarram', 644 II., he reached the capital accordingly, and ascended the throne of sovereignty—May he be preserved for many years!

Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam 'represented [to the new Sultān] "Since the Khutbah and coin of the kingdom are adorned with the august Nāṣirī name, and, in the past year, the army of the accursed [Mughals], having fled before the forces of Islām, are gone towards the upper country, it may be advisable that the royal forces should march towards the upper [parts] 1." In accordance with this expedient counsel 3, the expedition to the upper parts was determined upon; and, on Monday, the 1st of the month of Rajab, 644 H., the sublime standards moved out of the capital; and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in using celerity and getting over the stages, continued to strive,3 until the banks of the river Sūḍharah were reached. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam,

- <sup>6</sup> This movement is not mentioned at all under the reign. See page 668, and page 678, and note <sup>1</sup>. Our author seems to have confused his statements here.
- 7 That is, who became Sultan subsequently. He was simply Malik Nāṣirud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, at this time.
- <sup>8</sup> The same day on which 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd <u>Sh</u>āh, was seized and imprisoned.
- What office Ulugh Khān held, after the accession of the new Sultān, is not mentioned, but we may assume that he was confirmed in his former office of Amīr-i-Ḥājib.
  - 1 Compare Elliot here.
- <sup>2</sup> The R. A. S. MS. is defective from this word, to the words "sacred knot," page 820.
  - 3 The Calcutta Printed Text is descetive here.

with the Maliks and Amirs of Islām [with their contingents], separated from the army on an expedition to the Jūd Hills in order to wreak vengeance on the Rānah of those Hills, who, in the previous year, had acted as guide to the Mughal army.

With that object they pushed onwards, and the Jud Hills and parts adjacent to the river Jilam [Jhilam] they assailed; and the army of Islam carried its incursions, and ravaged [the country], as far as the banks of the river Sind. and despoiled Ias-Pāl, Sihrā [or Sehrā], and the whole of his tribes '. The Musalman force was taken over the river Jilam [Jhilam], and carried its ravages as far as the banks of the river Sind, in such wise, that all women, families, and dependents of the infidels who were in those parts, took to flight, and a body [of men] from the army of the infidel Mughals came to the ferries of the Jilam [Jhilam], and beheld the lines of the Musalman troops serving under Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and fear fell upon their hearts, at the number of troops composing his army, the number of cavalry in defensive armour, and the abundance of arms, and war material; and they wondered greatly, and great terror took possession of that gathering. That vigour, military organization, and overthrowing of enemies, in the assault of mountain heights, the gorges of mountain passes. and of ravines, the capturing of strong places and forts, and penetrating of forests, which Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam displayed, cannot be contained within the area of recital, and the fame of that holy warfare extended as far as the land of Turkistān.

In this tract<sup>1</sup>, as there were neither fields nor tillage, supplies were not to be obtained, and, of necessity, Ulugh

- 4 Maliks and Amirs are not necessarily "generals."
- <sup>5</sup> This plainly indicates that the Mughals came through the Sind-Sāgar Doābah, and accounts for the flank march of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh's army.
- <sup>6</sup> Around Nandanah, and the territory of the Khokhar tribe. See under the reign, page 678.
  - 7 Many copies of the text are defective here.
- 8 Compare Elliot also. The Mughals would have scarcely "crossed over the Juilan" [Jhilam?] the same side as the Dihli army was, when they were so terrified at Ulugh Khān's host, unless they wished to become captives.
- What a pity that our author did not deem it necessary to name some of them!
  1 Beyond the Jhilam.

Khān-i-A'zam was compelled to return again. When he presented himself in the presence of the Court, victorious, triumphant, and safe, with the whole of the troops, and the Amirs and Maliks who were along with him, the sublime standards moved to return again towards the illustrious capital, Dihli, on Thursday, the 25th of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 644 H. On Thursday, the 2nd of the month of Muḥarram, 645 H., the capital was reached.

Since, through the firmness of counsel, and the justness of determination of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the army of Turkistān and Mughal had beheld those exploits and that military organization, during this year, 645 H., not a single man, from the parts above 3, came towards the territory of Sind. Therefore, in the month of Sha'ban of this same year, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam represented for the sublime consideration, "it is advisable, that, during this year, the sublime standards should be put in motion for the purpose of ravaging and carrying on holy war in the extreme parts of the territory of Hindustan , in order that the independent [Hindū] tribes, and Rāes and Rānahs, who, during the last few years, have not been punished, may receive a thorough chastisement, that booty may fall into the hands of the troops of Islam, and means to repel the infidel Mughals, in the shape of wealth, may be amassed."

In accordance with that prudent counsel, the august standards were put in motion towards Hindūstān, and moved down the middle of the Do-ābah of the Gang and Jūn; and, after much fighting with the infidels, the army gained possession of the fort of Talsandah. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Sultan remained with his camp, and a great portion of his forces, on the banks of the Sūdharah or Chinab during this raid to the Sind or Indus.

<sup>3</sup> That is from the Sind-Sāgar Do-ābah, and west of the Indus. It seems that the Mughals, previous to this, made almost yearly raids upon the border tracts of Sind and Multān.

In the Antarbed Do-āb, E. of Dihli, and in Awadh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The word Nandanah, contained in the Calcutta Printed Text only, is totally incorrect. *Nandanah* is in the Sind-Sāgar Do-ābah. The correct word is given in a foot-note in the Printed Text, but, in Elliott, the former is copied. See foot-note of page 347 to that work also.

At page 679, under the events of the year 645 H., it is stated to have been situated within the limits of Kinnauj. See note 1 to that page.

The word is plainly written, in the three best copies of the text, and in two others ناسنده and the only variation, in other good copies is بلسيده

with others of the Maliks of Islām, and troops, were despatched to coerce Dalaki of Malaki, and he was a Rānah in the vicinity of the river Jūn, which is between Kālinjar and Karah, whom the Rāes of the parts around Kālinjar and Mālwah weed not to be able to gain superiority over, on account of the number of his dependents and followers, the immensity of his wealth, the difficulty of the routes, the stability of position, the strength of the narrow, winding, defiles, the denseness of numerous forests, and strong mountains, places, which had never, at any time, been reached by Musalmān troops.<sup>7</sup>

When Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam reached the locality where that Rānah had taken up his position, and the place of his abode, he displayed so much perseverance in his own defence, and the defence of his family and children, that, from the time of early morning until the period of evening prayer, he remained; and, when night came, he repeated the invocation of flight, and removed from that place to [other] strong positions. When the day broke, the troops of Islam entered that place and dwelling of his, and [afterwards] pursued him. That accursed one had ascended the high mountains, and had withdrawn to a place, to enter the narrow defiles of which was impossible without the greatest contrivance, and the aid of ropes and ladders? Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam stimulated the Musalmān troops to holy warfare, and, animated by his entreaties, commands, and gestures, they took the place. They captured the whole of the Ranah's family, kinsmen, and children, together with cattle, and horses, and captives, in great number; and such an amount of booty fell into the hands of the troops of Islam that the conception of the arithmetician would be helpless in recounting it.

<sup>6</sup> The Raes of Kalinjar and Malwah are not referred to. The text has, as rendered above— رايان اطراف كالمحرو مالود

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This shows the fallacy of reading "Chin" instead of Ujjain, referred to in note <sup>3</sup>, page 517, when the Sultans of Dihli, half a century subsequent to the period there mentioned, had not subdued the Hindū rulers of these parts so near their very capital.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Compare Elliot here, page 366-367, vol. ii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Here the hill tracts extending to the left bank of the Son are evidently referred to.

<sup>1</sup> Our author appears not to have known the name of the place in question.

On the last day of the month of Shawwāl, 645 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with vast booty, rejoined the Sultān's camp [at Karah]; and, after the festival of the Azhā, the sublime standards moved forward to return to the capital. An account of the whole of that expedition and holy warfare is composed [by the author] in verse, in a separate book, and that book has been named the Nāṣirī Nāmah. On the 24th of the month of Muharram, 646 H., the capital was reached.

Subsequently, in the month of <u>Sh</u>a'bān, 646 II., the royal standards moved towards the upper provinces as far as the extreme confines, and the bank of the river Biāh, and from thence returned again to the capital.

Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, along with other Maliks under his orders, with numerous forces, was appointed to proceed towards Rantabhūr<sup>5</sup>, and to ravage the Koh-pāyah of Mewāt, and the territory of Nāhar Dīw<sup>6</sup>, who was greatest of all the Rāes of Hindūstān. The whole country, and the

<sup>2</sup> The word used is which does not signify "beginning," but the contrary.

<sup>3</sup> There is considerable discrepancy here. Under the events of this year at page 681, it is said that Karah was reached, by the Sultan, on the 12th of Zi-Ka'dah—the 'Id-i-Azhā is on the 10th—and that, thirty days previous to that date, Ulugh Khān, and other great Maliks associated with him, had been

despatched on this expedition.

4 On the march back from Karah, Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, the Sultān's brother, who held the fief of Ķinnauj, presented himself to the Sultān, and the fiefs of Sanbhal and Budā'ūn were assigned him. The prince, soon after, for some reason or other, became frightened or disaffected, and fled to Lāhor, abandoning his fiefs. I shall have more to say, respecting this mysterious matter, in the next Section. The march to the Biāh, mentioned a few lines under, was evidently connected with his flight in some way; but, strange to say, under the reign it is not mentioned, and an expedition "against the infidels of the hills and plains" is stated to have been undertaken in that month and year, and the despatch of forces towards Rantabhūr is afterwards mentioned. See page 684, and page 793, and note 4. See also where Sher Khān-i-Sunkar is mentioned as having joined Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, at page 793, and note 7.

<sup>5</sup> This stronghold had been taken by I-yal-timish in 623 H., but, after his death, the Hindus had closely invested it; and, in Raziyyat's reign, the garrison was withdrawn, and the fort destroyed. See page 642.

6 The Calcutta Printed Text refers its readers to page 110—as if this chief were one and the same with Chāhar, the Ajār, mentioned at page 691, which see. Thomas [PATHÁN KINGS OF DEHLÍ, page 125] also falls into the same error. Ulugh Khān did not make war upon Chāhir, the Ajār, twice, but once. This Nāhar Dīw is a different person altogether. See pages 824 and 828, farther on.

confines of that territory were ravaged, and a large amount of booty was acquired, and, at the foot of the fort of Rantabhūr, on Sunday, the 11th of the month of Zi-Hijjah, 646 H., Malik Bahā-ud-Din, I-bak, the Khwājah, attained martyrdom. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam was engaged [at this time] in holy warfare on another side of the fortress, and his dependents were [also] occupied in battle and holy warfare, and despatched numbers of the infidels to hell. Immense booty, and invaluable property was acquired, and the Musalmān troops were made rich with plunder, and returned to the sublime presence.

In this year, the royal mind evinced a desire to enter into a matrimonial alliance with the family of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam<sup>8</sup>, who, every year, in leading the forces, and efforts in the service of the sublime Court, continued to display praiseworthy proofs, to such degree that no monarch has ever had a servant who, having attained the dignity of Khān and Malik, possessed a greater soul or more august temperament than Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, of honour greater, of counsel more prudent, in leading armies more intrepid, in overthrowing focs more victorious, more worthy of being dignified with the honour of a matrimonial alliance with His Majesty, the Sultan-ul-A'zam, Nāsir-ud-Dunyā Wa UD-DIN, ABŪ-L-MUZAFFAR-I-МАНМŪD SHĀH—whose dominion and sovereignty may God long continue!--and, by virtue of that alliance, labours for the glory of the kingdom, and the destruction of enemies in adjacent parts were likely to be increased.

Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with due reverence and submission to command, complied, and repeated the saying: "The slave and what he possesses is the property of his master," and that union became completed by the sacred

<sup>7</sup> In a few copies, the 15th, but, under the reign, the 11th of the month is also mentioned.

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Dowson, who translates the account of Ulugh Khān in Elliot, renders this passage thus: "In the course of this year his majesty was pleased to recognize the great ability of his general. He therefore promoted him from the rank of Malik, Sec.," and adds in a foot-note, "Many lines of eulogy are here compressed into this short but adequate statement." This adequate and compressed statement, as may be seen, leaves out all about the marriage of the Sultān to Ulugh Khān's daughter, in fact, both the following paragraphs given above. See also page 685 and note 4.

knot, on Monday, the 20th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 647 H., and the verse, "He hath let loose the two seas which meet together... Out of them come forth pearls and coral'," was made manifest. May the Most High God, during the lifetime of the Sultān-i-A'zam, and high position of Ulugh Khān-i-Mu'azzam', continue those[?] Princes, in the Shamsi dominion and sovereignty, life-heirs of the whole of the monarchs', for the sake of Muḥammad and the whole of his house!

After such a propitious event happened, which must have been the result of the felicitous conjunction of the stars, the status of Ulugh Khān was raised, from the rank of Malik and Amir-i-Ḥājib, to the dignity and eminent position of Khān, and on Tuesday, the 3rd of the month of Rajab, 647 H., [a mandate] issued from the sublime Court, conferring the Deputy-ship of the kingdom and leader-ship of the forces, with the title and name of Ulugh Khān³ [the Great Lord], upon that incomparable individual of august disposition, and, in truth, one might say, "titles come down from Heaven;" for, from that day forward, the Nāṣirī rule acquired additional freshness from the zealous services, and heroism, of Ulugh Khān.

On the title of Ulugh Khān being conferred upon him, his brother, who was Amīr-i-Ākhur—that beneficent and humane Malik, of pure morals and excellent disposition—Saif-ul-Ḥakk wa ud-Dīn, Kashlī Khān-i-, I-bak-us-Sultānī—on whom be peace!—became Amīr-i-Ḥājib, and Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Tez-Khān, at that time, became Deputy Amīr-i-Ḥājib, and the Malik-ul-Ḥujjāb ' [Head of the Chamberlains], 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Ayāz, the Zinjānī, became the Deputy Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bār], who

<sup>•</sup> Kur'an: Chap. Lv. verses 19—22. Sale's translation is somewhat different:—"He hath let loose the two seas that they meet each another.... From them are taken forth unions and lesser pearls."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He makes a distinction here, and Ulugh Khān, who, upon other occasions, gets the title of A'zam, receives the lesser title.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the original, but it would seem more natural that during the lifetime and eminence of Ulugh Khān an heir might be born to the Sultān, and the Shamsi line be perpetuated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Up to this date his correct title was Malik Ghiyāş-ud-Din, Balban, only. This our author means, although he styles him by the title he held when this work was written.

<sup>4</sup> Hujjāb is the plural of Hajib, and, therefore, there must have been

is my son and the light of my eyes, and adorned with all laudable qualifications, of whom no stronger encomium is needed than that of his loyalty to Ulugh Khān's service, and may such augment! The assignment of these appointments took place on Friday, the 6th of the month of Rajab, 647 H., and the Deputy Amīr-i-Ākhur, Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Aet-kīn, the Long-haired, became Amīr-i-Ākhur.

Subsequently, on Monday, the 9th of the month of Sha'ban, 647 H., he [Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam] moved from the capital [with the troops] for the purpose of [undertaking an expedition against the infidels, and, at the ford of the river Jun, the camp was pitched, and they engaged in holy warfare and hostilities against the infidels, the independent [Hindū] tribes around, when intelligence reached this author, from Khurāsān, from his sister, and her lonesomeness affected his heart much. He proceeded to the camp and waited upon Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and laid the matter before him, who gave him such support and showed so much kindness as cannot be recounted, and conferred a dress of honour upon this his devoted servant, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, presented him with a bay horse saddled and bridled, a great roll of gold brocade cloth, and the grant of a village producing about thirty thousand jītals; and, up to this present date, that grant comes to this sincere votary every year. May Almighty God make this the cause of the augmentation of Ulugh Khān's dignity and power, and make him victorious and triumphant over the enemies

some difference between the Amir-i-Ḥājib—Lord Chamberlain—and the Malik-ul-Ḥujjāb—Head or Chief of the Chamberlains, or Janitors. Such an officer has never before been mentioned in this work.

<sup>5</sup> He may have been our author's son-in-law, adopted son, or a favourite disciple so styled. He would not be styled the Zinjāni—native of Zinjān—had he been his son in reality. Jurjāni would have been given him here if he were. In one of the best copies of the text he is styled I-bak.

<sup>6</sup> Under the reign, our author says "Hindūs" merely. The troops moved from Dihli on the 22nd of Shawwāl, the Jūn was crossed, and the camp pitched on the *left* bank, on Sunday, the 4th of Sha'bān. The infidels must have been very close at hand for the troops to be able to undertake operations against them immediately they crossed the Jūn.

<sup>7</sup> All from this place, to the end of this and the two following paragraphs, is left out entirely in ELLIOT [vol. ii. page 368] as "matters personal of the author," and page 350 is referred to, where the forty captives are turned into "a hundred beasts of burden," &c., noticed at page 686, note 7.

of the faith! Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam represented the situation of this servant of the state, and his anxieties, to His Majesty; and, on Sunday, the 2nd of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 647 H., a command was issued from the sublime Court for forty chains of captives, and a hundred ass-loads of presents to be transmitted to the sister of the author into Khurāsān—May the Most High God continue the Nāṣirī dynasty and dominion until the conclusion of time's revolution, for bestowing so many benefits!

On Monday, the 29th of the month of Z̄i-Ka'dāh, of this same year, the author proceeded on a journey from the capital to Multān for the purpose of despatching the gifts to Khurāsān. On the road, on reaching every town, city, or fort, held by the dependents and servants of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the servants of that household showed the author so much reverence and honour that the eye of sense would be fatigued in recording it—may God accept them all for it! On Wednesday, the 6th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 648 H., Multān was reached, and the author proceeded as far as the bank of the river Jīlam [Jhilam]. After having despatched those captives and loads to Khurāsān, for a period of two months the author

8 The Printed Text here, as well as in the former instance, has "forty chains of captives," but the editors seem astonished at it, from the note of interrogation added; and, in a foot-note, they put a piece upon it, by making them "forty chain of elephants loaded with captives [as contained in one copy of the text] and several ass-loads"!

<sup>9</sup> Our author's object in going to Multan for this purpose arose evidently from the fact that Lahor and the upper parts of the Panjab were in the hands of the Mughals and Khokhars, and he had to send the captives by one of the other, and more southern routes into Khurāsān.

1 At page 688 also, our author says he reached Multan on the 6th, the same day on which Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlu Khan, reached it; but, at page 782, he says that Malik reached Multan on the 2nd of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, and that he arrived himself two days subsequently.

2 According to the theory advanced in the article on the "Lost River"

happened to stay in the army of Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū  $\underline{Kh}$ ān, at the foot of the walls of the fortress of Multān, for the air was still exceeding hot. When the rainy season set in, and the rains of compassion fell 's, on the 26th of the month of Jamādī-ul-Awwal, the author set out on his return from Multān, and, on the 22nd of the month of Jamādī-ul- $\overline{Akh}$ ir, reached the capital again.

At this period the Kazi-ul-Kuzat [Kazi of Kazis], Jalāl-ud-Din, the Kāsāni-on whom be peace and pardon! -was Kāzi of the realm of Hindūstān; and, when the term of the days of that unique one of his age came to conclusion, great countenance and favour was shown by Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam towards this devoted suppliant, and his invaluable support was the means of this servant of his power being entrusted anew with the office of the Kāzi-ship of the kingdom, and he [Ulugh Khan] submitted it for the sublime consideration. On Sunday, the 10th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 649 II.3 for the second time, the Kāzi-ship of the realm was consigned to the author-May Almighty God, continual and enduring preserve the Sultan of Sultāns, Nāsir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Abū-l-Muzaffar-i-Mahmud Shah, upon the throne of sovereignty, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in the royal audience hall of power'!

previously referred to respecting the Sutlaj, as no river is here mentioned by our author between Dihli and the Jhilam, all the others must have left their beds or become dried up.

3 It would appear, from the above remark, that a great change has taken place since this period, for the effects of the *monsoon* do not now extend to Multān; and, while farther east they are enjoying the coolness of the rainy season, at Multān and parts adjacent, and in Sind, the heat is at its height. I have known rain fall for a few hours at a time now and then in August, and some good showers, towards the close of the monsoon, in September, but the heat is not much lessened, except for a short time after rain, until about the close of the latter month.

The date on which our author left Multān to return to Dihlī was about the end of June, the hottest part of the hot season in these days, a fearful time to have to cross the Indian desert. The fact of our author setting out from Multān, and proceeding to Dihlī by way of Abūhar [page 687], is a pretty conclusive proof that, at the period in question, the now Lost River must have fertilized those parts.

- 4 See under Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, sixth year, for other events of this period.
- 5 Here end the "matters personal of the author" referred to in the previous note 7, page 821.

On Tuesday, the 25th of the month of Sha'ban, 640 H. the sublime standards moved towards the territory of Mālwah and Kālinjar7. When Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the troops of Islam, arrived in those parts, he overthrew Chāhar, Ajāri 8, who was a great Rānah, with a numerous following, and a multitude of dependents and people, and who possessed ample resources of horses and arms, and rooted him out from his country. This Ranah of [?] Ajari. who was named Chāhar, was a great man, impetuous, and experienced; and has been previously mentioned. In the reign of the august Sultan, Shams-ud-Dunya wa ud-Dinon whom be peace!—[namely] in the year 632 H., the troops of Islām from Bhianah, Sultan-kot, Kinnauj, Mahir, Mahawan, and Gwaliyur, were despatched for the purpose of ravaging the territory of Kālinjar and Jamū, the leader of which forces was Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Tā-yas'i, the Mu'izzi, who for manhood, competency, judgment, vigour, military talents, and expertness, has been distinguished above all his compeers, the Maliks of that time. For a period of fifty days', they proceeded on that expedition, from Gwaliyur, and vast booty was acquired, to such degree that, for this short

6 It appears that, from the time Ulugh Khān was raised to the dignity of Deputy or Lieutenant of the realm, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, very seluom accompanied his armies as before, but left all to Ulugh Khān's energy.

<sup>7</sup> Under the reign, page 690, it is stated that the troops moved towards Gwāliyūr, <u>Ch</u>andīrī, Nurwul, and Mālwah.

Here also, in all the copies of the text collated, the words are عاهر اجارى and ماهر اجارى which, from the mode in which they are written, mean, that Chāhar was his name, and Ajārī [Achārya?] the name of his caste or title, but, three lines under, he is styled المارى which, if the hamzah [] is correct, can only be read, from the original, as above, thus tending to show that our author considered the word المارى المارة المارى المارة

• This name does not occur in two copies of the text, and there is great probability that the word ——Jamū—is an error for Lamow or Damū, a place giving name to a parganah, about 46 miles E. of Saugor [Sāgar], in Lat. 22° 50′, Long. 79° 30′. The first word might easily be written by mistake, for the latter, and there would be no error in the direction.

<sup>1</sup> There is not a word in the text about marching "on fifty days from Gwalior," as in ELLIOT.

period, the Sultān's share of a fifth amounted to nearly twenty-two laks. In short, at the time of returning from Kālinjar, the passage of the army of Islām lay [through the territory of] this Rānah of Ajārī, and that Rānah had seized the route of the Musalmān forces in the narrow ravines leading from the [banks of the] river Gārānah [or Kārānah].

The author of this book heard from the mouth of Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, himself, [who said]:-" Never, in Hindūstān, did a foe see my back, [but] that Hindūak [Hindū fellow] of Ajārī made an attack upon me in such wise that you might say it was a wolf falling upon a flock of sheep. It was necessary to turn aside before him, until I emerged from another direction, attacked, and routed him3." This anecdote has been related in order that readers [of these pages] may understand to what degree was the genius and success of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, that, with one onslaught, he overthrew and routed such an enemy, and wrested out of his possession the fort of Nurwul<sup>4</sup>, which is a famous stronghold, and, on that expedition and inroad, he displayed such sagacity and promptitude, and performed such exploits fagainst the infidels], as will remain a record on the face of time.

On Monday, the 23rd of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 650 H., the sublime standards returned to Dihli again, and, for a period of six months, the troops continued at the capital of the empire, the city of Dihli, until Monday, the 12th of the month of Shawwāl, when the sublime standards moved towards the upper provinces and the river Biāh; and, at this period, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, was feudatory of Budā'ūn, and Malik Kutlugh Khān feudatory of Bhiānah, and both Maliks were requested by

<sup>2</sup> At page 733, the sum is 25 laks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> He was coming up from the river, not going down towards it from the statement above. This does not agree with the details given in the account of Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, Tā-yas'ī, at page 773, in fact, tather tends to contradict them. Compare Elliot, vol. ii. page 369.

<sup>4</sup> See page 690. Nurwul and Nurwur are one and the same thing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> At page 692, seven months, until the 22nd of Shawwal. One of the oldest copies has Sha'ban.

Towards Lohor, with the intention of marching to <u>Uchchah</u> and <u>Multān</u>, but the <u>Biāh</u> was the farthest point reached. See pages 692, and 767, and page 783, and note 7.

His Majesty [to attend him]. Both these Maliks, with the whole of the other Maliks, were present in attendance during this expedition, at the audience tent of majesty.

When the sublime standards reached the districts on the river Biah, 'Imad-ud-Din-i-Rayhan secretly plotted with the Maliks, and began to excite them all greatly to envy of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's power, and malicious eyes were beginning to regard his brilliant position with repugnance, and they conspired whether, in some hunting-ground, or the defiles of some passes, or in crossing some river, they might not injure or afflict the sacred person and august body of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam: "They endeavour to extinguish the light of God with [the breath of] their mouths, but God rejects aught but the perfection of His light 7," continued to shield the Ulugh Khāni good fortune with His own protection, and gave no opportunity to his enemies to injure his gentle nature and noble person. Since that which was conceived in the hearts of that faction was not easily carried out, they concerted together, and, assembling before the entrance of the royal tent, represented for the regal consideration, that it was advisable that a mandate should be conveyed to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam that he should proceed towards his own fief8, and to this effect they caused a mandate to be conveyed to him; and, from the halting-place of Hasirah, on Tuesday, the last day 2 of the month of Muharram, 651 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with his retinue and family and dependents, departed towards Hānsi<sup>3</sup>

- 7 Kur'ān, chap. ix. verse 32.
- Not "estates," but a province.

Or about being "brought about in a left-handed way." The Printed Text has the left wing of an army – instead of the name of a halting place.

- - 2 Under the reign المو first of the month: here سلو last day.
  - The name of this place is derived from the tribe named Hans.

When the sublime standards returned again to the capital, and the prickings of the thorn of envy towards Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam were afflicting the darkness-filled heart of 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān, he, consequently, represented for the royal consideration: "It may be advisable that a command should be issued to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to proceed to Nāg-awr, and that the Hānsi territory should be given in charge to one of the Princes of the Universe"—May God long preserve their lives! In conformity with that counsel the sublime standards moved in the direction of Hānsi in order that Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam should depart to Nāg-awr'; and this undertaking was entered upon in the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 651 H.

On reaching Hānsi 6, 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān became Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bar], and he took into his own hands the direction of affairs within the hall of the pavilion of majesty, and, according to the promptings of that envy and malevolence, the office of Kazi of the kingdom was taken from this servant of the state. Minhāj-i-Sarāj, in the month of Rajab of the before-mentioned year, and was committed to Kazi Shams-ud-Din, the Bhara'iji; and, on the 17th [27th?] of the month of Shawwal, [the Sultan and his forces] returned to the capital. Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Kashli Khān,-on whom be peace!—who was the brother of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the Amir-i-Hājib", they sent to the fief of Karah, and the office of Deputy Amir-i-Hājib was consigned to Malik 'Izz-ud-Din-i-Balban', the son-in-law of Kutlugh Khān. Every one holding an office or employment which

<sup>4</sup> In the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This, in other words, was to oust him from the fief of Hānsī and confine him to that of Nāg-awr. See note <sup>8</sup>, below.

<sup>•</sup> Differently stated under the reign, page 694, which see. There it is stated that he became Wakil-i-Dar, after returning to the capital.

<sup>7</sup> The words صرادق جلال are rendered, in Elliot, page 370, "the royal orders," but, at page 369, the same words are rendered "the royal abode."

At page 695 our author says Prince Rukn-ud-Din [Firūz Shāh] was nominated to the office of Amir-i-Hājib and the fief of Hānsi. See also note to that page, and page 798.

This does not refer to Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, but to the person who, subsequently, in 657 H., became ruler of Lakhaṇawati—'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki. See page 770, and note 9 at page 775, para. 4.

appertained to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's patronage was removed and transferred; and the established affairs of a quiet kingdom were deranged by the pernicious counsel of 'Imād-ud-Dīn-i-Rayḥān.

During the period that Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam had gone to Nag-awr, he led the troops of Islam 1 towards the territory of Rantabhūr, Bhundi , and Chitrūr. The Rāe of Rantabhūr, Nāhar Diw 3, who is the greatest of the Rāes, and the most noble and illustrious of the Maliks of Hind. assembled an army in order that perchance he might be able to inflict a disaster upon Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam. Since the Most High and Holy God had willed that the renown of His Highness, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, for victory, triumph, and success, should endure upon the records of time, the whole of that army of Rae Nahar Diw, notwithstanding it was very numerous, well provided with arms, and elephants, with choice horses, and famous Rāwats ', he put to the rout, and the heroic men sent great numbers of the enemy to hell. Vast booty was captured, and horses and captives <sup>5</sup> beyond computation were taken. Safe and rich, under the protection of the Creator, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam returned again to the province of Nag-awr, and that place, through his felicitous presence, became a large city.

When the new year of 652 H. 6 came round, a change took

- 1 His own contingent of troops—the forces of his fief.
- <sup>2</sup> Also spelt Bhundi. The "official" Printed Text, by a great blunder, makes Hindi of this well-known place, and, in Elliot, of course, it is the same.
- This was the second time Ulugh Khān had encountered him. In some copies here, as well as in a previous place, we have العرب —Bāhir or Bāhar, but in others العبر ديو—Nāhar, and in some العبر ديو—Nāhīr Dīw. Nāhar is a Rājpūt name.

According to Tod, the state and city of *Boondee*, as he calls it, was only founded in the year [S.?] 1342--A.D. 1286, and yet this, our author's work, was finished in A.D. 1259!

- 4 Champions, heroes, in the vernacular.
- bardah—is used here, as at page 350 of that work, and yet it is there declared that "It can hardly bear this meaning," and so it is turned into "beast of burden," from the verb burdan, to carry!! See also at the end of this account of Ulugh Khān.
- See under the reign, ninth year, pages 696-7, for an account of the expedition into the Do-ābah and Kāṭhehr. The events recorded in the reign and this account of Ulugh Khān together form a chronicle of the reign, but one

place in the condition of the number of oppressed, who, by the hand of tyranny, and through removal from office, occasioned by the absence of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, had remained' in a place of seclusion like unto fish without water and the sick without sleep, from night to day, and, day to night, continued to beseech the Holy Creator, that the morn of the Ulugh Khāni prosperity might raise its face from the east of power, and the darkness of the Rayḥāni tyranny might be changed to the sun-light of the Ulugh Khāni administration. The Most High God was graciously pleased to grant the prayers of the afflicted, and the appeals of the distressed, and was pleased to cause the victorious standards of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to be given to the wind from the preserved city of Nāg-awr, towards, and with the design of proceeding to, the capital.

The reason was this, that the Maliks and servants of the Sultān's Court were all Turks of pure lineage, and Tājziks of noble birth, and 'Imād-ud-Dīn-i-Rayḥān, [who] was castrated and mutilated, and of the tribes of Hind', was ruling over the heads of lords of high descent, and the whole of them were loathing that state, and were unable any longer to suffer that degradation'. The case of this frail individual was on this wise, that, for a period of six months, or even longer, it was out of his power to leave his dwelling' and go to the Friday's prayers, for fear of the

is incomplete without the other, as often the events mentioned in one are left out in the other, or barely touched upon.

7 According to the version in Elliot, vol. ii. page 371, which see, it was only "at the opening of the year," that they "retired to their closets," and offered up their prayers "like fish out of water (sic), and sick men without slumber"!!—the Calcutta Printed Text, which is quite correct here has—

<sup>8</sup> Our author, being one of those deprived of office, writes feelingly on this subject. The I. O. L. MS, No. 1952, and R. A. S. MS. are both defective here, in the same place, to the extent of two or three pages.

<sup>9</sup> In fact, a Hindūstānī Musalmān, one of a Hindū family previously converted to the Muhammadan faith, or, possibly, a new convert.

Rayhān is a common proper name of men among the Muhammadans of Egypt, and now commonly given to slaves, according to Lane, but the term Rayhāni means a Seller of Flowers, and, probably, this upstart's father followed such an occupation.

<sup>1</sup> This alone indicates what a Sultan it was—a mere puppet in the hands of the strongest party.

In ELLIOT, instead of our author being obliged to stay at home for six months, as the printed text has, like other copies, all those, who "retired to

violence of a gang of villains who were patronized by 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān: so the condition of others, every one of whom consisted of Turks and conquering, ruling, and foe-breaking, Maliks, may well be conceived. How could they continue under this disgrace?

In short, the Maliks of Hindūstān<sup>3</sup>, namely, from the territory of Karah and Mānik-pūr, and Awadh and the district of Tirhut, as far as Budā'ūn, and from the side of Tabarhindah as far as Sunām, Kuhrām, and Sāmānah, and the whole of the Siwālikh [country], prayed Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to return to the capital<sup>4</sup>. Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, brought forth a body of troops from Tabarhindah, and Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Bat Khān-i-I-bak, the Khitā-i, issued from Sunām and Mansūr-pūr, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam assembled forces from Nāg-awr and the Siwālikh, and Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh<sup>5</sup>, son of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn [I-yal-timish], from the side of Lohor joined them, and they turned their faces towards the environs of the capital.

'Imād-ud-Dîn-i-Rayḥān represented to the Sultān that the sublime standards should move out for the purpose of repelling his own servants, and they [the Sultān and his adviser] marched the forces from Dihli towards Sunām, for that purpose . Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the other Maliks, was in the neighbourhood of Tabarhindah; and the author set out from the capital for the purpose of joining the royal camp, because it was impossible for him to remain in the city [of Dihli] without the presence of the sublime Court . On Monday, the 26th of the month of

their closets to pray like fish out of water," &c., are all made to suffer "from the hands of the bullies" of 'Imād-ud-Dīn, "so that for six months they could not leave their houses"!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This explains what he means by Hindūstān, and which I have previously alluded to.

<sup>4</sup> See under the reign, page 699. There it is said that these Maliks gathered about Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, the Sultān's brother, not about Ulugh Khān, but that the latter, with others, joined the prince, who is there made the ringleader in this outbreak.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See pages 683 and 699, and note <sup>4</sup>, page 818. More on this subject will be found in the last Section. Lāhor, at this time, was not under the sway of the ruler of Dihl<sup>‡</sup>, apparently, and Jalāl-ud-D<sup>‡</sup>n, Mas'ūd <u>Sh</u>āh, is said to have gone to the Mughals.

<sup>6</sup> Compare ELLIOT here also.

<sup>7</sup> In Elliot, page 372, this is rendered: "The author of this book started

Ramazān, 652 H., the author reached the royal camp, and, on Lailat-ul-Kadr\* [the night of Power], in the public apartment of the august Sultān's [pavilion], he offered up prayer.

On the second day [after the author's arrival], on Wednesday, the 28th of the before-named month, whilst on the march, both armies drew near towards each other, and the advance guards came in contact, and immense disorder arose among the Sultān's forces. The prayers appropriate to the 'Id-i-Fitr [the festival of Fast-breaking—1st of the month Shawwāl] were performed at Sunām. On Saturday, the 8th of the month of Shawwāl, the sublime standards made a retrograde movement towards Hānsi, and Malik Jalāl-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh [the Sultān's brother], and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the other illustrious Maliks, marched towards Kaithal?

A number of Maliks and Amirs on both sides [now] spoke about a mutual accommodation of affairs, and the Sipah-Sālār [leader of troops] Ķarah-Jamāķ¹, one of the personal slaves of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, who was noted for manliness, arrived from his chief's camp, and the Amir of the black standard, Ḥusām-ud-Din, Ķutlugh Shāh¹, that Amir of angelic attributes, of great sincerity, and excellent disposition, who was qualified above the other Amirs of

from the capital for the royal camp, which was stationed [sic] in the city near the royal residence"! The Sultan and his party were, at this time, near Sunam.

- \* The 27th of the month of Ramazān—the fast month. This night is greatly revered, because on it the Kur'ān, according to the Musalmān belief, began to descend from heaven. On this night all orthodox Muhammadans continue in fervent prayer, imagining that every petition then offered up to the Almighty will be favourably received. The occasion must have been pressing to cause active operations to be undertaken during the fast month.
- <sup>9</sup> See under the reign, page 699. There these events, are differently related.
- He was Ulugh Khān's right-hand man, his Chief of the Staff so to say. Whether he was so styled as well as "General" in Ulugh Khān's Army List I cannot pretend to say.

In one of the oldest copies the name is written لوء قباع Karah-Kamāj. Chamāk signifies a six-horned or six-spiked mace. The Calcutta Printed Text, in a foot-note, has قوم جائ but it does not occur in any copy of the text collated.

<sup>2</sup> He is not mentioned either in the List of the <u>Shamsi Maliks</u>, nor in that of Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd <u>Sh</u>āh.

Islām by his age, was nominated, on this side [the Court's], to treat, and [on the other side] the Sipah-Sālār, Karah-Jamāk, while Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Ḥusain, son of 'Ali, the Ghūri—on whom be peace!—made use of every effort and endeavour that was possible to bring the matter to an accommodation. The representation of the whole of the Maliks to His Majesty was this: "We all bow our heads in submission to the mandates of the Court, the Asylum of the Universe, save that we are not safe from the malice, deceit, and iniquitous conduct of 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān. If he should be removed from before the sublime throne of sovereignty, and sent away to some part, we all will present ourselves and return to allegiance, and lay the head of servitude on the line of obedience to the sublime mandates."

When the sublime standards moved from within sight of Hānsi towards Jind [Jhind], on Monday, the 22nd of the month of Shawwāl, 652 H., 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān was removed from the office of Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bār]; and praise be unto God for this and all other blessings! The government of the province of Budā'ūn was committed to him'; and 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban [-i-Yūz-Baki], the Deputy Amir-i-Ḥājib, proceeded to the camp of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and, on Tuesday, the 3rd of the month of Zi-Ḥa'dah, Malik Bat Khān-i-I-bak, the Khiṭā-i—on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—reached the [royal] camp for the purpose of concluding the reconciliation. Here is a strange occurrence which happened, of the matter

<sup>3</sup> Compare Elliot, vol. ii. page 372.

In some copies here, as in other places, Hasan. He seems to have acted peacemaker between the two factions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The domineering proceedings over these great chiefs of this eunuch, who was a Hindū by birth or descent, have been styled, by a modern writer, an effort to shake off the Turk tyranny and give power to Hindūstānīs!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This was the greatest fief of the kingdom in Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish's reign, and was so, probably, still. There is not a word about "pri-vileges attaching to the government" in any copy of the text, printed or otherwise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A solitary copy of the text, one of the St. Petersburg MSS., after this word, has "and it was three years and six days, and may Almighty God preserve our sovereign," &c., as though it was meant thereby that the eunuch had held power for that time, but the period was much less—from Muharram 651 H. to Zi-Ka'dah 652, just one year and eleven months.

of which the author was cognizant, and it is this. 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān conspired with a number of Turks', in whose hearts somewhat of the leaven of opposition towards Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam was implanted, that, when Malik Bat Khān-i-I-bak, the Khiṭā-i, should reach the entrance of the royal tent, they should cut him down in the vestibule of the tent, so that, when intimation of it should reach the camp of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, they [the confederate Maliks] should bring 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki', the Deputy Amīr-i-Ḥājib, under the sword also, and this accommodation should not come about, so that 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān might continue in safety, and Ulugh Khān's return to the Court be impossible.

Malik Ķutb-ud-Din, Ḥusain¹, son of 'Ali, Ghūri, on becoming aware of this intention, despatched the Ulugh-i-Khāṣ Ḥājib² [the Chief Royal Chamberlain], the Sharf-ul-Mulk, Rashid-ud-Din, 'Ali, Ḥanafi, to Malik Bat Khān-i-I-bak, the Khitā-i [saying]:—"It is advisable that you remain quiet in your own quarters to-morrow morning, and do not go to the entrance of the royal tent. As Malik Bat Khān-i-I-bak, in accordance with this advice, delayed proceeding to the entrance of the royal tent, the scheme of 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān with those hostile Turks¹ did not succeed, and the grandees gained a knowledge of it. 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān, in obedience to the sublime mandate, was sent away from the royal camp towards Budā'ūn; and, on Tuesday, the 17th of the month of Zi-Ḥa'dah, the Sultān of Sultāns, and the Maliks of the sublime Court,

- <sup>8</sup> There is not a word about "Turks of low degree" in the text.
- <sup>9</sup> The same person who is referred to in para. 4 of note to page 775 and at page 827, who afterwards became feudatory of Lakhanawati. He was Kutlugh Khān's—the Sultān's step-father's—son-in-law. Kutlugh Khān was himself of the Rayhāni party.
  - <sup>1</sup> In some, Hasan, as before.
- <sup>2</sup> Under the reign our author mentions the Amir-i-Ḥājib, and the Malik-ul-Ḥujjāb, and, here, the Ulugh-i-Khāṣ Ḥājib. This last is an official never before mentioned, and seems to refer to the chief chamberlain of the Sultān's own household, as distinct from the other Ḥājibs. The literal translation of the words would be—Great Personal or Private Chamberlain.
- 3 The entrance or vestibule of the tent where those waiting an audience would assemble. The word for tent is in the singular, but a suite of tents is meant.
- 4 Among the hostile Turks the Sultan's step-father, Kutlugh Khan, was, no doubt, included, and this is apparent from what afterwards happens.

commanded this servant of the state, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, for the purpose of effecting a reconciliation between both parties, so that he proceeded [to the other camp] and gave them pledges and guarantees. The next day, Wednesday, the 18th, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the other Maliks, returned and presented themselves at the sublime Court, and obtained permission to kiss the sovereign's hand. Praise be to God for this and other blessings!

The sublime standards were now brought back, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in attendance at the king's august stirrup, on Wednesday, the 9th of the month of Zi-Ḥijjah, 652 H., again returned to the capital city of Dihli. During the period of Ulugh Khān's absence from the capital, the rain of mercy had not rained upon the land, but by the wisdom of the Divine favour, at the blessed footstep of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the gate of the Divine mercy opened, and rain, which is the source of life to herbs and vegetation, mankind and animals, fell upon the ground; and all people accounted his auspicious arrival an omen of good unto mortals. On the arrival of his august cavalcade, all became glad and rejoiced, and gave thanks unto Almighty God for that immense boon 6.

When the new year 653 H. came round, on account of some occurrence which manifested itself in the womens' apartments of the royal household, with the secret of which not a soul had any acquaintance, on Wednesday, the 7th of the month of Muharram of the same year, Kutlugh Khān was directed to assume the government of Awadh, and he set out in that direction. At that time the government of Bharā'ij was entrusted to 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān.

- 5 Compare ELLIOT.
- See Elliot also here.

- At page 701, Tuesday, the 6th of Muharram.
- Just before he is said to have been sent to take charge of Buda'un. He may have been subsequently removed to Bhara'ij, but this is not mentioned.

<sup>7</sup> The cause is stated under the reign. The Sultan's mother seems to have contracted a second marriage with Kutlugh Khān—respecting whose antecedents not a word of particulars is given, nor is his name contained in the List of Maliks—without the knowledge or permission of her son. She had been with the latter in that part when, as a boy of thirteen, he held the fief of Bharā'ij, and this may have been the reason why it was assigned to her and her new husband. See page 676.

When the Ulugh Khāni good fortune emitted a blaze of brightness, the garden of hope assumed freshness, and the key of divine favour opened the closed gates of the dwellers in retirement. One of that number was this servant of the sovereign dynasty, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, Jūrjāni, who, by the power of enemies' accusations, and the oppressive tyranny of eunuchs, had kept within the cell of dismissal and misfortune, and in a retired corner from adversity and malevolence1. Through the patronage and favour of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, who submitted it for the sublime confirmation, on Sunday, the 7th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 653 H.2, for the third time, the Kāzi-ship of the kingdom and the bench of jurisdiction [as chief Kāzi] was conferred upon this loyal supplicant, the enunciator of prayer and thanksgiving; and "Verily, He who hath prescribed to thee the Kur'an will bring thee back to a place of return 3" was manifested towards this frail one. May the most High God, of His favour, unto the uttermost revolutions of the heavens, in felicity and supremacy, preserve and perpetuate the Nāsiri sovereignty and Ulugh Khāni authority, for the sake of Muhammad and his whole race!

After Kutlugh Khān proceeded towards Awadh, a considerable period elapsed, [when] the eventualities of destiny became the cause of disaffection displaying itself, and, on several occasions, mandates, which were issued on that subject, were treated with indifference '. 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān still continued to use great efforts to enkindle the

A little farther on it is said that Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar, had been appointed to the charge of Bharā'ij. See also under the reign.

1 "In a corner retired from clamour and the cruel joy of others." See page 829, and note 2.

A month after this, on the 23rd of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 653 H., that illustrious Malik, Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, son of 'Ali, the Ghūri, was put to death in a mysterious manner, and his fief made over to Ulugh Khān's brother. See page 702, and note 3.

\*\*Kur'ān, chap. xxviii. verse 85. Sale's translation of this verse is "Verily He, who hath given thee the Koran for a rule of fath and practice, will certainly bring thee back home to Mecca," but others translate the last part of the verse as "a place of return," or "some retreat," that is, a future state. The verse some commentators say was revealed to Muhammad to comfort him in his flight from Makkah.

4 This seems to refer to Kutlugh Khān's plotting with 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān, and not giving up Bharā'ij to Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar, referred to farther on.

fires of sedition in order that, perhaps, he might, by fraud and deception, with the mud-mortar of his own vicious artifice, overspread the sun of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's good fortune, and veil the moon of that great chief's glory with the cloak of his own knavery, but the favour of the Eternal without beginning, and the all-sufficiency of the Eternal without end, used to be the averter of that depravity.

Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Māh-Peshānī [of the moon-like brow]—God preserve him!—who had been detained and imprisoned by Malik Kutlugh Khān, and to whom the government of Bharā'ij had been entrusted by the Court, and on which account he had fallen into captivity, by his own manly stratagem, freed himself from Awadh 7, and the hands of wicked wretches, crossed the river Sar'ū in a boat, and, with a small force, advanced towards Bharā'ij. The decree of the Creator was on such wise that the prosperity of the Turks rose victorious, and the influence of the Hindūs sank into the dust of defeat. 'Imād-ud-Dīn-i-Rayhān fled discomfited before him, and was taken prisoner, and the sun of his existence set in death.

By the death of 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān, the affairs of Malik Kutlugh Khān declined, and he ['Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayḥān] met his doom at Bharā'ij, in the month of Rajab, 653 H.¹ Since those seditions still continued in Hindūstān, and some of the Amirs withdrew their heads from the yoke of obedience to the sublime Court, with the necessity of quelling that sedition and tranquillizing the servants of the victorious Nāṣirī dynasty, the sublime standards were put

- Compare Elliot here also. The Calcutta Printed Text has دراع for وراع
- There are several Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjars, among the Maliks, two of whom, Nos. XVII. and XIX., lived at this period, but this must be a different person from either of them. Under the reign he is called a Sihwastānī. See page 703, and note 7.
  - 7 The old city of Awadh is probably referred to.
  - \* The Sar'u—gazetteerized into Sarjoo, Sarjou, &c.: Bharā'ij is on its
- <sup>9</sup> 'Imād-ud-Dīn-i-Rayḥān, on the strength of Malik Kutlugh Khān's support, who, seemingly, belonged to the same party, refused to give up Bharā'ij to Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar. The Sultān's mother, Kutlugh Khān's wife, was, evidently, of the Rayḥāni party also, and this may probably account for their being sent away to Awadh so suddenly.
- <sup>1</sup> See under the reign, page 703, where the accounts of these events are very differently related.

in motion from the capital, Dihli, on Thursday, the last day of the month of Shawwal, 653 H., with the intention of marching into Hindustan. When the royal tent was pitched at Talh-pat2, as the [contingent] forces of the Siwālikh <sup>a</sup> [districts], which were the fiefs of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, had been delayed in the completion of their equipment for the expedition. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam set out for Hānsi from the camp at Talh-pat, on Sunday, the 17th of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 653 II. On reaching the Hansi territory, he, with the utmost celerity, issued his mandate. so that, in the space of fourteen days, the troops of the Siwālikh, Hānsi, Sursuti, Jind [Jhind], and Barwālah4, and confines of that territory, assembled so fully organized and equipped, numerous, and well provided with warlike apparatus, that you would say they were a mountain of iron when still, and a tempestuous sea when in motion. He reached the capital. Dihli [with this force], on the 3rd of the month of Zi-Hijjah, and halted at the capital seventeen days for the purpose of further completing his preparations, and for the purpose of directing the assembling of the [contingent] troops of the Koh-pāyah of Mewāt. On the 19th of Zi-Hijjah, with an army resplendent with arms, and ranks arrayed with warriors, he proceeded towards the Sultan's camp; and in the month of Muharram, 654 II., they [the Sultan and his army] reached the frontiers of Awadh.

Malik Kutlugh Khān 6, and those Amirs who followed him—notwithstanding they were all vassals of the sublime Court, still, through contingencies and urgent obstacles of fate, the countenance of their good-fortune was strewn with dust—left Awadh and crossed the river Sar'ū, and receded before the royal army. By the sublime command, in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Also written Tal-pat, about thirteen miles S.S.E. of the present city of Dihli.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> There is not a word in the text, printed or otherwise, about Siwálik hills or "these mountains." See Elliot here.

<sup>1 4</sup> The Burwala of the Indian Atlas, in Lat. 75° 59', Long. 28° 22'.

<sup>•</sup> Compare Ellior also here.

<sup>6</sup> These events are related very differently under the reign, so much so indeed, that any one might suppose they were the events of a different period. Here there is not the least allusion to Malik Bak-Tamur's defeat and death. See page 703.

month of Muharram, 654 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with numerous forces, started in pursuit of them 7, but, as separation had taken place among them s, [through] the obstacles of the jangals of Hindustan, the deep ravines, and denseness of numerous forests. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam did not find them. He pushed on until near to Badi-kot ', and the frontiers of Tirhut, and ravaged the whole of the independent [Hindū] tribes and Rāes, and faced about to return to the sublime threshold, with vast booty, in safety and in opulence. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the troops, having crossed the river Sar'ū, from Awadh, the sublime standards were directed to return to the capital. When Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, from pursuing those [disaffected] Amirs, turned his face to return to the Sultan's encampment, he reached it in the limits of Kasmandah and on Saturday, the 16th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 654 II., [the entire force] crossed the river Gang<sup>3</sup>, and they

7 Under the tenth year of the reign our author states that the Sultan, with his army, advanced towards Awadh, on which Kutlugh Khān retired before him, and the Sultan moved towards Kā-lair, and then Ulugh Khān was despatched in pursuit of the rebels. He, however, did not come up with them, and he returned, and rejoined the Sultān's camp. All this is said to have taken place in 653 H.; and, when the new year came round, in Muharram—the first month—654 H., the Sultān's forces after achieving this success—the success of putting Kutlugh Khān to flight and not catching him—and the Sultān, turned their faces towards Dihlī, and reached it on the 4th of Rabl'-ul-Ākhir, just three months after.

As soon as Kutlugh Khān became aware of the return of the Sultān's troops towards Dihli—which they reached on the 4th of Rabi-ul-Ākhir 654 H., as stated above—he began to lay hands upon the districts of Karah and Mānik-pūr, to the south of Awadh, and only found it necessary to take to the northern hills after he had been defeated in an encounter with Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar. There is great difference in these accounts. See also page 704, and note 5.

Not "They had, however, got a good start:" the words of the text are چون تفره بدیشان راه یافت

• This refers to what is termed the "Tarrai," but correctly—Tara'i— the marshy forest at the foot of the Sub-Himālayah.

This name is very doubtful, and is written in various ways. The best and oldest copies are as follows, according to the age and dependence to be placed in them: بعى كور-بهى

<sup>2</sup> Or Kasmandi: it is written both ways, but, as above, in the oldest copies. It is the name of a town, now much decayed, giving name to the

3 All the copies of the text collated, with the exception of two, are defective here.

reached the illustrious seat of government, Dihli, on the 4th of Rabi'-ul-Akhir.

As Malik Kutlugh Khān found it impossible to make any further resistance within the limits of Hindustan, he came, through the midst of the independent [Hindu] tribes, towards Santūr 4, and in that mountainous tract sought shelter, and took up his abode'. All [the people of that part] were wont to serve him, for he was a great Malik, and one among the grandees, and a servant of the Court 4, and one of the Turk Maliks, and had just claims upon them all. Wherever he used to come, on account of what was owed him for the past, and having regard for the possible issue of his affairs, they were wont to hold him in veneration. When he sought safety and protection in the Santūr mountains, Rānah Ran-pāl<sup>7</sup> [Ran-pāla], the Hindi, who held the chieftain-ship among the Hindus-and it was the usage among that people to protect those who sought shelter with them—assisted 8 Malik Kutlugh Khān.

When the report of that came to the sublime hearing, the royal standards, in the beginning of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 655 H., moved towards Santūr, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with his own personal forces, and the Maliks of the Court [with their troops], used great exertions in those mountains, and carried on holy war, as by the faith enjoined, in the defiles of the hills and passes, and on the crests of the mountains of Santūr, in describing which the eye of intellect would be bewildered, gained the advantage [over the infidels], and penetrated as far as the fort and territory of Silmūr [i. e. Sirmūr] ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> His object, in proceeding towards Santūr or Santūr-garh [Lat. 30° 24', Long. 78° 5'], according to the statement under the reign, was to reach the Biāh and Lāhor, after he had been defeated by Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, which seems to be referred to at page 836, but our author's account is very confused.

<sup>5</sup> There is not a word about chiefs.

<sup>•</sup> They were also doubtless aware that he had married the Sultan's mother.

In one old copy ربال in another ديبال but in others it is plainly written as above, a correct Hindū name, from Ran—battle, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> Compare Elliot, vol. ii. page 375.

<sup>•</sup> Nāhūn or Nāhun, a very old place, situated on the acclivity of a mountain, the defiles leading to which were fortified, in ancient times, was called the shakr—city or town—of Silmūr or Sirmūr, and the territory belonging to it was also called by the same name. From the description given of it by

which is in the possession of that great Rāe. All the Rāes round about pay homage to him and do his bidding. He fled before the army of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam; and the whole of the market-place and city [town?] of Silmūr was plundered by the Musalmān troops. The followers of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam acquired power over a place where the troops of Islām, in any reign, had never before penetrated; and, by the grace of the favour of the Creator, the Glorious, the Most High, and the aid of the Divine assistance, with great booty, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam [with his forces] reached the sublime presence, and the illustrious capital, Dihli, under the shadow of the august standards of the kingdom, on the 25th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Ākhir, 655 H.

On the return of the sublime standards to the capital, Malik Kutlugh Khān issued from the mountains of Santūr, and Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, had [previously] marched out of the territory of Sind and advanced to the vicinity of the river Bīāh². These two great Maliks [with their followers] effected a junction together, and turned their faces towards Sāmānah and Kuhrām, and began to take possession of the country. When intimation of that assemblage and this audacity reached the royal hearing, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, I-bak-i-Kashlī Khān [his brother], with other Maliks of the Court, and troops, were appointed to proceed for the purpose of quelling this sedition.

Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam moved from Dihli on Thursday, the 15th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 655 H. , and pushed on with the utmost expedition to the limits of Kaithal; and Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān

modern travellers, and the remains of ancient buildings, it must have been a strong place.

1 If he fled, where was the fighting?—the "holy war as by the faith enjoined?"

<sup>2</sup> See the account of Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, page 784. At this time, he had thrown off his allegiance to Dihlī, he had been to Hūlā-kū's camp, had received a Mughal Shahnah [Intendant], and had sent a grandson to the Mughals as a pledge of his own fidelity. This advance from Uchchah and Multān was, evidently, with the object of aiding Kutlugh Khān, and invading the Dihlī territory. The Bīāh, at this period, flowed in its former bed, as mentioned in a previous note.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See under the reign, page 707, and note <sup>7</sup>.

and Malik Kutlugh Khan were in that vicinity. When they drew near towards each other-all brethren and all friends of each other, two hosts of one dynasty, two cavalcades of one Court, two armies of one habitation, two wings of one main body 4-never could there be a case more wonderful than this! All were cronies of one purse, and messmates over one dish, between whom, Satan, the accursed, disclosed such discord. A gang of demonnatured men, for their own carnal objects, and of their infernal malignity, were sowing dissension among those brethren and were raising the banner of sedition, and, for the aggrandisement of their own affairs, were setting things by the ears. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in accordance with his own wise policy, was keeping his own personal followers together with those of Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, who was his brother and the son of his paternal uncle, separate from the troops of [the contingents forming] the centre of the Sultan's forces, and Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Kashli Khān, the Amir-i-Hājib, who was his own full brother, with the Maliks of the Court, and the [contingent] troops of the centre, and the elephants, separate also, in such wise that those two columns of the army were appearing like two efficient and distinct hosts.

The both armies [the Sultān's and the rebels'] came into near proximity to each other in the vicinity of Sāmānah and Kaithal, and all were in expectation of an engagement, when the intriguing among the turbanwearers [i. e. ecclesiastics] of the capital, Dihli, indited

<sup>4</sup> This last simile is somewhat differently expressed in a few copies where عون a cavity or hollow is used for جوق—a troop or body, &c. Compare Elliot here, vol. ii. page 377.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Bahan-i-Kashlū Khān, can hardly, by our author's own account, for the reasons mentioned in the previous note <sup>2</sup>, page 840, have been considered as a subject of Dihli at this time.

<sup>6</sup> That is to say, like a brother to him. He was, by relationship, his cousin. The object of Ulugh Khān in keeping his own personal forces—not "the household troops"—on whom he could depend, separate, is evident, as also the object of stationing his brother with the other Maliks. The Sultān's mother, Ķutlugh Khān's wife, who, evidently, was the cause of a good deal of this sedition, if not the whole of it, was also present with the disaffected party.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Compare ELLIOT. The original is plain enough in the printed text. See also page 708, and note <sup>9</sup>.

letters to Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, and Malik Kutlugh Khān, and entreated them, saying: "The gates of the city are in our hands: it behoveth you to move towards the city, for it is denuded of troops. You are among the servants and supporters of the sublime Court, and are nothing alien intervening. When you shall come hither, and shall attach yourselves to the service of the exalted throne of sovereignty, Ulugh Khān, with that army [now with him], will remain outside, and affairs will come to pass according to desire; and this, which is stated [herein], will be rendered easy and brought to pass."

A number of persons among the loyal adherents of the Sultān's Court, and well-wishers of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's service, on becoming aware of this hostility and design of theirs [the turban-wearers'], with all despatch, wrote a statement and sent it to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and, from Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, a representation reached the sublime throne, to the effect that the disaffected parties should be expelled from the city, the whole of which circumstances are recorded in the account of the reign of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh,—whose glory God preserve!—and, in the details thereof, the names of the persons concerned are mentioned. May Almighty God overlook their enmity, and cause them to repent of it!

During this state of affairs while the two armies were in close proximity to each other, a person of a certain name, whom they were wont to call the son of so-and-so, came [to Ulugh Khān's camp] as a spy on the part of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, and made out that he was come to present himself to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and [pretended], on the part of the Maliks and Amīrs who were supporting Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, to represent that they all desired to make their submission in Ulugh Khān's presence, and that, if a deed of immunity were granted, and the right hand pledged, assurance given, and means of subsistence and a fief assigned to himself who had presented himself before Ulugh Khān, he would

<sup>\*</sup> Among the first of whom was our author, no doubt.

<sup>•</sup> The fact of the matter is that, generally, what is detailed there is slurred over here, and what is slurred over there is detailed here. See also page 785.

bring over all the Maliks and Amirs of Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān's party, and cause them to be ranged on the series of the other servants [of the state] 1.

As Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in secret, had become cognizant of the bent of that individual, he commanded that the whole of the troops should be paraded before him, in such wise that he might behold the whole force with their armament, their numbers, their efficiency, and the elephants, and horses in defensive armour?. Then Ulugh Khāni-A'zam directed that a letter should be written secretly and clandestinely to the Amirs and Maliks of Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān's party saying: "Your communications have come under observation, and the purport thereof has been understood. There is no doubt that, if, in an obedient manner, you shall present yourselves, fiels and suitable subsistence will be assigned to you; indeed even more; and, if the contrary should happen, on this day it will be manifest and evident unto mortals what the upshot of each one's affairs will come to by the wound of the flashing sword and flaming spear, and, when confounded and humbled, bound in the bonds of destiny, they are dragged to the foot of the sublime standards and banners." When that letter, after the manner of honey mixed with gall, a sting with sweet drink, and graciousness with rigour. was written, and that person went back again, and related to Malik Balban-i-Kashlu Khan-The Almighty have him in His keeping!-all that he had seen and heard,\* those having an insight into the human mind will conceive to what the state of antagonism between the Maliks and Amirs and the agent [deputed] would reach.

<sup>1</sup> Compare Elliot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> What this defensive armour was like may be gathered from some of the ancient illuminated historical MSS. in the Persian language.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But the letter was not given to him. The Calcutta Printed Text, following a modern copy, has, "and had shown the letter," but this is not so in the oldest copies of the text. It stands to reason that, if 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban's spy, had brought that letter to his camp, much less shown it to that Malik himself, the latter would have known that it was a mere ruse, and could have suppressed the said letter, but the letter was written by command of Ulugh Khān as if addressed to 'Izz-ud-Din Balban's partisans, that it might fall into 'Izz-ud-Din Balban's hands and rouse suspicion in his mind, that his own partisans were negotiating with the other party. The modern copies of the text, generally, are minus about two lines here.

In the meantime the letters from the city of Dihli reached them, and Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, and Malik Kutlugh Khān turned their faces towards the capital, but returned again from thence without having effected their object [as previously narrated].

Two days subsequently , their design became known to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and he became disturbed in mind as to how affairs might be [going on] at the Court, and before the throne of sovereignty, when, after the happening of this strange circumstance [the arrival of the spy in his camp] letters reached him from the city . He set out for the capital, and reached it safe and prosperous under the protection of the Creator, and under the Divine guardianship and keeping, on Monday, the 10th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 653 H.

The royal troops continued at the city of Dihli for a period of seven months, until, in the month of Zi-Ḥijjah of the before mentioned year, an army of infidel Mughals arrived in the territory of Sind, and the head of those accursed ones was the Nū-yin, Sāri. Since Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān had brought a Shaḥnah [Intendant] of that people thither, as a matter of necessity, he had to go to them, and they [the Mughals] dismantled the defences of the citadel of Multān. On intimation of this reaching his

- A These are the letters referred to at page 842—not fresh letters. This passage, with respect to the letter referred to in the previous note, and the letters mentioned at page 842, is thus rendered in Elliot, vol. ii. page 378. "When the letter was delivered to the officers of Balban, the wise among them perceived its drift, and knew that the dissensions between the nobles and generals would be settled elsewhere (yakjá): Fresh letters now arrived from Dehli, and Malik Balban and Katlagh Khán set forth in that direction and showed no intention of returning"!! There is nothing of this kind in the Printed Text, nor in any MSS. copy. See under the reign, page 707, and in the account of Malik 'Izzud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, where these events are given in detail.
- <sup>5</sup> The patrols of Ulugh Khān's army could not have kept a very sharp look-out in this case.
- <sup>6</sup> See under the reign, pages 708—710, where our author relates differently, and also note <sup>1</sup>.
  - 7 At page 710, the date given is the 14th of that month.
- In other places he is styled Sālin and Sāli, which last is the same as Sāri, being interchangeable with r
  - See the account of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khan, page 786.
- In Elliot [vol. ii. page 378], this passage is rendered:—"When their general brought in this army, Malik Balban went to them of necessity, and the forces of the fort of Multan fell back," but the Calcutta Printed Text,

august hearing, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam represented for the consideration of the sublime Court, that it was advisable that the royal standards of the kingdom, conjoined with victory and triumph, should move from the capital. It was the year 656 H., and, on the 2nd of the month of Muharram of that year, the sublime standards, under an auspicious horoscope, moved out from the capital, and the Sultān's tent was set up a outside, in sight of the city of In consultation with Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, mandates were at once issued and despatched to all parts of the country, to the great Maliks and Lords of the kingdom. and on the confines, directing them all to turn their faces towards the capital, and, in the greatest possible state of efficiency, present themselves [with their contingents] at the sublime threshold. On the 10th of the month of Muharram, within the tent of sovereignty, which in victory and triumph be ever set up, and the ropes of its prosperity, be secured with the pegs of stability!—this suppliant, by command, delivered an exhortation, with the object of

although so often incorrect, is right in this instance. The compound verb here used is not necessarily faro-raftan, to subside, come down, &c., but the verb faro-ruftan—the consonants are the same in both, but not the vowels—to sweep away, destroy, and the like.

The correct reading, as in all copies of the text, is evidently المان فروروندد literally:—They swept away, destroyed, the parapet wall, battlements, pinnacles, &c., of the citadel of Multān. The object of the Mughals, taken into consideration with the fact of their harassing the frontiers of the Dihli kingdom as far as the west bank of the Biah, at this period, is plain enough. Their object also will be further seen from the events mentioned in the last Section. Malik Balban gave Multān up to them as a vassal of their sovereign, and they then dismantled it, that it might not be an obstacle to them in future.

<sup>2</sup> The 6th of the month at page 711.

It is usual to pitch a tent in this manner previous to undertaking a journey or expedition, but, in this case, it does not follow that the Sultan dwelt in it the whole time. In this instance, it was like "a sovereign setting up his standard" around which his troops assembled, in fact it is so stated just above. In Elliot, this passage is incorrectly rendered "Ulugh Khan advised his Majesty to set the royal army in motion, and, accordingly, it marched forth on the 2nd Muharram." It was not assembled yet, as our author plainly states. Mandates were issued for the Maliks to present themselves with their contingents. There is not a word either about collecting "all the forces they could."

4 Here, too, is an absurd mistake in the same work [page 379]: "On the 10th Muharram, the author received orders in the royal tent to compose an ODE to stir up the feelings," &c.! The words, as in the Printed Text also, are,

which have nothing to do with odes.

stimulating to holy warfare, and the merit of fighting against infidels, and efforts to defend the glories of Islām, and serve the sublime Court, by obeying the orders of the legitimate commanders—May God increase the execution of His commands!—and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with a body of troops in array, and attended by a numerous equipage, in association with the august stirrup of sovereignty, issued forth. All the Maliks joined [with their contingents], and all the troops united.

When intimation of this concentration reached the accursed [Mughals] and their camp, they did not advance beyond the frontiers which they had assailed and ravaged, and evinced no further audacity<sup>6</sup>; but it was considered advisable that the army, for a period of four months, or even longer<sup>7</sup>, should remain concentrated within sight of the city. Bodies of horse [during this period] used to go out in various directions, and make holy war upon the independent [Hindū] tribes, until, when news of the withdrawal of those accursed [Mughals] arrived, and the heart was freed of the

- The words are well-engine out, i.e. from the city to the camp, not that they "marched in company with his majesty." The force never moved out of sight of Dihli. The troops, which did come out of Dihli with the Sultan and Ulugh Khān, were personal followers, a large force in themselves. Those of the Sultan might be styled the household troops. Detachments of horse only were sent out, and those not against the Mughals.
- This concentration of the forces of Dihli, if not intended as a defensive act, turned out to be one, and the Mughals were left to ravage the frontier provinces—which then appear not to have extended beyond the Biah, that is, when it flowed in its old bed already referred to—with impunity. The state of Mewat, and the independent Hindū tribes, appear to have prevented operations against the Mughals, as referred to at page 850. See also page 862, where our author states that Hulākū Khān was so good, out of regard for Ulugh Khān, as to direct his forces not to molest the frontiers of the Dihli kingdom, a sufficiently humiliating statement for our author to make.

This passage is rendered in ELLIOT [page 379]: "When the infidel Mughal heard of this host on the frontier he had assailed, he advanced no further and showed no spirit," &c. All the copies of the text are as above, even the "official" Calcutta Printed Text.

<sup>7</sup> At page 712, "five months," but seven months was the correct period. See note <sup>7</sup> to that page. The *kalb* or [the troops forming the] centre of the Sultān's army returned to the city, from the camp outside, on the 1st of Ramazān,

The forces continued thus encamped in sight of the capital all the hot season, until the commencement of the rains. The year 656 H. began 7th January, 1258 A.D.—the year in which Simon de Montfort, Earl of Leicester, and the other Barons, his supporters, imposed terms upon King Henry III.

sedition of that gathering, [the Hindūs\*] a number of intelligencers brought to the blessed hearing of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam that, probably, Tāj-ud-Dīn, Arsalān Khān-i-San-jar\*, from Awadh, and Ķutlugh [Ķulīch?] Khān¹, Mas'ūd-i-Jān¹, on account of their having delayed in joining the Sultān's camp², were, in consequence, in a state of apprehension, and in their minds thoughts of contumacy were presenting themselves. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam submitted to the notice of the sublime Court that, before that party acquired feathers and wings, and, through the fear they were in, should take a flight into the air of contumaciousness, it was advisable that time should not be given them, and that this fire should be speedily smothered.

In conformity with the prudent advice of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, notwithstanding it was the time of the hot season, and that the army of Islām, on account of the advance of the accursed Mughals, and guarding the frontiers, had experienced trouble, still, as there was expediency in moving, on Tuesday, the 6th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 656 H., the sublime standards departed towards the country of Hindūstān³, and advanced, march by march, as far as the boundaries of Karah and Mānik-pūr⁴. Ulugh Khān-i-

<sup>8</sup> As mentioned a few lines before. The Mughals were not gone yet.

<sup>9</sup> See under Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar, page 768.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In one of the oldest copies Kutlugh Khān, Mas'ūd-i-Jānt. This is not the Kutlugh Khān who married the Sultān's mother, but a son of Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānt, the Shāh-zādah of Turkistān, referred to in the List of Shams-ud-Dīn, I-yal-timish's Maliks, at page 626. For more respecting Kulij, Kulīch, or Kutlugh Khān, who, under the reign, at pages 673 and 712, is also styled, but wrongly, Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh-i-Jānī, see note at page 775, para. 3, and page 848.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The camp before Dihli just previously referred to.

<sup>3</sup> The Antarbed Do-ābah.

In his account of this Malik [page 768] our author says that, after Malik Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar had been successful against Kutlugh Khān [the step-father of the Sulṭān], he became disaffected towards the Court, and Ulugh Khān had to move into Awadh and Kaṭah to coerce him and Kutlugh [Kulich?] Khān, Mas'ūd, son of the late Malik 'Alā-ud-Din, Jāni [see List of nobles, page 673]. Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar was entrusted with the government of the fief of Kaṭah in 657 H., and, subsequently, got possession of the City of Lakhaṇawaṭī by treachery, and without orders from the Court, and yet, in his account of the events of the thirteenth year of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, our author says that, on Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh,

A'zam made such exertions in chastising the seditious Hindus and harassing the Ranahs as cannot be conceived. On his reaching that territory [Karah and Manik-pur], Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar, and Malik Kutlugh [Kulich?] Khān, Mas'ūd-i-Jāni, got away, and out of necessity sent away their families and dependents among the independent [Hindu] tribes, and despatched confidential persons to the presence of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam asking that he should make a representation before the exalted throne and explain the necessity they were under of withdrawing, and to supplicate that the sublime standards might be directed to return towards the capital on the stipulation that, when the royal standards should reach Dihli. the illustrious capital, Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar and Kutlugh [Kulich?] Khan, both of them, should present themselves in attendance at the sublime Court, the Asylum of the Universe. When Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam made this representation, the sublime standards returned towards Dihli, and, on Monday, the 2nd of the month of Ramazān, 656 H., the illustrious seat of government was reached.

On Sunday, the 27th of the month of Shawwāl, of the same year, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, and Kutlugh [Kulich?] Khān, Mas'ūd-i-Jānī, presented themselves at the threshold of sovereignty, and made their obeisance. Notwithstanding so much opposition, their flight, and the tardiness and negligence they had displayed, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam gave them his support, and manifested towards them such kindness, gentleness, moderation, good faith, and sympathy, out of his great benevolence and infinite clemency, and lordly assistance and princely favour, as neither the fingers can record nor explanation relate. May the Most High God have him perpetually in His keeping for the sake of Muḥammad and the whole of his posterity!

After a period of two months, through Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's patronage, the states of Lakhanawati were made

son of the late Malik Jānī, the kingdom of Lakhanawaṭī was conferred. The account here given, and that in the notice of Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, are widely different. The discrepancies respecting Lakhanawaṭī I have noticed at page 770.

over to Kutlugh [Kulich?] <sup>6</sup> Khān, Mas'ūd-i-Jāni's charge, and the district of Karah <sup>6</sup> to Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar.

When the new year of 657 H. set in, on the 13th of the month of Muḥarram, the sublime standards were directed to be moved out of the capital, and the pavilion of majesty was pitched in sight of the city of Dihli?. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—may God perpetuate his prosperity!—held it meet to exercise his patronage in behalf of Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, who was his paternal uncle's son, and he made a representation before the exalted throne, so that the whole of the territory of Bhiānah, Kol, Jali-sar, and the preserved city of Gwāliyūr was entrusted to his charge s, and that assignment was committed to him on Sunday, the 21st of the month of Ṣafar, 657 H. For the remainder of that year, by reason that—and thank God for it!—there was no cause of apprehension, the sublime standards made no farther movement.

On Wednesday, the 4th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 657 H., treasure, valuables, and elegancies to a large amount, with two elephants, reached the sublime threshold from the Lakhaṇawaṭi territory, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in return for such commendable assiduity, exerted [his] interest, in behalf of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki,' who was the sender of these elephants and property; and the investiture of the fief of Lakhaṇawaṭi was bestowed upon him by his majesty, and that territory was confirmed to him, and a robe of honour and other distinctions were transmitted to him.

6 In some copies the Koh-pāyah: perhaps both Karah and the Koh-pāyah districts may be meant.

<sup>5</sup> In this place again there is a great difference in the title of this personage. In seven copies of the text, including the oldest, he is styled Kulij, in one Kutlugh, and in three Kulij or Kulich.

<sup>7</sup> In ELLIOT, they are made again to march from Dihlf, which is not so stated, even in the Calcutta Text. The reason why no marching was necessary is given below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See the account of Malik <u>Sher Khān-i-Sunkar</u>, page 794. There it is stated that Balārām, Baltārah, Mihir, and Mahāwan, were also entrusted to him. Under the reign, page 712, there is no mention of Jali-sar.

In Rajab of this year a grandson was born to Ulugh Khān. His daughter, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's wife, presented her husband, the Sultān, with a son; and two months after, Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak, the Ulugh Kutlugh-i-A'zam, the Bār-Bak, died.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the person referred to at pages 770 and 827.

When the new year 658 H. came round, and the month of Şafar arrived, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam resolved upon making a raid upon the Koh-pāyah [hill tracts of Mewāt] round about the capital, because, in this Koh-pāvah, there was a community of obdurate rebels, who, unceasingly, committed highway robbery and plundered the property of Musalmans, and the ejection of the subject peasantry, and destruction of the villages in the districts of Harianah, the Siwālikh, and Bhiānah, necessarily followed their outbreaks. Three years previous to this period, they had likewise carried off herds of camels, the property of the vassals and loyal followers of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's household-be victory ever theirs!—from the outskirts of the Hansi territory. The leader of the rebels was a person, Malka by name, an obdurate Hindū gabr [infidel], like a gigantic demon and a serpent-hued 'Ifrit'. They had carried off herds of camels and camel-men, and had, in the meantime, dispersed them among the Hindus throughout the Koh-payah [hill tracts], as far as the vicinity of Rantabhūr, and the time that these camel-men and camels were carried off was a time when an expedition was pending, and the camp-followers of the force, and the warriors of the retinue of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, were in urgent need of them for the purpose of carrying the equipage of the troops. When that contumacious rebel committed this act, an infinite load weighed upon the dear heart of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and all the Maliks and Amirs and warriors of the troops of Islām-May God ever accord victory to them! Nevertheless, it was impossible to chastise that sedition by reason of anxiety [consequent] on the appearance of the Mughal army which continued to harass the frontier tracts of the dominions of Islam, namely, the territory of Sind, Lohor<sup>6</sup>, and the line of the river

Two copies have "one year," but this can scarcely be correct. The period referred to seems to be the year 656 II., on the appearance of the Mughals under Sārt, on the western frontier. See page 844.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This must not be supposed to refer to Dalaki, of Malaki, the great Rānah in the vicinity of the river Jūn, between Kālinjar and Karah, for he is a wholly different person.

<sup>•</sup> Compare Elliot here.

Fine words are, correctly, as rendered above :- بواسطه دل نصراني واقع اشكر مفل The Printed Text has واقع for واقع and, hence, the passage in Elliot is incorrect.

<sup>6</sup> Not much of Lohor remained for them to harass at that time belonging to Dihli; but see page 846, where our author says the Mughals "evinced no

Bīāh, until, at this period, emissaries of Khurāsān <sup>7</sup> [coming] from the side of 'Irāķ from Hulāū [Hulākū], the Mughal, who was the son of Tūlī, son of Chingiz Khān, had arrived in the neighbourhood of the capital. Command was given that the emissaries' party should be detained at the halting-places of Bārūtah <sup>8</sup>, and that vicinity; and Ulugh Khān i-A'zam, and other Maliks, with the forces of the Court, and the [contingent] troops of the [different] Maliks <sup>8</sup>, suddenly and unexpectedly, resolved upon an advance into the Kohpāyah [hill-tracts of Mewāt].

On Monday, the 4th of the month of Ṣafar 1, 658 H. the sublime standards of victory pushed forward into the Kohpāyah, and, in the first advance, made a march of nearly fifty kuroh 2, and fell, unexpectedly and unawares upon the

further audacity," &c. More on this subject will be found in the following Section.

7 These were not "ambassadors to the Sultán," who "came TO [sic] Khurdsán from Irák, on the part of Hulákú Mughal, son of Toll," as in Elliot [page 381], and had the "long digression of no interest"—from page 196 to 202, farther on, been translated, it would have been found who and what they were.

The name of this place is written with b—s., b—as above in eight copies of the text, including the three oldest, with the slight exception of there being no point to the b, thus:—and the next to the last letter having but one point instead of two in one of the three copies; three copies have μ, b, tending to confirm the above reading; and one has μ, the Calcutta Printed Text has ω, b—Mārūtah, but this I look upon as a mere guess on the part of the Editors, because it is a well-known place, and more particularly since, in a foot-note, that text has ω, b—μ, b—μ, b.

It is evident, from all this, that the first letter is b and not m, and there can be little doubt but that the next to the last letter is b. There is a place in the Barwālah Parganah named— $a_{ij}$  and there is Mārūṭ—in the direct route from Uchchah to Dihlī, but this cannot be meant here, for our author has written that name correctly in two different places; and there are other Mārūṭs, but not in this direction. It appears to me that the place is  $a_{ij}$  or  $a_{ij}$  styled Sarāe-i-Barūṭah, from the ruins of an extensive karwān-sarāe, two kuroh to the S. E. of Jagdespūr, on the road from Dihlī to Suni-pat, and, about twenty miles N. W. of the capital, the Sarāe being a convenient distance, and an eligible place wherein to lodge them until the muster of the forces, referred to at page 856, was complete, which muster was, no doubt, to enable the emissaries to carry back with them a good impression respecting the number and efficiency of the Dihlī forces.

<sup>9</sup> Among the Maliks who accompanied Ulugh Khān upon this expedition, and also on the subsequent one, was Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Tez Khān [No. XVII.], who was ordered from Awadh for the purpose. See end of the year 657 H., under the reign.

1 Under the reign, the date is the 13th of Şafar.

<sup>2</sup> Near upon 100 miles. Such a word as "kos," which is Sanskrit, as in Elliot, does not occur throughout this work.

contumacious rebels of that tract. All those that were on the mountain sides, in the deep defiles, and great ravines, were taken and were brought under the swords of the Musalmans. For a period of twenty days he [Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam] continued to move about that Koh-pāvah in every direction. The dwelling-places and villages of those mountaineers were on the summits of the high hills, and the whole of their edifices on the acclivities of rocks. so that you would say they were, in altitude, equal to the stars, and even with the sky. By command of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the whole of those places which, in strength, might compare with the tale told of the wall of Sikandar in solidity, were captured and plundered, and the people of those places, who were knaves, Hindus, thieves, and high-way robbers, were all put to the sword. The Ulugh Khāni orders to that army of holy warriors were, that whoever should bring in a head should receive one tangah of silver, and whoever brought in a man alive two tangahs of silver from the private treasurer.

The defenders of the truth, in conformity with Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's commands, penetrated into all the loftiest places, into the defiles, and deep ravines, and acquired heads and captives, and became filled with property and money, especially the sept of Afghāns, every one of whom you might say was some huge elephant with [the tails of] two Khitā-i bulls over his shoulders, or some tall tower of a fortress, placed on its summit, for the purpose of overawing, with banner displayed. The number of them, employed in the service of, and attending the stirrup of, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, was about 3000 horse and foot, daring, intrepid, and valiant soldiers, each one of whom, either on mountain or in forest, would take a hundred Hindūs in his grip, and, in a dark night, would reduce a

In nearly every instance, throughout this work, the Calcutta Printed Text uses 1914 and 492 for 1914 levels 1914 l

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The same word—ghajz-ghāe—is used here as applied to Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Sabuk-Tigīn, page 68. It evidently refers to their hairy faces and the long curly hair hanging down their backs, and as some tribes wear their hair to this day. These Afghāns are the first PATĀNS mentioned in this work, and in no other place in it, either before or after, are they mentioned. Compare Elliot here also.

demon to utter helplessness. In short, the whole of the Maliks and Amirs, Turks and Tājziks, displayed zeal and energy, the mention of which will ever endure upon the pages of time; and, up to this period, since the standards of Islam were first displayed in the land of Hind, at no time had the Musalman troops ever before reached that locality or ravaged it 6. Under the auspices of the good fortune of the Sultan of Sultans, Nasir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah, the Most High God facilitated the delivery into the hands of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam that obdurate Hindu, who had carried off those camels and camel men, together with his sons and family, all of whom were taken, and the decree of fate brought them into the bondage and captivity of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's followers, and the whole of the heads and chiefs of the rebels, to the number of about 250 persons, among the chief men of that people, fell into the chains of bondage. One hundred and forty-two horses reached the Sultan's stables, and sixty badrahs 7 of tangahs, the amount of [each of?] which was 35,000 tangahs, he [Ulugh Khān] extorted from the Ranahs and Raes of that mountain tract, and they were conveyed to the royal treasury; and, in the

<sup>5</sup> One of the St. Petersburg copies of the text ends the Section here, and passes at once to the last Section.

<sup>6</sup> The tract of country here indicated, the Koh-pāyah of our author, seems to be Bharaṭpūr, Dholpūr, and part of the Rājpūt states of Jaipūr and Alwar. The Musalmāns had penetrated before this much farther south to the vicinity of the Narbadah.

We may be sure these successes will not be found recorded in Rājpūt annals.

7 A small bag of cotton or linen cloth, goats' leather, or felt cloth, rather longer than broad. The word also means a bag of 10,000 dirams.

8 The probability is that each badrah contained that number of tangahs—in value about as many rūpīs—in which case the total would be 2, 100,000 tangahs, or about equal to 21 laks of rūpīs, not a very large sum to extort from several rich Rāes and Rānahs, the smaller sum would have been too paltry to convey to the royal treasury. One of the best copies has gold tangahs, in which case the total amount may have been that given above, but, even then, the sum would be but a comparatively paltry one.

In the Printed Text, the original word—wide extorted—from the verb—willow—is turned into—willow—and this has been followed in Elliot, hence this sentence has assumed the following amusing form: "and six bags of tankas, amounting to thirty thousand tankas, were taken from the Ránas of the hills and the Ráls of SIND, and sent to the royal treasury."

As the word • \*\* stands in the place of — there is no word for taken in this sentence in the Printed Text, and so the literal translation of it would be: "so much from the Ránahs, &c., to the royal treasury conveyed"— an unintelligible jumble of words.

space of twenty days, such were the important feats effected through the vigorous and energetic command of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—May his glory ever continue!

On the 24th of the month Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 658 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam returned to the capital. The august canopy of sovereignty, and the king of the world like an imperial sun under the shadow thereof-God perpetuate his sovereignty! - and all the Maliks, Amirs, Sadrs, men of rank and position, and the inhabitants of the city, came out to the plain of the Hauz-i-Rani [the Rani's Reservoir], and drew up in lines, extending from the Bagh-i-Jud' [the Jud Garden] to the Rani's Reservoir, and hastened in the footsteps of loyalty to meet and do honour to the sublime standards which accompanied Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam'. The Sultan of Sultans—God long preserve his sovereignty! -at the head of the Rani's Reservoir, on the exalted seat of the throne of sovereignty, held an audience, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the Maliks and Amirs of the force, arrayed in robes of honour conferred by Ulugh Khān himself, attained the honour of kissing the threshold of the place of audience, so that one might say, from the various coloured robes, of satin, silk, brocade, gold and silver tissue, and other expensive textures, and gold embroidered tunics and other garments, that that plain bloomed like a thousand flower gardens. All these Grandees, Maliks, Amirs, incomparable champions and warriors of the force, one day previous to this, in their own quarters, had donned these honorary dresses from out of the lordly treasury of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam-May it never cease being replete with riches and spoils !-- and [now] the whole of them, victorious and triumphant, safe and rich, hied to the sublime audience-hall, and great and smallhigh and low-attained the honour of kissing the Sultan's hand, together with thousands of commendations, favours,

In one copy of the text—one of the older ones—this is here written with the vowel points—in—Bāgh-i-Chūad. It is, however, nowhere else written so. In Arabic—ec-jūd—signifies liberality, munificence, but the original may be a local name.

The Hamilton MS, is minus the whole of the remainder of this Section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This grand reception plainly shows that Ulugh Khān's force had achieved a great success over the unbelievers.

and assurances, and returned thanks to the Most High and Holy God for that success 4.

After two days, the royal cavalcade [again] proceeded out of the city to the plain at the Rani's Reservoir, with the intention of making an example of infidels, and command was given for the elephants, of mountain-like form and reaching to the sky, of demon-like aspect, and wind-like speed—so that you might say they were the delegates of destiny and the soldiers of the angel of death—to be brought for the purpose of inflicting condign punishment upon the infidels. The relentless Turks, of the profession of Mars, drew their well-tempered, fire-flashing, swords from the scabbards of power, and then the sublime order was issued so that they commenced to execute [the rebels]. After that, some of those rebels they cast at the feet of the elephants, and made the heads of Hindus, under the heavy hands and feet of those mountain-like figures, the grain in the orifice of the grinding mill of death; and, by the keen swords of the ruthless Turks, and the life-ravishing executioners, every two of these Hindus were made four, and, by scavengers, with knives, such that, at the gashes of them. a demon would be horror-stricken, a hundred and odd rebels were flayed from head to foot, and at the hand of their skinners, they quaffed, in the goblet of their own heads, the Sharbat of death. Command was given so that they stuffed the whole of their skins with straw, and suspended them over every gate-way of the city.

In short, an example of retribution was made such as the plain at the Rāni's Reservoir, and the open space before the gate of Dihli never remembered the like of, and the ear of no hearer ever heard a tale so terrible as that. Such like religious warfare and victory over the infidels, and such amount of booty was acquired, and such efforts

<sup>4</sup> In Elliot [page 382, vol. ii.], the Editor considering that "The author here becomes very diffuse in his descriptions and praises, which are not worth translation," this entire paragraph is dismissed with a very few words:— "His Majesty, with a great retinue of chiefs and nobles, came forth to the plain of Hauz-rani to meet him, and a great court was held in which many honours and rewards were bestowed."

We must make allowances for the age in which this occurred, but what an idea it gives us of the merciful disposition, and amiability of "the king of the world," and copier of Kur'ans, if he had any authority!

Were brought about through the power of the Ulugh Khāni good fortune. May the Most High God preserve the Sultān of Sultāns, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Maḥmud Shāi, on the throne of sovereignty, and adorn the exalted seat of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam with permanency and stability!

Having achieved such deeds, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam represented before the sublime throne, that it was right that the Khurāsān emissaries should be conducted to the capital, and attain the honour of kissing the royal hand. On the command being issued, on Wednesday, the 8th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 658 H., the august retinue [of the Sultan moved to the Küshk-i-Sabz [the Green Castle], and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam gave orders, so that the Sāhib, the Diwan-i-'Ariz-i-Mamalik [the Head of the Department of the Muster-master of the Kingdom] marshalled in order the men bearing arms from the different parts around and in the vicinity of the capital. About 200,000 footmen, well armed, came to Dihli, and they drew up, in battle array, about 50,000 horse, fully equipped with defensive armour, and with banners [displayed]; and of the populace of the city—the higher, middle, and lower classes—so many men bearing arms, both on horseback and on foot went forth, that, from the Shahr-i-Nau [new city] of Gilūkhari to within the city where was the Royal Kasr, twenty lines of men, one behind the other—like the avenue of a pleasure - garden with the branches entwined - placed shoulder to shoulder, stood row after row. Truly you might say—"It is the last great day, the time of the general resurrection, the hour of perturbation, the rendering of account of good and evil "-through the experience, energy,

<sup>4</sup> Compare Elliot here.

Now, in Elliot, we have "the Mughal ambassador in Khurásán." In the Printed Text "they" correctly, the—رسل [plural of خراسان [رسول See note 7, page 851.

The Calcutta "official" Printed Text, copying the I.O.L. MS. 1952, has a very amusing blunder here. Instead of مادا—signifying "prepared," "ready," "drawn up," &c., after—بوار—it has—عاده—signifying "female"—thus turning them into 50,000 female horse!! The R. A. S. MS. is also incorrect, but has—عادر—not—عادر—and the former word is meaningless.

Twenty-seven lines, in some copies. "The author becomes very diffuse in his description and praises, which are not worth translation," according to Elliot, vol. ii. page 382, which see.

control, and lieutenancy of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—God perpetuate his good fortune! The arrangement of the lines, the assignment of the place of every one of the Amirs, Maliks, Grandees, and Sadrs, with their followings and dependants, the disposition of the standards and banners, the donning of arms, the preservation of every one's rank, which Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam directed, he himself saw to, by moving from one end of the lines to the other, placing every one in the place which had been assigned to him.

That concourse of people presented such a tremendous appearance, that the ear of the heavens, at the din of the tymbals and kettle-drums, the cries of the trumpeting elephants, the neighings of the prancing horses, and the vociferations of the people, became deaf, and the eve of the malicious and envious blind. When the Turkistan emissaries mounted and set out from the Shahr-i-Nau fof Gilū-khari], and their sight fell upon that concourse, their fright was such from the awe inspired by that immense concourse and the warlike apparatus, that the danger was lest the bird of their souls should take wing from their bodies. It is most likely—indeed it is the fact—that, at the time of the charge of the trumpeting elephants, some of<sup>2</sup> those emissaries got thrown from their horses and fell to the ground. May the Most High God avert the evil eye from this kingdom and realm, capital and army, and the Maliks of the dynasty!

When the emissaries reached the city gate, by the royal command, and the approval of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, all the Maliks observed the custom of going to receive them, and, in doing honour to the emissaries' party, observed [towards them] the usages of respect, and with due reve-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> They are so-called here in all the copies of the text collated, with a single exception, but, hitherto, they have always been styled emissaries "from Khurāsān," and "of Khurāsān," and the context proves the above a mistake for Khurāsān, because they came from thence, and not from Turkistān. See page 859.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> They and their followers must be meant, as the emissaries were but three in all

These persons came with no political object: merely respecting this matrimonial alliance with Ulugh Khān, and therefore I have neither styled them envoys nor "ambassadors from" Hulākū Khān, but Ulugh Khān evidently wished to let them see the Dihli forces to the best advantage, and carry back a good report of them.

rence conducted them to the Kasr-i-Sabz [the Green Castle] and before the exalted throne of sovereignty. On that day, the Castle of Sovereignty was adorned with various kinds of carpets and cushions, and a variety of princely articles of luxury and convenience, both of gold and silver, and round about the royal throne two canonies 4, one red and the other black, adorned with jewels of great price, were extended. The golden throne ornamented with the masnad [seat] of empire, and the series of illustrious Maliks, great Amirs, distinguished Sadrs, eminent personages, the handsome young Turk slaves with golden girdles, and the champions in pomp and pride ranged around, made the assembly halls studded with gems, and saloons burnished with gold, seem like unto the garden of bliss, and the eighth heaven, so that the [following] lines having become applicable to the occasion, and having been pronounced before the exalted throne by one of the sons of this suppliant, from his composition, are here introduced. [These lines are a mere repetition of the same fulsome epithets and exaggerated figures as are found in the preceding and following prose, and are scarcely worth insertion here.]

Thou mightest with truth say that that assembly was as a heaven full of stars, or like a firmament teeming with planets. The sovereign of the universe on the throne appeared as a sun from the fourth heaven, with Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam in attendance as a shining moon, kneeling upon the knees of veneration and reverence, the Maliks in rows like unto revolving planets, and the Turks in their gold and gem-studded girdles like unto stars innumerable.

In short, all this arrangement, and preparation, and [these] different matters, were carried out with the approval and wise counsel, and sagacious conception of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, for, although the Sultān of Sultāns, in conformity with the Prophet's sayings, accords to him the position of a father, nevertheless he is more obedient and submissive than a thousand newly-purchased slaves. So

f آغے۔ signified an *umbrella* merely, it would scarcely be applicable here. What canopies of state are may be seen from Plate vii. to Blochmann's Translation of the Ā'in-i-Akbari.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> I should imagine that this remark—in fact the whole of this account—

the emissaries, after their reception, were conducted, after receiving various marks of favour, and different benefits were conferred upon them, to the assigned place prepared for their residence.

It is necessary at this place to mention what was the motive of the arrival of these emissaries from the country of Khurāsān, and from Hulā'u [Hulākū] Khān, the Mughal, and how it fell out. The facts of the matter are these, that Malik Nāsir-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Malik Hasan the Karlugh—The Almighty's mercy be upon him!—perhaps, entertained a strong inclination to cause a pearl from the oyster shell of his family to be transferred to the string of marriage to Shāh,' the son of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in order that, through that union, he [Nāṣir-ud-Din, Muḥammad] might display his glory over the Maliks of the time and the great rulers of the world, and that that connexion might be a means of strength to him, and a source of security. On this subject he wrote secretly and confidentially, to one of the servants of the household of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and endeavoured to obtain an inkling as to the possibility of the [proposed] connexion, and intimated that he himself would, under this veil, submit the matter for the august consideration of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, by way of sincerity and duty. As Malik Nāşir-ud-Dīn, Muhammad, son of Hasan the Karlugh, was one of the illustrious Maliks of his day, it became necessary, on the

clearly proves that Ulugh Khān was not Sultān when this was written. It is somewhat remarkable that our author has never once mentioned whether Ulugh Khān had obtained his freedom or not. We must hence suppose that he had not, for our author would scarcely have omitted to mention such an important fact.

6 The following six paragraphs are what, in Elliot [page 383, vol. ii.], is said, by the Editor, to be "a long digression of no interest."

7 All the copies of the text are alike here, but it is very certain that Ulugh Khān's son must have had some other name prefixed to the word Shāh, but no son of his is mentioned in history of whose name Shāh forms a part.

8 See Thomas: Pathán Kings, page 98. It is there stated that he, Nāṣirud-Dīn, Muḥammad, the Karlugh, "seems to have succeeded to his father's dominions in Sind, and to have been held in consideration as a powerful monarch. He was still reigning on the arrival of the ambassadors of Hulágú Khán in A. H. 658." His holding dominions in Sind is entirely erroneous. Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, held Sind in 658 H., and was still holding it when this history was brought to a conclusion, and where the dominions of the Karlugh lay will be found in the following statement, and likewise the proof respecting 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān's still holding Sind and Multān also.

part of Ulugh Khān, to give an answer on the subject, and his consent to the connexion. He, accordingly, directed one of the middle rank among his retinue to bear the answer to this request, and that bearer, a Khalj, they used to style by the name of the Ḥājib-i-Ajall [the most worthy Chamberlain], Jamāl-ud-Dīn, 'Alī.

On this Khalj being nominated to this important matter, he obtained from the royal revenue department an order for a number of captives, on account of unavoidable necessaries, and the expenses of the road, and to enable him to get over his journey. When he set out upon the road, at the different stations and stages, the toll-collectors, on the way, continued to demand of him and expect payment of the established tolls and fixed cesses, and the Ḥājib, 'Alī, continued, in this manner, to repudiate them [saying]: "I am an agent [and therefore exempt]."

By the time he had got over the stages and stations within the kingdom [of Dihli] and reached the territory of Sind, the report of his being on a mission became public; and, when he passed on to Multān, and from thence to Uchchah, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, commanded that he should be summoned.¹ So they summoned the Ḥājib, 'Ali, and detained him,² and demanded of him the letters he was bearing, that they might become acquainted with the nature, import, and contents of the documents. The Ḥājib, 'Ali, denied his mission; but, when the affair assumed severity, on being constrained, he avowed, in the presence of the Mughal Shahnagān [Intendants] : "I am an Emis-

This Nāṣir-ud Din, Muḥammad, the Karlugh, is the same who presented himself to Sultān Raziyyat when in the Panjāb in 637 H., and was probably personally known to Ulugh Khān. See page 644, and note?

<sup>9</sup> These slaves or captives must have been given him for the purpose of being sold to provide for the expenses of his journey as occasion required, after the same fashion as our author obtained a grant of forty head to send to his <sup>44</sup> dear sister" in Khurāsān. These captives could have been of no other use to him, and the object is evident.

TAVERNIER says, respecting an ambassador of the king of Mingrelia whom he saw at Constantinople when he was there, "The first time of his audlence, he had a train of above 200 persons. But every day he sold two or three to defray his expenses."

<sup>1</sup> See note <sup>8</sup>, preceding page.

The Calcutta Printed Text has مواخرة chastizing, &c., instead of سواخرة delaying, postponing, and the like.

This conduct on the part of

sary, and I am going upwards." Having, in the presence of that assembly ', made such a statement, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū-Khān, as a matter of necessity, gave over requiring aught from him, and said: "It is necessary for thee to proceed, that I may have thee taken to thy place of destination." The Ḥājib, 'Ali, replied: "My orders are on this wise, that I should proceed to the presence of Malik Nāṣir-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Ḥasan the Karlugh," and, consequently, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban, was under the necessity of allowing him to proceed in the direction indicated.

When the Ḥājib, 'Alī, reached the khittah [district or country] of Banīān, the report of his coming from the borders of Dihlī, on a mission, having become published and disseminated among the Mughal Shahnagān [Intendants], and the gentle and simple of that territory, Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Ḥasan, the Ḥarlugh, had to send him, perforce ', towards 'Irāk and Āzarbāijān, to the presence of Hulā'ū, the Mughal, and he [Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Ḥasan], of his own accord, and without the sanction of this Court [the Court of Dihlī], indited letters as from the dear tongue of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and, sending some small present along with the Ḥājib, 'Alī, despatched him along with confidential persons of his own.

On arriving in the neighbourhood of the 'Irāk territory, they reached Hulā'ū's presence in the city of Tabrīz of Azarbāijān. Hulā'ū treated the Ḥājib, 'Alī, with much honour, and showed him great consideration. At the time they desired to read out the letters unto Hulā'ū, the Accursed, it became necessary to translate them from the Persian into the Mughali language. In the letters they had written the name of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, 'Malik,' for the

Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kaghlū Khān, shows that he had thrown off all dependence on the Court of Dihlf, but he does not appear to have benefited much from so doing, as he was now a mere vassal of the Mughals.

These last three paragraphs prove how erroneous is Mr. Thomas's statement, mentioned in note \*, page 859.

<sup>4</sup> The word is used here, with reference to the Mughal Shahnagan, and shows that Malik Balban-i-Kashlu Khān must have had several—more than one, at least—of the Mughal Intendants to take care of him.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, also, had been obliged to succumb to the Mughals, and receive their Shaḥnagān. He will be referred to again.

custom of Turkistan is this, that there is but one supreme ruler, no more, and him they do not style Malik, but KHAN, and all others have the name of Malik. So, when they read out the letters to Hula'ū, the Mughal, he said: "Why have ye given an equivalent for the name Ulugh Khān? it behoveth that his designation of Khān be preserved." Such honour and respect did he esteem fit to show towards Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam. Every person of the Khāns of the countries of Hind and Sind, whe proceeded to the presence of the Khans and rulers of the Mughals, they altered the title of, and styled them all simply 'Malik.' with this exception of the name of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam which they recognized as it originally stood. This is one, among the proofs of the Divine grace, that both friend and foe, believer and unbeliever, mention his august name with veneration:-"This is the grace of God which He bestows on whomsoever He pleaseth; and God is the possessor of great grace 7."

When the Hājib, 'Alī, was dismissed, on his return, the Shaḥnah [Intendant] of the khittah [territory] of Banīān, who was the son of Amīr Yagh-rash, a famous person, and a respected Musalmān, was nominated by Hulā'ū to accompany him, and Hulā'ū sent orders to the Mughal forces which would be under the standard of Sārī [Sālī], the Nū-in, saying: "If the hoof of a horse of your troops shall have entered the ground of the dominions of the Sultān of Sultāns, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh—God perpetuate his reign! —the command unto

<sup>•</sup> The Calcutta Printed Text is a mere jumble of words here.

<sup>7</sup> Kur'An: chap. lvii. verse 21.

Why then is his name not given as well as his father's? The Mughal troops had, at this time, been nearly three years—from the end of 655 H.—on the western frontier of the Dihli kingdom, and this fact does not speak much for its power. Perhaps internal dissension prevented vigorous measures being taken against them. For what purpose this person came to Dihli does not appear, unless it was to inform the Sultan of Sultans, that, out of respect for Ulugh Khān, his troops had been directed not to molest the narrowed frontier on the Biāh.

This name is somewhat uncertain, and may possibly be Bagh-rash. It is written يفرش as above in three copies, including two of the oldest, and in others يفرش and يعرش مفرش

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We may scarcely suppose that our author wishes us to believe that these are the exact words of Hulākū Khān's order.

you is this, that all four feet of such horse be lopped off." Such like security did the Most High God miraculously vouchsafe unto the kingdom of Hindūstān through the felicity attending the rectitude of the Ulugh-Khānī counsels.

When the emissaries reached the capital, the sovereign of Islām, in requital and return for that honour which Hulā'ū, the Mughal, had been pleased to show towards the Hājib of this Court', conformable with the saying, "Verily the reward of kindness should be nought save kindness"—great favour was lavished upon his emissaries likewise. This [which has been related] was the reason of the arrival of the emissaries of Khurāsān and the troops' of Turkistān.

May the Most High God long preserve the Sultān of Islām, NĀSIR-UD-DUNYĀ WA UD-DIN, ABŪ-L-MUZAFFAR-I-MAḤMUD SḤĀH, upon the throne of sovereignty, and the prosperity of the Khākān-i-Mu'-azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in successive increase and augmentation, for the sake of Muḥammad and his posterity!

<sup>2</sup> At page 860, he is said to have been a Ḥājib of Ulugh Khān's own household. No doubt, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, was acquainted with the matter of this proposed alliance from the outset.

3 This remark is unintelligible save as referring to a retinue or escort accompanying this nameless person, the son of the Shahnah of Banian, and the other nameless persons who accompanied him. The word used is signifying an army, a body of troops, large or small. As to emissaries, there is only one mentioned here—the person above referred to, but, in the account of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlu Khan, our author states that he despatched his own agents along with the Mughal Shahnah [at page 860 the plural is used-Shahnagan] of Sind, on account of the Mughal army being on the Dihli frontier, to the Sultan's presence. Nasir-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Karlugh, in all probability, also despatched an emissary of his own with Ulugh Khān's Hājib. Our author is either very reticent or appears not to have known the upshot of these matters when he finished this work, for he says, at page 786, "Please God it may turn out well and advantageously." It is also plainly apparent that both Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān and Nāṣirud-Din, Muhammad, the Karlugh, could not act independently, and that eir Mughal Shahnahs must have had the control of their affairs.

It is much to be regretted that our author has not given us more particulars respecting these events, and particularly of the last six years of the reign of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh. It would have been interesting to have known the upshot of Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān's career, and whether the matrimonial alliance took place between the son of Ulugh Khān, and the Karlugh chief's daughter, and many other interesting matters, which are not to be found in any subsequent writer.

We have again returned to our history, and the last of the events thereof is this, that Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, having carried out, after the manner [before related], holywar upon the infidels of the Koh-pāyah with such condign severity, a large number of the remainder of the kinsmen of those rebels, who, previous to that, had escaped from the neighbourhood of the Koh-pāyah from the hand of the troops and defenders of Islām-may victory ever attend them !-- and fled into different parts, and, by great stratagems, had managed to preserve their abominable lives under the protection of flight from the keen swords of the retainers of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's house, began, à second time, to renew their sedition, and commenced to infest the roads and to shed the blood of Musalmans, and, by reason of the violence of that gathering, the roads were perilous. This fact being brought to the august hearing of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, he despatched intelligencers, informers, and spies, so that they reconnoitred the remaining positions of the rebels, and made thorough inquiry as to the present whereabouts of those vagabonds. On Monday, the 24th of the month of Rajab, 658 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, mounted with his own following, the forces of [the contingents composing] the centre [division], and other troops of the Maliks and warriors, issued from Dihli and pushed on towards the Koh-pāyah, in suchwise that, in one march, he proceeded about fifty kuroh or more , came unexpectedly upon that gathering, captured the whole of them. and put about 12,000 persons, consisting of men and women, and their children, to the sword. All the passes, defiles, and the crests of the hills, were purified of the bodies of the rebels by the wounds of the swords of the Auxiliaries of the Truth, and much booty was captured. Praise be unto God for this victory of Islam, and honour to its votaries!

This much, which had been witnessed of that dynasty by the author, came under the pen of sincerity—from

<sup>4</sup> There is not a word about kos in the whole text. Fifty kuroh is not a very astonishing distance for a forced march of cavalry, and is not more wonderful than the previous one of the same distance mentioned at page 851. Compare ELLIOT, page 383.

readers and examiners he is hopeful of benediction, and, from the possessors of dominion, hopeful of honour, that which is hoped for through God the Beneficent, and that asked for through God the Merciful—in the month of **Shawwāl**, in the year 658 H.

Praise be unto God, and blessings upon His Prophet, and progeny, and his companions all, through Thy mercy, O Thou Most Merciful of the Merciful!

<sup>5</sup> Shawwal is the tenth-month. In the account of Malik Nuşrat-ud-Din, Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, page 799, he states that he finished it in Rajab, the seventh month.

ADDITIONAL NOTE.—As I am unacquainted with the Turkish language Mr. Arthur Grote was kind enough to refer, at my suggestion, a List of the less known Turkish titles and names occurring in this and the preceding Sections, but in this one more particularly, with the various readings and the names pointed, as in the very old MS. of our author's work in my possession, to Professor A. Vambéry, to ask him if he could submit them to some Turkish scholar for elucidation. In reply, the Professor himself has been so good as to supply the following explanations; but, while tendering to that gentleman my best thanks for the trouble he nas taken, I fear I cannot possibly adopt his solutions of the difficulty, with two or three exceptions, for reasons here mentioned:—

Page 720—التر تعلي --- (Ulug Kutlug) the great blessed, can be taken as a proper name as well as for an attribute paid generally to princes. To kutlug corresponds the Arab عبره and Mongol Oldjaitu."

The Professor seems to read  $\xi$ —gh—as simple  $\xi$ —which is not correct. Of the meaning of Ulugh there was no doubt.

Page 722— اكرك "Judging by the subsequent "is a proper name, and is probably instead of الله kiidjliik = the mighty, the powerful. عن can only signify a knife, in king [?] dialect."

There was no doubt of its being a name or title, but, in the majority of copies collated, it is written with j-is, not with s, or with cs.

Page 722-" "-balaban, a bird of prey, a much used proper name."

The word in my List is بلبان -which does not occur in any work I have ever met with.

Page 725—"منگرکی an erroneous transcription of سمنگرکی "mingkirti = he broke, annihilated thousands. (2) سنترتی —mengzeti = he was like. (Instead of mangiti [sic in MS.]); of the tribe of سنفت —mangit."

As the name is not written with in the cannot possibly refer to any tribe called 'Mangit.' The second definition is nearer the mark—but not with two e's—and that reading, viz.—was given in my List. It is by no means improbable, although it only occurs in one of the copies of the text collated, that the fifth consonant should be winstead of w—a mistake which is very liable to arise, and, from what our author himself states at page 725, that he

was styled Ayāz-i-Hazār-Mardah, that is Ayāz [in prowess] equal to a thousand men, the first signification is not inapplicable.

Page 727-" ايتم for ايتم "yitim = a youngster, a lad?"

This definition will certainly not apply to the person in question.

Pages 513, 729, &c.—"ايا for cybck, iybck, also iybik, decidedly the name of a bird (swan, kookoo, the Arab عاوش توشى and Osmanli—عاوش توشى = chaush kushu) frequently used as a nickname in older Turkish writings."

From the way the word is pointed it cannot be read otherwise than I-bak, which is fully described in some of the best lexicons as a Turki word, with the definitions I have given to it at pages 513 and 729, and is frequently used in Persian Histories, as our author's work shows. What will Mr. Blochmann say to the above definition? Fancy Kutb-ud-Din, the Swan! The Arabic  $-k\bar{u}k\bar{u}_i$  signifies the cooing of a dove, not a swan.

Page 732—"ايسى instead of تايسن —taishi = a writer, a secretary. It is a Chinese word, and occurs in Vassat's and Sherefeddin's works."

Since note <sup>2</sup>, page 732, was written, I find the word تاشي Tā-ishi—repeatedly used in the History of Amir Timūr, the Gūrgān. Abū l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, in his Shajarah-ul-Atrāk, says a man possessing a fine voice is so styled, and Vambéry says it is Chinese for a writer, but he has evidently confounded it with the Turkish word Bitik-chī, which bears that signification. There cannot be any doubt of its being a Turkish word, and it is undoubtedly a title of rank. It is therefore clear that the words in the text— المالية عمل المالية الما

Page 731—يغان لت—Yughān-Tat—This title the Professor defines thus:-

The above definition is wholly out of the question with respect to Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, who received the title of Yughān-Tat, on account of, or, after his capturing several elephants in Bang.

Page 761—"طفرا"—Toghrul = a proper name, not the righteous as hitherto believed, but toghraul = the breaker, from toghramak = to put in pieces."

Here the Professor writes is correctly with gh; but the definition of this well known word, which depends upon the pointing, is thus described in a very trustworthy work before referred to:—"Spelt 'Tughrul,' it signifies a species of the falcon tribe used in the chase"—and, as plainly indicated by our author farther on, page 936, with reference to the Awang Khān—"and 'Tughril,' the name of a man," which may signify "the breaker." Moreover one noble is named Tughril-i-Tughān Khān. See page 743

Page 742—" قبران خان Either a displacement of قبران خان "Temir kiran khan = the iron-breaking Khan, or temir who defeated Kamreddin."

The Iron [like] Khān would be appropriate, and the word tamur—iron—has already been described at page 742.

Page 746- قرافش خان ابتكين "-Kara kash khan aytkin = the man named

Kara kash khan. Kara hash = black eyebrow, is a frequently-used proper name."

Undoubtedly it is the name of a man, and may mean the Black-eyebrowed, but what does Aet-kin mean? The former is also written قرا قوش Ķarā Kūsh.

". Page 748—التون ياهي instead of التون ياهي —altun yay [yāhi ?] = the golden bow."

Ikhtiyār-ud-Din of the Golden bow is not inapplicable, but the word signifying gold is written either  $\bar{a}$  or  $\bar{a} = 1$ .

Page 752-"سنقر -sonkar, shonkar = a bird of prey, a hawk."

Sunkar, as it is written, on the authority before referred to, which gives the meanings of some—but not all, I regret to say—Turki words, signifies a black-eyed falcon of a particular species. See note 6 to the page above referred to.

Page 754—"قبقاق—kabakluk = the gross, the thick; or a mistake of the copyist instead of kulluk = مبارك

The word in the majority of the copies of the text collated has تيقلق — ķīķ-luķ, with the vowel points, but two copies have certainly assigned to it is not inapplicable, i. e. Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar, the Stout or Gross. The Professor previously said that kutlug meant !

Page 756--- كربت عان "-Kerit khan: a title = the prince of Kerit, a known Turkish tribe."

This I look upon as wholly inadmissible, because the Karāyat—tribe—not certainly—so famous in the history of the Mughals, as will be found farther on, was a purely Mughal tribe, and Karāyat signifies dark or swarthy. The Malik referred to at page 756 was a Turk, and not of the Mughal I-māķ.

Page 673 — ملك بكتم اور غان "The incomprehensible part is معلم and here I suppose it to be an orthographical mistake for بنتم wikitim = my champion, a hero."

The word may possibly be يكتم as a single point makes all the difference, but it might, after the same fashion, be meant for يكتم or بكتم and the like, but the next question is, as اور is not translated with it, whether "my champion" is possible or not: I rather think it is not.

Page 775—'بان کشو' —balaban keshlii or keshili = of the tribe Balaban. Keshi [or 1] kishi means a person, an individual, but keshli [sic in MS.] or kishili, if preceded by a proper name, signifies a man of. Thus Uigur kishili = a man of the Uigur tribe; balaban kishili = a man of the Balaban tribe."

Page 831—موات -- rock. جمات = a block, a hatchet. جمات -- kara chumak = the black hatchet, a proper name."

This rendering is not improbable, and not unlike many other Turkish nicknames, but between a block and a hatchet there is a great difference except

when they come together. In the work I have before alluded to Chumāk [in the text it is Jumāk] is described as an iron mace of six points or divisions.

From the above result, I fear that a satisfactory solution of the correct significations of these titles and names, with the few exceptions referred to, must remain in abeyance until some good Dictionary of the old Turkish language shall be forthcoming.

#### SECTION XXIII.

# THE AFFAIRS OF ISLAM, AND IRRUPTION OF THE INFIDELS.

[As our author relates here the various prophecies respecting the end of the world, of which the irruption of the Mughals was one of the chief indications, I need scarcely follow him, since the world has not yet come to an end, although more than six centuries have elapsed since he foretold it, and closed his history, and, therefore, I may pass over these matters altogether, and begin where he commences his relation of events.]

Notwithstanding that, by the will of the Almighty, and the decrees of Destiny, the turn of sovereignty passed unto the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, the Accursed, and his descendants, after

- 1 This is, perhaps, the most interesting portion of our author's work; and it contains much information not hitherto known, and many important particulars respecting the Panjāb, Sind, and Hindūstān, and throws additional light on other events mentioned in the preceding Sections. This highly important portion has not been given at all by ELLIOT in the extracts from our author's work contained in the second vol. of his "Historians of India."
- <sup>2</sup> Chingiz or Chingiz Khān signifies "THE GREAT KHAN," and therefore, although apparently pedantic, that is the correct mode of writing his title, which will be explained farther on.
- I did not intend to give an account of the descendants of Yāfis, son of Nūḥ, but, perhaps, it will be well to do so, since many persons appear to entertain very erroneous ideas respecting Turks, Tāttārs, and Mughals, and respecting their correct names, and as our author here has also made some errors respecting the last-named people. I shall be as brief as possible; but I fear that, in giving this account, I shall seriously interfere with some people's theories on the subject.

This account is taken from several histories which I will name, in order that I may not have constantly to quote them, viz.:—'Abd-ullah bin Khurdād-bih, Tārīkh-i-Fanākatī, Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh of the Wazīr, Rashīd-ud-Dīn, Tārīkh-i-Ghāzānī, Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gīr, Tārīkh-i-Alfī, Shajarah-ul-Atrāk, Mujmal-i-Faṣiḥ-i, Tārīkh-i-Jahān-Ārā, Lubb-ut-Tawārīkh-i-Jahān-Kushāe of the Jūwainī, Tārīkh-i-Jahān-Ārā, Lubb-ut-Tawārīkh, Tārīkh-i-Ibrāhīmī, Muntakhab-ut-Tawārīkh, Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā, Ḥalīb-us-Siyar, Majāmi'-ul-Khiyār, Tārīkh-i-Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, and the Akbar-Nāmah, the last of

#### the kings of I-ran and Turan, that the whole of the land of

which works contains the history of the Mughals more for the purpose of glorifying the author's master than anything else, as I shall presently show.

I. YĀFIS, son of Nūḥ, from whom the Turks and all their ramifications claim descent, after coming out of the ark with his father, is said to have been sent, by him, into the farther east, and to have fixed his yūrat or encampment, and to have pitched his tent, at a place written in the original—i—the which is somewhat doubtful, in the vicinity of the rivers Ātil—i—the Wolga, which rises in the country of Rūs and Bulghār—and Jātk—i—He received from his father the famous stone which possessed the virtue of producing rain and other blessings, which stone the Turks call yadah-tāsh, the 'Ajamts, sang-i-yadah, and the 'Arabs hajar-al-maṭar—the rain-producing stone.

In after-times the descendants of Yāfiş casting lots for the possession of this miraculous stone, the Ghuzz, hereafter to be mentioned, are said to have made an imitation of it, and the Khalj tribe won the false stone, while the Ghuzz secured the real one. The author of the Ḥabīb-us-Siyar says it was preserved among the Ūzbaks and Mughals, and possessed the same virtues when he wrote!

-4. Sak-خرز—3. Khurz خرز—3. Khurz خرز—4. Sak-[also Şaklāb], 5. Rus-روس [an 'Uşmanlı Turkish author, who lately published a work at Paris, very correctly, contends-according to the historians previously quoted, among whom the Fanakati says his work contains what the Sages, Astronomers, and Chroniclers of the I-ghurs, the people of Tibbat, and the tribes of the Turks relate in their chronicles—that the Russians are not Sclaves-i. e. Saklābs. See also Mascou's History of the Germans, and منياك — also written Mansag منياك — and منياك — also written Mansag and مارخ -- Bārakh تارخ -- Bārakh تارخ -- also written Tārakh تارخ -- Bārakh تارخ -- Tāraj even Marakh-i- from the fourth son of whom is descended Sikandar-i-Zū-l-Karnain, not the Macedonian. 8. Gumāri- [Gomer] also styled, by some of the writers quoted, Kimal or Gimal—كيمال and Gimal or Kimal and Gimial or Kınıfal—کمال [I may repeat here that I always put the most trustworthy names first, in all instances]. Some of these writers, and also the author of the Jāmi'-i-'Uzm, add the names of three more sonsbut the two first mentioned مدان—<u>Gh</u>uzz—غر—<u>Gh</u>uzz cannot be sons of Yang, from what these writers themselves subsequently state respecting the origin of their names, presently to be noticed.

Some of the authorities mention the confusion of tongues, which necessitated the eight sons of Yāns separating, and they are mentioned as taking up their residence, with their families, in different parts of what they call Turkistān, and which, subsequently, were called after their respective names; but the others state that Nūh sent Yāns into the farther east, into Tūrān.

II. Turk, the eldest son of Yāfis, son of Nūḥ, took up his residence in that pleasant locality famous for its hot and cold springs, which the Turks call Salingāe—المن كول—which is also written Issi-Kol—المن كول—by some writers, but which, as subsequently explained, refers to the parts about Issik-Kol—اسبق كول—or Issigh-Kol—اسبق كول—or Issigh-Kol—اسبق كول—and غ being interchangeable.

According to 'Abd-ullah-i-Khurdād-bih, and Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, 'Salingāe lies round about Issīgh-Kol, which is a little sea, or great lake, seven days' journey in extent [about 120 miles long], surrounded by mountains,

### Tūrān and the East fell under the sway of the Mughals,

and into which seventy rivers fall, but the lake is salt, and some say the water is warm."

Chin, son of Yāfis, was very clever, and inventive, and among other things invented by him was the custom of winding silk [from the cocoon], and weaving silken textures. He had a son whom he named Mā-Chin, who was exceedingly wise. When the latter's family became numerous, he said to his father that he would construct a place of abode for himself, and he founded the city of Mā-Chin. He acquired the jade stone, and discovered its properties and virtues, and made it known to his people, and he also took musk from the musk-deer.

Khurz, son of Yāfiṣ, was very mild, tractable, and taciturn, and, having roamed about in all directions, at length fixed his residence by the bank of the river Atil—Ji In the summer season he dwelt [with his family] in the open country, and, in winter, in a town [ -a fixed habitation, probably.

Saklāb, son of Yāfiş, made some request to the chief of his ultīs or tribe—one writer says, to Gumārī, Khurz, and Rūs, to be allowed to dwell with them—which was not granted, and, on this account, enmity arose between them. Others say, that the descendants of Saklāb, having become much more numerous than the others, came to a fight with their kinsmen, but, being worsted, took up their residence in more distant parts, farther west, beyond the seventh clime, where the cold is great. They appear to have not only made up their feud in these latter days, A.D. 1876, but also, for political purposes, to have merged into one people. 'Abd-ullah-i-Khurdād-bih calls him Sag-lāb—and says that he was suckled by a bitch, hence the name, and which, if true, may account for the very Christian-like proclivities manifested lately by his simple-minded "Christian" descendants, so-called, in cutting off ears, lips, noses, and heads, and otherwise mutilating their dead foes, a very dog-like disposition. They—the Slavs of European writers—are notorious for such-like acts, as Tacitus and Procopius testify.

Rūs, son of Yāfiṣ, is the ancestor of the Rūsīāns [Russians] Being held in little account, and without sufficient means, he continued, for some time, to dwell along with Saklāb, but, subsequently, left him. Among the descendants of Rūs the custom prevails of giving the parents' whole inheritance to the daughters, and nothing but a sword to the sons.

Mang, or Mansag, son of Yafis, was full of deceit and artifice, and he took up his dwelling on the side of Bulghar. There is the land of the Ghuzz, and the whole of that race are his descendants, from his son, named Ghuzz; and they are the worst of the descendants of Yans. Some few writers say "the worst of the Turks," but to be Turks they must have been descended from Turk, which does not appear to have been the case. After the decease of Yāfis, Mansag managed to get possession of the rain-stone, and it remained with the Ghuzz, but, on one occasion, when Turk required it to bring rain, he sent and demanded the stone from them. They substituted a false one and sent it, which being discovered, strife arose, and numbers of the Ghuzz family were killed in consequence, and, from that time, enmity has continued between the Turks and Turk māns. [See under Aghūz, farther on, for the origin of this name.] Ghuzz's eldest son was killed in this affair. He was named he بيغو which is also written Beghūn-يغون—the .. being nasal, and hence the Turk-mans style themselves Beghu. See note 3, page 374, and note 6, page 433.

Gumāri [Gomer of European historians who is also called Kimāl or Gimāl

#### and that the authority of the Muhammadan religion de-

and Kimān or Gimān and Gimiāl, &c., as previously stated], son of Yāns, was addicted to pleasure and jollity, and passionately fond of the chase; and he took up his residence in the part which is known as Bulghār. He had two sons:—1. Bulghār, and 2. Bartās, and the Māshkrūtān—باشقروبال [the Bāshkirs?] are of their seed. Bartās took up his quarters on the side of Bulghār, and the taking of furs is attributed to him. Bulghār is the ancestor of the Bulghārs, vui. Bulgarians, and therefore are not Saklabs [Slavs].

Some historians are of opinion that the Yūnānīān, and Rūmīān [Ionians and Romans], are descended from Gumārī, otherwise Kīmāl or Gimāl, and that Yā-jūj and Mā-jūj [Gog and Mā-gog]—who, probably, are the ancestors of the Samoydes—were likewise sons of Gumārī.

No account whatever is given of the eighth son of Yāfis—Tāraj, Tārakh, or Bārakh.

This is the genealogy of the descendants of Yāfiṣ, son of Nūḥ, but, as such numbers of tribes have sprung from them as cannot be easily enumerated, and, as the object of the writers was merely to give an account of the Turks, they do not chronicle much more respecting the other sons of Yāfiṣ, but concentrate their attention on the movements of his eldest son, Turk.

Tunag, or Tunak or Tutak or Tutag, took up his quarters on the banks of the river Atil, but the dwelling places of the other brothers are not mentioned.

At this point considerable discrepancy occurs among the authors quoted, respecting the successor of Turk, entitled Yāfis Ughlān. With a single exception they state that, when his end drew near, Turk made over the chieftain-ship to his son, whom they styled Alminjah—العبد Alminjah—العبد المسلم ا

Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, states, that "Turk, at his death, bequeathed his sovereignty to his son Tūnak" [Tūtak or Tūtag, previously mentioned], and that "Tūnak left the sovereignty to Jalzah [؟ إجارة], his son." This

parted from those regions, which became the seat of

Jalzah may be meant for Alinjah or the like, but I must mention that Abū-l-Ghāzī is the most modern of all the authors I have referred to, he having only begun his History in 1074 H. = 1663 A.D., and that, in one place in his work, he states that he himself copied from other authors what is contained therein down to the time of his ancestor Shaibānī Khān, the contemporary and enemy of Bābar. Those authors must have been some of those whose works I have already named; but Abū-l-Ghāzī differs from them essentially, and can scarcely be considered a better authority than those who centuries previously compiled the history of the descendants of Yāfiş by command of Mughal sovereigns, and from the best authorities.

For the above reasons I must assume that Turk was succeeded by his grandson, Alminjah or Alinjah, possibly the son of Tūtag or Tūnag.

ALMINJAH—العبر having succeeded, during his chieftain-ship the whole of the Turk tribes forsook the true faith [of their ancestor Yāfis] and turned pagans. When he became stricken in years he resigned the chieftain-ship to his son, Dib-bāķūe.

IV. Dib-Bāķūe—ديبادتوى —also written Dib-bādķūe—ديبادتوى —Dībā-ķūn—ديبادتوى —and Dib-yāķūn—ديبانون —and Dib-bāūķūe — ديبانون — the son of Alminjah or Alinjah, succeeded his father, and, in his chieftain-ship, all the Turks took the road of error and perversity. Dib signifies throne, grandeur, possession, and bāķūe, great, venerable, and the like. He had four sons.

V. KIWAK— 9,5—also written Kiwak— 9,5—by some, Kyūk, and by two authors Kūr, or Gūr—)5—another son of Alminjah or Alinjah, but, according to some, his eldest son, succeeded to the chieftain-ship over the Turks. He became an idol-worshipper according to the Fanākatī, who then makes a sudden leap to Āghūz Khān who does not belong to this dynasty at all. Others state however that Kiwak did not deviate from the just and virtuous path of his forefathers.

VI. I now come to a period respecting which all the authors named, with a single exception, to be referred to presently, agree, namely, that wherein Kiwak was succeeded by his son, ALINJAH-Khān, during whose chieftain-ship his people, filled with arrogance at their prosperity and flourishing condition, continued to fall deeper into darkness and perversity until they all became After a considerable time, two sons were born to him at one birth, to the eldest of whom he gave the name of Tattar-jud-and to the second the name of Mughal مغل which is also written Mughūl -- مغول and but Mongol is wholly erroneous: I cannot imagine how it ever came to be adopted. When Alinjah became old and infirm, and his two sons had grown up, he divided his territory between them, giving to each a half, and retired from the world. The two brothers appear to have ruled jointly, and in harmony, for some time, but, eventually, separation took place between them, and two septs or tribes arose, which authors call by the or اوماق — Turkish words أ-māk — ايماغ — I-māgh ايمان — and Ūİ-māk — ايمان — or اويماغ — Ūi-māgh

It may be well to mention another matter which occurs to me here, and, although it is not a necessary or very material part of the present subject, it can scarcely be deemed foreign to it.

Those Turks—Tāttārs, and Mughals—who occupy at present the old seats of the Tājzik Ghūrīs, between Hirāt, Kābul, and Ķandahār ["the Afghans of Ghore" as they were wont, until very lately, improperly to be styled, and who are said to have "founded the 'pre-Mughal' Putan dynasty of Hin-

#### paganism, the kingdom of Hindustan, by the grace of

dostan"], to whom ELPHINSTONE refers in his "Account of Caubul," under the name of "Eimauks," still style themselves "Chahār [Four] I-māk or Ūi-māk," after the same Turkish words as given above, they having been originally four tribes of those people, and the people now styled Hazārahs-which word is not a proper name but derived from hazār, a thousand, the name given by the Mughal rulers to bodies of 1000 men, but these so-called hazārahs often contained many more, even 4 and 5000. One or more bodies of these troops were, with their families, stationed in those parts-once exceedingly flourishing and populous—after their conquest by the Mughals, subsequent to which period likewise the Chahār I-māk were settled therein. One of the former was the Hazārah of the Nū-yīn, Mukah, a Karāyat Mughal, who with his ulūs, was sent to reside on the frontiers of Khurāsān, and occupied the tracts extending from the limits of Balkh to Badghais of Hirat. They were not the first, however, for, long prior to the time of the Turkish rulers of Ghaznin, we find Turkish tribes settling in the N.W. parts of that tract of country which is called Afghanistan in later times, and in the parts between Kabul and Peshāwar, about the skirts of the Safed Koh. Elphinstone says, "Their features refer them at once to the Tartar stock, and a tradition declares them to be the offspring of the Moguls (sic);" and, in a foot-note, he adds: "I find it difficult to account for the number of Toorkee words which are met with in the language of those tribes. Why, if they be Moguls, should they have spoken Toorkee?"

"Toorkey," I beg leave to observe, is the mode in which Dow and Briggs thought proper to write the word Turki——after the absurd elegancies of a "pronouncing-dictionary," I suppose, or the Fonetic Nuz, and they appear to have been under the impression that Turk and Turki referred solely to the 'Uşmānli (Ottoman) Turks and their language, and that they, according to their supposition, were a totally different race from the children of the son of Yāfiṣ, and so they invariably wrote the word, without any authority whatever—Toorkey—as if it were written in the original with which it is not. It will also be seen that Elphinstone's difficulty was a self-made one, and that the "Moguls should have spoken Toorkee" is not to be wondered at. He also says [vol. ii. p. 222]: "the Moguls and Uzbeks"—for he seems to have been unaware that the Uzbaks are Mughals in reality—"compose what we call the Tartar nation"! The fact however is precisely the contrary.

BĀBAR mentions these Chahar Ī-māķ. He styles them respectively "the Turk Ī-māķ," "Hazārah Mughals," "Turk-māns," and "Tāīmānī [not 'Tymunee'] Ī-māķ." I have never come into contact with them myself or I would have learned the correct names of their Ī-māķs and their descent, but, certainly, the Nikūdarīs were included among them in former days "Fīrūz-kohī" is a mere local name.

I now return to the account of the two I-maks of Tattar and Mughal, and commence with the eldest branch.

#### THE TATTAR I-MAK.

The chiefs or sovereigns of the Tattar I-mak consist of eight persons, the first of whom was the eldest of the twin sons of Alinjah Khān.

- I. TATTAR KHAN—قارخان—son of Alinjah, ruled for a considerable time, and was succeeded by his son,
- II. Būkā <u>Kii</u>ān—ue —also written, in some histories, Būkū—who was succeeded by his son,

#### Almighty God, and the favour of fortune, under the shadow

III. AMINJAH—النجه and, by different authors, Alinjah—النجه Balinjah—بالمجه Malinjah—النجه Malinjah—النجه Malinjah—النجه which may be anything; but Abū-l-Ghāzī, contrary to all other writers, styles him Jalinzah [? المارة]. He was succeeded by his son,

IV. I-LEY—ابلى—whom some style Anst or Inst—ابلى—and آsley—ايسلى—and الله without diacritical points. Abū-l-Ghāzīt styles this ruler Itelah [الماد]. He was succeeded by his son,

V. ATSIZ—التور which is written in some of the works quoted Altūr التور and Astir التور but they are evidently both intended for التر which is a well-known Turkish name. This however is a specimen of the manner in which careless copyists, ignorant of the subject copied, make correct names incorrect. Abū-l-Ghāzī calls him Atasir or Atsir [? سر ]. He was engaged in wars, but against whom is not recorded. Hostility had probably already arisen between the Tāttār and Mughal Ī-māķs.

VI. ARDŪ or URDŪ اردو also written Ardah or Urdah اردو son of Atsiz, succeeded to the authority, and, at his death, his son,

VII. BĀĪDŪ—კას succeeded to the chieftain-ship. He was much superior to his predecessors in power and state. He marched his forces against the Ī-māķ or Ulās of the Mughals, and hence commenced that inextinguishable hostility which has ever since existed between the two septs. Abū-l-Ghāzī says he died whilst war was going on against the Mughals.

VIII. SŪNJ KIIĀN——, and by some styled SŪNDZ——son of Bāidū, succeeded; but, before I say more concerning him, I must bring the Mughal Ī-māk down to his time.

#### THE MUGHAL OR MUGHUL I-MAK.

This I-mak was ruled over by nine persons, and from this circumstance the number nine is held in great veneration by the Mughals. The first was,

II. KARĀ KIIĀN, eldest son of Mughal Khān, succeeded his father, and, in his time, most of the descendants of Turk were idol-worshippers, and but few followed the faith of their ancestors. During his reign a movement took place among his people, and he made subject the parts about Karā-Kuram, and the tracts lying between those two lofty mountain ranges which they call Ur-Tāk—i)—or Ūr-Tāk—i,—and Kar-Tāk and some, War-Tāk—i,—and Kar-Tāk, or, as—i,—k is interchangeable with in Turkish words, it may be more correctly written Ur-Tāgh or Ūr-Tāgh, and Kar-Tāgh, or War-Tāgh and Kar-Tāgh—Tāgh, in Turkish, signifying a range of mountains—and therein took up his pārat—encamping

of the guardianship of the Shamsi race, and the shade of

ground—and his  $\bar{\imath}$ - $l\bar{a}k$  or  $\bar{\imath}$ - $l\bar{a}gh$ , or summer, and  $ki\underline{sh}$ - $l\bar{a}k$  or  $ki\underline{sh}$ - $l\bar{a}gh$ , or winter station. These terms are still used by the Chahār- $\bar{\imath}$ -māk in Afghānistān, and even the Afghāns have, during the course of time, adopted the terms from them.

Abū-l-Ghāzī says "those mountain ranges are now [in his time] called Ulugh-Tāgh—the high, great, over-topping mountains, and the Kizil-Tāgh—the red or ruddy mountains," which are sufficiently delineated on all good maps, but under various different names. Karā Khān's kish-lāķ, or winter quarters, was generally at the foot of the mountains in about Lat. 40°, Long. 94° or 95°. The name kvzii—red—occurs constantly in the names Kizil-kol, Kizil-kum, and the like. Another Wher describes the country of the Mughal Ī-māk as bounded E. by Khīf. W. by the Ī-ghūr country, N. by Ķīrķīr [which is also written, by some, Ķīrkīz, and Ķirķīz, but Mīrzā Ḥaidar always writes it Kirā-ķīr—which evidently refers to the country around the Ķirķīr Nor of the Jesuits' map; and the Tārīkh-i-Alfī has Ķirķīr—t, Mīrzā Ḥaidar does not refer to the tribe of Karghīz, which he always writes it karkhez Tungūt—خخز تكوت—Kharkhez Tungūt—خخز تكوت—Kharkhez Tungūt—خخز تكوت—Kharkhez Tungūt—خخز تكوت—Kharkhez Tungūt—خخز تكوت—Kharkhez Tungūt—خخو تكوت كالمتحدد كالمتح

Karā Khān was a tyrannical and odious infidel, and greatly feared and avoided. During his sovereignty he had a son born to him by his chief Khātūn, who received the name of Āghūz, which name refers to his refusal of nourishment from his mother's breast for three days and nights, according to the Mughal tradition—but I have not space to detail it here—because she too had become an infidel, the word Āghūz being said to mean the sound of milk taken from an ewe which has recently had young. It was usual among the Mughals not to name their offspring until they were a year old; but Āghūz turned out to be a most remarkable infant, and so he named himself, to the surprise of his parents, who were consulting upon what name to give him, crying out from his cradle: "My name is Āghūz."

Āghūz Khān grew up in the true faith, which his mother returned to, and his father, Karā Khān, discovering this, resolved to put him to death, and to take advantage of such time as Āghūz should be following the diversion of hunting in order to carry it out. Āghūz was married, in succession, to three daughters of his three uncles, one of whom adopted the true faith, and she gave him intimation of his father's design, and he, being in consequence joined by numerous partisans, kept aloof-from his father. At last, however, a conflict ensued between the father and son, and Aghūz slew his parent. Abū-l-Ghūzī says the father "was killed" during the rout of his followers.

also written ÅGHUZ—jė — which is equally correct, and by the Fanākatī, ŪGHŪZ—je — having succeeded to the authority, for a space of two or three years, but, according to some of the best of the Histories this account is taken from, for seventy-two or seventy-three years [seventeen years are more probable], waged war with his paternal and maternal uncles and other kinsmen and their dependents, and his own tribe, and, at length, succeeded in compelling the greater part of them to return to the true faith, and those who would not he treated with the utmost rigour. His uncles, however, with their dependents, and some of his father's likewise, fled from his territory farther to the east, to the borders of Chin, and sought protection from the Malik of the Tāttār Ī-māķ. He aided them with his forces, and they marched against Āghūz Khān, but, being filled with fear and terror of him, they were put to the rout. Āghūz Khān pursued them, and even

# the protection of the I-yal-timishi dynasty, became the

penetrated to the frontiers of Chin, and subdued part of that clime likewise. How this will agree with the Chinese annals remains to be seen, but, as one of his uncles was named Kin Khān, this may possibly account for the Kin—who are styled "Kin Tartars," but, perhaps, more correctly, should be Kin Mughuls—in the farther east, who subsequently conquered Northern China.

After this, such was his power, and the awe he was held in, that many ulūs or tribes of the Tāttār Ī-māk, as well as that of Mughal, became subject to him. He also brought under his sway the city of Tālāsh, and Şairām or Ṣīrām to the frontiers of Bukhārā.

One of the conflicts in which  $\bar{\Lambda}gh\bar{u}z$  Khān was engaged was with  $\bar{\Lambda}yat$ , the Burāķ, and  $\bar{\Lambda}gh\bar{u}z$  was overthrown, and had to retire into a delta, but seventeen years after he finally overcame him.

There was with his forces, on that occasion, a pregnant woman—the Mughals and Tāttārs used to take their wives with them in war—whose husband had been killed in that affair, and, being taken in labour, she took shelter within the trunk of a hollow tree which happened to be there, and gave birth to a son. Aghūz, hearing of it, took pity on her, as her husband had been slain in his defence, and adopted the boy, and gave him the name of Kabchāk because he was born in a hollow tree. When he grew up, Kabchāk was sent into the tract of country called Tāmāk, to guard that frontier, and it got the name, in course of time, of dasht or plain of Kabchāk.

The next to whom he assigned a name were the Kārlūks—vij—also—Kārlūgh—Karluk—ij—and Karlugh—i,—and the circumstance which gave rise to it was this. Āghūz Khān, returning from an expedition into the eastern parts of Ī-rān Zamīn, was passing the borders of Ghūr and Gharjistān on his way back to Tūrān. It was the depth of winter, and he therefore commanded that his followers should not loiter on the line of march because of the dangerous state of the route by reason of the frost and snow. Some few of his followers however—men of one family—did loiter, and soon found themselves unable to come up with the main body until a considerable time afterwards—some say Āghūz was on his way into Ī-rān Zamīn, and that the loiterers did not rejoin him until the following spring. After punishing them he gave them the nick-name of Kārlūgh, which word, in Turkish, according to my authorities, signifies "the father of snow," i. e. "pertaining to snow," but here, "detaīned by the snow," which name their descendants, who formed

#### focus of the people of Islām, and orbit of the possessors of

a separate tribe of Turks, were ever after known by, and continue to be known by up to this day. There is a couplet respecting them which is well known:—

Some of these simple Turks, who appeared on the N.W. frontier of the I-yaltimishi kings of Dihli, subsequent to the irruption of the Mughals, have been turned into "Indo-Scythians" by Major-General A. Cunningham. See Thomas, "Pathan Kings," p. 97. See also the theories on this name contained in the Geographical Magazine for 1875, vol. ii. page 217, last para.

Aghūz Khān also named the Turk tribe of Khalj-sometimes pronounced Khalaj, in poetry-from the following circumstance, of Aghūz's expeditions, the particulars of which are too long for insertion here, some of his men fell out on the line of march, and remained behind. they came up with the army again, Aghūz demanded the reason of their disobeying his strict orders against loitering. One of them replied, although they had been directed to take food with them sufficient for some days, that they had stayed behind in search of it, and that, in his own case, he had to remain because his wife was taken in labour, and, when the child came into the world, the mother, for want of nourishment, had no milk to give it. He had no food to offer her; when, looking about him, he espied, near by, a fox which had caught a partridge. He threw a stick at the fox which dropped the bird, which he seized, and, having roasted it, gave it to his wife to eat, and thereby she was able to afford nourishment to her babe. Hearing this tale, Aghuz gave the child—a boy—the name of Khalj or Khalaj, which signifies, according to some authors, "leave the woman behind," but others again say it is a compound word derived from -khal, left, and  $-\bar{a}j$ , hungiy—"left hungry." The posterity of this man became, in time, very numerous, and various branches of them went out into Mawar-un-Nahr, the Garmsir of Ghur, and other parts of Khurāsān, and into 'Irāķ [see also note 9, page 287]. They furnished subsequently several independent Sultans to Lakhanawati [Bengal], and other independent kingdoms of India. There are branches of them still to be found in Central Asia. Conolly, during his travels, had one of their descendants as his guide at Astarābād.

The 'Uşmanlı [vul. Ottoman] Turks trace their descent from Aghūz.

It may not be amiss here to mention likewise the tradition respecting the origin of the Turk-māns, and the reason of their being so named. On the occasion of Āghūz Khān's entering Khurāsān with his tribes, some of them had children born to them there, and, liking the climate "in preference to the rigorous winters and hardships of Turkiah," and partly through certain exigencies which arose—they were enemies of the Turks from the time Beghū, son of Ghuzz, was slain—they selected to remain and dwell there, near the banks of the Āmūiah. They multiplied considerably, and by degrees, possibly by further intermixture with the natives of the country, their appearance became, in course of time, somewhat like the Tājziks, or Sarts, as they are also styled,

#### religion; and, as from the extremity of the territories of

but, as they were not Tājziks [Can the term Sārik—J—or Sārigh—J—or 
The Kankuli tribes dwelt in the same tracts as the Turk-mans for some time, in the sandy desert, but, on a great movement among the latter, and some of them taking up their residence in towns and villages, the Kankuli's left them, and pitched their tents about the Tālāsh river, and Issigh-Kol, or the Issigh Lake, but the greater part of those who continued there were massacred by the Chingiz Khān on account of their relationship by marriage to the Khwārazmi Sultāns.

The movement of the Karlughs is connected with that of the Ghuzz already mentioned in note 5, page 374, which see.

The other tribes of the Turks, not being so much mixed up with the events of Western Asia and frontiers of Hind, at the period of our author's history, need not be referred to here, as the details would make this account much longer than necessary.

To return to Āghūz Khān. He, having returned to his original yūrat, "which was Kar-Tāgh and Ur-Tāgh," after his great expeditions and proposed conquests, gave a mighty feast, to which all the elliefs and principal men of all the tribes were summoned, and, at which, 90,000 sheep and 900 mares were consumed, besides other dainties, and a vast quantity of kamīs, and other strong drinks. He assigned yūrats and names to all the different tribes [under his sway], made laws and regulations, and organized armies into the various divisions, as subsequently continued to be observed. He occupies much the same position and celebrity among the Mughal Ī-māk, as Jamshed among the Ī-rānīs. According to Abū-l-Ghāzī, he was contemporary with Gaiū-murt and his son Hūshang, but, as he said the very same thing previously with respect to Tūtag or Tūnag, son of Tutk, we may doubt his accuracy upon other subjects.

#### Chin, Turkistan, Mawar-un-Nahr, Tukharistan, Zawul,

sovereign's representatives and lieutenants. On all state occasions, and in war, the right hand, which the Turks call baranghār—رنفار—or barankār— مرنفار and the succession to the sovereignty, was assigned for ever to the Badzūķi, and the lest hand, or juwānghār—حواسار—or juwānkār— to the Ūdz-ūķi, with the lieutenancy and command of the soldiery.

After having ruled for 116 years, Āghūz Khān died, leaving the sovereignty to his eldest son, Kun or Kūn.

From these six sons descended twenty-four sons, and, according to some historians, each had four sons, while some others say that each of the brothers had six sons, but this last seems an error. Abū-l-Ghāzī states that each of the six brothers had four legitimate sons, and also four natural sons, which appears from the very even numbers to be doubtful and improbable, and is totally contrary to other writers, thus making them forty-eight in all; but, farther on, he again contradicts his own words.

The Akbar Nāmah of Abū-l-Fazl, contrary to all others, asserts that Åghūz's sons and sons' sons are twenty-four in all, and that "the whole of the Turk-māns" are descended from these patriarchs or great men. This statement does not give us a very favourable opinion of that writer's knowledge of his subject, and, if all these six sons' descendants were Turk-māns, where does he manage to get a Mughal pedigree for his master from? This is what my Akbar Nāmahs have: what other Akbar Nāmahs may contain I am unaware.

The Fanākatī says that "Ūghūz Khān sent some of his sons and kinsmen, with a body of forces, into the parts more to the east, now called Mūghūlistān," which statement I shall have to refer to again farther on.

IV. Kun—o—or Kūn—o kuniance over great part of Samāirān, and died after a reign of eighty years, but some say seventy-three, and some seventy. By advice of his father's old Wazīr, Kabal Khwājah, he made such wise arrangements that each of his brothers and their sons had an appanage conferred upon him, and the place and rank of every one was so specifically assigned that each knew his proper place and his share even to the portion of the sheep at meal times, and this tended to keep them all on a good understanding towards each other.

Abū-l-Ghāzī says he divided his territory among his brothers and "their twenty-four legitimate sons and natural sons." He appears to have forgotten that, by his own previous account, four legitimate sons, and as many natural ones, belonged to Kun Khān hinself. What he calls a division of dominions is, no doubt, what I have just previously mentioned.

#### Ghūr, Kābul, Ghaznin, 'Irāķ, Tabaristān, Ārān, Āzarbāijān

V. On the death of Kun Khān, his brother, ĀE or Ā-ī KHĀN, succeeded, and, after a long reign, was succeeded by his eldest son,

VI. YAL-DŪZ -يالدوز Or I-YAL-DŪZ ايلدوز Or I-YAL-DŪZ ايلدوز During his rule the people of Mughalistān were flourishing and prosperous. Abū-l-Ghāzī, contrary to other writers, says he was not Āe Khān's brother of that name, but merely one of the same family, and says nothing of his being Āe Khān's son.

VII. Next succeeded Mangalī Khān— As son of Yal-dūz. He also made his people happy and prosperous, and died after a long reign. Some writers, however, do not even mention his name.

VIII. TINGIZ KIIĀN—LĀJ also written, according to the Tājzik method of substituting j for g—TINJĪZ—LĀJ son of Mangalī, succeeded on the death of his father. A few writers are in doubts whether he was the son of Mangalī or not, but there can be no reasonable doubt on the subject. By some he is said likewise to have abandoned the just ways and customs of his ancestors, but the contrary seems the fact, and that he reigned worthily for a period of 110 years over Mughalistān, and then resigned the authority into the hands of his son, and retired from the world. Some say his rule extended to a period of 100 years, and some 102.

IX. I-YAL KHĀN---J-I---but which may be, according to the vowel points that may be used with it, Il Khan, son of Tingiz or Tinjiz, succeeded to the chieftain-ship of the Mughal I-mak. His reign is a most important one in the annals of the descendants of Turk; and, at the period in question, Tur, son of Faridun, sovereign of 'Ajam, ruled over [what the 'Arabs subsequently styled by the name of Mawar-un-Nahr, and Turkistan, which he had, it is said, lately reduced, and invaded I-yal Khān's territory with a numerous army. Inveterate enmity had, long prior to this, arisen between the I-mak of Tattar and I-mak of Mughal, as previously mentioned, and Tur succeeded in gaining over to his side Sunj or Sundz Khan, the eighth chief of the Tattar dynasty, and his subjects, and the I-ghurs, who were descended from another son of Mughal Khan, and had formed a separate tribe at an early date, and had now become a great nation, likewise aided Tür. The confederates marched against I-yal Khān, but the tribes of the Mughal I-māk, being much attached to I-yal Khān, fought bravely in his desence, and a great number of Tattars and Ighūrs and followers of 'Tūr were slain in the conflict which ensued, and were pursued for two farsakhs by the Mughals; but victory was soon turned into The retreat of the confederates was a mere ruse, and, the Mughals having left their strong position and broken their array to pursue them, the confederates faced about—some say the confederates did not renew the attack until next day, when they fell upon them unawares—and entirely overthrew them, put the whole of them to the sword, and made a general massacre of the Mughal people, in such wise that, with the exception of Kaiān--- son of I-yal Khān, and Nagūz—, i-son of I-yal's maternal uncle, and their two wives who were sisters, and all four of whom chanced to be without the camp at the time, not a soul escaped of the whole Mughal I-māķ.

This event is said to have happened 1000 years after the time of Aghūz Khān. At this rate, his five successors must have reigned 200 years each on the average, and it is therefore evident that, either what are termed rulers are the names of dynasties, or that only the names of the most celebrated of their chiefs or sovereigns have been handed down to posterity, or the thousand years must mean from the time of Yāfig, not Āghūz.

#### the Jazirah, Anbar, Sijistan, Mukran, Kirman, Fars,

At this point, the Fanakati, who gives but a very brief notice of the Chingiz Khān's ancestors, seems quite at sea. He says nothing whatever about Aghūz Khān's five successors, but states that, "after Ughūz had conquered many countries, and had become firmly established, he despatched some of his sons and kinsmen, with other persons, and a body of forces into the east, into the parts now, i. e. in his tune, called Mughulistan fonly Aghuz himself was ruler of Mughalistan as his forefathers had been before him], and, after a period of 4000 years, one among the Bādshāhs of Khitāe began to make expeditions against those peoples, crossed the Kara Mūrān or Black River, made a night attack upon them, and massacred the whole [of the males], and carried off into captivity their women and children. Of that people, but two persons, named Nagūz and Kaian, with their families, fled into the mountain tract which they call in another, اراكم قون in one copy, and Liakanah Kun- أركم entered it, and there continued to dwell for a period of 400 years, during which time they increased to such degree that that tract became too confined for them." &c.

This statement of his is simply impossible, because, if it were only those peoples sent into the east, into what in the writer's time was called "Mūghūlistān," by Ūghūz, under "some of his sons and kinsmen," that were massacred, what became of the parent stock of the Mughuls over whom Ūghūz reigned? They too must have also increased immensely during 4000 years. How is it that he says nothing about them? He has turned two events into one, and the last part of his statement is the account of the extermination of the Mughal Ī-māķ related above, and the former refers to a great massacre of the Jalā-īr tribe by the Khiga-īs in after years, as will be presently related.

There is little to be gathered from the truditionary history of I-rān respecting these events, and the little that is mentioned is contradictory of the Mughal accounts. Careful comparison of the voluminous traditions of the two peoples might throw some light upon these occurrences, and some day I may attempt it. According to the I-rānī accounts, however, Faridūn divided his dominions among his sons, and gave Tūrān—not all Asia east of the Oxus, as modern writers appear to assume—the capital of which is Kāshghar, and part of which tract was afterwards called Māwar-un-Nahi by the 'Arabs, Khurz, and Saklāb, to Tūr, and Tūrān is so named after him. Some of the I-rānī chronicles relate that the Turks are of the seed of Tūr, and that Afrāsiyāb [who is certainly styled "the Turk" by the 'Ajamīs] was his great grandson, and that he ruled over the countries east of the Jīlūn, from the limits of Hind to the frontier of the Turks.

Our author, Minhāj-ud-Dīn, in his account of the I-rānī or 'Ajamī kings, also says that Afrāsiyab was third in descent from Turk, and that his father was Sunj—which is much the same name as that of Sūnj—Khān, the VIIIth of the Tāttār Ī-māķ, which may also be written Sunj, without the long u.

But, as all this happened 1000 years—taking the most moderate period—after Aghūz Khin, and as Faridūn, father of Tūr, was contemporary, they say, with the patriarch Ibrāhīm, who was born in his reign, Aghūz Khān must, according to those chion les, have flourished very far back indeed, and anterior to Nūh's flood.

Abū-l-Chāzī, whose history, as previously mentioned, is the most modern of those named at the beginning of this account, relates these events differently.

## Khūzistān, the Diyār-i-Bakr, and Mauşil, as far as the

He says that I-yal or Īl Khān and Sūndz Khān were continually at war with each other, and the former was always victorious, but it must be remembered that Abū-l-Ghāzī sprung from the Mughal Ī-māķ. Sūndz Khān had therefore to seek aid from the Khān of Ķarķīr or Ķarķīz, who was a very powerful ruler, and he also worked so much upon other tribes, the neighbours of I-yal Khān, that they too combined with him. I-yal Khān, in consequence, took up a very advantageous position which he strengthened so much, that the confederates were unable to force it, but, having placed their best soldiers in ambuscade, pretended flight, to draw the Mughals from their stronghold.

The rest agrees with what has been already stated, and Kar-kir or Kar-kiz is evidently a mistake for Khar-khez—i—also written Khar-kher—of the I-ghūrs, which is generally used in conjunction with Tungūt in the history of the Chingiz Khān. Abū-l-Ghāzi however mentions, with regard to those who escaped the massacre, that they were taken captive by the Tāttārs, but, subsequently, escaped from the solitary guard placed over them. The Khān of Kar-kir or Kar-kiz, in this account, would seem to be meant for Tūr of the I-iānī authors.

Abū-l-Ghāzī however constantly falls into error, for, after having given this account of the destruction of the Mughals by the Tāttārs, he, in another place, makes "the tribe of Tāttārs" an entirely new subject, as though another, and distinct tribe. See also the translation of that work:—"History of the Turks, Moguls, and Taturs." page 38, vol. i. London, MDCCXXX.

Moguls, and Taturs," page 38, vol. i. London, MDCCXXX.

Mirzā Muḥammad Ḥasan Khān, otherwise Mirzā Muḥammad Ḥaidar, son of Muḥammad Ḥusain, Doghlāti, who preceded Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, by about a century, says, that the country and tribes of Mughalistān had become so utterly ruined and dispersed a hundred years or more before his time even—he wrote in 951 H.—that not a sign or trace remained of men capable of writing history, and mentions this as the reason of his own inability to furnish better accounts of them than he has done. I mention this to show that Abū-l-Ghāzī, although he did possess eighteen books on the subject—including the Tārikh-i-Ghāzāni—written by Turks and Tājziks, preferred, it seems, rather to collect oral traditions, many centuries old, than refer to his written authorities.

Abū-l-Fazl, the author of the Akbar Nāmah, who conceals everything that he fancies does not tend to the glorification of his master, Akbar, smoothes over this total overthrow and almost extinction of the Mughal-Ī-māk, from which his master traces his descent, gives a cock and bull story to begin with, and says that, "after putting Tūr, and Sūndz Khān, and the Ī-ghūrs to flight, they played the part of the fox upon the Mughals," and winds up with excuses and apologies for the disaster, where none are required, and the unction of consolation that it was "all for the best," &c., &c. If the Mughals had been the triumphant party, what a flourish of trumpets we should have been treated to!

Thus it was then that the Mughal I-māk was exterminated, with the exception of two males, Kaiān and Nagūz, and two females, their wives, and, hence, all Mughals whatsoever are descended from them—with the exception of those of the I-māk who followed the uncles of Āghūz into the faither east, according to the traditions contained in some works—and are not Tāttārs, although they are, by descent, Turks.

From what has just been stated, and what has been previously mentioned, it will now be clearly seen why such hostility existed—and continues to exist to

#### boundaries of Rūm and Shām, fell into the hands of the

this day—between the Turks of the Tāttār Ī-māķ, from that time known in the writings of Oriental historians under the general name of Turks as well as Tāttārs, and the descendants of the two Mughals who escaped this general massacre, and who were destined to become the progenitors of that sanguinary conqueror, the Chingiz Khān. This enmity, doubtless, burnt in the breasts of himself and his tribe, when he invaded and attacked the dominions of Sulţān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, besides the provocation he had received through the treatment of his envoys and merchants, that Sulţān being a Turk of the Tāttār Ī-māķ, and also allied to them by marriage.

We cannot fail to perceive the same enmity existing from the pages of our The great Turk Maliks of the Dihli kingdom, and the Dihli sovereigns. were Turks of the Tattar I-mak, and, consequently, natural foes of the Mughal I-mak, and our author, probably taking the cue from his patrons, invariably styles the latter the "infidel Mughals," and hence too the refusal of Sultan, I-val-timish, to hold any communication with the emissaries of the Mughal Khans, the descendants of the Chingiz Khan, and of Barkah Khan in particular, although he was a Musalman like himself, and his emissaries likewise were of the same faith, and the Sultan's sending them to the fortress of Gwaliyur; and afterwards, by command of his daughter, Sultan Raziyyat, they were confined at Kinnauj, beyond which city they were not allowed to go, and there they subsequently died, as will be found farther on. Ulugh Khan's own tribe—the Ilbari-too had to fly before the Mughals when they acquired predominance over Turkistan, and the tribes of Khafchak, and his little brother, afterwards the Amir-i-Hājib of Sultān Nāşir-ud-Din, Mahmūd Shah, fell into the hands of the Mughals, and was sold by them as a slave, as previously related, at page 800, which see.

The same natural enmity probably influenced Timūr in some way, in after years, in his hostility towards the 'Usmānli Sultān, Bāyazid, for Timūr was of the Mughal I-māk, and of the royal tribe of the Mughals, whilst Bāyazid was of the Tāttār I-māk. To call a Turk, or a Tāttār Turk, a Mughal was the greatest insult that could be offered him, or to call a Mughal a Tāttār, but several European writers have held peculiar ideas respecting these two I-māks. I extract the following as a specimen, from a work entitled "Travels in the Steppes of the Caspian Sca, the Crimea, the Caucasus," &c., by Xavier Hommaire de Hell. London, 1847.

"Perhaps no people has given occasion to more discussions than the Tatars and Mongols, nor is the problem of their origin completely solved in our day, notwithstanding the most learned investigations. Some admit that the Tatars and Mongols formed but one nation, others allege that they are two essentially different races. According to Lesvèque, D'Herbelot, and Lesur, the Tatars are but Turks. Klaproth, while he asserts that the Tatars and Mongols spring from the same stock, nevertheless regards the White Tatars whom Genghis [Chingiz?] Khān conquered, as Turks."

The first three authors mentioned were quite correct in their statements, and Klaproth is both right and wrong, for his "white Tatars," like all other Tāttārs, are undoubtedly Turks. The statement of D'Ohsson is the most astonishing, and totally incorrect:—"Lastly, D'Ohsson, in his remarkable history of the Mongols, treats the Mongols and Tatars as distinct races, but does not admit the theory [!] of the Turkish origin."

The writer continues:-"The same uncertainty, that hangs over the Mon-

## infidel Mughals, and not a trace of the Muhammadan

gol and Tatar hordes of the fourteenth century, prevails with regard to the people who, under the name of Tatars, now dwell in the southern part of the Russian empire; and they have been considered sometimes as descendants of the Turkish tribes that occupied those regions previously to the twelfth century, sometimes as remnants of the conquering Mongol Tatars."

This last compound is an utterly impossible name. There is no uncertainty, and no theory, whatever, in the matter, as might have been seen had the Oriental writers been correctly read, and the difference between the Turks of the two I-māks of Tāttār and Mughal been properly understood. I hope I have clearly demonstrated the fact now, because, according to compilers of Indian history, who merely draw their inspirations from Dow, BRIGGS, and some few others, the Turks with other wholly different races have formed their "PATHAN or AFGHĀN DYNASTIES," so-called—the "Dehlí Pathans," "Jounpoore Pathans," "Ghori Pathans," "Khilji Pathans," "Tughluk Pathans," &c., of the Oriental Congress of 1874—and which fantastic names, I am lately informed, signify, or, are meant to signify, "Pre-Mughal" dynasties!

The same writer continues to show into what a state of utter confusion this simple genealogy has been thrown by the writers themselves:—"The Chinese writers for the first time make mention of the Tatar people in the eighth century of our era, under the name of Tata, and consider them as a branch of the Mongols. The general and historian, Meng Koung [Klaproth: Asia Polyglotta], who died in 1246, and who commanded a Chinese force sent to aid the Mongols against the Kin, informs us in his memoirs that a part of the Tatar horde, formerly dispersed or subdued by the Khitans [who, in the same work, are said to have occupied the country north of the Chinese provinces of Tschy Li and Ching Ching, watered by the Charamuin [Karā Murān?], or Liao Ho and its confluents], quitted the In Chan mountains, where they had taken refuge, and joined their countrymen who dwelt north-east of the Khitans. The white Tatars and the savage or black Tatars then formed the most important tribes of those regions." Here undoubtedly the issuing forth of the descendants of Kaiān and Nagūz is referred to, which I shall presently mention.

The author continues in the same strain, making similar blunders, while the truth lies under his very nose, but he fails to see it, and here is a very rich specimen:

—" The princes of this empire [Kaptshak—Khaschāk?] were Mongols or Tatars, but the majority of their subjects were Turks"!! He also states, taking his information from different European writers, that "Genghis Khan, though born in the tribe especially designated as black Tatars, yet adopted the denomination of Mongols for his people," and "that the appellation Tatar lost all signification in Asia under the destroying power of Ghenghis (sic) Khan, and has ever since existed only in the European vocabulary."

The writer of this last marvellously incorrect statement also asserts that "the word Tatar owes its origin only to a jeu de mots of which St. Louis was the author." Perhaps St. Louis stood god-father to Tättär the son of Alanjah, and gave him that name.

The assertion that the Chingiz Khān was "a black Tatar," and adopted the denomination of "Mongols" or Mughals for his people is, as I have already shown, and shall show still more farther on, totally and utterly incorrect, and for that, as well as the other incorrect assertions contained in this book, and its author's authorities, respecting the Turks, Tāttārs, and Mughals, I challenge

## Maliks and Sultans of Islam remained in these countries-

any one to show me a single proof in any Muhammadan, or in any Asiatic, writer whatever.

I notice, and, I must say, with utter surprise, that much the same erroneous ideas are put forward in "AN ANCIENT HISTORY from the Earliest Records to the Fall of the Western Empire, by *Philip Smith*, B.A., one of the principal contributors to the Dictionaries of Greek and Roman Antiquities, Biography, and Geography," published in 1868, and which has gone through several editions. He says [vol. iii., page 737]:—

"Sober criticism has cast more than a doubt upon the romantic story, originated by Des Guignes and adorned by the pen of Gibbon, which seeks in wars upon the frontiers of China the remote cause of the appearance of the Huns in Europe in the former part of the fourth century. It is quite true that the people belonged to that great Turanian race, known to the Greeks as SCYTHIANS, and in modern times as TATARS," &c., &c.

No "romantic story" originated with Des Guignes; he merely related what he found in the history of the people he wrote about, and who, at least, may be allowed to have known their own history and traditions better than a Greek who wrote down what he heard from illiterate soldiers, and the often idle tales of traders.

In a foot-note he says: "The extension of this from a specific to a generic name is due to the prominent place occupied by the Tatars of Eastern Mongolia in the army of Zingis (or Genghis) Khan: and the common corruption into *Tartars* is ascribed to a pun of St. Louis... As the name of Tatar is even more specific than that of Mongol, it were to be wished that ethnologists would follow the practice of the Greeks, and use SCYTHIANS for the generic name."

If ethnologists were to do so, they would commit a terrible blunder. The error of asserting that the name of Tāttār—which appears in the earliest of the Muḥammadan writers—is modern, is not greater than the assertion that they owed the name "to the prominent place they occupied in the army of Zingis (or Genghis) Khan."

"The Tatars of Eastern Mongolia" did not occupy "a prominent place in the army of Zingis (or Genghis);" but the Mughal I-māk did; and the Tāttārs contained in it, who were comparatively few, had been compelled, by the Chingiz Khān, to join him.

Again [page 738]: "The Scythians are divided into four great races.—I. The Mongolians are the least numerous, though many writers apply their name to the whole family, in consequence of the fame of their chief Zingis Khan. . . 2. The Tungusian race . . . 3. The Ugrian race . . . 4. The Turkish race occupied not only the great region of West Central Asia from the Lake Baikal to the Caspian, which the progress of Russia is fast depriving of the name of Independent Tartary; but they extended over the vast steppes of south-eastern Europe, round the northern sides of the Caspian, the Caucasus, and the Euxine. Their precise partition from the Slavonian race is a difficult problem," &c., &c.

Their "precise partition from the Slavonian race" is no "difficult problem" whatever. In the Scythians so-called, we have clearly included the whole of the descendants of Yāsis' sons, as given in the fourth para. of this account of them. The localities of the Turkish races are wrongly given, and most of the tracts mentioned above were peopled by the descendants of other sons of Yāsis, more particularly of Bulghār, ancestor of the Bulgarians, Sak-lāb, of the Slavonians,

#### the Almighty's mercy be upon them, and may He long pre-

and Rūs, of the Russians. It is something new to hear the name of "Mongolians," who "are the least numerous," applied to the whole race of Scythians.

The writer continues in a similar strain, and we are at length told that the name "Decebalus" is "strange to Gothic, strange to Slavonic, not strange to Turkish history," but the writer would have had some little difficulty to name any Turkish history in which such a name occurs; and Darius and Herodotus are also quoted to prove such statements as these, but which the authors who wrote in the country of the Turks, Tāttārs, and Mughals, and with many tribes of those people qwelling around them, would simply ridicule.

After this long, but necessary, digression, I return to the subject of the Mughal I-mak.

The reason why we possess much greater information respecting the Mughal I-mak is owing to their overwhelming successes and conquests, and because nearly all authors who have written on the subject were subjects of the Mughal rulers, and their object was to trace their descent more particularly, but the main facts are not concealed—they merely gave more attention to the history of It is to be regretted however that we have no detailed accounts of the movements of the tribes of the Tattar I-mak and their rulers, after the time of Sundz Khan, the VIIIth of the Tattar dynasty herein mentioned, who, with the aid of his allies, nearly exterminated the whole Mughal race; but, from what may be gathered from Oriental history, and as shown in this Translation, the Tattar I-mak, the offshoots of the descendants of the seven chieftains preceding Sündz Khān, also formed, like preceding offshoots of the descendants of Turk, in the course of time, great independent tribes who are correctly styled Tattars, as well as those springing from Tattar Khan and his descendants, the whole being undoubtedly Turks, or, in other words, all those who do not spring from the Mughal I-mak, and are not descended from Mughal Khan-Tattar's brother—being correctly styled Tattars, and others, not springing in a direct line from Tattar Khan, but from others, the offshoots of his ancestors, being properly called Turks.

All these formed mighty tribes and nations, the names of some of which have been herein mentioned, and some formed great empires, like as did the Saljūks, Khwārazmīs, and others. The early Musalmāns made raids upon them, and the Khalīfahs also, from a very early period, entertained numbers of Turks and Tāttār Ī-māk in their service, but we never hear of Mughals being entertained by them. There is no doubt that their taking service under the Khalīfahs and their great vassals, many of whom were their own countrymen, considerably tended to the greater civilization of the Turks and Tāttārs, and their early conversion to the Musalmān faith, but, with regard to others not converted, it is evident that feuds arose among them and that Musalmān merchants carried on a large traffic in Turkish slaves.

The four persons, two males—Kaiān, son of I-yal-Khān, and Nagūz, his maternal uncle's son, with their respective sisters, their wives—the Timūr Nāmah says two females, sisters, who, at this juncture, they took to wife—having escaped the slaughter of their people, secured some of their effects, and as soon as night set in they mounted horses, and made for the mountains which were some distance off. They also collected some of the stray caltle and flocks which they met with in their flight, and reached the mountains—some say, the next morning—entered them by a narrow track, scarcely distinguishable, made by the wild animals haunting it, and which was almost inaccessible, from rocks

#### serve the Nāṣiriah dynasty!—I desired to record in writing

and forests, and proceeded onwards for a long distance among its mazes until they reached a more open country where was plenty of pasture. At some distance, farther off, they perceived a still higher range, the sides of which, bare and barren, appeared to be of flint alone, and which towered upwards like a vast fortress. With much difficulty they ascended it, and to their great joy found themselves in a delightful tract of country, well watered, with plenty of rich pasture, and abounding with game, and there they resolved to take up their dwelling. This higher range is called Irgānah Kū or Kūn—150 of 160 — irgānah being said to signify a valley, and kū or kūn a steep ascent or height—the valley [or table-land?] surrounded by hills, or valley of precipices. The mountains referred to are evidently those mighty ranges towards the sources of the Sālingah and its upper tributaries.

In this place of residence Kaiān and Nagūz flourished, and in the course of time their posterity multiplied, and separated into several branches under different names. The descendants of Kaiān are styled Kaiāt—us—and those of Nagūz, Dūral-gin or Dūr-la-gin—or Dural-gin or Dur-la-gin—or Dural-gin or Dur-la-gin or Dural-gin or Dur

Abū-l-Fazl, the author of the Akbar Nāmah, however, makes a very bold guess indeed, and has the assurance to fix the period at "about 2000 years."
—This is almost as absurd as the Fanākatī's 4000 years previously referred to—
He has mixed up the account of the Ī-ghūrs with that of Kaiāt and Dūral-gīn, and hence this assumption. He also asserts that this migration took place at the end of the reign of Nūshīrwān, the Just, ruler of Ī-rān [A.D. 521—579], and "supposes" that the art of writing and reading did not exist. He also states that, during that period of "nearly 2000 years," while they dwelt in Irgānah-Ķūn, twenty-five persons "reigned," which would give over eighty years' reign to each; but most of the other writers I have compiled this account from, with the exception of Abū-l-Ghāzī, say nothing about any previous rulers, while some others distinctly state that they obeyed no single chief, until the period when the chief authority over the lifferent tribes fell to I-yal-dūz, son of Mangalī.

At the time that the descendants of Kaiān and Nagūz determined upon issuing from Irgānah-Kūn, the chieftain-hip had fallen to YAL-DŪZ-الله من المدور or YŪL-DŪZ-الله من المدور or I-YAL-DŪZ-الله المدور Khān, son of Mangalī Khān, [styled Mangalī Khwājah, by some], son of Tīmūr-Tāṣh, of the race of Kaiān, and he was a chieftain of considerable power and dignity. In this matter all agree except Abū-l-Ghāzī, who states that, when they issued from Irgānah-Kūn, their chief was named Bartazīnah [ʔ ارتزاه], and gives names of seven other chiefs before he reaches that of Tīmūr-Tāṣh, Mangalī Khān, and Yūldūz or I-yal-dūz, but gives no account of them beyond their succeeding and dying. This however we cannot credit, since, in the account of the Chingiz Khān, almost immediately after, he says, that, while dwelling in Irgānah-Kūn, the Mughals were in total ignorance of the names of the rulers, but that they

#### an account of these occurrences, from the beginning of the

were certainly Kaiāts, and, since the books of the Mughals contain nothing certain on this head, he is obliged to leave a gap in this place !!

At this point the different writers I have been quoting mention the boundaries and limits of the Mughal country—Mughalistān. "It lies," they say, "a long way east, and far from cultivated countries, and is seven or eight months' journey (in extent)," some say it is a year's journey. The Mughals lived in forests and wilds, their food was from the animals of the chase, and the produce of their flocks and herds, and their garments the skins thereof. The extreme eastern limit was the frontier of Khiṭā, on the west it adjoined the country of the I-ghūrs. On the north it extended as far as the country of the Kirghiz—the Akbar Nāmah has Farghānah which is S.] which is also written Kirghiz—ja—and Kirkiz—ja—and Kirk

The country of the  $\bar{\mathbf{I}}$ -ghūrs is described as containing two great ranges of mountains, one of which they call Karā-Tū, and the other, Uskūn-Lūk, and the mountain (range?) of Karā-Kuram lies between these two ranges. The residence built by Ūktāe Ķā'ān near it is named after this koh of Karā-Kuram. To the south of these two great ranges, before named, is another which they style Kūt-Tāgh. Out of one of these ranges ten rivers flow, and out of the other nine; and, in ancient times, the  $\bar{\mathbf{I}}$ -ghūrs dwelt along the banks of these rivers. Those who dwelt on the ten  $[\bar{u}n]$  rivers were called  $\bar{\mathbf{U}}$ n- $\bar{\mathbf{I}}$ -ghūrs, and those who were located on the nine  $[tok\bar{u}z]$ , Tokūz- $\bar{\mathbf{I}}$ -ghūrs. There were some other tribes dwelling near them, but space forbids my going into farther detail here.

Mirzā Muḥammad Ḥaidar, of the Doghlāti tribe of the Mughals, previously mentioned, a native of Farghānah, in his work, written in 951 H., explains the southern boundary as extending towards Tungūt or Tūngūt, and the northern to Kirā-kir, the Kirkiz or Kirghiz of others. He adds [I only give a brief abstract] that of "these four boundaries, mentioned in the Jahān Kushāe of the Jūwaini, the country of Khitāe is distinct and known, but, as regards the I-ghūr country, nothing is known of it at present as to where it is, and, at this day, nothing is known of Karā-kir or Sālingāe, and no places with such names are indicated. The name of Tungūt often occurs in the history of the Mughals, and the Chingiz Khān, at the outset of his power, despatched an army thither, but now nothing whatever is known of it, nor is information to be obtained regarding these parts which are mentioned in books, and the same may be said of many famous cities such as Bilāsā-ghūn, Tarāz, and the like."

He further states, that "the extent of Mughūlistān, so called in his time, which was much contracted from what it had been [and which is styled Jatah in the History of Timūr], was seven or eight months' journey in length and breadth, and gives the following as its boundaries. On the N. the Kokjah Tingiz—Bom-Labas or Labs—and Karā-Tāl—che territory of Farghānah, Kāghghar, Aķsū, Jālish, and Tūrfān. On the E. it adjoins the land of the Kālīnāks [the Kāl 1-māk? this is not the name

#### irruption of that race, and domination of that nation, up to

of the people, but merely their nickname] which is the Pāras or Pārs-Kol-also the name—اردیش--Irtish ایرتیش--Irtish ایرتیش--Irtish اردیش--I-سپارس کول of a tract of country] and the Pāras-Kol is its eastern limit; and the W. boundary is the territory of Turkistan and Tashkand [the Altan or Golden Mountains, the northern boundary of Tashkand]. The writer was himself well acquainted with its southern boundary, and, respecting the other three. obtained his information from persons who had visited, and were acquainted with them, and Mughulistan consists entirely of mountains and plains [steppes]." What he himself saw of it, he says, he cannot find words to praise sufficiently, and that, from the accounts of others, the other parts are equally delightful, but the winters are cold. "Mughūlistān," he says, "has several rivers, like unto the Jthun and Sthun in size and extent, such as the I-lah—ايل—I-mil—ايدل and the Nārin—نارين all of which, in respect of الرين and the Nārin volume, are not less than the Jihūn and Sihūn. These waters mostly fall into the Kokjah-Tingiz, which is a Kol or Lake Bae-Kol [the Baikal Lake of our maps] between Mughūlistān and Uzbakistān. Its length is eight months' [weeks'?] journey, and its width, in some places, by computation, is thirty farsakhs, and, when it is frozen in the winter, the Uzbaks pass over it, and enter Mughūlistān. The Issigh-Kol is also in Mughūlistān."

Bābar however, who preceded Mīrzā Muḥammad Ḥaidar, a few years, says that Ālmāligh, Almatū, and Utrār, lay north of Farghānah, but that they had been laid waste by the Ūzbaks.

Abū-l-Ghāzī says the true Mughal country contains two ranges of very long mountains [which are plainly shown on the best maps of Central Asia] extending from east to west, and between these two ranges, nearest to the west, is the true country of the Mughals. Still more west lay the country of the I-ghūrs. This description agrees with that given by other authors in the account of Karā Khān [page 875], and those two great ranges of mountains have been already named.

To return to the descendants of Kaiān and Nagūz. They, having determined to issue from Irgānah-Kūn, thought of doing so by the same route or defile by which their ancestors had entered it, but they found it impossible. The pass had been destroyed by an earthquake, and no trace of it remained. They searched about in all directions, and at last they found one spot which seemed easier than any other, but it was impeded by a hill in which was a mine of iron [iron-stone rock possibly], and to enable them to get out they split the rocks by means of fire [Hannibal used vinegar in the Alps], and succeeded in making a practicable route. The tribe of Kungkurāt or Kunghurāt, as it is also written, led the way out, and were in such haste to do so that they are said, in the tradition, to have burnt their feet. The Majāmi'-ul-Khiyār distinctly states that this event took place some time after the 200th year of the Hijrah.

This is improved upon by Petis de la Croix, in his "Life of Genghizcan the Great," page 6, who says that the Cayat [Kaiāt?] derived their name "from a certain people who lived in the remotest Northern Parts of Mogolistan which were called Cayat, because their Chief had heretofore erected a Foundry for Iron-work in a mountain called Arkenekom, which guined them a great Reputation, and made this Branch of the Moguls highly esteemed, by the great advantage all the Moguls Country received from this Invention; they therefore called these people the Arkenekom-Smiths." This is history with a vengeance!

#### the year 658 H., when this work was brought to a conclusion,

Their former country had been in the meantime occupied by tribes of the Tattar I-māk, and other Turks, and the Mughals fought with them, and drove them out. The former, consequently, had to seek other tracts. Some went away to the eastward, while others went west, and south, and north; aud, about this period, we find a great movement among the Saljūks and the Ghuzz in a south-westerly direction. Those tribes of the Mughal I-māk which left Ughūz Khān's country, as previously related, and had gone towards the borders of Chin and sought the protection of the Tattārs, now returned, and rejoined the tribes of Kaiāt and Dūral-gin, while some other small tribes, but of which I-māk is not mentioned, which submitted to Yal-dūz or I-yal-dūz Khān, were permitted to dwell in his newly acquired territory.

According to the Fanākatī the name Mughūl or Mūghūl is the appellative or generic name applied to those who came out of Irgānah-Kūn, and to the others of that Ī-māk who rejoined and continued to dwell with them, and that name commenced to be used respecting them from this period, but they had been known, centuries before, as Turks of the Mughūl Ī-māk, by his own account.

Some writers who approach this subject from the "Mongol" point of view, and who, unable to read the originals for themselves, imagine that every author who wrote in the Persian language must necessarily be a Persian, and, consequently, cannot know anything of Mughal or Mughūl history, because such a word as "Mongol" is not to be found in their works, hug themselves with the idea that the History written by the "great Raschid" may contain something in support of their crude ideas. For the information of such I here append the headings of the first four Sections of Rashād-ud-Dān's History of the Mughūls, as he styles them, and which was compiled from the Āltān Daftar, or Golden Record, and other authorities:—

"First Section.—History of the tribes of Aghūz, who was the great grandson of Alminjah Khān, son of Turk, son of Yāfiş, son of Nūḥ, the Prophet, and of the tribes descended from his uncles, with an account of their genealogy and ramifications.

Second Section.—Account of the Turk tribes whom they designate by the name of Mughūls, but every one of which, in ancient times, bore distinct and particular surnames, and have had Sar-wars and Amirs over them.

Third Section.—Account of the Turk tribes, every one of which have had Bādshāhs and Chiefs, but who bore no relationship to the tribes mentioned in the preceding Sections. [This is a paradox, even from his own words, because, being Turks, they naturally bore relationship to the Turks as descendants from a common ancestor.]

Fourth Section.—Account of the tribes of Turks, whose surname from time immemorial was Mughūl; and this Section is in two parts. I. Account of the Dural-gin Mughūls. 2. Account of the Nairūn Mughūls. [The author cannot be right, for has not Mr. H. H. Howorth, in the Geographical Magazine for November, 1876, declared that Mongols are not Turks? This may be correct with regard to "Mongols," but scarcely so with respect to Rashid-ud-Din's Mughūls.]"

The next Section treats of the ancestors of the Chingiz Khan.

Every year, when the anniversary of that day comes round on which the Kaiāt and Dūral-gin came out of Irgānah-Kūn, the Mughals keep it as a great festival, and on the night thereof the Mughal sovereigns have the implements of the blacksmith brought in, place a piece of iron in the fire, and heat it, and,

#### and in order that that which I myself witnessed, and what

when hot, beat it on an anvil with a hammer, in commemoration of opening the way out, and this custom, imperfectly understood by Ibn Baţūţah, and others, led them probably to make the absurd statement that the Chingiz Khān, or Tamur-cht, "was in his outset a blacksmith in the country of Khitā"!

Other authors say that all who can trace their descent to Kaian or Naguz—Kaiats and Düral-gins—are considered true Mughals.

On the death of Yal-duz or I-yal-duz his son succeeded to his authority.

and, by some few writers, Chubinah—عوينه—and Khu-inah but these two last forms are erroneous without doubt, خونعه and even خونعه particularly the last, succeeded his father, Yal-duz or I-yal-duz, in the chiestain-ship, but the Tarikh-i-Jahan-gir does not account him as a ruler, and makes Jū-inah's daughter next in succession to Yal-dūz or I-yal-dūz. The Tarikh-i-Ghazani, which Abu-l-Ghazi also quotes, differs considerably from other writers. It states that Yal-duz or I-yal-duz had two sons named and Bilkadae or Bilgadae—باكدي—[according] according to Rashid-ud-Din, in the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh, Nush-Tigin-i-Gharjah, the founder of the Khwārazmi empire, claimed descent from Āghūz through this very Bilkadae or Bilgadae. See note 2 to page 231, para. 4], but these names are somewhat doubtfully written and may not be quite correct. According to the same authority, both died before him, and he gave to the son of one of دويون بايان—or Do-yūn Bāyān دويون بيان—them, named Do-yūn or Dol-ūn Byān دويون بايان which is also written Dubun-دوبوي-Diyun-دوبوي-and in other ways, the daughter of the other son, who was named Alan-Kuwa, a damsel of great beauty and talent, in marriage. Abū-l-Ghāzī however differs from the preceding this much that he says the husband of Alan-Kuwa, who was Yal-duz's grandson, did not succeed to the sovereignty because he had not attained the age of thirty, and died soon after his father, leaving two sons-named as above—by Alan-Kuwa; and that she acted as regent only, while others say that her husband was chief over some few ulūs, and that the Turks generally were under various independent chiefs.

The Tārikh-i-Jahān-gir however says that Ālān-Kuwā was given in marriage by her father, Jū-inah, to her uncle's son, as stated by the others, and that, by him, she had two sons named Bilkadi or Bilgadi and Bakjadi or Bagjadi, and after his death she assumed the sovereignty and occupied herself in the nurture of her two sons. At this time she dwelt near the upper sources of the Kalūr-Ān river,

ALĀN-ĶŪWĀN-ĻŪ - JĀI-which is also written ALĀN-ĶŪ - JĀI-and ALĀN-ĶŪWĀN - JĀI- on the death of her father, her husband being dead, was entrusted with the direction of affairs until such time as her eldest son should become of age to succeed; but, in the meantime, although she refused to marry again, whilst lying asleep upon her couch, on a certain ocassion, a mysterious light entered through the hole in the top of the felt tent and enveloped her, and the light passed through her mouth, penetrated her, and she conceived. This mysterious light came more than once, such was her story; and, as matters could no longer be concealed, it was made known unto her tribe, who reviled her, and refused to believe her story. Some writers state that she asked some of the chief persons of her tribe to keep watch, and satisfy themselves of the truth of what she had stated, and that some did so, and found her story correct, and the tribe were satisfied.

This is not much like the "story of the incarnation of the Buddha Sakya-

#### I became cognizant of from the accounts of trustworthy

muni" as a recent writer asserts, considering that the husband of his mother [who had never consummated her marriage with her husband] was, according to Chinese belief, ruler of Kashmir, and that his birth took place 1222 years before the Christian era, while the Hindus, on the other hand, give a different account of his birth.

This story of Ālān-Ķuwā is related somewhat differently by nearly every author, including Abū-l-Ghāzi, but I have no space for the various versions here. Abū-l-Fazl, however, for the glorification of his master, according to his usual unctuous system of flattery, compares this circumstance to the immaculate conception of the Virgin Mother of our Blessed Saviour!

In due time, Alan-Kuwa gave birth to three sons at one time, with one of whom, the youngest, a new dynasty, and a new era commences, and therefore it will be well to conclude this one here.

#### THE BU-ZANJAR OR BU-ZANJAR DYNASTY.

I. BÜ-ZANJAR. Alān-Kuwā, the widow, having given birth to three sons at once, fathered on the mysterious light, according to the fabulous tale just narrated, the youngest of the brothers, according to some writers, and the eldest of the three, according to others, who was named BÜ-ZANJAR-بو زنجر which is بو ذنجر--and Bū-zanjar-ابو رنجر--which some write Abū-zanjar said to signify Badshah-i-Mu'azzam-Great Sovereign-and who is the ninth ancestor of the Chingiz Khan, and fourteenth of Amir Timur, in due time succeeded to the chieftain-ship over the Mughals; and, as I wish to compress as much as possible, I will only mention that the other two sons of Alan-Kuwā—the eldest and second sons—became the progenitors of the Kat-ghan and Sāljiūt—الجيوت—tribes, and whose descendants, together with hose of Bū-zanjar himself, are designated Nūrūn-نورون-from nūr-نورولight, which some authors write, Nairūn—فرون The whole of the Mughal Khāns [one copy of the Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gir adds—"and all the Sultāns of Turkistān"] trace their descent from Bū-zanjar, but, really, the whole of his descendants are Mughals only on the mother's side, unless the father, of which there can be no doubt, was a Mughal also.

The descendants of Alān-Ķuwā's legitimate sons, by her husband, are styled by the general name of Dural-gin—دراكين—previously written Dūral-gin—دراكين—Dural-gin—دراكين and applied to the descendants of Nagūz, whilst, by the different writers' own accounts, without exception, they, as well as their father and mother, belonged to the Kaiāt sept. They are considered lower in rank than the Nūrūn or Nairūn.

The majority of writers state that the birth of these sons of light took place in the time of Abū-Muslim, the Marwazī, the proclaimer of the rights of the 'Abbāsīs to the Khilāfat. He was born in 99 H. [A.D. 717—718]—some say in the following year—and he began to advocate the claims of that house in 128 H. [A.D. 745—746]. Abū-l-Ghāzī states that 450 years elapsed between the flight of Kaiān and his cousin Nagūz and the reign of Bū-zanjar, and, calculating from these dates, their flight would have taken place about 322 years before the first year of the Muḥammadan era, that is, about the middle of A.D. 300, but other historians, as I have stated before, mention that the Kaiāt and Nagūz continued to dwell in Irgānah-Kūn 400 years, and they say that Bū-zanjar succeeded to the chieftain-ship, when in his fifteenth year, in

informants, the events of the Muhammadan territory, and

Rabi'-ul-Awwal, but no year is given. One work, however, the "Shajarah-ul-Atrāk," states that it was on the 1st of that month in the year 130 H.

Abū-l-Fazl, in the Akbar Nāmah, as before mentioned, says the Mughals came out of Irganah-Kun at the end of the reign of Nushirwan, but he died in A.D. 579, about forty-three years previous to the year of the Flight or Hijrah, but Abū-l-Ghāzī gives neither month nor year. Faşih-i, on the contrary, states, that Alan-Kuwa gave birth to Bu-zanjar and his brothers in the year 376 H. [middle of A.D. 986], and this, coupled with the statement in the Majāmi'-ul-Khiyār, that the Kaiāt and Nagūz issued from Irgānah-Kūn some time after H. 200 [A.D. 815-816], doubtless, is the correct date. Now, if we add 400 to 579—the date of Nushirwan's death, and suppose that the date of Kaian and Naguz entering Irganah-Kun, instead of the date of leaving it, we shall have 979 years, and, if we take 400 years from A.D. 986—the year mentioned by Fasih-1, namely 376 H., we shall have 407 years remaining, and this seems, to me, to show that the flight of the two fugitives and their wives took place about the middle of Nushirwan's reign, and not their issuing from Irganah-Kun, which took place some time after H. 200 [A.D. 815-816], and, if we allow the average of thirty years for each generation, and consider that Bū-zanjar was the great grandson of Yal-dūz or I-yal-dūz, we shall not be far from the year 376 H. [A.D. 986].

More events are assigned to the period of Abū-Muslim than can be credited, and this is the period our author assigns to the rise of the Shansabānis of Ghūr. The date given by Faṣiḥ-i, for the birth of Bū-zanjar, is 186 years previous to the death of the Chingiz Khān's father, the eighth in descent from Bū-zanjar, an average of little more than twenty years to each, but 130 H. for the accession of Bū-zanjar gives an average, to the death of the Chingiz Khān's father, of exactly fifty-four years to each reign. On the other hand Bū-zanjar was third in descent from Yal-dūz or I-yal-dūz.

Bū-zanjar, who is styled Kā'ān, framed laws and regulations, and divided the Mughals into tribes as they still existed at the period when the different authors I have named, with the exception of Abū-l-Ghāzī, and Abū-l-Faṣl, wrote their accounts. The Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gīr and a few other histories likewise state, that some of the Tāttār chiefs and Amīrs of other tribes which, for a long period of time, had been ruled by their own chiefs, now submitted to Bū-zanjar Kā'ān's authority, and acknowledged his suzerainty, but this, it must be remembered, is a Mughal account. Bū-zanjar at his death, of which no date is given, left two sons, Būkā—Jahaso written Būkīā—Jahand Tūkā Jahand Tūkā—Jahand Tūkā Jahand Tahand Tūkā Jahand Tahand . BÜĶĀ or BÜĶĀE KHĀN succeeded his father in the chieftain-ship, and, dying, was succeeded by his son,

TII. Zūtūmin—فوتومنين—Zūtūm Manin—فوتومنين—as it is variously written, who was the father of nine sons, one of whom, Kāidū, succeeded to the chieftain-ship. During the time of Zūtūmin's chieftain-ship hostilities broke out between the Jalā-ir tribe, of the Dūral-gin branch of the Mughals, and the Khiṭā-is. The Jalā-irs had become a very numerous tribe at this period, and amounted to about 70,000 families, and had pitched their tents on the banks of the river Kalūr-Ān—اكوران The Mughals and Khiṭā-is were always at enmity, and hostilities continued perpetually to go on between them. At the period in question, the latter suddenly

### the transmission of the Mughal sovereignty from one to

resolved to make a raid upon the Jalā-frs, but on reaching the river found it too deep to ford. They constructed a temporary bridge, crossed over in the night, fell suddenly upon the Jalā-frs, and almost annihilated them. Those that escaped, and other portions of the tribe not then present, fled for shelter into the parts where the other Mughal tribes dwelt.

This is the affair about which the Fanākatī makes such a great blunder previously noticed in the account of Āghūz Khān. He there stated, that, "when Ughūz became firmly established in his sovereignty, and had secured his conquests, he sent a party from among his sons and kinsmen, and a considerable army, into the east, into the land called, in the writer's time, Mughūlistān. Four thousand years after, one among the Bādshāhs of the Khitā-is moved against them, crossed the river Karā-Mūrān in the night, fell upon them, and slew the whole of them [the males] and made their wives and children captives, and only two persons escaped—Kaiān and Nagūz—with their wives, who fled to Irāganah-Kūn, where they and their posterity dwelt 400 years." It will easily be perceived what a muddle we have here: he has confounded the two events, and makes a sudden leap from Āghūz Khān to the period of the massacre of the Jalā-īrs.

After the death of Zūtūmin, his Khātūn, Matūlūn-متولون or, as some write it, Manūlūn—منولون an error probably of n for t—who was a talented woman, with eight of her sons, and her numerous herds and flocks, took up her residence in the retired tract of country-some say hill tract-named, but but the first الوش اركمي-somewhat doubtfully, Alūsh or Ulūsh Arki or Argi and Kolūsh—كولوش and Kolūsh—كولوش and Kolūsh الوس التعانية التعان gah or Urgah—the Ourga or Kuren of modern maps? in about Lon. 1080 Lat. 48°] whilst her ninth son, Kāldū, was absent. He had gone to his uncle, Mā-Chin-some say, to his uncle's son-to demand in marriage a daughter of a kinsman of the sept of Düral-gin, who had become exceedingly numerous, and who were also kinsmen of Mā-Chin. During Kāidū's absence some of the Jala-irs, overcome by the Khita-is, came and took up their quarters among the il or tribe of Matulun and her sons, and, in a dispute arising between them, the Jala-irs slew her and her eight sons. Kāidū sought his uncle's assistance to avenge them, and a message was sent to the heads of the Jala-irs demanding satisfaction for this outrage. This had such an effect upon the chief men of the tribe, who were absent with their people fighting against the Khita-is, that they slew seventy Jala-irs concerned in the slaughter of Matulun and her sons, and sent their wives and families, with many apologies, to Kātdū to do with them as he might think fit. Kaidū kept them as slaves; and, from one generation to another, for a long period, they continued the slaves of his family.

TV. Kāīdu - المالية - Khān - the sixth ancestor of the Chingiz Khān, through the endeavours of Mā-Chīn succeeded to the chieftain-ship. He had three sons, r. Bāe-Sunkar - المالية - or Bāe-Sunghar - المالية - who was the eldest, and successor of Kāīdū, 2. Jirkah-Langūm - also written Jirkah-Likūm - عنف - and even Kharkah-Langūm - but this last is probably a mistake of for a, and 3. Jār-chīn - which some write Jār-jīn - and Chār-chīn - عالم - called Jaochīn - المواجعة - called Jaochīn - عالم - determine - by Abū-l-Ghāzī. These two last brothers were the progenitors of other tribes. Some few authors relate that the son of Kāīdū's second son, named Hamankā or Hamanghā - was carried off by the

### another, might become known, and also that [such account]

Tāttārs, and given up to the Åltān Khān, who put him to death, but this appears to be incorrect, and to be the same circumstance which happened to Kabal's son, mentioned farther on, as it is unlikely Kabal himself would have ventured to the Åltān Khān's court after one of his race had been thus put to death.

Kaidū cut a canal, in his territory, from a river, and named it Jarā-lūm—[D'Ohsson's Karokol?] and thereby rendered that part exceedingly prosperous and flourishing. He also went to war with the Jalā-irs and overcame them. At his death he was succeeded by his son,

V. BĀE-SUNKAR—بای سندر—or BĀE-SUNGHAR—بای سندر—which the Fanākatī writes Bāe-Sunkūr—بای سندرر—who made some conquests, and, dying, was succeeded by his son.

VI. TŪMINĀ-ī - توسناي — also written TŪMNAH or TŪMINAH — توسناي — TŪMĪNĀE—توسناي — and TUMĪNĀH — توسناي — He was a powerful chieftain, and added other territories of Turkistān to his own, brought the whole of the Nūrūn or Nairūn tribes under his authority [this seems to indicate that part of them had been independent some time previously], and in all Turkistān [sic in MSS., but probably Mughalistān] there was no sovereign equal in power to him. He had two Khātūns, by one of whom he had seven, and, by the other, two sons, who were twins. These twins were named Kā-jūlī— المعرفي — also written Kā-chūlī — المعرفي — also called Kabalī — المعرفي — المعر

In consequence of a strange dream which Tūminā-İ had, when its interpretation was told him, he made these two sons enter into a solemn compact, whereby it was agreed between them, in their father's presence, that the sovereignty should pertain to Kabal and his descendants, and the Deputyship or Lieutenancy, and leadership of the troops to Kā-jūlī and his progeny. A compact to this effect was drawn up in the Ī-ghūrī language—which is said to be the same as was in use in Tibbat, and written in what are called Tūngūt characters, signed by them both, and deposited in the treasury. Abū-l-Ghāzī does not mention this circumstance at all. Āghūz Khān is said to have made a similar arrangement with respect to his six sons—styled the Bardz-ūkī and Ūdz-ūkī, but, when all perished but two persons, the compact terminated.

If I mistake not, we shall find that the people named Budziāk, who dwell on the banks of the Borysthenes, W of the Black Sea, are offshoots of the Bardz-ūķī division.

VII. In accordance with the above compact, on the death of his father, KABAL—المناص KABALI—المناص KABALI—المناص KABALI—المناص KABALI—المناص KABALI—المناص KABALI—المناص KABALI—المناص KABALI—المناص Mishals style Kabal Khān Alan-jik—المناص Alan-jik—المناص which signifies "the cherisher of his people." He is the great grandfather of the Chingiz Khān, and of Kā-jūlī, who is the eighth ancestor of Amīr Tīmūr. All the tribes of the Mughals were in unanimity and accord with him, and stood in awe of his power and ascendancy, and the Āltān Khān of Khitā sent an emissary to him and summoned him to his Court. Those, however, who desire to glorify the Mughals, say, he "invited him to his Court, in a friendly manner," but there is little doubt, even by their own accounts, that the Mughals were dependent upon, and paid tribute to the Āltān Khāns, as our author, Minhāj-ud-Dīn, likewise asserts.

Kabal, leaving his brother Kā-jūlī as his Deputy or Lieutenant, set out for

#### might remain a memorial of the writer of this TABAKAT-

Khiṭā, and, having arrived there, was treated with honour and consideration; but, while in a state of intoxication, at an entertainment, Kabal committed an offence which greatly displeased the Āltān Khān, so he presented him with a head-dress and belt, and sent him away. The glorifiers of the Mughals say "a crown," but crowns are not generally pre-ented at such times; and Abū-l-Fazl, not to offend his master's vanity, and Abū-l-Ghāzī, who was himself a Mughal, and descended from Kabal, leave out this little incident altogether.

After Kabal had departed, the Altan Khan was blamed for letting him go so easily, and messengers were sent to recall him. He refused to return, upon which the Altan Khan sent a party after him to compel him to do so. They came up with him whilst he was stopping in the camp of a friend named Sanjūti. Kabal was for going back with them, but his friend lent him a very swift horse he possessed, and advised him to fly. This certainly does not be peak the powerful sovereign. He at once mounted and made off for his own yurat or camp. The party still pursued, but only found him after he had reached his home and people. He then, with the assistance of Kā-jūli and the tribe, put the whole of the Altan Khan's men to death. At this period also, the eldest son of Kabal, whose name was Ükin or Ükain-Barkak اوکين برناني—also written Ükin-Barkā—اوکس وا—while out on an excursion, was fallen in with, suddenly, by a tribe of the Tattar I-mak, their mortal enemies—some say Ukin-Barkāk was following the tracks of the Ghuzz Turk-māns at the time-who carried him off to the Altan Khan, who put him to death. Here was a fresh cause of feud between the already inveterate foes, the Mughal and Tattar

Some few writers, as I have just noticed above, say he was called Hamanghā or Hamankā, thus showing that it was merely one person who was thus put to death, and that those writers divided one event into two.

Besides Ükin-Barkāk, Kabal had five other sons, two of whom were Kübilah Khān and Bartān Bahādur, but the others are not named, and the eldest of them, Kübilah, succeeded on the death of his father.

VIII. KÜBILAH—A, 3—also written KUBILAH—A, 5 was a man of prodigious strength, immense stature, and great valour. "His voice would pierce the seventh heaven, and his grip was like that of a bear. He could take a strong man, and with both hands bend him like a twig until his back broke; and one author states that he delighted in amusing himself in this pleasant way! During the cold nights of winter he was wont to go to sleep naked before a great fire made of the trunks of trees. He used not to care for the sparks of fire which used to fly out and touch him, for, if he chanced to awake, he would fancy the fleas had disturbed him, and he would scratch himself and go off to sleep again!"

In order to avenge the death of his brother, Ükin Barkāk, whom the Tāttārs had carried off, and delivered over to the Āltān Khān, who put him to death by having him mounted upon a wooden ass and nailed to it with iron spikes, and kept there until he expired, Kūbilah led his forces against the Āltān Khān, and the Tāttārs, overthrew them [!], and carried off immense booty.

Kūbilah Khān is not even named by Abū-l-Ghāzī, whose work is much confused here; and, in several places, he relates events twice and even three times over, and differently each time.

This is the Katula of Beresine and Kutlah of Erdmann, derived from this

### Minhāj-i-Sarāj. He confidently hopes that, during his life-

word incorrectly written with two dots over the third consonant instead of one under.

IX. On the death of Kubilah Khan, his brother, BARTAN-עלט-succeeded to the authority. The title of Khān was dropped with respect to him, and the new one of Bahadur was introduced. It is said that there was no one among their rulers who was endowed with greater valour and wisdom, and hence that title was assigned him. During his reign Ka-jult died, and his son, Iradamchi, succeeded his father in his hereditary offices. "In the Turkish language, radam or Īridam—وايرد —they call a Mirzā—a secretary or writer—to which chi-جو [the shortened form of chiz-جوز] is affixed, indicating the actor or instrument, when applied to Turkish words." From this explanation, however, iridam may mean writing, not a writer. He used to be styled Barlas, by Bartan, because he had no equal in valour, and hence he is known as Īridam-chi, Barlās, but some say Barlās signifies a leader of troops. He had twenty-nine sons, and the tribe of that name are so called after Iridam-chi. The Bahadur, Bartan, had four sons, some say, several, one of whom succeeded him. "Baghatur," I beg to remark, is an utterly impossible title, and shows how those, who cannot "dig out the gold," are apt to vitiate the metal-the pronunciation of names.

On account of the ancient enmity which had come down from one generation to another, and still more recent causes of hostility between the I-maks of the Tättär and Mughal Turks, the Bahādur, Yassūkā, in concert with Sūghū-jijan led an army against them, overthrew them, and made captive Tamū-chi, but more correctly, Tamur-chi, which is also written Tamur-chin—the meaning of which will be explained farther on in the account of the Chingiz Khān—and Karbūkā or Karbūghā, who were their rulers and chiefs, and plundered their property and effects. After this the Bahādur, Yassūkā, set out in great pomp, for Dilūn-Yūldūk—ديلون يولدون—which is also written Dilūn-Yuldūk and, on reaching that place [which Petis de la Croix, in his innocency, says-Life of "Genghizean the Great," page 13-was "his Country-House, where he commonly resided "!], Yassūkā's Khātūn, who was named but whom the Fanākati and Faṣiḥ-i call-أولون أنت but whom the but and Faṣiḥ-i call Ulūn-Ķūjin-الون قوجين of the tribe of Ülkūnūt, who was pregnant, gave birth to a son, on the 20th of Zi-Ka'dah, 549 H. [25th January, 1167 A.D.], and to commemorate his victory over the Tattars, by Sughu-jijan's advice, he named that son Tamur-chi, afterwards the Chingiz Khan. Yassuka, the Bahadur, besides this son, had, by the same Khātūn, three other sons—Jūjī Kasārtime, he will be [considered worthy to be] remembered with pious benediction, and, after his death, with invocation

or Kājbūn—تاجيون—and Ūnjī—هوجي الساري or Ūnchī—موجي الساري or Kājbūn—تاجيون and Ūnjī—هوجي الساري or Ūnchī—اونجي —said to mean the youngest son, and also called Ū-tigin or Aw-Tigin—اونجي and, by a second Khātūn, a fifth son, who was named Bilkūtī—الكوتي—by others, who constantly attended Tamur-chīt:

When Yassūkā died in 562 H., his son Tamur-chi was in his thirteenth year. About the same time Sūghū-jijan also died, and the Nū-yān, Ķarāchār, his son, was also young in years, and the Nūrūn or Nairūn tribe—their own—forsook them and went over to the Tāijūt, and other tribes.

At this period the tribes of the Mughals, Tāttārs, and Turks, were ruled by seventy-one chiefs or hākims, each of whom ruled over one or two tribes; and this shows very clearly what I have before stated, that the chiefs I have been here giving an account of were not supreme rulers over the whole of the Mughal tribes even, but only over a certain portion of them, and that only a portion of the Nūrūn or Nairūn division of them were under the sway of the Bahādur, Vassūkā.

I have now brought down, in an abstract form, an account of the Turks, and the Tattar and Mughal I-maks, according to the accounts compiled by command of the Mughal sovereigns, and contained in the Histories I have named at page 869, to the point where our author begins his account of Tamur-chi, afterwards the Chingiz Khan. I have done it chiefly because he has confused events, and with respect to their earliest history he is in some error, and states contrary to all other authors who have written on the Mughals; but I also do so because European writers go on floundering and blundering with respect to these people, the descendants of Yafis, while, at the same time, the matter lies in a nutshell. One of the latest specimens of this kind is contained in the "TIMES," whose special correspondent, writing from "Therapia," Nov. 7th, 1876, says: "The conglomeration of Eastern races, the Turks and Arabs, detest their enforced unity with their Turanian oppressors, their very existence culminating with a common feeling of unextinguishable hatred for the Osmanli." So the writer appears to have made the wonderful discovery that the 'Uşmanlı Turks are not Turks but Turanians, and so, by the same logic, these Turks are not Türäntans. Who knows? perhaps he has discovered that they are Aryans, or even "Tartars," as some of the newspaper philosophers have lately discovered.

The Yarkand Mission [to the ruler of the State of Kāshghar] made some similar ethnological discoveries in that part of Central Asia, of which the following is one specimen out of many. At page 81 of the "REPORT," we are told respecting "the urban population," that they consist of "two typical forms," one of which, "the Mongolian," contains "the Manjhu, the Moghol or Mongol, the Kalmák, the Kirghiz, the Noghay, the Kapchak, and the Uzbak. All of whom are designated Tartar, together with the Kara Khitay, the Khitay, and the Tungani, who are excluded from the catalogue though of the same stock." This may be termed, confusion worse confounded, but two pages farther on we are informed that "all that can be distinctly stated is that Tartar blood predominates with a greater or less admixture of the Turk element," &c., &c.

The monkish travellers found, centuries ago, how incorrect it was the style Mughals by the name of Tartars. De Plano Carpini [A.D. 1246] says he and

of pardon, in the world-illumining opinion of the Sovereign of the people of Islām—NĀṢIR-UD-DIN, MAḤMŪD SHĀH—and other readers of his work.<sup>1</sup>

#### FIRST INROAD OF THE TURKS OF KARAH KHITA.

Trustworthy persons have related after this manner, that the first irruption of the Turks was that the tribes of Karah Khitā issued from the territory of Chin and land of the East, and came out upon the confines of Kaiālik and Bilāsāghūn, and withdrew their allegiance from the sovereign of Tamghāj, and made the frontier tracts of Islām their dwelling-place, and their grazing-grounds. On agreeing to pay certain fixed imposts, for pasturage, to the Afrāsiyābī Maliks, who were Musalmān sovereigns, of the

his party "came to the land of the Munghals, whom Europeans call Tartars." Rubruquis also [A.D. 1253] says, "near them [the Mughals] are the Tartars, by which name the Muals cannot endure to be called."

Turks consist of those branches and offshoots from Turk and his descendants before the time of Tättär Khān and Mughal Khān, who continued, and continue to retain the name of Turks, and of the two latter, who gave name to the two I-māks of Tättār and Mughal. Both are Turks, by descent, but Tättārs are not Mughals, nor are Mughals Tāttārs.

- <sup>1</sup> Here our author proceeds to give an account of the various predictions respecting the end of the world, which the irruption of the Mughals prognosticated, but which I need scarely insert here.
- The last letter of which may be also written with خصواله—or Kaiālik—خالئ—the last letter of which may be also written with خصواط —gh—which is interchangable with خساط —k—namely, Kaiāligh or Kaiāligh, is the correct name. In nearly every copy of our author's work the copyists have written the word Kabālik—with—winstead of—which is incorrect, as at page 154. These two letters which, in the middle or beginning of a word, differ in one point only, are very liable to be written one for the other in MSS. by ignorant scribes. In the oldest St. Petersburg MS., instead of Bilāsā-ghun, the name of the city is written with an extra—3—d—Bilādsa-ghūn—الاحاظية —as will be again noticed farther on.

Rubruquis describes Kaiālik, under the name of Koylak. He says it was a great trading city in his time, and had three idol temples, the doors of which were always open to the south.

This country will be found referred to at page 933.

4 At page 154 our author says "they solicited Sultan Sanjar to ssign them lands," but, although expressed in different words, the same thing, in fact, is there meant as is here related. Sanjar was the suscrain, and the Afrasiyabi Sultans or Maliks were subject to him, as is plainly indicated from the following account of them. Nothing is more dangerous or more likely to bring a writer into trouble than a superficial knowledge of Oriental authors derived from translations often made from a single and imperfectly written MS.

It will probably be well to give, however, a brief account of the Afrāsiyābi

# posterity of Afrāsiyāb-the descendants of the I-lak

Maliks, because our author, both here and in his account of the Sāmānts, Saljūks, and Khwārazmt Sultāns, occasionally confuses their names in such a manner as to puzzle and bewilder his readers. Such brief account of them will also tend to make the preceding account of the Turks clearer, and throw light upon the previous account of the Khwārazmt dynasty and of the Gūr Khāns farther on, and correct some crude theories recently put forth.

The Muhammadan writers make continual mention of the Turks and infidels of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān, from the time that the first 'Arab—Hakam, son of 'Umr, in the Khilāfat of Mu'āwiyah, drank of the waters of the Amūfah, and 'Abd-ullah, son of Ziyād, was the first to cross it, but those writers give no consecutive accounts of the Afrāsiyābī Maliks until they come down to the year 367 H. It must also be borne in mind that the name of Afrāsiyāb does not occur in the Turkish traditions, although the 'Ajamīs style him "the Turk."

The first person with whom most Muḥammadan writers begin this dynasty is the Bughrā Khān, [No. IV. in this account] whose Musalmān name was Abū-Musā-i-Hārūn, and his title, Shihāb-ud-Daulah, and who, in 380 H., defeated Mardāwanj, the general of the Sāmāni forces, near Samrkand. Although this Bughrā Khān, "the Turk," is said to have been the son of Sulimān—whom some also style by the title of the Ī-lak Khān—son of the Ī-lak Khan, the meaning of which will be presently given, they do not include these two personages, among those rulers, although the latter, probably, brought the dynasty into greater notice, and splendour.

A few writers, however, including Abū-Sa'id-i-'Abd-ul-Ḥaiy, son of Zuḥāk, a native of Gardez in Karmān of the present Aſghānistān—begin somewhat earlier, and, accordingly, I shall follow them. On reaching the time of the Bughrā Khān, Abū Musā-i-Hārūn, son of Sulimān, the disserent accounts agree. The Gardezi wrote about 441 H., in the reign of Suliān 'Abd-ur-Rashid, son of Maḥmūd-i-Sabuk-Tigin, the seventh of the Suliāns of Ghaznin, and that writer is, therefore, a little before Abū-l-Fazl-i-Baihaki, who wrote in the reign of Farrukh-zād, the eighth of the Suliāns of that line, and who died in 470 H.

I. SATUK-KUJAH, written Sātūk——by one author, was an infidel, and the ruler of Turkistān; but, from a remarkable dream which he had one night, he, in the morning, became a convert to Islām, and induced his people to embrace it also. This happened probably about the year 315 or 320 H., but no dates are given. In Alfi he is called Satuk-Karachār.

There is a History, so-called, of this personage, who, in recent times, has been regarded as a saint, and a tomb and masjid have been raised over him. The account is written by the Shaikh Najm-ud-Din, in Persian, and translated into Turki; but, as might be expected, it is history burlesqued. It is quoted by Surgeon-Major Bellew, C.S.I., who was attached to the late Kāshghar Mission, who has composed a "History of Káshghar, from the Tabcáti Násari," etc., etc., which may be styled history in chaos. Shaikh Najm-ud-Din causes Hazrat Sātuk to be born in 333 H., and to die in 430 H., at the age of ninety-six years. Unfortunately for such history, the IXth sovereign of the race, and the eighth or ninth in descent from the Satuk in question, was reigning over Turkistān including Kāshghar in 430 H. The Doctor, however, "would identify" Sātuk with, whom he calls, "Iylik Mázi—as he is usually styled [Ī-lak-i-Māzī, probably—the past, or late Ī-lak, or of days of yore, as he is

### [Khān], of days of yore -and who were subject to the

This is the I-lak-i-Māṣī referred to in para. 4, of the preceding note.

always called in the Persian, and who was not an "Uighur,"], son of the Bughra Khan, who invaded Bukhárá, where he died in the reign of the Amír Sáid Abul Kásim," etc., etc. The reign of the Sāmānī ruler, the Amīr-i-Sa'īd, or August Amīr, Abū-l-Ķāsim-i-Nūḥ, will be found at page 45 of this Translation, and, farther on, when and where Shihāb-ud-Daulah, Abū-Mūsā-i-Hārūn, entitled the Bughrā Khān, died, which event did not take place at Bukhārā, neither did his dominions extend to the Caspian, but, on the west, adjoined the Sāmānī empire.

The Doctor, in several places, states that the Kāshghar territory, "from occupation," was styled, "Mogholistan, or the country of the Moghol," but this is wholly erroneous; and no History will show that Turkistān was ever styled Mughalistān, which Mīrzā Ḥaidar, the Mughal Prince, and others distinctly describe, as may be seen in the note at page 889.

The Doctor tells us that the name of the city—Kāshghar—only "came into use under the rule of the Chaghtay Khans," as referring to the territory, but this is also erroneous, as Histories written before their time sufficiently prove, and as may be seen at page 133 of this Translation.

II. After Satuk-Kūjah's death, of which likewise no date is given, his son, Mush, succeeded him as ruler. The date of his death is not stated.

III. On the death of Musa, his grandson, Abū-Naṣr-i-Aḥmad, son of 'Alī, son of Musa, son of Satuk-Kūjah, succeeded to the sovereignty, and became famous under the title of the Ī-LAK-KHĀN. I-lak, in the Turkish language, is said to mean "prudent in counsel;" but some writers say that it is the title by which the rulers of Yughma, that is to say, Turkistan, who are the lowest of the rulers of Tūrān, are known; and that, in comparison with Khān, it merely signifies a chieftain, or leader, the ruler of a tribe. The poet, Abū-I-Faraḥ is also quoted, to show that a difference exists between the two titles, by the following couplet:—

This I-lak Khān bore the Musalmān title of Shams-ud-Daulah, and is evidently the same who entered Māwarā-un-Nahr from Turkistān in 367 H., just eleven years before Ālān-Kuwā gave birth to the three sons of light.

IV. We now come to Shihāb-ud-Daulah, BUGHRĀ KHĀN, whose name was Abū Mūsā-i-Hārūn, son of Sulimān, son of the Ī-lak Khān, and no doubt the latter is one and the same person with the one previously mentioned above, No. III.

The Bughrā Khān entered Māwarā-un-Nahr, from Kāshghar, the city of which name was his capital, the first time, in 372 H. Subsequently, he was induced to invade it again, by Abū 'Alī-i-Sīmjūr, and Fāyik-i-Khāṣah, the traitor nobles of Amīr Nūḥ, son of Manṣūr, the Sāmānī. [See their dynasty, page 45, and note 8, where, from the similarity of names, some slight confusion arises through our author calling Hārūn [Abū-Mūsā-i-Hārūn], "Ī-lak Khān," instead of which, the Ī-lak Khān was his grandfather's title.] On comparing our author's statement, at page 51, with the account of the Gardezī, I find he confirms that author's statement by mentioning "Amīr Abū-l-Ḥasan, Ī-lak-i-Naṣr, son of 'Alī, brother of the Great Khān," which evidently refers to the first Ī-lak Khān [No. III.] here mentioned, viz.:—Abū-Naṣr-i-Aḥmad, son of 'Alī, son of Mūsā, son of Satuk-Kūjah.

### Saljūķī Sultāns, they occupied those plains and pasture

During the reign of Abū-Mūsā-i-Hārūn, Shihāb-ud-Daulah, son of Sulimān, son of the I-lak, the Bughra Khan, in 375 of the Hr., Saljuk, son of Lukman, and his family and dependents, entered Mawara-un-Nahr from Kara-Khita for the sake of pasturage. In this same year a wonderful bird was seen to rise daily, for three days in succession, from the sea of 'Umman, which was said to portend evil to Islam; and indeed, in the following year, 376 H., Alan-Kuwā gave birth to the three sons "of the mysterious light," as related in the Mughal tradition, one of whom was Bu-zanjar, the great ancestor of the The Bughra Khan was subsequently induced once more to Chingiz Khān. invade Māwarā-un-Nahr by 'Abū-Alt-i-Stmjūr and Fāyik-i-Khāşah, the traitor nobles of Amir Nuh; and, in the year 380 H., he entered Mawara-un-Nahr and defeated Mardawanj, the general of Amir Nuh, in the vicinity of Samrkand. Fāvik also became subject to him, and was allowed to hold Isfanjāb. In 382 H., accompanied by Fayik, he appeared before Bukhārā, entered it in Rabi'ul-Awwal, and Amir Nuh fled. Whilst at Bukhārā, the Bughrā Khān was attacked with a painful disorder, and set out to return into Turkistan, but death overtook him on the way in 383 H.

Bughrā in the Turkish language signifies a stallion kept for breeding purposes, but, more particularly, a two humped stallion camel.

The Bughrā Khān was a just and well-disposed monarch, the friend of learning and the learned, and ruled over the vast tract of country extending from Kāshghar to Chin. He was succeeded by his brother's son, the Ī-lak Khān, Abū-l-Ḥasan-i-Naṣr, son of 'Alī.

V. The I-LAK KHAN, Abū-l-Hasan-i-Nasr, son of 'Alt, brother of Haruni-Bughra Khan [this is the person our author mentions at page 51], marched from Uz-gand, and acquired predominance over Bukhārā on the 10th of Zi-Hijjah, 389 H., seized Amir 'Abd-ul-Malik, son of Nuh, the Samani, and sent in Farghanah [a totally different place from Urganj—هركم ا as stated in note 9, page 52, through an error of the copyist in writing for ;-and from Gur-ganj-Jof Khwarazm], and took possession of the whole of Mawara-un-Nahr. He again came to Samrkand in 391 H.; and, in 393 H., Abū-Ibrāhim-i-Muntaşir, the last of the Samanis, with the aid of the Ghuzz, defeated the I-lak Khan, and compelled him to retire. With the help of the Ghuzz tribe, under their Mihtar, or Chief, Beghu, Abu-Ibrāhim re-took Bukhārā, and re-subdued all Māwarā-un-Nahr. It was but a temporary advantage however, although the I-lak Khan was a second time defeated by the confederates, for he returned soon after with a great host, and subsequently completely overthrew Abū-Ibrāhim in 395 H., who, in the meantime, had been deserted by the Ghuzz tribe.

In Jamādī-ul-Awwal, 391 H., it is stated that an envoy came from the Ī-lak Khān to Sultān Maḥmūd-i-Sabuk-Tigīn, proposing that all the territories of Māwarā-un-Nahr should appertain to him, and all Mādūm-un-Nahr المادوم النهر ا

Whilst Mahmūd-i-Sabuk-Tigin was at Multān, after taking it in 396 H., intimation reached him that the Turks had broken the treaty, crossed the Amūiah in great numbers under Subāshi-Tigin, and had penetrated as far even as Hirāt and Nishāpūr, but, that they had been driven back, and all Mādūm-

lands; and, being few in point of numbers, they there

un-Nahr cleared of them. In the following year, Sultān Maḥmūd marched to Balkh, in order to avenge this attack; and the Ī-lak Khān assembled 40,000 horse in Māwarā-un-Nahr, and crossed the river to encounter him. A battle took place in the plain a few miles from Balkh, and a charge of elephants decided the fate of the battle, and the Ī-lak Khān and his ally, Kadr Khān—his brother, probably—ruler of Khutan, were completely routed, on Sunday, the 22nd of Rabī'-ul-Ākhir, 398 H., many prisoners were taken, and, in crossing the Āmūīah, the Ī-lak Khān lost a great number of his followers who were carried away by the current and drowned. The Khān nourished the hope of revenge, but Time did not permit him to gratify it, and he died in 403 H.

VI. Sharf-ud-Din-i-Ţughān Khān, his brother, succeeded to the throne of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān. In the Turkish language tughān signifies a species of hawk—[美]—the Hawk or Falcon Khān. In the year 408 H., [began 29th May, 1017, A.D., old style], his dominions were invaded from the side of Chin, by a vast host of infidel Turks, who had been displaced from their former localities, to the amount of 300,000 khargahs—felt tents so-called by the Turks—and equivalent to that number of families. This must have been about the time of the Mughal ruler, Zūtūmin No. III., at page 894—which see. They certainly were not the people called Karā Khiṭā-ṭ, or "Kitān" of European writers, subsequently to be noticed. Tughān Khān, although suffering from illness at the time, sallied out against them; and, after much fighting, drove them back again. Vast booty, and a great number of captives fell into the hands of the Musalmān Turks [and their Musalmān allies?]. Tughān Khān died in the same year, and was succeeded by his brother.

VII. Abū-l-Muzaffar-i-Arsalān Khān—also styled Ul-Aṣam, or "the deaf" brother of Tughān, succeeded him in the sovereignty. In 410 H., he is said to have fought a battle with Sultān Maḥmūd-i-Sabuk-Tigīn, and was overthrown; and, during his retreat across the Jiḥūn or Āmūiah, most of his troops were drowned, the incident which happened after the defeat in 398 H., above referred to. Maḥmūd, however, was engaged during part of this year in his expedition in Hind. The date of Arsalān Khān's death is not given; but, in 408 H., a princess of the family of the Ī-lak Khān, who had previously been betrothed to Prince Mas'ūd, Maḥmūd's son, arrived at Balkh on her way to Ghaznīn.

VIII. KADR KHĀN, son of Yūsuf, son of the Bughrā Khān-i-Hārūn, son of Sulimān—the Gardezi, calls him Yūsuf-i-Kadr Khān, and states that he was one of the cousins of the I-lak Khān [No. V?], and who had been made governor, on his part, over Samrkand—succeeded to the sovereignty on the death of Arsalān Khān. He was a prince of great justice and goodness. The Gardezi states that, in 415 H., Maḥmūd-i-Sabuk-Tigin reached Balkh with the intention of crossing the Jihūn into Māwarā-un-Nahr, to deliver the Musalmāns from the tyranny of 'Ali-Tigin, ruler of Bukhārā, and one of the Afrāsiyābi Khāns, upon which, Yūsuf-i-Kadr Khān, who was the Sālār of all Turkistān and the great I-lak Khān, hearing of Maḥmūd's having crossed, left Kāshghar and came to Samrkand. He then proceeded to meet Maḥmūd [see pages 116—118]; and they entered into a fresh treaty. 'Ali-Tigin [this is the person referred to at page 121, which see], hearing of this, fled to the desert [the steppes E. of the Sihūn]. Faṣiḥ-i, however, says this took place in 419 H. It was at this time that Maḥmūd seized Isrā'il, the Saljūk, and sent

continued to dwell, without violence or disturbance, in peace and tranquillity.

him off to Hind [Kālinjar in the Panjāb]. In 416 H. [Faṣiḥ-t, 419 H.]; Jaghar Beg, Abū Sulīmān-I-Dā'ūd [also called Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg. See page 116. Here are some more izāfats showing how they are used, and the necessity of their use], son of Tughrī or Tughrīl Beg, son of Mikā'il, son of Saljūk [the Gardezī styles the Saljūks Turks and Turk-māns indiscriminately], broke out, left the Bukhārā territory and the Sughd of Samrkand, and retired into Khwārazm [see page 121], with the consent of Sultān Maḥmūd, but not the approbation of his ministers..

In 417 H., envoys came to Ghaznin, to Sultan Mahmud, from Kayā [4] Khān, and Bughrā Khān, requesting a matrimonial alliance. Mahmud replied that he was a Musalmān and they were infidels, and that it was not the custom to give the sisters and daughters of Musalmāns to infidels, but that, if they would embrace Islām, the matter would be considered. These chiefs were Kadr Khān's brothers. Subsequently it was agreed that Zainab, the Sultān's daughter, should be betrothed to the son of Kadr Khān, who was then styled Yughān-Tigin, and afterwards took the title of Bughrā Khān, and a daughter of Kadr Khān was betrothed to Muhammad, but subsequently to Mas'ūd, Sultān Mahmūd's eldest son. Kadr Khān died in the year 423 H., and was succeeded by

IX. ARSALĀN KHĀN, son of the Bughrā Khān [No. IV] who was, at that period, Lord of Kāshghar, Khutan, Khujand, and Bilāsā-ghūn, now succeeded to the sovereignty, but, between him and his own brother, Bughrā, hostility arose, and the latter overcame Arsalān, and made him captive. Arsalān is the person to whom Sultān Mas'ūd of Ghaznīn sent a despatch after the battle of Dandānkān, mentioned in note 3, page 94.

X. The BUGHRĀ KHĀN, son of Ķadr Khān, who was Lord of Bānki, or Tarāz, and Sinjāb [Isfanjāb or Sfanjāb, as it is also written. See page 28], after having overcome his brother, Arsalān, became absolute ruler. The mention of these provinces and countries sufficiently indicates the extent of country under the sway of the Afrāsiyābī Maliks. The Bughra Khān nominated his eldest son, Ja'far-Tigin, his heir, on which the mother of Ibrāhīm, the youngest son, poisoned the Bughrā Khān, together with some of his Amīrs, and also put an end to Arsalān Khān, who was still in confinement, in 439 H.

Bughrā, also written with j for E-Bukrā, is the same well-known Turkish name that is turned into Bagora Khan by STEWART in his "History of Bengal," and Baikara by others.

XI. IBRĀHĪM KḤĀN, son of the Bughrā Khān, succeeded to the sovereignty after the murder of his father. His mother sent him against Bināl-Tigin [one author has Niāl-Tigin], who used to act rebelliously, and, in the encounter which ensued, Ibrāhīm was killed, and the family of the Bughrā Khān [No. X], in the direct line, terminated with him. The year of his death is not mentioned.

In 453 or 454 H., Sultān Alb-Arsalān, the Saljūk, undertook the subjugation of Turkistān, but had to return from the frontiers of Kāshghar and Bilāsā-ghūn to the aid of the Khalffah. See page 134.

XII. Abū-l-Muzaffar-i-ṬAF-ĶĀJ [طفاع] KHĀN, son of another Ibrāhim, son of Naṣr, who was likewise of the house of Afrāsiyāb, and whose father had withdrawn from the world, succeeded to the sovereignty. He had previously been ruler of Samrkand, under the sovereign. He died of paralysis in 460 H.

XIII. Shams-ul-Mulk [some Mulūk] the KHĀĶĀN, NASR, son of Taf-kāj

### When the period of repose continued for a prolonged

Khān, succeeded his father, and the daughter of Sulţān Alb-Arsalān, the Saljūķ, was married to him, and the daughter of 'Īsā, his brother, was married to Alb-Arsalān's son, afterwards Malik Shāh. The Khākān, Shams-ul-Mulk, died in Zi-Ķa'dah, 472 H.

XIV. KHIZR KHĀN, brother of the Khāķān, Naşr, succeeded to the throne, but very soon after died.

XV. AḤMAD KḤĀN, son of Khiẓr Khān, succeeded to his father, but used to act in such a manner that Sulṭān Malik Shāh had to march into Māwarā-un-Nahr, in 482 H. to coerce him. He defeated him, and sent him away to Iṣfa-hān, to the care of his aunt, Turkān Khātūn, Alb-Arsalān's daughter. After a time Sulṭān Malik Shāh restored him to the sovereignty; but in 488 H. he was put to death on being accused of heresy. The Rauẓat-uṣ-Ṣafā states that Sanjar gave the throne to Aḥmad's son, Naṣr by name.

XVI. МАНМŪD KHĀN, uncle's son of Ahmad Khān, succeeded to the throne of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān; and, in the year 490 H., Dabķūlī—[عقول]—i-Ţughān Khān, son of Ķarā Khān, marched an army against him and slew him. Who he was does not appear.

XVII. KADR KHĀN, son of 'Umr Khān, son of Ahmad Khān, succeeded him. In 495 H. he became ambitious of possessing himself of part of Khurāsān, and invaded it. In Sha'bān of that year he was encountered by Sultān Sanjar [this was long before Sanjar became supreme ruler of the Saljūk empire] near Tirmiz, and was defeated, taken prisoner, and put to death. He is called Kunduz Khān elsewhere. See note , page 147.

XVIII. MUHAMMAD KHĀN [some, by mistake, call him Aḥmad Khān] to whom the title of ARSALĀN was assigned, son of Sulimān by a sister of Suliān Sanjar, son of Dā'ūd, son of the Bughrā Khān [No X.], and who, for a long time, had been an exile from Māwarā-un-Nahr, and dwelling at Marw, at the Court of that Suliān, succeeded to the sovereignty in 495 H.

In 523 H., Sayyid Ashraf, the 'Alawi, and the men of Samrkand, slew Naṣr, the son of Arsalān Khān, and openly rebelled against him. Arsalān Khān called upon his uncle, Sultān Sanjar, for aid, who set out in person with an army to succour him. Before Sanjar reached Samrkand, Arsalān Khān had suppressed the outbreak; and he despatched an emissary to make apologies to the Sultān [not wishing him to come seemingly]. This conduct did not please Sanjar, and he continued his advance towards Samrkand. Arsalān Khān was also accused of sending persons to assassinate the Sultān. The latter invested Samrkand, took it in 524 H., imprisoned him, and sent him off to Marw, to his mother, Sanjar's sister.

Muḥammad-i-Arsalān Khān, son of Sulfmān, was restored to the sovereignty of Māwarā-un-Nahr by his uncle, Sulfān Sanjar, in 526 H. Most of the authors I have taken this account from style him Muḥammad as before, and one calls him Maḥmūd [his son], but Faṣiḥ-f, and some others, distinctly call him Aḥmad—and the context proves it correct—[see note 8, page 147], but they give 530 H. as the date of his restoration. This can scarcely be correct, as his son succeeded in 526 H. What subsequently became of him is not stated, nor is the year of his death recorded.

The Tārikh-i-Alfi, the accounts in which are generally derived from the best authorities, without mentioning who he was or where he ruled, states that Kadr Khān invaded Māwarā-un-Nahr, at the head of a large army, with the object of conquering it and Turkistān, and that Arsalān Khān, Muḥammad,

### time, and their offspring and posterity had become nume-

unable to cope with him, fled into Khurāsān to Sultān Sanjar for protection, and gave the Sultān a daughter in marriage. Sultān Sanjār marched into Māwarā-un-Nahr, overthrew Kadr Khān, put him to death, and restored Arsalān to his throne again.

After a short time, a number of the Khans of the Turks became hostile to Arsalān; and, unable to resist them, he again fled to Sanjar for help, and again the Sultan restored him, after punishing his enemies. The soldiery of Arsalan Khan were principally of the two septs of Karlughiah Turks, and Ghuzz; and the former, having been intrigued with, and gained over by Ashraf, the 'Alawi, son of Muhammad-i-Abi-Shuia', the Samrkandi, to combine with Naşr Khan, Arsalan's son, the 'Alawi incited Naşr Khan to dethrone his father. Arsalan Khan gained intimation of the plot, and forthwith put his son, and the 'Alawi to death. After this, Arsalan regarded the Karlughiah with hostility, and they looked upon him with dread. At last, they combined to destroy him; and Arsalan had again to fly to Sultan Sanjar. He marched towards Samrkand to quell this outbreak, upon which, the Karlughiah took to flight and retired to the mountains. Another version of these events is, that Arsalan Khan had located 12,000 kharghas, or felt tents of Kārlūghs, equal to that number of families, on his eastern frontier to protect it from the incursions of the Chinese [Khitā-İs], but he had latterly ill-treated them, and they had left his dominions, and retired into the territory of Bilasaghun, the particulars respecting which will be found in the account of the Gür Khāns, farther on.

Sultān Sanjar entered Samrkand, and remained there a short time. It was at this period that, while occupied in the chase, the Sultān perceived a band of armed men lying in ambush in the Shikār-gah, or preserve. These were seized; and, they having confessed that Arsalān Khān had sent them thither, the Sultān proceeded to invest the ingrate within the walls of Samrkand, captured him, and sent him away to Balkh, where he died. Some say his death was natural, but others, that the Sultān had something administered to him.

During this reign, in the year 522 H., Aghūz [ide], the Chini, with a host more numerous than ants or locusts, invaded the territory of Kāshghar. The Wāli of Kāshghar, Ahmad, son of Ḥasan, collected his forces to repel the invaders. The two armies met within the frontiers of Kāshghar, and an obstinate battle ensued, which ended in favour of Ahmad.

Who Ahmad was is not mentioned, but he was, doubtless, one of the Afrāsiyābī family, subordinate to Arsalān as head of the house, and has, evidently, from the discrepancies above noticed, been taken for one of the sovereigns of this dynasty.

Aghūz, the Chini, after the slaughter of great part of his army, took to flight; and, after he had reached his capital, the name of which is not given, died of grief and chagrin. "The Gūr Khān," according to the same authority, "became his successor over the country of Chin," as will be presently mentioned.

XIX. ḤASAN-TIGĪN, son of 'Alt, son of 'Abd-ul-Mūmin [Alfi has, son of 'Abd-ul-Mūmin, son of 'Alt], famous under the name of Abū-l-Ma'ālī, Kulij-i-Ṭamghāj, who likewise was of the same family, was, by command of Sultān Sanjar, raised to the sovereignty, but he died very shortly after.

XX. Rukn-ud-Din, MAHMÜD KHĀN, Arsalān's son, and great nephew of Sanjar, who is mentioned in the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh and in Alsi as the

# rous, they began to act in a refractory manner. The

KHĀĶĀN, Rukn-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd, son of Muḥammad, son of the Bughrā Khān No. X. Yāfa'i says he was his great grandson], with the support of Sultān Sanjar was raised to the throne in 526 H., and, in Ramazān 531 H., he encountered the Gūr Khān of the Karā Khitā-i in battle, within the limits of Khujand, but was defeated, and compelled to retire to Samrkand. Sultān Sanjar advanced soon after to his assistance with his forces, but he also was overthrown [in 534 H., A.D. 1134. Guzidah and others say in 535 H. Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh says in 536 H.] by the Khitā-is under At Khān and Bāniko, as has been already stated under Sanjar's reign, at page 154, but, since that portion of this work was translated, some further particulars, tending to throw light on this subject, will be found in the notice of the Gūr Khāns farther on.

Rashid-ud-Din, in the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, further states, that Sulţān Itsuz [our author's Utsuz], Khwārazm, Shāh, in 547 H., marched against Sak-nāk—also written Sagh-nāk, which lies north of Utrār, and other tracts, and also against Kamāl-ud-Din, Arsalān Khān, son of Mahmūd, the Wāli or ruler of Jund, who fied to Rūdbār. Who he was is not said, but he is evidently one of the Afrāsiyāb family. He was induced to return, but was put to death; and Sulţān Itsiz annexed Jund, which he gave to his own son, I-yal-Arsalān. The year above-mentioned is that in which the Ghuzz acquired such predominance, but, according to some writers, and more correctly, the year previous to Sanjar's falling into their hands.

Maḥmūd Khān, forsaking country and possessions, after the victory of the Karā-Khiṭā-is, in 534 H., came into Khurāsān along with Sulṭān Sanjar, and continued at his Court; and, subsequently, after the Sulṭān was taken captive by the Ghuzz, he was raised to the sovereignty of Khurāsān, as a temporary measure. After his uncle's escape out of their hands, and his death soon after, Maḥmūd, in 552 H., for a time, again obtained the nominal sovereignty over Khurāsān, but, after a stormy reign of five years and a half, in 557 H., he was deprived of his sight by Sanjar's slave—Mu'ayyid-ud-Din, the Ā-inah-dār [See page 180], and died in 558 H.

XXI. TAMGHĀJ ĶHĀN, son of Muḥammad Khān [but whether this is the same Muḥammad, who is called Aḥmad, and dethroned and again restored to sovereignty by Sultān Sanjar, is not stated], became Wālī of Māwarā-un-Nahr after Sultān Sanjar's imprisonment by the Ghuzz, but he did not possess much grandeur or power, and his reign was a very stormy and agitated one. He was tributary to the Ķarā Khiṭā-īs, who continued to hold sway in those parts, after Sanjar's captivity, until finally driven out and expelled by Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh.

Tamghāj Khān was at length slain and his corpse cast into the desert by the Kārlūks or Kārlūghs. This ha pened in 551 H., some say, in 550 H.

One author refers to a Tamghāj or Taghmāj Khān, named Ibrāhim, son of Al-Husain [Al-Hasan?], as one of the Khāns of Turkistān, who, when he became absolute, came to Samrkand, and dwelt there many years. He was a great patron of 'Ulamā and other learned men, and wrote Kur'āns which were sold, and the prices realized therefrom he subsisted on. He must be one and the same with the above, from the mention of Samrkand, although there is a discrepancy with respect to his father's name, and he too had a son, Khiṣr Khān, who is said to have been a Sultān of great pomp. Probably, Nos. X and XI. are referred to.

# period of the Sanjari empire had nearly reached its termi-

I may also add that Tamghāj, the name of which often appears in this Section, is a territory of Turkistān, as well as a name given to rulers, and that some writers state that it is the name—dynastic name, probably—of the sovereigns of Tibbat and Yughmā, which last word is also the name of an old city and territory in Turkistān.

XXII. Jalāl-ud-Dīn, 'Alī, son of Ḥasan-Tigin [Rashid-ud-Dīn calls him Ḥusain merely], son of 'Alī, son of 'Abd-ul-Mūmin, who bore the title of KHIZR KHĀN—some say Jaghar Khān—with the support of the Gūr Khān of the Ķarā-Khiṭā-is, after Sulṭān Sanjar's defeat at Ķaṭrān, succeeded to the sovereignty of Māwarā-un-Nahr. Khiẓr Khān, subsequently [in 553 H.] slew, in Khiṭā it is said, Beghū or Beghūn Khān, the chief of the Ķārlūķs or Ķārlūghs, and other chiefs of that tribe, then located in Māwarā-un-Nahr, such as Lā-chin Beg, and, the sons of the slain Beghū, fled to the Khwārazm territory, and connecting themselves with Sulṭān I-yal-Arsalān, Khwārazm Shāh, instigated him to attack Khiẓr Khān, the Khāṭān, as he is also styled—another title by which this dynasty is also called—of Samrkand, that is to say, Māwarā-un-Nahr. This is a sufficient proof that the rulers were not Ķārlūghs, and that they were Ī-ghūrs is utterly out of the question.

I-yal-Arsalān, Khwārazm Shāh, accordingly, in the same year [553 H.—A.D. 1158], in Jamādī-ul-Ākhir, marched an army into Māwarā-un-Nahr, arrived at Bukhārā, and, from thence, moved towards Samrkand. On the news of his movements reaching Khizr Khan, he at once summoned to his standard all the Tarākamah nomads of those parts, from the Karā Kol or Black Lake. as far as Jund, and brought them to Samrkand. He mustered his forces on the bank of the Bagh-dad river in the Sughd, near the capital, and within the walls of the city. He likewise sought aid from the Karā-Khiţā-is, who despatched to his aid the I-lak of the Turkan, but the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh, and Rauzat-uş-Şafā, both style him the I-lak of the Tarākamah [plural of Turkman, which, since they also consider them Turks, or belonging to the Turk tribes, is much the same in signification], who, soon after arrived, with a force of 10,000 gallant men to the aid of Khizr Khan, and, through the I-lak's endeavours, an accommodation was entered into, and the Khwarazm Shail re-These were one portion only of the Karlugh tired into his own territory again. Turks, for Ibn-Dastah, in his account of the Jihun, says, several rivers flow into the Iihun, among which is the considerable river called the Wakhsh river. which issues from the tract of country above the land of the Karlugh Turks.

The Beghū are often referred to by our author, after this period, in several places in this work, as being located in Wakhsh and Badakhshān [see note b, page 374, and page 494], and they are the tribe of this same Beghū, the Kārlūk or Kārlūgh. The Ghuzz are also styled Beghū, as previously stated in the account of the Turks, but these Beghū Kārlūghs are totally distinct from the Ghuzz. There are no such people, I beg leave to say, as "Ghozzes," or "Ghoz" or "Gusses," nor do "we know that the Osmanli claim descent from the Ghozz," any more than they do from the "Kankulis," but we know quite the contrary.

Khiẓr Khān, and his predecessor also, were tributaries of the Gūr Khāns, as was likewise 'Uṣmān, Khiẓr's successor; but the mention here, by different historians, of the Ī-lak of the Tarākamah or Turkān being sent by the Gūr Khān himself to the assistance of Khiẓr Khān is very important, proving, as it does, what I was quite cognizant of before, how crude and erroneous are

### nation, and they broke out into rebellion. Sultan Sanjar

the theories put forward by a writer—Mr. H. H. Howorth—who has been writing largely of late on "Mongols," "Ghozzes," "Gusses," and the like, and imagines that the "Ilk [sic] Turkan," of D'Ohsson, was one of the sovereigns of this dynasty I am here giving an account of, and that they were all styled "Arslan Khans," i.e. "Lion Khans," when, out of the twenty-three sovereigns here mentioned, but three were styled Arsalān, i. e. Lion. The Ī-lak-i-Turkān, or Ī-lak-i-Tarākamah, as he is also called, was certainly one of the descendants of Afrāsiyāb, and that was why the Gūr Khān sent him to the aid of his kinsman, Khiṣr Khān [and he had good cause to hate Ķārlūķs], and there were several others, too, who claimed similar descent, as well as the dynasty of the Bughrā and Ī-lak Khāns I have here given a brief account of. Ī-ghūrs they certainly were not.

The I-lak-i-Turkan above referred to is most likely the very same person who, in 522 H. [A.D. 1128], gave up his authority to the Gur Khan, or otherwise his son or successor in that title. The former is the more probable. The length of his reign, which must have been considerable, is not given, neither the date of his son's succession.

XXIII. SULTĀN 'Uṣmān, son of Jalāl-ud-Din, 'Ali, son of Hasan [Tigin] of the Bughra Khan family, who, on account of the antiquity of his race, is styled Sultan-us-Salatin, is the last of this dynasty, but, at what period he attained the sovereignty, is not stated. As he had solicited a daughter from the Gur Khan, to whom he was tributary, in marriage, and been refused, Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, for that very reason, to spite the Gur Khān, gave him a daughter of his own in marriage in 606 H. Great friendship and intimacy arose, in consequence, between Sultan 'Uşman and his fatherin-law, but it developed into great resentment. 'Usman abandoned the Sultan's friendship and was going to ally himself again with the Gur Khan. At last, Suitan Muhammad marched against him, took Samrkand, and secured the person of Sultan 'Uşman. Sultan Muhammad was inclined to forgive him, but his own daughter, 'Usman's wife, whose name was Khan Malik, was against it, and, in 609 H., he was put to death, at her instigation, some say, by her command, and with him that dynasty became extinct. The length of his reign is not mentioned.

From the account of 'Uşmān in the notice of the Karā-Khiṭā-is farther on, considerable discrepancy will be noticed respecting his again attaching himself to the Gūr Khān after his alliance with the Sulṭān of Khwārazm, and the Sulṭān's occupying Samrkand, and the absolute contrary would appear to be the fact; but, that something unpleasant did occur between him and his father-in-law, 'Uṣmān's being removed from Samrkand, and taking up his quarters at Khwārazm plainly show.

Sultān 'Uṣmān—said to have been a second Yūsuf in beauty—it was, who, when along with the Gūr Khān's army, interceded with the Karā Khitā-is and saved Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī, from captivity, and enabled him to escape from Andkhūd, after his defeat there in 601 H. See page 480.

Another proof that Turkistān was ruled by many petty princes is, that among the Maliks of the Dihli kingdom in Sultān Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish's reign, one was 'Alā-ud-Din, Jāni, a Prince of Turkistān—a refugee apparently—but of what family he came is not mentioned. He subsequently gave considerable trouble, and was put to death in 634 H. See page 640.

marched to coerce them; and Bāniko of Tarāz, from the side of Khitā [the Ķarā-Khitā-i territory—from Tarāz] with a numerous army, advanced to encounter the Sultān, and a battle took place between that host of infidels and Sultān Sanjar. The army of Islām was defeated, and Turkān Khātūn, who was the Sultān's consort, became a captive [in the hands of the Khitā-is]. After the Sultān retired, they [!] sought for peace, and sent back Turkān Khātūn, and they obtained immunity.

When the insurrection of the Ghuzz [tribe] of Khandān broke out and continued, and the dominion of Sanjar declined, as has been recorded, the Karah Khitā-is acquired vast strength, and the Maliks of Turkistān, with their assistance, used to subdue each other, and were wont to send them riches, valuable gifts, and presents, in hope of their aid and help. Those Maliks continued to use their utmost endeavours in the subjection and destruction of each other for so long a time, that the Karah Khitā-is became rulers over the whole of them; and, for a period of near eighty years and over, their power continued.

At first, when they became supreme, the chief men among them, in succession to each other, were several persons; and those who lived near unto my own time, and of whom I have heard from narrators, were I-mā, Sunkam, Arbaz, Tūmā, and Bānīko [of Ṭarāz], and their sovereign was a woman, and, at last, after that female, there was a man, and his title was "the Gūr Khān," and they were wont to style him "the Khān-i-Khānān." Some have related that

Another is mentioned as holding Utrār, and another Jund, during Suljān 'Uşmān's reign over Māwarā-un-Nahr.

See also the account of the rulers of Sijistan and Nimroz, page 188.

7 Some copies of the text, as in the account of Sultān Sanjar's reign, where the particulars of these events will be found [page 154], have Khatlān, some Khandān. Here, the former is correct: there the latter. The Ghuzz or Ghūzz—jà or jà—[it would require a good deal of "twisting" to turn their name into the impossible one of "Gusses"] came into the Musalmān territories from Khandān, which is on the frontiers of Chin or China, but, when they revolted against Sultān Sanjar, they were dwelling in Khatlān, whence the confusion, and only crossed the Jihūn towards the close of Sanjar's reign, prior to his defeat by them. See notes 5, page 374, 2, page 424, and 6, page 426.

Which is the Persian translation of the title "Gur Khan." Mr. H. H. Howorth in his book on the "Mongols Proper," page 719, has the following:—

this Gür Khān had, secretly, become a Musalmān, but God knows the truth in this matter. It is agreed, however, that the first among them [the Karah Khitā-i rulers] were just sovereigns, and were adorned with equity, and ability, and used to treat Muhammadans with great reverence, show respect unto ecclesiastics, and used not to consider tyranny and violence allowable towards any created being.

"Colonel Yule adds, 'the tendency to swelling titles is always to degenerate, and, when the value of Khan had sunk, a new form, Khán-Khánán, was devised at the court of Dehli, and applied to one of the high-officers of state." Here we have the "new devised form" as early as 1259 A.D., nearly three ceuturies before the first Mughal Sultān of Dihli appeared in India. The title of Khān-i-Khānān—Khān of Khāns—is not at all uncommon, and is frequently mentioned in histories centuries before any Mughals reigned at Dilhi.

Surgeon-Major Bellew, the Historian of the Kāshghar Mission of 1873, has fallen into error in his account of the "Gorkhán" from the "Tabcáti Násari," and other works quoted by him, for he makes out, in the first place [page 132], that the "Kara Khitáy," who "came to the cities of Cubáligh and Bálásghún, took the government upon themselves" from "the Afrásyáb Princes descended from Iylik Marzi [sic]," and "kept it for eighty and odd years," and then tells us that "their rulers in succession were Ayma, and Sangam, and Arbar, and Tana, and Táynko, and then a queen who was succeeded by Gorkhan." All this is different from the Tabakāt-i-Nāṣiri, of which this work is a Translation.

<sup>9</sup> It-would tend, probably, to elucidate the above statement, and to correct some of our author's errors and shortcomings, if I gave, here, a brief account of the dynasty known as the Gür <u>Kh</u>āns of Ķarā-<u>Kh</u>iţāe or Ķarah-<u>Kh</u>iţā.

The original country of these rulers is Khiţā or Khiţāe, which consists of several vast tracts of territory; and the designation of Khiţā differs according to the different races who speak of it. For example, "that great and famous country which has always been the seat of government of powerful sovereigns, and is so at present [when the Fanākatī wrote 578 years ago], is called by the people themselves—خارج خارج خارج خارج — Khān-zjū Khān-kūe—and the Mughals call it — Jākūt or — Jākūt or — Jākūt. The Hindūs call it Chīn, while we, in Māwarā-un-Nahr, term it Khitā and Khitāe.

"There is another country of great extent, to the east of Khitā inclining south—S. E.—which the Chīnīs [Chinese] call by the name of ومزى or والله or منزى —but the Mughals style it سنكاث —and the Hindūs, Mahā-Chīn [not Mā-Chīn], which is to say Chīn-i-Buzurg, or Great China." [That Khutan was ever called Chīn or Mā-Chīn, as Remusat is said to have stated, cannot be shown, but it formed part of the Chinese empire].

"To the north of Khiṭā there are certain tribes of Ṣaḥrā-Nishīnān [Nomads] whom they [the Khiṭā-is] call Jidān or Jaidān, and the Mughals know them by the name of Ṣaṇā-Khiṭā-i or Black Khiṭā-i. The great barrier or wall separates Khiṭāe from the lands of the Turks and Nomads." It must be borne in mind that it is a custom among eastern people to distinguish countries, and sometimes people, by the epithets of white—āḥ and chaghan—and black—ḥarā or ḥaraḥ, the former name being given to the most extensive or fertile countries, and most civilized people, and the latter to the poorest and least fertile countries, and the

## Upon several occasions the armies of these rulers had

less civilized people. The same may be remarked with respect to the term, surkh-rū—red-faced, that is to say, honourable, of good fame, and siyāh-rū—black-faced, meaning disgraced or dishonoured.

"The dwelling-places or lands of the Jidan tribe adjoin the plains, wilds, or steppes of Mughalistan; and, on one occasion, a person of the Jidan tribe rebelled, seized the sovereign of Khita, and became Badshah himself. For several generations his descendants reigned. They were afterwards ousted by another person, and the Altan Khans, who were finally overthrown by the Chingiz Khan, and his son, Uktae Ka'an, were his descendants."

The family of the person who afterwards rose to sovereignty with the title of the Gur Khan ["Gorkhán," "Kawar" and "Gawer," and "Kur, a form of Gur Khan," and the like, of European authors, and some European trans-Lators, are entirely wrong] was named ومقين طايغو KÜMKİN Or KOMKİN TAE-GHO or TAYA-GHO, also written توشنين طايغو Kushtin or Koshtin Tae-ķu, or Tāya-kū [the Yeilu Taishi probably of D'Ohsson], which names might vary a little more according to the vowel points, but not the consonants, except that in the middle or end of a word are interchangeable, and that Turks. Tättärs, and Mughals, change p and t into b and d occasionally. I have read the above words according to the usages of the Persian language. Tāe-ghū's family was one of distinction in those parts, and, long before the time of the Chingiz Khān, and antecedent to the rise of the sovereigns of the Khūrjah or Khorjah—غورجه—dynasty [Corea of Europeans is here referred to], forced, through the vicissitudes of destiny, he left his native country along with 80 persons of different tribes or families, and took up his dwelling-pitched his tents-within the borders of Kirkiz or Kirkiz, respecting which see the account of the Turks at page 876. This tract is generally mentioned along with Tingkut by most of the authors I have quoted in my note on the descent of the Turks, Tāttārs, and Mughals.

Some again say that these 80 persons were his own family and kin, and that they were accompanied by their dependents and followers, who made up a considerable number, and, from their proceedings, this last statement appears the more correct of the two.

The Karā-Khiṭā-i fugitives assailed the people of those parts—Kirkiz or Kirkiz—and were themselves attacked in return, and hard pressed. On this account the Karā-Khiṭā-is moved away from those tracts, and entered the territory of Ī-mil—J-l—or the territory on the river of that name, and there founded "a city," in, and about which, the Gūr Khān being an exceedingly just and efficient ruler, some 40,000 families, Turks, and numbers of others, soon gathered around him. The remains of that city, the name of which is not given, were still to be traced at the time the Histories I take this account from were written, but, in the time of Mīrzā Muḥammad Ḥaidar, the author of the Tūrīkh-i-Rashīdī, who wrote subsequently—whose work I have partly translated, and of which more hereafter—neither traces of this place nor of the city of Bilāsā-ghūn were known.

Subsequently, the Karā-Khiṭā-īs moved from the territory of Ī-mil, because it could not contain them, they had multiplied so greatly, and advanced towards the boundary of the Bilāsā-ghūn territory. This city—Bilāsā-ghūn—the Mughals, subsequently, but long previous to the days of Amīr Tīmūr, styled Kū or Ghū and Akū or Aghū—Bālīgh, that is to say, according to the Ḥabīb-us-Siyar, and some other works, the pleasant, good, or

## crossed the river Jihūn, and had made raids upon the fron-

beautiful city. The ruler of that tract of country was a person who claimed descent from Afrāsiyāb, but whose name is never once mentioned, and he possessed neither power nor grandeur; and the Turk tribes of Ķārlūķ or Ķārlūgh—whose immigration thither has been recorded in the account of Arsalān Khān—and Ķanķulī, who were dwelling in those parts [and also the Khifchāk tribes, according to another writer, only Khifchāk or Ķībchāk is not the actual name of any tribe, but a tract of country], having withdrawn their allegiance from him, used to resist his officers, harry his people and followers, carry off their flocks and herds, "and were wont to act as wolf and fox."

This Amir of Bilāsā-ghūn, as previously shown, was a totally different person from either of the rulers mentioned in the account of the Afrāsiyābī dynasty of kings just recorded, for all the accounts given by different writers, and what has been stated respecting the IXth and Xth sovereign of that dynasty, tend to show that, besides that dynasty, there were several other Khāns, who appear to have been, in some way, subject to them; and our author, in several places, as well as other writers, confirms this, as in the following examples.

At page 51, our author mentions "the rulers of the Afrasiyabi dynasty of kings," and one as "the Great Khan," thus showing that there were lesser Khāns. At page 84 he mentions, "Kadr Khān," and "the Khāns of the Turks," and "the Khākāns of Turkistān." Saljūk also is said [see note 3, page 117] to have descended from Afrāsiyāb. Again, at page 118, our author says "all the Maliks of Turkistan and the Afrasiyabi rulers" were afraid of the son of Saljūk; and, at page 121, "'Ali Tigin, the late ruler of Bukhārā, who was one of the Afrasiyabi Khans," is referred to. At page 133, he informs us that Sultan Alb-Arsalan "led an army into Turkistan and Turan, and the Maliks of Turkistan, and the Afrasiyabi Amirs, submitted to his authority," and, on the next page, that he had reached the frontiers of Kashghar and Bilasa-ghun, in 453 or 454 H., when he had to hasten to the Khalifah's succour. At page 137 he says Malik Shah brought under his sway "the whole of the countries of Turkistan." At page 260 also, our author states that "the Sultan [Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah] set out towards Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān; and the whole of the Maliks and Sultans of the Afrāsiyābi dynasty, who held territory in the countries of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Farghanah, presented themselves before him," and this was immediately before the total downfall of the Gur Khan and his dynasty. All this, and what has been already related, certainly does not show that "the Afrasiab dynasty is a mistake," as a recent writer, merely because he has not found anything about them in the foreign translations to which he alone has access, supposes.

The Amir of Bilāsā-ghūn, unable to coerce these Turks—the Kārlūghs and Kankulis—hearing of the arrival in his vicinity of the Gūr Khān, the plenitude of his power, and the number of his dependents and followers, despatched envoys to him to state his own weakness, and inability to keep the Kārlūghs and Kankulis in subjection, and to invite him to move towards his capital, that he might cede unto him his territories, and release himself from the troubles and sorrows of his present state, and his people be protected.

Before I proceed farther it may be well to say something on the geography of these parts, as described by Oriental authors, and also to refer to some

### tiers of Khurāsān, and had ravaged Upper Khurāsān lying

statements on the subject which have recently appeared in the Geographical Magazine, and in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, and more particularly because the geography of these parts refers as much to the notice of the Afrāsiyābī Maliks, of whom I have just given an account, as to the Karā-Khiṭā-Īs, and will tend to elucidate the history of both dynasties.

In the Geographical Magazine for December, 1874, page 389, is an article or letter on "Bala Sagun and Karakorum," referring to a "brochure of Professor V. Grigoreif, on the Khāns of Turkistān, who quotes the Chronicle of "Dervish Akhmed Effendi" [probably meant for Darwesh Ahmad Afandi,—there is no such a name as Akhmed] from a Turkish translation, in which it is said that "The capital of their dominions was at first the city of Balasagun, but afterwards Bukhara and Samarkand. They began to rule over Mavrennahr in the year 383 (993 A.D.), and their dynasty came to an end in 609 (1212 A.D.). Their main possessions were: 1. Bala Sagun, which was their capital, situated at the beginning of the 7th climate in 102° of Long. and 48° of Lat., not far from Kashghar, and considered from of old the old boundary city of Turkistan; 2. Kashghar, the capital of Turan, in the 6th climate in 120° of Long. and 45° of Lat.; it is also called Ardukend, &c.; 3. Khotan, in the most distant part of Turkistan, Long. 170°, and Lat. 42°; 4. Karakorum; 5. Taraz; 6. Farab: all three important cities."

This statement is tolerably correct, according to the Oriental geographers, with a few exceptions. They could not possibly have begun to reign over Māwarā-un-Nahr in 383 H., because "the Great Khān" did not take possession permanently of Bukhārā until the 11th month of 389 H., up to which time, the Sāmanīs ruled over Māwarā-un-Nahr [See page 52 of this translation].

In no histories, however, that I have met with, and they are not a few, is such a statement made as that, "at first, the city of Bala Sagun [What has the "Effendi Akhmed" done with the gh in the name Bilasa-ghun? He is not likely to have written it with simple g any more than he would write Ahmad with kh,] was the capital," and afterwards Bukhārā and Samrkand. Bilāsāghun continued to be the capital of a branch of the family up to 522 H., when it was given up to the Karā-Khitā-is. The Afrāsiyābi began to reign centuries before 383 H. Without referring at all to pre-Muhammadan times, we find a Turk dynasty, the ruler of which is styled Khākān, as the Afrāsiyābi kings are also sometimes called, at Samrkand and Bukhārā when the 'Arabs first crossed the lihun, and they are, doubtless, one and the same. The first we hear of them in Muhammadan times is during the period of the early 'Arab governors of Māwarā-un-Nahr, previous to the time of the Tāhiris and Sāmānis, but the earliest date mentioned is about the year 53 or 54 H., when Muhallab made a In 77 H., the people of the Sughd of Samrkand are menraid on Bu**kh**ārā. tioned, and their Malik, Tarkhun by name. Inroads were made into Farghanah by the 'Arabs in 87 H., and a treaty was entered into with the Turks. In 111 H., the Turks issued from the tracts north of Bukhara and Samrkand, and invaded Khurāsān, but the Khāķān of the Turks was routed by Junaid. Soon after, the Khākān again returned with a great army, and the 'Arab Amir of Samrkand had to render aid to Junaid, but nothing decisive was effected. Then followed the rise of Abū-Muslim, when the tracts east of the Jihūn were little thought of, the rise of the Tāhiris and Sāmānis followed, who forced the Turks back from Māwarā-un-Nahr, but, in 367 H., Shams-ud-Daulah, the on the bank of the Jihun, and the confines of Balkh,

I-lak Khan of the Turks, entered Mawaia-un-Nahr, as already stated in the account of them. In no history is Bilasa-ghun mentioned as their capital, but Kāshghar is constantly referred to as such. Ahmad, the first of the Sāmānis [See page 28], who died in 261 H., held Farghanah, Shash, and Isfanjabmost of the people of which were Ghuzz, and Khalj Turks, who had embraced the Musalman faith-together with Kashghar and Turkistan to the frontier of Chin, and this shows where some of the Turk tribes were located at that period. In 280 H., Ismā'il, Sāmāni, made a raid upon the country of the Turks, took their chief town, the name of which, unfortunately, is not mentioned, and carried off great booty and a vast number of captives; but it appears that, the more the Samanis turned their attention to Khurasan, the stronger grew the Turks beyond the Sihūn. On disturbances arising in the Sāmāni empire, from the time of Amir Nub, the IXth of that dynasty, the Afrāsiyābi Malîks began to meditate conquests in Māwarā-un-Nahr, and, in 383 H., the son and successor of the I-lak Khān-Abū-Mūsā-i-Hārūn, the Bughrā Khān-determined to attack Bukhārā, but he did not retain possession of it. Three sovereigns of the Sāmāni dynasty reigned after 387 H. The former date was about seven years after the widow, Alan-Kuwa, gave birth to the "sons of light."

It is amusing to read the various theories put forth with regard to the site of Bilāsā-ghūn, and the derivation of its name.

In the Geographical Magazine for June, 1874, we are told, in a paper by Mr. Robert Michell, who quotes M. Paderin, that "Bela-sagun," as he styles it, is indifferently called Kara-Korum, Kara-Kherem, Kara-Koram, and Kara-Khelin, and that, "by Muhammadan writers, it is called Urdu Balik (D'Ohsson, Hist. des Mongols, t. 1, p. 76) or Belasagun, now written [by whom?] Balgasun, which M. Semenof explains is only a title."

This may be dismissed as simple nonsense. Bilāsā-ghūn and Ķarā-Ķuram are totally distinct places.

In the same Magazine for July, 1874, p. 167, Colonel Yule, C.B., referring to the above, says "That Belasaghun was a corruption of the Mongol Balghassun, or 'city or royal residence,' as is intimated in the same passage, seems highly probable," but he thinks that it is "greatly to be questioned" whether "Belasaghun was the same as Karakoram. . . . . By the story Belasaghun should lie somewhere between these (the Caspian, Aral, and Jaxartes) and Imil," &c.

Who is the authority that "Belasaghun was a corruption of the Mongol Balghassun" is not mentioned, nor do I think any Eastern author will be found to contain such a statement for reasons I shall mention farther on.

In the next month's Geographical Magazine Mr. Michell again informs us that the correct version of the previous quotation is taken from M. Semenof's Russian edition of part of Ritter's Asia as follows:—"Muhammadan writers call this ancient capital of the Turks [Korin, or Kholin, or Kara-Korum] Ordu-Balig [D'Ohsson, Hist. des Mongols] or Belasagun [Balgassun], which, however, is only its title."

I certainly should like to know the name of any Muhammadan author who has made such an astounding assertion.

Farther on Mr. Michell says: "In conclusion, I would suggest that Pinjan, near Turfan, which is, too, situated near a lake [But who says the capital of the I-lak Khān, the Afrāsiyābi Malik, was near a lake?] may be the ancient

### Tirmid, Amūd, Tāl-ķān, Guzarwān [also Juzarwān] and

<sup>1</sup> Probably Āmūt, or Āmūtah, a town on the banks of the Jthūn, a place frequently mentioned in history, and which gives the name of Āmū, Āmūn, or Āmūtah to the river Jthūn, which separates Khurāsān and Ī-rān from Tūrān and Turkistān, the signification of which words are, full, replete, running over, full to the brim.

The inroads of Karā-Khiţā-is into Khurāsān refer to the time of the Khwārazmi rulers, particularly Sultān Shāh. See note 7, page 245.

Balga-sun (Balga meaning "guarded refuge," and Sun being, perhaps, an objective case, and derived from Su, water," &c.

Such a situation for Bilasa-ghūn is scarcely possible.

In the next number of the Geographical Magasine, for September, 1874, Colonel Yule again writes, referring to the above, "Balghasun is a Mongol word apparently meaning city" (perhaps "walled city," but I have no access to a dictionary), and, in a foot-note, adds: "It is, I presume, a derivative from Baligh. Asun one sees in a common Mongol termination, but I do not know its force."

We are not informed who says "Balghasun" is a Mongol word, but considering that we only hear of it through the Musalman writers, who give us the account of the Gur Khan, and the battle between the Sultan of Khwarazm and the Kara-Khita-is, and before the irruption of the Mughals, is it likely to be "a Mongol word"? It appears also to have been entirely overlooked with regard to these theories, that the Mughals did not dwell in cities, towns, or houses, but in felt tents.

Asūn is certainly a Mughal, or Turkish name, as in Ţā-ir Asūn who was chief of the Ūrhār Markit tribes, and some others.

I shall have something more to say respecting Karā-Kuram under Üktāe Kā'ān's reign.

Surgeon-Major Bellew, of the late Kāshghar Mission, informs us that "Balásághun," is "the Kúbalígh of the Moghol"!

Mr. Eugene Schuyler, in the Geographical Magazine, for December, 1874, p. 389, is quite correct in supposing that Bilāsā-ghūn is not a Mughal name, but it certainly does not come from Persian "bala," upper, as he supposes, because the second letter in that Persian word is alif—1—14—whilst the second letter in —24—also written with 5—k—for 2-gh—which is pronounced, according to the vowel points mentioned in explanation of it—BILĀSĀ-CHŪN—is lām—J—and, without doubt, this place was a long way west of Karā-Kuram, and more to the south.

Colonel Yule, in "a note" to Mr. E. Schuyler's "letter," says, Juwaine's expression as given by D'Ohsson conveys the impression that the name "Gubalik" was given to the city by the "Mongols" of the "Chinghia age," and that "Balghasun" alone could not have been the earlier name of the city, meaning as it does merely "city," and that "Gubalik" may be a clerical error for Armalik, and may indicate Cobalek (or Gubalik) was the same as Almalig," &c.

It is very certain that the Mughals called Bilāsā-ghūn Ghū-Bālik or Bāligh with the guttural gh, and s and being interchangeable—

and the Juwaini says so as well as many others, but neither "Gubalik," "Armalik," "Cobalik," nor "Balghasun." Bilāsā-ghūn was certainly its previous name, and by no other was it known, according to the histories avail-

### Gharjistan, as far as the frontier of Ghur. All Mawara-un-

able, until the Mughals gave it a name among themselves, but I look upon the Mughal name as merely a by-name. The city did not lose its previous name in consequence of this by-name, but it is scarcely mentioned after its sack by the army of the last Gūr Khān, and it was subsequently destroyed by the Mughals at the time of the Chingiz Khān's irruption into Islām. The meaning assigned to Ghū by Oriental writers is "good," "fine," "pleasant," &c., and Bāligh signifies "city"—as Bīsh-Bāligh, Khān-Bāligh, Mau-Bāligh, and the like, but Ghū alone does not mean "good city," "fine city," nor "beautiful city."

Mr. H. Howorth has been writing voluminous articles lately on "Avlie Ata," the "Khaia Khitais," "Balasagun," and other kindred subjects, but, to judge from them, he appears to change his opinions, as well as his proper names, with each fresh one.

In the Geographical Magazine, for July, 1875, p. 217, he writes with reference to "Balasagun" that "Gu-Balik" is probably the literal translation of "city on the Chu," and he follows one of the writers just referred to, and says that "Balasagun" [all three writer mentioned spell the word differently, it will be observed] "merely means city," and that "Balasagun is a wholly indefinite term." In this last opinion I do not by any means agree with him. What more definite name is required I cannot conceive: it is as definite as Samrkand or Bukhārā. But in what tongue does "Gu-Balik" mean only "city"? This is diametrically opposed to Colonel Yule's theory.

In the Geographical Magazine, for December, 1875, p. 378, Mr. Howorth makes a very "bold guess" indeed "that Kayalik is no other than Go-balig [sic] i.e. Beautiful City," and so—as he states above that "Balasagun," which is "a wholly indefinite name," and "only means city," is "Gu-Balik"—Bilāsāghūn and Kayālik must, consequently, be one and the same place, while, on the very same page, Kayālik, the existence of which is undoubted, long before the Karā-Khiṭā-īs were heard of in that part, is supposed to be "a city or town of their foundation." In the map to his book, "The Mongols Proper," however, "Bilasaghun" and "Kabalik (KayaJik)" are some 500 miles apart!

On that same page it is also said that Kayalik is no doubt compounded of the well known Turkish particle baligh or town, but in the J. R. As. Soc., vol. viii., part ii., p. 275, he writes: "The site of Balasaghun has been much debated. It was the capital of the ancient Turkish Khans of Turkistan. . . . . It merely means city."

Again, in the J. R. As. Soc., p. 277, we have: "Another important town of the Kara Khitaes was Kayalik or Kabalik . . . . which name is not improbably a corruption of Kobalik or Kabalik," and, "the present Russian station of Kopal" is supposed to be its site.

In the same paper, page 267, "Bish-balig" is said to mean "six courts," which consisted of "six towns," but what authority exists for this last statement is not said. At pages 6 and 21 of his "Mongols Proper" we are informed that "Urumtsi" is "Bishbalig," and in the map prefixed to it we have "Bishbalig (Urumchi);" but at page 737 it is stated that "Piechipali is no doubt Bishbalig," and at page 165 it is "Bish Balig, the capital of Uiguria."

Another writer says the word signifies "five towns," which is correct, for bish, in Turki, means five.

The "Afrasiab dynasty" is also believed, by Mr. Howorth, "to be a mistake," in reference to the Khāns of Turkistān [whom I have, I think,"

# Nahr, Farghanah, and Khwarazm, and some parts of

shown to be substantially palpable sovereigns], contrary to every Muhammadan writer, who has written on the subject, without exception, although, in another place [Geog. Mag. for July, 1875, p. 217], we have "the descendant of Afrasiab, who was deprived of his title of Khan, leaving him only that of 'Ilk Turkan!'"

In another place this very "Ilk" Khān, or "Ilk Turkan," is said to be "one of the Lion Khans—Lion Hoei or Lion Uighurs of Visdelu, whose northern capital was Almaligh, a well known city in the middle age history of Central Asia, which is said to mean City of Apples" [there is no bāligh, however, in the word], but in what tongue is not said. . . "It is fixed on the site of the modern [!] city of Old Kuldja, on the river Ili," but who fixed it is not said. In Col. Walker's last Map (1875) Kuldja figures as Ili!

A line or two after we have, "I have no doubt, therefore, that it was its chief [the chief of Almāligh], the Lion Khan of the Uighurs," &c., . . . the metropolitan city of the Lion Khans," &c., &c. See Nos. IX. and X. of that dynasty, note to page 905.

Page 277, of the same paper, the writer says:—"The deposed Khan of Turkistan had his seat of empire at Samarkand," it was at "Bilasagun" before, and, just above, p. 269, "Almaligh" was "the metropolitan city"; and, by way of improving this, at page 272, I find that "At Khan" [Āt Khān—the Karā-Khiṭā-i, who, with Bāniko of Ṭarāz, fought the battle with Sulṭān Sanjar] "is probably the dispossessed Khan of Turkistan," his "Ilk Khan," and, at p. 282, that "the old royal race of the Turkish Sultans of Turkistan still held subordinate authority at Samrkand"!! In no History is the chief of Bilāsā-ghūn ever styled Sulṭān, which was the title of the head of the Afrāsiyābi dynasty.

So the upshot of all this is that the Afrāsiyābī dynasty is "a mistake," and yet they are said to have reigned at three different capitals—Bilāsā-ghūn, Almāligh, and Samrkand, and also to have "held sway at Kashgar," to be "descendants of Afrasiab," also "Lion Uighurs" [Ī-ghūrs], and of "the old royal race of Turkish Sulfāns," and yet also "Karluks." What a tissue of mistakes and inconsistencies have we here! See also the note on Koshluk farther on.

I have already alluded to some of these statements in my account of the Afrāsiyābī dynasty.

Mr. Howorth's latest theory [Geog. Mag. July, 1878] is that "Kenchak," which Mr. Schuyler has "identified" with Merke, "seems to mark the site of the famous capital of the Kara Khitai, Balasaghun, which has been the subject of much controversy!"

With respect to the situation of Almāligh, I have found some scanty particulars, which fix its position tolerably clearly. On the occasion of Timūr's moving into Mughalistān from Samrkand, in 791 H., he crossed the Siḥūn at Tāsh-kand, and reached the Issi-Kol——i. e. Issigh-Kol—where he was joined by the troops which had moved from Andigān thither. Having remained there for a time to perfect his arrangements, the force set out by the 'Uḥbah or Pass of Arjatū or Irjatū, plundering and slaughtering the enemy on both sides of its route, until, having passed Almāligh, it crossed the river Ilîh—i—by swimming its horses, and reached the Karā-tāl, &c., and no river Chū is at all referred to. The Karā-tāl river rises about twenty or twenty-five miles west of Alten-imel [the Altān

### Khurāsān also, used to send them tribute; and, upon

Ī-mil?] of Col. Walker's map, in Lat. 44° 10′, Long. 78° 10′, and falls into the Tin-ghiz, or Din-ghiz, or Lake Bālkash. So Ālmāligh is to be looked for, or rather its site, to the west of the river-Ilih, and nearer Almāti than "New Khulja."

In looking for sites of such places, it appears to me that sufficient allowance is not made for the physical changes which may have taken place during six centuries. In one great tract of country, in particular, as I shall presently show, a vast desert has existed for some centuries, where, previously, many flourishing cities stood; but the sites even of the cities of Bilāsā-ghūn, Kayālik, Bīsh-bāligh, and Ālmāligh, were entirely unknown upwards of three centuries since. Landmarks bave disappeared, and hence people look elsewhere than in this desert for lost cities, in many instances. I may also again mention here that our author's Kabālik——at page 154 is incorrect. The copyists of the different MSS. wrote  $\psi$  for  $\psi$ —and such an error has occurred in other Histories than his carelessly copied. The city's name is properly  $\psi$  according to other authorities, and the place certainly lay south of the Ulugh Tāgh, or Thianshan mountains, but near them.

I will now give a few particulars as to what the Muhammadan authors, and some old travellers say, respecting the geography of the parts herein referred to.

In Astley's Collection Bish-Bāligh———is said to be 26° W. of Pekin, and rather more than 44° N. of the equator, and about a degree N. of Turfān——ib——while Karā-Kuram is said to be 10° W. from Pekin, and about the same distance as Bish-Bāligh N. of the line. The Ī-ghūr country formerly seems to have included the provinces of Turfān and Khāmil, or at least the middle portion near Turfān, within eight or nine leagues of which was their capital called Ho-chew by the Chinese, but, as previously mentioned, the mountain [range] of Karā-Kuram was about the centre of it. Whether Ho-chew is Bish-Bāligh—which was a well known place long after the Mughal invasion—or whether the last was another capital to the N. of Turfān, as Gaubil mentions, is difficult to say. The Ī-ghūrs were masters of a portion of the adjacent parts of Tāttāry to the sources of the Īrtīsh and Mount Altai [Altān mountains], as were the Karghīz.

Abū-l-Fidā says Bılāsā-ghūn is near Fārāb or Utrār—a totally different place from Fār-yāb in the territory of Balkh, but Abū-l-Fidā blunders often. The authors quoted in Astley say the correct name is Yalāsā-ghūn, or "Good Town," not Bilāsā-ghūn, and that B and Y in the Arabic are easy to mistake. This is true, but the mistake here is their own. Bilāsā-ghūn is also said to be "still in existence [its ruins?] in Little Bukharia—Ķichik Bukhārā, or the western part of the Kāshghar territory, as at present constituted—near the borders of the Greater Bukharia and the country of the Ķālīmāks, and one of the principal entrances on that side into Great Bukharia." Others again say that it was near Kāshghar, as Darwesh Aḥmad, quoted by Prof. Grigoreif, also says, and some, more to the N., near Utrār or Fārāb, in Turkistān.

Others again seem to consider that the town which appears in some maps as "Turkistān"—a very unusual, and I think impossible name for either a town or city, but not for a country—is no other than Bilāsā-ghūn, but this cannot be right. I shall have something to say about this town of "Turkistān" farther on Some call the former place "Tūrān which gives name to the country."

That portion of the Great Desert of Kob, or Shamo, W. of the Kara Muran

several occasions, they had made captive and carried off Musalmans from those tracts.

or Hohang-Ho, is said to be called Karā-Khitāe—because the Khitā-İs dwelt so long in that part, and herein the empire of the Kin, or Western Lyau of the Chinese writers, appears to have been founded. It is farther cast and farther south than what appears in some recent maps as "Karakhitai."

Another writer plainly states that "Fārāb is a city of Turkistān between Chāch, i. e. Chāj or Tāsh-kand and Bilāsā-ghūn, both of which are cities of Turkistān, and that it is the name of the territory likewise in which it is situated," and, farther, that the word signifies "lands cultivated by artificial irrigation by means of rivers or kārīzes—subterranean canals—in distinction to lands irrigated naturally by rain." According to this, Bilāsā-ghūn must be looked for to the northward of Tāsh-kand.

It may also be well to mention what the Oriental geographers mean by the term Māwarā-un-Nahr, the Great Bukharia of old European writers and travellers. The term is neither "equivalent to Doub," nor to "Mesopotamia," but simply "that which is beyond the river," i. e. the Jiḥūn, Āmūiah, or Oxus—Trans-Oxus. It has the territory of Tāsh-kand on the N., Balkh on the S., Khwārazm on the W., Farghānah on the E., and Samrkand is its capital.

"Farghānah, which is the name of a country [not of the city of Khokand as it is made to appear in Col. Walker's map], is bounded on the W. by Samrkand and its district, E. by Kāshghar, S. by the Kohistān of Badakhshān, and, although the parts bounding it to the N., previous to the ninth century of the H., were in a flourishing condition, and contained places such as Almālīgh——Alamātū or Almātū——and Bānkī——otherwise Tarāz——il—[no Bilāsā-ghūn is referred to], yet now, through the passage of the Uzbaks, it has become desolated. The river Sīhūn, also called the Āb-i-Khujand, flows through it, enters Turkistān, and becomes lost in the sands." There is no mention whatever made of the 'Aral Lake or Sea in the works I am quoting.

"In the territory of Farghānah there are seven large and small cities, five to the S., and two to the N. of the Siḥūn:—I. Andigān [Andijān of the 'Arabs], a very strongly fortified place; 2. Ūsh; 3. Marghaniān [sic Marghilān of the maps], seven farsakhs W. of Andigān; 4. Khujand, N. of which is a mountain called Mughal-Tāgh in which much fīrūzah and other valuable things are found; 5. Akhshi, on the N. side of the Siḥūn [the Aksi of maps], which, with the exception of Andigān, is the largest place in Farghānah; 6. Shāsh, a very old place, now [old] Tāsh-kand. It is also called Chāch and Chāj [incorrectly Jaj]; 7. Ūz-gand." Khokand is not mentioned, it being a comparatively modern place.

Farghanah, Mawara-un-Nahr, and Turkistan, are all separate territories.

"Turkistān is mostly in the sixth climate, including Fārāb, a small territory, the chief town of which is called Guzar—كان ; but some say it is the name of a city above Shāsh or Chāj, and near unto Bilādsā-ghūn—Wicht plausibly be supposed to be from bilād, only it is the plural form of balad, which means city, town, country.

Jund, or, correctly, Jand, was once a great city, but it has been in ruins for over 300 years.

### With the exception of the Sultans of Ghur and Bamian,

The territory of KASHGHAR is bounded N. by the mountains of Mughalistan [the Ulugh-Tagh of the Turks-See note on the Turks, p. 875, and Thianshan of the maps], out of which several rivers flow. Its W. boundary is also a range of mountains which shoot out from the mountains of Mughalistan towards the S.-Bilaur [also written Billaur] Tagh-the name of which range does not require "to be abolished," since it has been known from the time of 'Abd-ullah-i-Khurdadbih down to Khushhal, Khatak, Afghan, and to modern times—and from these also issue rivers which flow from W. to E.; and the whole of the country of Kashghar and Khutan lies at the skirts of these two ranges of mountains. The E. and S. boundary is a great sahrā or steppe—a plain, not naturally a desert—which is wholly jungle and wilderness, and hillocks of moving sand. In ancient times there were several cities in this and -تور-Tur-تور-and tract, the names of three of which are Katak or Katuk-قار Lob-Kasal لوب كتل probably Lob-Katal, between Turfan and Khutan; but they have been all buried in the sands. There was another called Fulad-Sumbut its fate is not recorded. It was a well-known place in the Chingiz Khān's time.

YĀRĶAND, in former times, was a great city, but it had greatly decayed, and was becoming desolate, when Mirzā Abū-Bikr made it his capital. It soon after had 12,000 gardens in and around the city, which was surrounded by a wall thirty cubits high. The people of this part of the territory are [when the author wrote] divided into four classes, the Tumān, cultivators or peasantry, the Ķūchin, or soldiery, the Ī-māķs, or nomads, and the officials.

<u>Khutan</u> was one of the most celebrated of cities and territories, "but of that rose, naught but the thorn remains at present." 'Abū-l-Fidā says it was a city of the <u>I-gh</u>ūrs. In former times, before the desert just mentioned approached so near it, <u>Ch</u>în could be reached in fourteen days, the whole way was inhabited and cultivated, and one or two persons could pass to and fro with safety, without being obliged to join <u>Kāfilahs</u>, but now [when the author wrote], on account of the <u>Kālīmāks—Europeanized</u> "Kalmuks"—the route is closed, and that which is now followed is 100 stages. Vast quantities of yashab or yashm, also called bejādah—jade or jasper—is found in the rivers of <u>Kāshgh</u>ar and <u>Kh</u>utan, and in those territories also the camel of the desert, which may be tamed, and the kūṭāsh, kaṭās, or ghajz-yāū [the Bos Grunniens. See page 68, and note 4].

The territory of Kāshghar [Little Bukharia] appears the same precisely as that called Mangalī-Sūyah—منكل سويه—which signifies "towards or facing the sun—sunny-side"—the boundaries of which are thus given. "On the N. Issigh-Kol, S. Jirjān—and Sārigh-i-Ī-ghūr—and Vārbokor or Tārbogor—and Vārbokor or Tārbogor—and Jākashmān or Jākshmān—and Jākashmān or Jākshmān—ام طريخانه. This tract contains several cities, the greatest of which are Kāshghar and Khutan, Ūz-gand, Akhsikat or Akhsisak, Andigān, Āt-pāshi, Ak-sū, and Kosān." This may be considered the territory peculiar to the Afrāsiyābi Maliks before they again obtained possession of Samrkand and Bukhārā on the downfall of the Sāmānis.

An account of Kāshghar and other places on the Siḥūn, written by me some twenty years since, will be found in the *Journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society* for 1857. At that period a Chinese Jān-Jang or Governor General resided at Kourah near Ilih.

I now return to the history of the Gur Khans.

#### who used not to submit to them, all the rest of the Maliks

Some say the first of this dynasty assumed the title of Gür Khān before Bilāsā-ghūn was given up to him by the Afrāsiyābī Khān, but, certainly, it was not conferred upon him by Musalmāns. Its assumption is said to have taken place in 522 H. [A.D. 1128], at which time Muhammad Khān [Ahmad of some writers], who bore the title of Arsalān Khān, ruled over Māwarā-un-Nahr. See No. XVII. of the Afrāsiyābī dynasty, who, certainly, is not the person referred to as surrendering his sovereignty and capital to the Gūr Khān; and, from what follows, and what I have already stated, it is proved beyond a doubt, that there were several petty dynasties of Afrāsiyābī Khāns in Turkistān, besides the rulers of Māwarā-un-Nahr.

Als says that, at the period when the Karā-Khitā-is fought with Sultān Sanjar, the territories of Turkistān, namely, Kāshghar, Bilād-sāghūn, Ṭarāz, Khutan, and other parts besides, were in the possession of great Khāns, who were Turks, who accounted themselves of the lineage of Afrāsiyāb, and descendants of Sātuk Karachār, and that, at that time, all had become converts to Islām.

The Gür Khan, having assumed the sovereignty over the Afrasiyabi Amir of Bilāsā-ghūn and his territory, now despatched Shahnahs [Intendants] into different provinces and districts, and, after a time, his dependants and followers increasing, and growing still more flourishing, and their cattle fat [sic in MSS.], reduced the Kankulis to subjection, despatched an army towards "Kāshghar and Khutan of Turkistan, and subjected those territories." The Karlughs are also mentioned, but another division of that great tribe, not included in the one mentioned as being located on the eastern frontier of Arsalān Khān's dominions, appears to have moved, or to have been forced, farther south-west; for, about this period, or perhaps a short time previously, this portion of them had worsted the Ghuzz, and expelled them from their former pasture-lands, and compelled them to enter Chaghnanian and Khatl, the plural form of which word, Khatlan, is also applied to that district or tract of country [but Khutlan is incorrect: the first vowel is fath, not zammah], and it is also called Kol-i-Ab, which is a dependency of Badakhshān, and famous for its beautiful damsels and fine horses. See note , page 374, and note 8, page 423.

Subsequently the Gür Khān despatched a great army towards the territory of the Kirkiz to take vengeance for the treatment he had suffered there, and Bish-Bāligh was taken possession of. From thence the Gür Khān's forces were despatched towards the territory of Farghānah or Andīgān and Māwarā-un-Nahr.

The situation of the land or territory of Khirkhiz, or Kirkiz, or Kirakiz, as it is also written, has been a puzzling subject hitherto, but its situation is apparent here, more particularly if we take the description along with what is stated in the Masalik wa Mamalik, and in Ibn-Haukal. Speaking of China, the former work says:—"If one desires to proceed from the east [Chin] towards the west, by the country of the Nāemāns, the territory of Khirkhiz, the Taghar-i-Ghuzz [see note on this subject farther on], and Kimāk towards the sea, it is a journey of nearly four months. . . . The country of Tibbat lies between the land of Khirkhiz and the kingdom of Chin. Chin lies between the sea, the land of the Ghuzz and Tibbat," &c., &c.

The Sultans of Mawara-un-Nahr, "who were the father and grandfather of Sultan 'Uşman of the Afrasiyabi dynasty, also laid their heads upon the line of the Gur Khan's commands, and became his tributaries." See the dynasty of the Afrasiyabi Maliks, Nos. XIX. and XXIII.

of the confines had become subject to that race. On two

In 534 H. [A.D. 1137, but the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, contrary to several others, says in 536 H.], his troops defeated Sultān Sanjar on the frontiers of Samrkand, as already recorded at page 154; but, since that was written by me, I have elsewhere found some further particulars respecting that defeat which clear up so completely a most obscure passage in our author's account there given, that I must relate them here. The identical passage in our author referred to is as follows. "After a great part of his [Sanjar's] reign had elapsed, a body of people from Karā-Khiṭāe, from Tamghāj [see Afrāsiyābī Malik, No. XXI.], and the dependencies of Chīn, entered the confines of Karā-Kuram of Turkistān, and solicited Sultān Sanjar to assign them grazing lands; and, with the Sultān's permission, they took up their quarters on those confines, in Bilāsā-ghūn, Kabālik [Kaiālīk—Julu is the correct name], and Ālmālīk, and made those parts their grazing grounds."

In an article by Mr. H. H. Howorth, entitled "The Northern Erontagers of China: The Kara Khitai," in the Journal Ro. As. Soc. for April, 1876, p. 271, the above passage from this Translation is quoted, and its writer adds, referring to our author, "The latter author is mistaken in supposing that Turkistan was then subject to Sanjar," &c. Now, considering that Mr. Howorth is wholly dependent on foreign translations for his information on these matters, such a statement on his part, to say the least of it, is presumptuous. I need scarcely mention to those who can read the eastern Historians for themselves, that every author who has written on the subject in the Persian language agrees with our author, even the "great Raschid" himself, respecting Sultan Sanjar's suzerainty over the parts in question, as well as to his father's and grandfather's suzerainty likewise.

"When their progeny became very numerous, during the Sultan's reign, they rebelled against his authority, and fought a battle against him. Taniko of Taraz, at the nomination of Sunkam and I-mā, was at the head of the Khiṭā-is. The Sultan's forces, from a long period of inaction, and enervated by protracted ease and luxury, were unable to cope with or stand before the enemy, and were overthrown; . . . he [the Sultan] concluded a peace with them, and the pasture-lands of Turkistan and Bilāsā-ghūn, along with the cities and towns included in those frontier tracts, were left in the hands of the Khiṭā-i invaders."

The particulars I refer to, tending to throw light on the above, are, that, when Sultan Sanjar proceeded to Samrkand and dethroned Muhammad [No. XVIII, of the Afrasiyabis, a part of the Kara-Khita-is had a yurat or camping ground in that part—on the frontier—the tracts assigned them by the Sultan in former years, for our author is, by no means, mistaken, as the author of "Mongols Proper" imagines, in stating that Sanjar's authority extended as far as the confines of Turkistan, for his being at Samrkand, on this occasion, proves it, and, moreover, as mentioned at page 133, the Maliks of Turkistan. and the Afrasiyabi Amirs submitted to the authority of Alb-Arsalan, Some of the Sultan's Amirs persuaded him that Sanjar's grandfather. this was a good opportunity for seizing their flocks and herds, and driving out altogether these Karā-Khitā-is, whom they accused of contumacy. implored the Sulfan's mercy, and offered, through those Amirs, to present 5000 horses, 5000 camels, and 50,000 sheep, as a propitiatory offering to him to allow them to remain where they were. This was approved of by the Sultan, but, in the meantime, the chiefs of the tribes of those Kara-Khita-is

### or three occasions, the forces of the sovereigns of Ghūr, the

[Sunkam and I-ma apparently] fled to the urdu of the Gur Khan, whose power was a drag upon the Sultans of Turkistan [the Afrasiyabi Maliks], and represented to him that the Sultan of Khurasan had become enfeebled by the infirmities of age, and that the affairs of that country had fallen into the hands of slaves and boys, and urged the Gur Khan to wrest Mawara-un-Nahr and Khurāsān out of their hands. He accordingly put his forces in motion, and Sulfan Sanjar and his troops, despising them, moved to encounter them without concert or precaution, or caring for immensely superior numbers, thinking to overthrow them easily. Sanjar's troops however, who were but few in comparison with the enemy, were soon completely surrounded by the Karā-Khitā-İs, and Sultān Sanjar had to attempt to cut his way out with a body of 300 men. He succeeded, but he came out with only ten or fifteen In this affair 30,000 Musalmans were slain, and Taj-ud-Din Abū-l-Fath, Malik of Sijistān and Nimroz, who, with the centre, maintained his ground to the last [see page 188] was taken prisoner. The rest agrees with what our author has already stated under Sanjar's reign.

The Tārīkh-i-Alfī gives another account of the origin of the war between the Sultān and the Gūr Khān.

The Karlughiah families stationed on the frontier of Arsalan Khan's dominions had been harshly treated by him. He considered they multiplied too fast, and set overseers of his own over them to prevent them having intercourse with their wives. They endured this tyranny for a considerable time, not knowing whither to fly. At last, grown desperate, on the arrival on the frontier, which it was their duty to guard, of an immense kāfilah of traders and merchants, consisting of Turks, Khitā-is, and people from all parts to the eastward, they attacked the kāfilah, and seized all the property and effects of the merchants composing it. They then made known to them that, if they desired to get their property restored to them, they must put them in the way of finding a place beyond Arsalan Khan's dominions, provided with water and forage sufficient to enable them to subsist, as they were resolved to stay no longer under his rule. The merchants told them they knew of a tract of country well provided with what they required, sufficient for the subsistence of ten times their number, and that it lay in the territory of Bilad-saghun in The Karlughiah Turks, on this, restored the property of the Turkistān. merchants, seized their overseers, and, taking them along with them, made for the territory of Bilad-saghun, and there took up their quarters.

They were, however, in constant dread of Arsalān Khān, until the Gūr Khān, who had, by this time, arrived in that part, entered into hostilities with the ruler of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān, and the Ķārlūghtah entered into combination with him. At this juncture, Arsalān Khān, as previously mentioned, died, and Ḥasan-Tigin, who had been installed in his place by Sultān Sanjar, soon followed him. The sovereignty then devolved upon the Khākān, Maḥmūd, son of Arsalān. Shortly after, the Gūr Khān, with a vast army of Khiṭā-is and Turks, numbering, it is said, 300,000 men, advanced into Maḥmūd's territory, and began to annex it. The Gūr Khān imposed one dinār as a tax upon each house in every city he reached, but neither allowed his troops to enter the people's dwellings, nor their cultivated lands, and did not farther molest them. To such of the Maliks of Māwarā-un-Nahr as submitted, the Gūr Khān assigned a tablet of silver to be hung up at the entrance of their palaces. See Journal Ro. As. Soc., vol. v., for 1870, p. 29.

### champions of which army were the Sipah-sālār, Khar-jam

As previously mentioned, the Khākān, Maḥmūd, was defeated, and, at his urgent prayer, Sultān Sanjar prepared to succour him. Sanjar is said to have taken six months to complete his arrangements, and, in the month of Zi-Ḥijjah, 534 H., to have crossed the Jihūn with an army of 100,000 cavalry—an exaggerated number—and moved towards Samrkand. Serving in his army were the Maliks of Sistān, Ghaznin, and Ghūr, and the Ḥākims of Māzandarān.

When Sanjar reached Samrkand Mahmūd complained bitterly of the conduct of the Kārlūghiah, and the Sultān resolved to chastize them first. On becoming aware of his intention, they sought the protection of the Gūr Khān, who sent a letter to the Sultān demanding what crime was laid to the charge of the Kārlūghiah. The Sultān's reply, as may be imagined, was sufficiently haughty. The hostile forces moved to encounter each other, and, after an obstinate battle, the details of which have been already given, victory declared in favour of the Khiṭā-is, who were immensely superior in point of numbers; and the Sultān, having cut his way out with a few followers, fled to Tirmiz. The Wāli of Sīstān was taken prisoner [see page 188], and Amīr Kimāj, who had charge of the Sultān's haram, and the whole of that establishment, were also made captive.

It is stated in the Tārīkh-i-Yāfa'ī, that nearly 30,000 Musalmāns fell in this battle, and that among the slain were 4000 women. "In some other Histories it is also stated that, after the flight of the Sultān, the Turks and Khitā-īs poured into the camp and began to plunder. On their approaching the part where the haram was, Turkān Khātūn, the Sultān's chief consort, and most of the wives of the Amīrs, and the soldiery who acted a their guard, defended it against the infidels, and slew a vast number of them; and it was only after 4000 women had fallen that the rest of the haram was captured, including Turkān Khātūn. The Gūr Khān left the semales in charge of those of their own people who remained, and would not allow them to be interfered with. They were treated with honour and reverence, and, soon after, were sent back to the Sultān in Khurāsān."

Mr. H. H. Howorth has not quoted my translation quite correctly [Journal R. As. Soc. Vol. viii. p. 272]. Nowhere have I said that "At Khan was in alliance with the chief of Kara Khitai." In my note 3, to page 154, I say "Sanjar fought a battle with Āt Khān," which, as my authority related it, refers to one of the leaders of the Gūr Khān's forces. Most certainly Āt Khān was not "the dispossessed Khan" of Turkistān, nor was he the dispossessed chief of Bilāsā-ghūn, nor does Rashīd-ud-Dīn, in his Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh, anywhere state that the ruler of Karā Khitāe adopted the title of Gūr Khān—not "Ghur" Khān—"after this great battle in 525 H." because he says, [in the MSS. before me] that this battle took place in 536 H. [which began 5th August, A.D. 1141], while others make it one year, and some, two years earlier—534 H., A.D. 1139-40, and 535, A.D. 1140-41.

Amir Timūr, I also beg leave to say, never adopted the title of "Emir Timur Gur Khan," for the very significant reason that the title of the Karā-Khiṭā-i chief consists of two words while the word applied to Amir Timūr, which appears to have misled Mr. Howorth, is one and is written Gurgān, and in signification there is no connexion between them whatever.

To return to my story. After having gained this great success, the Gur Khān overran great part of Turkistān and Māwarā-un-Nahr, acquired predominance over those countries, and made their rulers tributaries. He in-

# [cham], and Muhammad-i-Khar-nak-on whom be peace!

creased his forces, and his war materials accumulated; and, shortly after, he despatched an army under the command of his general, Arbaz—y, |—[this name is also mentioned by our author: "Irnuz" is not correct] towards the Khwārazm territory, in order to sack and devastate the rustāķs [a word particularly applied in Khwārazm to villages or collections of huts or felt tents, in distinction from the words dīh and karyah used in 'Irāk and Khurāsān]. His troops created great havoc, and Itsiz, Sulţān of Khwārazm, despatched an envoy to the Gūr Khān to sue for peace, and agreed to pay allegiance to him for the future, and a yearly tribute of 30,000 dīnārs, besides cattle, flocks, and other things. On this accommodation having been entered into, Arbaz retired; and soon after, in 537 H. [A.D. 1142-3], the Gūr Khān died. He was of the Mānī [Manichean] religion himself, but his wife was a Christian.

It is stated in Alfi that he died in the month of Rajab of the following year. He was succeeded by his wife, as no son remained to him, but some authors distinctly state that his daughter succeeded. To judge, however, from the events which followed, it is very improbable that the daughter then succeeded her father, because the name of the husband of the female sovereign who ruled so long is given, and it is scarcely probable that the Gūr Khān's wife married again, without some mention of it being recorded, nor was it the custom, I believe, for widows to re-marry.

The wife, whose name is not given, dying some time after her succession, but without any date being mentioned, was succeeded by the Gür Khān's daughter, Konīk or Konayik Khātūn, but whether the late sovereign was her mother has not transpired. The word is somewhat uncertain in some works, but I put the most trustworthy reading first upon all occasions, and that used by the majority of writers. It is written which, according to whether g or k be used, may be spelt in various ways, and which may be Koyūnik, and koyūnik, a

In the seventh year of I-yal-Arsalān, Khwārazm Shāh's reign [557 or 558 H.], because he was not punctual in the payment of his tribute, as stipulated by his father, his dominions were assailed by the Gūr Khān's forces. The Sultān sent forward, in advance, Ghā-īr Beg, the Kārlūgh, a native of Māwarā-un-Nahr, with an advance force, towards the Āmūlah, but he was defeated and taken captive before I-yal-Arsalān could come to his support, and the latter fell sick and returned to Khwārazm where he died in the month of Rajab of the same year.

On the death of I-yal-Arsalān, there were two claimants to the throne—his two sons, Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Sulṭān Shāh, and 'Imād-ud-Dīn, Takish Khān, who was the eldest son; but he, not being sufficiently powerful to oust the former, who, with his mother—a strong-minded woman—was in possession of the capital, and being at that time absent in charge of the territory of Jand, which his father had taken from Kamāl-ud-Dīn, Arsalān Khān, son of Maḥmūd, and annexed, entered the territory of the third Gūr Khān, and sought her help to recover his patrimony. She agreed to aid him, on the stipulation that, on his being put in possession of Khwārazm, he should pay over a certain amount of treasure, and a yearly tribute afterwards.

A large army was accordingly despatched to support Sultan Takish, and put him in possession, under the command of her husband, Farma or Farmae,

#### -had caused the overthrow of the forces of Khita, and, in

by name, فرماي or فرماي who conducted the affairs of her empire. In the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh he is styled Farmāe Akā. Sultān Shāh and his mother fled, and Takish was put in possession of the capital, in Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 568 H. [the end of December A.D. 1172].

It is evident, from this, that this female Gür Khān must have reigned a considerable time, since she was, at this period, living, and lived for a considerable time subsequently, for, not long after, the Karā-Khiṭā-i ruler sent to demand more tribute from Sulṭān Takish than had been previously stipulated, and, her envoy having behaved in a manner it was impossible to overlook, Takish put him to death, notwithstanding he was one of the most distinguished of the Khiṭā-is.

On this, hostilities broke out between Takish and the Gür Khān, which the former's brother, Sultān Shāh, taking advantage of, left Ghür, where he then was, and hastened to the presence of the Gür Khān, and sought assistance from her. This was in 569 H. Our author distinctly states that the Ghūriān Sultāns treated Sultān Shāh with honour, but plainly refused to aid him against Takish with whom they were in alliance [see page 245, and also note 3, page 239, para 2], and our author was certainly well acquainted with Ghūriān affairs generally. Mr. Howorth [Journal Ro. As. Soc], in the article before referred to, quotes Visdelu, but, if Visdelu ever styled Mu'ayyıd-ub-Dīn—which title signifies "The Aider of the Faith"—the Ā'īnah-dār, or Mirror-Bearer, by the impossible and meaningless names of "Umayyid i Aimakdur," the value of his authority is clearly indicated.

The assistance sought by Sultan Shāh was granted by her [the Gūr Khān] in 574-5 H. [A.D. 1178-9], and again Farmāe was sent with an army, but the success was only partial. The particulars will be found in note 2, page 239, and note 3, page 246. According to the authorities from which I take this account, the female Gūr Khān now began to violate the laws and ordinances of the state, and to abandon herself to sensual desires, until matters went so far that the late Gūr Khān's brother, and the chief men in the empire resolved to rid themselves of her, and they put her to death along with her paramour.

It is very evident, from this, that she must have reigned many years, for, from the date of the first Gūr Khān's death, viz. 537 H., to the year in which she rendered aid to Sultān Shāh, 574-5 H., is no less than thirty-eight years nearly, and therefore, had this been his wife, she must have been a very old woman, and her desires must have cooled. It appears to me, therefore—although all the Musalmān writers, without exception, mention but three persons, two males and a female, as composing this dynasty, which lasted altogether ninety-five years, and has greater credit for its mighty power than it is entitled to—that the first Gūr Khān must have been succeeded first, by his wife, and then by his daughter, Konik or Konayik. The date of her being put to death is not given, and, I fear, not to be discovered. Having put Konik or Konayik Khātūn to death, they [the chief personages in the empire] chose one of the two brothers of the first Gūr Khān, who were then alive, to succeed her, and the other, who was wont to embarrass and obstruct the affairs of the empire, was passed over.

Some authors state that it was the brother of the late Gūr Khān—named Komān or Kūmān—who accused her of living a dissolute life and thus brought about her destruction, and that he became the Gūr Khān himself.

On his-Komān, or Kūmān-teloping established in the sove-

### [one of] those battles, the Sipah-sālār, Khar-jam, had

reignty, he sent out Shahnahs [Intendants] into different parts, and appointed persons, with due discrimination, according to their different capacities, to various offices.

Sultan Takish, Khwarazm Shah, had, on his deathbed, enjoined his son and successor, on no account to embroil himself with the Gür Khān if he desired to preserve the integrity and safety of his dominions, because, he said, he was a strong barrier between very powerful enemies, which should by no means be broken down. This refers to the Chingiz Khan, who, at this period, was becoming very powerful. When Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, came to the throne, he continued for some time to transmit the tribute regularly as before, and friendship continued to subsist between him and the Gur Khān; and, when Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Mubammad-i-Sam, Sultan of Ghūr, became hostile to Sultan Muhammad, and invaded his dominions in 601 H., the Gur Khan despatched 10,000 men to the Sulfan's assistance under Bāniko of Tarāz [see pages 474 to 481 for our author's account of it]; and before the gate of Andkhūd [Indākhūd] the Khitā-is fought an engagement with the Ghūris, and overthrew them. On this occasion, Sultan 'Usman of Samrkand, the last of the Afrasiyabis, was present, as a vassal, with the Khita-i army: he had not, at that time, withdrawn his allegiance from the Gür Khan.

Now it was that Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, being successful in all his affairs, considered it time to throw off the yoke of the Gūr Khān, to pay tribute to whom, as an infidel, he considered a blot upon his sovereignty, more especially since the insolence of the Karā-Khitā-is had reached such a pitch, that their envoy, who is styled Tonshi or Tūnshi—ترشي—and, by some, Tūshi—ترشي—probably Tāishi, was his title [See also page 732, and note at page 866], presumed to seat himself upon the throne along with the Sultān. He accordingly withheld the tribute for two or three years, and manifested great tardiness in paying it. At length, the Gūr Khān despatched, as his envoy, his Wazīr, Muḥammad-i-Tāe [الله المعالمة ا

When the Karā-Khitā-I envoy reached Khwarazm [the site of this famous capital has for centuries been known as Ūrganj-i-Kubri-ورگنم کبری at which place the Russians have erected a fortress to protect their "trade routes." Khiwak, anglicized Khiva, as it appears in Col. Walker's last map is not What appears there as "Kunia Urganj," correctly, Kuhnahold, ancient-Urganj, is the place], the Sultan had made his preparations for an expedition into Khifchak [our author, at page 254, says the Sultan's mother was the daughter of Kadr Khan of Khifchak-other authors style her tribe Ūrāniān-but he does not refer, in the least, to this expedition; and, at page 260, calls the Gür Khān, by mistake evidently, Kulij Khān-unless Kulij was another of his titles, or an error for Komān-of Khitāe, whose general was Bantko of Taraz], and was unwilling, at the same time, to disobey His late father's last request, and, moreover, did not wish to give the Kara-Khitā-is a pretext or an opportunity for molesting his dominions during his absence in Khischāk, while he selt it a disgrace even to acknowledge his liability to pay this tribute. On this account he did not open his lips on the subject, but left the affair in the hands of his mother—the celebrated Turkan Khatun, whose subsequent misfortunes are so pitiable—and set out on his expedition into Khifchāk, the particulars respecting which expedition are not related by any author with whom I am acquainted.

### attained martyrdom.9 The last of their armies which

Our author has not given any details respecting these events in his account of the Ghūris, nor has he referred to any but the last person here mentioned.

Turkan Khatun directed that the envoys should be received with befitting honour; and the annual tribute due was made over to them. A number of distinguished persons of the capital were likewise despatched, along with Mahmud-i-Tae, to the presence of the Gur Khan, to apologize for the delay which had occurred, and they were charged with expressions of homage and fealty as heretofore. Mahmud-i-Tae, however, "had witnessed the lofty bearing and stubbornness of Sultan Muhammad, knew his humour, and fathomed his thoughts, that he considered himself, in power and magnificence—he was master of some of the richest parts of Western Asia, west of the Āmūfah—the superior of the Gur Khan, and that he considered it beneath him to show humility or flattery to any human being, being satisfied, in his own mind, that the Maliks of the world were his vassals, and that, in fact, fortune itself was his servant." Mahmud-i-Tae represented these circumstances to the Gur Khān, and assured him that, after this time, the Sultan would certainly never pay him tribute again, and, consequently, the Sultan's emissaries were not treated with the usual respect or consideration.

Sultān Muḥammad, having returned to the capital of his dominions, successful from his Khifchāk expedition, began to make preparations for his campaign for liberating Māwarā-un-Nahr from the yoke of the Karā-Khiṭā-is. He had been constantly receiving communications in secret, with promises of support, from Bukhārā particularly, as far back as 600 H., and from Sultān 'Uṣmān of Samrkand, and other rulers of Māwarā-un-Nahr to whom the protracted yoke of the Gūr Khāns was affliction, and who groaned under the exactions, the rapacity, and the injustice, of the Gūr Khān's representatives, who had begun to act contrary to previous usages. The Sultān, accordingly, marched an army to Bukhārā then held by an upstart, named Sanjar Malik, and sent messages inviting the rulers above referred to to join him in his proposed enterprise. They were well satisfied to accept the Sultān's offers; and, under the determination of commencing hostilities against the Gūr Khān, in the following year, he returned from Bukhārā. This was in 606 H.

Kojlak-also called Koshlak by some writers, and "Kashli, otherwise Koshluk" by Yāfa'i, and Kashlū Khān-i-Sunkar, the Tatār, by our authorson of the sovereign of the Nāemāns, after the death of his father, and dispersion of his tribes, had, some time before, sought shelter with the Gür Khān from the power of the Chingiz Khān. He had entertained rebellious ideas towards his protector, previous to Sultan 'Uşman's becoming a partizan of Sultan Muhammad, and now that some of the Gur Khan's own nobles likewise, in the eastern parts of his territory, had rebelled against his authority [occasioned, no doubt, by the Chingiz Khān's proceedings], and, on the Chingiz Khān's [first] expedition against Khitā [not against the dominions of the Karā-Khitā-is], Kojlak pretended to the Gur Khan that, if permitted to do so, he would go and collect his wandering Nāemāns, from whom he had been so long separated, and who had been too long dispersed like sheep without a shepherd, and would bring them to his assistance, that he had many of his tribes at and around I-mil, at Bish-Baligh, and in the limits of Kaialik or Kaiāligh who wanted a leader, and that, since the Chingiz Khān was then occupied in the country of Khita, he could carry out his plans with facility.

#### crossed the Jihūn and passed over towards Khurāsān was

The Gür Khān took the bait, conferred great honours upon him, and gave him the title of Khān—Kojlak Khān.

Kojlak having departed, the Gür Khān, when too late, repented of having let him go, and sent out commands to have him recalled, but without effect. Kojlak assembled around him all the scattered Nāemān tribe, and his fame became noised abroad: all, who were in any way connected with him in the Gür Khān's forces, also joined him, and he found himself at the head of a large army. On reaching Ī-mil, and Kaiālik, he was joined by Tūk-Tughān, more respecting whom will be found farther on, the Amir or Chief of the Makrit Mughals of the Kaiāt division [see note 4, page 268], who had fled on hearing of the power of the Chingiz Khān; and, in concert, they began to plunder and devastate the country; and the Tūmāts, another Mughal tribe, dwelling near the frontier of Khiṭā [on the S.E.], also joined in the outbreak. The Chingiz Khān had to despatch troops against them, the details respecting which, not being connected with the fate of the Gūr Khān, I reserve for their proper place farther on.

Kojlak, having now become sufficiently powerful, showed open hostility to his benefactor, the Gür Khān, having previously instigated Sultān Muhammad to attack his dominions on the side of the Siḥūn or river of Fanākat. Among others, to whom the Gür Khān had despatched messengers with instructions for Kojlak's arrest, was Sultān 'Uṣmān of Samrkand. He had asked the Gür Khān to bestow upon him a daughter in marriage, and had been refused; and this had completely alienated 'Uṣmān from his cause. He took no notice of the message, and forthwith entered into communication with Sultān Muḥammad, acknowledged his suzerainty, read the Khutbah for him, and began to coin the money in his name.

The Gür Khān, on becoming aware of this state of affairs, despatched a force of 30,000 men against 'Uṣmān, and again reduced Samrkand, but did not deem it advisable to injure 'Uṣmān further, as he looked upon Samrkand as the treasury of his empire, and, as Kojlak was acquiring great power, and making head in the other direction [i.e. in the E. and S.E.], and molesting his territories, the army was withdrawn from Samrkand, and sent against Kojlak, who made an attempt to capture Bilāsā-ghūn; but he did not succeed, and, subsequently, was overthrown, details respecting which will be found farther on.

There is considerable discrepancy with regard to these last events in connexion with the Karā-Khiṭā-is and the Khwārazmi Sulṭān, since it is stated by several authors, as already given in the notes on that dynasty, that the Gūr Khān's troops appeared before Samrkand, and assaulted it several times without success, and were finally recalled to operate against Kojlak. This, however, seems to refer to the defeat of the force sent by the Gūr Khān against Samrkand a second time, after the victory over Bān ko, narrated farther on, while the former happened before the Gūr Khān's defeat by the Khwārazmis, as soon as he heard of 'Uṣmān's disaffection, as the Tārikh-i-Jahān-gir confirms.

Immediately on the withdrawal of the Gūr Khān's army to attack Kojlak, Sultān Muḥammad, who had been waiting his opportunity, now marched to Samrkand with an army. 'Uṣmān came forth to meet him, ceded his territory to him, and Turtāah, a relative of the Sultān's mother, was located there, as the Sultān's lieutenant. The Sultān and his troops, accompanied by

#### that which fought an engagement with the Sultan-i-Ghazi,

Sultān 'Uṣmān, and his available forces, probably, commenced his march to invade the Gūr Khān's dominions. Having crossed the Siḥūn at Fanākat, which is also called the Jiḥūn—i. e. great river—of Fanākat, by a bridge of boats, the Khwārazmi army advanced until it reached the Ṣaḥrā—plain or steppe—of I-lāṣḥ, in the territory of Tarāz, which is also called Talās, and Talāṣḥ, situated beyond the frontier of Shāsh [now Tāṣhkand], on the side nearest to Tutkistān.

In Col. Walker's map this place is called "TURKISTAN (HAZRET)," and this gives me a clue to the probable reason how it obtained this name. Near it is the tomb of the Khwājah, Aḥmād, a Musalmān saint of Turkistān, and, as the word Ḥaẓrat is applied to saints as well as to capitals, such as "Ḥaẓrat-i-Dihlī," or "Ḥaẓrat Ḥusain"—this place which sprung up near it, as Ṭarāz declined, became known as the Mazār-i-Ḥaẓrat-i-Turkistān—the Tomb of the Saint of Turkistān, but Mazār, having been, by some means, dropped, Ḥazṛat-i-Turkistān, Europeanized into "Turkistan (Hazret)," has been the result. Ṭarāz, in its day, was a large place, but was ruined, like many others, by the Ūzbak inroads centuries since, as already stated.

Having reached the plain of I-lāsh—also written I-lāmish—Bāniko, who held that territory as his appanage, and was the leader of the Gūr Khān's troops, and who was then at Tarāz awaiting them, issued forth to encounter the Khwārazmis; and, on the 22nd—some say the 7th—of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 607 H. [12th of Sept. A.D. 1210], a battle was fought in which the Karā-Khiṭā-is were completely overthrown, and Bāniko wounded and taken prisoner.

For the remainder of the events which followed see my notes to the Khwārazmī dynasty, page 262, note 1, note 4, page 900, on the Afrāsiyābī Maliks, and other details farther on.

Surgeon-Major Bellew, who turns the Gür Khān into "Gorkhan," after previously stating that "a Khan or Ruler of Afrásiyáb descent" applied to "Gorkhan of the Kará Khitáy," immediately after turns "Gorkhan" into an "Uighúr," and his army into "Uighúrs" as well; and makes Khwáhrizm [where does the k come from in Khwārazm?] Sháh "defeat the Uighúr army," and capture "Atrar," in 620 H., four years after the Chingiz Khān captured Bukhārā, and more than thirteen years after the defeat of Bānīko of Tarāz and the army of Karā Khiṭā-is, which took place in the third month of 607 H.

"Gorkhan" is then "deposed by the Shuncar Tartar [I wonder what sort of animal a "Shuncar Tartar is] Koshluk," who "destroys the Uighur empire." A little further on [p. 133] we have the same "Koshluk"—though probably unknown to the Doctor—made "chief of the Nayman tribe of Christians" who "was a Budhist"! Then we are told that "Gorkhan, now ninety-two years of age, at once took the field, recovered Atrar," &c., and then that "Koshluk"—the "Budhist Christian Nayman," and "Shuncar Tartar"—"captured Gorkhan, whom he consigned to an honourable captivity, in which he died two years later aged ninety-five"!

For an account of these events see page 260.

The Doctor, besides making "Uighurs" of them, has skilfully turned all the Gür Khāns into one "Gorkhan," and the period, during which the Karā Khiṭā-İ dynasty continued, into the years of the life of his one "venerable Gorkhan, 95 years old"!

### Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Sām; and, when the

A few lines further on the real I-ghūr sovereign, and successor of a long line of rulers, is turned into "another Budhist chief, Aydy Cút, Tártar," who "had risen to power at Bálásaghún"!

To the "History of Kashghar" above referred to, is appended a note, signed by Sir T. D. Forsyth, K.C.S.I., C.B., which states that "no one has gone into the History of Eastern Turkistan from the earliest times with such deep and careful research as Dr. Bellew. He has spent many months in reading voluminous Persian and Turki manuscripts, &c., &c."

The History in question only came under my notice since this account was written, or I should have referred to many other errors. I merely do so now to correct a few of them, for history unless correct is worse than useless—nothing can be more pernicious, because it misleads.

I may also add that the Rauşat-us-Şafā, which the writer refers to in his list of authorities, is quite correct in its statements, and agrees with other writers.

Before closing these remarks, I must say a few words on "the powerful dynasty of Kara Khitae," which is said to have "revived on a small scale when destroyed by Gingis Khan [I always imagined that Kojlak and Sultān Muḥammad destroyed it before the Chingiz Khān's advance westward, at least the Oriental writers say so, the "great Raschid" included]," and which Visdelu is said to have made such an incredibly ridiculous statement about [See Mr. II. H. Howorth's article in the J. R. As. Soc., before referred to], that the "Kara Khitae should have traversed Khurasan and the wastes of Central Persia, and found their way into Kerman without a hint from the Persian historians. Nor can we conjecture a reason for such a march, nor why he [the Gūr Khān is referred to] should have returned again into Turkistan if it had been made"! Here again is confusion worse confounded.

One of the Persian authors whose work, from a foreign translation, Mr. Howorth so often quotes, but whose name I will not at present mention, at the close of his account of this dynasty, adds: "The Gūr Khān, having been seized by Kojlak, in one or two years died; and, since the period of decay in the affairs, and the regression of the fortunes of that dynasty came about, that person, who was the captive of a prison [evidently referring to the brother of the third Gūr Khān], became the Amīr and Khān of that tribe or people, and the Gūr Khān of the grave of the house, home, and possessions of that race [a play upon the words gūr, also written gor, a grave, and khān wa mān, house, home, &c.], and his tribe became scattered and dispersed."

About the time in question, and subsequently, several persons of the race found their way into India, and some of the great nobles, mentioned in the preceding Section of this translation, were Kara-Khiṭā-is. In the reign of Uktāe also, Jai-Timūr of the Karā-Khiṭā-i tribe or people held the government of Khwārazm, and, subsequently, Māzandarān was added to his government.

The Karā-Khiţā-is therefore were not so utterly destroyed, but "the older and younger dynasty," as they are fancifully styled by Mr. Howorth, had no connexion whatever. Burāk the Ḥājib, a native of the Karā-Khiṭāe territory, and a relative of the leader of the Gūr Khān's troops, taken prisoner in the great battle in which they were overthrown by the Khwārazmī Sulṭān, had became a convert to the Musalmān faith, entered the service of the Sulṭān, and rose to the rank and office of a chamberlain.

period of the sovereignty of that Sultan-i-Ghāzi elapsed, and Sultan Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, acquired sway over the territories of Turkistān, Bāniko of Tarāz fought a battle with him, was defeated, and taken prisoner, and, at the hand of Sultan Muḥammad, embraced the Muḥammadan faith.

Trustworthy persons have related in this manner, that Bānīko of Tarāz came out victorious in forty-five battles over sovereigns of his own time, and no one [ever] defeated him [before]. On the third occasion, Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, made a dash upon the equipage of the Khitā-is, and captured the whole of it; and Kashlū Khān-

Fanākatī and Alfī say that Burāk and his brother, Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, Ḥamīd-i-Būr, came into Khwārazm, with others, on the part of the Gūr Khān, in the reign of Sultān Takish, to collect the tribute, and were treated so well, and liked their reception so much, that they remained there, and became Musalmāns, and rose in the Sultān's service.

Some ten years afterwards, when the Khwarazmi empire had been overturned by the irruption of the Mughals, and Sultan Jalal-ud-Din was fighting against them, his brother, Ghiyāş-ud-Din, Ak Sultan, was in Kirman, the subordinate sovereignty of which his father had conferred upon him, Burāk, the chamberlain, joined him with some Karā-Khitā-is, his own private followers, and some of the late Sultan's dispersed soldiery. After Ghiyas-ud-Din, Āk Sultān, had been deseated in an attempt upon Fars in 620-621 H., Burāk, aggrieved on some account, left him, and set out for Hindustan, accompanied by some other Khwārazmi leaders, to seek service with Sultan I-val-timish, by way of Kich and Mukran. On the way he was attacked near Jirast by the governor of Gawashir, on the part of Ghiyas-ud-Din, Ak Sultan, near the eastern frontier of Kirman, but chanced to defeat his assailant, through a party of Turks having deserted the latter during the fight. Burāk now resolved to take advantage of the distracted state of the empire, and set up for himself; and succeeded, by treachery and fraud, in gaining possession of Kirman. length, in order to secure his own safety from the Mughals, he sent the head of his master and benefactor's son to Uktae, son of the Chingiz Khan, who confirmed him in the government of Kirman, subject, of course, to the Mughals. Burāk held it eleven years, and it passed to his descendants. Farther details will be found at page 283, and note 9, and page 295.

Burāk was in no way related to the Gūr Khāns, and was a mere successful adventurer. How therefore can his rule over Kirmān be possibly construed into a "revival of the Kara Khitae dynasty on a small scale," without noticing the rest of the above grotesque statement as to the Gūr Khān's travels?

It may just as well be asserted that the dynasty of the Karā-Khiṭā-i "revived on a small scale" in Khwārazm, at Dihli, or at Mauşil, for the Atā-Bak of Mauşil was a Turk of Karā-Khitāe, as well as Jai-Timūr, and Sulṭān I-yal-timish.

<sup>1</sup> See note 8, page 261.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See note <sup>1</sup> to page 262, para. 8, page 264.

i-Sankur, the Tattār, fought a battle with the Gūr Khān, took him prisoner, and the dominion of the Khitā-is came to a termination, and passed away.

ACCOUNT OF THE OUTBREAK OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN
THE MUGHAL—ON WHOM BE GOD'S CURSE!

[The author begins here by mentioning the sayings of the Prophet, Muḥammad, with respect to the portents betokening the end of the world, that they would be observed about the year 610 H.; but, as the world has not yet terminated, I need merely refer to them with respect to the Ghūrī Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Bahā-ud-Dīn, Sam, whose assassination is considered by the author to be the first of those signs.]

The martyrdom of the Sultān-i-Ghāzi, Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Sām—may he rest in peace!—happened in the year 602 H.; and he was the monarch who became the last of the just Sultāns, and the last of the conquering Bādshāhs. His sovereignty was a barrier against the troubles of the end of the world, and the appearance of the portents of the judgment-day. According to the indication of these sayings [of the Prophet, Muḥammad], in the same year in which that victorious Bādshāh was martyred, the gates of sedition, war, and tumult, were opened, and, in this same year, the Chingiz Khān, the Mughal, rose up in the kingdoms of Chīn and Tamghāj, and commenced to rebel; and in all books it is written that the first signs of the end of time are the outbreak of the Turks.

A number of trustworthy persons, on whose statements reliance may be placed, have related on this wise, that the name of the father of this <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, the accursed, was the Tattār, Tamur-<u>chi</u>, and that he was the Mihtar [Chief] of the Mughal tribes, and ruler over his People.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Tamghāj is the name of a territory of Turkistān, according to the old geographers, and Tamghāj Khān is the title or name of one of the Afrāsiyābī Maliks [see No. XXI.], but Tamghāj Khān is the name generally applied to the "Bādshāhs of Tibbat and Yughmā," and Tamghāj and Yughmā are said to have been the names of cities giving names to countries also. Yughmā-oul is also said to be the name of a city or town of Turkistān, the same as the lastnamed place in all probability.

<sup>4</sup> Tamur, with short a and short s, in Turki, signifies iron, and it is some-

On one occasion, whilst following the chase, a bird, the name of which is <u>Tughrul</u>, fell into his hands, and his surname [thereby] became <u>Tughrul-Tigin.</u> No one, at any time previous, has shown that that bird has fallen into the hands of a sovereign; and they held him in veneration, in consequence.

Among the tribes of Mughal was another Turk of importance, a ruler and leader, and greatly venerated; and the whole of the tribes of Mughals were under the rule of these two persons. They, and all that people were subject to the family of the Altūn Khān of Tamghāj, and paid tribute to that dynasty; but among them [the Mughals] depravity, robbery, and adultery, greatly prevailed; and, both in their words and deeds, save lying, iniquity, robbery, and adultery, naught went on. All the tracts of [inhabited by?] the Turk tribes, at the hand of their iniquity and sedition, were reduced to misery; and, for these reasons and acts, they [the Mughals] were wont to be treated

times written with  $\bar{\imath}$  for the first, and long  $\bar{\imath}$  for the last, vowel; cht is the abbreviation of <u>ch</u> $\bar{\imath}$ z, and, when it occurs at the end of Turkish words, signifies a maker or agent, as <u>top-ch</u> $\bar{\imath}$ , an artillery-man, <u>bash māk-ch</u> $\bar{\imath}$ , a shoe-maker, &c.

Our author has fallen into some confusion here, however [or the text, which is alike in all the copies collated, is defective], and has evidently mistaken the Tattar chief named Timur-chi, after whom Yassūkā named his son to commemorate his victory over him, for Yassūkā himself. Here Tamur-chi means iron-like, not that he was "a black-smith."

<sup>5</sup> This is the Awang Khān of after years. Tughrul, with short *u* in the last syllable, is described as a bird used in field sports, one of the falcon tribe, a jerfalcon probably, and the above title is equivalent to the Hero [taker] of the Tughrul. Another name applied to men is written Tughril.

Writers on "Mongols" may be astonished to find our author saying that there was among the Mughals another Turk, &c. He is literally correct, and means a Turk of the Mughal I-māķ. This chief is called Baisū farther on.

Our author, like all other Oriental authors, very properly calls the Mughals and Tāttārs by the common name of Turks, according to their descent as already recorded.

It may be well to remember here, that our author is one of the two first Musalmān writers who wrote about the outbreak of the Mughals and the Chingiz Khān at the time it occurred, and completed his history just after Hulākū, his grandson, had captured Baghdād and entered Asia Minor. He had considerable advantages over Ibn-Athir in many ways. He was nearer the scenes he narrates; knew many persons who were personally acquainted with the Chingiz Khān and his sons, and actors in the events he records; knew personally, and dwelt among, several Turk, Tāttār, and Khiṭā-ī nobles at Dihlī, and in Ghaznīn and Ghūr, who knew how they spelt their own names and others of their people, and the names of cities and countries; and had no cause whatever to praise or make out Mughals to be greater than they were.

with great contempt by the Court of the Altun Khan, and much money and a great number of horses used to be demanded of them [as tribute].

When the father of the Chingiz Khān went to hell, and the chieftainship devolved on the Chingiz Khān, he began to act contumaciously and disobediently, and broke out into rebellion. An army from the forces of the Altūn Khān was nominated to lay waste and exterminate the Mughal tribes; and the greater number of them were put to the sword, in such wise, that but a few of them remained.

The remnant of them that escaped the sword gathered together and left their own territory, and proceeded towards the north of Turkistān, and sought shelter in a situation so strong that, from any direction, it had no road leading into it with the exception of a single Pass. The whole of that tract was girt about with massive mountains, and that place and pasture-land they call Kalur-ān.<sup>7</sup> They also say that in the midst of those pastures there is a spring of considerable size, the name of which is Baliķ-Chāķ; and, in these pastures, they took up their abode, and dwelt there for a long period.

In the course of time, their offspring and progeny multiplied greatly: and among that body a great number of men reached manhood. They all assembled and took counsel together, saying: "What was the cause of our downfall and of our being plundered and ravaged, and from whence arose our being made captive and being slain?" All made admission [saying]: "These calamities and misfortunes have arisen through our great misconduct; and it is necessary that we abstain from thus acting, in order that Almighty God may grant us assistance, and that we may take our revenge upon the forces of the Altūn Khān.1

<sup>7</sup> Also, in two of the oldest copies, Kalūr-ān.

In a few copies written Jāk, but it is only the fault of the copyists in writing for A few copies, including the Printed Text, have الملت Abalik but the appears redundant. In the Turki language Chāk is said to mean rapid, fast, violent, sharp, &c., and Balik or Baligh and Balāk mean a spring.

The flight of Kaian and Naguz into Irganah Kun, is here, evidently meant.

It will be easily perceived, from my account of the descent of the Turks and the *i-māks* of Tāttār and Mughal, that our author has lost himself here, and mixes up the overthrow and destruction of the Mughal *i-māk* by the Tāttārs

Certainly, to carry out this intention, a firm ruler is neces-

and I-ghur Turks, and the escape of the two fugitives into the fastnesses of Irgānah-Kūn, with the affairs of the Chingiz Khān at the time when he assumed sovereignty, and the title just mentioned was assigned to him. I will therefore now give a brief account of Tamur-chi from the death of his father up to this period, in order to make our author's account intelligible.

I brought my account of the Mughal i-mak to a close with the death of the Bahādur Yassūkā, in 562 H. [A.D. 1166-67], who usually resided at a place styled Dilun-Yilduk, at which period the different tribes composing the -māks of Tāttār and Mughal were ruled by no less a number than seventy-one different chiefs, independent of each other. Every two or three families had separate localities, and feuds and conflicts went on continually among them.

Tamur-chi, or Tamur-chin—the n being nasal—was thirteen years old at the time of his father's death, having been born on the 20th of Zi-Ka'dah, 549 H. [27th January, old style, A.D. 1154], about which some recent writers appear to be in great doubt [neither the Persian "Raschid" nor any other of the "Persians" says he was born in 1155] and uncertainty; and his brothers were About the same time, the hereditary lieutenant or deputy, Sughu-Jijan, whose care and counsel would have been so useful to young Tamur-cht, also died, and Sughū-Jijan's son, the Nu-yan, Karachar-the great ancestor of Amir Timur-was young and inexperienced. This is the "tutor" appointed for him according to Des Guignes!

Of the 40,000 families of the Nairun sept of the Mughals over whom his father ruled, and his own kinsmen and dependents, numbers now began to desert him and go over to the Tānjīūts, until not more than a third remained

under his chieftainship.

He endured many hardships and dangers until he reached the age of thirty, when fortune began again to smile upon him for a time, when, in 579 H. [A.D. 1183], the Nairuns began to return to their allegiance, and Tamur-chi succeeded in bringing some other Mughal tribes under his sway. In 584 H. [A.D. 1187-88] he became a captive in the hands of Türkütäe or Türghütäe Kariltūk, the Bādshāh as he is styled, [great grandson of Hamankā, see note, page 895] of the Tanjiut Mughals, who was descended, in the fifth degree, from Kaidū Khān, the fourth chief of the Bū-zanjar dynasty, which see, and to whom the other Nairuns attached themselves when they deserted Tamurcht, and against a confederacy headed by whom the latter was struggling.

It was not customary in those days among the tribes of Turkish descent to put captives at once to death, and so Tamur-cht had a do-shākhah [a sort of portable pillory, described as a block of wood with two horns, hence the term. It may, in those days, have been formed out of two pieces of crooked wood, but what was used in after-years, and continues to be used still, consists of two flat boards with a hollow for the neck, a drawing of which may be seen in Astley's and other Collections] fastened round his neck, and thus was he detained in captivity. The Fanākati, Abū-Sulimān-i-Dā'ūd, who finished his History, and dedicated it to the ninth of the Mughal sovereigns of Iran [what would he have said had he been styled a "Mongol"?], 287 years before the "saga-loving" writer who has been much quoted lately, Ssanang Setzen, was born, gives the following particulars of Tamur-chi's escape, which several other historians also relate.

Finding an opportunity, Tamur-chi made his escape from the Tanjiuts, taking his do-shākhah along with him, and concealed himself in a lake in the

#### sary, and a severe Amir is required, to restrain the trans-

neighbourhood of their camp, in such wise, that, of his person, nothing save his nose could be seen. A party of Tānifūts was sent in search of him, and among them there chanced to be a Süldüs [also written Suldüz], named Sürghan or Surghan Shirah, the tents of whose family happened to be pitched near that part, when, suddenly, his eye fell upon the fugitive's nose. He made a sign to him secretly-but how Tamur-chi managed to see, and notice this sign, with his head under water, the chronicler sayeth not—that he should conceal his head still more—but this must have been as difficult to do as to see, considering that only his nose was out of the water. He then said to the party, "Do you make search in some other directions: I will take care of this part myself," and thus he managed to disperse them. As soon as night set in, Sürghan Shirah took Tamur-chi out of the water, removed the doshākhah from round his neck, and brought him to his tent, and concealed him in a cart, under a load of pashm—the fine wool or hair with which goats and several other animals are provided by nature in the cold regions of Central Asia; but, as the party had discovered some trace of Tamur-chi thereabout, and as Sürghan Shirah's dwelling was near by, they began to suspect that Tamurchi must be hidden somewhere by him. They accordingly made search, and even tried the load of pashm by piercing it with spits in various directions, and wounded him slightly in several places, but did not discover him. After they had departed, disappointed in their search, Sürghan Shirah mounted Tamur-chi on his own bay mare with a black mane, supplied him with a little flesh, a roasting-spit, a bow and arrows, and everything required for a journey. but some say he did not give him any tinder-box or means of obtaining fire. The mother of Tamur-chi, and his wives, had given him up for dead, when he arrived in his yūrat on the bay mare with the black mane, from which time the Mughals held such an animal in great veneration. His son, Tuli, was a child at the time, and, for some days before, had been continually saying that his father was coming mounted on a certain coloured mare. This event happened in 587 H. [A.D. 1191]. The descendants of this Surghan Shirah subsequently rose to high rank in the service of the Chingiz Khan and his sons, and, from him, the famous Amir Chaupan was descended.

Tamur-chī had fought with the Jūrī-āts, also styled Jājar-āts, a sept of the Nairūns, the tribe of Jāmūķah, the Sājān, or the double-tongued [Abū-l-Chāzī, Bahādur, styles him Jajan and Jachan, which, he says, signifies possessed of sagacity], and other Mughal tribes—the Tānjīūts, Kunghur-āts or Kungkur-āts, as it is also written, Jalāīrs, and Dūrmāns ["Durbens" and "Durbans" are out of the question]; and the Bīgī, Sūjī, and the tribe of Barlās, of the progeny of Īridam-chī, were in alliance with his enemies, but Karāchār, head of the Barlās, remained faithful to him.

In the year 589 H. [A.D. 1193], when in the fortieth year of his age, finding his enemies had entered into a confederacy to annihilate him, and that they were too numerous and too powerful to cope with, Tamur-chi determined on taking refuge with the Äwang Khān, Tughrul-Tigin, and throwing himself on his protection, considering the friendship which had previously existed between his father, Yassūkā, and that sovereign; and Karāchār accompanied him.

This is contrary to the statement contained in a recent work on the "Mongols Proper," the authority for which appears to be Wolff or Erdmann, and, considering what follows, on undoubted authority, must be diametrically opposed to the fact.

#### gressors, and the violence of the seditious, to retaliate on

The Āwang Khān was the ruler of the Karāyat tribes, a sept of the Durālgin Mughals, and one of the most considerable of the Turkish nation, and he was a monarch [Bādshāh] of great dignity and magnificence, and was in alliance with the Āltān Khān, the sovereign of Khiṭāe. It was this personage who, before he was styled by the title of "the Āwang Khān," bore the name of Tughrul-Tigin, from his having captured one of those rare birds called a Tughrul. Tamur-chī was well received by the Karāyat ruler, and his affairs began to prosper. The Khān was wont to consult him on the affairs of his state; and, at length, Tamur-chī rose so high in the monarch's esteem, that he styled him son, and assigned him a position of great dignity.

Surgeon-Major Bellew, in his Kāshghar Mission History, previously referred to, quoting some other writer, informs us that "This Aong Khan or Unc Cham [!], the Chief of the Karait of Karákoram, is the Tuli [!] of the Chinese writers, and the Toghrul of the Persian. He got the title Unc [uncle perhaps], or Aong, or Wáng, as it appears in different authors [!], and which is equivalent to Khán = "Chief," "Lord," from Kin [!], the sovereign of North China." This is History truly!

For a period of eight years Tamur-chi remained with the Awang Khan. during which time he did good service for him, and gained him several vic-Among these was his victory over Irkah Kara, or Irkah Kara [also called Ukah-Karal, the brother of the Awang Khan, who was in rebellion, and resisted his brother's authority, and Yorkin [it is written by Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur, Portakin, Bortakin, and Bortikin], and the Bigi, Tukta, the Peshwa; or leader of the Makrit tribe [also written Markit, but the first appears to be preferred] of the Kaiāt sept, descended from Kaiān; but some call them Nairuns. After these events, the tribes of Tanitut, Salitut, Kunghur-at, Dürman, Jajar-at, Jalair, Üir-at, also written Tur-at, Yorkin, and Katghin, or Katkin, and Tamur-chi's former opponents, the Makrits, and some of the Tattar i-mak, entered into a confederacy against the Awang Khan and Tamur-chi. They came to a compact, and took oath according to the most stringent tenets of their religion, by sacrificing a horse, a bullock, a ram, and a dog, to be faithful to each other; and, among them, there is no other engagement more solemn. This was in 596 H.

On becoming aware of this, the Awang Khan and Tamur-chi got ready their forces; and, at a place near the Biyur Nawar—the Lake of Biyur—the hostile forces came to an engagement, and the Awang Khan and Tamur-chi completely overthrew the confederates, and brought their necks within the yoke of subjection. Hafiz Abrū states, however, that Tamur-chi fought a battle with the Bigi, Tüktā, the chief of the Makrits, in 593 H., at a place near the Karās Murān [i. e. river] before Kalūr-ān, and near the river Sālingāh; another, in concert with the Awang Khan, in 594 H., at Tuku Kahrah; and, again, in 596 H., after the Bigi, Tukta, had escaped from the bonds of the Awang Khan, which is the battle near the lake Biyur already mentioned above. Several other affairs in 597 and 598 H. are mentioned by the same author, which are too long for insertion here, but I may mention that Jāmūkahwho had been set up as Badshah by several of the tribes, such as Angiras and Kurlas, Kunghur-at, Durman, Katghin, Saljiut, and some Tattar tribes, with the title of Gur Khan-was overthrown at Sadi-Kurgan in the former year, and the Kunghur-āts submitted to his authority.

After this, Bue-Ruk, brother of the Tayanak Khan, ruler of the Naeman

#### our enemies, and render the wreaking of vengeance upon

tribe, in concert with the Bādshāh of the Makrīts, the Bīgī Tūktā, being hostile to the Āwang Khān and Tamur-chī, assembled a large army against them, and the hostile forces having met at a place named Kazil-Tāsh, in 595 H., but in 598 H. according to the Tārīkh-i-Alfī, Būe-Rūk directed a Jījan, or Sorcerer, to have recourse to his art, which they term yadah and bāc, which he effected by means of the sang-i-yadah, the jade or rain-stone, mentioned in the account of the descent of the Turks, which, on being thrown into water, forthwith brought on anow, mist, and wind; but these magical acts recoiled upon his own army, which was nearly destroyed by the cold. The few followers who remained with him were overthrown, a number were slain, and the remnant sought safety in flight. A curious anecdote respecting the Turks and their magical acts in this respect is related by Amīr Ismā'īl, son of Ahmad, the third Sāmānī monarch, but I have not space for it here.

After Tamur-chi had passed eight years in the service of the Awang Khan in various offices and duties, and had, through his intercourse and intimacy with him, acquired his confidence and esteem, and had been styled son by the monarch, the chiefs and kinsmen of the Awang Khan became envious of Tamur-chi, and plotted together to bring about his downfall. Jāmūkah, the Bāshligh of the Jājar-āts, bore him great enmity of old, and he maligned Tamur-chi to Sangun, the son of the Awang Khan, and convinced him that Tamur-chi sought to supplant him in his father's favour, and in the succession to his kingdom. Guzidah, the Habib-us-Siyar, Tārikh-i-Hāfiz Abrū, and some other works, however, state that the wrath of the Awang Khan was raised against him through his asking of him a brother's daughter in marriage for his son Jūji, but some say it was on account of Tamur-chi not giving his own daughter. Kuchin Bigi, to the Awang Khan's son, Sangun, that the negotiation broke down, and hostility arose. Jūji did subsequently marry the damsel, and Tūli married another sister, and Tamur-chi, their father, married a third. Endeavours were now made to instigate the Awang Khan against Tamur-chi, but without effect at first. By repeated importunity on the part of the son, for even the dropping water at last wears the rock away, the conspirators succeeded in alienating the old ruler's regard for Tamur-chi and he entered into the design to seize him. These events are said to have taken place in 599 H. One of the Awang Khān's chiefs, Jādān, by name, who could keep nothing from his wife, was mentioning the design to her, in his khargāh, or felt tent, only the day before it was intended to carry it into execution, when two boys, named Batae, or Badae, and Kashlik, came into the camp with the milk from the flocks, and, by chance, sat down near the tent, and heard the conversation. They at once made known his danger to Tamur-chi. He consulted with his kinsman, the Nū-yān, Karāchār; and it was determined, as soon as night set in, to make for the skirt of the mountain (range) of Kalāchin with their followers and dependents, and to leave their tents standing; and this they did, after having first despatched the women and children to a place of safety, called Bāljūnah Bulāķ. That same night the Awang Khān came to the tents with some of his forces, and, seeing the fires lighted as usual, ordered volleys of arrows to be poured into them, and then, finding all was silent within, entered the tents, but found them empty. He then determined to set out in pursuit of Tamur-chi; but how the Awang Khan knew whither he had fled is not stated: the Karāyats probably tracked him. The Awang Khān succeeded in coming up with him during the next day, when halted for rest, and a picket,

# the Altun Khan attainable." As the Chingiz Khan had

posted for the purpose, gave Tamur-cht timely warning of their drawing near the mountain (range) of Mū-āwand or Mū-āwandur, at a spot called Holānt Nūkāt, that is, the place where red canes or reeds grow. Nothwithstanding the disparity of numbers, being sheltered by the hill skirt, he resolved to make a stand; and at last succeeded in beating off his pursuers. A great number of Karāyats were slain and disabled, and Sangūn—who is styled Shangūn by some, but the three dots over the——seem over zeal on the part of the copyists—was wounded in the face by an arrow discharged at his father, whose person he shielded with his own.

This is the place where Mr. H. H. Howorth, in his "Mongols Proper" page 59, on the authority of some foreign translation says: "He now collected an army and marched against the Keraits. His army was very inferior in numbers, but attacked the enemy with ardour," &c. His "flight from the Awang Khān" is not alluded to in the least, and he must have been exceedingly clever to collect an army, but, at page 552 of the same book, the story is told from another translation in a totally different manner.

Tamur-chi thought it advisable however to withdraw quietly during the night towards the source of the Bālijūnah—some say, the Lake Bāljīūnah—Bāljūnah Nāwar—and others, Bāljūnah Būlāk, Būlāgh, or Balik, signifying a spring in Turkish, whither the women and children had been previously despatched. This lake was salt, and contained but little water, scarcely sufficient for his people to drink. If we consider that Karā-Kuram was the chief encampment or dwelling-place of the Awang Khan, the retreat of Tamur-chi towards this lake of Bāljūnah, in which there was scarcely enough muddy water to quench the thirst of man and beast, and his subsequent movements, are sufficiently clear. The people of those parts, of his own Nairun tribes, who had remained faithful, and had become dispersed when he took shelter with the Awang Khan, were dwelling in the tracts adjacent to Baljunah Bulagh, under his uncle U-tigin, also called Utichkin, and, when he reached them, on this occasion, they began to gather around him, as well as many others from the Awang Khan's territory. At this time, at the suggestion of the Nū-yān, Karāchār, Tamur-chī had a register made of the names of all those who had accompanied him in his flight from the presence of the Awang Khan, and assigned certain ranks and offices to each of The two youths, Bātāe or Bādāe, and Kashlik, who had warned him of his danger, were made Tarkhāns. He was not "abandoned by most of his troops," nor did he "fly to the desert of Baldjuna," as Mr. Howorth states (p. 59), nor was he "a hopeless fugitive at Baljuna," as the same writer states in another place (p. 553).

The meaning of Tarkhān is thus explained: "The person so called is secure and safe from all trouble and annoyance; in every place in which he serves, whatever booty he may take is his own, and he is not deprived of it; he can enter the place of audience of his sovereign without being summoned, and without first asking permission; and he can commit or be guilty of nine offences—nine is a number, as I have already noticed, held in great veneration by the Mughals—without being questioned; and Tamur-chi decreed that, for nine generations, the offspring of these Tarkhāns should be exempt from all burdens and imposts."

In the "General Description of Kashghar," contained in the "REPORT" of the Yarkand Mission, previously referred to, we are told [p. 100], as to the "Ancient punishments before the 10th century (Moghul)," that, "Under the Moghuls, a noble was entitled to forgiveness nine times, but for the tenth was

### become noted and famous among that fraternity for

imprisoned," &c., &c. Something respecting the privileges of the Tarkhāns had apparently been mentioned to the writer, who straightway turned all the Mughal nobles into Tarkhāns! In another place we are informed that "the descendants of these Tarkhāns were still met with in Khurásán in the fifteenth century," which is quite correct. They are also met with in several other centuries, and in this nineteenth century in many other parts besides Khurāsān.

The descendants of the two persons above referred to were the progenitors of two tribes, styled respectively Bādāe Tàrkhāns, and Kashlik Tarkhāns. The Tarkhāns of the Dasht-i-Kibchāk and Khwārazm are the descendants of Bādāe, while those of Turkistān are the descendants of Kishlik. Several great Amīrs arose from these tribes, among whom was the Tarkhān, Ḥājī, who was the founder of a city on the Ātil, to which he gave his name. It was known as Ḥājī Tarkhān, which, in after-years, was styled Hashtar Khān, but which European writers have "twisted" into Astrakhan, and not Orientals, as the author of the "Mongols Proper" imagines.

In the battle with the Awang Khān, among other booty captured, was the khargāh of that sovereign, which was of cloth of gold. This Tamur-chi bestowed, with other things, upon Bādāe and Kashlik, and, in after-times, the distinguishing mark of a Tarkhān was a piece of the golden cloth tent of the

Awang Khan, which they used to wear hanging from their turbans.

Tamur-chi now marched from the head of the Bāljūnah, and pitched his tents at a pleasant place on the bank of a river named the Ur or Aor Mūrān [Un Muran?], at the foot of a mountain range on the frontier of Kalangae Kada, or Kad, which is the boundary of Khitae on that side, and there he mustered his followers, and they amounted to 4600 men. Leaving that spot after a time, he moved onwards, and reached a place where was a piece of water—the river Kalar [, W-Kailar of our maps]. Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur, calls it the Kulā Sue or River Kulā-and, there being plenty of grass thereabout, he determined to make some stay. On the way thither, with his forces divided into two bodies, one with the women and followers, and moving on either bank, he fell in with an Amir, Turk-Ili, by name, who had a considerable following, and, on inquiry being made of him as to who he was, and his intentions and objects, he turned out to be a Angiras, a Kunghur-at Mughal, with a considerable body of that tribe, and he agreed to submit to Tamur-chi, and was treated with great distinction. Whilst encamped at this spot, Tamurchi was joined by other smaller bodies of his other tribes, until, at length, his force grew formidable. Having marched from thence, Tamur-chi despatched from the banks of the river Kurkan [ - some say from the Kala Nawar. Abu-l-Ghāzi says the Kolghā Nāwar] an emissary named Urkāe, or Ūrākāe Chūn, the Bahādur, to the Āwang Khān's presence soliciting an accommodation, and several times emissaries passed to and fro between them, but terms of peace did not result therefrom; and his brother, Juji Kasar, who had been taken prisoner, and carried away with his family to the Awang Khan's presence, now joined him, having made his escape. On the last occasion, Tamur-chi despatched an agent of his own along with the Awang Khan's envoy, to throw him off his guard, apparently, since he followed himself with all his forces, made raids upon that monarch's territory, reduced flourishing spots to desolation, slew great numbers of his people, and made others captive. After some time, wherein the Awang Khān's people had suffered such misery, a battle ensued between Tamur-chi and his forces, and the Karayats-who were vastly superior in numbers-towards manhood, vigour, valour, and intrepidity, all concurred in

the close of which Karachar encountered the Awang Khan, struck his horse with an arrow, and brought it head foremost to the ground. The Awang Khan then succeeded in mounting another horse, and took to flight along with his son, Sangun, leaving his wives and daughters captives in the hands of the victor; and such of the Karavat tribe as saved their lives did so by submitting to Tamur-chi's yoke. The Awang Khin had fled towards the territory of the Nāemān tribe to seek shelter with their ruler, Tūbukū or Taibukū, the Tayānak Khān, but, when he reached the Tayānak Khān's country, some of the latter's chiefs, without communicating with their sovereign, and on account of an old feud, put the Awang Khan to death. Sangun however managed to escape out of their clutches, and succeeded in reaching the territory of Kirkiz and Tibbat, and from thence got to Kashghar—another writer states that he proceeded towards Khutan and Kāshghar, thus indicating the whereabouts of Kirkiz-but he was subsequently put to a cruel death, in the Kashghar territory, by the chief of a branch of the Khalj tribe, of Kulij Kara, called Kara Ma, who sent his family captives to Tamur-cht. The brother of the Awang Khan, whose three daughters were wives to Tamur-chi and his sons Jūji and Tūli, escaped into Tingkūt. Of this person more anon.

As the whole of the Karāyat trībe, and the forces of the Āwang Khān, had submitted to him, the mind of Tamur-chī being now at rest from anxiety, he resolved on taking some recreation after his fatigues. He accordingly passed some time pleasantly in the part, near which the battle took place, in pleasure, and in enjoying the diversion of the chase, after which he set out for his native yūrat, or encampment. These events happened in the year 599 H. [A.D. 1202-3], when Tamur-chī was 49 years old, but some say he was 50.

After having gained this important victory, and as the greater number of other tribes of the Mughal i-māk had bent the neck of subjection to him, Tamur-chi assumed the seat of Khān-ship, at the camp or station named Samān-Kaharah, which is also written Samān-Karah [Abū-l-Chāzi, Bahādur, has Namān Karah] which probably was near Dilūn-Yūldūk, in Ramaṣān of that same year, and the sovereignty exercised by the Awang Khān passed to Tamur-chi; but, as soon as the Tayānak Khān, also written Tayān, and Tayānak, son of Balikto Īnānaj, sovereign of the Nāemāns [a Turkish tribe, but its direct descent is not certain], became aware of his predominance, he set about organizing an army against him, and the tribes of Dūrmān, Ķatghin, Makrit, and Sāljiūt, the Bādghāh of the Ūir-āt, Alin Tāighi, and some other Bāshlighs of the Karāyat, the Jājar-āt of Jāmūkah, the Sājān, and some of the Tāttār tribes, it is said, entered into a confederacy with the Tayānak Khān for the purpose of making war upon Tamur-chi.

The Tayanak Khan also despatched an agent to Ülā-Kūsh-Tigin, the Bād-shāh of the Ungkūts, a Turkish tribe who had charge of the Great Wall [According to the ideas of Mr. H. H. Howorth, set forth in his "Mongols Proper," page 21, "Tigin seems to be a form of the Turkish Tikin," and, at page 26, he says, "Tikin is a title borne by chiefs of Turkish tribes!" He fails to see that "Tikin" is only correct in the sight of those who do not know k from g in the original. That it is a Turkish title there is not the least doubt, and hence it is borne by Turks and Tāttārs], asking him to join the confederacy, and aid in putting down the new claimant to sovereignty, which could be easily effected, if he joined him, as two kings in one country could not exist, nor two swords in one scabbard, and not to refuse his alliance, as he would

# naming him for the chieftainship [saying]: - "For, save

remedy matters with the sword himself, even if he should reject his offers. Ulā-Ķūsh-Tigin, also written Alāķūsh, Tigin Ķūrin, however, was a sagacious man, and an experienced one. He consequently despatched one of his Amirs named Nūridāsh, but, in one work, he is styled Burāndāsh, and Ķurāidāsh, in Alfi, which is probably the most correct, to Tamur-chi, and made him acquainted with the message he had received, and assembled his Ungķūts for the purpose of joining Tamur-chi, as he was much annoyed at the Tayānak Khān's message. Tamur-chi held counsel with his sons and Amirs, and one of the Nū-yins, some say it was Ķarāchār, but others, that it was Tamur-chi's paternal uncle, Dāritāe Ūnchūki, the Ūlķūnūt Ķunghur-āt, advised that if Tamur-chi took the initiative and attacked the Nāemāns he would be successful. That advice was approved of; and, in the middle of Jamādi-ug-Ṣāni, 600 H., [in March, A.D. 1204], he commenced his march, and set out to attack the Tayānak Khān.

He moved onwards until he reached the verdant tract of Kalangae, previously mentioned, but, on this occasion, no fight took place. Subsequently, in the same year, Tamur-chi again set out to seek the Naeman Badshah. despatching a force in advance, under the Nū-yins, Kūildār Sājān, chief of the Mangkut Nairuns, and Jabah. He then reached the banks of the river Altae--now Siba?] in the territory of Kangaktae-التاي -Abū-l-Ghāzi. Bahāin the neighbourhood of which was the التابي سبنيات in the neighbourhood of which was the Tayanak Khan, who had been joined by the Bigi Tukta, chief of the Markits. and one of the chiefs of the late Awang Khan, the Karayat. At this juncture a stray horse from Tamur-chi's camp, with its saddle turned under its belly. entered the camp of the Tayanak Khan, who, when he beheld it, it being very lean, held counsel with his Amirs, saying: "The horses of the Mughals are miserably lean while ours are fat and in fine condition. that we should fall back so that the enemy may be induced to follow us, whereby their horses will get into a worse plight still. Then we will make a stand and engage them." Most of the chiefs approved of this counsel, but the Tayānak Khān had an Amir, Kūri Subājū, by name, who, from childhood, had grown up with him; and he said to him on this occasion: "Thy father, Balikto Īnānaj, was not at rest a day without battle, and never showed his back nor the crupper of his horse to a foe. Thy heart is enthralled with thy Khātūn, Ķūr-bāsū, and from thee the perfume of manhood emanateth not." Stung to the quick at these taunts, the Tayanak Khan, filled with rage, "grew hungry for the fight, like a roaring lion for his prey." When the two armies came near each other, and drew out their lines, Tamur-chi entrusted the centre to his son Juji [some say Juji commanded the left wing, and Tamurchi's brother, Juji Kasar, the centre], and the two armies, having sounded their cows' horns and kettle-drums, engaged in battle, and Jāmūkah, the Jājar-āt, with his followers, having deserted the Tayanak Khan before the battle hegan, marched away to his own yūrat. In the obstinate struggle which ensued, and which continued until evening closed in, the Tayanak Khan was wounded, and his body was so weakened from the effect of his wound as to be almost without a soul; and with a few Amirs he retreated towards the top of a hill. His Amirs complained of this, and urged upon him the necessity, for his own sake, of returning to the field, and renewing the conflict, but he was now too badly wounded to be affected with their taunts and entreaties. Then Kuri Subājū said to the other chiefs: "Since the Bādshāh dies thus deplorably in

### him, no one will be capable to undertake the carrying out

adversity, better let us show our fidelity, and turn our faces again against the enemy, since we have given the Tayānak Khān to be slain," and, with one accord, like lions, they descended, and rushed upon the enemy, and fought valiantly while life remained, in such wise as to gain the encomiums even of their foes. They all perished, but not before they had made great havoc among the Mughals, who lost great numbers.

The Tayanak Khan having died of his wound received in that battle, his son, Koshluk or Koshlak, or Kojlak, as he is also styled, fled to his uncle, Bue-Ruk. The Ḥabib-us-Siyar however says that the Tayanak Khan, after the battle, was conveyed to a place of safety, but that he died from the effects of his wound before the end of that same year 600 H.

The females of the family of the Tayānak Khān fell into the hands of the victors, and, subsequently, his favourite Khātūn, Kor-bāsū, was brought to Tamur-chī, who, in accordance with the custom of the Mughals, married her. A daughter of the Tayānak Khān's son, Koshlūk, named Likūm Khātūn, was given in marriage to Tūlī, Tamur-chī's youngest son. The Nāemān and Ungkūt females are said to have been remarkable for their beauty, above all the other tribes of Turk descent.

After Tamur-chi had been thus successful over the Tayānak Khān, in this battle, the tribes and families in confederacy with the Nāemān sovereign, being without a head, for the most part submitted to Tamur-chi's sway, but the Nāemāns became dispersed, and the Bigi, Tūktā, the Wāli or sovereign of the Makrits, was still hostile. Tamur-chi marched against him, and speedily overthrew him, and reduced the whole tribe of Makrit to subjection; but the Bigi, Tūktā, with Koshlūk, the Tayānak Khān's son, sought an asylum with Būe-Rūk, the Nāemān, elder brother of the latter, as detailed farther on.

Most of the accounts of Tamur-chi's proceedings, after the overthrow of the Tayānak Khān, are somewhat obscure and confused, but the authorities quoted in the Tārīkh-i-Alfi throw considerable light upon these events. I must refer to them briefly, leaving numerous details of the life of the Chingiz Khān, both here, as well as elsewhere, in these notes, for some future day, when I hope to give them in proper order, and detail.

About this time Jāmūkah, the Sājān, the Jājar-āt, was seized by his own people, bound hand and foot, and brought to Tamur-chi, his mortal foe. He, considering that, as the Jājar-āts had not been faithful to their own chief, they would scarcely prove faithful to him, commanded that the greater part of them should be massacred; and this, as will subsequently appear, was the treatment traitors generally received at Mughal hands. Jāmūkah was made over to a nephew of Tamur-chi, with orders to put him to death by dividing him limb from limb, because this was the treatment he had reserved for his rival, in case he had fallen into his power, He bore it without flinching, merely observing that he would have treated Tamur-chi after the same fashion, and telling the executioners how to proceed; and thus he met his end.

Tamur-cht, after this success, returned to his own yūrat, and despatched agents to various tribes of the Mughals, and exhorted them to submit. Such as did so were cherished, and such as refused were reduced and punished. In the following year—601 H.—Tamur-cht moved against the Makrit tribe, which, through their determined hostility, he sought to root out entirely. The Rauzatuş-Şafā mentions these events as taking place a year later. The Bigi, Tūķtā, the Makrit chief, having fled from the forces of Tamur-cht, took shelter with

of these matters, and this affair will not be accomplished, nor succeed at the hands of any other except him."

another division of the Makrit tribe-the Urhar Makrit-the chief of which was named Da-ir or Ta-ir [the Turks, and the people of the different i-maks, use d for t and t, and vice versal Asūn, who, with his division of the tribe, was then encamped on the Taz Muran, or River Taz, hoping to obtain support from them. When the Bigi, Tüktā, and his followers arrived there, Tā-ir Asūn told them that he had not the power to cope with Tamur-chi, and so, taking along with him his daughter, Kūlān Khātūn, he sought the presence of Tamurchi, who received him honourably. He then represented that, for want of cattle, the whole of his people were unable to come and join his camp; but Tamurchi. being somewhat suspicious of them, would not allow him and his followers to dwell in his own vierat, but placed an intendant over them, and, soon after, Tamur-chi set out for his own yūrat, as before stated. After his departure, the Makrits, with Tā-ir Asūn, took to plundering the Mughals still remaining behind, but were resisted, and the plunder recaptured from them. After this. the Makrits went away. Tamur-chi, on becoming aware of their proceedings, resolved to uproot them. He invested one sept of them, the Ūdūķūt, who were in the stronghold of Bijand, which they call Waekal Kurghan, took it, overcame several other septs of the same tribe, and then retired. The Bigi, Tüktā, with his sons and a few of his people, fled to Bue-Ruk the Nāemān, the elder brother of the Tayānak Khān, while his own sept, with the rest of the Makrit tribe, along with Ta-ir Asun, retired to the banks of the river Sālingāh, near the fortress of Kūrkah Kinghān, or Kipjān [?] and there took up their quarters. Tamur-cht on this despatched a force under two of his Nū-yins, against them. The Makrits were mostly destroyed, and the remainder of them were conducted to Tamur-chi's presence.

In the month of Jamadi-ul-Akhir of this same year 601 H., Tamur-chi, having ordered his forces to be mustered, resolved to move into the country of Tingkut which is described—تكوت—also written Tinghūt—تغوت—and Tingūt—تكوت as a mountain country called Anksae or Ankasae, of great elevation, adjoining the country of Khitae. The Mughals style the country, which contained cities. fortresses, and fine buildings, Kāshin [this is the country about which Mr. H. H. Howorth, in his "Mongols Proper," quoting D'Ohsson probably, says, "Tangut, the Hia of the 'Chinese,' had been previously known as Ho Si," and had been "corrupted by the Mongols into Kaschin"! Who is the authority that they or any one else corrupted it? On the very next page of the same work we find that "Tangut" is "Kansuh," and, further on, that "Kan-su" is "dependent on the kingdom of Hia!"], and, on the way thither, Tā-İr Asūn, the Urhar Makrit chief was seized and brought to Tamur-chi. Having reached Tingkut, otherwise Kāshin, the chief place, which appears to have given name to the country—but an Uzbak writer says the country was called Ankasae the fortress of Lankai was taken by storm and levelled with the ground, and the territory of Kashin was plundered and devastated. From thence Tamur-chi advanced towards Kalangüsh—المنكوش or Kalanküsh, which was a vast city, and very strong. It was taken, and the greater part of the territory of Tingkut was also plundered and devastated. From thence Tamur-chi returned, in triumph, to his own yūrat again. Karā-Kuram, I may mention, is never once named in the histories I have been quoting from, up to this period.

Every tribe, however, which submitted, Tamur-chi ceased from oppressing and treating with severity, incorporated it with his people, and showed it

### The Chingiz Khan bound the whole of the people of the

favour and kindness, but those which manifested contumacy, and refused to submit, he brought under the sword, both chiefs and tribes, so that, in this manner, he succeeded in bringing most of the Mughal tribes under his sway. Those among them who were with him in his first encounter with the Awang Khān, whom he cherished, and to whom he had assigned certain ranks and degrees, and given certain exemptions, as previously narrated, he now directed should be formed into *Tomāns*—ten thousands—*Hazārahs* [there never was, nor is there, a "famous tribe" so named]—Thousands—*Sadahs*—Hundreds—and *Dahahs* or *Dahchahs*—Tens: these words it must be remembered, are not the Mughal terms, but the Persian translation of Un Ming, Ming, Yūz, and Un respectively; and these degrees have continued to be observed among them down to modern times.

In the month of Rajab [the seventh] 602 H., corresponding to the Mughal year of the Leopard—but the Mughal, Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, says, the year of the Hog-and to the month of February, 1206 A.D., when Tamur-chi was, it is said, by several historians, in the 49th year of his age, but he was really just 52 years and 7 months old, dating from the day of his birth, he commanded that a kuriltae, or general assembly, of all the Mughal tribes in subjection to him should meet at a certain place, the name of which is not It was very probably Saman or Saman Kaharah, where he, three years before, assumed the Khān-ship, and this may have been the cause why so many authors confuse these two different events, and make one of them. There, accordingly, his sons, all his Nū-yins and Amirs, from the parts around, of the Tomans, Hazarahs, Sadahs, and Dahahs, assembled together, and a great feast was made. He then set up a white Tük or Tügh-standard-consisting of nine degrees, or tails, indicated by as many tails of the ghaiz gau, or bos grunniens, mentioned at page 68, and he was seated on a high throne with a diadem on his head. Some authors, including the Fanakati and the author of the Tarikh-i-Jahan-gir, with slight variation, state, that the causer of his setting up this standard was a Mughal, held in veneration by the people, clothed in the guise of a recluse, who used to pass his time in devotion, and whom, from exposure to the elements in a state of nudity, in his wanderings, neither heat nor cold affected. He pretended to the knowledge of the secrets of futurity, and asserted that he was sometimes taken up into heaven; and the simpleminded Mughals believed him. On this account he was styled by them Tab or Tub Tingri—نب تكري The first word has been altered into or mistaken for But -and translated by several European writers, but not by the original authors, "The Image of God." Tingri certainly is the Turkish for God, but " signifying an idol, object of adoration, or image, is a purely Īrāni, not a Turki word; and it would be strange indeed if purely Irani words, in combination with Turki, were in common use among Turks, Tattars, and Mughals, at the period in question. For these reasons I think we are not at all certain of the true meaning of Tab or Tub [This, very probably, is the proper name of Malik Saif-ud-Din, the Khiţā-i, the XVI. of the Dihli Maliks, mentioned at page 757, whose name is written in precisely the same doubtful way, and without vowel points.] Tingri, though, I should suppose, the Devotee of, or Devoted to, or Chosen of God, or something similar, is much more likely to be the correct signification.

His correct name was Kūkjū,—or Kūkchū [turned into "Gueukdja" and "Gukju" in the "Mongols Proper"] though some write it Kūkchah.

tribes by pledges and oaths to obey him in all things, and

Kūkjah, and Kūkzū, and he was the son of Minglik Ichakah, the -who married Tamur وتقمار -also written Kunakumar - موتقمار - who married Tamur chi's mother. He stepped forward and said: "Last night a person of a red colour, seated on a grey horse, appeared unto me, and said: 'Go thou to the son of Yassukā and say: 'After this they shall not style thee Tamur-chi any more; for, in future, thy title shall be "the Chingiz Khān;"' and likewise say thou to the Chingiz Khān, 'Almighty God hath bestowed upon thee and thy nosterity, the greater part of the universe." All present repeated it, and with acclamation hailed Tamur-chi by that title, because its meaning, in the Turi language, signifies in the Irani, Shah-an-Shah, King of Kings, or Emperor. The signification, however, is somewhat differently interpreted by authors into the Great King or Emperor, Khān-i-Khānān or the Chief of Khāns, and the like. From that time this was his title. Knowing how cunning Tamur-chi was, several writers have stated that the appearance of Kūkjū or Kūkchū upon the scene was preconcerted between him and Tamur-cht. It will be noticed from the foregoing that his proper title is THE CHINGIZ KHAN, as in the case of the Great King, the Great Napoleon, etc., and not simply "Chingiz." Another writer well informed as to the Turks, Tattars, and Mughals, says that Ching-signifies in the Mughali dialect, firm, confirmed, established, and the like, the plural form of which is Chingizimportance, after the success of his pretended revelation, that he began to entertain ambitious views for himself, until, one day, he entered into an angry dispute with Tamur-chi's brother, Juji Kasar, when he took him by the throat and dashed him to the ground with such violence that Kükjü never rose again.

After this kurīltāe, those who were in the secret of this pretended revelation began to spread the report all over the countries round, and among the peoples who had submitted to him, so that they began to believe that the Almighty had really given the world to the Chingiz Khān, and future war and conquest were chiefly considered.

The first victim of these pretended predictions was Būe-Rūk, brother of the Tayānak Khān, to whom Koshlūk, the latter's son, and the Makrit chief, the Bigi, Tūktā, had fled for shelter. Būe-Rūk, after he had made such preparations as he was able for resistance, aided by the Makrits, was surprised by a body of Mughals whilst engaged in the chase, in the neighbourhood of Awāj Tāk or Tāgh [Ḥabīb-us-Siyar has Ulūgh Tāgh] at a place called Sūjā—Sūjā river?—like the quarry in the net of the fowler, and carried off to the camp of the Chingiz Khān, and was forthwith put to death. Some say he was killed in the shikār-gāh, or hunting-ground. Rashid-ud-Dīn says he was surprised "after making a slight resistance," which is rather improbable. His tribe on this dispersed, and Koshlūk, and the Bigi, Tūktā, after directing their followers to disperse and rejoin them, with as many others as possible, at a certain rendezvous in Ardīsh, fled also to a place on the frontier of the Nāemān country.

The ruler of Tingküt, Shidarkü, also called Shidaskü, now began to manifest hostility again, upon which the Chingiz Khān, being then near to that country, determined to invade it. He entered it with a portion of his immense forces in 603 H. [A.D. 1206-7]. The capital named Ķāshin—the Akāshin which formerly, it is said, gave name

submit to his command; and, in conformity with the usual

to the territory, was surprised, and Shidarku and his people submitted. He was left without further molestation, it is said, on agreeing to pay tribute, and permitting the Mughals to occupy his capital. Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, says he was an aged prince or ruler, and that his capital was taken by assault after a long investment, and its walls thrown down. author's accounts farther on, however, it will be found that Shidarkū had often boasted of his defeats of the Chingiz Khān, and was, at last, treacherously put to death by him. Kashin city was the point at which the great kārwāns of traders met from the west and south in their trade with Khītā or China. It was a very rich city, and the abode of learned men. It is evident that it was a city of the Buddhists, but few would recognize Kāshin under the vitiated name of Campion given to it by the old European travellers. In the Kāshghar Mission Report the route is referred to, at p. 114, as the Chachan route, but, at page 139, of the same Report, Kashin is turned into "Cáshmín."

At the same period the Chingiz Khan, having returned from the subjugation of Tingkut, subdued the Kirkiz territory.

In the winter of the before-mentioned year [603 H.], but some say the winter of 604 H.; which appears to be most correct, the Chingiz Khān set out in order to attack the Bigi, Tūķtā, and his Makrits, and Koshlūk and his Nāemāns, who had again acquired considerable strength on the frontier of the Ardish territory, which some connect with Tibbat, whilst others say that it is also the name of a stronghold on the frontiers of the territories of the Makrits and Nāemāns; but that it was a fortress is very doubtful. Ardish apparently extended to Tibbat on the south.

In Shaw's account of "High Tartary," Artush appears as the chief town of a district, watered by a river of the same name, lying north of Kāshghar city on the northern frontier of the Kāshghar state. It appears under the name of Artish in Colonel Walker's last map, and, in the Kāshghar Mission Report, under the name of Artosh and Artysh. It is probable that this name, correctly written Ardish or Artish [with d or t], applied to a much larger extent of country, now buried in the sands, extending S.W. as far as the frontier of Tibbat, as anciently constituted, but the sands of the desert have buried former landmarks in this direction.

Mr. H. Howorth, however, straightway, transfers this tract, in his "Mongols Proper," to "the land watered by the Irtish," about 10° farther North than the part indicated, even according to the map of "Mongolia" in his own book! West of the Yellow River it certainly was.

Although the cold was intense and the water frozen, the Chingiz Khān set out with a vast army, and on the way the Bīgī Kolūkah, also written Kūnūkah, of the Mughal tribe of Ūīr-āt [الويرات] with his people, unable to resist, submitted to the Chingiz Khān, and they were incorporated with his army, and conducted it into Ardīsh, where they came upon Koshlūk, and the Bīgī, Tūktā. An engagement ensued between them, and the confederates were overwhelmed by superior numbers, and Tūktā was killed by an arrow in the action.

Kodū, the brother of Tūķtā, and the latter's three sons with him, endeavoured to carry his body off, but, finding this impossible, they cut off the head and carried it with them. They, in company with Koshlūk, fled from the territory of Ardish into that of the I-ghūrs, the situation of which has been already

# customs in force among that people, these important matters

indicated, and sent an agent of their own to the Yiddi-Kūt, whose capital was Bish-Bāligh, and asked for shelter. He slew the agent, and cast his body into the Kham [---in some MSS. the point has been left out altogether, and in others put under instead of over—hence it has been incorrectly styled the Jam] Murān. This river is said to rise in the hills crossing the Gobi or Shāmo desert, to run S.S.W., and to fall into the Hoang-ho—the Karā Murān—on the borders of Tibbat, and I believe, from the context, that this is correct. I shall refer to it again farther on. This desert of sand has destroyed many landmarks, and overwhelmed many cities, hence writers are led to look farther north, east, and west for places, and to make rash guesses respecting them, while they lie buried under the sands of the Gobi. The explorations of the Russian Colonel, Prejevalsky, throw considerable light on the parts about Lob Nāwar, and the mountains to the south.

The Yiddi-Küt, having slain the agent, turned out with his people to expel them, and the fugitives, tired and worn out from the hardships they had endured in their flight, after a slight skirmish, being unable to cope with the I-ghürs, went off, and the Yiddi-Küt sent the news of their repulse and flight to the Chingiz Khān. Koshlūk retired, by way of Bish-Bāligh, into the territory of the Gür Khān of the Karā-Khiṭā-i, while the Makrits retired to Kam-Kunchak [with the carelessness of copyists been turned into [with the Kauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā], which has, by the carelessness of copyists been turned into [with the carelessness of copyists been turned into [with the carelessness have arisen, and no wonder "the country to which he went is not known." This must not be mistaken for Kam-Kamjiūt——about which I shall have more to say farther on, but as the tract east of Lob Nāwar.

Koshlük was well received by the Gür Khān, who gave him his daughter in marriage, the details respecting which, and his subsequent ingratitude, have been given in a previous note, on the Karā Khiṭā-İ dynasty, page 930.

After the overthrow and death of the Bigi, Tuktā, the Chingiz Khān despatched two agents to the Kirghiz or Kirkiz tribe, calling upon them to submit. The Bādshāh, as he is styled, Ūrūs Ī-niāl, by name, finding himself unable to offer any opposition, sent back with them an agent of his own with presents, including a rare bird—the Āk-Shunkār—probably a white eagle, or some bird of the same species, and made his submission. This event is said to have happened in 603 H., but, as it certainly happened after the overthrow of the Makrit chief, which, as already mentioned, some say took place in 604 H., the submission of the Kirghiz may have happened in that year also, for, in consequence of the Bigi, Tūktā's finding shelter in that part, the Chingiz Khān called upon them to submit to his yoke.

The next accession of strength gained by the Mughal sovereign was the homage, in 605 H., but some say in 604 H., of Bāūrchīk——i—a ruler of other tribes of Ī-ghūrs, which belong to the Mughal ī-māk although they are neither Kaiāts, Nagūz, nor Durāl-gins. They consisted of over one hundred and twenty different septs, and were descended in a direct line from Mughal Khān, brother of Tāttār Khān, which former was grandfather of Āghūz Khān, and the Ī-ghūrs were the first to join him against Karā Khān, his father, as already related. In religion, the Ī-ghūrs were Lamaists, and, in times previous to those here referred to, the Bāshlīghs, or Chiefs of the Ūn Ī-ghūrs, used to be styled Īl-Īltār, and those of the Tūkūz Ī-ghūrs, Kol-Īrkin, or Īl-Īrkin.

were caused to be ratified. He said: "If you will be obe-

and, in after-times, when about a century of their sovereignty had passed, those titles fell into disuse, and the title given to their ruler was Yiddi-Kütwhich, as regards the first word, in some MSS. is written in such a manner that the two points of the first letter 1-y-are run into one, and made to appear as , b—which alters it altogether. The proper mode of writing it is evidently the above, with the d doubled, which I have taken from a work written by an Uzbak Mughal. In writing words of this kind beginning with an alif-l-is sometimes substituted, thus Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, writes it ايدى — Iddī. It signifies "the Lord of Sovereignty," but some writers say, "the Reigning Prince," and his territory lay in Turkis-At the time in question, he was a tributary to the Gür Khan [The "Aydy Cút," of "Balásághún," as Surgeon-Major Bellew styles him at p. 140 of the Kāshghar Mission History, had nothing whatever to do with Bilasa-ghun: that was the Gur Khan's capital. The Yiddi Kut's chief town was Bish-Bāligh], whose Shahnah or Intendant, named Shāū-kam, dwelt at his court. Having occasion to complain to this Intendant about his illegal and oppressive acts towards the I-ghur people, and receiving naught but insolence and threats in return, the Yiddi-Küt, having heard the noise of the Chingiz Khān's invincibility, and being himself, with his tribe, descended from the same i-māk, slew the Intendant of the Gur Khan at Kara Khwajah. -a place still well known in I-ghūristān-and flung the body into the Kham Muran, saying, at the same time, that no one was safe who was the enemy of the Chingiz Khān, and he determined to despatch an agent to him. The latter, who was, by no means, friendly inclined towards the Gür Khan for giving shelter to Koshlük, the Näeman chief, on hearing what had happened, despatched an agent named Dürbäe, with a friendly message to the Yiddi-Kūt, and invited him to come to him, for the I-ghūr ruler is said to have previously informed the Chingiz Khan that he had driven Koshlük, and the Bigi. Tükta's brother and sons out of his territory. A few writers say the I-ghur was the first to negotiate. Be this as it may, according to the majority of the most trustworthy historians, the Yiddi-Kūt, dreading the resentment of the Gur Khan, was well pleased to seek the protection of the Mughals. He accordingly set out from the I-ghur territory bearing rich presents-for he was a very wealthy prince-consisting of gold, silken garments, cattle, and horses, among which were 1000 of high breed, and slaves both male and female. This was in 605 H. [A.D. 1208-9]. When these negotiations began, the Chingiz Khan was in the territory of Tingkut, whither he had gone, in that same year, to chastise the ruler of that country, Shidarkū, who, with some other chiefs, had revolted, and among whom was the Khan of Kirkiz whose country was utterly ruined. The Mughals then appeared before the city of Iriki [Polo's Egrigaia?, but, in a work written by an Uzbak, it is Arkey]. the ruler of Tinkūt, thereupon made his submission, and is said to have sent his daughter to be espoused by the Chingiz Khān. These events took place in 606 H.

On his way back the Yiddi-Küt reached his presence with befitting offerings. He was well received by the Chingiz Khān, and a liberal apparage was assigned him. He solicited that he might be considered as the Great Khān's fifth son, being himself the son of a Khān. This was consented to, and one of the Chingiz Khān's daughters was given him to wife, and he became his fifth son—his son-in-law.

dient to my mandates, it behoveth that, if I should command the sons to slay the fathers, you should all obey," and they entered into a solemn promise accordingly; and the first command he gave was that they should slay the sons of the great Amir Bāisū, who had been the associate [in the chieftainship] with the Chingiz Khān's father. He [the Chingiz Khān] brought the whole of the tribes under his own sway, and set about making preparations for hostilities, and employed himself in getting ready war material and arms. As the numbers of the Mughals had largely increased and become very great, and an account of this determination of the Chingiz Khān had reached the hearing of the Altūn Khān, he nominated [a force of] 300,000 horse in order to guard the route against the

There is a different version of this matter, and, from the circumstantial manner in which it is related, it bears the impress of truth. The Yiddi-Küt served under Uktae and Chaghatae Khans during the invasion of the Musalman territories, and was at the siege of Utrar. After returning from that campaign, when he presented himself before the Chingiz Khan, on the latter's return homewards, the I-ghur king solicited an alliance, and one of the Chingiz Khān's daughters was betrothed to him, but the nuptial knot was never tied during the Chingiz Khān's lifetime. When Uktāe succeeded, the Yiddi-Kut solicited that the marriage might be completed, but, in the meantime, the lady died. On this Uktae betrothed him to Ulaji Bigi, his own daughter, but before that marriage could be carried out the I-ghur ruler was removed from the world. On this, his son proceeded to the Kā'ān's presence, and was married to Üktäe's daughter, but he too soon followed his father, and was succeeded by his own brother in the rulership of his people, by command of Türākinah Khātūn, during the time she administered the government, after her husband's, Ūktāe's, decease.

After the Chingiz Khān had gained so many victories, and acquired such power, the chiefs of other tribes and their people now began to submit to him, and among them was Arsalān Khān, the most prominent of the chiefs of one portion of the numerous Turkish tribe of Kārlūgh [or Kārlūk: it is written both ways] who submitted to him, and joined him with all his people. This was in 607 H., when the Chingiz Khān was encamped at Kalūr-ān. There were several divisions of the Kārlūk or Kārlūgh Turks or Turk-māns, as they are likewise called by several oriental writers, as may be gathered from what I have mentioned in the account of the Afrāsiyābī Maliks, pages 907 and 925, and in note 3, page 376.

I have now briefly noticed the most prominent events in the life of the Chingiz Khān up to the time of his revolt against the Āltān Khān, where our author's account takes its proper place.

In a few modern copies—" and fathers to slay their sons—in addition to the former part of the sentence.

Mughals, and hold the pass [leading out of the tract then occupied by them].

The Chingiz Khān despatched a Musalmān, named Ja'far, who was among that people [the Mughals], among the forces of the Altun Khan under semblance of traffic; and the Altun Khan commanded that he should be imprisoned; and he detained him for a considerable time. The prisoner [in question], by some good contrivance that became practicable, fled from that confinement; and, by a secret route, made for the presence of the Chingiz Khan, and related the matter to him, and informed him respecting the road by which he had come. The Chingiz Khan determined upon the design of rebelling, got his forces ready, and first directed so that the whole of the Mughal families assembled together at the base of a mountain. joined that all the men should be separated from the women. and the children from their mothers: and, for three whole days and nights, all of them remained bare-headed: and for three days no one tasted food, and no animal was allowed to give milk to its young. The Chingiz Khan himself entered a khargāh [a felt tent], and placed a tent-rope about his neck, and came not forth from it for three nights and days; and, during this period, the whole of the people [there assembled] were crying out, Tingri! Tingri!

After three days, at dawn, on the fourth day, the Chingiz Khān issued from the tent, and exclaimed, "Tingri hath given me victory. Now we will get ready that we may wreak our vengeance upon the Altūn Khān!" For the space of another three days, in that same place likewise, a feast was held. At the end of those three days, he led forth his troops; and, following the route by which that fugitive, Ja'far, had come through the mountains, they issued forth, and assailed the country of Tamghāj, carried their inroads into it, and put the people to the sword. When the news of the Chingiz Khān's outbreak became spread abroad, and reached the Altūn Khān, he imagined that, perhaps, that army of 300,000 horse, which were holding that pass and the high road, had been overthrown,

In a few copies رالت—on a mission to demand peace or war—but he was evidently sent as a spy.

Pass or Defile. Thus in the text, but one of the entrances in the Great Wall

and put to the sword; and the heart of the Altun Khan,

is meant—that of Salū-ling-kīw?—ساو لساته کيو—which, according to Ḥāfis Abrū, "having once been passed, the country of Khitāe may be considered as subdued."

I must here also briefly relate what other more modern writers, who wrote however under Mughal influence, state; because our author's account contains much that no others have related, and he was contemporary with the Chingiz Khān, knew many of the actors in these events, and was not influenced by the patronage of Mughal sovereigns.

Now that the Chingiz Khan, through the submission of the Yiddi-Kut of the I-ghurs, had reduced, nominally, or partially, at least, all the tribes between the Gür Khān's dominions on the west, and Khitā, or Northern China, on the east, and most of the Mughal tribes, and had become exceedingly prosperous, and his forces countless, he resolved to make an attempt upon the territory of the Altan Khan of Khita, Shudai-Shu-o-shu—the "Ninkiassu" of some European writers—to whom, for many ages, his forefathers had been tributary. He wanted a plea, like the wolf in the fable, and found one as easily, and certainly more justly, than another descendant of Yāfis has lately manufactured one against the 'Usmānli Turks: only the Chingiz Khān acted openly, not perfidiously, or hypocritically: so, what had happened seven and four generations before respectively, he now adopted as an excuse for invading the Altan Khan's dominions. The Altan Khans of by-gone times had put to death two chiefs' sons of the Nairūn Mughals, as already related in the account of the Turks, namely Hamangha or Hamanka, and Ükin-Barkāk.

Oriental writers differ considerably in their accounts of these events. It appears that the Chingiz Khān continually conferred with his chiefs and tributaries on the injuries and wrongs their forefathers had sustained at the hands of the Khiṭā-is, by reason of which the Mughal people were looked upon with scorn by other nations, their neighbours. He recalled to them the prediction [the imposture of Tab Tingri, previously referred to] that they were always to be victorious over their enemies.

On this, the Khwājah, Ja'fir—the very same as mentioned by our author—a Musalmān of sagacity, as he is called, who had long been in the Chingiz Khān's service—as being a more respectable agent, probably, than a barbarian Mughal—was despatched to the court of the Āltān Khān, to intimate to that monarch his accession to the sovereignty of the Mughal tribes, and calling upon him to render allegiance, and pay tribute to his former vassals, the Mughals, in which case he might continue as heretofore to rule over Khiṭā! The Āltān Khān treated the messenger and his demands with utter contempt, and sent him away.

The author of the "Mongols Proper," who disdains all who wrote in Persian (while his information is derived from translations from them), with the exception, I suppose, of the "great Raschid," as mere "second-rate authorities," "muddy streams," &c., &c., turns this Musalmān, whose name plainly indicates his religion, and who was not a Mughal, into "Jafar Khodsha," and adds that he was "one of the principal Mongols"!

Then occurred the tent and fast scene related by our author, but in much greater detail. More particulars respecting the impostures of the Mughal ruler will be found farther on.

After this, in the eighth month of 607 [March, 1211 A.D.] H., the Mughal troops

and of the whole of the inhabitants of the country of Tamghāi, became much afflicted.

were assembled; a portion, amounting to 10,000 horse, under Tughachar, also styled Dālān, was left behind to guard the Chingiz Khān's own camp and territory, and keep the conquered tribes of Karayat, Naeman, and others quiet, while, from the remainder, two armies were formed: one was despatched under the Chingiz Khān's three sons, Jūji, Chaghatāe, and Uktāe, and some of his Nū-yins, into Khūrjah [Corea of Europeans], passing through the country of the Kal-imak, who had already acknowledged the supremacy of the Mughals. There they committed great devastation, and sacked cities and towns without opposition, the troops of that country having gone to join the Khita-i forces.

The country of Khūrjah, or Khūrjat, is said to have been computed at seventy tomans-700,000—that is to say, such was the number of fighting men it had to furnish-and the city of Sūkin [سوكين] or Sunkin [سنكين], as it is also called, and the great city of Kūiking [قويكناه] or Kūyūiking [قويوبكناه], which was one of the greatest in the empire, was captured by Jabbah [our author's

Yamah], the Nū-yin, and destroyed.

Subsequently, Jujt, and his brothers, advanced in another direction, and wrested out of the hands of the Khita-is, the cities of Tung-[possibly with-Kung] - Chiw - توجيو - Sūk-Chiw - موق جمو - Kū-Chiw - توناع جيو - Ūn-ūt and Long-Ching-وراوي العبيناء [one MS. ابولله جيناء]. I may mention that no languages are worse than the Persian, and such others as use the 'Arabic characters, for recording foreign proper names, unless the scribes are very careful to point the letters correctly; and no language is so bad, probably, for vitiating the pronunciation of foreign words as the Chinese, and, therefore, the absolute accuracy of these Chinese names cannot be vouched for: I have added the originals as I find them, but after comparing and anthenticating them as I have, among other helps, used four copies of AlfI. well as possible.

The Chingiz Khān himself, with his army, received further reinforcements near the river Til, also written Til, of Karā-Khitāe; and the cities, which lay on the banks of that river, such as Baisue-- and others, were taken.

After that, Ülä-Küsh or Alākūsh, Tigin Kūrin, chief of the Ungkūt Turks, the same who betrayed the Tayanak Khan's proposals to Tamur-chi, again betrayed the trust reposed in him. He and his tribe were subject to, and in the pay of, the Khita-i sovereigns, and located in the part now approached by the Mughals, for the purpose of guarding that part of the Great Wall or Barrier called Üİn-Kun-ارين فون by the Turkish tribes, and which was built for the purpose of restraining the Karayats, Naemans, and Mughals, and preventing their molesting the Khitae territory. He had a grievance against the Altan Khan, and admitted the Mughals within the Great Wall, and provided the invaders with guides.

The name Ung-kut or Uin-kut is said to signify the guards of the Wall or Barrier. It is also written Unkut—نكت Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, says the Turks call this Wall or Barrier Tur-kurghah [or Tur-kurghah]-توراور فعا

and the Khita-is, Ungu-اونكو

The Chingiz Khan and his hordes having been admitted within the Great Wall, and having gained a footing there, he despatched bodies of troops in various directions to ravage and subdue the Khitae territory; and two hundred cities, towns, and fortresses, they destroyed or captured, including the cities of قون چ و- and Kun-Chiw - توچيناله جيو- ,Kuching Chiw نوشا

Some writers state, with regard to these events, that all the towns and

# When the news of that disaster, plunder, devastation,

cities, which submitted without resistance and furnished supplies to the invaders, were spared, but that all others were destroyed.

He then turned his face towards the Åltān Khān's capital, and metropolis of Khiṭāe, which, in the Tārtkh-i-Jahān-gtr, Ḥabtb-us-Siyar, &c., is named Chingdū [عكو] or Chingtū [بهكو], where the Åltān Khān then was. This must be our author's city of Tamghāj, that is to say, the chief city of the country of Tamghāj.

When the Altan Khan became aware of the advance of the Mughal host, he marched with his army, reinforced by the forces of Khurjah—a numerous host—and took up a position to guard one of the strong entrances leading into his empire, detaching a considerable body of troops in advance to watch the frontiers and harass the Mughals if opportunity occurred. This could have been of little effect with the Mughals within the Great Wall, and, evidently, is the same circumstance as our author refers to; but he says, more probably, that the Altan Khan sent 300,000 horse to guard the entrance into his territory. The sovereigns of Khitae did not usually accompany their armies, and Hans Abru also says that he was not present. Our author also mentions the same Ja'fir; and the latter's return, by a secret route, evidently refers to the route by the Great Wall, betrayed by Ala-kūsh, Tigin Kurin.

To return to the accounts of writers who wrote a century or more after our author. The force detached from the Åltān Khān's main army, commanded by the Amirs of Khūrjah, was so far successful that, information having reached it that the Mughals, after capturing one of the cities in the vicinity, were then engaged, unsuspicious of the near approach of enemies, in dividing the spoil in their camp, the Khiṭā-i leaders thought this an excellent opportunity, and determined to endeavour to surprise them. They came upon the Mughals when cooking their food, but the Chingiz Khān was speedily on the alert, and his troops, dropping their cookery, were soon mounted, and they speedily put the Khiṭā-is to the rout.

The main army of the Åltān Khān, which had advanced to meet the Mughals, when within a few marches of them, was found to be so much fatigued that it was deemed advisable to halt to give it some rest. Its camp was fortified by a deep trench in front, and the waggons or carts of the army were placed on either flank. Hearing, however, that the Mughals were advancing in search of them, they foolishly left this secure position, and, despising the Mughals whom they had so often coerced in former times, sallied forth to meet them. The battle was obstinate and bloody, but ended without any decisive result; for, although the Khiṭā-is lost nearly 30,000 men, the Mughals lost even more. The Chingiz Khān thought it advisable to retire with his spoils towards his own borders, and the Khiṭā-is did not deem it advisable to follow, as they were much worn out with long marches and their exertions in the late battle.

Hāfis Abrū says it was one of the Chingiz Khān's most famous battles, that the Khiṭā-İs were nearly annihilated, and that it took place towards the end of 607 H. [about the end of May, 1211 A.D.], while some writers leave it out entirely. If the Khiṭā-İs were nearly annihilated, it is strange the Mughals should have retired. The Ḥabib-us-Siyar also says the Khiṭā-İs were overthrown, and that the Āltān Khān fied in dismay to his capital. Fearing for the safety of that city, if the war continued, the Āltān Khān now summoned his minister and his two principal generals, to deliberate on the

# and slaughter, reached that [great] army which was guard-

state of affairs. It was agreed that a temporary accommodation should be entered into, if possible, in order to get rid of the Mughals for the present, trusting to what events might happen hereafter; and to give themselves time to make preparations for the future when once rid of them. An ambassador was despatched to treat with the Chingiz Khān, and the Altān Khān's daughter, Konjū by name, was offered him in marriage. This offer was at once accepted for some cause—probably because he had lost so heavily in the great battle, and because he found the conquest of the Altān Khān's country, at that time, and under present circumstances, was not so easy as he had expected. For these reasons, and flattered with the condescending offer of the Khān's daughter, and such an imperial alliance, the Chingiz Khān accepted the offer of peace; and accompanied by the Khitā-i princess withdrew from the Altān Khān's dominions to his yūrat in the country of Karā-Kuram.

According to the Chinese historians quoted by Gaubil, the great battle just referred to, took place in A.D. 1212 [= 609-10H.], near the mountain [range?] Yehu, seven or eight leagues W.N.W. of Swen-wha-fū; and, in an attack upon Tai-tong-fū, the Chingiz Khān was dangerously wounded, upon which he thought fit to return home. The Khiṭā-is on this retook several places, among which was Kū-yang-quan.

These historians also state that, on the subsequent return of the Chingiz Khān, in A.D. 1213, a still more bloody battle took place between the Khiṭā-is and the invaders near Whayley, four or five leagues W. of Kū-yang-quam, and that the field was strewed with dead bodies for four leagues together. This coincides exactly with what our author describes at page 965, which see.

As soon as the Mughals had withdrawn, the Altan-Khan left his son at Ching-du, with several distinguished nobles as his counsellors, along with a considerable army, and withdrew himself from the capital, which was situated a little to the north of the city, ealled, in after-years, Khan-Baligh by the Mughals, and is said to be the Yen-king of the Chinese, situated a little N. of the present Pekin, and, doubtless our author's city of Tamghaj, by which he does not mean to say that such was its name, but that it was the city—the capital—of the Tamghaj country or empire. The Altan Khan retired to Taiming-the Pyen-lyang of the Chinese, and called also Nanking, and still called Pyen-lyang. Its site is just where Kai-song-fü, the capital of Honan now stands, which his father had founded, and which they likewise call Antā-e or Intā-أ [دانائي], which is somewhat doubtfully written. It is said to have been some forty leagues in circumference, surrounded by a triple wall, and situated on a river which they call the Chang or Ching-Khū and some the Ikrā—|-|-Murān], and "in which [on one side of which?] its foundations were laid. The breadth of this river is so great, that, between early morning and evening, a boat passes from one side to the other, and returns with considerable exertion." On the way to this city, some of the Altan Khan's troops deserted him, and went away and joined the Mughals; and the Chingiz Khān, on becoming advised of the Altan Khān's retirement from Ching-du, despatched an army under two Amirs of Tomans-the Bahadur Sāmūķah—[Hāfis Abrū has Sājūķah], the Sāljiūt, and another Nū-yān, to invest Ching-du, which they did.

The Habib-us-Siyar gives a different account of these events, which agrees more with the Chinese statements, which affairs are said to have happened in 608—10 H. [A.D. 1211—13], that the whole of the northern part of the Åltan Khān's

ing the high road, through panic, on account of the state of

dominions was in a disturbed and disaffected state, and that disloyalty and sedition prevailed, so much so that the Altan Khān's son, through these disaffections, withdrew from Ching-dū, and went and joined his father, and that intelligence of the forsaken condition of that capital conveyed to him through the governor of Khūrjah, who tendered his allegiance to him, induced the Chingis Khān to invade Khitā a second time.

Another account is that the Åltān Khān had given orders to ravage some parts of Karā-Khitāe [i.e. north-west of, and beyond the Great Wall], on which the people sent agents to the Chingiz Khān at Karā-Kuram, and sought his protection, and the Khān of one of the disaffected parts, having gained possession of one of the fortresses guarding one of the entrances through the Great Wall, offered to admit the Mughals thereby. This statement is confused, and refers to the first, not the second expedition, as I have shown. However, it is farther stated that the Chingiz Khān thought the time propitious, and determined on invading the Åltān Khān's dominions again, and that he proposed that his I-ghūr and Kārlūgh allies should take a part in the expedition; but, being unable, through sickness—caused by the wound perhaps, referred to previously—to proceed himself, the command was given to Sāmūkah, the Sāljfūt, his oldest Nū-yān.

The Chinese authors tell us that the Chingiz Khān, having retired from Khiţāe, after the accommodation with the Āltān Khān, and, having received his daughter in marriage, in 1211 A.D., set out, accompanied by Jūjt, to conquer Kibchāk—an error for Kam-Kunchak previously referred to—in order to reduce several tribes which had been subject to the Wang Khān, who had nought to do with "Kipchak," that the tribes inhabiting Jatah [European Getes] submitted, and that, leaving half his forces with Jūjt, who defeated the Komāns, Walāks, Bulghārs, and Hungarians, the Chingiz Khān retired to Karā-Kuram. Now this is wholly incorrect, and caused apparently through mistaking Kam-Kunchak for Kibchāk. The Chingiz Khān never entered Kibchāk, and Jūjt was not sent into Kibchāk until several years after—he never went against either Walāks, Bulghārs, or Hungarians—as will be seen farther on; and it is quite certain that the Āwang Khān had nothing to do with their country.

The same writers also state that the Chingiz Khān determined to invade Khitāe again in consequence of certain threats of the Åltān Khān, and, at the instigation of the Gūr Khān, who had been provoked by the Åltān Khān's ravages on his territory, and who had, by help of some rebels, seized a considerable fortress which opened the way into China. Here they have terribly confused matters. The Gūr Khān dynasty had already terminated, and the Ungkūt Turks betrayed the passage through the great mound or Wall on the occasion of the first invasion.

The Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā also states that another Mughal army was despatched into Khūrjah to prevent the forces of that territory from going to the aid of the Altān Khān, and several places in it were taken. Meanwhile, the other army is said to have been met, on its advance, by the army of the Altān Khān, which was pushing forward to meet it; and it is related that the advance of the Mughals was defeated, but that, the main army coming up, the Khiṭā-is were overthrown and routed, and their troops took refuge in different cities. The capital was strongly garrisoned, and the Āltān Khān's son is said to have been there in command [this is totally contrary to the Habib-us-Siyar, written

affairs, they became dispersed, and were slain, and made captive.

The Chingiz Khān acquired domination over the countries of Saghar, and Tingit, and Tamghāj, and he came

by the son of the author of the Rauşat-uş-Şafā], and the Mughals are said to have been induced to endeavour to take the city by assault, but to have been repulsed, and the investment continued for a long time. The defenders being numerous and the inhabitants likewise, the besiegers determined to starve the city into surrender, and subsequently it was taken by stratagem; and, this being reported to the Khiṭā-i sovereign, he was so affected that he destroyed himself by poison.

The Ḥabīb-us-Siyar says an attempt was made by a body of troops, each carrying a portion of grain, as well as the followers of the army, to conduct a convoy of provisions, to relieve the capital, but that they were intercepted on the march and defeated; and the convoy was taken. On this two of the Khiṭā-ī generals destroyed themselves by means of poison, and others escaped to Tayming; and these disasters were followed by the submission of the capital and country.

The details of the capture of the city in the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā, and in the work of the Turkish author, Abū-l-Khair, are somewhat similar to our author's account, the details of which he had of pe.sons who, shortly after its capture, visited it, and therefore his account is of the utmost value. The date of its capture is 610 H. [A.D. 1213-14].

I have now brought up the events in the career of the Chingiz Khān, as briefly as possible, to where our author begins to give his narrative in greater detail. Hāfiz Abrū gives most elaborate accounts of these events, but I must, for want of space, leave his particulars for another occasion.

6 This is the same name as occurs at page 267 and 270, and in the second para. immediately under, and in the account of Tushi [Juji] Khan farther on. نفر-بعز-يفر- ثفر to تعر to تعرب word varies in the different copies of the text from and—without any points. When I wrote the notes to the account of the Khwarazmi Sultans, I concluded, from the mention, invariably, of Tingit and Tamghaj along with it, that the I-ghur country must be meant, from the third form of the doubtful word as given above-Then again I thought the word must be-saghar-an 'Arabic word in common use, signifying the frontier of an infidel country, but this, too, is, I think, from what is mentioned farther on, also untenable, although Tingit and Tamghāj are still used in connexion with it. As, in Turkish words, occurring in the histories of this period, the letters & and oh are interchangeable, I was inclined to consider that the word here might be Saghar, or Sakar, or Saghir, or Sakir, and that it referred to the place which the old travellers call, and what appears in the Jesuits' maps as, Sukkier, and Saker, and Sukquier, Sukuir, Suchur, and Sucuir, in as many copies of Polo's work, but this idea must also be abandoned, for this reason that Sulfan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, never penetrated as far east as the limits of Turkistan, in that quarter, in his pursuit of Kadr Khān, with respect to whose pursuit in 615 H., it is first mentioned at pages 267 and 270, and there it is stated as being in or part of "Tatar," and "Turkistan." But it is also distinctly stated, on the former page, that, in reaching this identical part—"as far as Yighur [I-ghūr]—that is supposing "North Pole"—that the light of twilight never left the sky all night;" and,

before the gate of the city of Tamghāj and seat of government of the Altūn Khān [and invested it]. He continued

from note 3 to that page, even were the time midsummer, the Sultan must have reached as far north as the parallel of 49° or 50° of north latitude, for such a phenomenon to occur, and, consequently, Sakir or Saghir—the Sukkier, &c., of the old travellers, between Kāshghar and Khitā, is out of the question. Sibr———Siberia, likewise, will not do, as the word is written very differently.

In the notice of Tūshī [Jūjī], farther on, referring to the same place and event, it is said that, "in the year 615 H., the Sultān had gone to make a raid upon the tribes of Kadr Khān of Turkistān, who was the son of Safaktān the Yamak," and that "Tūshī, from the side of Tamghāj, had advanced with an army"—at page 269 it is said that "Tūshī had come out of Chīn in pursuit of an army of Tatārs," and that refers to Tuk-Tughān, the Makrīt chief, a totally different person from Kadr Khān, the son of Safaktān-i-Yamak, but who, at page 267, is called Yūsuf [his correct name apparently is Yūsuf, and Kadr Khān his title], the Tatār, whose father's Turkish name was Ṣafaktān, and his tribe the Yamak. According to our author, Ulugh Khān, afterwards Sultān of Dihlī, was connected with the Yamak.

In the lines of poetry with which our author closes this History, he styles his patron, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam "Khān of the Ilbarī, and Shāh of the Yamak;" and Sulṭān I-yal-timiṣh is also said to have belonged to the Ilbarī tribe, which, at page 796, is mentioned as being obliged to fly before the Mughals, "when they acquired predominance over the countries of Turkistān and the tribes of Khifchāk." Yamak or Yamāk is described as the name of a ruler and of a city or town, and also of a territory of Turkistān; and some add that it is also the name applied to the sovereign of the Ī-ghūr, but that was, as already stated, Yīddī-Ķūt. Respecting the Ilbarī tribe, which I believe to be that which ancient authors call the Abars, or a part of them, I shall have something to say before closing these notes.

In 'Abd-ullah-i-Khūrdādbih's work there are some items of information which may throw a little light on this difficult matter, but, still, a deal remains to be cleared up; and the copy of his work which I have referred to, unfortunately, has been damaged by damp in the middle of each page for some thirty or forty pages, in the very portion I wanted most to be perfect. He says, with reference to the Ghuzz tribe, that "the Ghuzzan are a people, the Malik of whom they style the Taghar—تغر—Khākān أنفر is one of the words in some copies of our author's text, and may easily be mistaken for by a copyist], and the capital of the Taghar Khākān is the city of Aral-J. There are Tarsah [Christians-Nestorians] there as well as Buddhists, and others. The people are nomads and live in khargahs [round felt tents] and tents [different to the khargāh], but their Maliks wear dresses of silk brocade, and silk, with wide sleeves and long skirts." He moreover says that the routes from that territory lead to Barsakhān—which is said, by another writer, to be a place between I-ran and Turan, not a very satisfactory explanation, and mhich, being without points, may be read many ways, where the routes [or where other routes] meet. From thence to Sakit [! اكبت], and then to کت Kashmi-ghāsūr—and from thence to کشمیهائور Kashmi-ghāsūr—and from thence to to be Hakat, but Jakut seems more probable, but I can only give the original word as I find it], is a day's journey. It is farther added, that this wilayat or country—Taghar—is less in extent than Kujā— —described by another author as a place within Chin. Now all this, it appears to me, tends to

before the city for a period of four years, in such wise that every stone which was in that city they [the defenders] used to place in the catapults and discharge against the investors; and, when stones, bricks, and the like, ceased to be available, everything that was of iron, brass, lead, copper, tin, and pewter, all was expended in the catapults, and then bālishts [ingots] of gold and silver they continued to discharge in place of stones. Trustworthy [persons] have narrated on this wise, that the Chingiz Khān, during this period, had issued a mandate that no person in the Mughal army should take any notice of that gold and silver, nor remove any of it from the place where it might have fallen.

After a period of four years when that city was taken, and the Altūn Khān had fled, and his son and his Wazir became captives in the hands of the Chingiz Khān, he commanded that, from the records of the treasuries and the Mushrifs [auditors] of the treasuries of the Altūn Khān, a copy of the account of gold and silver should be procured. They brought it to the Chingiz Khān accordingly, showing how many bālishts of gold and silver had been discharged,

ahow that the tract indicated in the text is no other than this TAGHAR [the part indicated is described as a wilāyat by our author] OF THE GHUZZ, probably as far north as the Aral Nāwar, and that, from the two words having been mistaken in MS. for one, the puzzling, but incorrect, I believe, subject of "Taghazgaz" has arisen [قرفز out of نقرفز], the chief of which, like several others in Turkistān, bore the title of Khākān.

Ibn-Haukal says, speaking of Chin, if you wish to proceed westward from the east, you come by Kharkhiz [this has no reference to the Karghiz], and Ghazghuzz—تفرفز] Taghar-i-Ghuzz?], and by Kimāk to the sea, a four months' journey. Again he says, in another place, that Ghuzz is the boundary of the land of the Turks, from Khurz and Kimāk, and to خراجه — Khuranjiah?—and Bulghār, and the boundary on the land of the Musalmāns from Gurgān [Jurjāniah of the 'Arabs] to Bārāb [i. e. Fārāb] and Isfanjāb.

I was in hopes that M. Barbier de Meynard's edition of 'Abd-ullah-i-Khurdādbih, published in the "Journal Asiatique," for 1865, would help me here, but the names of places are so fearfully incorrect as to render it perfectly useless for the purpose. For example: the well-known city of Nishāpūr is written with instead of : Isrūshtah—اوسinstead of : Isrūshtah—اروسانا : Weh, in the same way, although so well-known, is printed العور—Al-Ghūr—العورا—Al-a'ūz, and so on.

7 A bālish or bālish signifies a pillow or bolster for the head, but, here, an ingot of gold or silver in the form of a pillow or bolster, which, in former days, was current among the the Turks. A bālish of gold is said to have weighed eight mishāls and two dāngs, and a bālish of silver, eight dirams and two dāngs, but the bālish here referred to must have been of far greater weight to have been of any effect on this occasion.

and, according to those records, he required the whole of the gold and silver: and he obtained it so that not a single bar thereof was missing.

From the Sayyid-i-Ajall [most worthy Sayyid], Bahāud-Din, the Razi-on whom be peace!-who was a Sayyid of noble nature, and of manifest lineage, this servant of the state, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, who is the compiler of this TABAKAT, heard, that Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shāh—on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—despatched him on a mission to the Chingiz Khān, and the reason for sending it was, that, when the account of the outbreak of the Chingiz Khan, and the predominance of the Mughal forces over the territories of Tamghai, and countries of Saghar<sup>a</sup> and Tingit, and the regions of Chin, from the extreme east, was brought to the hearing of Sultan Muḥammad, Khwarāzm Shāh, he was desirous of investigating, by means of trustworthy persons of his own, the truth of this statement, and to bring certain information respecting the condition and amount of the Mughal forces and their weapons and warlike apparatus.9 The writer [of this book] who is Minhāj-i-Sarāj, in the year 617 H., which was the first year of the Mughal forces' crossing the Jihūn into Khurāsān, heard, whilst within the fortress of Tūlak, from the lips of the 'Imād-ul-Mulk. Tāj-ud-Din, the Jāmi, the Dabir [secretary], who was one of the ministers of state of the Khwarazm-Shahi dynasty, that the ambition to appropriate the countries of Chin had become implanted in the heart of Sultan Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, and he was constantly making inquiry respecting those states, and used to ask comers from the territories of Chin, and the extreme limits of Turkistan, about them. "We [his] servants" [continued

This name varies just as before in the different copies of the text. According to our author's statements at pages 268 and 270, and in his notice of Tüshi [Jüji], between the seizure of the Chingiz Khān's merchants and envoys and the Sultān's return from 'Irāk, that is to say, in 615 H., the Sultān had penetrated into this part in pursuit of Kadr Khān, the Tatār, son of Safaktān, the Yamak, referred to at page 961, but his accounts are somewhat confused, wanting detail, and other writers do not refer to this particular affair.

<sup>•</sup> The idiom varies considerably in different copies here, as in other places previously mentioned.

A few copies have "Hind and Chin."

Tāj-ud-Din] "used to make representation in order to lead him from entertaining that resolution, but in no manner was that thought to be removed from his mind until he despatched the Sayyid-i-Ajall, Bahā-ud-Din, the Rāzi, for that important affair." <sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Hamd-ullah, the Mustawfi, in his Tarikh, says [see also note 4, page 265] that, before this catastrophe, the Chingiz Khan sent an envoy into I-ran, and entered into a treaty with the Sultan of Khwarazm, that compacts were entered into, and letters passed between them, and that the treaty was ratified. Merchants are said to have proceeded to the  $urd\bar{u}$  of the Mughal ruler, without hesitation, on this understanding between the two rulers; and it is stated that the Chingiz Khān sent envoys and merchants of his own, a second time, along with them. This cannot be correct from the statement of the Sayvid, Bahā-ud-Din, who went thither, as our author relates; and the one despatch of envoys and merchants on this single occasion has evidently been made into two. It is also asserted that, as early as 614 H., after his return from Ghaznin, the Sultan began to show less respect towards the Mughals, but the Sultan came to Ghaznin two years before that date, and in 615 H. invaded the northern parts of Asia. [See preceding note 6.] In the year 614 H., the Khalifah, Un-Nāşir, is said to have instigated the Mughal to attack the Sultan, as previously related in the note first referred to, to which the Chingiz Khān is said to have replied that the restless nature of the Sultan would soon afford a plea for attacking him.

Rashid-ud- Din and Mir Khāwind state, that one cause of ill feeling on the part of the Mughal ruler towards the Musalmān Sultān was, that the latter had despatched bodies of troops occasionally into parts under allegiance to the Mughals, and ill-treated the people, as though war had actually commenced, and, at last, overran a territory belonging to Koshlūk, the Nāemān sovereign, which the Chingiz Khān considered his by right of conquest. Notwithstanding all this, it is said, the Mughal ruler was still inclined to keep on good terms with the Sultān. This statement is not correct, however, as may be seen from note 4, page 268, and in the account of Tūshi [Jūji] farther on. The invasion of the territory in question—Ardish [turned into "Arwish" in the Kashghar Mission History]— they say, was when the Sultān fell in with a Mughal army under Jūji, and compelled it to fight, but, on that occasion, the Sultān's intention was to protect his own territories from invasion by fugitives flying from the Mughals, not to attack them.

Petis de la Croix in his "Genghizcan," pages 158 to 164, causes Abū-l-Khair and Rashīd to make a terrible blunder respecting the battle which took place between the Mughals under Jūjī, after the defeat of the Makrīts, and the Sulṭān, which he has previously correctly mentioned as having taken place in A.D. 1216 [H. 613], and makes out that a great battle was fought between the Sulṭān and the Chingiz Khān in person, in A.D. 1218 [H. 615], while they never once met. He says the Sulṭān made secret levies of troops, and all those available from "Corassan, Balc, the Borders of India, and other parts of Iran," were directed to assemble at "Feraber," a town of "Bocara;" that the Sulṭān's army amounted to 300,000 or 400,000 men, but yet was far inferior to the Mughal host. The Sulṭān is then said to have found the enemy at "Carcou," and an indecisive battle was the result. The details, however, are simply those of the battle which took place between the Gūr Khān and the

The Sayyid, Bahā-ud-Din, related after this manner:-"When we arrived within the boundaries of Tamghaj, and near to the seat of government of the Altun Khan. from a considerable distance a high white mound appeared in sight, so distant, that between us and that high place was a distance of two or three stages, or more than that. We, who were the persons sent by the Khwarazm Shahi government, supposed that that white eminence was perhaps a hill of snow, and we made inquiries of the guides and the people of that part [respecting it], and they replied: "The whole of it is the bones of men slain." When we had proceeded onwards another stage, the ground had become so greasy and dark from human fat, that it was necessary for us to advance another three stages on that same road, until we came to dry ground again.4 Through the infections [arising] from that ground, some [of the party] became ill, and some perished. On reaching the gate of the city of Tamghaj, we perceived, in a place under a bastion of the citadel, an immense quantity of human bones collected. Inquiry was made, and people replied, that, on the day the city was captured, 60,000 young girls, virgins. threw themselves from this bastion of the fortress and destroyed themselves, in order that they might not fall captives into the hands of the Mughal forces, and that all these were their bones.

When we saw the Chingiz Khan, they brought in bound,

Sultan referred to in note 1, page 262, and note 1, page 980, which see, and thus a sad confusion of events is the result.

<sup>3</sup> This worthy official is, I find, a totally different person from Badr-ud-Din, referred to in note <sup>7</sup>, page 270. I think therefore that such testimony is to be preferred to statements written about a century after by writers in the employ of Mughal sovereigns.

<sup>6</sup> It is said that the number of killed in the great battle referred to in para. eighteen, of note <sup>5</sup>, page 954, was so great that the beasts of the field and fowls of the air enjoyed their obscene feasts for more than a year, on that battle-field.

5 Other, but much more modern writers, state that the Chingiz Khān, after his successes in Khiţā, whither he did not proceed in person on the second invasion of that country, as already noticed, returned to his yūrat, and sent officers in command of numerous troops to guard his conquests. From our author's statement above, contrary to all others, and derived from the Sayyid, Bahā-ud-Dīn, an eye-witness, the Chingiz Khān was himself at Tamghāj when the Sultān's agents had this interview with him.

Surgeon-Major Bellew tells us that "Changiz," leaving strong garrisons in "Tughúr" [!] and its frontiers, returned to his Yurt or "country seat" [sic] at

where we were, the son of the Altun Khan, and the Wazir of his father; and, at the time of our return, the Chingiz Khān sent a great number of rareties and offerings with us for presentation to Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, and said: 'Say ye unto Khwārazm Shāh, "I am the sovereign of the sun-rise, and thou the sovereign of the surset. Let there be between us a firm treaty of friendship, amity, and peace, and let traders and kārwāns on both sides come and go, and let the precious products and ordinary commodities which may be in my territory be conveyed by them into thine, and those of thine, in the same manner, let them bring into mine." Among the rareties and presents that the Chingiz Khan sent to Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, was a nugget of pure gold as big as a camel's neck, which they had brought to him [the Chingiz Khān] from the mountain [range] of Tamghāj, so that it was necessary to convey that piece of gold upon a cart. With us also he despatched five hundred camels laden with gold, silver, silks, khazz -i-Khitāe [a coarse kind of woven silk of Khita], targhū [a silken fabric red in colour], kundūz [beaver], samūr [sable], and raw silk, and elegant and ingenious things of Chin and Tamghāj, along with merchants of his own; and the majority of those camels were laden with gold and silver. When Utrār was reached. Kadr Khān 1 of Utrār acted in a per-

Shaman Gara = "The Shaman's home." I wonder what a barbarian Mughal's "country seat" may have been. The Shaman's home—in what language "Gara" may mean "home" is not stated—refers probably to the place called Samān-Kaharah, where he was chosen Khān. See previous note, paragraph twenty-five, page 937.

Abū-l-Fidā says the envoys and merchants came from Mughūlistān through Karā-Khitāe, and Turkistān. No doubt, they took the ordinary

caravan-route by Turfan.

7 In some copies of the text, "a piece of beaten gold:" in others, as rendered above, which is doubtless the correct version.

- This word also means any description of fur made up into garments, but here the meaning is as above. Khiṭā-t̄, in itself, is, I believe, the name of a fabric, also called nankeen by Europeans.
- According to some writers who explain the word, the animal is something like a fox, and some say, like an otter. It may mean the fur of the black fox or of the beaver.
- 1 Our author has made this same mistake before. His title was Ghā-ir Khān, not Kadr Khān, and his name was Anfāl Jūk. See note 7, page 271. In the Geographical Magasine for June, 1877, Mr. H. H. Howorth, who

fidious manner, and sought permission from Sultan Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, and, out of covetousness of that large amount of gold and silver, had the whole of the traders and travellers, and the emissaries [from the Chingiz Khān], slaughtered, so that not one among them escaped with the exception of a camel man who was at a bath, who, during that occurrence, managed to get out by way of the fireplace of the hot-bath, adopted a contrivance for his own safety, and, by way of the desert, returned to the territories of Chin and Tamghāj.

When he acquainted the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> with the particulars of that perfidy, and as Almighty God had so willed that this treachery should be the means of the ruin of the empire of Islām, it became evident that "the command of God is an inevitable decree," and the instruments of the predetermined will of fate became available—From Thy wrath preserve us, O God!"

This servant of the victorious government, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, heard from the son of Malik Rukn-ud-Din of Khaesār of Ghūr, who heard [the particulars] from Shāh 'Uşmān of Sistān, who was one among the Princes of Nimroz, and a favourite of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, and the Shāh [Uşmān] swore that, for every treasury in which was

makes rash guesses and assertions on Turks, "Mongots," etc., says, referring to this person, that he was named Inaljuk and entitled Ghair Khan, "which is probably a corruption either of the Gur Khan or, as the author of the Tabakat-i-Nasiri makes it, of Kadr Khan." In his "Mongols Proper," on the other hand, we are told in one place, that he was named "Inaljek," and. that Sultan "Muhammed ordered that he was no longer to be styled Inaljek but Ghair Khan (? a form of Gur Khan); in a second place, that he was called Inallzig; and, in a third, that he was named Inallzik, and "had been granted the title of Gur Khan by the former chief of Kara Khitai!!" Now "Ghā-irKhān" is no more a form of Gur Khān than this Kankuli Turk was a "Ghos," or an "original Ghuse." Ghā-ir is a purely 'Arabic word, derived from the same root as ghairat, and signifies the Khan jealous in point of honour or love, the high-minded Khan: it was his Musalman title. The " Tabakat-i-Nasiri" quoted, if my translation is referred to, certainly does not make Ghā-ir Khān a form of Kadr Khān, for Kadr has a totally different meaning, and is in no way connected with Ghā-Ir.

<sup>2</sup> Kur'An : Chap. xxxiii. verse 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Shāh 'Uşmān, grandson ot Nāṣir-ud-Din, Uṣmān-i-Ḥarab, ruler of Sijistān and Nimroz, also styled Nuṣrat-ud-Din, 'Uṣmān, by our author at pages 193 and 196, which see, also pages 200—201. He was related, on the mother's side, to Malik Rukn-ud-Din, of Khaesār of Ghūr.

a bit of that gold or silver belonging to the traders of the Chingiz Khān, the whole of such treasury, sovereignty and country fell into the hands of the Chingiz Khān and the Mughal forces.

May Almighty God preserve the kingdom of our Sultan of Sultans from calamity such as that!

#### HISTORY OF THE EVENTS WHICH HAPPENED IN ISLAM.

Trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that, when that fugitive [camel-driver] stated to the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, the accursed, what had befallen his traders and emissaries, the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> issued commands so that the forces of Turkistān, <u>Chin</u>, and <u>Tamghāj</u>, assembled. Six hundred banners were brought out, and under each banner were one thousand horsemen, and six hundred thousand horses were assigned to the Bahādurs: they call a warrior, Bahādur. To every ten horsemen three head of tūķ-lī sheep were given, with orders to dry them; and they took, along with them, an iron cauldron, and a skin of water; and the host proceeded on its way.

From the place where the Mughals then were, on the frontier of the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>'s territory, to Utrār, was a three months' march, entirely through wild and uncultivated tracts; and it was commanded that it was necessary to perform that march of three months, and subsist upon this

4 In some copies a dang—a bit, &c., and in others a diramak, the diminutive form of diram.

\* The number given at page 273 is 700,000. The Calcutta Printed Text has 800,000, a few modern copies 300,000, but the above number is confirmed

by other authors.

- Surgeon-Major Bellew, in his Kāshghar Mission History [page 141], does not quote the "Tabcáti Nasari," as he styles it, correctly. There is nothing in our author's work, as may be here seen, about "horses for the baggage of the army, its carts, and families, &c.," not even in the Calcutta Text, nor does our author make any such statement as that, "just at the time he ['Changiz'] was preparing to set out against Khwáhrizm Shah, he received envoys in 615 from the Khálif [sic] Násir of Baghdad urging him to do so." The Doctor must have been thinking of some other work.
- \* The Calcutta Text is always incorrect with respect to the name of this well-known city, which was situated on the Sibūn. Its ruins are still to be seen on the east bank.

quantity of provision, and to eke it out with *pumīs* and the milk of their mares; and, placing herds of horses in front of them, so numerous that their numbers cannot be computed, they turned their faces towards the land of Islām.

Towards the end of the year 616 H., they emerged on the frontier of Utrār, at which place the violence had been hatched; and, although they were jaded from their journey and destitute, yet such was the energy, constancy, and intrepidity, which Almighty God had implanted in the nature of the Chingiz Khān and the Mughal army, that,

شهر اسب -for shir-i-asp-شراب-for shir-i-asp-شراب

Petis de la Croix makes the astounding statement [page 158] on the authority, it would appear, of "Abulfaraje," and "Bin Abdallatif," as he styles them, that "Historians do not precisely mention the places by which the Moguls entered into the King of Carizme's Dominions," and yet, immediately after, says, "They only assure us that he marched by Caracatay and Turquestan . . . and that his troops entered into the Province of Farab, of which the City of Atrar was the Capital," &c. What more specific mention could he want?

The route taken by the Chingiz Khan in marching from his varat in the direction of Karā-Kuram, and from which he is said to have set out in the eleventh month of 615 H. [about the middle of February, 1219 A.D.], was by way of the river of Ardish [ارديش] with the object of making those parts his i-lak or summer quarters. When he reached the boundary of the territory of Kaiālik or Kaiāligh | which, in Ravenstein's map in the "Mongols Proper," is inserted about two degrees south of Lake Balkash, but is too far N. of Khuljah by several degrees. Sairam, too, which lies S. of the Ulugh-Tagh and E. of Aksū, is not near the Bālkash, as there shown. From the route mentioned by Goez, and the context of the account of the march of the Mughals, which I shall now refer to, Kaiālik was S. of the Ulugh Tagh and between Turfan and Aksū], Arsalan Khan, the Karlugh, the principal [مقدم] of the Amirs thereof-thus showing that there were several-came to do him homage, got an appearage assigned him, and joined the Mughal army with his followers. The Yiddi-Küt of the I-ghūrs also came from Bish-Bāligh, and, likewise, Tükia-Tigin [farther on, the name of the chief of Almaligh is said to be Saghnāk Tigin] and his followers or tribe [خيل] from Almāligh [near about Khūljah, but on the left or S. bank of the river I-lih]. Jūji had also been detached, before his father set out, it is said, by some, and, by the way, by others, against the forces [الشكر] of the Kirkiz, who had manifested contumacy, and shown delay and unwillingness [in furnishing a contingent probably], with directions to p nish that tribe and seize their territory. Another version is that it was not the Kirkiz who were to blame, but a tribe dwelling along with them, and that the former did not commit themselves. Juji set out; and, as the river of Kirkiz [the Kam-Kamjiūt, on the opposite side of which they dwelt] chanced, at the time, to be frozen over, he crossed it with his army on the ice, and came upon them unawares in the wild country [مشع —a wild uncultivated tract, overgrown with reeds and the like] in which they dwelt. A good

in a short time, they took Utrār\* and put both small and

number were slain by the Mughals, and Urasūt [[1], their chief—Urus Infal—bent his neck to the yoke. After this Jūji returned and joined his father.

It seems strange to me that the route taken by the Chingiz Khan and his host on the way to Utrar, after what has just been stated respecting Bish-Baligh and Kaialik, as related in the histories of the Mughals, is not clearly understood. It was much the same line of route-and, doubtless, that in general use by travellers, and kārwāns of merchants—as shown in Col. Walker's map, to Karā-Kol or Almatū, skirting the northern slopes of the Ulugh or Ur-Tagh range, that he was pursuing, after detaching Juji to the southward in pursuit of Tuk-Tughan, the Makrit, on which occasion, he [ Juji ], when returning to re-join his father, was fallen in with by the Khwarazm Shah, near the rivers Kamaj and Kabal, and compelled to fight against his will. It must be sufficiently plain, to any one who will consult the map in question, that the great river Irtish is not referred to. Ardish, under the name of "Artush," the "Artush Pass," and "Artush, which was the principal town of that region," at "the foot of the Kakshal mountains," is mentioned by SHAW in his work, "High Tartary," and refers to the same tract of country, which, in former times, extended much farther every way, as I have here pointed out. The Ardish Pass is about seven or eight days' journey, according to the author just quoted, from "the plains of Issik-kül" [Issigh-Kol], only the "plains" there are mostly hills.

After this, the Chingiz Khān continued his westerly course from the vicinity of the Issigh-Kol, by the present Almatu, in all probability—and, undoubtedly, it was an ancient route—through the territory of Taraz or Banki, as it is also called, along the skirts of what is at present known as the Kara-Tagh; and, from the route he subsequently took to Bukhārā, when he detached his two sons to invest Utrār, he must have passed the Sirr or Sihūn, a considerable distance W. of Utrar, at the Julik, or Ak-Masjid ferry possibly. Strange to say, however, not a single author mentions his passage of that river. Having crossed, he took the direct route to Bukhārā. He had, by the way, previously detached—by the Sairām or Ardish route southwards, in all probability—an army, which wasmarching southwards against Tashkand and Khujand, while Jūjī was marching through Farghanah to join him before Bukhara or Samrkand. With "Yengigent," Juji had no more to do than I had, unless he flew along with his troops to it. Where are Saknāk, Ūzkand and Ardish in Kashghar? where Yangi-kant "on the Jaxartes, at two days' journey from its outlet into the sea of Aral"? ["Mongols Proper," pp. 76-7]. Why only about nine degrees of Long., and four of Lat. distant from each other!

The Chingiz Khān reached the frontier of the Utrār territory, not the city and fortress of that name, for he was never at Utrār himself, towards the end of autumn, 616 H. [in September, 1219, A.D.]. Finding that the Sultān of Khwārazm had dispersed his forces, and sent them to guard the great cities and fortresses, instead of concentrating them, and that there was no army left in the field to oppose him, he detached his sons Chaghatāe and Uktāe, the Yiddi-Kūt of the I-ghūrs, and other vassals, with several tomāns of troops, to invest Utrār, and, with his son Tūli, and the main army, moved towards Bukhārā. Utrār, the chief place, and seat of government, which contained 50,000 troops—Alfi has 15,000, a more likely number, but some copies have 5000 only—was defended with great gallantry for five months, until the

great—young and old—to the sword, and left not a soul alive: they martyred the whole of them.

defenders were reduced to great straits, at which time the Khās Hājib, Karāchah, who had been sent thither with 10,000 more troops to support the Ghair Khan, was for capitulating to the enemy, but the Gha-ir Khan, who was well aware that he could expect no mercy from the Mughals, scouted the very idea of surrender, and being guilty of such base ingratitude to his sovereign. Karachah, however, entered into secret understanding with the Mughals [how history has repeated itself within the past year or two!], and, one night, left the place with his contingent, by the Sūsi-Khānah gate, and submitted to the enemy, who, without delay, rushed in by that same gate, and captured the As soon as morning arrived, Karāchah, and his followers, after being reproached and reviled for their ingratitude to their sovereign, were all put to the sword, and the inhabitants of the place were removed outside into the plain and butchered-"the lives of the inhabitants were spared," says Mr. H. H. Howorth in his "Mongols Proper." The Gha-ir Khan threw himself into the citadel, with 20,000 men-some say 8000, and some, 6000-all resolved to fight to the last, and held out for another month, during which they made several sallies, and slew a vast number of the Mughal army. At last, the Ghā-ir Khan was left with but two of his followers; and no shelter remained to them but the flat roof of his dwelling. These two soldiers fell at his side, and then the Ghā-fr Khān, whom the Mughals were expressly commanded to capture alive if possible, was made prisoner, and on the neck of Anial Juk they placed a yoke—a play on the word Juk, which signifies a yoke for oxen, a collar. The citadel of Utrar was levelled with the dust, and of the few people of the place, and master artificers, who had escaped the massacre, some were imprisoned, and some were driven along with the army to exercise their trades in the Mughal camp, together with the Ghā-ir Khān in chains. As the Chingiz Khan was then before Samrkand, his sons set out to join him there, and to the Ghā-İr Khān the cup of death was administered at Kiwak Sarāe [وق سراى], a sarae near Samrkand. This place is the Gheucserai of Petis de la Croix.

I must now endeavour to give a short account of the different operations of the Mughal hordes, in order to bring up events to the siege of Samrkand.

I have already mentioned in my previous notes, 4 page 268, and 2 page 964, how Jūji fell in with the Khwarazmi army in the northern part of the present Kashghar territory, and, after a severe handling, his marching away, leaving his camp fires burning, so as to avoid pursuit. He subsequently, by command of his father, marched towards Jand, and, when he arrived near Saknak on the way thither, he despatched the Hajf, Hasan, who was a native of that place, and then with his army, as an envoy, to endeavour to induce the inhabitants to submit. The populace, however, put him to death for advising them to submit to infidels and Mughals, and Juji, filled with rage at the treatment of his emissary, attacked the place, and, in two days, captured it. The inhabitants were massacred and the place ruined [this is a mode of treating them "with tenderness," certainly, after the Muskov fashion. "Mongols Proper," page 76], and a son of the Haji, Hasan, who was dwelling there, was made its governor—the governor of a desolated place! Juji then advanced to Yuzkand, also written Uzkand, and, to quote the expressive words of the History in verse which I have elsewhere referred to: "In one night he took it, and in one day demolished it." After that he marched to Ashnas [I think this is an error, although contained in so many works, for the 'Arab Ush-Shash-the

An astonishing relation [is here given] which was heard by the author from one of the merchants whom they were

ancient name of Tāshkand, but, if not, it is now unknown and its site also. Jūji was sent against it, and no mention is made of it after. Abū-l-Ghāzī Bahādur calls it Astāsh], "a city full of vagabonds and rascals," and, as they showed hostility, they were speedily annihilated. No particulars are given.

When the news reached Kutluk-also written Kutlugh - Khan, the Hakim of Jand, he became fearful, evacuated the city, and fled by way of the steppe in order to reach Khwarazm. Juji on this despatched thither, as his agent, JairTimur-but who he was is not mentioned [see note page 933], save that he had been long in the Chingiz Khan's service—to advise the inhabitants to submit. There was no leader or authority with sufficient power there, and the populace raised a tumult and sought to take the agent's life, but he managed to escape by stratagem. On being made aware of the state of affairs, Juji pushed on, and came in sight of Jand; and the people had merely time to close the gates and mount the walls, but they showed no other opposition. Mughals placed scaling ladders, mounted the walls, and the city was theirs. As no active opposition had been shown, the people, with the exception of a few evil doers, who had spoken fiercely to Jai-Timur, escaped from the Mughal talons; but they were all thrust out into the open country, and their dwellings were abandoned to be sacked for a period of two weeks, and the walls and defences were kevelled with the dust. The Khwajah, 'Ali, who was one of the great men of Bukhārā, was located there in charge of the city. A Mughal Amir likewise having been detached with one toman [10,000 men]. the city or town of Marjin [ was taken possession of, and an intendant was left there. After this Juji set out to join the camp of his father.

The Nü-yins, Alak also written Alak, Saktur, and Bükae, according to their orders proceeded towards Khujand and Fanakat, or Banakat-afterwards known as Shah-Rukhiyah - and, on reaching the latter place, the governor there, I-vaitakū or I-yal-tagū by name, shut himself up in the citadel with a body of Kankulis, and defended it vigorously for three days. On the fourth they called for quarter, and came out of the city, and "were overwhelmed in the wave of blood." Destruction befell the people of Fanākat, Whether stranger or friend, not one remained, and but few escaped with their lives, with the exception of the young men of Tajzik race who were incorporated with the enemy's forces, and compelled to serve against their own people Alāk then turned his face towards Khujand, the governor of which was Timur Malik, "to whom Rustam, were he alive, would have acted as groom, and Sam, were he living in his day, would, on his own body, have inscribed his name." This Timur Malik had constructed a lofty fortress at the point, near the city, where the river separates into two branches [at the junction, probably, of the tributary of the Shun which joins that river from the South just below the city], and, with 1000 men, took post therein, determined to hold out as long as he had the power and means of doing so. The Mughal forces enclosed the city and fortress as in a ring, but, as the missiles from their catapults took no effect upon the fortress, the young men of the city [which, from this remark, must have fallen, although no further mention is made of it] were collected in crowds; and assistance was also brought from other places, near by, which had been subdued, until 50,000 men were assembled together to help the investing force consisting of 20,000 Mughals. The former were divided into gangs of tens

wont to style Khwājah Aḥmad, the Wakhshi, a man of veracity, who related after this manner: "It is narrated by

and hundreds, and one Mughal was placed over every ten Tājsiks, and, from the hills three farsangs distant, they were compelled to convey stones on foot to the river side [in order to construct a causeway apparently, although this is not specified], and the Mughal horsemen cast them into the river. Timus Malik, who was fertile in expedients, had caused twelve vessels to be constructed, which were covered with felts plastered over with a mixture of fresh clay and vinegar, in such wise that arrows and fire [such things as "stinkpots" in the accounts of this affair are purely ideal: a composition of naptha is here referred to took no effect upon them, but windows [lit. but loop or port-holes are meant] were left, so that arrows and other missiles could be discharged from them against the Mughals. Every day, at daylight, Timur Malik used to despatch six of these vessels on either side, and keep up a desperate desence; but, when matters became serious, and he found his efforts unavailing, seventy boats which had been got ready to provide means of escape he, one night, loaded with his effects, placed his family therein, embarked with his warriors, and like lightning launched into the river. The Mughal forces, becoming aware of it, set out along both banks to oppose his progress: and, in every place where they could offer most opposition, he would draw near with his own vessel, and with his arrows, which like the arrow of destiny never missed their mark, would drive the Mughals off, and would push on again with his vessel. On reaching Fanākat, he found the Mughals had drawn a chain across the river, thinking to stop the little fleet, but, with one blow of an axe. Timur Malik made the chain two, and pushed on again. I find no mention. in any author, of a "bridge of boats built at Jend," because Timur Malik did not proceed to Jand at all, but, some distance below Fanākat, where the mountains approach the river, he landed on the western bank, entered the steppe, and made for the city of Khwarazm, because Juji Khan, on being informed of his heroic conduct, had made preparations to bar his progress farther down the river, which he would have been enabled to do from his position in the vicinity of Tashkand or Ush-Shash. The Mughals however followed in his track, and when they drew near he would face about and withstand them until his family and effects made some progress in advance, and then he After some days, when most of Timur Malik's men had fallen. the baggage was captured, and, with a few men remaining, he pushed on with rapidity, giving the Mughals no opportunity of taking him, and keeping them at bay. At last his few remaining followers were killed, and Timur Malik was left alone—some say his family at this time had attained a distance which placed them out of danger-and with no means of defence left but three arrows, one of which was broken and its head gone. Three Mughals were still in pursuit of him, so he drew the broken and headless arrow-for he did not wish to have to use the others—and sent it through the eye of the foremost pursuer, and blinded him. He then said to the other two: "Two arrows still remain according to your number, and so it is advisable that ye return from whence ye came." They did retire; and the Iron Malik-Timur signifies iron-proceeded on his way without further molestation to Khwarazm. he again prepared for war, and with a small force surprised and captured the town of Kat [ old-] on the Jihun, in the district of Hazar-asp, and from thence he proceeded to join Sultan Muhammad, not considering it advisable to remain in Khwarazm. He pushed on until he came up with him, and told

reliable persons that the Chingiz Khan, after that he had possessed himself of Tamghaj, and had brought it under his sway, and, for a period of four years, had caused great sedition and shedding of blood, saw one night, in a dream, that he was binding a turban of immense length about his head, so much so, that, from the vast length of the turban, and the labour of binding it, he began to grow fatigued after, in his interminable task, he had become like unto a great corn stack. When he awoke from his sleep, he was relating his dream to every one of his confidants, and the men of wisdom who were about him, but not one among them could interpret it in a manner to satisfy his mind, until one of his confidants said: 'The turban is part of the costume of the merchants who are in the habit of coming into this part from different directions of the west, and a party of them has arrived from 'Arabia. It is necessary to summon them in order that the interpretation may be obtained from these persons.' In accordance with that advice, they were called in order to interpret it.

"Among the merchants they found a few persons from the west, 'Arabian Musalmans, turban-wearers, and

him all he had experienced at the hands of the Mughals, and that they were like unto a flood. This made the Sultan still more apprehensive and perplexed, and, though he was himself young his fortune was grown old—a play upon words in the original which is lost in translation.

The Nū-yān Ālāķ, after having obtained possession of Khujand, the next day, set out to rejoin the great army, and reached the camp of the Chingiz Khān after the capture of Samrkand.

A few words more may not be out of place respecting Timur Malik. He subsequently retired—disguised as a Darwesh, some say-into 'Irak-i-'Ajam, proceeded to Shiraz and Jirast, and, finally, into Sham, until the Mughal troubles had somewhat subsided. He remained there some years; and in the time of Bātū Khān, son of Jūji Khān, he, impelled by a desire of revisiting his native land once more, and by that monarch's leave, reached Khujand, where he found that his only remaining son had gained favour with the Mughal ruler, and had been put in possesion of some of his father's property. He was recognized by an old slave, and proposed to proceed to the court of Üktäe Khan, whose name was celebrated for magnanimity, but, on the way. he fell in with one of the Mughal royal family, Kadka-an Ughlan, who put him in chains, for the old Turk Malik's haughty bearing displeased him. Soon after, the very Mughal, into whose eye he had lodged the headless arrow, happened to come in, and began to question him as to his battles, in an insolent tone which provoked Timur Malik to answer him in such a manner as awakened the wrath of the Mughal savage against his former adversary, and he discharged an arrow, in return for his arrow, as he exclaimed, right through the body of Timur Malik, who fell dead on the spot.

they were sent for; and to the person who was the chief, and the most intelligent among the party, the Chingiz Khān related his dream. The Tāzī ['Arabic]-speaking merchant said: 'The turban is the crown and diadem of the 'Arab, for head dresses' of that description are the tiaras of the 'Arab; and the Prophet of the Musalmäns—Muhammad, the chosen one—the blessing of God be upon him!—was a turban-wearer, and the Khalifahs of Islām are turban-wearers. The interpretation is this, that the kingdoms of Islām will come into thy possession, and the countries in which the Muhammadan faith prevails thou wilt reduce under thy sway.' This signification chimed in with the opinion of the Chingiz Khān; and, for this reason, his design of appropriating the territory of Islām was resolved upon."

We return to the subject of [this] history.

After capturing Utrar and martyring its inhabitants,

<sup>3</sup> The word used is also the plural of solic which more particularly refers to the large turbans of Muḥammadan ecclesiastics.

I have several times mentioned the variation of idiom in different copies of the text, and here it varies considerably: in fact, there is greater difference, in this respect, in this Section than in any of the preceding ones.

- <sup>4</sup> I doubt very much an 'Arab's saying so, even if he thought it; and, if the merchant told the Mughal this, and it made him determine upon invading Islām, the Musalmāns had not very great reason to be grateful to the 'Arab interpreter.
- This is a great mistake. The Chingiz Khān, as shown in the previous notes 5, page 273, and 2, page 970, para. four, had nothing to do with Utrār personally. He reached the frontier of that territory towards the close of autumn 616 H. [September, 1219 A.D.]. He left his two sons, Uktāe and Chaghatāe, with a great army to invest Utrār, as already stated, and, detaching another and smaller force, under the Nū-yīns, Ālāķ, Saktūr, and Būķāe, to Fanākat and Khujand, with the bulk of his mighty host, and accompanied by his son Tūlī, marched towards Bukhārā.

The name of this celebrated city is said to signify, "in the language of the Mughān.—Fire-worshippers—an assembling place, or rendezvous of science, and this word, in the dialect of the idol-worshipping \bar{I}-gh\bar{u}rs and Khit\bar{a}-\bar{i}s, is nearly similar, for their places of worship, which are places of idols, they term Bukh\bar{a}r."

On the way thither, the Mughuls reached Zarnūk [there is no doubt respecting its name], and the inhabitants, having issued forth to receive them with due ceremony, were granted security for life and goods. The Chingiz Khān changed the name of the place to Kutlūgh Bālīgh, that is, the Ausplcious or Fortunate City, but he took away all the young men of the place to incorporate with his army. But Tāshkand did not receive the name of "Kutluk balig," as in the recently published work so often referred to. The Habib-us-Siyar says that the people first shut the gates, but, afterwards, were

they [the Mughals] marched, from thence, towards Bukh ārā; and, on the first of the month of Zi-Ḥijjah, 616 H.,

induced to open them and submit, while another statement is, that the city was surprised, However this may be, its walls were razed to the ground, to make it "the Fortunate City" perhaps. The Bahādur, Tā-īr, whose name will frequently appear in the text, farther on, was sent, in advance, to summon Nūr [see page 118]. The place submitted, it and its dependencies were bestowed as an appanage upon the Bahādur, Sahūdah or Swīdāe, as he is also called, the inhabitants paid a year's tribute in advance, and received security for life and property, and were not further molested.

At the end of the year 616 H. [February, 1220 A.D.—not the 19th of June, 1219 A.D., as we are told in "Mongols Proper," for the year, 617 H., began on the 7th March, 1220], the Mughal hosts appeared before Bukhārā, and the felt tent of the Chingiz Khan was pitched facing the citadel. There was no "several days' siege" whatever. When night set in, the Amirs commanding the troops there, Kiwak Khan, son of Hamid-i-Bur [an elder brother of the Hājib, Burāk, the Karā-Khitā-i, who subsequently usurped the sovereignty of Kirman, subordinate to the Mughals, after that ingrate had compassed the murder of Ghiyaş-ud-Din, the Sultan's son, and ruler of that territory. note, page 283], Kiwak Khan, Sunj Khan, Bughrae Khan, and Kashlu [by some Kashli, which is merely another form of the title] Khan, with 20,000 -but some writers of Mughal proclivities make the number 30,000, in the same manner as they always exaggerate the numbers of the Musalmans -came out, by one of the gates, in order to make a night attack upon the invaders, but, the enemy having got word of it, they were encountered and defeated by the Mughal advance. The great men of Bukhārā, consisting of ecclesiastics, doctors of the law, and distinguished persons, issued forth from the city next day [the 10th of Zi-Hijjah], at dawn, and strove, by the manifestation of submission and eloquent appeals, to make terms whereby the inhabitants might be saved from the violent blast of the invader's wrath. The Chingiz Khān entered the city in order to view it; and, when he reached the Masjid-i-Jāmi', or Friday's Masjid, beheld a great and lofty building, towards which he urged forward his horse, and rode into it, with his son, even up to the most sacred place within it—the Şaffah-i-Makşūrah: the place where the Imam stands when officiating—and inquired: "Is this the Sultan's palace?" They replied: "This is the house of God." He then dismounted from his horse, certainly not out of respect, mounted two or three steps of the pulpit, and sat down [Alfi says, Tuli ascended to the pulpit] and [according to Sharaf-ud-Din, 'Ali, commanded his troops, saying: "There is no forage in the plain by means of which they [the people] may satiate the horses, [see ye to it]." The Fanākatī, Faṣiḥ-i, Alfi, and others, however, relate more circumstantially, that he said to those present: "The plain [or open country outside] is destitute of grass: it behoveth that ye fill the bellies of my horses," and, on this, they opened the granaries [of the city], and brought forth grain. The Mughals then drew their horses into the Masjid, and made the chests, in which the sections of the Kur'an-which is generally in thirty sections of sixteen pages each—and other religious books were kept, troughs for their horses to feed out of, while the books were trodden under foot; and they handed the head-stalls of their horses to the 'Ulama to hold, while they themselves betook themselves to the cup [neither the wine, nor the "loving cup," but the fermented mares' milk cup-kumiz] and began to sing their Mughali songs.

# pitched their camp before the gate of that city. Kashlū Khān, the Amir-i-Ākhur of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwā-

Most European authors who relate this anecdote take it from Sharaf-ud-Din 'Ali's work, but neither that work, nor any other that I have met with, will bear translating "The hay is cut, give your horses fodder," as quoted by Mr. H. H. Howorth from Vambéry's "Bokharah," page 28, and which he or his authority mistakes for "a cynical invitation to plunder." The original words in Sharaf-ud-Din, are "dar şahrā 'alaf nīst ķih aspān rā ser sāsand;" [Erdmann's rendering of the same sentence is perfectly correct] and "the floor strewn with wine skins" and "the singing women [the word is with a ayāgh dāshtan mashghūl shudand, ba āhang-i-Mughūlī bar kashīdand;" there is not a word about women.

From the Jāmi' Masjid mounting again, the Chingiz Khān rode to the Musalla-e-'Id—the place of Prayer, where the prayers appointed for Festivals are said—and, mounting the pulpit, having first caused the people to be assembled, harangued them about the killing of his envoys, and, telling them that he had been sent against them as the instrument of the Divine wrath, on account of their sovereign's and their own misdeeds-he too was a "divine figure" also "from the north," and, after holding forth in a similar blasphemous strain, which address was interpreted from the Mughal language into Persian by an interpreter who stood by his side, he continued: "Such property as is visible in this city need not be referred to - he had an eye to plunder notwithstanding his divine mission—but all that is concealed it behoveth ye to give up." Much wealth was given up in consequence. As commands had been already issued that the adherents of the Khwarazmi Sultan should be expelled the city, and none should be sheltered or concealed therein, on finding that several of them had been sheltered by the people of Bukhārā, he-merely desiring an excuse—gave orders for a general massacre of the inhabitants, and to set fire to the city, which was mostly built of wood; and, in the space of one day, the whole, with the exception of the great Masjid, and a few brick buildings, was consumed, in such wise that the city became the haunt of wild beasts. The suburbs were then given to the flames, and the ditch of the citadel was filled up with whatever could be obtained-dead bodies of men and beasts, stones, timber, rubbish, and the like-and, in a few days, the Mughals captured it. Its governor, Kiwak Khan, with all found within the place, were put to the sword—more than 30,000 in all-including grandees and great men, the servants of the Sultan, who were treated in the most contemptuous manner. and their females and children were carried away into slavery, but babes were not spitted on lances as in these days of civilization and Christianity. After this the citadel was levelled with the ground, and not a vestige of gate, wall, or rampart, of either city or citadel remained. Kiwak Mazar, or the Tomb of Kiwak, lies a few miles to the N.E. of the present city.

The young men of Bukhārā, who had been spared for another purpose, were driven off with the troops towards Samrkand and Dabūsah [also called Dabūs and Dabūsi. It was a fortified town about midway between Bukhārā and Samrkand], and from Bukhārā the Chingiz Khān turned his face towards Samrkand.

Having heard accounts of the great strength of Samrkand, which had lately been added to, the Chingiz Khān had been led to despatch bodies of troops under Jūjī and the Nū-yān, Alāk, to subdue other places in Turkistān

razm Shāh, was there, on the part of that monarch, with a force of 12,000 horse; and the Mughals invested the city. On the day of the festival of the kurbān [10th of Zi-Hijjah—15th February, 1220] of that same year, they took the city and fortress of Bukhārā, and expelled the whole of the inhabitants—gentle and simple, the learned and the noble, both male and female—and martyred them, and burnt and destroyed the whole of the city, and all the libraries of books; and a few persons [only] were made captive. They then turned their faces towards Samrkand. The leader of the van of the Chingiz Khān's army, which issued from the wilds, and captured Utrār, and advanced to the

before he advanced into Mawara-un-Nahr, in order to clear his rear of enemies, and to have the whole of his forces at his disposal before he attacked Samrkand. The resistance at Utrar however disappointed him with regard to the troops investing that place, and the movements of the others have been already Having made a vast levy of the country people, as stated above, to aid his troops, he left small detachments behind to invest Sar-i-Pūl and Dabūsah, while he hastened forward with his great host, which, numerous as ants or locusts, suddenly appeared before Samrkand, at the end of Zi-Hijjah, 616 H. [the latter part of February, 1220, A.D.], and the tent of the Chingiz Khan was pitched in sight of the place, at Kiwak-Sarāe. The next day-some say the third day—he reconnoitred the ramparts, bastions, ditch, gateways, and other defences; and, on the second day, as soon as morning dawned, I-yal-Tāz Khan-the Shams Khan of Alfi-Sarsigh Khan, Taghae Khan, Ulak Khan, and several other leaders, with the troops within the citadel and city, with great intrepidity and boldness poured out of the place, and attacked the Mughals in their quarters. The number, in all, is said to have been 110,000, namely 60,000 Turks, and 50,000 Tājzīks of the country, and twenty elephants. On that day, the Khwarazmis displayed immense valour, and a great number were killed on either side, but chiefly, on the part of the Mughals, who also lost a great number of prisoners who were carried off triumphantly into the city. This statement does not agree with what our author states above as to the ambuscade, and the number of the troops has been just doubled.

Next day the Chingiz Khān mounted, and, in person, directed the operations, completely invested the place, prevented the troops within from making a sally, had the catapults placed in position, and began to batter the walls, and pour in volleys of stones and arrows. The walls were however defended with vigour until the day closed, but the defenders were disheartened; and, to make matters worse, there was treason within. One party of the inhabitants—the selfish part—"the peace at any price party"—were for going out and seeking quarter from the Mughal, while the other party was for defending the place to the utmost. This very division of opinion—without reckoning the traitors—caused great mischief: the leaders of the troops were discouraged, and at a loss what to do, and did not fight as they otherwise would have done, for the place was strong enough to have held out a considerable time. On the fifth day—but from our author's statement above it must have been the ninth—early in the morning, while fighting was going on, the Kāzī of the city, the Shaikh-ul-Islām,

gate of Bukhārā and took it likewise, was a Turk whose name was Tamur-chi, the Jazbi, a man of great energy; and, in the Mughal dialect, jasbī signifies a Ḥājib [Chamberlain].

On first reaching the gate of Samrkand, the Mughal forces laid an ambuscade; and the troops in Samrkand, and the people, moved out to give battle. On the ambuscade being drawn, defeat befell the troops of Islām and the people of Samrkand, and nearly 50,000 Musalmāns became martyrs. Subsequently to that, for a period of ten days or a little over, the Mughals took up a position round about Samrkand. Within the walls of that city, on the part of Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, was a force of 60,000

and a body of other ecclesiastics fold officials of 'Usman, the Afrasivabi, and, doubtless, true to the hostile Khalifah, who incited the infidels], unexpectedly went out, and presented themselves before the Chingiz Khan, who received them with much encouragement and favour. Without any security, and with the mere promise of safety for themselves and dependents—these barbarians rarely, if ever, kept their word—they were allowed to return; and, at the time of prayer, when the rest of the people were off their guard, these traitors opened the gate known as the Gate [some say Gates] of the Namaz-gah-Alfi says -the 'Id-gah-and admitted the Mughals within the walls! During that day and night the infidels completely destroyed the walls and defences of the city. and drove out into the open plain the inhabitants, both males and females, with the exception of the dependents of the Kāzī, the Shaikh-ul-Islām, and other traitors, who are said to have amouted to 50,000 [5000 probably] persons, and then, as was their wont, proceeded to sack the place, and all whom they found within, with the exception of those mentioned, they slew. The Mughals continued within the city until the night of the next day, when they were withdrawn.

The garrison in the citadel was now completely hemmed in, and had no means of escape, but one resolute leader, Karā Alb, the Arsalān Khān, with 1000 men, charged through the Mughal force, cut his way out, and succeeded in joining the Sultan, to whom he conveyed the dismal news. Next day the Mughals attacked the citadel, and, having destroyed the defences, during the time of the two prayers, which are wont to be said every Friday about mid-day, succeeded in gaining possession of one of the gateways, and poured in. Kanghuli or Kankuli Turks, the chief of whom was Bar-Sipas [in one MS. Bar-Samās, and, in Alfi, Shams] Khān, Taghāc Khān, Sarligh [Sarsigh?] Khan, Ü-lak Khan, the Ü-lagh Khan of the Jahan-Kushae, together with about twenty other Amirs and Sardars of Sultan Muhammad, with the whole of his troops that were therein, were butchered, to the number of 30,000 men, which is a small number in comparison with the 110,000 men said to have been the number stationed at Samrkand. Of the remaining people of the city, 33,000 artificers, mechanics, and the like, were selected and divided among the sons and kinsmen of the Chingiz Khan; and the residue of the unharmed inhabitants were ransomed and spared for the sum of 200,000 dinars. These events took place in the beginning of the summer of 617 H. [April 4, A.D. 1220]. A Mughal Shahnah was left at Samrkand, and a native of the place, a Musalman official, was placed in charge of the city under him.

horse, consisting of Turks, Ghūris, Tājziks, Khali, and Karlughs, and all the Maliks of Ghur, such as Khar-zor Maliki [Malik?], and Zangi-i-Khar-Jam [Cham?], and other Maliks of Ghūr, were there. On the day of 'Ashūrā, the 10th of the month of Muharram, 617 H., the Mughals took Samrkand, and burnt that city and destroyed it, and made captives of some [of the inhabitants, and put the rest to the sword]. Bodies of troops were nominated to various parts of Māwarā-un-Nahr, Farghānah, and Bilāsā-ghūn. and destroyed all the cities,7 and martyred all their inhabitants. Mughal armies were also despatched to take possession of different parts of the dominions [of the Khwārazmi Sultān], and forces were sent from Upper Turkistān to pursue Kashlū\* Khān, the Tatār, who was a king, and the son of a king, of the Tatar tribes, who had seized and brought [away] the Gur Khan of Kara-Khita: and they captured him [Kashlū Khān] on the boundaries of Jāb and Kikrab which is Ghuzzistān, and the hill tracts of Samrkand; and they slew him.1

• The leader referred to at page 926—probably Khar-Chām—Ass-energy—This, as well as Khar-Zor—Ass-power or force—is doubtless a nick-name.

As I have noticed elsewhere, several of the Ghūrī Maliks have such like names, in which Khar, Ass, occurs, such as Khar-post—Ass-skinned, Kharnak[nag?]—Ass-palate or lipped, and the like. Malikī is contained in all the copies of the text, but Malik must be the more correct.

7 The most modern copies of the text collated have, "as far as the gate of Bilāsā-ghūn."

It is not to be wondered at that this celebrated city is not mentioned subsequently, considering it was destroyed. The name Ghū-Baligh must have been applied to it by the Mughals in times prior to this period.

Troops had been despatched against Koshlük before entering the dominions of the Sultān of Khwārazm; and most of the strong places, in Māwarā-un-Nahr and Western Turkistān, had been captured or taken possession of before the investment of Samrkand was undertaken.

• In some few copies the first word is Apparently—hubāb or habāb, but Jāb—seems to be the correct name. These names are not to be found on modern maps. The following note further indicates their position. See also note 5, page 374-

I have already, in my previous notes to the reign of Sulţān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, given some details respecting Kojlak, Koshluk, Koshluk, Kashlū, or Kashlī, as he is variously styled by different authors, the last four forms of writing being mere variations of the same name, his intrigues with the Sulţān, and his seizure of his father-in-law and benefactor, the Gūr Khān, but, to make this account clear and connective, I must go back a little to refer to the chief of the Makrits and his proceedings.

The Chingiz Khān having returned, in 611 H., from the campaign against the Altān Khān, and gone to his yūrat or encamping ground on the river Kalūr-ān

#### When the news of the taking of Samrkand, and of the

or Lukah, soon after found that the Makrits were again preparing for war. There is considerable discrepancy with regard to these events, and some oriental writers have, through the carelessness of copyists, apparently, turned two events into one, with respect to Kodū [\$\delta\_c\epsilon\_j\$] and the sons of the late Bigi Tūķtā, and his nephews, and Tūķtā, the Makrit.

At the period in question, Kodū and his nephews were residing in the Nāemān country, and were regaining strength, which they were using in support of Koshlük, the Nāemān sovereign, who, at this time, had seized the last of the Gūr Khāns, and his dominions. The Makrīts had likewise incited other tribes of Mughals, who were quite ready to do so, to throw off the yoke of the Chingiz Khān, under which they had fallen. Among the tribes instigated to war by them were the Tūm-āt or Tūm-ād Mughals [turned into "Comát," in the Kāshghar Mission History, a different tribe from the Burghūts or Burkūts], who were dwelling in the tracts towards the southeast from Kāshghar—now part of the great sandy desert—towards the frontier of Khitā, about the Kok Nāwar, incorrectly written Kokonor in our maps.

In the year 612 H., therefore, the Chingiz Khān despatched the Nū-yīn, Sahūdah, or Swidāe, the Ūriāngkūt Kūngkūr-āt, with a considerable army against the Makrits, and he was provided with carts or waggons, specially made and strengthened with iron, so that they might not easily break down, as the Makrits had taken shelter in a very mountainous tract of country. This tract was called Kum-Kunjak—[قبان] which, through the careless copying of some scribe, or an imperfect MS., has been mistaken for Kibchāk [قبان] by many oriental authors with ridiculous results, and European writers generally have followed them.

Sahūdah set out in the beginning of 612 H. [it commenced on the 1st May. 1215 A.D.], and was joined, on the way, by the Bahādur, Taghachār, with another force [this leader, probably, is Güzİdah's Tutmār-i-Chūbİn, but Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, calls him Toshājār]. The two leaders, after great exertions, reached the whereabouts of the Makrits, brought them to action near the banks of the river Jam-the Jam Muran [جم مران] but, in some Histories, the letter has a dot over it instead of under, which makes it Kham, while in others again it is not pointed, and therefore stands for \_-4-with which no Turkish word begins [Chinese, and Europeans, however, vitiate kh, and turn it into 'Arabic h as in Hanum for Khanum, Tophanah, for Top-khanah, Hatti-Humayun for Khatt-i-Humāyun, Hodjah for Khwājah, Halji for Khalji, Han for Khan, Hamil for Khamil, and the like]. Bentinck says the Jam Muran rises in the mountains crossing the Gobi-the Altan, not Altyn mountains—of which but little had been previously known, if the existence of such a range has not been altogether ignored until the recent discoveries by the Russians, and that the river runs S.S.W., and falls into the Karā-Muran or Hoang-ho, on the borders of Tibbat. See the map to Col. Prejevalsky's explorations in the Geographical Magazine for May, 1878, which confirm the existence of this range, which has been distinctly mentioned by Oriental writers and old travellers, and which is clearly laid down, although not quite exactly, in the maps of the Jesuits. A Chinese envoy told Gerbillon that he had crossed a river of Kok Nāwar, "called in the Mongol [Turki?] tongue Altan Kol, or Golden River," which falls into the Lakes of Tsing-fuhay, and has abundance of gold mixed with its sands.

The Makrits stood their ground against the Mughals, and the consequence was they were defeated with immense loss. Some say the tribe was almost

massacre and captivity of its inhabitants, and of the troops

destroyed, together with Kodū and all his nephews but one, a mere child, who was taken to the presence of the Chingiz Khān, who ordered him "to be sent to join his brothers and uncle," notwithstanding Jūji Khān would have taken charge of him, and have brought him up.

It has been asserted that the Makrits were entirely annihilated on this occasion, but such is not correct, as I shall presently show, and Kodū, brother of the late Bigi, Tūķtā, and Tūķ-Tughān, who is also called the brother of the same chief, have been mistaken for one and the same person, of which there is no probability, for Tūķ-Tughān, and the remnant of the Makrit tribe were encountered by Jūji Khān, in the northern part of the present territory of Kāshghar in 615 H., after the death of Koshlūk, and when the Chingiz Khān was on his way westward to invade the territory of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, and when Jūji, against his will, sustained the attack of the Sultān's army in which his own was roughly handled. To demonstrate this clearly, it is necessary to go back a little, and refer to what is said about it elsewhere.

When Koshlük, the Nāemān, left the presence of the Gür Khān, his father-in-law, to call around him his dispersed Nāemāns, and proceeded towards Kaiālik, when he reached its confines and that of I-mil, Tūk-Tughān, the Amir of the Makrits, who had fled—from whence is not mentioned—on hearing the rumour of the Chingiz Khān's fury, joined him, together with many of the Gür Khān's chiefs. During the time that Koshlūk exercised dominion over the state of the Gür Khān, however, after the latter's seizure by him, Tūk-Tughān, with the remainder of the tribe of Makrit, had separated from Koshlūk, and returned to Kum-Kunjak again.

The next information we obtain is, that the Chingiz Khān, having determined to invade the dominions of the Khwārazmī Sultān, when making his preparations for the march, considered it advisable, before setting out, to leave no enemies in his rear; and, as Koshlūk, the Nāemān, and Tūk-Tughān, the Makrīt, still remained, and their whereabouts was in the vicinity of his route towards the west, he deemed it necessary to reduce them first, and so the Nū-yīn, Jabah [Yamah], was sent with a large army against Koshlūk and Jūjī Ughlān, the Chingiz Khān's son, at the head of another army, against the Makrīts under Tūk-Tughān.

It would seem, therefore, that Tūk-Tughān, hearing of the movements of the two Mughal armies, and finding Jūji was coming upon him, moved from Kum-Kunjak, with the remains of the Makrit tribe, and endeavoured to reach Karā-Kum [which, in some imperfectly or carelessly copied MSS., has been turned into Karā-Kuram], which was the daght or steppe inhabited by the Kankuli Turks, and which tribe had been assigned, by the Sultān of Khwārazm, to his mother, Turkān Khātūn, as part of her appanage.

At page 267, it is stated that, in 615 H., the Sultan had moved from Samr-kand to Jand because a body of those remaining of the supporters of Kadr Khan [Kadir Khan of others], respecting whom more will be found in the account of Juji Khan farther on, had broken out into revolt on the confines of Jand, for the purpose of suppressing it, and, that, after he had annihilated that faction, he returned towards Samrkand again. Some other writers, however [See note ', page 262], say that this took place earlier, before the total downfall of the last Gur Khan, and that, after quelling this revolt, the Sultan heard that an army of the Gur Khan had appeared before Samrkand,

### of Islām which were there stationed, reached Sulţān

and was preparing to march to its relief, when the Karā-Khiṭāe army was withdrawn to operate against Koshlūk, but our author twice distinctly states that this revolt, or its suppression, happened in 615 H., and immediately after says that "the calamity of the infidels of Chin—i.e. the Mughals—arose."

The Sultan being at Samrkand, whither he had returned from Jand, hearing of the movements of Tük-Tughan and the Mughals in the direction of Kara-Kum, moved towards Jand to guard his own territory, and to seize or stop Tük-Tughan, if practicable, and marched beyond it as far as the frontier of the Turks. In the meantime Tük-Tughan and his people, marching westward towards the Kankuli steppe, had been intercepted by Jüji Khan near the great mountain range forming the northern boundary of the present Kashghar state, as previously related.

I think I have here shown that Kodū, brother of the Bigi, Tūktā, the Makrit, and Tūk-Tughān, the Makrit, are different persons, and that the Makrits were not wholly destroyed when defeated by Sahūdah.

The author of the "Mongols Proper," on the authority of M. Wolff, states at page 73, that the destruction of the "Merkits" took place "near Lake Kossagol, between the Selinga and the Upper Jennessei" [sic], but I notice that he had some doubts about it, and, at page 712, he places "the Merkits," as "living probably in the valley of the Chu, and perhaps at Balasaghun," after stating that, according to Erdmann, the "Merkit chies" were "met and defeated on the banks of the river Jem (not the Kem or Yenissei [sic], as Wolff seems to read it)," but without perceiving that, at page 73, he had given, from the same writer, apparently, a much more correct version of the affair, and had even named some of the places tolerably correctly, but under vitiated orthography!

I must, as briefly as possible, give some account of Koshlük's subsequent acts, after his seizure of the Gür Khün, his father-in-law, and benefactor, and his own fate, which immediately preceded the irruption of the Mughal barbarians into the countries of Islām.

After the seizure of the last Karā-Khiṭā-İ ruler, his dominions east of the Siḥūn devolved apon Koshlūk, but he did not thereby "become himself Gur Khan," as we are informed in the "Mongols Proper." Had Koshlūk known how to have managed the Gūr Khān, after he became his son-in-law, he might have got up a formidable, and, perhaps, successful, combination against the Mughal ruler, to whom also he was related on the mother's side. Koshlūk was an idol-worshipper—but-parast—a Buddhist, and his wife [or one of his wives?] was a follower of 'Ī-sā—Jesus Christ; hence they were both intolerant to the Musalmāns, continually exhorting them to turn idolators—the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā says, to embrace Christianity—and those who would not were massacred. The Tārīkh-i-Alfī, however, says that Koshlūk, "for the sake of an idol-worshipping damsel, became himself an idol-worshipper too," but without mentioning what faith he previously followed, which we must presume was the Christian.

Koshlük, for a period of four years, from 610 to 614 H. [May, 1213, to April, 1217, A.D.], continued to send forces against Kāshghar, and they used to commit great ravages, and burn the crops, in such wise, that famine began to show itself in that tract of country. The inhabitants could do no other than give up the city of Kāshghar and its defences to him, and the fort surrendered. Koshlük's troops took up their quarters in the peoples' houses,

## Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, who was then [encamped

leaving them to shift for themselves, and violence, wickedness, and sedition, reigned supreme.

Petis de la Croix, who quotes "Mirconde," that is to say, Mîr Khāwind, the author of the Rauzat-uz-Ṣafā, makes the astonishing statement in his "Genghizcan the Great," that the Gūr Khān used to reside at Kāshghar, which was the capital of their Turkistān possessions, and sometimes called Urdū-kand. That the Musalmān religion prevailed there is, undoubtedly, correct—and had prevailed for centuries, it may be added—and the Nestorians had churches there, but that, "at this time the capital is Hyarcan, which is the same place as Caschgar was," shows that De la Croix's geography was a little at fault. Yārkand is just 100 miles S.E. of Kāshghar. He moreover states, quoting, apparently, the same work, that the people of Caschgar refused to acknowledge any other sovereign than the Gūr Khān's son, and that "the siege lasted long," and "the city was at last taken."

In the last para. of the account of the Gür Khāns, I have noticed what has been said respecting the survivors of that family, but, although it is very probable that the last Gür Khān, and the former ones too, may have had descendants, they are not specified, nor is a son mentioned in any author that I am aware of. The statement as to "Gushluk" having killed "the sovereign" of "Kashgar," contained in the "Mongols Proper," is without any real authority, I fancy, and would be difficult to verify.

After obtaining possession of Kāshghar, Koshlük moved towards Khutan, which 'Abū-l-Fidā and some others say was of the I-ghūrs, or "I-ghūrfā," lying in the 42° of Lat., while Kāshghar is said to be in the 44°, but correctly, according to the most recent observations, 39° 24' 26", and 37° 6' 58", respectively, which shows the correct direction of the I-ghur country at the period in question, and which extended much farther to the S. W. than shown in the map in "Mongols Proper," and as the events mentioned clearly show. Koshlük took possession of Khutan, and acted towards its people in the same tyrannical manner, as at Kāshghar, to compel Musalmans to recant. He commanded that all the learned men of the place should come out and hold a disputation with him on the subject, and more than 3000 'Ulama and men of learning appeared. One of them was the Shaikh 'Ala-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Khutani, and he got the best of the argument, by the Musalman account, upon which Koshlük began to mock him, and the Shaikh, losing all patience, cried out, "Dust on thy mouth, O Koshlūk! thou accursed enemy of the faith!" For this the Shaikh was seized and nailed up before the gate of the college he had founded. He lingered for several days, during which he continued to exhort the people to be staunch in the faith; and, at length, he was put to death, and thus attained the felicity of martyrdom. After this, Musalmans were forbidden to exercise their religion, and the call to prayer and public worship were prohibited.

At that period, there was a person dwelling on the confines of Almāligh, of great valour and intrepidity, and a champion—a pahlawān, but not "a herald with red arrows" [see "Mongols Proper," page 130], and his name was Uzār [,i,j], and he also belonged to the Kankulī or Kanghulī tribe. He was a freebooter, and did nothing better than steal horses from all parts, and carry on other wickedness, until vagabonds and bold spirits like himself gathered round him, and he began to acquire strength, and to ravage the parts around Almāligh, until, at last, he obtained possession of that place and parts around.

#### before] Balkh, as has been already related, he became

<sup>2</sup> He was not "investing" his own city, as some writers, who probably did not know that Balkh belonged to him, have made out.

Who held possession immediately before is not stated, but, probably, a governor on the part of the late Gūr Khān did.

This upstart is Mr. H. H. Howorth's "Prince of Almaligh;" and he says [page 20] that Erdmann says "he was known as Merdi Shudsha (i. e. lion heart, or lion man), and adds, "This latter statement is probably well founded, for the Khans of Almaligh are doubtless to be identified with the Lion Khans of Kashgar mentioned by Visdelou," and yet, only on the preceding page, on the authority of Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, he at once identifies this adventurer, who had only just obtained possession, as one and the same as "Arslan Khan of the Karluks, who was also Prince of Kayalik or Kabalik." What a jumble of errors have we here! Now, what Erdmann, in his innocence, supposes to be a title is merely the simple Persian words vitiated, namely, mard-iehujā—a bold or intrepid man; and it is utterly impossible that he could be a Kankult and a Kärlügh—two totally different tribes—at the same time, or, that he was ruler or prince of Kayālik, previously referred to in another note, who had submitted to the Mughals years before, and had again presented himself and joined them, on their way to Utrar. Mr. Howorth appears to have also forgotten that, at page 66 of his book, he states that, in 1209 [A.D. = 606-7 H.], when "Jingis" returned to his "yurt," he found there "the Idikut of the Uighurs, Arslan Khan, chief of the Karliks (i.e. Turks of Kayalik), and Ozar, Prince of Almaligh, who had come to do him homage."

Arsalān Khān will appear on the scene in several places of our author's narrative, but that he and this upstart were totally different persons is beyond a doubt, as may be seen farther on.

I have already noticed that, because some of the Afrasiyabi rulers of Kashghar were styled Arsalan, it did not follow that they were all styled "the Lion Khans." Such an impossible statement will not be found in any of the historians of the Mughals, not even in the work of that *Persian*—"the great Raschid."

Now it is very evident that, if Almāligh had belonged to Arsalān Khān, the Kārlūgh, and the Kankulī, Üzār, had taken it from him, they would not have both appeared together in the yūrat of the Chingiz Khān.

The Chinese historians state, with respect to these very events, that Ko-pauyu, a Chinese general in the Mughal army, on recovering from a dangerous wound received in a previous battle, was sent to invest Bish-Baligh, N. of Turfan, the capital of the Yiddi-Küt of the Ighurs of the Muhammadan writers (why this should be, seeing that the Yiddi-Kut was a vassal of the Mughals at this time, is not said] but, on the other hand, the Chinese say Ho-chew, E. of Turfan, was the capital of the I-ghurs; and that, at this time, Gon-chor, chief of the tribe of Yong-ku, in W. Tartary, subdued the city and country of Almaligh-O-li-ma-lu. Further, that Kosmeli, one of the great chiefs of the last of the Kitan dynasty, on becoming aware that the Mughals were come to make war on Koghlük, persuaded the chief of the city of Asān (supposed to be near to Käshghar) to submit to Jabah, that Koshlük had raised up all the country N. W. of Turfan, on the E. and W., as far as the Sibūn, leagued with the prince of Kichah, or Kinchah, and the Kanglis N.E. of Samrkand, and, after slaying Koshlük, the Nāemāns, and Kangli [Kanghulis, or Kankulis], acknowledged the Chingiz Khān's supremacy.

To return to the upstart, Üzär. After he had obtained possession of Almä-

#### filled with despondency, and retired precipitately towards

ligh—the "Turkish Prince" of which is said, by Abū-l-Fidā, to have been absent at this time, and which city is said by him to lie in the same degree of Lat. as Kashghar—he set out for Fulad-Sum [فولاد سم], which was one of the greater cities in that part [afterwards buried in the sands, like several other cities), and gained possession of it likewise. Koshlük used continually to lead troops against him, defeat him, and ravage and lay waste his recently acquired territory. When Üzar's position became dangerous, he despatched an agent to the Chingiz Khān, and complained of Koshlūk's tyranny! The Chingiz Khān sent him a friendly answer—his being hostile to Koshlūk was enough to ensure that—and Uzar proceeded to his presence and was well received, a dress of honour and other favours were bestowed upon him, and Juji sought his daughter in marriage. The Chingiz Khan advised him to abstain from hunting excursions [the mode of hunting of the Mughals and other peoples of Asia, often referred to in our author's, as in other histories, is very different from our ideas of hunting, but I have not space to describe it here], lest he might fall a prey into the hands of a hunter foe, referring to Koshlük, and presented him with a thousand sheep in order that he might not have occasion to go forth in search of game. However, when Uzar returned to Almaligh, he again went out, when suddenly and unexpectedly the forces of Koshlük came upon him in a hunting-ground, captured him, and brought him before the gate of Almaligh. The inhabitants, however, shut the gates of the city, and resolved to defend it. Fighting commenced, when, at this crisis, news arrived of the coming of the hosts of the Chingiz Khān, for he began to grow alarmed at Koshlük's continued success. On this Koshlūk's troops retired from before Almaligh, and, on the way back to their own territory, put Uzar, the Kankuli, to death. The Chingiz Khan showed favour to his son, Saghnāk-Tigin [In the account of those who presented themselves to the Chingiz Khan on his way to Utrar, which occurred very shortly after, the chief of Almaligh is styled Tukia-Tigin. See note 1, page 969] gave him one of Juji's daughters to wife, and sent him back to Almaligh [from this it would seem that he had been kept in the Mughal camp as security for his father's behaviour], where he took up his residence; and Arsalān Khān, the Kārlūgh, who, at this period, was a vassal of the Mughal ruler, who had betrothed one of his daughters to him, was, by him, permitted to go back to Kaiāligh or Kaiālik.

In the meantime the Chingiz Khān's envoys and the merchants had been put to death and plundered through the perfidy of Antāl-Juk, the Kankuli, whose title was Ghā-ir Khān, and whose title, in the MSS. of our author's work, by mistake, is written Kadr Khān. The Chingiz Khān, before undertaking the war against the Sultān of Khwārazm to avenge that outrage, determined not to leave behind him any one likely to contemplate sedition in his territories during his absence; and, as his chief enemies, Koshlūk, the Nāemān, and Tūk-Tughān, the Makrit, were committing disturbances and sedition in the vicinity of his line of route, he determined to finish Koshlūk first, and, accordingly, the Nū-yin, Jabah, was sent "to the westward" against him, with a large army of several tomāns, from the frontier of Karā-Khitāe, as already stated.

Koshlük, having committed violence and tyranny beyond measure in Khutan and Kāshghar, and endeavoured to extinguish Islām therein, had nothing to expect but hostility from its people, and therefore, on hearing of the approach of a Mughāl army to that frontier, he fled from Kāshghar, and Jabah was allowed to take possession of it. He at once issued a proclamation that every one might follow his own faith unmolested. Every Nāemān that

Nishāpūr. On the Chingiz Khān receiving information of the Sultān's departure from the environs of Balkh, and of the sedition in, and dispersion of, the army which was along with him, he ordered 60,000 Mughal horse, from his own camp, to cross the Jiḥūn, and despatched this army, under the standard of two notable Mughals, one of whom was the Bahādur, Sahūdah by name, and the other the Nū-in, Yamah, in pursuit of the Sultān.

fell into his hands was slaughtered, and he sent out bodies of troops in all directions in pursuit of Koshlük. He, out of fear for his life, expecting no mercy from his relentless foes, threw himself into the mountain fastnesses of Badakhshan, and, in the agitated and perturbed state of mind he was in, entered a darah [a valley between hills, with a river running through it, also a pass] which had no way out of it. Some call it the Darah of the Sarigh-Kol-صريق كل—or Sarik-kul-سريغ كول or Sarik-kul-سريغ كول Here we can easily find our ground. The word Sarigh occurs in Sarigh-i-Ighur, and in Sarigh Pamir, which appears in Col. Walker's map under the incorrect form of "Sariz Pamir." A party of hunters, natives of Badakhshan, were pursuing game in those hills when the Mughals suddenly pounced upon They told the Badakhshis that they would spare their lives if they would seize and make over to them some fugitives who had lately fled from So some of the hunters, who had noticed some strangers, surrounded Koshlūk and his few dependents, captured them, and delivered them over to the Mughals, who slew the whole of them; and Koshlük's head was forthwith cut off and taken away along with them. In that affair much booty, and precious jewels, fell into the hands of the Badakhshi hunters. Through the death of Koshlük, sovereign of the Nāemāns, the countries of Khutan and Kāshghar, to the Āb-i-Fanākat, which is also called the Sihūn, were added to the empire of the Chingiz Khan.

This name is written in several ways. Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur, in the Kazān ed. of his History, makes it in Chanah, which is, apparently, a misprint for in Our author, and Faṣiḥ-ī, and some others, write it Yamah—in other works. Faṣiḥ-ī too has Suntāe for Swidāe.

I must give a few details here, in addition to my notes at page 276 to 278, respecting the movements of these three Nū-yāns, which may be considered generally correct, and they are chiefly taken from the Tārīkh-i-Alfī, Jahān Kushāe, Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gīr, Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā, and Ḥabīb-us-Siyar, but it must be borne in mind that the authors of the four last, particularly, wrote under Mughal rule and Mughal patronage, and from one to three centuries after our author, who was contemporary with the Chingiz Khān, his sons, and grandsons, and knew persons who had taken part in the actions he relates, and therefore—although his accounts are meagre—he is entitled to full credit here. The authors who wrote under Mughal influence appear inclined to lessen the number of the Mughal forces on most occasions, while our author, who was very hostile to them, perhaps inclines to exaggerate a little on the other side. However, that a force of 30,000 horse only was engaged in this expedition of over three years, through half of Asia, containing great and strong fortresses, mighty cities, difficult passes, and tortuous defiles, is not worthy of credit, for, had

That host, in the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 617 H.,

such a small number been sent they would have been liable to be cut off when separated, in a country too where there were men who only wanted leaders to make them fight. Even a force of 60,000, as our author states, allowing for those killed, disabled, or carried off by disease, was small enough; but, it is certain, that the Mughals, as was their custom, compelled men of the places they captured or passed through to join them, and incorporated them in their armies; and, by this means, they managed to save their own men at the cost of their recruits. We must also remember that they had to depend on the parts they overran for supplies. That they could be resisted in far greater numbers than 30,000 or even 60,000 by resolute men, the defence of Utrār, Khujand, Tirmid, Sistān, and Khwārazm, abundantly shows, as well as the determined resistance of other places mentioned by our author farther on, but which no other writers have even named, much less described.

When the Chingiz Khan had reached Samrkand, in Zi-Hijjah, 616 H., [end of February, A.D. 1220], and had completely invested that place, news, it is said, reached him that the Sultan had crossed the Jihun by the Tirmid ferry [See note 2, page 275. Perhaps his informant was Badr-ud-Din], that the greater part of his troops were stationed in different fortresses, and the remainder dispersed [see the note previously referred to] in various parts. The Chingiz Khān consequently held counsel with his Nū-yāns, saying, that, as but very few troops remained with the Sultan, and his son, Jalal-ud-Din's advice to concentrate his forces was not complied with, it was now possible to complete his downfall before his nobles and great men, and the armies of the different parts of his empire, should have time to rally round him. It was therefore determined that three Mughal Amirs of tomans, who were among the greatest of the Mughal leaders, namely, the Nü-yan, Jabah, of the tribe of Balsut, the Yamah of our author [ and an might be mistaken one for the other in MSS. Our author is distinct in his statement in several places], Yāfa-t, Faşiḥ-t, and some others, with one toman [10,000 horse] as the van division, and the Bahadur, Swidae-the Sahudah of our author and others-of the Mughal tribe of Kungkur-āt, and the Nū-yān [the Bahādur?], Tūķchār, also written Tūķachār and Taghachar, with their respective tomans, should be directed to follow Jabah [Yamah] in succession in pursuit of the Sultan. They were to pursue him throughout his empire, and not to rest until they had captured him. If they came up with, and found themselves not strong enough to cope with him, they were to make it known to him, the Chingiz Khan, and not to turn aside; to spare those who submitted, and leave Shahnahs or Intendants with them, but to annihilate all that showed hostility. They were likewise to understand that three years were sufficient to accomplish this task, and turn the Sultan's empire upside down; that he himself did not intend to remain in the countries west of the Sihūn more than three years; and that they were to rejoin him, at his native yūrat, or encamping ground, in Mughalistan, by way of the Dasht or Steppe of Kibchak [along the north side of the Caspian]. further instructed to acquaint him in case of their being in danger, that his son, Tuli, would be at once sent after them, at the head of an army, into Khurāsān, and another army against Khwarazm, under his other sons.

These three leaders at once set out, and Jabah [Yamah], with his tomān, formed the van, while the others were directed to follow him in succession [at an interval of some few days probably]. They crossed the Āmūfah, or Jihun, by the Panj-āb ford, at the end of Rabi'-ul-Ākhir—some say in the previous

crossed the river Jihun; and, in conformity with the

month—617 H. [about the latter part of June, A.D. 1220], and pushed on to Balkh, where they arrived together. They were waited on by a deputation of the chief men, received supplies, left an Intendant there, and then, according to their instructions, proceeded towards Hirāt.

On the arrival of Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah] at Hirāt, they did not molest it because, when they entered that territory, the Malik of Hirat [Amin Malik, according to the Habib-us-Siyar, whose title was Yamin-ul-Mulk] sent an emissary to meet them, and to signify his submission and obedience, he, from their unexpected arrival, being in no condition to resist them; but such proceedings, on that Malik's part, are contrary to the statements of our author and the tenour of that Malik's life. See the account of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din's coming to Ghaznin farther on. They were allowed to receive supplies, but were not admitted within the walls. The two leaders continued to follow each other towards Zāwah; and, when Tūkachār reached Hirāt, he must needs refuse to believe the statement of the Malik's submission to Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah], and commence hostilities. The Malik therefore, of necessity, had to defend himself [See note \*, page 1014], and, in a conflict which ensued between the Mughals and Hiratis, Tukajar was killed, along with a great many of his force. This is a totally different person from the son-in-law of the Chingiz Khan killed before Nishabur.

In the meantime, the Malik of Hirat having sent messengers to the two Nū-yāns in advance, complaining of Tūkachār's conduct, agents from them to the Malik arrived merely in time to conduct his defeated troops to join the other two leaders. From this, it will be perceived, that it was only want of spirit, or rather want of union and concerted action, that prevented the Musalmāns from exterminating this Mughal force entirely.

From what has been already narrated by our author above, it will have been seen that he knew more of the actual facts of this affair than the pro-Mughal writers I have taken this from. Tūkachār was killed near Fushanj, a dependency of Hirāt, and not at or before Hirāt itself.

These forces under these three leaders were not the only troops despatched from the Chingiz Khān's camp into Khurāsān in 617 H. Arsalān Khān of Kaiālik, and the Juzbi, Tūlān, the Talangūt [?] [see note •, page 1061], were despatched across the Jiḥūn, about the same time, to invest Walkh of Tukhāristān, which was bravely defended, and defied all the efforts of the invaders for eight months. See pages 1004—1006.

When Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] reached Zāwah, they were in want of supplies [according to Rashid-ud-Din], and all their solicitations and threats did not induce the people to open their gates or give them any, so, being in want, they stormed it vigorously. Others, however, state, that, the gates being shut upon them, and as the Suliān was their object, they would have left Zāwah unmolested, but those within began to beat their drums, and sound their clarions, and from the walls greeted their departure with shouts, jeers, and obscene language, which so exasperated the Mughals that they turned back, and attacked the place. In the space of three days they carried it by storm, massacred all the inhabitants, young and old, and levelled Zāwah with the dust, after which feat they turned their faces towards Nishābūr, without delay, pushing on day and night, "like the autumn blast or clouds of spring, slaughtering all who came across them, and destroying and burning all they possibly could."

Chingiz Khān's commands, they did not inflict any injury on any of the cities and towns of Khurāsān, and had

The Sultan had reached Nishabūr in the month of Şafar, 617 H. [See note 2, page 275], and lest it precipitately in the following Rabi-ul-Akhir; and this shows that the Mughals could not have crossed the Jihun in the latter month, but must have done so in the preceding one, as mentioned in the note referred to. The Sultan who had lost all heart-indeed some fatality seems to have overcome him-probably, the prophecy of the astrologers, already mentioned, may have influenced his superstition-could not be induced to make any stand, and seemed only to seek a place of safety. The females of his family he sent to the strong fortress of Karan-duiz, to the care of Taj-ud-Din, Tughan. The Fakhr-ul-Mulk, Nizam-ud-Din, Abū-l-Ma'ali, the Katib, a native of Jam, the Ziya-ul-Mulk, the 'Ariz, the Zawzani, and the Majir [by some, Majd]-ul-Mulk, 'Umr-i-Raji, the Kāfi, who were of the Şadrs of Khurāsān and Wazīrs of the Sultān, were lest to administer the affairs of Nishābūr and its dependencies; and the Sultan left it, taking the route of Isfarain and Rai, which he passed without making any stay, and made for Kazwin, at the foot of the citadel of which his son, Rukn-ud-Din, the ruler of 'Irāk, was encamped with 30,000 'Irākis. Others again say, that the Sultan did stay at Rai, and that he there h ard of a Mughal army having entered Khurāsān. On the way to Kazwin, the veteran, Nusrat-ud-Din, Hazār-Asp, also styled Hazār-Şaf, one of the greatest of the ancient Maliks, and father-in-law of Ghiyag-ud-Din, Pir Shah, the Sultan's son, joined him from Lar; and the Sultan went along with him to inspect Shiran-koh, with the object of staying there.

When Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] reached Nishābūr, they tortured every one they could meet with in order to extort information respecting the Sultān's movements, and sent, and called upon the Fakhr-ul-Mulk, and his colleagues, to submit to the Chingiz Khān's authority. They supplied the wants of the Mughals, and sent out three agents, with offerings, to express their submission, and to state that he, the Fakhr-ul-Mulk, was an old man of the class of people of the pen, that they were in search of the Sultān, and, in case they should overcome him in battle, the country would naturally be theirs—not, "Speed after him," etc., as a late writer, using some imperfect translation, says—" and that he and his colleagues would be their slaves."

Jabah [Yamah] gave them encouragement, and conciliated them; and, taking into consideration what had happened at Hirāt, left a Shaḥnah, or Intendant, with them for their security, and issued a proclamation, in the name of the Chingiz Khān, written in the I-ghūri character, in the following words, or words to the same effect: "Be it known to every one, far and near, high and low, great and small, of I-rān and Tūr [i.e. Tūrān], that the Pure God [How history repeats itself! Here also the Pure God—the God of Peace—is invoked, but not under the veil of Christianity] hath given unto me the sovereignty of the east and of the west. Whoso shows hostility to me shall see no more safety in this world: his kinsmen and connexions shall perish, together with his women and children; but they, who place their heads upon the line of obedience unto me, shall, instead of the cap, place a diadem on their heads." He also advised them to submit when the Mughal army, which was following, should arrive, and not to trust to the strength of their walls.

The Mughal leaders made no stay at Nishābūr, but pushed onwards. Jabah [Yamah] máde towards Māzandarān by way of Juwain; and, on

nothing to do with them, except in the territory of Hirāt, at a place which they call the To-i of Būshani [Fūshani]

arriving therein, committed great outrages, more particularly at Astarābād, and at Āmul, where he ordered a general massacre. Swidāe [Sahūdah] moved to Jām and Tūs. The latter place refused to submit, on which he massacred the inhabitants, and then proceeded by way of Rādakān [a well known place in history and geography, but it appears in Major St. John's new map of Persia, published by the India Office, under the impossible name of "Rādán"]. Isfarāin, or Isfarāyin, as it is also spelt, and Khabūshān, to Dāmghān. The people took refuge in the strong and famous fortress of Gird-Koh, W. of the city, and refused to submit, but a good many, who could not reach it, were massacred. He then moved upon Simnān, where many people were put to the sword, but places which submitted were spared.

Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Hazār-Asp or Hazār-Ṣaf, the Atā-Bak of Lār, who, as already stated, had reached the Sulṭān's presence, advised him to take shelter in Tang-Talū—also written Tangah-Takū—, between Luristān and Fārs, as related in note 5, page 277, but, hearing of the fall of Rai, and the near arrival of the Mughals, the Sulṭān and his sons retired towards Ķārundujz, and Nuṣrat-ud-Din is said to have retired to Lār; and other grandees and chiefs likewise sought places of safety.

On his way towards Ķārun-dujz, the Sultān narrowly escaped a party of the enemy, as related previously, at page 277; and he stayed there only two days to get fresh horses, and then turned his face, it is said, towards Baghdād [ابنداد]—the place of all others, save the camp of the Chingiz Khān, which he would be likely to avoid], but some MSS. have, to Fulād [بنداد]; but, hearing that the Mughals had already reached Ķārun-dujz, he changed his route for the fortress of Surkhahān—سرخاها —and from thence entered Gilān.

Jabah [Yamah] lest a force to invest Kāran-dujz, and again set out towards Rai in pursuit of the unfortunate Sultān. Now, considering that, at the outset, if only 30,000 men were detached, what with fighting at Hirāt and other places, besides the losses the Mughals must have sustained after such marches, to leave a force behind to invest this stronghold must have so weakened their numbers as to have rendered their destruction easy, I cannot, therefore, for a moment, credit the statement that only 30,000 horse were detached. Considering that the Pro-Mughal writers generally lessen the numbers of their own forces, to flatter their patrons' vanity, our author's statement, that 60,000 was the number despatched, is much more reliable, and much more probable.

When Sultān Muḥammad reached Gilān, Şa'lūk, one of the chiefs of the Gil, received him, and advised him to take up his residence in Gilān. He remained seven days there, when he again set out towards Rustamdār for Astadārah [استدار], or Astarah استرال the Asdār—استرال of others, and Astawā or Istawā of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh], where all his treasures that remained with him were lost. From thence he set out in the direction of Dā-nū-i—الالماني [in some MSS. مال والولى and even دابولي], a dependency of Āmul, and, from that place, embarked on the Kulzum [the sea—the Caspian or Sea of Khurz], on the advice of the Chief of Māzandarān, as related at page 278.

4 55—to-ī—but, in a few copies, 59—bo-īa. In Pushto, to'e in the masculine, and toe'a'h—also written to'e-a—in the feminine, signifying—split, rent, scattered, dispersed, etc., is the past part. of the intrans. verb to-vedal, but it does not follow that the above is a Pushto word. The printed text is hopelessly defective here.

where one of the chief men of the Mughal army, in a foray therein, went to hell. Būshanj was but a small fortification; and they took it by storm, and martyred all the Musalmāns in the place. From thence they pushed on towards Nīshāpūr, and arrived there, and appeared before the gate of that city. A battle having taken place there [with the troops therein quartered], the son-in-law of the Chingiz Khān was killed. Without occupying themselves in avenging his death, they proceeded onwards towards Tabaristān and Māzandarān in search of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh. The Sultān was encamped within the darah [valley and pass] of Timmīshiah, on the road to Māzandarān, when, suddenly, the Mughal troops came up with him.

Sultān Muḥammad left Utsuz, the Ḥājib, under the canopy of state in the centre of his troops, with orders to move them to Dāmghān and 'Irāk, whilst he, himself, entered into the mountains of Māzandarān, and embarked on the sea [the Caspian], as has been previously related. The Mughal forces now separated into two armies; the one, which was greatest, pushed on towards 'Irāk in pursuit of Sultān Muḥammad's troops, whilst the smaller one proceeded down the darah of Timmīshiah.

Respecting the movements of both these armies, no further information, such as might be considered certain, reached Khurāsān. Some said that, not finding Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, in Māzandarān and 'Irāk, they fell upon the son of that Sultān, whom they were wont to style Sultān Rukn-ud-Din, Ghūri Shānasti, and martyred him and the forces of 'Irāk; and, by way of Āzarbāijān, came out in the direction of the Dasht-i-Khifchāk; but God knows best.\*

This is incorrect: it was on the second occasion that a son-in-law of the Chingiz Khān—of whom he had several—was killed: Our author has confused this event. See page 1028, and note 9 page 1034.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Not marked in modern maps. It is also written Timmeshah and Timmishah.

<sup>7</sup> He had but a small number with him.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> As our author, up to the time he wrote his work, was in doubt respecting the subsequent movements of these two Mughal commanders, he having, in the year 624 H., left his native place and country about the time of their return, and retired into Hind, in order to connect what I have before briefly narrated, I will give a short account of their farther proceedings in this Mughal raid.

The Mughals first possessed themselves of Karan-dujz [which was never called "Karendar," nor was it situated "between Nessa and Nishapoor," nor was it situated "in the Kurdish mountains, between Kermanshah and Baghdad," at a place called "Ardahan," nor was it "in Khorassan." See "Mongols Proper" pp. 81 and 714], so called after Karan, an ancient Dialamah king and champion, of the Gil race, and situated in the Kazwin Darah-13 et. that is to say, Karan's Castle. Without the points on the last letter, , might be mistaken for , but any one acquainted with the Persian language would naturally, whether there were points or not, read affixed to the name of a fortress, as duis, as a matter of course. It was plundered and levelled with the dust, after which the Mughals moved against I-lal-lul [called Lal by our author, at page 280, which was not called "Ilak" then, and I think there is no proof adducible that it is called "Al Ask" now. It is precisely the same word, in the original, as PETIS DE LA CROIX'S "Ylale". I where was the Sultan's mother, and other ladies of his family, and the younger children, and invested it.

Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur's history has Ī-lān, but in the Tārīkh-i-Alfi this place is, invariably, styled the fortress of Lār-jān—V—and it is also stated that it was on a mountain in Tabaristān, which, in after times, was noted for a spring, the drops of water from which petrified. The same authority states that Yamah [as in our author, and the Jabah of others] detached a Mughal leader named Suntāe with a force to invest it.

No one could call to recollection the time when that stronghold ever wanted for water, for cisterns had been constructed previously, capable of containing such a quantity of water that if no rain fell for years, when they were once filled, there would have been no want of it. It seemed, however, as though Providence was against the Khwārazmīs and Musalmāns in general, for, in fifteen days after the investment began, there was scarcely any water remaining, and no rain fell—an unusual event in that district—from the time the Mughals invested it. Consequently, the Sultān's mother, Turkān Khātūn, and the Wazīr, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, who was there also, were compelled to come down, and surrender. Almost at the moment of their reaching the foot of the walls the rain began to fall, and continued to pour, in such wise, that the water flowed out under the portals of the fortress!

The place was sacked, and all the vast treasures of gems, gold, and other precious things, fell into the hands of the barbarian Mughals, to such an amount that, besides precious stones and the like, ten thousand thousand— 10,000,000—mişkāls [about 1] drachms each] of red gold, and 1000 kharwārs a load sufficient for an ass-of silken fabrics, clothes, etc., were among the This booty was sent, along with the unfortunate Turkan Khatun, her children, grandchildren, and connexions, and Nāşir-ud-Din, the Wazir, towards Samrkand, to the camp of the Chingiz Khān; but, on their way thither, they found that his camp was then in the neighbourhood of Tal-kan[Nasrkoh of Tal-kan of Khurasan, as our author states farther on]. When the captives were brought before him, the Wazir, Nāşir-ud-Din, was forthwith put to death, together with all the male children of the Sultan's family, however young. What befel the females may be imagined. I shall have something more to say respecting them and their cruel fate farther on. After that, when Sultan Talal-ud-Din was defeated on the banks of the Sind, and his haram too fell into the power of the Mughals, the females were sent to join Turkan Khatun, and were all kept together in one place.

When Sultan Muhammad, who was then seeking safety on one of the

islands in the Caspian [Åb-i-Sugūn, referred to in note s, page 278, is contained in the map of the Caspian and countries around it, in the Masālik wa Mamālik, and is placed on the south shore, about midway between Amul and Astarābād], as previously related at page 279, heard of the capture of this stronghold, and the fate of his family, he died, within a few days, of a broken heart [but, according to our author, and contrary to all those who wrote after him, and improbable too—whilst being conveyed back towards Khwārazm. See page 279], in Shawwāl, the tenth month of 617 H. [end of Nov., or early in Decr., old style, 1220 A.D.]. Considering that the first day of 618 H. commenced on the 24th of February, 1221 A.D., it is very evident that the Sultān could not have died on the 10th of January of that year, as stated in "Mongols Proper," on the authority of M. Wolff.

After the capture of Kāran-dujz and Ī-lal, and the death of the Sulţān, had become known to the Mughal Nū-yīns, Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah], they despatched an agent to the camp of the Chingiz Khān to inform him thereof, and to intimate that the late Sulṭān's son, Sulṭān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, was coming in his direction; that they themselves were thus relieved of any responsibility respecting them; and would now proceed to carry out the rest of his instructions by pushing on into 'Irāk and Māzandarān, and would rejoin him, within the prescribed time, by way of the Dar-band of Shirwān, and the

Dasht-i-Khifchāk.

Where the Mughals wintered-for it was mid-winter when they heard of the Sultan's death-if they went into winter-quarters, is not precisely stated, but it must have been in the north-western part of Khurāsān; and as soon as the season opened in the following year-618 H.-Jabah [Yamah] set out towards Rai by way of Khowaf. Having reached it, expecting he should be unable to take it alone, he summoned Swidae [Sahūdah] to join him. There were, however, unknown to them, allies within the walls. The inhabitants of Rai were divided into two religious factions, the Hanifis and the Shā'fis. former had, not long before, burnt a masjid belonging to their rivals, upon which, when it became known that the Mughals were coming, the Kazi of the Shā'fis, and a number of his party, hastened forth to welcome them. then offered to betray the city into their hands, the price being, for betraying their country and faith, and playing into the hands of barbarians, the destruction of the rival sect. The Mughal leaders accepted the terms; and they, having been admitted within the walls by the traitors, proceeded to butcher the Hanifis, but, having had clear proof of the disinterested friendship of the Shāf'is, and their trustworthiness, the Mughals massacred them also, and completely destroyed the city. Thus was Rai-one of the most flourishing, populous, and finest cities of Asia-desolated, plundered, and depopulated; and it never after recovered. This took place early in 618 H. After this feat, [abah [Yamah] advanced towards Hamadan, and Swidae [Sahudah] to Kazwin.

When Jabah reached Kum, to use the expressive simile of one of my authorities, "by the Mughals, the people of Kum became gum"—the Persian for lost, destroyed, annihilated, etc. At Kum, too, were two religious factions—the Shi'ahs and the Sunnis. The former sent a deputation to wait on Jabah [Yamah], and incited him to destroy the latter; and, as usual with the Mughals, after slaughtering the followers of the rival sect, they sent the followers of 'Ali after them, carried off such as escaped the sword into captivity, and left not a living soul at Kum; in fact, they "destroyed" them completely,

in "the true Circassian style."

When Jabah [Yamah] arrived near Hamadān, the venerable Sayyid, 'Alā-ud-Daulah, the Hamadāni—some, including the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā, say Majd-ud-Daulah, his son—of the race of 'Alī, who was dwelling there—not "commanding," save in a spiritual point of view—sent him offerings, and tendered submission, in order to save the place and people, and agreed to receive a Mughal Shahnah.

In the meantime, the Mughals obtained information that a body of the late Sultan's forces, under two leaders, Beg-Tigin, Salahi, and Kuj-Buka Khan, had assembled at Najās for Nakhās? MSS. إنجاس, upon which they marched against them, overthrew, pursued, and dispersed them. Jabah [Yamah] attacked Güzrüd, Khurramābād, and Nih-āwand, which were plundered and burnt, after the people were put to the sword. The Mughals continued to carry their depredations into every part of 'Irāk, with the exception of Isfahān. which remained safe in the hands of the Khwarazmis, and did not fall under the voke of the Mughals until many years after, and then treachery caused its fall, as our author relates farther on. After the slaughter of a vast number of people, Kazwin was the next point assailed. The people defended it desperately, for the Kazwinis adopted their usual custom of street-fighting, which the disposition and nature of the streets of their city enabled them successfully They fought hand to hand with the Mughals, and some 50,000 men were killed altogether on both sides. The city was captured at last, and those who still remained alive were massacred, and the place was sacked. It stands to reason that, if only 30,000 Mughals crossed the Oxus originally, as said by the pro-Mughal historians, they must have been somewhat reduced even were this the only fighting they engaged in, and therefore, as I have before mentioned, the 60,000 of our author must be much nearer the truth; and even in this case the Mughals must have greatly increased their troops by forced recruiting by the way. In more than one place, farther on, the despatch of fresh troops by the Chingiz Khan to reinforce these two Nu-yins is expressly mentioned.

Having plundered, destroyed, and massacred to such degree in 'Irāk, the winter season [618-19 H.] having now arrived, Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] took up their winter-quarters in some of the dependencies of Rai. When the spring came round the Mughals turned their faces towards Āgarbāijān, reached Zinjān, took it, and massacred the people. They then advanced to Arbil, which they treated in the same fashion, and burnt it, after which they marched by way of Sar-i-Āb towards Tabriz. At that time, the ruler of Āgarbāijān was the Atā-Bak, Mugaffar-ud-Din, Yūz-Bak [see page 171], 'the son of the Jahān Pahlawān, and the last of that dynasty [see page 172, note <sup>3</sup>], who, on the appearance of the enemy near Tabriz, concealed himself, and despatched an agent to Jabah [Yamah], together with valuable offerings, soliciting an accommodation. This was agreed to, and, it having been arranged, the Mughals passed on without farther molesting Tabriz.

According to the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā, however, "the Atā-Bak, Jahān Pahlawān, resisted the Mughals at first, but, having been defeated and routed, sent his son, Yūz-Bak, tendering submission, and despatched valuable presents, and thus saved his territory from further hostility"! The Jahān Pahlawān, however, died thirty-seven years before this, in 582 H.

I am unaware whether the accounts taken from Wolff, Erdmann, and other "Professors," quoted by the author of the "Mongols Proper," [p. 82], are verbatim; but, whether or not, these events have been made a terrible hash of in that book, and some, especially respecting the return of the Mughals homeward, have been mixed up with events which happened when Juji entered Khischāk some years subsequently. "Chepé Noyan, and Subutae Behadur," as they are styled therein, are made to capture, after some other places, "Kum, Hamadan, and Rudbar," and, afterwards, are marched upon "Kasvin," and the Sultan, who is, by the same account, still living, is followed to the south shore of the Caspian. This was in 1220 A.D. Then we hear that "Chepé" and "Subutae," after taking Ilak [p. 93], marched against Rai, where the rival sects bring destruction upon each other. Then "Kum" is taken a second time, and "Chepé" makes a raid upon "the towns of Irak, Dinawar," etc., attacks "Nehawend, the far-famed Echatana," while "Subutae" captures Kazvin over again [p. 93], although it had been already taken, previous to the Sultān's death, and 50,000 people slaughtered [p. 82]. After this, "Chepé" advances through "Dilem upon Azerbaidjan, which, together with Arran, were then ruled by the Atabeg Uzbeg," etc., etc., and, in the spring of the following year, after wintering in "the rich plains of Mogan," the "Mongols advance into Georgia." These events are said to have taken place before the Sultan's death, in 1221 A.D., at p. 82, and, at p. 93, towards the end of 1222 A.D. [= 618 H.]. At p. 97, we are again informed that, "in 1224 A.D. [= 621 H.], a small body of 3000 [the original 30,000?] Mongols" was able to once more "destroy Rayi, to do the same to Kum, and Kashan," etc., etc., so "Rai" or "Rayi," as it is indiscriminately styled, and also "Kum," were, according to this account, destroyed no less than two and three times respectively, in about as many years. How speedily these cities must have recovered again from total ruin and destruction! All these different statements, however, refer really to one and the same events, for, on the first occasion of their inroad beyond the Oxus, with the exception, probably, of Marw and Khwarazm, no Mughal troops were left to hold any position in Khurasan or 'Irak-i-'Ajam, and, consequently, in Uktae's time fresh armies had to be sent. See page 1007.

During this winter [619-620 H.—the winter of 1222-23 A.D.], 2000—some say 10,000-Gürji [Georgian] cavalry, all picked men, attacked the Mughalswhere is not said, but in one of their advanced positions probably, and, as might have been expected from such a small force, they were overthrown. The Gurjis now made preparations for attacking the Mughals in the coming spring, and sought help from Asia Minor, from the Diar-i-Bakr, and Diar-i-Rabi'. The Mughals, at the same time, were meditating an invasion of Gürjistan as soon as the season should open. At this time, a Turk slave in the service of the Atā-Bak, Yūz-Bak, named Aghrūsh, also called Aghūsh, collected together a considerable force, consisting of Khalj Turks, Kurds, and other adventurers, and entered the service of the Mughals. This is a specimen of one of the ways in which they received reinforcements. the season opened, Aghrūsh, and his force of "free companions," supported by the Mughals, entered Gurjistan, carrying slaughter and devastation as far as the gates of Taffis. They were soon encountered by the valiant Gurjis, and the latter, having inflicted great slaughter upon Aghrush's force, were about to overpower it, when the main Mughal army arrived on the spot, just in time to save it. Unable to withstand the combined forces, the Gurjis had to beat a

retreat.

In Safar, 620 H. [March, 1223, A.D.], Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] advanced to Marāghah, which territory, at that time, was ruled by a female sovereign—I have no space for much detail—who held her court in a fortress named Rū-in-dujz, three farsakhs or leagues from Marāghah. Although she was unprovided with the means of efficient resistance, and almost destitute of troops, the people defended Marāghah for a week, when it was captured, and the people massacred, and all their property destroyed or burnt.

After the capture of Maraghah, the Mughals moved towards Ardabil [Ardibil of the maps], but, as the fame of its ruler, Muzaffar-ud-Din, Gargari, for valour was sufficiently known, the Mughals gave up the idea of assailing it, and they thought it advisable to retire. On the way back, intimation reached Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] of resistance in another quarter.

In the spring of this same year, 620 H., another attempt, but a feeble one, was made to make a stand against the invaders. It must be remembered, however, that Sultan Jalal-ud-Din had been overthrown on the banks of the Sind some time-about a year or more-before this, that he was now in the tracts east of that river-the present Panjab, and Sindh-and that there was no supreme head to direct an efficient resistance: there was no combination. Jamal-ud-Din, Abiah, one of the confidential officers of the late Sultan, and who held the Intendancy of 'Irak during his reign, assembled a number of followers, whom the pro-Mughal historians, of course, style "rogues and vagabonds," to oppose the enemy; and the people of Hamadan also rose, slew the Mughal Shahnah, or Intendant-not a "governor"-located there, and openly threw off the Mughal yoke. They then seized the Sayyid, 'Alā-ud-Daulah, before referred to, for submitting to those infidels in the first place, and shut him up in the fortress of Kurbat—or Gurbat. On this Jabah [Yamah] re-entered 'Irak, and moved towards Hamadan again, against Jamal-ud-Din; and all offers of submission [if made], on his part, were rejected, and Jamal-ud Din was attacked, defeated, and slain. Hamadan, nevertheless, refused to open its gates, and resisted bravely for three days, when the Fakih [the Muhammadan Law-officer, a theologian], who was the Peshwa, or spiritual guide of the Hamadanis, and the prime mover in all this resistance, was killed; and the Mughals succeeded in gaining an entrance into the place by means of a secret passage, which this very Fakih had had excavated from his own house to the hills adjoining the city. The usual scene of slaughter, plunder, and devastation ensued.

After the capture of Hamadān, the Mughals set out towards Tabriz, at which place, at that time, one of the chief 'Ulamā, Shams-ud-Dīn, 'Uṣmān, the Tughrāe, a man of great learning and wisdom, was residing—the Atā-Bak, Yūz-Bak, the ruler, having retired to Khūe—who, in counsel with the chief men, sent presents and supplies to the Mughals, and tendered submission, and, among other things, a vessel filled with mercurial ointment, which, he thought, "might be very valuable and useful to the Mughals in freeing their persons from certain troublesome parasites, as they had come from a long distance." This so struck the Mughals, who met his agents with the presents at Mahmūdābād, and at once proceeded to examine and count them, as a proof of his good wishes and intentions for their welfare, that they then and there turned back, and contented themselves with sending an Intendant to Tabriz, along with the bearer of the presents, as Shams-ud-Dīn had requested.

The Mughals now marched to Khūe, and Salmās, plundering, devastating, and slaughtering, and then proceeded to Nakhjūān, Barda', and Bailkān. This last mentioned place was summoned to submit, and its people were

desirous of so doing, but, in a tumult which arose, the Mughal emissary was killed, upon which the Mughals stormed the place, violated all the women, and then made a general massacre of the inhabitants. After this feat of brutality, they advanced to Ganjah, which submitted.

At Ganjah intimation reached the Mughal Nu-yins that an army of Gurjis were on the way to attack them, and they moved from thence to meet them. With 5000 men-probably double the number-Jabah [Yamah] placed himself in ambush, while Swidae [Sahūdah], with the main army, was sent forward to oppose the Gürjis; but they treated him so roughly that he had to beat a retreat in considerable disorder, pursued by the Guris. however, took to plundering the effects of the Mughals, and, while thus occupied, and their ranks broken, Jabah [Yamah] fell upon them unawares from the ambuscade with his fresh troops; and Swidae [Sahudah] soon after succeeded in rallying his army, and also attacked the Gürjis. They, in their turn, had to retire with the loss, it is said, in Alfi and Rauzat-us-Safa, of 30,000 men, but 3000 may be nearer the truth. The defeated Gūrjis effected a junction at Taffis with Malik Dā-ūd, their ruler, who had there assembled an army to resist the invaders. The Mughals, however, had sufficient experience of Gurit prowess, for the difficult nature of their country was made the plea for not further molesting them; and the Mughal leaders turned aside towards the territory of Shirwan. On reaching Shamakhi, they proceeded at once to fill the ditch with everything they could get hold of, dead bodies of horses, asses, bullocks, cows, and even sheep included, captured it, violated the females. massacred its inhabitants, and destroyed the place, and Shirwan was reduced to the same state of desolation as other countries they had passed through.

Having carried slaughter, devastation, and ruin-this is "an afflatus of architecture" possibly-from the frontier of Māwarā-un-Nahr to the Kaukasas, the Mughal leaders now prepared to carry out the plan of returning by the Dasht-i-Khifchāk into Mughalistān, and rejoining the Chingiz Khān, by taking the route of the Dar-band or Barrier-the Bab-ul-Abwab, or Gate of Gates, of the 'Arabs, known to the classical writers as the Caspian Gates -- but, as they were totally unacquainted with the route, the Mughals had recourse to treacherous stratagem, at which they were such adepts. They despatched an agent to the Shirwan Shah, as the ruler of that territory was styled, who had shut himself up in his strongest fortress, saying: "We do not intend to molest your territory any more; send unto us here some persons that we may enter into a compact together for the future, and then we will depart towards another direction." The Shirwan Shah was so delighted at the idea of getting rid of these sanguinary barbarians that he was thrown off his guard completely, and despatched ten persons of note to their camp. Arrived there, the Mughals at once struck off the head of one of them in order to terrify the others, and told them that, if they guided them to the Dar-band, and conducted them through and beyond it safely, they should be set free, and, if not, that they should be sent to join their comrade. These helpless creatures could do no other: so they guided them; and the Mughals, having passed beyond the Barrier, entered the territory of the Alan, a feat which no army had been able to accomplish, without guides, since the time of Alexander.

The Alanians assembled in great numbers to resist the invaders, and combined with the tribes of Khifchak [respecting the name Khifchak see mote at page 877, para. five] for that purpose, and occupied the route in the front of the Mughals, prepared to resist their passage. The Mughals perceived they were in great danger, and again had recourse to a treacherous

stratagem devised by Swidae [Sahūdah]. They sent secretly to the Khifchāk tribes, saying: "You and we are both Turks shere is farther proof respecting what I have said in my note on the descent of the Turks of the i-maks of Tattar and Mughal. See last para. of that note, page 900] of one and the same stock, and all kinsmen together [and as they were Nagūz, vul. "Nogays," this was really true, certain ethnological philosophers notwithstanding. See note to page 888, para. two], while the Alanian are aliens and foreigners. Let us enter into a covenant that we will be the friends of each others' friends and foes of each others' foes, and, whatever you may desire to have in the shape of money or goods, we will furnish you with, provided you give no aid to the Alanian, and leave us to deal with them." Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah] accordingly sent many things from among the plunder the Mughals had brought with them, and money likewise. This induced the Khischak tribes to withdraw, and they went away, upon which the Mughals fell upon the Alanian, slaughtered great numbers of them, ravaged their country, and got out of their difficulties. Then, according to their usual custom, breaking the pledges they had given the Khifchaks, they made a forced march, fell upon them unawares in their own territory, slew, and dispersed them. The Khischaks fled to the territory of the Rus [Russians]. while the Mughals halted in the kishlak or winter quarters of the Khischaks. which they appropriated, and therein they passed the winter of 620-21 H. [A.D. 1223-24].

After being thus treated, the remainder of the Khischāk tribes sought aid from the Rūs—in the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā, and some other Histories, they are always styled Urus—i—and, between them, they raised a great army, and set out to encounter the Mughals, who also advanced to meet them. The Mughal leaders, finding the consederates too numerous for them to cope with, again had recourse to stratagem, in order to separate them. When the Rūs and Khischāks drew near, the Mughals, as though terrified of them, took to flight; and the Rūs, taking heart, followed in pursuit of them for ten or twelve successive days, when, finding the number of their pursuers gradually diminished, and that the horses of the remainder were quite knocked up, one morning, at dawn, the Mughals mounted quietly, and sell upon the Rūs; and, such was the havoc they made among them, that "the ground was made wet with their blood."

PETIS DE LA CROIX gives another account, however—but does not quote his authority—in which it is stated that the Ālāns were Tāttārs of Dāghistān, but, in the account above, the Mughals, who doubtless knew best, styled them "aliens and strangers," and did not by descent consider them, in any way, connected with themselves, who were "Turks." "They devastated their country," he says, "so that the Mughals might not obtain anything, and this enraged them so, that they surprised and ruined their chief city, Tarkū, and took Terki [Mosdok of the present day], the chief city of the Cherkassians, who were in alliance with them, and also with the Kalimak Tartars"!

According to that account, it was to these last—the Khifchāks of my authorities—that the Mughals sent envoys claiming them as kinsmen, and that, by favour of the Kāl-imāks, they crossed the Ātil or Wolga, and entered Khifchāk. P. de la Croix has here brought in events which happened when Jūjī Khān subsequently went into Khifchāk, mentioned farther on, from a totally opposite direction, as the country of the Kāl-imāks sufficiently indicates.

The pro-Mughal writers narrate that, after the defeat of the Rus, as I have narrated above, Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah] set out to rejoin the Chingiz Khan, and, having done so, laid their spoils before him; and that they completed their expedition within the prescribed period of three years. in which case they must have rejoined him before the fourth month of 620 H. [ = June 1223 A.D.]. But what are the facts? Having passed the Atil, with the consent of the Khischak tribes, the season being far advanced, the Mughals had to winter in the Dasht-i-Khifchāk. They appropriated the lands and pastures of the Khifchāk tribes, in consequence of which hostilities arose between them and the Mughals; but the latter, being unable to cope with the former, had to act on the defensive, and send for aid to Jūji Khān, who, since the disagreement with his brothers before Urganj of Khwarazm, had retired into the Dasht-i-Khifchāk, which had previously been assigned to him as his The Chingiz Khan did not move homewards from the Indus until the spring of 620 H., and passed the summer at Buklan or Bughlan; and they only joined him in the summer of 621 H., when he was encamped near the Sihūn, while others say they re-joined him only at Kalūr-ān. Jūji sent them aid, the Khifchāk tribes were now forced to submit; and Jūji, at this juncture, was summoned to join his father, who was on his return homewards, and he therefore kept Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahudah] in Khischak during his absence. They, by his command, reduced the Naguz [vul. Nogays], crossed the river in their route, easily on the ice, reached what was afterwards known as Hājī-Tarkhān, the capital of the Nagūz, situated on an island in the Ātil or Wolga, reduced it, and compelled the Naguz to submit, after a war of six months, to the Mughal yoke. Juji was directed to return to the Dasht-i-Khischāk in the autumn of 621 H. [A.D. 1224], after the great kurīltāe, subsequent to which Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahudah] set out for the urdu of the Chingiz Khan, and, in due course, joined him during the summer of 621 H., as stated farther on.

Now considering that the two Nū-yins and their force wintered in the kishläk of the Khischäk tribes, during the winter of 620-21 H. [= A.D. 1223-24], and are supposed to have rejoined the urdu of the Chingiz Khan "early in that year," the idea of those, originally 30,000 horse, after nearly three years campaigning, during which they must have lost a good many of their number from sickness and fatigue, without allowing for the killed and wounded [P. de la Croix, quoting "Fadlallah," as he styles the "great Raschid," says that "Hubbe and Suida" had lost 10,000 men, and the Mughal ruler had sent off a reinforcement of 20,000 to join them in Mazandaran, when Tuli was sent against Nishapur in 618 H.], "dividing into two sections" after reaching the Dasht-i-Khifchāk, and partially destroying "Hadshi Tarkan"-"twisted" into Astrakhan by Europeans-and one body going back from thence into the "Krimea," and plundering the Genoese city of "Sudak." then "rejoining their brethren on the Don," and returning by way of "Precop," as stated in "Mongols Proper" on the authority, apparently, of Karamzin and Wolff, respecting this Russian campaign [pp. 94 and 95] is, as regards the expedition under Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah], at this

ACCOUNT OF THE CROSSING OF THE RIVER JIHUN BY THE TROOPS OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN TOWARDS KHURASAN.

After the Nū-in, Yamah, and the Bahādur Sahūdah, with 60,000 horse, passed through <u>Kh</u>urāsān, and proceeded towards 'Irāķ, disturbance and tumult arose in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, and sedition manifested itself. Each one of the Maliks, in accordance with the commands of Sultān Muhammad, was in some part or other, and they put the for-

period, as probable as that famous march which the "Gurkhan" made "round the Caspian," and which must have occurred at the same time, and much in the same manner, as when the Karā Khiṭāe "traversed Khurasan and the wastes of Central Asia, and found their way into Kerman without a hint from the Persian historians." What Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] did was subsequent, while Jūji was absent at the kurūltāe just referred to.

The author of "Mongols Proper" appears to have greatly confused events, or his foreign translations have led him astray, for at p. 94, referring to the raid of "Chepé," and "Subutae," we are told that Georgia was then governed by Ruzudan, daughter of the celebrated "Queen Thamar," and was overrun by the Mughals; and, at p. 132, under "Ogotai," that, in 1235 [= 633-34 H.], the "Mongols" entered "Erbil," and in the following year "quitted the plain of Mughan"—it was "the rich plain of Mogan," at p. 93—on the Caspian, and sacked most of the towns of Albania, Georgia, and Great Armenia, the Queen Roussudan [This is the Ruzudan of p. 94, and Ruzutan of p. 167. Rū-Īn-dujz was the name of the fortress in which the female ruler of Marāghah held her court, mentioned in para. 1, p. 997, of note.], taking refuge in the fortress of "Ousaneth" of p. 132, but "Usaneth" of p. 167, etc. These events all refer to one and the same period of time.

One must be credulous indeed to believe that a force, which at the outset only numbered 30,000 horse, or even double the number, as our author states, could have performed these exploits. The very fact of the amount of plunder brought along with them indicates a goodly number of beasts of burden or vehicles of some sort, unless their plunder was packed in a very small compass indeed, and must have hampered them in their Krimean journey, and on the Don, or a large portion of their small force must have been left in some secure position to guard it. Therefore, there can be no doubt but that this original force was greatly augmented by reinforcements of Mughals, and fresh recruits; and the probability is, that a number of the Turk and Tāttār soldiery, which were dispersed throughout the Sultān's dominions without a head, and some of those taken at the capture of cities and fortresses, must have been taken into pay or forsed to serve, and this enabled the two Nū-yīns to bring their bloody raid to a successful termination.

The great fault of our author here is that he does not give the events in order as they happened, which makes it somewhat difficult to follow him in this, otherwise, most interesting portion of his History, and which later historians, especially the pro-Mughal ones, and such writers as D'Ohsson and others, seem to have been wholly unacquainted with.

tresses in repair, and surrounded the cities with ditches, and caused preparations to be made for war, and to defend the fortresses as far as lay in their power; for every part was entrusted, by the Sultan, to the charge of some Malik, who had been [previously] appointed thereunto.

The fortress of Tirmid¹ the Sultān entrusted to the [contingent] troops of Sīstān, the chief of whom was the Amīr, Zangī-i-Abī-Ḥafṣ; and the Sarhang [standardbearer]² Sām, and the Pahlawān [champion], Arsīah,³ he despatched to the fortress of Walkh⁴ of Tukhāristān, the length and breadth of which fortress is about four farsangs [leagues]. The fortress of Bāmīān he gave to Amīr 'Umr, the Bāwardī; and likewise commanded Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of 'Alī-i-Khar-post [the ass-skinned], the Ghūrī, to proceed from Burshor [Purshor—Peshāwar?¹] for the purpose of securing the city of Ghaznīn⁴ and to defend that territory. To Malik Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain⁻-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, who was in the fort and town of Sangah⁴ of Ghūr, and Malik Ķutb-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain son of 'Alī-i-Abī-'Alī,⁴ he gave orders to garrison and put in

- <sup>1</sup> Tirmid or Tirmiz: two modes of writing this name, and both correct.
- <sup>2</sup> See note <sup>7</sup>, page 103.
- But few copies of the text have this name at all, and two copies have respectively a variation of it—Arsibah and Arsibah.

4 A different place altogether from Balkh, for which it must not be

mistaken. See page 1024.

- It should not be lost sight of that Peshāwar is a modern name. Up to the time of Akbar it was styled Bagrām. The old capital of the province was Tahkāl, west of the present city. Excavations are being made there at present, I understand, and I have no doubt but that some important archæological discoveries will be made there.
- <sup>6</sup> The Bodleian and Ro. As. Soc. MSS. have "and Dihli" after Ghaznin, which proves how much their copyists knew of geography, or their carelessness, or they must have had very imperfect MSS. to copy from.
- <sup>7</sup> In some copies Hasan. Husain-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, is the same person as is mentioned at pages 394 and 417, who was set up as ruler over Firūz-koh, after the death of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Utsuz, and just previous to the termination of the Ghūrī dynasty. He is also styled Sipah Sālār.
  - 8 Sangah is the capital of Mandesh. See page 340.
- The same person as mentioned at pages 391, 410, and 416, and several times in the account of the Shamsiah Sultāns. Here is another proof, were any wanting, of an izūfat being used for son in the very same sentence with bin. Abi-'Ali was entitled Shujā'-ud-Dīn, and he was the son of 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Al-Husain, mentioned at page 338, and he was the father of 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, otherwise Ziyā-ud-Dīn, the Pearl of Ghūr, and the last of its

order the fortresses of the territory of Ghūr, and to use their utmost endeavours in the defence of that country. The Malik-ul-Kuttāb [chief of secretaries], the Ikhtiyārul-Mulk, Daulat Yār-i-Tughrā-i [the engrosser of the Tughrā or imperial signature], he despatched to the fortress of Kāliūn, and directed that the two famous Pahlawans [champions] of Khurasan, whom they were wont to call the sons of the Sozan-gar, should also proceed thither. Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Jūzjāni,3 was located in the city of Hirāt, and the fortress of Fiwar was entrusted to the charge of the Pahlawan, Aşil-ud-Din, the Nishāpūri, the son-in-law of the Pahlawan, Mubarak, the Kurd. The fort of Nasir Koh of Tal-kan was conferred upon the confidential retainers of Malik Shams-ud-Din-i-Utsuz, the Hajib, and the fortress of Rang of Guzarwan was made over to the vassals of Ulugh Khani-Abi-Muhammad.3 The fortresses of Gharjistan were assigned to Sheran, the Amid [chief of the tribe] of the Abū Sahlān, and those of Ghūr were entrusted to the

Sultans, and this Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, was the son of 'Ali, brother of the Pearl of Ghūr.

agree, in undoubted errors particularly, as I have several times mentioned before, all have المعنوب Yūz-Bak, which is Turkish, while these chiefs were all Tājziks. This is a specimen how copyists make errors, for عوزيا is merely a careless error for معروب which words are more alike in MS. than in type. The meaning of sozan-gar literally is a needle-maker, but that evidently is not the meaning here, but the worker of a description of quilting for covering or spreading over beds or the like, in which flowers of various kinds are worked of silk and thread, termed sozanī.

Written Jūrjānt in nearly every copy of the text, but Jūzjānt is correct here. The parts about Tūlak formed what is called the Jūzjānāt, or the two Jūzjāns of the 'Arab writers, but Gūzgān of the Tājztks. See note ', page 321, para. 11.

The same personages as are referred to at pages 266, 281, 399, and 414.

4 One of the 'Arab tribes of which several, or a portion of several, settled in these parts of Asia, towards the Jihūn, at the time of the 'Arab conquest, and some of whom remain to this day.

The late Mr. W. H. Blochmann, M.A., in his criticisms on my account of the rulers of Lakhanawatt, contained in his "Contributions to the Geography and History of Bengal," JOURNAL BENGAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, Vol. XLIV., page 280, note \$\frac{1}{2}\$, asserted that Sherán by itself is not a Muhammadan name, and objected to my 'separating the name of the father of the VIth ruler of Lakhanawatt [page 573] from that of his sons.' Here is a proof that Sherán is a name by itself, and a Muhammadan name into the bargain. See also my Reply in the same JOURNAL, Part I., No. III., for 1876.

Maliks of <u>Gh</u>ūr. The city of Firūz-koh was made over to Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri, and the fort Tūlak was placed under the charge of the Amir, <u>Habashi-i-Nezah-war</u> [expert at the lance]; and, in every fortress and city, the Sultān located one of the distinguished Maliks among the Turks and Tājziks.

When Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, fled towards Māzandarān, and the armies of Islām became dispersed and disorganized, the Chingiz Khān had taken complete possession of the territory of Samrkand, and had despatched a body of horse' in pursuit of the Sultān; and other armies were despatched into various parts of Khurāsān. Arsalān Khān of Ķaiāliķ,' who was a Musalmān, and had [under him] about 6000 [horse-] men, all Musalmāns and 'Ajamis, along with Ţūlān, the Juzbi, and a Mughal force, was sent against the fortress of Walkh of Ţukhāristān, whilst the Chingiz Khān, himself, with the centre [main-body] of his host, advanced from Samrkand to the foot of the walls of the fortress of Tirmid, and attacked it.' After some days,

- 's He is the chief who so gallantly defended Hirāt many months from the second attack of the Mughals, and perished in its defence.
- <sup>6</sup> He was famed for his skill at the lance or spear, his favourite weapon, hence his appellation—the lance or spear-carrying, or the skilled at the lance or spear. See page 1059.
  - 7 An army of 60,000 horse, as mentioned at page 987.
- Including an army into Khwārazm, the operations against the capital of which are narrated under the notice of Tüghi, as Jūji Khān's name is also written.
- A Karlugh Turk of the same tribe as Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh [Maj.-Gen. A. Cunningham's Indo-Scythian], only the former belonged to those who continued in their old country, while the latter belonged to those who emigrated to the southwards. See note 5, pages 374-5.
- 1 Having passed the winter of 617 H. at Samrkand—the winter of 1220 A.D.—the Chingiz Khān, as soon as spring drew near, in the month of Zī-Ḥijjah, the last month of 617 H., and after he had despatched his three sons into Khwārazm, moved, with the bulk of his host, towards the Jīḥūn. He first reached Nakhshab; and, in the pasture-lands in that neighbourhood, remained during the summer, in order to fatten his horses, and, probably, until such time as the water should be low enough to enable him to cross the Jīḥūn after destroying Tirmid, which was the next point of attack. When the summer came to an end, he set out with his main army by way of Timur Ķala'h—from whence he despatched his son Tūlī, at the head of a great army against the cities and fortresses of Khurāsān—towards Tirmid. On drawing near it he despatched an agent to summon it to submit, and threatening the utmost severity in case of non-compliance. The people within, however, placing faith in the strength of their walls—the waters of the Jīḥūn partly surrounded the fortress—refused

during which the Musalmans of Tirmid had fought many battles, and had sent great numbers of the Mughals to hell, and many Musalmans had been martyred and made captive, the people of Tirmid were reduced to helplessness by the stones of [discharged from] the catapults of those accursed ones, and they abandoned the place; and that fortress fell into the hands of the Mughals, who martyred the whole of the inhabitants.

From thence [Tirmid] the Chingiz Khān despatched bodies of Mughal troops down towards Khurāsān, Ghūr, and Ghaznin; and the passage downwards of every army of Mughals which he sent towards Khurāsān and Ghūr used to be by the fortress of Naşir Koh of Tāl-kān. The garrison used to come down from Naşir Koh, and fall upon the troops and followers of the Mughal armies, and retake captives and cattle, and despatch those accursed ones to hell. These gallant exploits against the

to do so, and prepared for a vigorous defence. Catapults were used on either side, and great energy was displayed by besiegers and besieged, but, on the tenth day—Alfi and some others say the fifteenth—the Mughals, having succeeded in destroying the defences, gained possession of the place by assault. It is very probable that our author's account of the city having been evacuated is correct.

Under pretence of selecting people for distribution, as usual, the inhabitants were driven out into the open country without the city, and all, both old and young, male and female, were massacred. An aged female whom they were in the act of slaying on this occasion cried out, "Do not slay me until I shall have given up to you a great pearl." On making inquiry subsequently, they found she meant that she had swallowed—in the figurative language of the original—"one of great value, like an oyster-shell, and like a pearl oyster-shell they treated her: they opened her bowels and found it; and, after that, it was usual with them to treat their prisoners in this way, in hopes of finding jewels."

After this bloody feat, the Chingiz Khān, in Zi-Ḥijjah of 617 H.—February, 1221 A.D. [according to the pro-Mughal writers, but three months after according to our author—see page 1008—who was close by at the time, and whose statement is preferable here, and at that page of our author's account it will be found], crossed the Jihūn by the Tirmid ferry. Alfi says in the beginning of 618 H., which is much the same, since Zi-Ḥijjah is the last month of the Musalmān year.

- \* Some copies have , which signifies a fissure or rent, particularly in the ground; some , which is the shortened form of which cannot be right; and others, the oldest, july as translated above. The letters were left out by some copyists, hence the error.
  - In some copies, Nașr Koh. See note 7, page 1009.
- 4 The Printed Text has "camels and cattle"—but camels come under the head of cattle I believe.

infidels by the Tal-kanis having become frequent, a numerous force from the main body of the Mughal host was sent against the fortress of Nasir Koh, and it invested that stronghold completely, and fighting began. Uklan, the Juzbi, and Sa'di, the Juzbi, together with the son-in-law of the Chingiz Khan, which accursed one's name was Fiku, the Nū-in, and who had [under them] 45,000 horse, were likewise despatched to make inroads into various parts of Ghūr and Khurāsān. The whole of the cattle and flocks that were around about the cities, towns, kasrs, and villages of Khurāsān, Ghūr, and the Garm-sir, fell into the hands of the Mughal forces; and the country as far as the gate of Ghaznin, the territories of Tukhāristān, and the Garm-sir, was ravaged, and the greater part of the Musalman inhabitants were martyred and made captive. During this same year, 617 H., for a period of eight months, the Mughal troops continued to carry their devastations into different parts:7 and, at this period, the writer of this TABAKAT, Minhāj-i-Sarāi, was in the fortress of Tūlak, and the writer's brother was in the city and fortress of Firūz-koh. In this year likewise, a Mughal army came before the fort of Astiah of Ghūr, and for the space of eleven days vigorously attacked it. Within this fortress was an Amir and feudatory, the Sipah-Sālār [Leader of Troops], Tāj-ud-Din, Ḥabashi, -i-'Abd-ul-Malik,' Sar-i-Zarrad. He was a great Malik with ample resources, but, as the decree of destiny had come, he entered into an accommodation with the Mughals, and went unto them. They took him to the presence of the Chingiz Khān, and he bestowed upon him the title of Khusrau'

The same as mentioned at page 287, and farther on. The Chingiz Khān had many sons-in-law.

In a few copies Ughlan, which is also correct, & and gh being interchangeable.

The pro-Mughal historians either did not know of these different expeditions or have concealed them because the Mughals were so often beaten. It is very significant to find that they are not to be found in any other work whatever save the present one, and hence, hitherto, this "honey" has not been utilized.

Not an Ethiopian: it is a by-name here. See note 3, page 368.

<sup>9</sup> He is the brother of Malik Husam-ud-Din, Husain-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrad, mentioned at page 417. See also pages 394 and 1002.

A king, a prince, a just leader, any sovereign of pomp and magnificence. This, very probably, is the person whom the pro-Mughal writers mistake for Majik Khān of Hirāt. See note 3, page 987, para. 4.

[Prince] of <u>Gh</u>ūr, showed him great honour, and sent him back again in order that he might, by means of accommodation, cause the other strongholds to be given up. On his coming back again, after the <u>Chingiz Khān defeated Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, Khwārazm Shāh, on the banks of the river Sind, Tāj-ud-Din, Ḥabashi-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, deserted the Mughals, and engaged in battle with them, and attained the reward of martyrdom.</u>

In this same year likewise, the army of Mughals under the Juzbi, Uklan, appeared before the gate of the city of Firuz-koh, and attacked it with great ardour for the space of twenty-one days, but did not succeed in getting possession of it, and they withdrew baffled in their attempt. When the winter season drew near, and the snow began to fall upon the mountains of Ghūr, the Mughal forces turned their faces from Khurāsān towards Māwarā-un-Nahr. The number of the Mughal army which was in Ghūr, Mughals and renegades included, was about 20,000 horse, and the route of that force lay by the foot of the fortress of Tūlak, and, for a period of eight months,4 a force from that army used to carry their raids up to the foot [of the walls] of that fortress, and the veteran warriors of that fort-and this votary, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, was among those holy-warriors -the Almighty's mercy be upon them !-used to join issue with those infidels, in such wise that it used to be impossible for the infidels to come near the fort; and at times during that eight months, all the day long, the Mughal troops continued to prowl around the foot of the fortress.

Trustworthy persons related that there were so many Musalman captives in the hands of the Mughal infidels, that they had selected, for the Chingiz Khan specially, 12,000 young virgins, who followed [the troops] on foot.

The Printed Text, and a few of the more modern MS. copies, have Justupe —peoples, families, etc., instead of Justupe —mountains.

This may have been a part of Arsalān Khān's force, or of Fikū's, or, possibly, a separate force altogether.

<sup>4</sup> One of the best and oldest copies of the text has eight days here instead of eight months, while another, immediately after the word month, has "days" also. The sequel proves that in the first case months are correct, and days after, as rendered above. The Mughals and their Musalman Turkish allies remained in those parts the whole period, from the end of one winter to the commencement of the next, during which time, for days together, they used to prowl about Tulak, awaiting an opportunity of attacking or surprising it.

The Almighty deliver them out of their hands, and, in His wrath, take vengeance upon the infidels, and annihilate them!

ACCOUNT OF THE PASSAGE OF THE RIVER JİHÜN BY THE CHINGIZ KHÂN.

When the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal of the year 618 H. came round, the Mughal hosts, a second time, were despatched into different parts of Khurāsān, Ghūr, and Gharjistān; and, as the route of the Mughal armies used to be before the fortress of Naṣir Koh of Tālkān, the holy-

I have now come to a point where a very great and serious blunder has been made by some of the writers, who, under the Îl-Khāniān—the Mughal sovereigns of Persia—the descendants of the Chingiz Khān, wrote their general histories, in which the conquests of the Mughals are given in considerable detail, and, consequently, other historians who follow them have generally repeated this grave error, and the fact of its being undoubtedly such I shall, I believe, fully demonstrate. It must cause a rectification of maps, and will overturn some very pretty geographical theories recently put forth in some elaborately illustrated and printed books, which theories hang upon the error in question.

Taking some of my notes from the pro-Mughal writers to illustrate the inroad of the Saljūks, and the life of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, I have, myself, been led into a slight error, at pages 94 and 130, of supposing all three places to be written as I found them, and as the authors themselves appeared to have imagined, or the scribes for them, in the same way, and was partly led away by Ouseley's translation of Ibn-Haukal, but even then had my doubts on the point, at pages 290, 376, 398, 399, and other places; however, after examining the MASĀLIK WA MAMĀLIK, I found that there was a great difference between the places, and corrected it accordingly, but I little imagined what these grave mistakes on the part of the pro-Mughal writers would lead to here, and what blunders they would commit in consequence.

The error is that of entirely ignoring the existence of Tāl-kān—of Khurāsān, and mistaking Tāe-kān—of Tukhāristān, east of Kunduz, for it. The latter place figures in our modern maps, including Col. J. T. Walker's last, under the incorrect name of Talikhan, but the word has no kh in it, and never had.

This error on the part of these Muhammadan historians is the more to be wondered at, because some of them describe the situation of Tāl-kān sufficiently correctly to prove that it is the very place referred to above by our author, but in no other are such details given. The author of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh—the earliest of the pro-Mughal writers referred to—says Tāl-kān was an exceedingly strong place, seven days' journey from Balkh. The Fanākati, who is very brief, says the Chingiz Khān proceeded from Balkh to the fort of Tāe-ghān [k and gh being interchangeable] and captured it. In the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā and Ḥabīb-us-Siyar, it is "Tāl-kān, situated on a lofty hill called Koh-i-Nukrah"—the Mountain or Hill of Silver, after a silver

warriors of that fortress used to display valour and self-devotion. This circumstance coming constantly to the hearing of the Chingiz Khān, and the forces sent against that stronghold being unable to gain possession of it, and it being impossible to capture it, he crossed the Jiḥūn for the purpose of taking it, and pitched his camp on the Pushtah [mound] of Nu'mān and in the Bayabān [uncultivated plain] of Ka'b' which is between Tālkān and Balkh.

• He did not proceed against it at first, in person, but, subsequently, on finding the troops he had detached for the purpose could not capture the fortress, as explained a little farther on.

To the south of what appears in Col. J. T. Walker's map as "Dasht-i-Chul," both words, dasht and chul, being precisely of the same meaning—a desert, plain, wilderness, uninhabited tract, etc. The Pushtah-i-Nu'mān lay in about Lat. 36° 20', Long. 64° 40'.

mine—and that it was "situated between Marw and Balkh," and, in this, the Tārikh-i-Jahān-gir, and the Tārikh-i-Alfi agree. The Tārikh-i-Guzidah also gives the name and situation correctly.

This may also be quite correct; but نصر كو might also, in MSS., be mistaken for نفرو and I am inclined to think that نفرود is a mistake for the other, as our author was not likely to pass over such a matter as silver-mines without referring to it.

The older historians and geographers describe both places most distinctly. Raihaki says "Sultan Mas'ud on the way from Balkh to Sarakhs reached Tal-kan;" and that monarch's defeat by the Saljuks occurred in that vicinity. Ibn-Haukal says Tae-kan of Tukharistan is seven days' journey from Badakhshān, while " Zāl-kān of Khurāsān is three stages, i.e. three days' journey from Marw-ar-Rūd" [now, Murgh-āb], and the same distance from Shiwarghan. Abū-l-Fidā says "the city of Tal-kan, once a flourishing place, did not exist at this period, but merely a citadel built on Nukrah Koh by a prince of Tukhāristān, on account of a silver mine which it enclosed." Ibn-al-Wardi [Hylander: Lundæ, 1823] says:-" الطاقان [at-Tāl-kān] Urbs in Chorásán vel Irák el Ajem (in utraque enim regione urbes ejus nominis sitæ)." There was another place so called in 'Irak-i-'Ajam, as Ibn-al-Wardi says. THE MASALIK WA MAMALIK, a work of undoubted authority, says, "From Balkh to Shiwarghan is three marhalah or stages, and, from the latter place to Tal-kan, three stages, and from Tal-kan to Marw-ar-Rud three stages. Tal-kan lies among mountains, and has running water and gardens. A river runs between it and Marw-ar-Rud which is crossed by a bridge." It is often mentioned in connexion with Faryab and Marw-ar-Rud. See page 378. In another place it is said " Zāe-ķān [which has been mistaken for Tal-ķān] is the largest city of Tukhāristān, which is a district of Balkh, and is situated in a plain near hills, and is watered by a considerable river." In the various maps also in that work the position of Tal-kan is plainly indicated. If we turn to Woon's work, "A Journey to the Source of the Oxus," new ed., pages 153 to 157, we shall find his description agree with what is stated in the MASALIK-WA-MAMALIK respecting its situation, and it proves, beyond a doubt, from the When the affairs of the people of the fortress of Naşir Koh came to a crisis, they resigned their hearts to martyr-

physical nature of the country around, that, what he—led away by the mode of writing the name, as given by Elphinstone, and others—calls Talikhan and Taulikhaun was not the place invested and destroyed by the Mughals. It is a place distant from any hills, and not so situated that "every Mughal army passing to and fro between Khurāsān and Ghūr must, necessarily, pass at the foot of the fortress," as our author says. To crown the whole, at page 147, he mentions "Tāe-ķān of Kunduz," in connexion with Walwālij, as a wholly different place.

Ibn-Khalkān, too, notices two Tāl-kāns—Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, and Tāl-kān of Kazwin, but not Tāe-kān of Tukhāristān; and, after describing the vowel points, says: "Tāl-kān is the name of two cities, one in Khurāsān, and the other a dependency of Kazwin, and contiguous to the fortress of Ala-mūt."

ELPHINSTONE appears to have known nothing of Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, and refers to Tāe-kān of Kunduz, as Taulikhaun. Col. Yule, in his "Essay on the Geography, etc. of the Oxus," in the second edition of Capt. Wood's "Journey," p. xxvi, refers to both places by one and the same name—"Tálikán on the Murgháb," and "Tálikán, on the borders of Badakshán," but, at p. xxxi. he mentions "the more open country below, Tálikán (cr Tálikán), and Balkh," etc.; and, at p. xxxiii, refers again to Tálikán, east of Balkh, as the fortress invested by "Chinghiz," which, of course, is incorrect. Tāe-kān of Badakhshān again is often mentioned in that excellent work the Asār-ul-Bilād. As to the Hayāṭilah see note , page 423.

ELLIOT (Vol. II. p. 578) falls into the same errors as others. He says "Talikan—a city of Tukharistan between Balkh and Merv, three days' journey from the latter. There is another town of the same name east of Kunduz. The Talikan of Tukharistan is the one most frequently mentioned," etc. It is however precisely the reverse, and Tukharistan was situated east of Balkh, while Marw is west, in Khurāsān. Tāl-kān had ceased to be known as "a city" or town prior to the time of the Chingiz Khān.

As the clearing up of this terrible error is necessary, I will show how such like mistakes are brought about. Pétis de la Croix's "HISTORY OF GEN-GHIZCAN THE GREAT," which is one of the cabbage gardens to which manufacturers of histories have recourse for padding, at page 283, says, that Sultan [alal-ud-Din dwelt many weeks in the city of Balc, where he got together some troops, and this it was that "displeased Genghizean against its people." This is a blunder, and his own. Jalal-ud-Din was never at Balkh at this period: "Balc" is an error for Ghaznin. He gives no authority for his statement in the margin, but, soon after, begins to quote "Abulfarag," and "Mirconde." After mixing up a deal of his own with a little from oriental writers, he says, at page 286, quoting "Fadlallah" as well as "Abulfarag,"-" After the Mogul Emperor had thus reduced the city of Balc to his obedience, he sent detachments out of his army to India [this is incorrect: Ghur and those parts are referred to by the writers he quotes], and Persia, and left a considerable part of his troops in Transoxiana to keep it in awe, whilst he went to Tocarestan, to besiege the city of Talcan (sic), which was but seven days' journey from Balc [here he has mixed up his own remarks], and was esteemed the strongest city in all Asia [his own] for its situation, it being built on a very steep mountain [which Tae-kan of Tukharistan is not] called Nocreceuh . . . whilst Tuli went to execute his father's commands, Genghizcan planted the engines before

dom, and washed their hands of all hope of life. Three months prior to the occurrence of the capture of the fortress, and their attainment of the glory of martyrdom, the whole of them, by mutual consent, donned deep blue [mourning] garments, and used to repair daily to the great masjid of the fortress, and would repeat the whole Kur'ān, and condole and mourn with each other; and, after doing all this, they used to pronounce benediction on and bid farewell to each other, and assume their arms, and engage in holy-warfare with the infidels, and despatch many of the Mughals to hell, and some among themselves would attain martyrdom.

On the Chingiz Khan, the Mughal, becoming aware of

Takun (sic), etc. . . . . he caused to be made, with all speed, a great number of grappling-irons, long nails, hooks, ladders, and ropes, to ascend the Rock [this cannot possibly be applied to Tāe-kān of Kunduz or Tukhāristān, lying in a plain] . . . animated by the remembrance of the fatigues they had suffered for seven months past, which time the siege lasted," etc. Takan was situated between Merou and Bake [here he is quite right] and dependent on Tocaristan [this is his own, and is wrong] . . . . The first city of this name was not standing in the time of Genghizcan, and there was nothing left but the Citadel, which a prince of Tocarestan [one of the Shansabāni rulers of Tukhāristān and Bāmiān] had caused to be built on the top of the mountain Nocrecouh, so called because of the mines of silver which it enclosed," etc. From the above extract it will be perceived how such errors have been brought about.

Of modern writers, I find THOMAS is the most correct as to the position of Tal-kan, but he spells the word incorrectly-"Talakan" [" Journal Ro. As. Soc.. " vol. xvii. p. 188, " On the coins of the Kings of Ghazni"]; and again, at page 208:-" This is the Tálakán in Juzján [Jawzján?], which must not be confounded with the city of the same name or nearly similar name in Tokharistan, situated to the eastward of Kunduz . . . The second city is discriminated in many of the early geographical authorities, by the independent orthography of الطابقان " The 'Arabic العابقان " The 'Arabic العابقان " " الطابقان " to the name except in 'Arabic books. The advantageous position for a permanent camp chosen by the Chingiz Khān at the Pushtah-i-Nu'mān can be seen at a glance on looking at a good map, but this position did not secure it from an attack from the fortress of Ashiyar of Gharjistan, mentioned at page 1072, when the Chingiz Khān set out towards Ghaznin in pursuit of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din, after the latter had repeatedly overthrown the Mughal forces opposed to him. The fact of this attack from Ashiyar also still further tends to prove the position of the great camp mentioned farther on, and, consequently, the mistaking of Tal-kan, of Khurasan for Tae-kan of Kunduz east of Balkh involves a blunder of only about 360 miles too far to the east. Tal-kan is, undoubtedly, the place visited by the Chinese traveller, Hiouen Thsang, under the name of "Ta-la-kien" on the confines of "Po-la-si" [not "Persia," for Fars, which is anglicized Persia, only applies to a province, and not to Iran]. and lay on the great caravan route between Turkistan, Bukhara, by Tirmid and Balkh, to Hirāt and Khurāsān. See also pages 378 and 398.

the severity of the conflict carried on by these warriors of the faith, he moved from the Pushtah of Nu'mān against the fortress in person, and the attack commenced. On one side of the fortress, where the upper gateway was situated, they had excavated a ditch in the rock, and the Mughals, with stones from their catapults, battered down the bastion at that point, and filled in the ditch, and effected a breach to the extent of about a hundred ells. Still the Mughal forces were unable to take the fort; but the Chingiz Khān, through excessive rage, swore his accustomed oath that he would take that fortress on horseback. For a period of fifteen days more fighting was carried on, until an even passage was made, so that the capture of the fort of Naṣir Koh might be effected.

When the Mughal cavalry charged into the fortress, 500 men of the defenders of the place, tried warriors, formed in a compact body, and sallied forth from the gateway of the Koh-i-Janinah <sup>2</sup> [Janinah mountain] of Tālkān, and threw themselves upon the Mughal army, broke through its ranks, and cut their way out. As mountains and ravines were close by, some of them attained martyrdom, but the greater number escaped in safety.<sup>3</sup>

The <u>Chingiz</u> <u>Khān</u> destroyed that fortress, and caused the whole of [the rest of] the inhabitants to be martyred. May God reward them!

ACCOUNT OF THE COMING OF SULTAN JALAL-UD-DIN, MANG-BARNI, SON OF SULTAN MUHAMMAD, KHWA-RAZM SHAH, TO GHAZNIN, AND THE EVENTS THAT BEFELL HIM THERE.

Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, sent his commands

- When ells occur, the English ell is referred to.
- He had to wait for Tuli Khān, whom he had ordered to rejoin him with his forces from Hirāt, before he could succeed in taking the place, according to the Raugat-uş-Şafā, Habib-us-Siyar, and some others.
  - 1 By filling the ditch and levelling the walls.
- <sup>2</sup> That is to say, the gateway facing the Janfnah—in some copies, Janfah—mountain.
- <sup>3</sup> The pro-Mughal writers say that it was taken after seven months, that not a soul was left alive within it, and that it was razed to the ground. If any place was entitled to be named Mau-bāligh it was this.
- <sup>4</sup> Great fortresses, often miles in circumference, with towns within their walls. What they were may be seen from the sketches of Captain Hart, Dr. Atkinson, and in Sale's "Jalāl-ābād."

to Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of 'Ali-i-Kharpost [the ass-skinned], the Ghūri, a man of experience, a valiant warrior, and of considerable firmness, who, some time previously, for a period of ten [two?] years, had held out the fortress of Nasir Koh of Tālkān, against the forces of Khwārazm Shāh,6 and who, in Ghūr and Khurāsān, had, consequently, become famed and renowned, and whose line was of the great Maliks of Ghūr, to set out from Burshor? [Purshor—Peshāwar?], which was his fief, and proceed to Ghaznin; and, when he arrived there, the forces of Islam turned their faces towards him. In the capital city of Ghaznin, great numbers of troops assembled, in such wise, that about 130,000 horse, all brave soldiers and completely armed, were mustered with the intention of undertaking this important enterprise, that he should organize the army, and suddenly fall upon the forces of the Chingiz Khān who was then encamped at the Pushtah 8-i-Nu'mān. and [endeavour to] overcome him.

He [Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Khar-post] was constantly occupied in organizing the army, and in the equipment of his train; and those grandees and distinguished men of Khwārazm, who had become severed from the service of Sultan Muhammad, were coming to him at Ghaznin. Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Alb, the Sarakhsi, who was the Wazir of the kingdoms of Ghaznin and Ghūr on the part of the Khwārazm Shāh, came to Ghaznin. There was [also] at Ghaznin a Kot-wal [Seneschal], whom they used to style Salah-ud-Din, who was of the kasbah [town] of Gird-gan, in conformity with the command of Sultan Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh. Malik Khān of Hirāt, who, at the time of flying [from thence], had proceeded towards Sistan, when the hot season set in, turned his face towards Ghaznin, and news from Khurāsān was received respecting Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, that he was coming to Ghaznin. Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Alb, the Wazir, in secret, had

<sup>6 &</sup>quot;Ass-skinned" or of "Ass-like skin." It is a nickname. See pages 286 and 1002.

<sup>•</sup> When Ghur was independent.

In some copies, by way of Burshor. See note b, page 1002.

Or Pusht, which is the same in signification.

<sup>9</sup> See page 285.

In a few copies of the text—كودكان -Kodakān, or Godagān.

now devised a treacherous plot with Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn, the Koṭ-wāl, and had prepared a banquet and invited Malik Mu-hammad-i-Khar-post to this convivial entertainment, and Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn, the Koṭ-wāl, assassinated that Malik-i-Ghāzī with a knife; and the army which he had gathered together became dispersed.

<sup>2</sup> As our author has not entered into detail here, the following may tend to elucidate the events of this period, but, in some particulars, it differs considerably from his account, though he is certainly worthy of credit, as he was living in those parts at the period in question.

The disloyal conduct of Malik Muhammad, 'Alf-i-Khar-post, towards

Malik Khān of Hirāt, brought about his own downfall.

The Jahan-Kushae says that, when Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, fled from the banks of the river of Balkh, where he was encamped [on discovering disaffection among some of his Turkish troops, and a plot to deliver him over to the Mughals], Yamin [our author's Malik Khān—which is his correct name, and whose title was Yamin-ul-Mulk-i. e. the right arm of the country], Malik-the feudatory of Hirat and its dependencies, having proceeded thither as directed, but unable to remain, retired from thence-which must have happened soon after the departure of the Mughals under Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah], on their way towards Nighābūr—by way of the Garm-sir. At this time, 'Alf-i-Khar-post, the Ghūri, was at Ghaznah on the part of the Sultan, with a force of 20,000 men; and, when Yamin Malik arrived within two or three stages of Ghaznah and encamped at Surah [سورة], he despatched an agent to Muhammad son of 'Ali, saying, "assign us grazing ground [for the horses and other cattle], so that we—thou and I—may continue together [for mutual support], since the Sultan has fled towards 'Irak, and the Mughals and Tattars have entered Khurasan, in order that we may see what may occur in the Sultan's affairs."

At this time, the Shams-ul-Mulk, Shihāb-ud-Dīn [Shihāb-ud-Dīn-i-Alb just mentioned in the text above. See also page 285], the Sarakhsi, who was the Wazīr, [one of the Wazīrs?] of the Sulţān, was likewise at Ghaznah; and Ṣalāh-ud-Dīn, the Nisāi, who was Koṭ-wāl [Seneschal] of the fortress and city, was likewise located there. From this it appears that Muhammad, son of 'Alī-i-Khar-post, was merely feudatory of the province, and the Koṭ-wāl was in independent command. The Khar-post and the Umrā [of his troops] in reply to the Yamīn Malik's [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk's] request, sent answer: "We are Ghūrīs and you are a Turk, and we cannot enter into connexion with you. The Sultān has assigned fiefs and grazing grounds to each one: let each of us therefore continue in his own locality until we see what may arise." This is a specimen of one out of the many similar causes of the Mughal successes, and the ruin of the Musalmān empire, and—like some modern Catos, who exclaim: "Perish our Indian Empire"—the faction of Ghaznīn would rather see the Musalmān rule extinguished than their own selfishness and ambition frustrated.

Agents on several occasions passed between them, but no agreement was come to; and the Ghūrī faction was obstinate in its refusal. As might have been expected, the Shams-ul-Mulk, the Wazīr, and the Seneschal, Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn, conspired against the Khar-post, saying: "these Ghūrīs are disaffected towards the Sultān, and refuse to allow Yamīn Malik [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk], who is the Sultān's kinsman, to enter the Ghaznah territory." The whole of the forces of

# In the year 617 H., Malik Khān of Hirāt, as above stated,

Ghaznah were then collected together, encamped within half a farsang of the city; and the Shams-ul-Mulk and Ṣalāḥ-ud-Din, who were among them, conspired against Muḥammad, son of 'Alī-i-Khar-post. They invited him to a feast, at a garden near by, when Ṣalāḥ-ud-Din, seizing the opportunity, stabbed him with his dagger and slew him. After having killed the Khar-post, the Shams-ul-Mulk, and Ṣalāḥ-ud-Din, before the deed became known, succeeded in throwing themselves into the city, and secured the citadel; and the Ghūrīs became disunited, and, after two or three days, Yamīn Malik [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk] arrived at Ghaznah and assumed authority.

Soon after came news that the Chingiz Khān had reached Tāl-kān, and 2000 or 3000 Mughals—20,000 or 30,000 more likely—came in search of Yamīn Malik [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk] by way of the Garm-sīr. He sallied out with a body of troops to encounter them; but they, finding him too strong for them, did not venture to stand against him, and made a hasty retreat. Yamīn Malik [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk] pursued them as far as Bust and Tigin-ābād; but the Mughals had gone off in the direction of Hirāt, and he, by way of Ķuṣdār, proceeded into the Sho istān—the Salt Desert between Hirāt, the Ķuhistān, and Sijistān.

He had taken along with him the Wazir, the Shams-ul-Mulk, and imprisoned him in the fort of Kajūrān of Bust and Tigin-ābād, and had left Salāhud-Din, the Seneschal, in charge of the citadel of Ghaznin; but, after the departure of Yamin Malik [the Yamin-ul-Mulk], the people of Ghaznin rose against Salāḥ-ud-Din, slew him, and gibbeted him. There were at Ghaznin, at this time, two brothers, natives of Tirmiz, the Razi-ul-Mulk, and the 'Umdat-ul-Mulk [these are, however, titles not patronyms], and they became the directors of affairs; and, having gathered together a large following, acquired the whole The Khalj tribe [a section, see page 539 and note 3, para. 2], and Turkmans, in great numbers, coming from Mawara-un-Nahr and .Khurasan, congregated at Parshawar, and their Sar-Khel, or Leader, was Saif-ud-Din, Aghrāk, who, in the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, is called a Turkmān. The Razi-ul-Mulk was desirous of moving against them, so that he might acquire power in Hindustan [sic in MSS., but the provinces on the Indus, part of the present Panjāb, is meant]. He accordingly assembled his forces, and marched against them; but he was overthrown by the Khalj and Turkmans, and killed with most of his followers. His brother, the 'Umdat-ul-Mulk, was left in charge at Ghaznin during his absence.

The A'zam-ul Mulk, also styled the A'zam Malik in the Jahān-Kuahāe, who was the son of 'Imād-ud-Dīn of Balkh, as mentioned above by our author, who was the Ḥākim [here signifying that he held the fief and ruled over it] of Nangrahār, and Malik Sher, the Ḥākim of Kābul, with the Ghūrī troops of the Sulṭān, who had gathered around them [they were Ghūrīs themselves], marched upon Ghaznīn, and invested the 'Umdat-ul-Mulk in the fort, which is in the middle of the city. After they had placed catapults against it, and besieged it for forty days, they captured the fortress; but, on the very same day, arrived the Shams-ul-Mulk, the Wazīr, whom Sulṭān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, flying towards Ghaznīn by way of Khurāsān [see note ', page 286], had released on reaching the fort of Kajūrān, in which Yamīn Malik [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk] had confined him, and had sent on, in advance, to prepare for his reception at Ghaznīn. A week after, the Sulṭān himself arrived; and troops began to rally round him from all quarters, as already related under his reign, and as will be noticed farther on.

had retired before the Mughal forces and come to Ghaznin. and, from thence, returned again towards the Garm-sir with the intention of proceeding to Sistan. On the way thither, he conferred the territory of Burshor [Purshor] upon Razi-ul-Mulk; and, when Razi-ul-Mulk came to Ghaznin for the purpose of proceeding to Burshor [Purshor], the people of Ghaznin kept him there. Subsequently to that, however, Razi-ul-Mulk set out towards Burshor [Purshor]. and the troops of the Ighrak 1 [tribe] which were there [congregated] put Razi-ul-Mulk to flight. After he had withdrawn from thence the A'zam Malik, the Sipah-Sālār [Leader of Troops], the son of 'Imad-ud-Din of Balkh, who was Amir of Nagrahar [Nangrahar], seized Razi-ul-Mulk, and detained him. Suddenly, Sultan Jalal-ud-Din, Mangbarni, arrived in the Ghaznin [territory], upon which they ['Imad-ud-Din and his partizans] slew Razi-ul-Mulk; and, shortly after, Sultan Jalal-ud-Din, Mang-barni, and Malik Khān of Hirāt, reached Ghaznin.5

Numerous troops joined them, consisting of Turks, Ghūris, Tājziks, Khalj, and Ghuzz, and a great army collected. From Ghaznin, they pushed forward towards Tukhāristān, and routed an army of Mughals which were before the walls of the fortress of Wālishtān, and came back again [to Ghaznin].

In some modern copies of the text, this name appears without the point over e as and in one with the point omitted. Some modern historians, and writers of lesser calibre, have consequently jumped at the conclusion that these men were 'Irākis, or natives of 'Irāk—Babylonia. They did not apparently know that 'Irāk cannot be so written, but above as it now stands without a point over is merely the plural form of 'Arak—signifying, juice, essence, etc., and that, of course, is wrong.

The latest, and most amusing mistake on this subject is contributed by Surgeon-Major Bellew, C.S.I., in a book entitled "Afghanistan and the Afghans," written for the present Afghān crisis, in which he says, quoting some translation probably (page 185), that "Changiz at the time of his invasion found the Peshawar valley held by Irac or Persian (sic) troops." When, however, Ghalzi Afghāns are not Afghāns but Khilich Turks [the Khalj tribe is possibly referred to], and "Tarins" are "Ghaljis," and "Sabaktaghin" is the "founder of Ghazni," what may we not expect?

The word 'Ighrāk, as written by our author, is confirmed by the Jahān-Kushāe and other Histories; and there is not the shadow of a doubt that the 'Ighrāk were Turks, and, moreover, that they were a section of the great tribe of Khalj, as stated in the account of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din.

- 4 See note 8, page 1021, where he is referred to.
- On the 17th of Zi-Hijjah—the last month—617 H.
- The name of this place has been mistaken by many authors, who follow

# When intimation of the coming of Sultan-Jalal-ud-Din,

and copy from each other, and they have turned it into Wālfān—والمان which, in MS., is not so very different from والشياء but that a careless copyist might leave out the ambientirely, seeing that the three shoulders—if they may be so called—in the letter, in its intermedial form in a word—are made one of in MS., thus—والشيا—and might put the two points of and in the cases in question. Our author, then in his 29th year, and his predecessor, the Baihaķī, both of whom were natives of these par's, and government officials, must have known the names of such prominent places correctly. They continually refer to Wālishtān [in the printed text of the Baihaķī, howeyer, the three points of a have been left out] as well as to Walwālij, as totally distinct places, but no such place as Wālīān is ever referred to. It is an undoubted error, as well as the supposed siege of Bāmiān, as I shall presently show.

Now let us examine what the different writers, generally quoted, say on the subject; for the clearing up of this serious error is a matter of necessity. I must first, however, refer to a European writer.

PÉTIS DE LA CROIX, in his Life of "Genghizan," quotes a number of authors, some of whom are undoubtedly good, and some of little or no authority, but the earliest wrote about a century after our author, who was the Chingiz Khān's contemporary. Some of the originals (quoted by P. de la Croix), such as I could obtain access to on the spur of the moment, I have examined, and I find that, very often, they are not correctly quoted. The Nisāwi's Life of Jalāl-ud-Dīn, I have not had access to, but I am convinced the author could not have made some statements which he has had the credit of. I will first notice P. de la Croix, in juxtaposition with some of his chief authorities: for all I have not space.

Quoting "Abulfarag" and "Mirconde," he tells us that "Genghizcan." after taking Talcan, put his troops in motion against Bamian, and was still waiting for news of his troops, sent after Gelaleddin towards India [Ghūr and the tracts between the Oxus and Ghaznin are meant, but some troops were subsequently sent east of the Indus. See note 5, page 293 and page 297]. Then [Mirconde] that, "hearing Gelaleddin was at Ghazna, he hastened his march to surprise him, but was stopped in Zabulestan by the garrison of Bamian, which he hoped to take without opposition." He had just begun to batter the place when news reached him that the leaders of the forces he had sent towards India [this is his own, as the sequel proves, or "Marraschi"] had been defeated, and then De la C. quotes the Nisawi's History to the effect that, "two or three days after Gelaleddin got to Ghazna, he learnt that the Moguls were near by and investing Candahar." This is quite enough to stamp this quotation as incorrect, for there was no such name known to Baihaki, or to our author, at that period, and for very many years after, as Kandaharwithout taking into account its position from Ghaznin-although the site is undoubtedly ancient. It is probably identical with Tigin-ābād, mentioned at page 448. Then we are told that: "Emin Malic was come out of Hirat to watch the Moguls," and that "Schamseddin commanded in the city for him." after De la Croix had just before said that Schamseddin had usurped possession of it, and that "he had surprised this city in the absence of Emin Malec" [see page 1013 of this translation], while the fact was that, at this time, Hirāt had been taken by Tülf Khan, and had received a Mughal Shahnah or Intendant. Then, again quoting the Nisawi, as he says, "Emin Malec consented" to

#### Mang-barni, and Malik Khān of Hirāt, and the gathering

join his sovereign, and they now moved to relieve Candahar "before the citadel was taken," and "surprised the Moguls, who had already taken and plundered the town," and drove them off with great slaughter, "the town being full of dead bodies of Moguls and Tartars." All the Mogul army at Candahar perished! The Sulfan "repaired Candahar," and "returned to Ghazna."

I have no opportunity, at present, of examining the Nisāwi's History, but I feel certain that no such name as the city of Kandahar will be found in it, unless the interpolation of some more modern writer or copyist; and further that it will not be found in any History of that period. Kandahar adjoins the tract called the Zamin-i-Dawar, which Baihaki so often refers to in connexion with Bust and Kusdar, and whose work, devoted to a single reign, is so full of detail; and he mentions Walisht in connexion with those places, but never mentions such a place as Kandahār. At page 319, our author too, in his account of the five great mountain ranges of Ghur, says, that "the fourth is the mountain tract of Warani, in the valleys and outskirts of which are the territories of Dawar [the Zamin-i-Dawar], Walisht, and the Kaşr of Kajūran." Is it possible that such a position as that of the city of Kandahār could then have been in existence, and lying in the easiest route between Ghaznin and Bust, without being once mentioned? It is also improbable that Wālisht can be Wālishtān, because we are distinctly told that the latter was in Tukhāristan, which lies some five degrees farther north than Kandahar. The so-called "Saygill," of some European writers, is merely an error for Sigiz, or Sijiz. The ancient name too of Kandahar is said to have been Waihind, and of the province Bālyūs.

Neither Baihaki nor our author, who constantly give names of places and routes, especially the former, as from Hirat to Balkh, and Ghaznin to Balkh, ever once mentions such a place as Walfan, which, as I have already remarked, is a mistake of some copyist for Wālishtān, but both of them The only places mentioned in the MASALIK mention Walwalij-ولواله WA MAMALIK and in IBN-HAUKAL in any way approaching the words under discussion, in the parts indicated, are Zawalin-زوالين-and Walin-والينwith the ; -left out in the latter, and which places neither Baihaki nor our author mention, and they are undoubted errors for Walwālij. See note 3, page 288. There is a Walshian in Col. J. T. Walker's last map, but no reliance can be placed on our maps for correctness of names—especially in Oriental names—names in the map of a country—which ninety, if not ninetynine, times out of every hundred, are inserted on oral evidence alone, but, for historical accuracy, should be written first in the language of the country and people, and then inserted in the map. Walshian of Col. Walker is, however, just 90 miles N. of Bamian and 180 N. of Ghaznin, and is not mentioned in any oriental History or Geography, that I am aware of.

P. de la Croix then goes on to say, first quoting Abū-l-Faraj for "Candahar" [page 306], and then Fadlallah [i. e. Rashid-ud-Din, Fazl-ullah], that the Chingiz Khān determined, on hearing of this defeat, to despatch Tūli, his son, against the Sultān, and was about to send him off with 80,000 horse, when another courier arrived announcing the revolt of Hirāt, and, instead, Tūli was despatched thither, and continues: "Just after despatching Tulican [Tūli Khān, however, had nothing whatever to do with the second attack upon Hirāt. See page 1049, and note 2], and after an unsuccessful attack on

#### of the troops of Islam, reached the Chingiz Khan, he

Bamian, news reached him of the movements of Contoucou Nevian [the Nü-yān, Fǐkū, previously sent into "India"], who had arrived within a day's march of Gelaleddin, who advanced [quoting "Nisavi" and "Fadlallah"] to meet them, although one-fourth superior to his own force, and came upon them just beyond a town called Birouan, within a day's journey of Ghazna." This force of Mughals was overthrown as already related above, and at pages 289-90 of this Translation. Then we have the astonishing statement [from "Nisavi" it is said] that, after this defeat at Birouan [Barwān], "There was, some days' journey from thence, a party of Tartars [not Mughals] who were besieging a fortress called Ouala [the Walfān of others], who, when they heard of the battle of Birouan, raised the siege and fled, and that "the defeat of the Moguls and Tartars was quickly known to the Emperor, who was still before Bamian." Then follows the account of its capture and the massacre of every soul. The subsequent statements are generally correct.

As to some of the originals quoted, which I have examined in order to test the doubtful passages, I find that Rashid-ud-Din's account is very different. He says that Amin Malik [Yamin-ul-Mulk-Malik Khan of Hirat] joined his sovereign with 50,000 men from the neighbourhood of Ghaznin, that the Sultan married his daughter, that the Sultan and his forces continued the whole winter at Ghaznin, and during that time, on the news of his arrival having spread, was joined by Saif-ud-Din, Ighrak, with 40,000 men, and also by the Amirs of Ghur and their followers. He then goes on to say, that, early in the spring, hearing that the Mughals were attacking Walfan [our author's Wālishtān], and its being hard pressed, he advanced to Barwān sit was near the sources of the Lohgar river], left all his heavy materials there, and moved to attack them, as related in the notice of Jalal-ud-Din, at page 288, note 3. The Chingiz Khan heard of the first reverse within the limits of Tāl-kān, not at Bāmīān, as De la Crcix asserts, and not one word is mentioned about any siege of Bāmiān, and he, after hearing of the last defeat of his troops, moved at once towards Ghaznin from Tal-kan. The Fanākatī mentions Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, and makes no mention of any siege of Bāmtān.

The Jahān-Kughāe, the account in which I have detailed farther on, says Amin Malik was in the vicinity of Ghaznah when the Sulfān arrived there, and agrees with Rashid-ud-Din's statements in all things, brings the Chingiz Khān, at once, from the vicinity of Tāl-kān of Khurāsān to Ghaznin, and makes no mention whatever of any siege or capture of any place called Bāmfān.

The Rauzat-uş-Şafā [De la Croix's Mirconde, meant for Mir Khāwind] does not mention Wāliān at all; and the Chingiz Khān is made to advance from Tāl-kān [the correct name is given], but, to show his geographical knowledge, probably, the author says he came by Andar-āb to Bāmiān, by which the Mughals must have gone only 7 degrees of Long.—some 480 miles—directly from W. to E. to reach Andar-āb, then come backwards some 120 or 130 miles more to the S.W. to reach Bāmiān through some of the most difficult ground in Asia, while between Tāl-kān and Bāmiān the distance is only about 160 miles, and about equidistant from Marw-ar-Rūd and Balkh.

The Habib-us-Siyar, written by the son of the author of the Rauzat-uş-Safa, agrees with that work.

The Tarikh-i-Jahan-gir agrees with Rashid-ud-Din, and the Fanakati,

nominated the Nū-in, Fikū, who was his son-in-law, to

except that, in it, we have Bārāni—as in several authors—for Barwān, and Nāmiān for the Bāmiān of the Rauşat-uş-Şafā.

The Tārīkh-i-Ibrāhīmī says nothing about Bāmīān, but the Mujāmi'-ul-

Khiyar agrees with the Rauzat-uş-Safa.

Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, says, that, after Balkh was destroyed, the Chingiz Khān despatched 30,000 men, under several leaders, including the Nū-yan Kutūkū, "to cut off [the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh says, "to keep open"] the communication between Ghaznin [in the Kazan edition wrongly spelt Gazmin], Gharjistān, Zābul, and Kābul [wrongly spelt Zābil and Kāmil], and drive him into Kich; and this shows, likewise, that Ghur and its dependencies, and Zābulistān-N.W. and S.W. of Ghaznin, were the parts assailed by the Mughals, and not Parwan N.N.E. of Kabul, which is quite in an opposite direction. The translation, so called, of Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān's history, however, leaves out all mention of Kich, and much of the details; and says that the Mughals separated into two bodies, and that Kutūkū, with his force, moved towards Hirāt to prevent Khān Malik [the Yamin-ul-Mulk] from joining the Sultan. "Another body," the translator continues, "nearly surprised Saygill," and he also mentions Saygill above, where the Turki original has Zābul. Such a place as Saygill is not once referred to, and, instead of Saygill, the Turki has Walian. There is no mention of Barwan or of Kandahār; in fact Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur, does not give the name of the place where Sultan Jalal-ud-Din overthrew the Mughals twice, but, with respect "to Tal-kan, Andar-ab, and Bamian, he implicitly follows the Rauzat-uş-One great blunder on the part of this translator speaks volumes for the value of his authority in these matters. He says that "Sulfan Khan Malik"as he styles Malik Khān of Hirāt—the Yamin-ul-Mulk—after the desertion of Saif-ud-Din, Ighrak, and Sultan Jalal-ud-Din's retreat to the Ab-i-Sind, "returned to his government of Hirat!" It had been already invested and taken by the Mughals under Tuli Khan, at this time, as already related.

The most astonishing statement, respecting Balkh and Bamian, is contained in Alfi, which I shall presently notice, but, as to Jalal-ud-Din's movements from Ghaznin, it is very brief, and agrees with Rashid-ud-Din, and the Jahan-Kushāe, that the Sultan set out in the beginning of spring to Barwan, pushed on to relieve Wāliān, and deseated the Mughals, who retired across the river [the Hirmand, no doubt]—breaking the bridge that the Musalmans might not follow them, and made their escape. The Sultan returned to Barwan, after relieving Wāliān [Wālishtān. The same remarks apply here as at the head of this note.]. But, on the seventh day after, a Mughal army of 30,000 men. [Fiku's force was 45,000 our author says], which the Chingiz Khan had despatched under the Nü-yan, Sankghur, appeared on the scene, but they were overthrown with great loss, notwithstanding their stratagem of dummy horsemen. Then follows Saif-ud-Din, Ighrāk's desertion and the Sultān's retreat to the Sind, "which is now known as the Nil-Ab." The same work also adds that the Chingiz Khān, at this time, had brought the siege of Tāl-kān to a conclusion, and Bāmiān is not once mentioned in his subsequent movements from Tal-kan to Ghaznin.

The most conclusive proofs, however, against a long siege of any place named Bāmiān are the dates and the facts that the Chingiz Khān heard near Tāl-kān of the repeated defeats of his troops, and that he moved straight from the Pughtah-i-Nu'mān to Ghaznin, and, to enable him to reach it by the

advance from Hirāt and Khurāsān towards Ghaznīn. When he [with his troops] arrived on the confines of Barwān, Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din advanced against that army, and gave it battle, defeated it and put it to flight, and despatched great numbers of the Mughal infidels to hell. A second, and a third time, Mughal armies advanced, and were overthrown.

In the army of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din were a great number of the Ighrāk [tribe], all warlike men, and ruthless horsemen, and, between that body of the Ighrāk, and the 'Ajamis and Khwārazmis, a quarrel arose respecting the booty, and hostility ensued; and that body of Ighrāk troops separated from Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, and went off to another place, and the Sultān remained with the Turks [only].

shortest route, there was no need for him to have passed the place which appears in the maps as Bāmiān at all. See note 2, page 1024.

It lay near the sources of the Lohgar [now Logar] river.

See note 2, page 290, next to last para and note 2, page 498.

With respect to these movements, a very pretty muddle has been made in "Mongols Proper," page 89, and shows what a profound knowledge of the ethnology as well as the geography of these parts some of the "authorities" quoted therein must have possessed. After turning "Khan Melik," [Malik Khān—the Yamin-ul-Mulk] into "the late governor of Meru," it is stated that "Seif ud din Agruk, a Turkoman chief, brought his Turkomans and Kalladjes (the latter a mixed race of Arabs and Turkomans, who wandered between the Indus and the Ganges)," joined Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, and, subsequently, "Seif ud din" is made to "retire into Beloochistan!!" At page 716 of the same book, there is a note to this, and it is said there: "this is a statement from Wolff, and it is not quite exact." Truly! "Erdmann says, towards Kerman and Lenkoran. Raverty calls these mountains Karmān and Sankurān. D'Ohsson says he retired to Peshawar. This is no doubt right [of course! but see note 3, page 230, para. 6, and note 7, page 498, para. 5], and his followers were not the Kankalis but the Kalladjes."

The Jahān-Kushāe, which is generally well-informed on matters of detail, and also tolerably correct—save and except the practice of always lessening the number of the Mughals, and increasing the number of their opponents four-fold or more, and concealing their defeats—says, that Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din marched towards Barwān, which is the boundary of the Nāmiān [Bāmiān] territory [the situation of Barwān near the sources of the Lohgar river agrees with this description], where several routes converge, in order that he might become acquainted with the state of affairs, when, during his absence from Ghaznin, a force of 10,000 or 12,000 Mughals [45,000, see p. 1006], who were in pursuit of him, reached Ghaznin. The place being denuded of troops, they entered the city [!! suburbs?], burnt the Adinah [Friday] Masjid, and slew all who happened to fall in their way, but, next day, after plundering the country around, they set out in pursuit of the Sultān, gave him battle [this is his pro-Mughal bias, and is quite the reverse of what took place, as confirmed by every other writer without exception—the author was a high official in the Mughal

When the Mughai Nū-in, Fikū, returned deseated to the Chingiz Khān, the latter moved [from his camp at] the

chingiz Khān then was, The writer then hushes up the subsequent overthrows of the Mughals at the Sultān's hands, and proceeds to narrate the defection of a great part of his troops, consequent on the quarrel between Yamin Malik, as he styles Malik Khān of Hirāt [whose title was the Yamin-ul-Mulk. See page 287, and page 540, note , para. 2], and Saif-ud-Din, Ighrāk. Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ighrāk, together with other Khalj Amirs, and the A'zam Malik [the A'zam-ul-Mulk, son of 'Imād-ud-Din, the Balkhi], a Ghūri chief, with their troops, Khalj [there were Kankulis, and Ghuzz, among them too. See page 376], Turkmāns, and Ghūris, went off in the direction of Parshāwar, while the other Turks and Khwārazmīs, with the Sultān, retired towards Ghaznīn.

It must not be lost sight of, in connexion with this mention of <u>Ghuzz</u>, that they were once in possession of <u>Ghaznin</u>, Kābul, and Zābul.

These Khalj Turks, under the name of Khilich, Dr. Bellew makes Ghalzh Afghāns of, and Col. G. B. Malleson first turns them into "Abdális" and afterwards into "Ghilzais!"

These selfish and disloyal chiefs, however, very soon received their deserts. They proceeded towards Nangrahar [originally called Nek-anhar—the district immediately south of the Kābul river, and extending from Bhati-kot on the east to the Surkh-Ab Kotal on the west, and to Kajā on the south, which was the fief of the A'zam Malik, and then included in the jurisdiction of Burshor, or Parshawarl. Arrived there he entertained the other chiefs for a time, but, there being aversion between Nüh, the Jan-dar [in Elliot, incorrectly rendered from an imperfect MS., probably, "Koh Ján-dár," a strange name the former كوء has been read كوم and mistaken probably for نوم is a proper name: the latter signifies a mountain. The office of Jan-dar has been previously described], who was head of a khel [clan] of about 5000 or 6000 families, and Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ighrāk, he, in consequence, turned his face towards Parshawar with his 20,000 followers, while Nuh, the Jan-dar, stayed behind in the pasture-lands of Nangrahar [not "cantoned himself," as in the work above quoted]. When Saif-ud-Din had proceeded one stage on his way, he sent a message to the A'zam Malik saying:-"We are as father and son towards each other: thou the son, I the father. If thou desirest my good pleasure, send away Nüh, the Jan-dar, to his own place of dwelling, and his own locality, and do not allow him to remain in Nangrahar. [The Khalj tribe—or rather a portion of them—had been located in the neighbourhood of the Safed-koh, on the southern slopes, in Karman and Shaluzan for several centuries prévious. See note 5, page 539, para. 2.]. The A'zam Malik replied: "It is not well, at this time of warfare, that antagonism should exist among the soldiers of Islam." Thus saying, he rode off with some fifty of his Khowas -or retainers -after Saif-ud-Din, Ighrak, to endeavour tổ bring about a reconciliation between him and Nuh, the Jan-dar. Saif-ud-Din went forth to receive the A'zam Malik, and brought him in, and seated him by his side at a convivial drinking party. The A'gam Malik began to refer to the matter of Nüh, the Jan-dar, and to interpose in his favour. Saif-ud-Din, Ighrak, inebriated as he was, suddenly got up, mounted his horse, and, attended by 100 horsemen, set out towards the encampment of Nüh, the Jan-dar. Nuh, under the impression that he was coming to him, consequent on the A'zam Malik's intervention, with a friendly object, went forth, with Pushtah-i-Nu'mān, with all the forces remaining there with him, and turned his face towards Ghaznin. He fought a battle with Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, and Malik Khān of Hirāt, and other Khwārazmi Maliks who stood by him, on the banks of the Sind river; and Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din and the troops of Islām were defeated, and threw themselves into the Sind river. Of the Musalmāns some were drowned, some attained martyrdom, some were made captive, and a few escaped in safety out of the river.

#### ACCOUNT OF THE TAKING OF WALKH OF TUKHARISTAN.

When, in conformity with the command of the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, Arsalān <u>Khān</u> of Ķaiāliķ, the Musalmān, with his own troops, and the Juzbi, <u>Tūlān</u>, the Mughal, marched to the fortress of Walkh, they sat down before it for a period of eight months; and, as that fortress had no

his sons, to receive him, and saluted him, when Saif-ud-Dfn, Ighrāk, drew his sword to smite Nūh, but his followers selzed him, and cut him to pieces.

When intimation of Saif-ud-Din, Ighrāk's, fate reached his camp, his people said: "This was deception which the A'gam Malik adopted, in concert with Nüh, in order to destroy the Ighrāk Malik;" and, under this supposition, they seized the A'gam Malik, and slew him, and the Ighrāk forces fell upon the encampment of Nüh, and slew him and all his sons. Great numbers were killed on either side, and the Ghūris [——ecological ——mistaken for women, in Elliot, vol. II., p. 401, out of which a ridiculous episode has been made that "even the women took part in the affray!"] took part in the fighting, and a great number were killed.

About that time also Bak-chak and 'Alā-ul-Mulk, Joe [the word'is doubtful. It might be Kunduz—'Alā-ul-Mulk of Kunduz, but it is not "Sadr," certainly, as in Elliot], by command of the Chingiz Khān, arrived in order to bring these wine-bibbers to condign punishment. Bak-chak was Amīr of the Mughals, and 'Alā-ul-Mulk, the Sar-Khel—head of the levy or body [jarīk] of local footmen; and so the remainder of those Khalj, Turkmān, and Ghūrī troops, two or three months after they had deserted the Sulṭān, were all either slain and dispersed at the hands of each other, or slaughtered by the troops of the Chingiz Khān, in such wise that not a trace of them was left. See also page 1043.

No doubt, all these events had something to do with the subsequent movements of the Kārlūks, or Kārlūghs, and the Khalj, towards Sind. See note 5, page 374, note , page 498, page 534, and page 539, note 5.

In the best St. Petersburg MS. the copyist, in this heading, had written —Balkh—but afterwards crossed out the —and prefixed, to the word—in some copies of the text to this heading is added "and the fortresses of the territory of Bāmiān," but Walkh is alone referred to.

<sup>1</sup> They had a force of 20,000 men with them.

approach [save one] in any direction, they gave orders to the Mughal troops in such wise that, around and in the parts adjacent to that mountain skirt, they kept felling trees and throwing their trunks and branches at the foot of the fortress, and making it appear to the people within the stronghold that they would [really] fill up the darah [defile], whereas it could not be filled up in the space of a hundred years from its profundity; but, as the vengeance of

This description will not suit the situation of Balkh in any way whatever, which, as the MASALIK WA MAMALIK, IBN-HAUKAL, and others, tell us, is situated on level ground, at the distance of four farsakh—leagues—from the mountains, and that it was fortified [notwithstanding the author of "Mongols Proper," p. 80, tells us "it was unfortified"] with ramparts and a citadel.

As I have hinted before, it seems to me, that, as the words of and wice versa, and as Bāmī is another name for the city of Balkh, some of these writers, who incorrectly make Balkh stand a siege of thirty-seven days, may have jumbled the whole of these words together, and made Bāmīān out of it.

It will be noticed that our author, although he gives so many details respecting Walkh and other places—strong hill fortresses, sometimes miles in girth—[which the pro-Mughal historians seem quite uncognizant of, or the operations concerning which they were determined not to notice, and never quote], and knew so much about them, makes not the slightest allusion to any investment of Balkh, nor to its having submitted to the Mughals, nor to the slaying of its inhabitants by those infidels. Had such happened, so near his native place, is it possible he could not have known it? or that, had he been aware of it, he would have concealed it, especially when there was no reason for doing so?

Most of the works previously referred to are exceedingly meagre in their details, and there are numerous discrepancies in their accounts, and confusions in their dates, respecting the movements of the Chingiz Khān after the capture of Tirmid. The Tārīkh-i-Alfī says: "Having passed the river Āmūīah at the Tirmid ford, early in 618 H-, the Chingiz Khān moved towards Balkh [our author's Walkh], which, after an investment of thirty-seven days, was taken by storm, the people having resisted obstinately to the last. He gave orders for a general massacre of the people of Balkh because, at Bāmīān, his grandson, Chaghatāe's son, had been killed [here is a muddle! and so the attack on Bāmīān took place first, after crossing the Oxus! This remark sufficiently proves how much some of the historians are at sea. Crossing the Oxus at Tirmid, Balkh would be reached first, and Bāmīān is some 150 or 160 miles S.S.E. of it], and, therefore, to avenge his death, the Chingiz Khān gave orders for a general massacre of the people of Balkh, and all, both young and old, perished."

P. de la Croix pretends, but does not quote his author here, but, subsequently, quotes "Mirconde," that it was because Sultan Jalal-ud-Din was "so favourably received by the people of Balc," where "he dwelt many weeks" [but near which he never went], that the people were massacred.

The other version, in which the majority of the works I have been quoting agree, is, that, in 617 H. [the end of the year is meant, but, some say, in the

Heaven, and the decree of Fate, had come down [upon the Musalmans], the son of the Ra'-is [Chief] of Walkh came into the camp of the Mughals, and he directed and guided

first month of 618 H.], when the Chingiz Khān appeared before Balkh, the chief ecclesiastics and other personages went forth to receive him with offerings for his acceptance, and tendered the submission of the city; but, as Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din was still in existence, and causing tumult and disorder [it is here P. de la Croix's error occurs], the inhabitants were expelled from the city into the open country without, and all massacred to the number of 52,000 souls, after which, the city of Balkh, "the Tabernacle of Islām," as it is termed, "was levelled with the plain in which it stood."

The Rausat-us-Safa says, that, "in the history of Balkh it is stated, that the city and its dependent villages—not the city only—had attained to such a degree of prosperity and populousness, that it contained no less than 1200 Jāmi' Masjids, and 1400 baths, and that there were some 50,000 Sayyids, Mullās, and Maulāwis there [and yet all the inhabitants were massacred, and the number was 52,000 in all!] . . . . Of all the lofty and splendid buildings which the city contained, not a vestige was left standing." The rest agrees with the accounts above given.

Now, considering that our author is so correct with respect to Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, and how most authors have blundered with respect to it, and, as he, who was a native of these very parts, was dwelling within the fortress of Tūlak at the time, and personally acquainted with several of the great chiefs he names, I conceive that what the other Histories I have previously referred to speak of as Balkh is no other than the great fortress of Walkh, that their Bāmfān is his Tāl-kān, and Wālfān, as some style it, and "Candahar" of others, is his, and Baihaki's, Wālishtān.

The MASALIK WA MAMALIK and IBN-HAUKAL certainly tell us that "Tukhāristān and Bāmiān are districts of Balkh," and that "Bāmiān is a town half as large as Balkh, situated on a hill, and is the only town in the district situated on a hill," but others tell us [see note , page 426] that there was no town or city so called, and that the chief place in the Bamian district was Rāşif—راف or Raşif—راف but in the History of Timur it is written Arsuf—ارمف and is repeatedly mentioned. In his account of the dynasty of Bamian and Tukharistan, our author never once mentions such a town, city, or fortress, but he constantly mentions Balkh, and does so in this Section, as well as Walkh, Walwalij, and Walishtan, and in this Section, also refers to "the fortress of Bamtan," which, as in some other instances, might be correctly rendered, a or the fortress of or in the district of Bamfan. Our author's "fortress of Bamfan" is, doubtless, that which is called by modern travellers "Goolgooleh," built upon an isolated rock in the middle of the valley, through which runs the river of Bāmiān, and near which, in after times, a town named after the district sprung up. Excavations in the rocks, as may be noticed at page 1058, are by no means peculiar to the well known ones near this Bāmiān. The great fortress of Zuhāk, situated at the extreme en. of a defile on one of the two routes from Kābul to the comparatively modern Bamfan, is, in my idea, the Walkh of our author. See "Sale's Defence of Jalāl-ābād," and note 6, page 1058.

3 It was previously stated that the Sarhang, Sam, and the Pahlawan, Arsiah, were sent to the fortress of Walkh, but who the Ra'is was is not mentioned.

them by a path by which a single light-footed person, on foot, alone could proceed. In the ridges of that mountain [on which the fortress stands] are numerous niches of stone, like unto couches; and, for the space of three nights and days, he continued to take the Mughals and conceal them in those niches until a considerable number of men ascended towards the fortress. On the fourth day, at the dawn of morning, the enemy raised a shout, and fell with their swords upon the band which guarded the gateway of the fortress, until they cleared the gateway completely of its defenders. The Mughal army [now] ascended to the place, and martyred the whole of the Musalmāns within it, and set their hearts at ease respecting that momentous affair.

They [the Mughal leaders] were directed so that they proceeded from the height of the fortress of Walkh to the foot of the [walls of the] fortress of Fiwar of Kadas, and invested that fortress likewise.

Victory to the true believers, and destruction to the infidels!

ACCOUNT OF THE CAPTURE OF THE CYTIES OF KHURA-SÂN, AND THE MARTYRDOM OF THEIR INHABITANTS.

Trustworthy persons relate after this manner, that the Chingiz Khān had four sons. The eldest of them was named Tūshi, the next younger than he was named Chaghatāe, the third was called Uktāe, and the fourth, who was the youngest of all, was named Tūlī. When the Chingiz Khān marched from Māwarā-un-Nahr into Khurāsān, he despatched Tūshī and Chaghatāe, with a large army, towards Khwārazm, Khifchāk, and Turkistān; and Tūlī was nominated to proceed, with a numerous army, towards the cities of Khurāsān; and Uktāe, the Chingiz Khān kept near himself.

In the year 617 H., Tuli turned his face from the [great

- Recesses in the hills probably.
- <sup>8</sup> See page 375, note <sup>8</sup>.

Which is also written Juji, using the Irani j.

<sup>7</sup> The account of the capture of the capital of Khwārazm will be found in the notice of Tushi farther on. He was afterwards to enter Khifchāk.

camp at the] Pushtah-i-Nu'mān towards the city of Marw, and took that city, and martyred its inhabitants. From

<sup>8</sup> Our author and all other Musalman and Mughal historians must be wrong. for does not Col. Malleson, C.S.I., in his "History of Afghanistan from the Egrliest Times," which some writer in the "Times" has declared "a marvel of accuracy," tell us at page 113 that "Chinghiz" himself took Balkh, Mérv, Herát, Nishápor, and Tus in succession? Our author gives no particulars respecting the fall of Marw-i-Shāh-i-Jahān, one of the most celebrated and ancient cities of Khurāsān, and therefore I will endeavour to supply them from other writers. After the Chingiz Khan had crossed the Jihun and destroyed Balkh, but Walkh, according to our author and some others, he determined to subjugate Khurāsān, and despatched, in 618 H., from the neighbourhood of Tal-kan-between that place and Balkh [which agrees with our author's statement], 80,000 horse, computed as one tenth of his whole host, under his youngest son, Tüli, with whom he associated Taghāchār, a younger brother of the Nu-van, Karachar, the ancestor of Amir Timur. Taghāchār, on acount of his having married one of the Chingiz Khān's daughters, is "styled the Gürgan, which is to say, in the Turki language, son-in-law, and damad in Persian," and, therefore, those who have hitherto imagined that this is a Chinese title peculiar to, and first used with reference to Timur as having married into the family of "the great Khan," [but that was not the reason] will perceive that, although Amir Timur may have been the last to whom that Turki title was applied, he was certainly not the first.

When Tulf had proceeded forward a few marches, he detached Taghāchār, in advance, with 12,000 horse, some say 10,000, to Nishabūr, imagining probably, after what had been stated to Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahudah], as related previously, that that city would be given up at once. The Mughals were mistaken, however, for the Majir-ul-Mulk, the Kāfi, 'Umr-i-Raji, and Ziyā-ul-Mulk, the Zauzani, who had made vast preparations for defending the city, had no such intention. Alfi states that the Amir-i-Majlis, Sharaf-ud-Din, was the governor. They had, among other things, besides catapults and balistas, 3000 tfr-charkh, machines for discharging iron projectiles filled with inflammable composition, in shape like a rocket, and naphtha in flasks, and 300 ghirārahs [the meaning of ghirārah is variously given as an iron helmet, and also a kind of net, but some sort of projectile must be meant], all of which were disposed on the towers and ramparts. On a Wednesday, in the middle of Ramazān [December, 1220 A.D.], at davn, the Mughals attacked the place, and continued the attack for three successive days without intermission, but, on the Friday, at the time of midday prayer, a rocket struck Taghachar the Gürgäh, and killed him.

It is somewhat remarkable that a Tūkajār should have been killed at Fūghanj near Hirāt, in the first Mughal irruption into Khurāsān, and a Taghachār before Nīghābūr on the second occasion, but, notwithstanding the similarity of names, the two events are clearly recorded.

After this reverse, the Nū-yān, Nūrkā [[/]], the next in command, finding it was impossible to obtain possession of Nīshābūr, divided his force into two bodies, and departed. One took the direction of Sabzwār, and, after assailing that place for three days and nights, carried it, and the Mughal leader ordered a general massacre, and slew 70,000 persons. All this, however, seems scarcely possible for 5000 or 6000 men to effect, and the number, evidently, has not been truly stated. The other half of the Mughal force moved to Tūs,

## thence he advanced to Nishāpūr, which, after much fighting,

captured the fortress of Jand, which was near Tus, and likewise massacred the inhabitants. In the work entitled "The Mongols Proper," these proceedings, under the names "Thus" and "Kuhustan," are wrongly attributed to Tuli Khān, who never went near those places.

In the meanwhile Tüli marched towards Marw, but, before doing so, he sent requisitions into the different parts adjacent, which had submitted to the yoke, such as Sarakhs, Abiward, and some other towns, to levy men to assist in his operations against their fellow-countrymen, so that, besides his army, some 70,000 men were brought together. After demolishing some few small forts and places on his route, and drawing near Marw, according to the Mughal custom, he despatched a body of 400 horse to reconnoitre. This force, having advanced during the night, fell upon an encampment of *īlāts*, or nomads, and on making investigation found it was an encampment of Saljūk Turkmāns, then preparing to make a raid upon the environs of Marw. How these Turkmāns happened to be there at this time I must briefly explain, for the details are very long.

At this period Marw-i-Shāh-i-Jahān--a different place from Marw-ar-Rūdwas one of the largest, wealthiest, richest, and most populous cities of Asia [a place, or rather that which has taken or stands in its position, which, at this moment attracts, and, for some time past, has attracted the serious attention of those patriotic Britons, who would not see the hordes of another Chingiz dominant over Asia and Eastern Europe to the mortal injury of British interests both in India-which they do not desire to see "perish"-and in Europe]. Sharaf--ud-Din, Mugaffar, who bore the title of Majir-ul-Mulk, was one of the great men of Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah's court, and carried his head very high, because his mother, who had occupied a subordinate position in the Sultan's haram, when she was conferred in marriage on the reputed father, who was made a mughrif [clerk or accountant in a treasury] on that occasion, was said to be pregnant by the Sultan. The son whon, she bore, in time, rose to a high position, and had been a Wazir, and Hākim of Marw and its dependencies. He had, however, for some reason, been removed, prior to the Mughal invasion, and another person, who bore the title of Baha-ul-Mulk, son of Naib-ud-Din, had been appointed in his stead, and the Majir-ul-Mulk, Sharaf-ud-Din, Muzaffar, consequently, returned to the presence of the Sultan. When the Sulfan, dreading lest he might fall into the hands of the barbarian Mughals, proceeded towards Māzandarān, he gave directions to all his Amirs to secure the fortresses of Khurāsān, and to have them garrisoned and provided with catapults and other war engines, so as to afford protection to the people around, while of such places as could offer no opposition the unfortunate Sulfan recommended the inhabitants to submit to the invaders on their appearing, and so save their lives, and to trust to the upshot of events. On this command being issued, the Bahā-ul-Mulk removed all the valuable property and treasure from Marw to the fortress of Tak-the Rausat-us-Safa, and Abu-l-Ghazi, Bahadur, have Yazar and Yaraz, respectively—whither he himself withdrew, and left a Deputy at Marw, while the people, all but those whom fate induced to remain, dispersed into various other places. It was at this crisis that the Nü-yans, Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah], with a large army, appeared before it, as already related, and the chief ecclesiastics, who had remained behind in Marw, afraid of the Mughals, sent a person to those leaders with presents, and tendered submission. They could not stay to

he captured; and, in order to take vengeance because the

take possession, and so, contenting themselves with the presents and offerings, passed on without molesting Marw.

At this time, a predatory Turkman chief, named Būka, having concerted with a body of his clansmen, succeeded, unexpectedly, in throwing himself into Marw, and made himself Amir and Hākim, and a great number of the Sultan's soldiery, and Turks of those parts, as well as other soldiers of fortune. gathered around him, so hostile were they to the Mughals. In the meantime. the Majir-ul-Mulk had lest the Sultan in his retreat [Rauzat-us-Safa sava, after the Sultan's death] in one of the islands in the Caspian, and gained the fort of Sa'lūk [in Gilān], the seneschal of which, Shams-ud-Din, 'Ali, received him with honour and reverence, and rendered him all assistance in his power. This enabled the Majir-ul-Mulk to collect a large force of Turks and Tājziks, and he marched to Marw, and took up a position in the garden facing the Dar-i-Sarrājān, or Gate of the Saddlers. A number of the chiefs of the Marghazi, who had formerly been in the Majir-ul-Mulk's service, hearing of his arrival, flocked round him with the men of that tribe. Būkā, however, would not admit the Majir-ul-Mulk, until the latter, by presents and promises, had gained over a great number of the inhabitants, who had gone and waited on him, and on this accession of strength, one day at noon, boldly proceeded towards the city, and entered it without opposition. Būkā, out of necessity, now went and waited on him, and, with his followers, was enrolled among the rest of the Maitr-ul-Mulk's retainers.

The Majir-ul-Mulk, having now gathered around him followers and fighting men to the number of 8000, began to think of something more than a subordinate position. This raised the ire of the Shaikh-ul-Islam of Marw, Shamsud-Din, Hārişi, who began intriguing against him with a relative, the Kāzi of Sarakhs—which place the Mughals had obtained possession of, and left an Intendant at—in order, even at the cost of giving up Marw to the Mughals, to bring about the Maifr-ul-Mulk's downfall. Some informers brought this to the latter's notice, and he accused the Shaikh-ul-Islam, who stoutly denied the At last, a letter, in his own hand-writing, to the Kāzi of Sarakhs, in reply to one of his own, the bearer having been intercepted by the way—some say, a letter of the Kāzi to him-fell into the Majir-ul-Mulk's hands, who at once requested the Shaikh-ul-Islam to visit him. On his arrival, he said: "What news hast thou from Sarakhs? and what are its people doing?" The Shaikh replied: "I have no cognizance of their affairs, and have no information respecting them." The Majir-ul-Mulk threw his own letter towards him, saying, "There, read that!" and, seeing his own letter, he was utterly con-The Majīr-ul-Mulk, in a contemptuous manner, exclaimed, "Depart!" and the traitor was rising to do so when several chiefs closed with him, and with their daggers slew him, and then, dragging the corpse along by the heels, cast it into the market-place, and left it to the dogs, as all traitors to their country deserve.

After this, the Majīr-ul-Mulk began to detach troops to harry the vicinity of Sarakhs; and the Bahā-ud-Mulk [the Sultān's governor], hearing of the state of affairs, and the predominance acquired by the Majīr-ul-Mulk, came forth from the Hiṣār of Tāk, and went to the Mughal Amīrs in those parts [our author mentions how numerous bodies of Mughals were sent into Ghūr and Gharjistān about this time], acquainted them with the state of affairs, and sought to obtain, through them, the charge of the territory of Marw

## son-in-law of the Chingiz Khan had been slain at that

agreeing to pay a certain amount yearly as tribute. His offers were accepted, and he was sent to Marw, along with a body of Mughal troops. Arrived at Shahristān, the Bahā-ul-Mulk indited a letter to the Majīr-ul-Mulk, saying: "Some ill-feeling and distrust existed between us on account of a certain office, but that has vanished; and, as the power of the Mughals is such as cannot be coped with, wisdom and foresight alike demand that no other road, save that of submission and obedience, should be traversed. At this time 7000 Mughals and 10,000 levies are on the way to this part along with me, and therefore regret and sorrow will follow aught save submission to them."

When the Majīr-ul-Mulk received this communication, he became disturbed and astounded, in such wise, that his most trusted and confidential followers were for at once dispersing and seeking places of security. After a time, however, they advised him not to believe this statement of an interested person and a traitor, and that to abandon Marw would be an act of great folly. The messengers- from the Bahā-ul-Mulk were separated and questioned respecting the actual number of troops along with him, and, on their giving replies confirming the Bahā-ul-Mulk's statement, the Majīr-ul-Mulk ordered both of them to be put to death, and despatched from Marw a body of 2500 Turk troops of the Sulṭān of Khwārazm, to drive off the Bahā-ul-Mulk and his Mughal allies. When the Mughals found this, they secured the Bahā-ul-Mulk, whose own followers now deserted him, and returned towards Tūs, where they struck off his head.

The body of Turk cavalry, detached by the Majir-ul-Mulk, pushed on as far as Sarakhs; and, on their appearance there, the Musalmans seized the Kāzi, Shams-ud-Din, who had taken offerings to Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah], and had assumed the authority there, and made him over to a man whose father the Kāzi had caused to be put to death unjustly, who slew him according to the law of retaliation. All noise respecting the Mughal armies now became suspended—it was the calm preceding the hurricane, however—and the Majir-ul-Mulk gave himself up to pleasure and revelry, drinking, and other unlawful acts.

At this juncture, Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, a Turkmān, who was Ḥākim of Āmūiah, came to Marw, and reported that the Mughal forces were coming, that they had reached the Āmū, and were then investing Kala'-i-Nau. Although the Majir-ul-Mulk received him with great distinction, nevertheless Ikhtiyār-ud-Din was hostile to him—on account of his remissness probably, at such a crisis—and he went and took up his quarters with the Turkmāns. Soon after 800 Mughal horsemen came in search of Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, and threw themselves upon the Turkmāns. At this juncture, Shaikh Khān, and Aghūl, the Ḥājib, who arrived with 2000 men from the side of Khwārazm, laid an ambuscade for the Mughals, slew the greater part of them, and took sixty of them captive, who were paraded about Marw, and then put to a cruel death.

Shaikh Khān, and the Ḥājib, Aghūl, made no stay, and retired to the Dasht-i-Khurz, upon which the Turkmāns chose Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn as their head and ruler, entered into a covenant with him, left the service of the Majīr-ul-Mulk, and contemplated taking the city out of his hands. He, however, got information of it, and prepared to defeat their design, upon which they, being hopeless of surprising Marw, went and pitched their camp on the banks of the river of Marw. They then began to plunder the villages around, and the suburbs of the city, up to its very walls, and to appropriate

place, he martyred every person in Nishāpūr, desolated it,

everything they could lay their hands upon. In the meantime, Tulf Khān, having drawn a levy of 70,000 men from Sarakhs, Nisā, Abfward, and other towns of Khurāsān, which had submitted to the Mughal yoke, and incorporated them into his army, moved towards Marw.

Having arrived in the vicinity, he sent in advance—so say the pro-Mughal historians—a body of 400 horse—much more probably 4000—to reconnoitre, so that, in the night, they reached the banks of the Marw river, close to the khels of the Turkmans, and there they halted. There were 12,000 men there assembled for the purpose of making a raid in the vicinity of the city; and, in that dark night, each detachment of Turkmans, as they came up, totally unsuspecting the presence of such foes, were attacked unawares, in detail, and slaughtered; and, during that night, the Mughals destroyed the whole of the 12,000 Turkmans, and Ikhtiyar-ud-Din is also said to have been killed. Now if the former only numbered 400 men, each man must have killed thirty on the average, and this they could scarcely have accomplished in the time, even had the Turkmans lain down quietly to be butchered like sheep, which they probably did not do. The whole 12,000 must have come by precisely the same road, just at the propitious time, and when the 400 Mughals had just finished the preceding detachment; and of course, in the stilly night, the cries and shouts, groans and screams, and the clash of arms could not be heard, and close to their khels too. All this is gross exaggeration, although contained in the Jahān-Kushāe, the Rauzat-uş-Şafā, and several other pro-Mughal works. Having thus broken the back of their strength, the Mughals, next day, made for the encampments where were the families of the Turkmans, and early in the morning fell upon them and slew the whole-with the exception of some, who, while the slaughter was going on, threw themselves into the river of Marw, hoping to escape, and perished-male and female, young and old-who were butchered, to the number of 70,000 souls! Now, at this rate of 400 men, each individual Mughal must, on the average, have killed, during the night and following day, just 230 souls, which is as incredible as it is ridiculous to expect any sane person to believe it, and, even assuming that these barbarian butchers numbered 4000. each one must have slain, on the average, thirty-two persons. Taking the fighting men at 12,000, and the average number of each family at from five to six persons, each furnishing one fighting man, 70,000 is not beyond the mark. The Mughals captured likewise 60,000 quadrupeds—oxen and camels—besides innumerable sheep, and proceeded to join Tuli's camp.

This account reads like a page out of Mr. Eugene Schuyler's work, or a leaf from the annals of the "Christian" and "knightly warfare" in Asia Minor and European Turkey in 1878: the Turkmans of that day, like the Turkmans of this, were treated a là Kaufmann, and the whole proceedings were carried out in true "Circassian style." I would observe here, however, with respect to some strange theories respecting the origin of the name "Turkomen," in the "Geographical Magasine," for 1875, page 151, that there would be some difficulty to find such a word in any oriental writer whoseever.

The day after this fearful slaughter, which is said to have taken place on the 1st of Muharram [which must be an error for the 11th or 21st, as Tüli was only despatched early in that month], 618 H. [25th February, 1221 A.D.], Tüli Khān, with his army arrived, and took up a position opposite the Shahristānah Gateway, which is mentioned in the "MASĀLIK WA MAMĀLIK" as situated near the great masjid, and commenced to assail the place. The Majir-wi-

# razed the walls of the city, and, having had a pair of oxen

Mulk, who had made great preparations for its defence, distributed his treasures liberally among the troops, and used to send forth large bodies of men to make sallies upon the Mughals, in such wise, that, on the first day, in the course of one hour, more than 1000 Mughals were slain. Here it will be remarked how large bodies of Turks and Tājzīks only kill 1000 Mughals, in about the time that 400 Mughals, by the writer's account, would cut up a whole host.

At this show of resistance, Tūlī, next day, attacked the place in person, at the head of 22,000 Mughals—but the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā says, an army in numbers beyond all computation—and inflicted great slaughter on the defenders; and, in this manner, from morn to eve, for a period of twenty-two days, the fighting went on. The Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gīr, Ḥabīb-us-Siyar, and Jahān, Kuṣhāe, say the fighting only lasted for seven days, and that on the eighth the Majīr-ul-Mulk sent to beg for quarter, but this statement is much the same as that of the 400 horsemen slaughtering 12,000 Turkmān soldiers and 70,000 of their people.

In the meantime, the vast population of Marw became reduced to great straits, and began to say among themselves, that there was no hope of resisting the Mughals. Besides this, many persons from Balkh, Samrkand, Bukhārā, Khwārazm, and other places captured by the Mughals, had taken shelter in Marw: and these fugitives persuaded the Marwazis that the city must surely be captured at last, and that it was better to seek an accommodation, and thus prevent the shedding of torrents of Musalman blood. On the twenty-third day, therefore, the Majir-ul-Mulk was prevailed upon to despatch the Imam. Jamal-ud-Din, the chief ecclesiastic, who, attended by a body of the priesthood, came out of Marw, and sought the presence of Tuli Khan. After presenting befitting pesh-kash, the Imam offered, if the conqueror would promise to spare the lives of the inhabitants, and not destroy the city, to pay a ransom of 200,000 dinars, 30,000 khar-wars of grain, 100,000 ambling horses, and 100 Hindu and Turk slaves, to receive a Mughal Shahnah or Intendant, and pay yearly taxes into the Khān's treasury. Tūli accepted these offers, a dress of honour was conferred upon the Imam and those with him, and he was sent back to the city with that Khan's reply. The next day, the Majir-ul-Mulk, attended by ten of his principal officers, placing faith in the word of a Mughal prince, and taking with him valuable offerings of all descriptions, came out of Marw, and proceeded to the audience tent of Tuli Khan. On his reaching the entrance, he was stopped by the Amirs of Tuli-the matter, of course, had been previously arranged—and he was required to give an assignment of 300 khar-wars—each computed at an ass's load—of dinars as an offering to the Badshah-Zadah [Tüli Khan], and another 100,000 dinars for themselves, on the wealthy people of the city, on which they would obtain from Tuli Khan a written deed of security for the lives of the inhabitants; and this they swore most solemnly to observe according to the rites of their belief. Willing to save the people, and again trusting the perfidious Mughals, the Majir-ul-Mulk, at once, gave an assignment on 100 great merchants of the city; and sent a person of his own, with a party of Mughals, to receive the amount. The Mughals went and brought back with them the persons named, and, with the aid of the rack and other tortures, succeeded in extorting the money; and, besides these unfortunates, nearly 10,000 other persons were tortured to death. After this, the Majfr-ul-Mulk was mutilated by having his ears, nose, and lips cut off—the fashion of the "Sag-lab" Montenegrin and Bulgarian "heroes" of the present day—and then

#### yoked [to a plough], he had them driven over [the area on

put to death. Orders were then issued by this fiend in human form to destroy the city, and massacre the inhabitants. The Mughals poured in, and continued to expel the inhabitants, whom they drove out into the plain. Four days were occupied in separating the males from the females, and then, after the selection of a few young females for captivity, and about 400 artisans, the whole of the remainder were butchered, and not a soul was left alive.

By the generality of writers it is said, that some 300 or 400 victims fell to the share of each Mughal butcher; and, although the number seems incredible, when we consider that the people of other cities were fugitives at Marw, and that the inhabitants of the towns and villages near had taken shelter within the walls, it is doubtless correct. The Sayyid, 'Izz-ud-Din of Nisā, and a number of clerks, were occupied during thirteen days and nights in recording the number of the slain, and the number, without accounting such as it was impossible to recognize, belonging to the city and neighbouring villages alone, it is said, amounted to a little over 1,300,000 souls. See page 281.

After this, the walls and defences of this great city, after it had been thoroughly sacked, were demolished, and in such wise "that scarce a trace of it was left; and for a period of two hundred and nine years its desolation was such that its site did not afford sufficient shade for a wild beast," after which, in 812 H., through the favour of Sultan Shāh-Rukh, the son of Amir Timūr, the Gūrgān, the city was rebuilt.

Tülf Khān now bent his steps towards Nighābūr; and, according to the Jahān-Kushāe, when he had proceeded two marches on his way, fearing, probably, that enough blood had not been shed, with the instinct of a fiend, sent back a body of 2000 horse to slay all who might have crept out of holes and corners since his departure; and about 10,000 persons more were, in this wise, massacred. The Tārikh-i-Jahān-gir, however, states that, after sacking Marw, Tüli Khān nominated the Amir, Ziyā-ud-Dīn, 'Ali, one of its great men, who had been spared because he had retired previously from public life, and was dwelling in seclusion, to proceed thither, and remain there as governor of the desolated city and its dependencies, along with a Mughal Dāroghah or Overseer, named Barmās—also styled Bārmās and Barmīās—over such of the inhabitants as might, from holes and corners, and other places of concealment, return to the city.

After the departure of the Mughal army towards Nighābūr, about 5000 fugitives once more assembled, but their cup of misery was not yet full. A body of Mughals, who subsequently arrived there on their way to join Tūlī, desired to have their share of slaughter, and so they required that every person in the place should bring out a skirt full of grain for them. By this stratagem all who did so were massacred; and this same detachment slew all they met with on the road to Nighābūr. Soon after another body of Mughals, who had separated from the Nū-yān Jabah [Yamah] arrived, and they also put to death all who chanced to come in their way.

There was still more misfortune in store for Marw. Some time after, an outbreak of Musalmans against the Mughals took place at Sarakhs, and the Amir, Ziyā-ud-Din, 'Ali, set out to suppress it, and the Mughal, Barmas, or Barmias, the Overseer, moved outside Marw, with his following, taking with him the artificers and mechanics of the place, with the object, in case of need, of retiring to Bukhārā. Those left within Marw imagined that he had heard news of the Sultan, Jalāl-ud-Din's arrival, and that he was preparing to fly.

which] the city [stood], in such wise that not a vestige of the buildings thereof remained. Having finished with

They accordingly rose, and began to express their joy thereat by beating drums. Barmās came to the gate, and requested the chief men remaining among the inhabitants to attend him, but no one obeyed, on which he had all such as he met with outside slaughtered, and then retired hastily towards Bukhārā.

Amir Ziyā-ud-Din, 'Ali, returned soon after, and set about repairing the walls and the citadel; and people assembled around him from the vicinity, and other more distant places, but an officer of the late Sultan's, the Pahlawan Nush-Tigin-called Kush-Tigin by some-had gathered a considerable following under his standard, and arrived before Marw, and invested it. ud-Din, 'Ali, finding it impossible to remain, succeeded, by stealth, in leaving the city with his Mughal party, as the investment was but partial, took to flight, and entered the fort of Murghah. Nush-Tigin now set to work to repair some part of the city, and to cultivate the land, but a faction secretly communicated with Ziyā-ud-Din, 'Ali, and incited him to return. and appeared with a force, and took up a position before the place. Tigin sent a body of his followers, who took Amir Ziyā-ud-Din, 'Ali, and brought him before him, who, finding that he himself must perish or Ziyā-ud-Din, 'Ali, he had him put to death; and, with a heart at ease, set about his restoration of the city, and putting it in a state of defence. Three or four days only elapsed when a body of 2000 Mughal horse, on their way to join the Nū-yan. Fikū, finding how matters stood, one half went away on their duty, as ordered previously, leaving the remainder to watch the place. News was then despatched to Nakhshab, to the Mughal officers there stationed, acquainting them with the assemblage of a number of people at Marw again; and, after five days, two chiefs, Turbāe [Turtāe?] and Ak Malik [a Musalmān Turk-it was Mughal policy to employ Mughals and Musalmans in concert, with a body of 5000 Mughals, appeared, who penetrated into the city, and are said to have slain 100,000 people more [more likely 10,000], who had again assembled there, the different quarters of the city having been assigned to different detachments of this force for the purpose of hunting up and destroying all whom they could find. Turbae [Turtae], with the principal part of the Mughals, then departed, but Ak Malik was left behind to search for other victims supposed to be in hiding. Every stratagem that was conceivable was adopted to draw them forth; and the last one, which was but too successful, was, that one of the party, a Musalman of Nakhshab, was made to pronounce the call to prayer, upon which welcome sound the poor wretches issued forth from holes and cellars to be put to the sword, and in such wise that but twelve persons-some say only four-and no more, were left alive in Marw, and these, according to the Rauzat-uş-Şafā, were Hindus!

Having left Amīr Ziyā-ud-Dīn, 'Alī, in charge of what remained of Marw, Tūlī moved towards Nīshābūr, in order, says Alīī, "to avenge the Gūrgān, Taghāchār, previously killed in Khurāsān." In advance, he despatched a great part of his army with the war engines and materials for carrying on a siege; and, although Nīshābūr is situated in a stony tract of country, nevertheless, he brought along with him, from a distance of several marches, so many loads of stone that they lay in great heaps all round the place. Not a tithe of them were used, for the inhabitants, perceiving the hand of the Almighty in what was taking place, and that this was a fresh

## them [the inhabitants], and the city, and territory, Tüli

army, greater in magnitude than the previous one, notwithstanding the vast preparations they had made for defence, as previously narrated, became disheartened, and so no other remedy remained than to despatch the Kazi-i-Mamālik, Rukn-ud-Din, 'Ali, son of Ibrāhim, to the presence of Tuli. On reaching his quarters, which was a considerable distance from the place, the Kāzī besought security for the lives of the inhabitants, and tendered submission and payment of tribute, but all was of no avail, and he was dismissed. On Wednesday, the 12th [in some, the 2nd] of Safar, 618 H., early in the morning, the attack commenced, and was persisted in until the afternoon of the Friday, during which time, also, the Mughals had dammed up the water in the ditch in several places, so as to cause breaches in the walls. They then renewed the attack on all sides with greater vigour than before, and effected a lodgment on the top of the walls, where they were as bravely resisted; but the defenders were being gradually forced back. A lodgment had also been effected near the Sher-ban gate; and, during the Friday night, the walls and bastions became crowded with Mughals. On the following day they poured in through the gates, and began their work of plunder and massacre, while the people were still resisting at every favourable point. The Mughals made search for the Majir-ud-Din [the Fakhr-ul-Mulk, Nizām-ud-Din, probably. See note 3, page 990, para. 11], and at last dragged him forth, and he, to make them put him speedily out of pain, was reviling and defying them, and they put him to death in the basest manner possible. Alfi says the defence was carried on for eight days, during which great numbers perished on both sides, and, on the ninth day, the city was taken by assault.

The remainder of the inhabitants were now, as customary, driven out into the open country outside the city and slaughtered; for it was directed, in order to avenge the death of the Gürgan, Taghachar, that Nishabur should be utterly destroyed, and no living creature, not even a dog or cat, was to be left The daughter of the Chingiz Khan, the Khatun of Taghachar, with her own followers, afterwards [not before. The idea of her "leading the avenging force at the head of 10,000 men," as stated in the "Mongols Proper," is as absurd as the idea of "cutting off all the heads, and making separate heaps of men's, women's, and children's heads "] entered the place, and caused all that could be found, and any who might have crept out of concealment, to be slaughtered. Only forty—not so many as four hundred—who were mechanics and artisans, were allowed to escape, and they were carried off to Turkistan; and in the time of Amir Timur their descendants were still dwelling there. The walls, towers, and all the buildings of Nishābūr were thrown down, and for seven days and nights the water of the neighbouring river, which had been dammed up for the purpose, was made to run over it, so as to sap whatever buildings remained—the greater number of houses were probably built of unburnt bricks—and bullocks and ploughs were brought, and its site was sown with barley, and the Mughal horses [some of them?] fed with it when it sprang up. One Mughal officer and four Tājziks were left there to slay any persons who might have escaped the general manacre!

It is stated in the Tārīkh-i-Khurāsān, quoted by some of my authorities, that it took tweive days to number the slain, and that, without enumerating women and children, and such as could not be accounted for, the number recorded was 1,747,000 souls. With respect to this immense, and almost incredible, number of persons said to have been butchered by the Mughal

#### advanced towards Hirāt,' and pitched his camp before the

barbarians, we must understand that the people of the open country always sought shelter within the walled cities and towns. This fact, no doubt, tended to hamper their garrisons, and, from the quantity of food required for their subsistence, caused the early surrender of many very strong places that, otherwise, would have held out like the fortresses of Ghūr and Gharjistān, as our author so graphically relates farther on.

<sup>1</sup> The next movement of Tuli Khan was against Hirat. On reaching the verdant plain of Shabartu near that city, he despatched an agent, named Zanbur, demanding that the Amir who was governor on the part of Sultan Jalalud-Din, and the Kazi, the Khatib, and chief men of the city of Hirat, should come out and wait on him, and submit to the Mughal sovereign, and secure protection for their lives and property, lest the fate of Marw and Nishābūr might be theirs also. The governor, Amir Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Jūzjāni, and other Maliks therein, on hearing of the advance of the Mughals, had prepared to make a determined resistance, and all the approaches and desences were strongly guarded. The Tarikh-i-Jahan-gir, Rauzat-uş-Şafa, and Hāfis Abrū, state, that there were 100,000 troops at that time in Hirāt, but this is mere exaggeration for the glorification of the Mughals, as the sequel shows the contrary to have been the fact, but there certainly was a strong force there. When the envoy from Tuli Khan appeared before Amir Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, and delivered his message, he forthwith ordered him to be put to death, saying at the same time: "Let not that day come for me to be subject to Mughal and Tattar infidels while breath remains in my body!" Next day, when intimation reached Tuli of the fate of his envoy, he was greatly enraged, and directed the troops to take up positions round about the city, and to slay every Harawi Tājzik they could meet with.

For a period of seven days Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, opposed the Mughals with great valour, and inflicted heavy loss upon them, among those killed being several of the principal Amirs, and 1700 others of lesser note, besides common men. On the eighth day Tüli led the Mughals in person to the attack, and Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, sallied out, at the head of a large force, to encounter him, and an engagement ensued which was carried on with great obstinacy. The Mughals were so severely handled at last, that they were nearly giving way, when an arrow struck Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, and he fell from his horse, and then and there expired.

His fall caused division within the city, and the people became separated into two parties—those who were devotedly loyal to Sultan Jalal-ud-Din, and the soldiery, who were for vigorous resistance to the last, while the civilians, such as the Kazi and the ecclesiastics, the priesthood holding grants of land, and those to whom trade and their own selfish interests were all and everything, and patriotism nothing, who were for accommodation; and it will be noticed that, throughout these misfortunes, such persons invariably caused resistance to be abandoned, or they betrayed their people and their sovereign's interest for their own ends.

In the meantime, Tult Khān, who had taken a great fancy to Hirāt, and liked its climate and situation, did not wish to desolate it like other cities [and who, doubtless, had information of the state of affairs within, and the resistance likely to be offered], and whose ranks had been thinned, and were then drawn up facing the I frūzi—some say Firūz-ābād—gateway, which, according to the "Masālik wa Mamālik," was the most flourishing quarter of Hirāt, rode forward on the ninth day, with 200 horse, to the edge of the ditch, and requested a parley. Then, removing his head-dress [some say helmet, others

gate of that city, and the attack began, and catapults were placed in position in every direction.<sup>2</sup>

turban, but neither correctly], he called out: "O! men of Hirāt! know ye that I am Tūlī, the son of the Chingiz Khān; and, if ye desire to save your lives, and those of your women and children, from the hands of the Mughals, cease from all further resistance, and submit, and I will agree that ye pay into my coffers one-half the amount of taxes [Alfi says, "the same amount to my deputies"] ye have been paying to your Sultāns." These words he accompanied with most solemn oaths and promises, that no injury should befall them, if they ceased fighting and opened the gates. Hearing these words from the mouth of Tūlī Khān himself, it was agreed to submit to his authority. This is what, in the "Mongols Proper," becomes "it offered to capitulate."

In the first place, 'Izzud-Din, the Harawi; who, by command of the Sultan, was the Mukaddam, or Provost of the guild of weavers and manufactories—with 100 persons of his craft, each bearing nine pieces [the Mughal fortunate number] of silks of various kinds, and of great price, for which Hirat was famous—it still is for a kind styled kanāwes—proceeded to the presence of Tūli, and after them followed the chief officials and men of the city. They were all well received; but, as though it were impossible for a Mughal to keep his plighted word, 12,000 persons, the soldiers and dependants of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din, were massacred to a man, but to the other inhabitants, whom they had defended and for whom they had shed their blood, no further molestation was offered, and the Mughals acquired vast booty. Misery enough was, however, in store for the Harawis.

After the surrender, Amír Abū-Bikr-i-Maraghant [see note on the Kurat dynasty, farther on] was left there as Governor of Hirāt and its dependencies, and a Mughal, named Mangatāe, also waitten Māngatāe and Mangāe, a favourite attendant of Tūlt's, was left with him as Shahnah or Intendant. The former set about remedying the distracted state of affairs, ruled justly, and endeavoured to restore the province to its former prosperity, and put the city in repair. Tūlt Khān, according to his father's commands, set out [Alft says, in 600 R. = 619 H., but this is not correct: it was 618 H.] on his return eight days after the surrender, and joined the Chingiz Khān in his camp near Tālkān of Khurāsān, which he had not yet taken.

During this expedition under Tulf Khān, besides Marw, Nīshābūr, Hirāt, Tūs, and Sabzwār, other cities and towns and their dependencies, such as Jājurm, Nisā, Abīward, Sarakhs, Khowāf, and other places in Khurāsān, fell under the yoke of the Mughals, which is referred to in the metrical account of the Mughals before noticed, in the following words, "than which," as an author says, "the Darf tongue furuishes no terms more forcibly expressing the fearful calamities caused by the Mughals," referring to the words contained in the first line of the second couplet:—

"In three months, the world-seizing Tūli Captured these all to the gate of Ṣistān. He razed and he slew, and he swept and he clutched; Not a person remained, neither great nor small."

Whilst these events were happening at Hirāt, Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din had upon several occasions overthrown the Mughals, as related under the reign of that hero, at page 288, and note 3, and farther on.

<sup>2</sup> Those who consider the Muscov a lamb may take a lesson from these identical places—Marw and Hirat.

Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Jurjāni, and Malik Tāj-ud-Din, the Jūzjāni, and other Amirs who were within the city, made preparations for resistance; but trustworthy persons have related after this manner, that the stone of every catapult, which they used to discharge from the city in the direction of the Mughal camp, used to go into the air, and again descend into the city.

The city of Hirāt which Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, sat down before, and, before which, he carried on hostilities for a period of eleven months before it was surrendered to him, the Mughals took up a position before, and, in the space of eight months, they captured that place which [in strength] was the barrier of Sikandar, and martyred the whole of the inhabitants [with few exceptions]. Persons, whose statements are to be depended upon, relate, that, in one quarter [part] of the city, 600,000 martyrs were counted. According to this proportion, in the whole four quarters of the city, twenty-four laks [2,400,000!] Musalmāns were martyred. May the Almighty reward them!

When Tuli came to the determination of returning, he set at liberty some of those captives, and gave them a **Shahnah** [Intendant] and left him there, and commanded him to restore the city.

#### ANECDOTE.

An anecdote, worthy of insertion here, is related, as referring to the occurrences which happened at the period in

- The other is styled Kazwini in one or two copies, but Jūzjāni may be the most correct after all. The Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā also has Jūzjāni.
  - 4 See page 259, and note 3.
- <sup>5</sup> Our author has fallen into complete confusion, and has here entered the events of the second siege, while, in his account of the latter, at page 1048, he has introduced some events belonging to the former siege. The greater part of this paragraph and the next relates to the second siege with which Tüli Khān had nothing to do.
- This anecdote refers to the attack on Hirāt by Tūlī Khān, the particulars of which have just been given. It has been stolen by the author of the Rauzatuṣ-Ṣafā without acknowledgment, indeed he pretends—such is, too often, the conduct of some unprincipled writers—to have obtained it from the Kāṣī, from whose lips our author heard it, and merely says: "It is stated by the Kāṣī of Ghariistān," and then uses our author's own words, without acknowledgment. Such pirates, after they have pilfered from another's writings, generally turn round and abuse him.

question. In the year 622 H., the author of this TABAKAT. Minhāi-i-Sarāi, who is the servant of the Sultan's dynasty, had occasion to undertake a journey, on a mission from Ghūr towards the Kuhistān, at the request of the august Malik, Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Usman, the Maraghant7—may he rest in peace!—for the adjustment of the route of kārwāns [of merchants and travellers], and the tranquillity of this territory. When he [the author] reached the city of Kā'in, he there saw an Imām, one of the great men of Khurāsān, whom they were wont to style Kāzī Wahid-ud-Din, the Būshanji [of Būshanj or Fūshanj]—the Almighty's mercy be upon him! That Imam related [saying], "In the disaster of Hirat I was present in that city; and, every day, in conjunction with the Ghazis [holy-warriors], its defenders, I used to don arms and armour, and proceed to the top of the ramparts, and view the multitude of the forces. One day, I was at the top of the ramparts of the city of Hirāt while the fight and tumult was going on, in full panoply, with helmet and cuirass, besides other things, when, suddenly, I missed my footing from the top of the walls, and fell down towards the ditch, and, like unto a stone or a ball, I went rolling down the face of the khāk-rez,8 whilst 50,000 men, Mughals and Musalman renegades, with arrows fitted to their bows, and with stones, were aiming at me, until, rolling over and over, I fell into the midst of the infidels, and was made prisoner by a body of men who, in making the attack, had come to the foot of the parapet, and the face of the khāk-res, and descended into the ditch. This mischance happened to me at a point facing which Tuli, son of the Chingiz Khan, had had a tent pitched, at the edge of the ditch, and the Mughal troops were fighting under his personal observation. Although I came rolling down the face of the khāk-res a distance of about twenty gas [ells], until I descended into the abyss of the ditch, which was forty gaz more, Almighty

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A well-known race or family, one of whom—Abū-Bikr-i-Maraghani—was left by Tūli Khān as governor of the city and its dependencies, along with Mangatāe, the Mughal Intendant. See the note on the Kurat Dynasty, under the account of the downfall of the Mulāḥidah, farther on.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> An artificial mound, surrounding Hirst, and forming its chief strength. See following note.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The description of modern Hirat will give some faint idea of what it was

God shielded me under his protection so that I experienced no wound, neither did any of my members sustain any hurt or fracture whatever.

"When I came to the ground he [Tūli] caused a party to run up with speed, telling them: 'Bring ye that person alive. and do not harm him in the least.' When, in accordance with that command, they conducted me to the presence of Tuli, he looked at me searchingly, and gave orders to them, saying: 'See whether he has received any hurt;' and, as there was none, he said to me: 'What person art thou-of the race of Adam, or a pari, a demon, or an angel, or dost thou hold a charm bearing the names of the Ulugh Tingri?' Speak truly, how it is.' I bowed my face to the ground and replied: 'I am an unfortunate man of the learned class, and one of those who blesses or prays; but I had one thing with me.' He said: 'What hadst thou with thee,?' I bowed my head to the ground, and replied: 'The sight of a sovereign like thee had fallen upon me, and through the felicity thereof, I remained in safety.' This reply was favourably received by Tūli, and he looked upon me with favourable eyes, and remarked, saying: 'This person is a sagacious man, and a wise, and may be qualified for the service of the Chingiz Khān. It is necessary that ye take care of him in order that he may be conducted to his presence; and he commanded so that they made me over to the care of one of the respected Mughals.

"After Tūli had completed the conquest of the cities and districts of Khurāsān, he took me along with him to the Chingiz Khān's presence, and related the story [to him], and in the Chingiz Khān's service I found great favour. I was constantly in attendance at his threshold, and he used continually to inquire of me the traditions of the prophets, and concerning the sovereigns of 'Ajam, and the

in its strength and glory at the period in question. It is entirely enclosed by an artificial mound of earth between fifty and sixty feet in height, at the present time, the walls rising about thirty feet above. This mound slopes down from the base of the rampart, at an angle of about forty or forty-five degrees, and at the bottom of the mound is a deep wet ditch thirty feet wide.

<sup>1</sup> The Great Spirit-God.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Before Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, which the Chingiz Khān had not yet succeeded in capturing. See page 1008, and note <sup>3</sup>.

kings of the past; and would inquire: 'Did Muhammad (on whom be peace!), foretell aught respecting my rise and sway?' I used to relate to him the traditions [of the Prophet] which they have related respecting the irruption of the Turk; and he used to say: 'My heart bears evidence that thou speakest the truth,' until one day, during conversation, he said to me: 'A mighty name will remain behind me in the world through taking vengeance upon Muhammad, the Aghri'-that is to say, he used to call Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, by this term. and, in the Turki language, aghri signifies a robber-and this expression he would greatly make use of and sav:-'Khwārazm Shāh was not a monarch: he was a robber. Had he been a monarch he would not have slain my envoys and traders who had come to Utrar, for kings should not slay ambassadors.' In short, when he inquired of me, 'Will not a mighty name remain behind me?' I bowed my face to the ground, and said; 'If the Khan will promise the safety of my life, I will make a remark.' He replied: 'I have promised thee its security.' I said: 'A name continues to endure where there are people, but how will a name endure when the Khān's servants martvr all the people and massacre them, for who will remain to tell the tale?' When I finished this sentence, the Chingiz Khān dashed the bow and arrow which he had in his hand upon the ground, and became exceeding enraged, and turned his face away from me, and his back towards me. When I beheld the effects of rage upon his impious brow, I washed my hands of life, and gave up all hope of existence. I made sure to myself that the time of my departure was come, and that I should leave the world from the blow of the sword of this accursed one.

"After a minute had passed away, he turned his face towards me again, and said: 'I used to consider thee a sagacious and prudent man, but, from this speech of thine,

4 In some copies "the Mughali." The Printed Text has—افزي aghşi, but all others are as above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Here again is another proof of what I have stated in my account of the descent of the Turks' and of the i-māks of Tāttār and Mughal. Had the Kāṣi, incorrectly, said by mistake, "the outbreak of the Tāttārs," the Chingiz Khān would, no doubt, have taken it as an insult, but he was a Turk of the Mughal i-māk. See note <sup>2</sup>, page 869, and para at page 875.

it has become evident to me that thou dost not possess complete understanding, and that thy comprehension is but small. There are many kings in the world, and, whereever the hoofs of the horses of Muhammad, the Aghri, have reached, there I will carry slaughter and cause devastation. The remaining people who are in other parts of the world, and the sovereigns of other kingdoms that are, they will relate my history.' No favour on the part of the Chingiz Khān remained to me, and it came about that I was distant from his presence; and I fled from the Mughal army, and made my escape, and returned thanks and praise unto Almighty God for the same."

# ACCOUNT OF THE CALAMITIES WHICH BEFELL THE TERRITORY OF KHURASAN THE SECOND TIME.

After Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, Khwārazm Shāh, overthrew the army of Mughals, on the confines of Barwān, between Bāmiān and Ghaznīn, several times, and the Chingiz Khān turned his face towards Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, and advanced towards the river of Sind, and the news of these victories [of the Sultān] reached all the cities of Khurāsān, in every city and town wherever the Mughal Shahnahs [Intendants] were stationed, the people thereof despatched the whole of them to hell, and in every place a predominant person arose. After the Chingiz Khān defeated Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din on the banks of the river of Sind, he despatched the Bahādur, Shā'ūr, along with Uktāe [his son] to Ghaznīn, so that they destroyed

<sup>5</sup> This shows, were any proof wanting, that our author did not refer to the narrow Parwan valley, north of Kabul, but to a locality much farther south-west.

The author of the Rauzāt-uṣ-Ṣafā here repeats what he has stated several times before, in other places, that it is Barwān, a place between <u>Ghaznīn</u> and Bāmīān. As I have said before, the situation of Barwān was near the sources of the Lohgar river. See note <sup>8</sup>, page 288, note <sup>5</sup>, page 1008, and note <sup>6</sup>, page 1016.

It was this that caused the second siege, and the utter desolation of Hirat, the Hiratis having slain the Mughal Shahnah and the Musalman governor, the Maraghani. Here again it will be observed that there is no mention either of Bamian or of its long siege.

<sup>7</sup> In one or two copies, Sā-ūr.

the city of <u>Ghaznin</u>,<sup>8</sup> and brought forth the inhabitants without the city, and, with the exception of a few, who were made captives, martyred the whole of them.

The Chingiz Khān, himself, advanced from the banks of the Sind river in pursuit of the Ighrāķi Musalmāns, who formed a very large force, and a countless number of men, and proceeded towards Gibari. He took the fortress of

• It has never recovered to this day from the effects of this calamity like many other famous and formerly densely populous cities of Asia.

• Some modern copies of the text have جراب 'Arāb-here.

This word is written Gabari— Gibari— Gibari— and Giri— in the different copies of the text, but the best and oldest copies have Gabari or Gibari. The Calcutta printed text has both and In MSS., the point of the letter b often appears as two, close together, occasioned by the two points of the reed, especially when there is not much ink in it, and vice versa. The letter might, in consequence, be sometimes mistaken for i.

Baihaki and the Gardaizi continually refer to the fort of Giri———as being near the Sind or Indus [possibly Kāpir di Giri. See note 2, page 76], but the former invariably mentions it in connexion with "Parshāwar" or "Purshor," "Man-Manārah," and "Wahind." Man-Manārah is evidently Prata'h Manāra'h on the west bank of the Indus above Atak, and the words are, apparently, the Pushto translation of an older name, prata'h signifying "fallen," "overturned," &c., qualifying a feminine noun. Sultān Mas'ūd, the Martyr, was confined in the fortress of Giri. See page 95.

The tract referred to by our author, however, is, without doubt, the country north of the Kābul river, between the Kamān, or river of Kunar, and the Landey Sind, namely, Bājawr and the tracts forming its southern boundary; and I find, in an old geographical work, and in a Persian lexicon of old and difficult words, that Gabar——with the pronunciation written, is the name of a town [shahr] in the country of Bājawr. To have come upon the Ighrāks, the Mughals must have entered Nangrahār, or, as it was anciently called, Nek-Anhār, lying south of Bājawr; and the Chingiz Khān was never in "Pakli," in his life, nor in Pakla'i either.

The people inhabiting the tracts lying along the banks of the river of Kābul, and east even as far as the Indus, were sometimes styled by the general name of Shalmāni by the Afghān chroniclers, and Dihgān by the Tājziks, but Elphinstone styles them by the fanciful name of "Swatis." They were a purely Tājzik race, and had become converts to Islām at an early period. On the northern bank of the Kābul river there was another race of people who are known up to very recent times under the name of 'Arab --- respecting whom some account will be found in my "Notes on Afghānistān and Part of Balūchistān, Geographical, Ethnological, and Historical," printed by command of the Rt. Hon. the Secretary of State for India.

These Tājgīk people were no more "Scythians," than the Musalmān Dilazāk Afghāns were "Buddhists," as Surgeon-Major Bellew, C.S.I., styles them in one of his books, and "Rájpúts" in another, or than the Kākar Afghāns are the "Gakar tribe of Indians in the north Panjab," or than the Kihtrān Afghāns, whom he styles *Khatrini* in his last book, are "Khatir Hindus."

Gibari and other forts of the territory of the Koh-pāyah [hill-skirts], and slew the Musalmāns. For a period of

The fort of Gibari or Gabari appears to be the very same as Gabar-kot, which the Mughal Badshah, Babar, subsequently took from Mir Haidar, the Gabari. The Shalmanis, with whom the Yususzis and Mandars, of the Khakhi division of the Afghan nation, first came into contact, when they, in after years, retired from Kābul into Nangrahār, were divided into three septs or divisions: - Gabart [not from Gabr, a fire-worshipper: it is a different wordl. Mutrāwi, and Mumiāli. Their rulers were descendants of the Jahāngirian Sultans-Sultan Bahram and Sultan Pakhal-who held all the country north of the Kābul river, from the Tag-ao river to the Pir-Pinjal mountains of Kashmir, east of the Indus, and likewise some parts on the southern side of the Kābul river as far south as the Spin Ghar or Sased Koh, but their power had greatly declined. Sultan Awes was the Gabari Sultan of Suwat at that period, and was the last king of that territory and its dependencies, but he retired northwards before the power of the Yusufzis and Mandars. towards the sources of the Amutah or Oxus. He, and his descendants, for several generations, ruled therein as far as the frontier of Badakhshan, after which they are suddenly lost sight of. The great probability is that the rulers of Chitral, Kashkar, Shighnan, Wakhan, and some other petty states on the upper Oxus, are their descendants; and, like them, they claim descent from Alexander of Macedon.

In the time of the Åkhūnd, Darwezah, some of the descendants of these Jahāngīriān Sultāns of Pich, as they are called, were still dwelling in Nangrahār, at the town of Pāpīn in particular, and the Åkhūnd himself, on the mother's side, was descended from Sultān Bahrām. He gives the names of twelve direct generations of Chiefs and Sultāns as far back as Sultān Shams. I hope to be able to enter into details of this interesting subject shortly, but a good deal respecting the geography of these parts, and practical routes, will be found in my accounts of Suwāt, Kāshkār, Chitrāl, Kāsiristān, and the Independent Afghān States, and also of Yārkand and Kāshghar, in the Journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society, as far back as 1856, which contain many geographical details, which have since been discovered by "Havildars" and "Mullas," and appropriated by one or two literary vampires.

Khushhāl Khān, the celebrated Poet, and Chief of the Afghān tribe of Khaṭak, in one of his poems on Suwāt, which I have quoted in my account of that valley, in the Journal above mentioned, refers to some of the many routes leading into Turkistān from the tract in which the Chingiz Khān was encamped, and one of which he evidently intended to take, in the following manner:

"There is a road leading into Turkistān hy Hindū-koh,
And another that leads into Chitrāl and Badakhshān,
Another route also leads to Butān and Kāshghar,
And there is one more that goes to Morang—up hill and down dale."

A few of these Gibaris are, I believe, still to be found in the districts referred to.

In the KASHGHAR MISSION HISTORY, Surgeon-Major Bellew states [p. 142] that "His route was probably across the Swat country into the Kunar [sic] valley, where Chaghan Sarae, or "white hostelry," from its name attests Moghol occupation, and thence up the Chitral [sic] valley called also Kashkar,

three months he halted in the Gibari territory and the Koh-pāyah; and, from thence, the <u>Chingiz Khān despatched envoys</u> to the presence of the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, I-yal-timish—May he rest in

through the easy Burogil Pass. . . . . Such, at least, is the route taken by part of his army, if not by Changiz himself, who, according to the author of the *Talachti Nasiri* (a personal actor at Tolak in the defence against his invasion of Ghor), rejoined his camp with the heavy baggage left at Naman Pushta, in Tokharistan [sic], and took it on with him to Samarcand, where he spent the spring and summer"!

The Doctor could not have had a map by him when he wrote this, and certainly did not read the "Tabakāt Nasiri" aright. What the Tabakāt-i-Nāsiri contains may be seen above and farther on. If the Chingiz Khān had taken the route marked out for him by the Doctor from "Pakli and Swát"—but the Chingiz Khān never crossed to the east bank of the Indus with his army—he would have performed a feat indeed. From thence to Chaghān Sarāe and the Pushtah-i-Nu'mān in Khurāsān, not in Tukhāristān, I beg leave to say, he would have marched through a maze of mountains, the most difficult, perhaps, in Asia, some 10 degrees from E. to W., after which, to reach Samrkand only, he would have had to march backward some five degrees more in the direction of N.E. Chaghān certainly signifies white, as he says, but who shall show that the place in question was named Chaghān Sarāe in consequence of that march, or that it did not receive the name from Turks centuries before, or from Mughals—even the Mughals reigning in India—centuries after?

It will be observed that the Doctor simply says "his route was probably across the Swát country" and "through the easy Burogil Pass," but Mr. D. C. Boulger, in a book entitled "The Life of Yakoob Beg, Ameer of Kashgar," whose sole authority [as he states] for such a statement is Doctor Bellew's narrative in "all its fullness," has ventured to assert, on the bare probability expressed by the Doctor, that the Chingiz Khān did actually return from the Indus to Kāshghar by the "Baroghil Pass." At pp. 28 and 29 is the following:—

"Genghis Khan carried the terror of his name into the utmost recesses of the Hindoo Koosh. He wintered in the district of Swat, on our north-west frontier, a territory which is quite unknown to us except by hearsay [he might have added, "as far as he knew"], and which has only been occupied by the Mongol and Macedonian conquerors [here, too, he might have added, "as far as he knew"]. From his head quarters on the banks of the Panjkora he sent messengers to Dehli. . . . . He hastily broke up from his quarters in Swat, and, by the valley of the Kunar and Chitral, he entered Kashgar, through the Baroghil Pass."

All this may appear very satisfactory to the unwary, but there is not an atom of fact in the whole statement, and I shall presently show that the Chingiz Khan did nothing of the sort, and was never near the "Baroghil Pass" in his life. This is a specimen of the nonsense called history which this Afghān war has called forth by the hecatomb, and the public misled.

Colonel G. B. Malleson, C S.I., in his "History of Afghanistan from the Earliest Times," states (p. 113) that "Chinghiz Khán," meanwhile, on the first news of the outbreak in Khwarizm, had hastened to that province, had

peace!—as he was entertaining the design of conducting his army towards Hindūstān, and of returning, by way of the Koh-i-Karā-chal and Kām-rūd, to the country of Chin; but, although he was burning shoulder-bones [of sheep] continually and examining them, he used not to find permission

suppressed the rebellion [sic. Perhaps the writer did not know that Khwārazm was an independent empire, including great part of western Asia], killing in battle the two brothers of Jalál-u-Dln, and that he had then "marched southward, capturing in succession Balkh, Mirv, Herát, Nishápor, and Thus," which places, save Balkh, the Chingiz Khān was never near in his life. Soon after the author informs us that from 1227 to 1251 "the enslaved country (Afghanistan) had no history" [as far as Col. Malleson knew]. These pages will show the correctness of history, which is "a marvel of accuracy."

To return to the Chingiz Khān. His object was to save distance, and reach Tingkut by the shortest route. By Lakhanawaṭi and Kām-rūd the distance would have been still lessened, assuming that it lay as we find it in the maps of the old travellers and the Jesuits, but not if it had lain as far north as it appears in the map to the "Mongols Proper," wherein we are informed [p. 92] that "Jingis Khan wintered about the sources of the Indus," which are in Tibbat itself! If so, he would have been very near Tingkut, without marching back some ten degrees west to reach Buklān, and then marching twenty degrees east again to reach Tingkut. He found, however, that he was not likely to obtain the consent of Sulṭān I-yal-timiāh to take the Karā-chal route, and as time pressed he had to give it up, and get into the route by which he had entered Khurāsān in the outset. The particulars respecting his return will be found farther on.

- <sup>2</sup> Northern India is here referred to.
- In nearly all the copies of the original this word is written خراجل with " but is meant the three points having been run into one, as is often done, in MSS. This is the mountain range which several European scholars have made mistakes about, but our author seems to refer to the Himalayah range in its general acceptation, in referring to Kām-rūd. Reinaud, for example, reads the name Kelardjek from AL-BIRUNI, in the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh of Rashid-ud-Din; but, in the copies of the latter work which I have examined, the name is correctly written. Ibn Baţūţah also has Karā-chāl. The description given shows very plainly the tract of country indicated. Al-Birunt says the peaks resemble domes of bilaur [crystal], and that they are covered with perpetual snow, like the mountain of Dimawand. Then, continuing his description from east to west, he says, next come the Bilaur Mountains in the direction of Turkistan, and that a two days' journey brings one into Turkistān. Their cities or countries [bilād] are Gilgit, Astūrah, and Chilās. and the people of Kashmir suffer greatly from their raids. Farther on he says that, in two days after passing the mountains of Bilaur and Shamilan, the country of the Bhutawari Turks is reached [this was the part the Mughal ruler wished to reach], and again refers to their raids upon Kashmir; but what I wish to draw particular attention to is this statement, that, if a person travels along the left bank of the Sind [Indus], he will meet with numerous towns and villages, to the south of the capital of Kashmir, as far as the KARA-CHAL range between which and Kashmir is a distance of two leagues.

augured that he should enter Hind, when swift messengers brought information to him from Tamghāj and Tingit, that the Khāns of Tingit, and Tamghāj were in a state of revolt, and that the loss of those territories was impending; and, as a matter of necessity, he returned from the Koh-pāyah of Gibari.

The whole of the mountains [of that tract] were blocked with snow, and, by the <u>Chingiz Khān's command</u>, they used to sweep it away; and, by way of the passes of the territory of <u>Ghaznin</u> and Kābul, he returned to Turkistān and Kāshghar.

From Gibari, in the depth of the winter season, he despatched Uktāe with an army of Mughal troops into Ghūr and Khurāsān. Uktāe reached a place situated between Ghūr and Ghaznin which they [the people] call Pul<sup>5</sup>-i-Āhangarān [the Blacksmiths' Boundary], near unto Firūz-koh, and there Uktāe pitched his camp. From thence he nominated the Juzbi, Sa'di, and the Juzbi, Mankadhū,<sup>6</sup> and several other Nū-ins, with a large force, to proceed into Sistān, and the Nū-in Abkah, who was the Chingiz Khān's personal Manjaniķi [Engineer—head of the catapult workers], and in whose corps were 10,000 Mughal Manjaniķis, was

The word appears to be شعبة the plural of عبد as rendered above. In some copies of the text the word appears to be شهبه which, unless a proper name, is meaningless. Other copies have منه عبد and even سند The Calcutta Printed Text has بشته

The Jami'-ut-Tawarikh states that, after the defeat of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din. the Chingiz Khan advanced up the Indus, and sent Uktae downwards towards Ghaznin, and that, during the hot season, the Chingiz Khan, with the main army, continued encamped in the plain of Mirwan-نيروان-or Nirwan-نيروان for it is written both ways, and also Yazwan-بروان in expectation of being joined by the Nū-yān, Balā, who had been sent in pursuit of the Khwārazmī Sultān. When he rejoined, the Chingiz Khān moved from his summer quarters and set out in order to reach Tingkut by the shortest route. His forces advanced some marches, when news of the revolt in Tingkut reached him, and, as the route he was then following lay through a most difficult country, filled with mountains and forests, uncultivated, with a sickly climate, and bad water, he gave orders to make a retrograde movement back towards Parshawar [the territory of-Alfi also states that he set out from thence in Muharram], and returned to his own country by the same route as he had come, by the Bāmiān mountains. When he reached the fortress of Kūnaūn-Kor-kān—كوناؤن توريان—Üktāe rejoined him with his forces.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Pul has other meanings besides that of a bridge, and here means as rendered. See page 321.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In some works, Mankadah.

despatched against the fortress of [the] Ashiyār of Gharjistān. Uktāe likewise sent the Nū-in, Ilji, into the hilltracts of Ghūr and Hirāt. In short, Shahnahs [Intendants] and bodies of troops were appointed to proceed into every part of Ghūr, Khurāsān, and Sistān; and, during the whole of that winter, those bodies of troops from the Mughal forces, which had entered into those different territories, carried slaughter into all the townships and villages thereof.

When information had reached the Chingiz Khān of the slaying of the Mughal Shahnahs, he commanded, saying: "From whence have these people whom I have killed come to life again? On this occasion my commands are on this wise, that the heads of people shall be separated from their bodies, in order that they may not come to life again." Consequent upon this, they [the Mughals] devastated all the cities of Khurāsān, a second time.

The force which had advanced to the gate of Sistān took that place by assault; and, in every quarter, and in every dwelling thereof, they had to fight before they were able to overcome the people, for the Musalmāns of Sistān, women and men—great and small—all resisted obstinately with knife and sword. All [the males] were slain, and the females were martyred.

In the city of Hirāt, to the gate of which [another] Mughal force had advanced—as has been previously recorded—there was a Khwājah, whom they were wont to style the Khwājah Fakhr-ud-Din-i-'Abd-ur-Raḥmān, the 'Ibrāni' [Hebrew] Banker, a Khwājah of vast opulence, and held in great respect; and, on this occasion, he held out the city of Hirāt for some days. Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri, having evacuated and fled from the fortress of Firūz-koh, had arrived at Hirāt, and they [the

<sup>7</sup> Ashiyār is the plural of Shār, the title by which the ancient rulers of Gharjistān were known. See page 341, note 6.

From Uktāe's army, as will be seen farther on.

The events of Sistan will be found farther on. The fortress referred to held out a considerable time.

This word—مراني—occurs in the oldest and most of the other copies of the text, but a few have 'Irāķī—الله He is also styled 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm in one copy, but that was his father's name. The Rauşat-uş-Şafā has عرك which may be meant for Timrām. The Ḥabīb-us-Siyar, according to Price, has 'Arab.

inhabitants] had made him commander of the forces of Hirāt.<sup>2</sup> They related on this wise that, when the Mughals

<sup>2</sup> The news of the defeats inflicted upon the Mughal armies by Sulţān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, at Barwān, in the spring and summer of 618 H., spread rapidly; and, "the wish being parent to the thought," it was stated that, henceforth, the Mughals would never again be able to cope with the Sulţān. Consequently, in every part where there was any Malik, Shaḥnah, or official of the Mughals, he was put to death, and the people set over themselves some person to direct the affairs of their various cities and provinces until such time as the Sulţān should restore order, while some, no doubt, hoped to become independent.

Hirāt followed the example: the people rose, Malik Abū-Bikr, the Maraghan, and the Mughal, Mangatāe, were put to death, and the chief people chose Malik Mubāriz-ud-Dīn, the Sabzwārī, as their leader and governor, the same who is mentioned by our author at page 1004, while the administration of civil affairs was made over to the Khwājah, Fakhr-ud-Dīn-i-'Abd-ur-Raḥmān, a man of experience, who is styled an 'Irākī by all but our author; and these two personages were of one heart and one mind to resist the Mughals to the utmost. They forthwith made great exertion to put Hirāt in a good state of defence.

When the Chingiz Khan heard of these outbreaks, and especially that of Hirat, he was very wroth with his son, Tuli, who had now rejoined him, and exclaimed: "This comes through your withholding the sword from the Hirātis!" and the very next day a force of 80,000 horse was despatched on the way to Hirāt, under command of the Nū-yān Iljidāe [the Îlchikdāe of others-which seems the most correct-and Ilit of our author: Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur, spells it Īlchiktāe. He was the son of Kājbūn, brother of the Chingiz Khān, and greatly trusted by him, at the same time remarking to him that dead people had come to life again, but he was to take care this time to ensure their being dead, by cutting their heads off, and to spare nothing. He set out in the month of Shawwal [Sha'ban?], 618 H. [January, 1222 A.D.]. Such is the date given, but it is simply impossible. It, however, tends to correct, at the same time that it proves itself impossible, another date—that of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din's defeat on the Indus. Tuli Khan, in the same year, had marched against Marw, Nishābūr, and Hirāt, and had returned to the camp at the Pushtah-i-Nu'man, near Tal-kan, which still held out, in 618 H. The news of the defeats inflicted on the Mughals by the Sultan, in the spring and summer of that year fabout the first quarter of that year which began on the 7th of Marchl. required a little time to spread; then the news of the outbreaks in consequence. and among them the rising at Hirat, required a little time to reach the Chingiz Khān's camp. By this time Tüli had rejoined him, a supreme effort was made to capture Tal-kan, and after that we may suppose that he determined to send Iljidae against Hirat, and move against the Sulfan in person. The date generally assigned to the Sultan's defeat on the Indus is Rajab-the seventh month-618 H., three months before Iljidae, according to the date above, was sent from Tal-kan against Hirat. Some, again, say the Sultan was defeated in Shawwal, the month in which Iljidae is said to have been despatched, and this also proves that he could not have been despatched in that month, but some time before. It therefore seems beyond a doubt that the Chingiz Khan heard of the rise at Hirat in the fifth or sixth month of 618 H., despatched Iljidae early in Sha'ban—the eighth month—pushed on

captured the city upon this occasion, this Malik Mubārizud-Din, an aged man of fine and handsome aspect, rode

himself to Ghaznin in pursuit of the Sultan, very soon after, and defeated him on the Indus in Shawwal, the ninth month of 618 H. The Sultan could not have been defeated in Rajab—the seventh month—therefore; and from these dates likewise it is clearly proved that, on his way to Ghaznin and the Indus, the Chingiz Khān could not have been detained by the so-called siege of Bāmiān, referred to in notes 5, page 1008, 3, page 1012, and 6, page 1016.

The Nū-yān, Iljidāe, in due course reached the river of Hirāt, where he halted for the period of one month in order to prepare for undertaking operations, and make ready the catapults and other warlike engines. From the neighbouring places, previously indicated by the Chingiz Khān himself, which had submitted to the Mughal yoke or had been subdued, he obtained assistance and war materials; and, in a short space of time, from the confines of Khurāsān, the territories of Balkh, and the highlands of Shīwarghān, some 50,000 horse and foot, of the people of the country compelled to serve, arrived to aid in the siege.

On the other hand, they were not idle in Hirāt; and Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din prepared for a vigorous defence. All the people, high and low, great and small, bound themselves by most solemn pledges not to do as was done on the former occasion, but to fight while life remained.

The month of preparation having expired, the Nū-yān, Iljidāe, or Iljt, who now had a force of 130,000 men under him, advanced towards the city of Hirāt, and four bodies of 30,000 men each were disposed, so as to operate against the four sides of the city, and the four gateways. He took care beforehand that those among his troops who should be guilty of any misdemeanour [no doubt this was because their defeats, by Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, had made them feel themselves less sure of success than previously, and it was soon after the Sultān's overthrow of the two Mughal armies that this force was sent against Hirāt, and before the Sultān's defeat on the Indus] should be punished with death, but those who distinguished themselves should be fittingly rewarded.

The siege was prosecuted with vigour and as bravely defended during a period of 6 months and 17 days, when, in the month of Jamadi-ul-Awwal, 619 H., the Mughal commander determined upon a supreme effort, and assaulted the place for several successive days, with the loss of some 5000 men upon each occasion. The walls, from the constant battering of the catapults and other military engines, had become quite honey-combed, when, one day, about 50 ells of the curtain gave way, burying, among others, 400 men of note among the Mughals under the debris. Three days after this misfortune division arose among the defenders—one party being for holding out, the other for capitulation—for the people within had now become much straitened, both for military stores, as well as food to feed the immense number of inhabitants and fugitives within the beleaguered city, while, day by day, reinforcements and assistance were reaching the Mughals. At length, on a Friday, in the month of Jamadi-ul-Awwal-Rauzat-us-Safa says, the month after, but all leave out the date-619 H., the final assault was delivered where a portion of the curtain fell, and the Mughals entered the fortifications at what was afterwards called the Khākistar Burj [bastion] and captured the city. They at once commenced an indiscriminate massacre-old and young male and female, adult and infant—and for the space of seven days this was

through the city fully armed, and arrayed in defensive armour; and, lance in hand, fought against the infidels until he attained martyrdom; but God knows the truth of the matter.

# ACCOUNT OF THE CAPTURE OF THE FORTS OF KAL-YÜN AND FĪWĀR.

After the Mughal troops had effected the capture of Hirāt and desolated the city, they divided into two divisions. One of these marched into Sistān, and at its head was the Juzbi, Sa'di, and other great Nū-ins; and the other force appeared at the foot [of the walls] of the hiṣār of Kāl-yūn, and the troops took up their position round about that fortress. It is a fortification excessively strong, the like of which, in strength of construction, there is nowhere to be found, either in loftiness and sublimity, or in stability and solidity; and in the KITĀB-I-MASĀLIK WA MAMĀLIK [The Book of Roads and Kingdoms], which

carried on, the city sacked, the buildings and defences demolished, and the ditch filled up. "The number of persons who attained martyrdom on this occasion amounted to 1,600,000," in which number must be certainly included those who, from the towns and villages around, sought shelter within that stronghold; and it will easily be perceived how difficult it was to have held out so long with such a number to feed. The resources of Hirāt must have been immense.

After the Nū-yān, Iljidāe, or Ilji, had desolated the district around Hirāt and left not a soul alive, he, after a further stay of eight days, set out for the fortress of Kal-yun, as our author states; but some authors mistake the name, and have Isflzar-الغيزار-which was the name of a town and district dependent on Hirāt, through which he passed, and also mentioned in the "MASALIK WA MAMALIK." See page 397, note 7. The fortress described by our author lies beyond that, but the direction agrees. From this place the Mughal leader sent such of the booty captured at Hirat, as was befitting, to the Chingiz Khan; and, when he reached the kasbah of Aobah, mentioned before, at page 358, note a-still a well-known place on the direct route between Hirāt and Kābul, although geographers, at the present day, seem to have very hazy ideas on the subject—he sent back a body of 2000 horse, with the true fiendish instinct of these barbarians, to slaughter such of the unfortunate Hiratis as might have concealed themselves, and who now, imagining that the Mughals were far away, had come out of their places of shelter. Sixteen persons, including the Khatib, were all who remained alive! The particulars respecting them will be found under Uktāe's reign.

This fortress was founded by Sultan Baha-ud-Din, Sam. See page 342.

<sup>4</sup> It is worthy of note, and highly significant, that the pro-Mughal authors never allude to these events in Ghūr and Khurāsān. They either knew nothing about them, or purposely concealed them.

the masters learned in science have compiled, this fortress is mentioned in these words: "The strongest fortress in the world, and the fairest, is Kal-vun." It is such a fortress that whosoever would go from the foot of [the walls of the city of Hirat to the foot of the walls of that fort, it behoveth him to proceed twenty farsangs [leagues] in an upward direction to a considerable altitude, until he reaches the base of the rock upon which that fortification stands: and, that point having been reached, it is necessary to proceed another league upwards in order to reach the foot of the rock on the summit of which the ramparts of the fort stand. The height of that rock is about a thousand cubits. and the face of it is like a wall, so that it is impossible for any living thing to mount it, with the exception of reptiles of the earth; and on the top of the rock is the plateau of four leagues or more [in area?].5 In the fortress are seven wells which they have excavated in the solid rock, and in each of these is so much perennial water that, however much of it is expended, it does not diminish; and, in the middle of the fortress, is an extensive plain.

The sons of Abū-Bikr who were the champions of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, two brothers, two heroes of renown, and, in themselves, two huge elephants of war—were the seneschals of that fortress. Trustworthy persons have related that both the brothers, in stature, were so tall that, when they used to accompany Sultān Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh [on horseback], in procession, with their hands placed on his stirrup, their heads rose a head higher than that of the Sultān; and the two brothers were famed for their valour and high spirit, and they were the Amīrs [governors] of the fortress. During these events the Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Daulat Yār, the Tughrā-i, who was one of the rulers in the Khwārazm-Shāhī empire, had also entered the fortress of Kāl-vūn.

In most of the modern copies, "four bow-shots or more," but the context shows that inside the fort itself was an extensive plain. The map compiled by Captain Sanders and Lieutenant North, of the country around Hirat during the first occupation of Afghanistan, will probably show its position, which lies about 70 miles N.E. of Hirat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The principal person in charge was a civilian, as previously mentioned, the Malik-ul-Kutāb, the Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Daulat Yār-i-Ţughrā-i, as mentioned at page 1003, but these two champions acted as seneschals of the fortress.

At the time when the infidel horsemen reached the base of the fortress, there were in Kāl-yūn a great number of men and much war material. Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shāh, had [previously] beleaguered and pressed hard this fortress and that of Fiwar, which is opposite to it, for a period of ten or eleven years before he obtained possession of them.7 Kal-yun had [since] been thoroughly provided with men and arms, and stores and provisions. When the Mughal troops began the attack upon it, the holy warriors and tried men within descended from the fortress and commenced holy war upon them, and despatched numbers of Mughals to hell. Day and night they engaged in fighting with and resisting the infidels-The intrepidity of the garrison of the fortress reached such a pitch that it was impossible for the Mughal force to obtain sleep at night out of dread of them, and so these infidels completely enclosed the entire fortress round with a circular wall, in which they placed two gates, facing the fortress, with walls before them, and men were told off to keep watch at night.8 A trustworthy person related that a fox had remained at the foot of the rock on which the fortress of Kāl-yūn stands, within the circumvallation of the Mughals, and, for a period of seven months, that fox had no way by which he might get out, so strictly did the Mughal troops guard this wall.

When one year of the investment of the fortress passed away, the Juzbi, Sa'di, with a Mughal army, from before the gate of Sistān, came into Khurāsān, and arrived at the base of the fortress of Kāl-yūn; and, a second time, was the place closely invested.

<sup>7</sup> That was during the time of the <u>Gh</u>ūri Sultāns and must have happened soon after the assassination of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Sām, or even before that event.

The Printed Text, like some MS. copies, differs considerably here, and they have, "a double" wall.

From this it appears that, before the arrival of the Juzbi, Sa'di, the Mughals had latterly contented themselves with merely blockading the place; but, after his arrival, began more active operations. Although beaten off twice, they never left it entirely, and then came back again to invest it, as will presently appear.

The Rauzat-uş-Şafā has an apocryphal story to the effect, that the people of the great fortress of Kāl-yūn, fearing the Mughals, with the help of the Hirātīs, would attack them again, now that they had obtained possession of Hirāt,

A pestilent disease overcame the defenders of the fortress, and the greater part of the people died, through the provisions with which the fortress was supplied, which consisted of a large quantity of dried flesh and pistachios -for the pistachios of Khurāsān mostly come from around about Kāl-vūn-and, from constantly eating dried flesh, pistachios, and clarified butter, the people of the fortress used to fall sick, and their heads and feet used to swell. and death would result. After the garrison had held out against this investment for a period of sixteen months, not more than fifty persons remained alive, and of these twenty were suffering from swollen feet, and thirty were strong and healthy. One of this band left the fortress and went over to the Mughal force, and made known the state of the garrison and of the fortress of Kal-yun; and, when the Mughal troops ascertained for certain the state in which the people of the place were, the infidels donned their arms and turned their faces towards it. The garrison, resigning themselves to martyrdom, threw everything of value within the fortress, consisting of gold and silver, and valuable clothes, and whatever was of worth, into the wells, and then filled them up with large stones from the fortress; and all else that remained they burnt. They then threw open the gateway of the fortress, drew their swords, and threw themselves upon the infidel Mughals, and attained the felicity of martyrdom.

When the fortress of Kāl-yūn was taken, a body of the Mughal forces which had been at the foot of the walls of the fort of Walkh of Tukhāristān, namely Tūlān, the Juzbi, and Arsalān Khān of Ķaiāliķ, with those Mughal troops, by command of the Chingiz Khān, marched to the foot of the fortress of Fiwār of Kādas. This fortress of

although they had twice been obliged to raise the investment, despatched eighty men to Hirāt to kill Amīr Abū-Bikr and Mangatāe, the Mughal, and thus create a diversion, and direct the Chingiz Khān's power to the destruction of Hirāt!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See page 1023, and also note <sup>2</sup>, page 1024.

This is the tract respecting the name of which there were some doubts at pages 342, 375, and 398, but Kādas and not Fādas—there is but the difference between J and —is the correct name, but, in some copies, it is written—Kāduah—with sh. It is in these parts, and among these mighty fortresses that the student of the Mstedonian Alexander's campaigns might identify the stronghold of the Bākhtrian Oxyartes, the rock fortress of Chorienes [Kāl-yūn?]

Fiwār in strength, solidity, and impregnability, is still stronger than the fortress of Kāl-yūn, and the fact of its strength may be held certain in that ten men can defend it. Between Fiwār and Kāl-yūn is a distance of about ten farsangs [leagues], in such wise that both fortresses are in sight of each other. If strange horsemen should reach the base of the fortress of Kāl-yūn in the day, the people would make a smoke, and, at night, they would light a fire; and the garrison of Fiwār used [thus] to know of it: and if such should reach the fortress of Fiwār the same would be done to make it known to Kāl-yūn.

For a period of ten months that the Juzbi, Tūlān, and Arsalān Khān of Ķaiāliķ, lay before the fortress of Fiwār, on account of the great scarcity of provisions, their forces had become reduced to great straits. They now brought from the stores of the fortress of Kāl-yūn what was necessary for their subsistence, so that, for a short time [longer], they were able to continue before the stronghold. A person from the fort of Fiwār [now] came into the force of the Juzbi, Tūlān, and gave information of the state of the place, that [nearly] the whole of the garrison were dead, and that, throughout the whole fortress, there were not more than seven men alive, and out of them four or five were sick. Then the infidels armed themselves, and captured the place, and martyred those seven persons—God reward them!

These events happened in the latter part of the year 619 H., and this was the affair, as has been [just] related, of those two strongholds, than which there were no stronger forts in all Khurāsān and Ghūr.

### ACCOUNT OF THE EVENTS WHICH HAPPENED IN GHÜR, GHARJISTÄN, AND FĪRŪZ-KOH.

The city of Firuz-koh which was the capital and seat of

and other positions not made out, always supposing, however, that the first Nicsea is no other than Nisā, a very ancient place. Several of these strongholds agree with the descriptions given by Arrian and Strabo.

One of the oldest copies has eight months.

4 It is stated just above that everything of value had been thrown into wells or burnt, but perhaps they did not think pistachios and other provisions worth destroying.

<sup>5</sup> See note <sup>7</sup>, page 1061.

The fortress of Tülak is included under this heading, and our author says it

government of the Sultans of Ghūr, the Juzbi Uklan, with the Mughal forces [under him] appeared before, in the vear 617 H., and for twenty days and more attacked it vigorously, but retired without having effected their purpose.\* The people of Firūz-koh showed opposition towards Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri [who was in charge], and rose against him; and he was under the necessity of entering the upper fortress, which is situated to the northeast of the city, upon a lofty and overhanging mountain. During the time of the Sultans of Ghur there was no more upon that spot than a great kaşr [castle], and it used to be impossible for laden beasts to get there; but, at this period, that Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri, had restored and enlarged that fortress, he had carried a rampart all round the top of that mountain, and had made a road to that fortress so that laden camels used to go up to it, and a thousand men could find quarters therein.

When disagreement arose between the people of Firūz-koh and Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri, and the latter took up his quarters in the upper fortress, the people wrote letters to Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain '—may he rest in peace!—and solicited him to come thither. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, with the forces of Ghūr, proceeded to Firūz-koh, and Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, located his uncle's son, Malik 'Imād-ud-Din, Zangi, Ba'lami,' at Firūz-koh, and this circumstance happened in the year 618 H.

lay between <u>Gh</u>ūr and <u>Kh</u>urāsān, and, therefore, it was situated, by this account, in <u>Gh</u>arjistān, north of Hirāt, or close to it. In another place [page 362], he says it is in the hill-tracts of Hirāt.

- \* As already mentioned at page 1007, which see.
- This is the place referred to at pages 403 and 407.

in some of the best copies of the text as well as نطي in some of the best copies of the text as well as بطمي as above, and in others—نعلي and بطمي without any points.

<sup>7</sup> This is the person who is turned into Hulakoo in Miles's "Shajrat ul Atrak," so-called, but it is a work of no authority whatever, and his translation contains gross and absurd errors.

¹ Here, as in other places preceding, he is, in some copies, styled Hasan. He was Malik of Ghūr, under the Khwārazmīs, after the downfall of the Ghūrī dynasty. This is the illustrious Malik—the son of 'Alī, son of Ābī 'Alī—who came into India in the reign of I-yal-timish, who held such a prominent position in Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, and who was, at last, put to death by that Sulṭān, or rather his advisers. See pages 702 and 798.

When the forces of the infidel Mughal, under Uktāe, moved from Ghaznīn and advanced towards Ghūr, a body of troops [from that army] pushed on, suddenly and unexpectedly, and fell upon Firūz-koh. Malik 'Imād-ud-Dīn, Zangī, they martyred in the year 619 H.; and the people of the city were martyred also. Malik Mubāriz-ud-Dīn, the Sabzwārī, evacuated the [upper] fortress, and came to Hirāt, and there attained martyrdom; and the city of Fīrūz-koh was wholly destroyed.

The fortress of Tūlak, however, of which Amir Ḥabashi-i-Nezah-war [the expert at the lance] on the part of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh—on whom be peace!—was governor, did not fall into the hands of the Mughals. The fortress of Tūlak is a fortification totally unconnected

The Chingiz Khān's son, at the time his father left the banks of the Indus with the intention of returning homewards. See page 1047.

<sup>4</sup> This place, the seat of a powerful empire never afterwards recovered; and at this day even its site appears to be imperfectly known. Its destruction is another specimen of the "architectural afflatus which fell upon the world after the Mongol invasions."

But neither our author, nor any other Oriental writer, knows anything about <u>Gh</u>ūr, its capital, or its sovereigns; and when they tell us that Firūz-koh was the capital they merely show their ignorance, for does not "General Ferrier" tell us that "Zerni" was? In his book, entitled "CARAVAN JOURNEYS," he states at page 248, "Zerni was, as I have before remarked, the ancient capital of the country of Gour. . . . . Its position in a valley is happily chosen"!

In his attempt to reach Kābul from Hirāt, "the General" set out from the latter place, and says he reached as far north as Sar-i-pul, and was compelled soon after to return to Hirāt again. This journey occupied him from the 22nd June to the 21st July—just thirty days—on which latter date he was brought back to Hirāt again. During the chief part of this time he was under surveillance, and not permitted to roam about, and travelled part of the time through "Gour" by starlight, but notwithstanding all this he not only discovered the ancient capital, but also its name, totally contrary to every native author who has written on the subject, and also had time to make researches into the history of Gour, although he did not even know how to spell the name correctly. Consequent on these discoveries our author's account of its twenty-two rulers, not including those of Ghaznin and Tukhāristān, must be contrary to fact, for "the General" tells us that the "Gour" dynasty only lasted sixty-four years, and that it only consisted of five persons!!

I may be permitted to doubt the correctness of "the General's" statements (upon a good many matters besides this, and not in this book alone), until some one can show me, in any history whatever, such a name as Zerni, much less that it was the "ancient capital" of Ghür.

It is quite time such incorrect statements and such "Histories" should be exposed.

with any adjoining mountain, and the foundation of it dates from the time of Manūchihr, and Ārash, the Archer, [then] held it. In the upper part of it are chambers hewn in the solid rock which they call Ārash [after Ārash], and Amir Naṣr, the Tūlaki, sunk a well, in the upper part of the fortress; and the diameter of the well will be about twenty gas [ells], and it is excavated in the solid rock. The water, however much is drawn from it, shows no decrease, and its depth is immense. The fortress is of great strength, and lies between Ghūr and Khurāsān. When Sulţān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, came to Balkh, Habashi-i-Nezah-

- The famous champion and archer of Manu-chihr—Heavenly-faced—the tenth king of the Bāstānah dynasty, and one of the heroes of the Shāh-Nāmah. Ārash, upon one occasion, is said to have discharged an arrow from Āmul to Marw, a distance of only about "forty days' journey." This, however, is much of a kind with the feats the Greek heroes of antiquity performed, and not a whit more exaggerated.
- It will be seen from this, that the excavations in and around the district of Bāmfān, and where the two great idols, the Khing But—Grey Idol, and the Surkh But—Red Idol, stand, are, by no means, the only ones in these parts; and the fact shows, in a somewhat ridiculous light, the various opinions respecting the latter, and their purposes.

The account given respecting them by oriental writers is, briefly, this. "The Khing But is the beloved of the Surkh But, and they are each about fifty-two gas—ells—high. They are situated in the mauza'—district—of Bāmiān, a dependency of Tukhāristān, on the frontier of Badakhshān. People can go in and come out at the fingers and toes of these idols or figures, which are hollow within. Some call them Lāt and Manāt, and in 'Arabic they are styled Yaghūş and Ya'ūķ."

MASSON, in his Travels, makes out these two figures to be the work of the "White Huns," who conquered Transoxiana and "Khorasan," and were finally exterminated by "Zingis Khan," and his opinion is supposed to "receive countenance from the well-ascertained fact that Zingis Khan destroyed Ghulghuleh," the ruins of which are scattered over the Bāmfān valley. The same author considers these caves to have been catacombs. Strange that we hear of no white or black Huns in connexion with "Zingis" and "the catacombs." MOORCROFT [each rides his own hobby] was of opinion that Bāmfān was "the residence of a great Lama," and the excavations the abodes of "Lama clergy," and "the lower classes of the monastic society," and that "the laity inhabited the adjoining city"! ELPHINSTONE attributes these idols and the contiguous caves to "the Buddhist princes of Ghore," but what history says that the Tājzīk Ghūrī chiefs and rulers were Buddhists any more than that they were "White Huns"? and what are the proofs? Col. G. B. Malleson, however, makes "Ghilzai" Afghāns of them!

- 7 A former governor of the place: the chief whose fief it was.
- Just previous to his flight towards Nishāpūr. Here all the copies of the text collated have Balkh—in and not Walkh, as before, showing, still more clearly, that they refer to two separate places.

war, with the troops of Tūlak, proceeded to Balkh also, and presented himself before the sublime threshold [of that monarch]. He was directed to return to Tūlak, and put the fortress in order and make preparation for opposing the Mughals. After he returned from thence, in the beginning of the year 617 H., on several occasions, bodies of Mughal horsemen came to the foot of the fortress, and made raids in its neighbourhood; and, in the year 618 H., the Nū-in, Fikū, who was a son-in-law of the Chingiz Khān, and who commanded a force of 40,000 Mughal cavalry, and troops of other races, appeared at the foot of the fortress of Tūlak with a numerous army.

Amir Habashi-i-Nezah-war agreed with him that he would become tributary to the Mughals; and came down from the fortress and paid homage to him, and returned to it again. The subsidy which he had assented to, Habashii-Nezah-war apportioned among the people of Tulak, and enforced its payment rigorously. This Habashi-i-Nezahwar, in his younger days, in the beginning of the reign of Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, was a common man, a Nishāpūri, and a maker of sacks; and, in Khurāsān and Khwārazm, there never has been one so skilled in the use of the lance as he. This has been heard oftentimes from his own lips,—"If, upon occasion, I should lie down on my back upon the ground, and take a staff in my hand. I would defend myself against four men with spears." In short, he was a very excellent man, and his good works were many, and his charities countless. At this time, however, through his having apportioned this subsidy among them, the whole Tulaki people decried him, and considered themselves oppressed in the collecting of it. One of the

Tülak must have been a place of considerable size, and its dependencies populous, as, some years before, 1200 Tülakis, were left to garrison Tabarhindah, just before Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sam, Ghūri, was defeated by Rae Pithora at Tara'in. See pages 458 and 459.

At page 362, our author states that it lies in the mountains in the vicinity of Hirāt, and is in the country of Khurāsān. It is in vain to look for it in any of our maps, but it is not in "Ghor," so styled.

<sup>1</sup> The same who was overthrown by Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din. At page 1006 the number of troops is stated at 45,000. See note 3, page 288.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> He must not, from the similarity of part of his name, be confounded with Tāj-ud-Dīn, Ḥabashi-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, referred to at page 1007, who, subsequently, fell, fighting against those infidels.

cleyer, of that time, composed a verse, and, as it is witty, it has been here inserted in order that it may come under the observation of the sovereign of Islām, and that the people of the Tūlak district may be remembered with an invocation. The Khwājah, and Imām, Jamāl-ud-Dīn, the Khāzinchī —on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—says:

"I said: 'Ḥabashi, Nezah-war! what is this wrong?
What have the Tūlakis to do with rack and prison?'
He replied 'I am a leather-worker and Fikū a dog:'
The dog knows and the leather-worker knows what the wallet contains.'"

The inhabitants of Tulak, both the soldiery and the peasantry, having suffered extortion [at his hands], revolted against him, seized him, and delivered up the fortress of Tulak and Habashi-i-Nezah-war to Malik Kutb-ud-Din. Husain, in order that he might take charge of it, who came to the fortress of Tulak, and he located therein his own son, Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Muhammad; and the maternal uncle of the writer [of this work], which is Minhāj-i-Sarāj, and whose name was Kāzi Jalāl-ud-Din-i-Maid-ul-Mulk. Ahmad-i-'Usmān, Nisāwi, was Hākim [governor], and the Khwājah [Jamāl-ud-Din?] directed its affairs. After Habashi-i-Nezah-war fell into the power of Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, the latter for a time kept him in confinement, and, at length, gave him permission to proceed to the fortress of Fiwar. The Malik of that fortress was the Pah-

This term, signifying treasurer, is somewhat doubtful, as it is written in different ways, and mostly without the diacritical points—جارواتي and

4 A tanner and a dog held near akin in those parts.

This is an important passage, in some respects, since, without the use of two izāfats, both of which stand in lieu of bin son of, no sense can possibly be made of it. At page 458, our author mentions this uncle "of his maternal grandfather," but that last part of the sentence must have been redundant or an interpolation. There, his name and titles are not given in full, he being merely styled Kāzī Majd-ud-Dīn, Tūlakī; but it now appears that Majd-ud-Dīn was his title, and Ahmad his name, and that he was the son of 'Uṣmān, the Tūlakī, whose family, originally, came from Nisā. Kāzī Jalāl-ud-Dīn was his son, and the brother of that Kāzī of Tūlak, named Muḥammad, entitled Ziyā-ud-Dīn, who was left, along with 1200 Tūlakīs, to defend the fortress of Tabarhindah, when, thirty-seven years before, Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī, retired to Ghaznīn after his defeat by Rāe Pithorā.

The son of Malik Kutb-ud-Din, the Ghūri, must have been young in years, and therefore the Khwājah, Jamāl-ud-Din, and the Kāzi Jalāl-ud-Din, directed the affairs of Tūlak, nominally for Malik Kutb-ud-Din. It appears strange, however, that his own people should have put the Kāzi to death, and our author does not give us any further particulars.

lawān, Aşil-ud-Din, the Nishābūri, and he seized Ḥabashi-i-Nezah-war and martyred him.

When the fortress of Kāl-yūn fell into the hands of the infidels [the Mughals], the inhabitants of the fortress of Tūlak, who were also kinsmen of the Khwājah, and fifteen heads of families, also kinsmen of each other, entered into a compact together, in the year 619 H., and caused the Khwājah to be martyred, and sent the son of Malik Kutb-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain, back to the presence of his father. For a period of four years, they [the Tūlakis] waged war against the Mughal infidels a great many times, and the author of this work, which is Minhāj-ud-Dīn-i-Sarāj, during these four years, used to join the people of Tūlak, who were all kinsmen and brethren, in their holy warfare, and, in the end, it continued safe from the hands of the infidels.

After the people of Tülak became disobedient to the authority of Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, he determined upon retiring into Hindūstān, in the year 620 H., and the fortress of Tülak remained unmolested.

Subsequently to these events, the author of this work chanced, upon two occasions, to undertake journeys into the Kuhistān on the subject of a mission: the first time, in the year 621 H., and, on the second occasion, in 622 H. Afterwards, in the year 623 H., on the part of Malik Rukn-

- Mentioned at page 1003.
- The date here given in the text, in which all copies agree, is seven—but it cannot possibly be correct, and must be a mistake for some lecause Fiwar, which held out over a year, was not captured, by our author's own account, until the latter part of the year 619 H. The investment of that fortress was only undertaken after the fall of Walkh of Tukhāristān, against which Arsalān Khān of Kaiālik, and Tūlān, the Juzbi, were despatched in the third month of 618 H., and which held out for eight months. See pages 1023 and 1055.
- <sup>8</sup> An account of what misfortunes befell him on his way thither will be found farther on.
- At page 1069 it is stated that, on the 12th of a month, not given, in the year 620 H., the Mughals sprang an ambuscade against Tülak, but did not succeed in their object. At page 1070 also, our author further states, that Tülak was entered in 620 H. by the Mughals, after Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, had retired from the territory of Ghür with other Maliks, and they were making towards Hind by the route over the Arghand river.
- At this time Khurāsān was entirely clear of Mughals. These journeys are mentioned farther on in the account of the downfall of the Mulā hidahs, which see, and page 201. He undertook two journeys for Malik Rukn-ud-Dīn, the first was in 622 H. See page 1039.

ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-'Usmān, the Maraghani, of Khāesār [of Ghūr], the author proceeded to the presence of Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin, the Khwārazmi; and, in the same year, on the part of Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin, he went on another mission to the Bādshāh of the Kuhistān, to Neh and to Sistān. After this the author set out [on his journey] towards Hindūstān.

Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigīn [subsequently?], came to Tūlak,4 and the people of that fortress paid obeisance to him, and he removed [some of?] them to Sīstān. In the disaster of Sīstān, they all attained martyrdom,5 and [the remainder of?] that people continued there [at Tūlak]. The Amīr of Tūlak [at that time?] was Hizabr-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Mubārak, and he went to Kyuk Khān,6 and, up to this present day, his children hold that fortress.

# ACCOUNT OF THE EVENTS WHICH TOOK PLACE BEFORE THE FORT OF SAIF-RÜD OF GHÜR.

The fortress of Saif-rūd of Ghūr 7 is the strongest of all

Not a sovereign or king here, but the Muhtashim—a sort of Abbot or Prior—of the Mulāḥidah herétics, on the part of the head of that sect. See "Panjab and Dehli," in 1857, "by Rev. J. Cave Browne," who raises up a "Badshah"—a king—by means of the poor old Åkhund of Suwāt [lately dead], not knowing that words sometimes have two meanings.

The Printed Text is always wrong with respect to the name of this well-

known place. See under the Maliks of Sijistan, page 200.

4 At page 201 our author says Bināl-Tigin took possession of the fortress of Isfizār, as well as that of Tūlak, in 623 H... about the time he himself left his native country and set out for Hind, and at page 200 he states, that Bināl-Tigin was despatched to Neh, by Burāk, the Hājib, to the assistance of one of the rival Maliks of Sistān, and that he took possession of Neh for himself.

- Our author says "all," as contained in the whole of the MSS. collated, but this cannot be, for, otherwise, how could he have remained at Tülak at the same time? Perhaps, as these events occurred at the period he was preparing to leave for Hind, his account became somewhat confused. At the time the Mughals invested the citadel of Sistān—the second time of their appearing in that country—these very Tülakis who were removed formed part of the Sistān garrison and made a gallant defence. Hizabr-ud-Din, Muhammad, was set up by the Tülakis after Bināl-Tigin withdrew, and, having made submission to the Mughals, was allowed to continue to hold it. The siege of Sistān is mentioned farther on.
- in 643 H. See under his reign. It is most absurd to notice how this simple name has been written in some copies of the text—علي المالية م
- 7 This is the fortress in which Bahram Shah, son of Khusrau Malik, the last of the Mahmudiah dynasty of Ghaznin, was immured. See page 115.

the strongholds of the *jibāl* [mountain tracts], and the foundation of it had been laid by the father of the Sultāns Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn, and Mu'izz-ud-Dīn—Sultān Bahā-ud-Dīn, Sām, son of 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Al-Ḥusain.

When Sultan Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, retired from before Balkh towards Māzandarān, he commanded so that Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Ḥusain, should put the fortress in a state of repair. There was but little time [to do it in], and he was unable to construct more than a reservoir in the upper part of it; for, two months after the command was given, the Mughal army entered [that part], and the possibility of constructing anything more did not offer itself. In that reservoir he collected sufficient water for about forty days' supply for the people of the fortress. The Mughal troops carried their depredations into all parts of Ghūr; and the whole of the quadrupeds of every kind, from all parts, fell into the hands of the infidels, and the people of Ghūr attained martyrdom, through a diram of four dānes.\*

Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, with his troops, sought shelter within that fortress, and the Nū-in Mangūtah, the Nū-in Karāchah, and the Nū-in Utsuz, with a numerous army, advanced to the foot [of the walls] of the fort, and, when they understood that the defenders had but a small supply of water, they fixed their camp at the base of the stronghold, and commenced hostilities. For a period of fifty days they assailed it with great vigour, and, on both sides, a great number of Musalmāns attained martyrdom, and Mughals beyond compute went to hell. There was an immense number of quadrupeds in the fortress; and as

<sup>\*</sup> Four forts are mentioned as having been constructed by him, but this one is not mentioned. See page 341. The jibāl of Ghūr has already been noticed.

This appears to be some proverb or trite saying. It might be read "four dangs out of a diram"—four fourths. A diram has four dangs or tangs. Or it may mean that many people lost their lives in attempting to save their cattle.

I This name is very doubtful in the text, no two copies being alike; but this is, at least, Turkish, and is plainly written—j—i—in one copy. The others may be Albar, Alsar, Absar, Atar, Asaz, Albasar, or Alburz, and thus, in three copies, the second letter is \( \ell\), and in three other copies the last letter is \( \ell\). This leader's name does not occur in other histories, because they do not contain any account whatever of the attacks upon, and determined defence of, these strongholds, nor is his name to be found in a long list of the Chingiz Khān's Nū-yins.

many as they were able to cure by drying they slaughtered, and the remainder, amounting to the number of 24,400 odd, perished for want of water. The whole were thrown from the ramparts of the fort on to the glacis on the side of the hill [on which it stood], and the whole face thereof, for a depth of some forty gas [ells], was completely strewn with the carcases of the dead animals, so that not a yard [of space] of the whole hill could be seen for them.

Orders were given so that, for the people of the fortress, a stated allowance of water, grain, and other provision was fixed, to each man half a man [about a gallon, or rather less] of water, and a man of grain; and to Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, one man of water-half for [his own] drinking. and half for the purpose of his ablutions. There was no horse in the fortress but one, the private horse of Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, for the use of which the water expended in the Malik's ablutions used to be set aside, and was collected in an open vessel so that the animal might drink it. When a period of fifty days had expired, the party which had been stationed to guard the reservoir of water gave intimation that not more than one day's supply remained in it; and a person, from the fortress, went away, and informed the Mughal troops of that circumstance. Malik Kutb-ud-Din. Husain, when he ascertained the fact, convened the males of the people of the fortress at the time of afternoon prayer, and proposed that, the next morning, at break of day, they should put all the females and children to death with their own hands, and throw open the gateway of the fortress, and that every man, armed with a naked sword, should conceal himself in some place within the fortress, and, when the infidel Mughals should enter it, they [the Musalmans], with one accord, should fall upon them with their swords, and should continue to fight them until they should attain the felicity of martyrdom.

All pledged themselves to this, and submitted their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> About 8 lbs. This weight varies in the different countries and districts of Persia, Afghānistān, and India, from 8 lbs. to 40 lbs.

The I. O. L. MS., No. 1952, the Hamilton MS., and the Ro. As. Soc. MS. have instead of محمد "should put them to death"— "should strip them naked"! This shows the danger of trusting to a single MS., or even two, and the absurd mistakes made by ignorant scribes, who, in this instance, wrote the adjective qualifying sword twice over.

hearts to martyrdom; and this determination became fixed in their minds, and they were taking leave of each other. until, at the time of evening prayer, Almighty God, the Most High and Holy, unclosed the door of His mercy [upon them], and, out of His boundless beneficence, sent clouds, so that, on the summits of the mountains around about, and parts adjacent, until midnight, the rain of mercy descended, and the snow of compassion fell, in such wise, that, from the army of the infidels without, and the champions of the faith within the fortification, a hundred thousand exclamations and cries arose in wonderment at the succour of the Most High God. The people of the fortress, who had withdrawn their hearts from existence, and washed the hand of hope of life, and who had endured the thirst of fifty days, and during that time had not drunk the sharbat of their fill of water, drank from the coverings of the tents and sāyah bāns, so much snow water, in satisfying their longing, that, for a period of seven days after, smoke issued from their throats along with their saliva.

When the Mughal forces beheld that Divine assistance, and witnessed the bountifulness of the Creator, they knew that the people of the fort had saved at least a month's supply of water, or even a two months' supply, that the month of Tīr [the fourth solar month] was come to its close, and that, without doubt, in the winter season, snow would fall successively. The following day, therefore, they abandoned their position before the fortress and raised the investment, and went to hell until the following year.

When the new year, 619 H., came round, again the Mughal forces from Khurāsān, Ghaznīn, and Sistān, entered the different parts of the mountain tracts of Ghūr. After the disaster which befel Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Khwārazm Shāh, a force from the Mughal armies, amply equipped

In most copies of the text عليه —a cooking-place, a kitchen, etc.—and in the printed text بطبع has been used for تطبع The greater number of the copies of the text have 618 H., but, as Sultan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The greater number of the copies of the text have 618 H., but, as Sultan Jalal-ud-Din's defeat happened, not in the seventh month—Rajab—of that year, as generally stated, for the reasons already given in note <sup>2</sup>, page 1049, but in Shawwal, the ninth month, the second attack on this fortress, if it happened in the beginning of a year, must have happened in the beginning of that of 619 H.; and it is subsequently stated that, with a winter intervening, it was taken in 620 H.

and provided, and [consisting of] cavalry, and infantry, and Amirs, beyond computation, appeared at the foot of the fortress of Saif-rūd, and pitched their camp; and hostilities commenced. As Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, had had opportunity, and had constructed reservoirs, and collected vast store of provision, he fought many encounters with the Mughal troops, and used strenuous efforts against them; and, the greater the efforts and endeavours the infidels put forth, the stronger became the affairs of the fortress, and the more intrepid grew the warriors of the faith. On this occasion, the fighting continued, and they kept up the investment, for a further period of two months, and, in no way, could they obtain possession of the fortress.

After that [period of time], the infidels turned their faces towards treachery and deceit, and entered into the gate of peace, and propounded words of amity. As the people, for a considerable time, had suffered the disquietude and care of a fortress, out of eagerness for gold, and clothes, and cattle, at a cheap rate, they were agreeable to an accommodation. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, used to dissuade them greatly from entering into a truce with infidels, but the people had become wearied and exhausted, and the fate of some of them was near at hand. His expostulations were of no avail; and, in the end, a truce was agreed to, on the stipulation that, for a period of three days, the people of the fortress should come into the Mughal camp, bring the commodities they possessed and dispose of them, and take away the gold and silver the price thereof, and purchase such cattle and woollen garments as they required; and that, after the expiration of three days, the Mughal troops should march away from before the place. When the truce had been ratified, the people of the fortress conveyed all such commodities as they possessed into the camp of the accursed ones, and for a period of two days bought and sold what was necessary, and not a Mughal infidel, or any one else, annoyed any person whosoever. When the night of the third day came, the infidels concealed a great number of armed men behind rocks, [bales of] clothes, pack-saddles of animals, and in the old gullies and ravines

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The contingent of the Karlügh chief, Arsalan Khan of Kaialik, is doubt-less referred to here.

about their camp; and, when the morning of the third day broke, the people from above descended from the mountain and mingled as before among the infidels in their camp. All at once they [the Mughals] beat kettledrums and raised a shout, and every Mughal infidel and renegade, who was buying and selling with the Musalmāns, seized, on the spot, those Musalmāns and slew them, with the exception of the persons whose lives Almighty God had spared; and all who had arms with them, or displayed knives, them they first deprived of their weapons, and then slew them.

At this place an incident occurs, and a piece of advice for observers and readers offers; and it is this: There was a leader among the soldiery [in the fortress], a Nishāpūrī, a thorough man, whom they were wont to style Fakhr-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Arziz-gar [the worker in tin], one among the followers of Amir Ḥabashi-i-Nezah-war, who was, at this time, in the fortress of Saif-rūd, in the service of Malik Ķutb-ud-Din, Ḥusain. He [Fakhr-ud-Din] also had gone into the camp of the Mughals, and was buying and selling; and, in the leg of his boot, he had a knife in shape like a poniard. A Mughal, who was trafficking with him, desired to seize him, but he laid hand on his knife, and drew it out of the leg of his boot. The Mughal stayed his hand from him, and Fakhr-ud-Din again placed his foot to the mountain, and returned in safety to the fortress.

The warning [here conveyed] is, that it behoveth not a man, in any case, to be passive in the matter of his own safety, particularly when in a place he may be holding parley with a foe, or be in the company of an enemy; and he should see to his own preservation for some useful purpose, and not be without a weapon: for the rest, the protection of the Most High God is sufficient to preserve whom He wills.

Trustworthy persons have related that two hundred and

<sup>7</sup> Workers in tin are not generally "leaders" of soldiers, and the word here used, viz., "Sipah-Sālārs," is that also applied to the commander of an army; but, of course, the context shows what is meant here.

B Here is a good proof how wrong are the ideas of some persons as to the Musalmāns and their religion, that all must be, and is left to fate, and that no effort must be made on their own parts to help themselves. Our author here describes the teachings of his religion.

eighty men of note and heads of families, valiant men, fell captive into the hands of the Mughals [upon this occasion]: and, such a disaster having befallen the people of Islam, there was not a dwelling [in the place] in which there was not mourning.10 On the occurrence of this misfortune the Mughal Nū-ins employed emissaries to propose that they [the people of the fort of Saif-rūd] should ransom their own people; but Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, did not consent. When the Mughals understood that, on this occasion, the people of the fortress would not take the bait of treachery, they, on the following day, bound all the Musalmans who had become captive—ten and fifteen together-and killed them with sword-wounds, stones, and knives, until they made martyrs of the whole of them. The next day, the Mughals made preparations to renew the attack; and Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, the night before the attack, gave directions so that all the great [blocks] of stone [lying about] on the face of the hill near the khāk-rez 1 of the fortress were speedily placed in such a manner that the touch of a child would move them from their places and send them rolling down. More than a hundred great stones as big as mill-stones, and hand-millstones, fastened to beams of wood, at the extremity of each beam a millstone, they had drawn out; and those beams were fastened to the battlements of the fortress by The whole of the men of the fortress were divided into two bodies: one half were concealed on the top of the ramparts, behind the battlements, and the other half outside the fortress, at the foot of the ramparts, behind the great blocks of stone. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, enjoined that, until the sound of the kettledrums of the fortress arose, not a person should show himself.

All things having been arranged in this manner, at dawn the next morning, all at once, the Mughal forces—great and small, Amirs and common men, infidel Mughals and renegades, armed at all points—issued from their camp,

This tends to show what these forts were—in reality, fortified towns in themselves.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The "Printed Text," which is so much to be depended on, and so very correct, upon occasions, has خزائي

<sup>1</sup> An artificial mound. See page 1039, note 8.

and turned their faces towards the fortress. For example, there were more than 10,000 valiant men under shields,2 whom they brought upwards. The Musalmans had given them time, so that they ascended more than the distance of two arrow flights towards the fortress, and not a man of the Musalmans appeared in view. When between the infidels and the Musalmans about one hundred yards of the side of the hill remained, they beat the kettle-drums within the fortress, and the holy warriors and champions-leaders and common men-all raised a shout, cut away the millstones, beams, and ropes, and sent the great stones rolling down. Almighty God so willed it, that not a single individual among the infidel force should escape being killed. wounded, or disabled; and, from the summit of the hill to the base of the same, Mughals and renegades lay prostrate together, and a great number of the Mughal grandees, Nū-ins, and Bahādurs, went to hell.

The remainder of the Mughal army arose and retired from before the foot of the fortress. This victory, bestowed through the grace of Almighty God, according to the promise: "It is a duty incumbent on Us to help the Believers"—took place on Thursday, in the year 620 H.

On Sunday, the 12th of the same month, they [the Mughals] sprung an ambuscade against the fortress of Tūlak, and made determined attacks upon it; and, on

The words, or compound word, here used, differ considerably in different copies of the text, but one has plainly another —another —and two others —and all three last are probably intended for the first, which is the name of a description of shield or buckler made of buffalo hide; and this would signify men under bucklers, as rendered above. The Printed Text has

Every copy has fort—ib—instead of hill—but the error is palpable. The Mughals were within about one hundred yards of the foot of the walls when the great stones were sent rolling down upon them, and they had no chance of gaining the top of the fortress. Had they been able to reach that they might have captured the place.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Here is one of the justly "vaunted impregnable castles and fortresses" which were *not* "without exception captured," as the Kāshghar Mission Ilistory informs us they were.

The month is wanting in every copy of the text collated, but, from what has been stated at page 1065, that in the first month of the year 619 H. the Mughals set out to invest it the second time, and that this happened in 620 H., the fortress must have held out over a year.

As usual with our author, this circumstance he leaves out altogether in his

that day, the infidels lost great numbers of men killed before that fortress; and then they retired.

When the infidel Mughals had withdrawn from Khurāsān, and the jibāl [mountain tracts] of Ghūr and Khurāsān had become clear of that host, Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, resolved upon retiring into Hindustan, together with other Maliks of Ghūr, such, for example, as Malik Sarāi-ud-Din, 'Umr-i-Kharosh,' from the territory of Jar, and Malik Saifud-Din, and others, all joined him, and, with their families and dependents, set out. By destiny's decree, a force from the infidel Mughals' main army was nominated [about this time] for the purpose of ravaging Khurāsān, and, at the head of that army, was a Mughal of note, whose name was Kazil Manjuk; and it entered Khurāsān. From the side of Hirāt and Isfizār it advanced to the foot of the fortress of Tülak,\* and every Musalman the Mughals found within the fortress [of Saif-rūd] they martyred, or made captive. There they obtained information from the captives of the departure of Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, with other Maliks of Ghūr, with their families and dependents, and their followers. They set out after the Ghūri forces, and, on the banks of the river Arghand, discovered them, engaged in constructing a bridge over that river, in order that they might pass over the troops, families and dependents, and Suddenly and unexpectedly, the Mughals came upon them. Malik Saif-ud-Din, with his followers, sought

account of the fortress of Tülak already given, but gives it here in the account of Saif-rūd; and, since the month is not mentioned in the preceding paragraph, it cannot be gathered from this. See page 1061.

- This term is both written Kharoshi and Kharoshti, as well as Kharosh. See pages 433, and 493.
- 7 Thus in the best and in the greater number of copies of the text, but in others and respectively. It is some district in Ghūr evidently, but no such place has been before mentioned in this work.
- § Our author must mean from the side of Isflzār and Ḥirāt, as going from the latter to the former place, or in its direction, would be moving farther from the river Arghand. The fortress of Saif-rūd must, from this, have been abandoned in a defenceless state.
- Not the "river Arghand-āb"—Urgundab, or Urghundab, is entirely out of the question, and, indeed, it may be said that no such river exists—āb itself means river and water, and we might as well say the river Arghand river or water, which is the real signification of "river Arghand-āb." The word Arghand signifies angry, full of rage, impetuous, bold, etc., and thus denotes what the river is.

the protection of the hills, and so remained safe, and again retired towards the mountains of <u>Ghūr</u>. Malik Sarāj-ud-Din, 'Umr-i-Kharosh, stood to fight, and was martyred; and Malik Ķutb-ud-Din, Ḥusain, by great stratagem,' dashed his horse into the river, and, with a few men, emerged from it [on the opposite bank]. All the rest of the Amirs of <u>Ghūr</u>, chieftains, and warriors, and the females, all attained martyrdom, including the sisters, daughters, and kinsfolk of Malik Ķutb-ud-Din, Husain.

From thence the Mughal army returned again towards Ghūr and Khurāsān.

# THE FALL OF THE FORT OF ASHIYAR, OF GHARJISTAN, AND OTHER FORTRESSES.

Trustworthy persons have narrated, that, when the Chingiz Khān determined to advance from his camp at the Pushtah [hill] of Nu'mān of Tāl-kān of Khurāsān towards Ghaznin, he left behind there his baggage and heavy materials, and his treasures, because it was impossible for wheeled carriages to be taken into the defiles and passes

I Some of the best copies of the text have بيلة اسيار with, or after much fighting, and some others have بنيار المسلخ with a numerous following, but I read it المسلخ by much or great stratagem. The reason for so doing is that it is said that the Malik who stood to fight was killed, and that Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, with a few followers, reached the opposite bank. It is possible many persons may have been drowned in crossing, but our author does not say so. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, had probably heard of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din's feat on the Indus, and here followed his sovereign's daring example.

It was this same Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, who recovered the body of his wounded Sultān, 'Alā-ud-Din, Utsuz, mentioned at page 416, which see, and gained great renown in India. At last he fell a victim to ingratitude, or, as some state, intrigue on the part of Ulugh Khān. See pages 702, 798, and 822.

The best Paris copy of the text always blunders at this name, respecting which there is not the shadow of a doubt, and turns it into "Ghazistān:" the scribe appears to have imagined that Ghuzzistān was meant. Here is another proof respecting the position of Tāl-kān, and also another proof against a siege of any such fortress as Bāmīān, which is said to have stopped the Mughal Khān on his way to Ghaznīn. No other author whosoever mentions his having left his heavy materials, baggage, and wheeled carriages, behind at this place, and no other writer enters into such interesting and valuable details respecting these strongholds, and the doings of the Mughals in these parts.

Barjistān is a district or province, once an independent principality of Khurāsān. See page 341.

of Gharjistān, by reason of the very mountainous nature of that country, and the impracticability of the roads. When the Mughal army moved towards Ghaznin, only a small force was left behind for the protection of the heavy materials, baggage, and wheeled-carriages. The fortresses of Gharjistān of Khurāsān were near by, namely, the fortresses of Rang, and Bindār [Pindār], Balarwān, Lāghri, Siyā-Khānah, Sabekji, and Ashiyār. The most of these fortresses are galleries on the faces of the mountains, in such wise that the rain falls upon the inhabitants of those strongholds, and springs of water flow forth in front of the galleries of [forming] these fortifications.

Within the fortress of Ashiyār was a Gharjah Amīr of great determination and energy, and his name was Amīr

In some copies of the text the name of this fortress is written wip—Rang, and in others wip—Zang. The former appears the most correct, according to the most trustworthy copies of the text. See page 1003.

Here, as at page 115, the name of this fortress is written in some of the less trustworthy copies of the text, Yalarwan, with 1 for —one has Birwān—and one which may be read in various ways. See also page 436. It is the stronghold in which Khusrau Malik, the last of the Mahmūdi Sultāns of Ghaznīn, was confined, and, subsequently, put to death, together with his son, Bahrām Shāh, who was kept in captivity within the walls of Saif-rūd of Ghūr.

<sup>6</sup> A native of this place was feudatory of Lakhan-or in 642 H. See page 739.

At page 416, this fortress, in some copies, is styled Law Satā-Khānah as well as Law Siyā-Khānah. Here, however, the different MSS. vary still more, for, whilst two of the three best [the oldest abruptly terminates at page 1026] here have Law Satā-Khānah, and Law Shiyā or Shiā-Khānah, others have Law Shinā-Khānah, and sla Law Sā-Khānah, and some, the more modern copies, turn it into Sangah, which is a totally different place, in Mandesh, not in Gharjistān. See pages 331 and 340.

At page 363, the name of this fortress is as above, in the best copies of the text, and in others varies considerably, as stated in the foot-note; but here one of three oldest and best copies has what may be read either Sanbagji or Sanbakji, or Sabankji, whilst another of the three best copies has without any diacritical points whatever. Baihaki mentions a fort of Sabekh—as somewhere near Ghaznin, probably west of it, but the latter must be a different place.

Our author has described these famous strongholds so plainly that, should ever an opportunity offer of exploring these parts, of which we know comparatively nothing, there will not be much difficulty, from their peculiarity, in finding them. They appear to be excavations in the rocks something after the fashion of the excavations near the present Bāmiān.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is to say, a native of Gharjistan.

Muhammad, the Maraghani. As there was a vast amount of wealth, and also innumerable captives, and numerous horses, in the Mughal camp [at the Pushtah-i-Nu'mān], Amir Muhammad-i-Maraghani, with a strong force, started from the fortress of Ashiyār, and seized upon as many wheeled carriages, laden with gold and other wealth, as he possibly could, from the Mughal camp, set a great number of captives free, and obtained possession of many horses. On one or two occasions Amir Muhammad performed such like feats of daring, and displayed similar determination.

When the Chingiz Khān set out from the territory of Gibari towards Turkistān, and despatched his son, Uktāe, towards Chūr, Uktāe, that winter, fixed his camp between Firūz-koh and Chaznin, and sent out bodies of his forces in every direction, as has been previously recorded. The

- <sup>2</sup> See page 1003. He was the ancestor of the Kurat dynasty.
- 3 Which it is almost needless to state will not be found chronicled in any pro-Mughal history.
  - 4 See page 1047.

Strange to say, our author, although he refers in detail to the despatch of Uktāe with an army, never refers, in the most remote manner, to Chaghatāe and the force under him, nor will any reference to it be found under the reigns of Kabā-jah or I-yal-timish. I will, therefore, notice, as briefly as possible, what the subsequent writers mention on the subject.

Alarming accounts, as our author also mentions at page 1084, reached the Chingiz Khān respecting the state of affairs in Tingkūt and Khiṭāe in consequence of his prolonged absence in the west, and that the Tingkūtīs and Khiṭā-īs were preparing to throw off the Mughal yoke. Having held counsel with his sons, the Nū-yīn, Karāchār [the ancestor of Amīr Tīmūr], and other Nū-yīns and chiefs, he determined to despatch a force to endeavour to find out Sulṭān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, wherever he might be, for his existence troubled him, and whose prowess and energy he feared. It was further determined that this army, which was to be very powerful, should push on as far as the limits of Kich and the Mukrānāt [i.e. the Mukrāns], and the frontiers of Hind. This army was put under the command of Chaghatāe, and he was directed to utterly devastate and ruin the countries through which he passed, in order that the Sulṭān might have no means of acquiring strength or resources, or of recovering himself, and be completely crippled.

A second army was to be placed under the command of Uktãe, which was to advance from the valley of the river of Sind towards Ghaznin, and was to devastate the country in that direction, and so utterly destroy that city that there should be no more inducement for Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din to return there. But our author's account, as given above, of the movements of this force, is much more clear. It was also intimated that, towards the close of the cold season, the great urdū would be moved towards Turān Zamin.

The army under Chaghatae, which was the most numerous, penetrated into Sind and the Mukranat, but, strange to say, not one of the pro-Mughal writers

# Nū-in Abkah, who was the Amir of 10,000 Manjanik-chis

<sup>6</sup> See page 1047.

referred to above, and previously, says by what route it went, and no reference is made to it either under the reign of Kabā-jah or I-yal-timigh. This army is said to have overrun the whole of the territories in question, and to have wintered [the winter of 619-20 H.—A.D. 1222-23], within the limits of a territory named Kālinjar—الجر—on the banks of the Sind river [but the name is also written المنابع — Kānjar, and even المنابع — Lanhar, the letter — in the latter, however, is without points, and may be intended for j, ch, or kh. The Rauzat-us-Ṣafā calls it Kālanji — ألمنابع إلى المنابع ألم

The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh says he passed the hot season on the confines or frontiers of but this name, being without points, is unintelligible, and that the ruler of this part was the Sālār, Ahmad.

The fort in which Isrā'īl, the Saljūķ, was imprisoned and died, referred to at page 117, and note , is spelt like the first name mentioned, and with long a المنوب — and the word النوب in the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā may have been Voriginally. This fort lay, we are told, in the Multān province, and was subsequently called Talwarah, but the place where Chaghatāe's army wintered is said to have been on the banks of the Sind.

Whoever this Salar Ahmad was, he is said to have done his utmost to supply the requirements of the Mughals, and the subsistence of that great army. It, however, became prostrated with sickness through the unhealthiness of the climate [in the "Mongols Proper" this sickness, by mistake, is transferred to his father's army !], and also impeded with a vast number of captives, in such wise that, in every tent [or dwelling, or hut—the word used is khānah], there were from ten to twenty, or twenty to forty, and they had the task of bringing and preparing the food of the army. In this sickly state of his troops, Chaghatae issued commands for each captive to clean 400 manns [of 4 sers or 8 lbs. each] of rice—and this shows they were in a rice-growing country—and the task was completed within the following week. His next command was to massacre the whole of these Hindus [sic in MSS.], and, by the next morning, they were all killed, and their bodies lay about in great heaps. unjust to call those times the dark ages! The Mughals, barbarians and infidels as they were, carried on war as it was carried on by "Christians" in the years of grace 1877 and 1878.

Whether the object of this massacre was to prevent an outbreak among the captives in the weak state of his army, who can tell? Another strange thing is that, throughout the year 619 H., and in the hot season of 620 H., Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn was in the countries on the Indus which constitute the present Panjāb, had defeated the Khokhars, and afterwards gained their alliance, and had overthrown Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Kabā-jah, before Ūchchah. Towards the latter part of 620 H., Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn had come by way of Multān and Ūchchah to Shīwstān, the modern Siḥwān, and into Lower Sind, remained there several months, sent an expedition as far east as Nahrwālah in Guzarāt, and only moved from Sind, by way of Mukrān, in 621 H., on his way into 'Irāk, on hearing of the movement of a numerous army of Mughals, which, if the accounts of these writers are correct, must have been this very army. See

# [catapult workers], he nominated to proceed [with his men]

note \*, page 293. It is therefore difficult to imagine whereabouts "Kālinjar" was, "near the banks of the Sind," where Chaghatāe and his army could have passed the winter of 620 H., and still more so that he could obtain no information respecting the Sultān, as will be mentioned presently; he could not have searched very diligently for him. It would have been a grand opportunity for the Sultān to have fallen upon the Mughals had he known the state they were in.

To return to the movements of <u>Chaghatāe</u>. He, finding no trace of the Sultān or his whereabouts, as soon as his troops had somewhat recovered from their sickness, determined to return, and set out, accordingly, on his way back to Turān-Zamīn. It is a long march from the territory of Mukrān to the Hindū-Koh, and yet the Mughal historians say not one word respecting the route followed.

I find the author of the "Mongols Proper," pages 90 and 91, quoting Wolff on this very subject, but, as Wolff often makes strange statements, one of which is contained in the passage referred to under, and as he gives no authorities, his statements are not very reliable. The passage is this: "While Jingis retired northwards his son Jagatai [this is the "Mongol Proper" name for Chaghatāe, probably] made a raid into Kerman in pursuit of Rokn-ud-din, a brother of Jalal-ud-din [!]. He advanced as far as Tez [according to Abū-Isḥāk, the Istakhuri, Tiz is a seaport in Mukrān], on the borders of the Indian Ocean, passed through Beloochistan [which, being an entirely modern name, will not be found in any early author], where he wintered, and where he also lost a large number of his soldiers, and returned by the mountain land of the Afghans [this last clause of the sentence must also be Wolff's own. The land of the Afghāns in that day was very small], where he was joined by Bela Noyan," etc., etc. See page 281, and note 5.

I must now notice the proceedings of the army under Uktāe, which are but slightly alluded to by the writers I take this from; but our author supplies some details not mentioned by them, as they, writing while in the employ of Mughal sovereigns, only cared to chronicle successes.

Uktāe, having marched from the valley of the Sind river, reached Ghaznīn, and all the offers of submission and obedience tendered by its inhabitants were of no avail [the writers appear to have forgotten that they previously stated that, on his advance to the Indus, the Chingiz Khān had "left Maḥmūd, Yalwāj, at Ghaznīn as his Dāroghah." What had become of him in the meantime?], because Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn was still alive, and a source of anxiety to his foes, who feared he would make head again; so Ghaznīn was sacked and totally destroyed, its inhabitants massacred, and the parts through which he passed were devastated, and all buildings utterly destroyed. Ghaznīn never recovered this. Uktāe, after this feat, when the season arrived, proceeded by way of the Garm-sīr of Hirāt, and set out for Māwarā-un-Nahr. For the further movements of the Chingiz Khān and his sons on their return homeward, see page 1081.

Surgeon-Major Bellew, in his Kāshghar Mission History, referring to "Changiz" sending his son "Aoktáy in mid-winter to Ghazni and Ghor, there to wipe out in the blood of the people the disaster his troops had suffered at the hands of Jaláluddín at Parwan," which he is said to have done so effectually during a "campaign of two years," that "the aboriginal Aryan stock" were annihilated, considers the Hazára "still pure Moghol in race type, and

against the fortress of Ashiyār, and that body advanced to the foot of that stronghold, and the attack commenced; and, for a considerable time, they assailed it.

When they found that, through the vast strength of the fortress, and the brave men [within it], it was impossible to take it [by force], they sat down before it [and blockaded it] for a period of fifteen months—but God knows best—and, through scarcity of provisions, the people within the fortress became reduced to great straits. As long as there were provisions and flesh, they used to consume them; and, when food of that kind failed, affairs reached such a pitch that they were wont to eat the flesh of whoever was killed, or who died, to that degree, that every person used to keep his killed and dead for curing and eating. Some have related after this manner—the narrators are responsible for correctness—that there was a woman of the minstrel class in the fortress of Ashiyār. She had a mother and a female slave. Her mother died, and she dried her body; and her

many of their customs," but who "know nought of their antecedents," as "the descendants of the army of occupation left there by him." History, however, shows that there were many of the so-called "Aryan stock" in that part for some centuries after Uktae's campaign, but it is not to be wondered at that they should be lost, when Tājziks are supposed to be Scythians, Dilazāk Afghāns "Rajpúts" and "Buddhists," Kākar Afghāns Panjābi "Gukars," and the people of Irani descent to be "foreign Aryans." There is not the least proof, that I am aware of, that the Chingiz Khan left any of his Mughal troops in Ghur, but the direct contrary is shown by what our author states, and from the proceedings at the commencement of Uktae's reign. This "famous tribe of Hazára," as Mr. Dowson styles them, without doubt, derive this "designation," however incorrect in fact, from hazarahs [this is the mere Taizik rendering of the Turki ming, the name applied to bodies of Mughak, and others of Turkish descent, numbering a thousand men generally. See page 1093] permanently located in the tract in question, but they were sent thither many years after, and about the same time that others, the descendants of whom now figure as the Chahar I-mak, were sent. One of the hasarahs moved into the part in question, from the territory of Balkh, was that of the Nū-yin Mūkā of the tribe of Karāyit, but they were not Mughals, but Turks, and it was located round about Badghais, and in a short time increased

As to the "Hazárahs," so called, having "entirely lost their language," Elphinstone says, "Why, if they be Moguls, should they speak Toorkee?" See note at page 874. If some one acquainted with the history and traditions of the Turks, Tättärs, and Mughals, were to institute inquiries among some of their educated men, I have no doubt but that they would be able to furnish us with sufficient information to trace their antecedents pretty clearly, or their descent, at least. I shall have more to say about them hereafter.

female slave likewise died, and she dried her body also. She sold the flesh of both of them, so that, from the two corpses, she acquired two hundred and fifty dīnārs of pure gold. At last she also died.

When a period of fifteen months and ten days had expired, about thirty men only remained alive within the fortress. They seized Amir Muhammad-i-Maraghani, and martyred him, and threw his head near to the camp of the Mughal forces, in hopes of their own deliverance. When the Mughal troops beheld this occurrence, they at once assaulted the fortress and took it, and martyred the whole of those within it.

During this period [of the investment of Ashiyār] they [the Mughals] captured the other fortresses of Gharjistān likewise, so that, during the year 619 H., all the strongholds of Gharjistān were taken; and they sated the hearts of the Mughals with slaughter.

May the Most High God continue the gates of victory and success open unto the servants of the kingdom of the present sovereign, SULTĀN NĀṢIR-UD-DUNYĀ WA UD-DIN, ABŪ-L-MUZAFFAR-I-MAḤMŪD SHĀH, for the sake of His prophet and his race!

ACCOUNT OF THE RETURN OF THE <u>CH</u>INGIZ <u>KH</u>ĀN TOWARDS TURKISTĀN, AND HIS DEPARTURE TO HELL.

Trustworthy persons have related that the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, at the time when he came into <u>Kh</u>urāsān, was sixty-five years old, a man of tall stature, of vigorous build, robust in body, the hair on his face scanty and turned white, with cats' eyes, possessed of great energy, discernment, genius, and understanding, awe-striking, a butcher, just, resolute, an overthrower of enemies, intrepid, sanguinary, and cruel. The fact that there were astonishing things in several respects concerning him is sufficiently clear and apparent to all intelligent persons. In the first place, he was an adept in magic and deception, and some of the devils were his friends. Every now and again he

This date is simply impossible from his own previous and subsequent statements. The Chingiz Khān did not despatch Uktāe on this expedition until 619 H.; and, as Ashiyār is said to have held out over fifteen months, 620 H. must be the year in which it fell, and the other fortresses likewise.

used to fall into a trance, and, in that state of insensibility, all sorts of things used to proceed from his tongue, and that state of trance used to be similar to that [previously mentioned], which had happened to him at the outset of his rise;7 and the devils who had power over him foretold his victories. The tunic and clothes, which he had on, and wore on the first occasion, were placed in a trunk, and sealed up; and he was wont to take them about with him. Whenever this inspiration came over him, every circumstance victories, undertakings, indication of enemies, defeat, and the reduction of countries—anything which he might desire, would all be uttered by his tongue. A person used to take the whole down in writing and enclose it in a bag, and place a seal upon it; and, when the Chingiz Khan came to his senses again, they used to read his utterances over to him one by one; and according to these he would act, and, more or less, indeed, the whole used to come true.

Besides this, he was well acquainted with the art of divination by means of the shoulder-bones of sheep; and he used continually to place shoulder-blades on the fire, and burn them, and in this manner he would discover the signs of the shoulder-blades, contrary to the shoulder-blade diviners of the 'Ajami countries who inspect the shoulder-blade itself.<sup>8</sup> The Chingiz Khān moreover in [the ad-

<sup>7</sup> See page 954.

The Afghāns, too, as well as some other Musalmān people of Asia, used to practise this sort of divination. One of the Şūfī poets of Afghānistān—of the family of the notorious Pīr-i-Tārīk, or Pīr-i-Roshān, as he styled himself, but not a pure Afghān—Mīrzā Khān, commences one of his mystical poems thus:—

<sup>&</sup>quot;When, with the mind, I examined the shoulder-bone of prediction, I saw that, within unity's area, the community of plenitude dwelleth," etc.

The shoulder-bone of an animal, but more particularly that of a sheep, which, like the Mughals, they also read their auguries by, is termed walaey in Pughto; but the Afghāns do not burn the bone, and merely draw their conclusions from the signs they pretend they see in it. See my "POETRY OF THE AFGHĀNS," London, 1867, page 58.

Rubruquis in his narrative says that on Septuagesima, when they all went in procession to Mangū's dwelling [khargah or felt tent], "as they entered, they saw a servant carrying out the shoulder-bones of rains, burnt black. These he consults on all occasions, be they ever so trivial; as whether he shall admit such a person into his presence. The method is this: he calls for three bones, then, holding them, thinks whether he shall do what he proposed or not. Then he delivers them to be burnt, which is done in two little apartments [or

ministration of] justice was such, that, throughout his whole camp, it was impossible for any person to take up a fallen whip from the ground except he were the owner of it; and, throughout his whole army, no one could give indication of [the existence of] lying and theft. If any woman that they [the Mughals] took in all Khurāsān and the land of 'Ajam had a husband, no living being would form a connexion with her; and, if an infidel [a Mughal] set his eyes upon a woman who had a husband, he would [first] slay the husband of the woman, and then would form a connexion with her. It used to be impossible for falsehood to be spoken, and this fact is clear.

#### ANECDOTE:

In the year 618 H., the writer of this TABAĶĀT, Minhāji-Sarāj, returned from Timrān towards Ghūr. In the fortress of Sangah, which they style Akhūl Mānī,¹ he saw Malik Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain²-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād. Suddenly, his brother, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, [Ḥasan], Ḥabashi-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, to whom they [the Mughals] had given the title of Khusrau of Ghūr—mention of whom has been previously recorded—with the permission of the Chingiz Khān, returned to Ghūr from Tāl-kān; and from him this anecdote was heard.

He stated: "On a certain occasion we came forth from

tents?] near his dwelling. When they are black, they carry them to the Khân, who looks at them; and, if they be cleft lengthways, he may do it (it is enough if one of them be cleft); if across, or round pieces have flown out of them, he must not."

This perhaps is the style of justice the Chingiz Khān was endowed with, which our author refers to—murder a man first, and take his wife after!

1 In some copies, اخول مانى as above, in some Khūl Mānī—خول مانى—but in other copies it is written عوالى مال and عوالى مال Mānī, among other significations, means uncommon, rare, matchless, but what the first word may signify is doubtful, and is not mentioned in connexion with Sangah in other places in this work.

<sup>2</sup> In some copies, Ḥasan, but his brother, Tāj-ud-Dīn, is styled Ḥasan in other places, and this brother, Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain. 'Abd-ul-Malik is evidently their father's title. Ḥabashī is merely a nickname. See pages 368, 394, 1002, and 1006.

\* Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, from the camp at the Pushtah-i-Nu'mān. What

our author says is a clear indication of its whereabouts.

the presence of the Chingiz Khān and sat down in a tent.4 Uklān, the Juzbi, along with whom I had come, together with some other Nü-ins, were also seated there; and the greatest in rank among them all was the Juzbi, Uklan. Some persons brought thither two Mughals who, the previous night, when on guard around about the camp, had gone to sleep [upon their post]. Uklān, the Juzbi, asked: 'What Mughal has brought them?' The Mughal who had done so bent the knee, and replied: 'I have brought them.' The former inquired; 'What offence have they been guilty of? State it.' He replied: 'These two men were mounted on horseback, and I was going my rounds and examining the guards. I came up to them, and found them both asleep. I struck their horses over their heads with a whip to let them [the riders] know they were culprits for being asleep; and I passed on. This day I have brought them up.' Uklan, turning his face towards those two Mughals, said: 'Were ye asleep?' They both acknowledged it, saving: 'We were.' He commanded, saying: 'Put one of them to death, and fasten his head to the locks of the other, and parade the latter round the whole camp, and then put him to death also.' They [accusers and accused] all made their obeisance, and, at once, [the former] carried out the command. I was riveted in astonishment, and said to Uklan, the Juzbi: 'There was no evidence or proof on the part of that Mughal [the accuser]. and, when they [the accused] were well aware that the punishment would be death, why did they confess? for, if they had denied [the charge], they would have escaped being killed.' Uklan, the Juzbi, said: 'Why are you asto-

on props. For a description of them see Rubruquis.

<sup>4</sup> A Tattar or Mughal khargah or tent probably, consisting of felt supported

Uklān and Ughlān are equally correct—the letters & and gh are interchangeable. He was an Ülkünüt Kungkür-āt Mughal, brother of the Juzbī, Sukātū or Sugātū, who commanded the Ülkünüt ming or hazārah, and brother of the Juzbī, Tūlān. They were brothers of the Bat Tingrī, Kokjū, and were the sons of the Nū-yān Manglik, who married the Chingiz Khān's mother. The term juzbī is said to mean true-hearted, and sincere, but our author gives it another meaning. See page 979.

<sup>6</sup> John de Plano Carpini says: "They [the Mughals] shave the crown of the head. They braid their hair behind in two locks, binding each behind the ear. . . . . They highly reverence their lords, and never tell them a false-hood."

nished? You, Tājzīks,' do such things, and tell lies. A Mughal, were a thousand lives at stake, would choose being killed, but would not speak false; but false speaking is your occupation; and, on account of such things, it is that Almighty God hath sent a calamity like us upon you [Tājzīks]."

I have again returned to the relation of this history.

When the Chingiz Khān, after Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mangbarni, Khwārazm Shāh, was defeated, set out in pursuit of the Ighrākis, for Gibari, for a period of three months he halted among those mountains. He used to go out hunting, and for the purpose of coming towards Hindūstān, used, continually, to burn shoulder-blades [of sheep], but used not to obtain permission [from the prognostications], and used not to perceive therefrom omens of victory. His purpose in entering Hindūstān was that, mayhap, he might return back into Chin by way of Lakhaṇawaṭi and Kāmrūd; and, as he used not, from the portents of the shoulder-blades, to obtain dispensation to do so, he used to delay.

There the word Tājzīk is applied to the people of 'Ajam generally, whom the Mughals had a contemptible opinion of, and not to Ghūrīs only. Our author also informs us what Tājīk or Tājzīk signifies, and, in the face of such an authority, and a Tājzīk himself, it is amusing to find that Surgeon Major Bellew has discovered, according to the statement of Capt. T. C. Plowden, B.S.C., in his translation of a book entitled "Kalíd-i-Afghání," that they are "a Scythian people, the aborigines of Afghânistân; they still abound there, as well as in Persia and Turkistán." In his last book, entitled "Afghanistan and the Afghans," page 222, the Doctor has the following on the same subject. "Another principal people of Afghanistan is the Tājik or Tāzik. The term means Arabian, and is applied to anything of Arab origin. . . . But the offspring and descendants of Arabs who married women of the country in which they settled are called Tazik or Tājik"! See also note at page 1076, and note 3, page 304.

In the most trustworthy copies کار تان your occupation," as above: in others, "the business of women."

<sup>9</sup> See the account of Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Bakht-yār-ud-Din, the Khali, in Lakhanawati, pages 560—568.

1 His superstition therefore may be said to have saved India from sharing the fate of other countries, although it is probable he would have met with more combined and systematic opposition there.

In the spring of the year 620 H. [the spring of 1223 A.D.] the Chingiz Khān resolved to move, for the reasons stated in a previous note, towards his native yūrat in Mughalistān, taking the same route as he had entered the Ghaznīn territory by, through Bāmfān and Tukhāristān, and marched to Buklān, or Bughlān, both being correct, where his Ughrūk [the families, the waggons, heavy baggage, felt tents, etc.] had been sent on his advance towards

#### Unexpectedly, swift messengers reached him from Tam-

Ghaznin. Alst says he moved from the vicinity of Parshāwar, by way of the mountains of Nāmiān [sic in MSS., but Bāmiān must be meant], and that the Ughrūk was ordered to march from Buklān to join him on the way to Samrkand. At page 1074, our author plainly states where his Ughrūk was lest, and that he conversed with a person who had but recently lest it [page 1079], and his statement with regard to it cannot be doubted in the least. It was lest at the Pushtah.i-Nu'mān between Tāl-kān and Balkh. The pro-Mughal writers, having made the great blunder of mistaking Tāe-kān of Kundūz sor Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, make all other places agree with it, as in the case of Andarāb, previously referred to. The Chingiz Khān may have had his heavy baggage, waggons, and war materials removed from the Pushtah-i-Nu'mān to Buklān subsequently, after he had determined to return by the same route by which he had come, and most probably after the attacks made upon them by the Gharjah chief, as related at page 1073.

To return, however, to the pro-Mughal accounts. The whole of his forces being concentrated there [at Buklān], the Chingiz Khān continued encamped in the pleasant pasture-lands thereabout during the summer [of 620 H.-1223 A.D.]; and, when autumn came round, having appointed Daroghahs to the different cities of I-ran-Zamin, despatched them [1]. Troops, too, would have been required, but none are mentioned, and the subsequent proceedings, after his death, prove that no Mughal troops were left behind in I-ran-Zamin. i.e., west of the Jihun, and it is very doubtful whether any Daroghahs were. In the beginning of autumn he crossed the Jihun, and marched towards Samrkand, in the vicinity of which he encamped, and there passed the winter [620-621 H. = A.D. 1223-1224]. From Samrkand, Jūji, who, since the investment of the capital of Khwarazm, was ill-inclined towards his brother Chaghatae—our author, however, tells the tale differently from the pro-Mughal historians, as will be seen farther on—and had continued to remain in the Dasht-i-Kibchāk, which had been assigned to his charge, was directed to move, with a portion of his forces, and to keep along the skirts of the mountains to drive the game before him, as a grand hunt was proposed farther in advance.

Chaghatae and Uktae took up their quarters during that winter near Bukhārā, and devoted themselves to fowling and hunting, and sent weekly to their father 50 khar-wars of game. When the spring of 621 H. set in, the Chingiz Khan moved towards Turkistan; and now he showed his fiendish nature in its true colours. He compelled the unfortunate Turkan Khatun, the aged mother of the late Sultan, and the ladies of his family-his wives and daughters, and to whom had been also added the females of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din's family captured after the battle on the Sind-to wend their way on foot [some authors say bare-footed] and bare-headed, in front of his troops on the line of march, and to raise lamentation, as they went along, on the downfall and humiliation of their empire, and the death of those Sultans; and this they were compelled to do until they reached his yūrat, in order, as he affirmed, that people might take warning therefrom. This again was partly the innate hostility of Mughals against the other Turks. Turkan Khatun lived on in this miserable state until 630 H., when death relieved her.

Advancing by regular marches, the Chingiz Khān reached the Shūn, after which Uktāe and Chaghatāe also joined him from their expeditions; and, when he reached a place named Kulān Yāzi—but this name is

## ghāj and Tingit, and gave intelligence that the whole

written Kulan Bazi, and Kulan Tazi, in as many different authors—supposed to be situated in the vicinity of Fanakat, but, apparently, farther N.E., Juji, from the direction of the Dasht-i-Kibchāk, drew near, driving the game on his side before him. The Chingiz Khan now moved towards him, the two half-circles of troops dispersed for the purpose, drawing gradually closer together, and reached a place named Akabar or Akabir-ul-or Akairand styled Ukā-Usi-in the Rauzat-us-Safā [and Oukâeir-Akāir iby Pétis de la Croix, but on what authority does not appear, as, in this particular part of his History of "Genghizcan the Great," he gives none, and makes it out to be "the city of Toncat," where subsequently the kuriltäe or diet was held, but in no history with which I am acquainted, and such as I have named, is any reference made to any city, and such a city as Tonkat or Toncat is never referred to]. The Chingiz Khan now mounted and entered the circle to enjoy the sport, and after he was satisfied his sons were permitted to do the same, and subsequently the great chiefs. The sport over. the remainder of the animals received a brand on one of the thighs, and were allowed to escape. After this Juji presented himself on bended knee, with offerings for his father's acceptance, among which were 100,000 horses, every 20,000 of which were of different colours—dappled grey, white, piebald, bay, and black, his father's troops being in want of horses.

The Chingiz Khan continued encamped in this place during the summer of this year [621 H.], and, all his sons and Amirs having joined him from all parts, including Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah], he now held a great kurīltāe or assembly. He distributed honours and rewards, and put to death a number of the I-ghur chiefs; why is not said, but it no doubt had reference, in some way, to the dismissal of the ulus of the Yiddi-Kūt, mentioned in note 1, page 1101, and evidently refers to what the Tarikh-i-Jahan-gir mentions in a few words, that, on his arrival in this part, he received the submission of the petty rulers around, but that some, who, at the outset, were the first to submit to him, now showed symptoms of hostility, and a body of troops had to be sent to coerce them. Their names are not given. Juit was now allowed to return to his government of the Dasht-i-Kibchāk, and, in the last month of the year 621 H. [Dec.-Jan., 1225 A.D.], after an absence of seven vears, the Chingiz Khan reached his native yurat in Mughalistan—the Chinese say, on the banks of the Tula river-and again enjoyed the society of his wives and children.

At this point I come to a very amusing matter, and which also is a specimen of history-writing taken from translations often second-hand, a somewhat dangerous course of procedure. At page 92 of "Mongols Proper," the author, immediately after stating that "Jagatai and Ogotai went to hunt Kukus and Karaguls (i.e. wild swans and antelopes)"—I have already stated how Chaghatāe and Uktāe employed the winter near Bukhārā—says that, "On the banks of the Imil he (Jingis) was met by two of his grandsons, afterwards very celebrated, namely, Kubilai [he is afterwards styled Khubilai] and Khulagu, one eleven and the other nine years old. They had killed their first game, and, according to Mongol custom, Jingis pricked their middle fingers to mix some blood with their food and drink, a kind of baptism of the chase. Afterwards he gave his army a fête, at a place called Buka Suchiku, and reached his Ordu or home [camp?] in the month of February, 1225." This appears to have been taken from Erdmann, and, at page 99, this fête is again

territories of <u>Ch</u>in, <u>Tamghāj</u>, and <u>Tingit</u>, were in a state of revolt, and that, on account of the very great distance [of the <u>Ch</u>ingiz <u>Kh</u>ān from the scene], those kingdoms were about to pass out of the hands of the <u>Mughal</u> governors. The <u>Ch</u>ingiz <u>Kh</u>ān, on account of this information, became anxious in mind, and he consequently set out on his return by way of Lob and the country of Tibbat.<sup>2</sup>

referred to as a "grand reception," as though a wholly different affair; and, in a note at page 716, on "Jinjis Khan" crossing the Jaxartes, and before the "Kukus and Karaguls" [the kargawal, by-the-bye, is a pheasant, and Shaw, I believe, brought some specimens with him from Turkistān] are referred to, the writer says, "Before leaving Transoxianah Jingis, who had been joined by his sons, seems to have held a grand fête at Benaket or Tonkat. This was in 1224. It is described by De la Croix, but his description is a mere rhetorical display without facts." Now, considering that the author of the "Mongols Profer" has referred to this very "fête" in two other places, and as happening at two different times, and in two different localities, on which side have we "mere rhetorical display without facts"?

The facts of the "baptism of the chase" are these, and no doubt Erdmann, in some way, derived them from the same original source whence also I take mine:—"When the Chingiz Khān reached the neighbourhood of his native yūrat, it is said, Hulākū Khān was nine years old, and Ķūbilā Khān two years older. They both came out to meet him [their grandfather], and, by the way, Ķūbilā had captured a hare, and Hulākū a small deer [with dogs, probably]; and, as it is a custom among the Mughals, on the first occasion of boys capturing game, to anoint the middle finger with flesh and fat of the game, which anointing is termed had anointed the fingers of his grandsons himself, petted them much, and gave feasts and banquets to celebrate the event."

The winter of 622 H. [A.D. 1224-5] was passed by the Chingiz Khan in pleasure and jollity in his own yūrat, but, during this time, news reached him of the hostility of Shidarku, the Hakim or ruler of Kashin, who had assembled a wast army, intending to throw off the Mughal yoke. The historians I quote from appear to have lost sight of the fact that the alarming state of the Tingkut country, or Kashin, as it is also called, and the revolt there, had, as our author says above, brought the Mughal sovereign back from west of the Jihun. The Chingiz Khān now re-assembled his forces, and commenced his march towards the territory of Kashin. It was determined that Chaghatae, with his forces, should guard the rear of the urdu, or, in other words, form the reserve. Tuli, through one of his Khātuns being attacked with small-pox, was unable to accompany his father, and followed some time after, but Uktae accompanied In this same year likewise, and about this time, the news of the death of his eldest son, Juji, in the Daght-i-Kibchāk, reached him. The sons of Uktāe, Kūtān, our author's Kutan, and Kiwak, were now sent back to the yūrat under the care of a trusty person.

What follows next in the account of the Chingiz Khān's movements before his death, in the writers I am quoting, is so different from our author's accounts, that I must make that subject the matter of another note.

<sup>2</sup> These names vary considerably in the different copies of the text, but the above rendering is without doubt correct, though it is only by comparing the

When he reached that territory [Tingit], there was a Khān in the country of Tingit, a man of great energy and intrepidity, and he had an army and munitions and war materials beyond computation; and, on account of the multitude of his troops, the power of his servants, the amplitude of his dominions, the vastness of his riches, wealth, and treasures, he had assumed to himself the name of "the Tingri Khān." On several occasions the Mughal troops

The explorations of Col. Prejevalsky about Lob Nāwar and the mountainrange to the south, the existence of which some people had the assurance altogether to ignore, confirm the correctness of our author's statement, and extent of his information, and also that possessed by the Jesuits.

<sup>3</sup> Our author's account of the events of this period differs considerably from that of the other Muhammadan writers who followed him, and who appear unable, or unwilling, to write aught unpalatable to the Mughal rulers, whose subjects and *employls* they were, and is also very different from the Chinese annals of Gaubil and others. Passing over the little episode respecting the milk-coloured blood of the Tingri Khān, which is much after the fashion of the "Saga-loving Ssanang Setzen's" childish fables, of "the brown-coloured dog with a black muzzle which could prophesy," and the like, the accounts our author gives appear well worthy of credence, and are, no doubt, such as were related to him, as in other instances, probably, by actors in the events he records.

We may therefore receive with some reserve the statements of the pro-Mughal writers who followed our author, and be somewhat sceptical as to the defeats sustained by the Tingri Khān, Shidarkū, on the previous occasions as related by them [See note at page 949]; for, had that ruler been reduced to such a state of helplessness, as they mention, how could he have again managed to acquire such power, and assemble such an immense army?

The following is, briefly, what the other, and subsequent Musalman writers say on the subject.

The Chingiz Khān having reached the territory of Tingkūt, otherwise called Kāshīn, succeeded in possessing himself of the cities of Kām-jīw, Kā-jū, Sujū, and Arūmī or Urūmī, and invested the city of Tingāī or Tangāī— [this is the same doubtless as the Ning-hya of the Chinese, as [] t and [] n may be easily mistaken in MSS.], and set it on fire in several places. Shīdarkū— Ly-Hyen of the Chinese, but never styled "Khakān" in any history I have met with—the Bādshāh of Kāshīn, whom, in the language of Tingkūt, they style by the title of Lī-wān—[the Layau of Europeans] moved from his capital, which, in the Tingkūt language, they style Irkī or Īrikī— [1,1]—and the Mughals call Īrkīā, or Īrikīa—[1,2]—and which is also written Īrkīah—1,1]—with fifty tomāns of troops—500,000—[this is a one-sided statement it must be remembered], and advanced to encounter the Mughal sovereign, who, likewise, made ready to meet him. When they came in contact a desperate battle ensued, and such a vast number were

#### had invaded his territory, but had not overcome him or

slain on the side of Shidarkū, but some say, as is most probable, on either side, that three corpses were found, after the battle, standing on their heads! Among the Mughals it has become firmly established [in their minds?] that, for every ten tomäns—100,000 persons—slain on the battle-field, one of the killed stands on its head [sic in MSS.]. The author of the "Mongols Proper" (p. 102) has got hold of this fable through some foreign translation, but the translator has made a mutidle of it. Certainly "the great Raschid" never made such an error in telling it. Mr. Howorth's version of it is as follows: "The story of Raschid about the man standing on his head is explained by D'Ohsson, who says, that, when the Mongols slaughtered a large number of people, in order to mark the number of the slain, a census in which they gloried, they put a corpse on its head on some elevated point for every thousand killed."! There is nothing like a bold translation perhaps when a person may be in doubt.

At length, Shidarku, unable to make any further resistance, took to flight. much to the joy of the Mughals, who considered themselves fortunate in obtaining this success, and shut himself up in his stronghold, the city of Trikt or Īrikiā, but which Abū-l-Ghāzi. Bahādur, in the Kazān edition of his work, styles Kāchti. The Chingiz Khān remarked that, as Shidarkū had been so utterly defeated in this battle, and his territory devastated, he would have no more strength left to him, since great part of his troops had been slain. So, holding him of little importance, and passing his city without molesting it [he must have left a force to watch it], but plundering, slaughtering, and devastating the territory of Kashin, the Chingiz Khan turned his face towards Khitā, and, when spring came round, he determined to move against the territories of Tingnash-تكناش-[See third para. farther on] and Khurjah-خورجه but, before he could carry out his intentions respecting them, he had an awful dream which warned him that his end was near, and he became very much agitated in mind in consequence. He is also said to have received intimation about this time of the death of the Khalifah, Un-Nāṣir B'illah, who died in Shawwal, 622 H. When he awoke from his dream, he inquired of Baisūkā Ākā. his nephew, the son of Juji Kasar, who was in attendance: "Are my sons Uktāe and Tūli distant or near?" As they were in their own urdūs, with their forces, Baisūkū Āķā replied that they might not be more than two or three farsangs distant. The Khan said: "Let them bring them hither:" and, when they presented themselves the following day, along with the great Amirs, after partaking of the morning meal, the Chingiz Khān turned his face towards the assemblage and said: "I have some counsel to hold with my sons, and a confidential matter which I wish to communicate to them, and desire to be private with them for a short time."

When the Amirs and others who were then present withdrew, the Chingis Khān turned towards his sons and said: "My beloved ones, the time approaches for me to take my last journey, and the period of my dissolution is at hand! By the power of the Almighty, and the aid of Providence, I have acquired and consolidated [not very consolidated west of the Jihūn, at least, and in very few, if in any, places had Intendants even been established, much less troops located, at this period, but certainly there were ample proofs of the butchery and desolation he and his barbarian hordes had committed] for you an empire, so extensive, that from one side of it to the other is one year's journey. I wish to ask of you who, by your counsel, is

#### subdued his country, and many times he had overcome the

the person most befitting to succeed me." Uktae, Chaghatae, and Tult-for he was also present according to some of my authorities, but Jūji had recently died in the Dasht-i-Kibchāk-bent the knee, and replied: - "Our father is sovereign, and we are his servants, and will obey what he commands." The Great Khan replied: "I have implicit faith, in all things, in the wisdom and experience of Karāchār, and desire his opinion, and whom he approves of I will appoint." Having received the opinion of that Nū-yin, the Chingiz Khān directed that the Covenant entered into in by-gone times by Kabal Khān and the Bahādur, Ka-jūli, bearing the Al-Tamghah of Tumnā-i Khān, which had descended to him from his ancestors, and to which his forefathers had also appended their names [see the note on the Turks at page 806] should be brought from the treasury. This having been done, it was shown to his sons; and he continued: - "I name Uktae as Khan, and appoint him my successor, and make over the throne to him. Do ye likewise act in accord one with another, and enter likewise into a Covenant that ye will not deviate from his commands, and that ye will attend his kūriltāes." This they did; and the Covenant was attested by the Amirs and Ministers. He also requested that the mother of Uktae, Burtah Kuchin, should exercise the sovereign authority over the ulūsīs until such time as a kūriltāe should assemble to confirm Uktāe's succession, which would be two years. further commanded that, as the countries of Mawara-un-Nahr and other territories adjoining it had previously been assigned by him to Chaghatae, and as there were ancient [sic in MSS.] foes still existing between I-ran and Turan. namely Sultan Jalal-ud-Din and his brother, he would make over Chaghatae to the paternal charge of Karāchār; and urged that Nū-yin to act towards his son as he had acted before towards himself, his father, and continue to give Chaghatae the benefit of his assistance in the government of the affairs of his dominions. He also caused Chaghatae and Karachar to enter into a Covenant as father and son; and the last-mentioned Covenant was made over to Chaghatae's charge, and that previously mentioned, between the brothers, to Uktae. "The Great Khan further requested, that, when his death should happen, no lamentations whatever were to be made, and that it should be kept a profound secret ['the ruling passion' of treachery was 'strong even in death']; and that as soon as Shidarku, the king of Kashin, should leave his city and come to the Mughal camp, as he had agreed to do, he should be put, at once, to death, in order that firm possession of his territory might be secured. Having said this, he closed his eyes, and thou mightest have said that the Chingiz Khan had never existed."

Alfi, quoting Hafiz Abru, and other authorities, differs considerably from the above in some points. It states that, after settling the succession, at which Chaghatae was not present, the Chingiz Khan requested his sons, Uktae and Tulf, to return to their own tribes and territories, that is such tribes and countries as had been entrusted to them, lest Chaghatae, who was not present, might not act according to his father's commands, and might raise sedition in the empire; and he further urged them, for the sake of his good name and fame, to observe his laws and regulations.

Uktae and Tuli took leave of their father, and returned to their respective posts, while the Chingiz Khan, with a numerous army, marched towards the country of Tingnash سكائي [which may even be more correctly Ningaish \_\_ الكايش It is written in various ways. The Raugat-us-Şafa has Tang-

### Chingiz Khān in battle. At the period that the Chingiz

but the first mode ليكاهي - while others have Biktāsh - نكابع - but the first mode of writing is contained in the majority of trustworthy writers], and Khūrjah When he reached them, the Badshahs of those countries were ready to become tributary, and to submit to him. On reaching a place named Liwak-shan-لبوق شاس-which is on the frontier boundary between Khūrjah, Tingnāsh, or Biktāsh, and Tingkūt, the Bādshāh-also styled Wāli-of Khūrjah [the Kin emperor according to the translations from the Chinese annals, but from what subsequently happens in the reigns of Uktae and Mangū this is contrary to fact] despatched envoys, with numerous and valuable presents for his acceptance, among which was a bowl of the finest pearls, and to tender their sovereign's submission and obedience. The Chingiz Khan commanded that such among those present at that time in his assembly, as had their ears bored, should be presented with pearls, while those, whose ears were not already bored, had them bored very quickly, and received pearls also; and, notwithstanding this, a great number of pearls remained undistributed. The Chingiz Khan commanded, saying, "It is a day of largess: let the pearls be scattered that people may pick them up." This was done; and, in consequence, a number of pearls were lost in the ground, and for a long time after that pearls used to be found there.

About this time Shidarkū, Bādshāh of Kāshīn, who had shut himself up in his capital, Īrtākiā, Īrtiķiā, or Īrikiah, sent an envoy to the Chingiz Khān to intimate that, if the Mughal Khān would enter into a Covenant with him, stipulating for his safety and security, he would, within the period of one month, come in person to his urdū, and present pesh-kash, which is equivalent to doing homage. The Chingiz Khān gave the required guarantees, and confirmed them with most solemn oaths; and the envoy departed.

After the envoy had gone, the Chingiz Khan was taken ill, and grew excessively weak; and, from an awful dream which he had, warning him of his approaching death, he was much disturbed. It was at this time. according to the authority I have named, that he sent for his sons, and appointed his successor: the remainder agrees with the statements of other writers. His death, as he desired, was kept a profound secret; and, when Shidarku, Bādshāh of Tingkut or Kāshin—he is styled so indiscriminately according to the terms agreed upon, left his capital, the city of Irtāķiā, and drew near the Mughal camp, the Nu-yins and Amirs came forth to receive him, and escorted him and his train, as though about to lead him to the presence of the Chingiz Khān, but, on their arriving within a short distance of the urdū, a body of Mughals, posted for the purpose, fell upon Shidarkū and his followers, and butchered the whole of them. An army was then despatched to Irtāķiā, which the Mughals entered, plundered, and massacred its inhabitants, and then desolated the country round. Such is Alfi's account.

The death of the Chingiz Khān took place on the 4th of Ramazān, 624 H.; in the Turkish year of Tungūz or the Hog, which was the year of his birth, his ascending the throne, and of his decease, which last date is equivalent to the 16th of August, 1227 A.D. A few writers say 623 H. He had reigned 25 years, and his age was 75: some authors say 73, but, as he was born on the 20th of Zi-Ka'dah, 549 H. [See note, page 398], he was exactly 75 years, I month, and 10 days old [our author says he was 65 when he came into Khurāsān. See page 1077], whatever Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, or Father Gaubil have said to the contrary; and he was certainly too old to have

#### Khān returned from the land of 'Ajam, and the countries

"coveted" the wife of the so-called "Shidurgho," as we are told he did in "Mongols Proper."

Having carried out their father's last instructions, the sons of the deceased Khān proceeded to perform the funeral ceremonies according to the custom of their people. There was no secrecy whatever after Shidarkū had been put to death, and his capital secured; and there was no killing every one they met.

Bentinck censures Marco Polo for relating, that, "in his time, the Tartars were accustomed, at the funerals of their Khâns, to slay all those they met in the way, and that they slew all whom they met on the way to the place appointed for the sepulchre of Jenghîz Khân; and that, a little before [true: a little before] his arrival in Grand Tartary, there had been 20,000 persons massacred in that manner, at the interment of Mangu Khân, grandson of the conqueror." Bentinck further remarks, and quite correctly too, that none of the Eastern authors, who have written on the Tartars [Mughals?], charge them with "such an abominable custom." He adds, that "in Grand Tartary"—he means Mughalistān and the Mughals—the inhabitants live so dispersed in their khargāhs or huts, that one might travel several hundred leagues without meeting a thousand. Polo too kills the Chingiz Khān six years only after his defeat of the "Um" Khān, as he styles the Awang Khān, and asserts that he was shot in the knee by an arrow before the castle of Thaigin!

Pétis de la Croix who often quotes "the great Raschid," very correctly says [page 382]: "There is no likelihood that the barbarous custom, which has since been practised amongst the Tartars and Moguls, to kill those they meet in the way, when they are carrying to the grave the body of a Grand Can, was at this time observed; for the historians mention no such thing, and, besides, this custom is not countenanced by the law." The custom of burial among the Mughals is given in detail by our author farther on.

After performing the funeral ceremonies—the preliminary mourning—the bier of the Great Khan was taken up, and his army set out on their return homeward, and the bier was in due time conveyed to his urdis in the locality of his ancient yūrat, which was "within the limits of," not at, Karā-Kuram. The corpse was finally buried at the foot of a large and solitary tree, under which, one day, when following the chase-not when he was "ill"-he had rested. and at which time he remarked: "This place is suitable for my sepulchre." The place in question is called Bülkan Kaldun, according to some authorities. and Burkan Kaldun by others, including Abu-ul-Ghazi, Bahadur Khan, which is merely the change of I for r. After the burial, the place was proscribed against intrusion from one generation to another, the word used to denote it is قروق dr an 'Arabic word signifying "confiscated," "prohibited," "embargo," "ban," etc., and it was called the "the exclusive or especially prohibited place," which words appear to be the translation of Burkan Kaldun. The Tā-ishi, Yasu Bukā, the Uhud Urmangkut of the race of Kaian, was the Korchi or guardian of the spot, which guardianship appertained exclusively to his tribe, who were, in consequence, exempted from all other duties and services.

It is likewise stated, as a wonderful fact, that, in that same year, that plain—thus showing that it was a plain, and neither "a mountain" nor "a cave"—became totally destitute of grass on account of the numerous trees of various kinds which grew up therein, and soon became such a dense forest

#### of Islam, this Tingri Khan held counsel with his Maliks

that one could not pass through it; and, the place being alike inaccessible and interdicted from curiosity, the exact whereabouts of the burial-place of the Chingiz Khān became wholly unknown. Tūli his son, who died about four years after, was also buried there. The Ḥabib-us-Siyar distinctly states that the Chingiz Khān's body was taken back to Karā-Kuram and buried in that neighbourhood, and that no human being was permitted to invade the spot; and this agrees with what other authors state, as given above, and the burial customs of the Mughals.

It is amusing to read, in the face of the statements of authors who wrote their histories in the territories of the Mughal sovereigns, with the best means of obtaining information on such a point, and about which there is absolutely nothing to conceal, the various theories of European writers. Pétis de la Croix, after stating that the spot was proscribed from the visitation of any one. says: "They buried him there [under the tree] with all the pompous ceremonies of the Mogul religion, and afterwards erected a most noble monument in this Place upon his Grave"! Where is the native historian who ever said so, or whoever once mentioned such things as "the pompous ceremonies of the Mogul religion"? All this is purely imaginary. Again he says: "The people, who came to visit the Tomb, planted other Trees round it, which so artfully covered it, and in such beautiful Order, as rendered it in time one of the finest Monuments in the World"! He, however, quotes no authorities for these highly-coloured statements, and, moreover, buries him in " Tangut," which is totally incorrect.

Gaubil says he was buried "in the cave of Ki-nyen, in a mountain to the north of the sandy desert, and that his posterity were also buried there. Several Mughal lords of his posterity, whom he met at Pekin, he says, informed him that the Chingiz Khān was buried on the mountain of Han, in Lat. 47° 54', Long. 9° 3' W. of Pekin. Another writer, not named, says the place of his burial was called Sali-chwen, and that the Chinese word chwen denotes "the Sali to have been a place full of fountains [springs?], lakes, and hills." The statement of Erdmann, p. 444, agrees nearest with the Oriental writers, but D'Ohsson's, vol. 1. p. 381, seems purely mythical.

But why need I mention all this? Has not Professor Forbes himself discovered not only the place of burial, but even the tomb in which the corpse was enclosed? I wonder he did not discover Tūli's tomb also, for he was buried there too. In a paper read before the British Association in September, 1876, he asserts [but what are the proofs?] that it lies "almost a day's journey from Urga, viz, from twenty to twenty-five miles, and that "the tomb consists of a stone structure which is now level with the ground; there is a circle of stone ten feet thick, and one hundred yards in diameter, and in the centre of this there is a circle which has once been a covered building, some fifteen yards in diameter," etc., etc. Did not he "discover" an inscription too? If it is a Mughal tomb, look below ground, not above, seeing what our author and others say with regard to Mughal modes of sepulture.

I must say a few words respecting the wives and children of the Chingiz Khān before closing the notice of him, as European writers have rendered the names of them even more unintelligible than those of his four famous sons,

He is said to have had 500 Khātūns [wives] and concubines, every one of whom was taken from some tribe or other after he had reduced or conquered it. Some were married to him according to the Mughal rites and customs,

#### and Amirs, saying: "The Chingiz Khān is come. On

but most of them were such as had been carried off, and were kept in his karam. Those who were held in the highest respect and esteem were the following five:—

I. BÜRTAH KÜCHĪN— S. She was neither called "Burte Judshin" nor "Burte Fudshin," and consequently, whether "Fudshin" or "Fougin" was the title given by the Chinese Emperors to those of their wives who ranked "immediately after the Empress," or whether not, these names and titles do not appertain to Bürtah Kuchīn, who was the Chingiz Khān's chief wife. She was the daughter of the Nū-yīn, Dāe, the Bādshāh, as he is styled, of the Kungkur-āt Mughals, which was one of the most numerous, and distinguished for valour, as well as one of the proudest of the whole of the Nairūn tribes, one reason for which is stated to have been that, in issuing from Irgānah-Kūn, they led the way, and such was their celerity in doing so, that they burnt their feet on the ironstone not yet become cool.

They are Nairūn Mughals beyond the shadow of a doubt, and yet the author of the "Mongols Proper" informs us, at p. 703, "I have small doubt that they were Turks, for although small clans still survive among the Mongols who are called Khongkiras (i.e. Kunkurat), by Ssanang Setzen, there is no tribe among them which bears the name, while we find that one of the four main divisions of the Uzbegs is called Kiat Kungrat," etc., etc. The author has made a very delightful muddle here. The Kungkur-āts are truly Turks of the Mughal İ-māk, but Nairūn Mughals, of which Kaiāt is one of the two great divisions, and perhaps he is not aware that the Uzbaks are Mughals, whence the term Kaiāt-Kungkur-āt. There are Kungkur-āts, Kankulis, and many other tribes mentioned in these notes, still to be found in Turkistān and Mughalistān. Mīr 'Abd-ul-Karīm, Bukhārī, who wrote in 1222 H.—1807 A.D.—continually refers to them in his work; and some of the Kāshghar Mission actually met a "Kirghiz who was a Naymán," and "Yuldúz Kalmák who are Turgut and Koshot!"

When the Chingiz Khān was defeated by the Makrits, his Kungkur-āt wife was taken captive, and made over by them to the Āwang Khān, their sovereign. She is said to have been pregnant of Jūji at the time; and the Āwang Khān, out of his former friendship for the husband, treated her with respect, and sent her back when the Chingiz Khān demanded her. Jūji was born on the way home; and his appearance on the scene appears to have been unexpected, for his name, given in consequence, signifies "the unexpected guest." I may have to refer to this circumstance again, farther on.

Būrtah Kūchin subsequently bore three other sons—Chaghatāe, Uktāe, and Tūli, and five daughters, who were, in due time, married to different Mughal and other chiefs, who, with a single exception, are styled Gūrgān, signifying, in the Turki language—not the "Chinese," I believe—son-in-law. One of these sons-in-law, a son of the chief of the Kungkur-āt, had previously borne the title of Gūrgān, but I have not space for much detail.

2. KÜLÄN KHATÜN, daughter of Tā'ir Asūn, the chief of the Ūrhār Makrit tribe. Her father submitted to the sway of the Chingiz Khān, and brought his daughter, and presented her as an offering to him. Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, ignores her altogether, in his History, and substitutes Kor-Bāsū, the widow of the Tayānak Khān, who is mentioned as one of his wives of lesser degree farther on. Kūlān Khātūn had a son by the Chingiz Khān named Kūlakān— Jogor Kūlākān— kūlakān— www. was assigned rank, in

# several previous occasions we have fought with him and

every way, equal to the other sons of the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>. He died early, leaving several sons, and one of them, <u>Kūchah</u>, succeeded to his father's rank and position, and the command of the 6000 men, which the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> had conferred upon him.

3. YASSÜKÄN, a Tättär lady, whose name, in some Histories, is written Tassükän, but it appears that the two points of the first letter—1—in this instance have been carelessly written; with the points over instead of under.

She bore a son, who was named Ujar, but he died in his youth.

4. Konjū Khātūn, daughter of the Āltān Khān of Khitāe. She was by no means good looking, but, as her father was the greatest sovereign of that age, she was treated with respect accordingly. She bore no children, and was still living, in her own urdū, in the time of Artū or Artūķ Būkā.

5. YASSŪLŪN, sister of Yassūkān the third wife, but married to the Chingiz

Khan after the death of her sister.

Besides these were other Khātūns, who, although not considered so high in rank or position, were nevertheless treated with great reverence, and sometimes would monopolize the company of their husband. One of these was Anikan-انية-Khātūn, daughter of the Jākambū, also written Jānkabū, the brother of the Awang Khan. His name is said to have been Badae-After the overthrow and death of his brother, he took shelter in Tingkut, where he obtained protection, and was treated with honour. Badshah of that country gave him the title of Jakambū, equivalent to "Dsāmbū," in "Degum Dsāmbū," and "Mathi Dsāmbū," etc., in Tibbati titles. Jākambū signifies "Amir-i-Mu'azzam," and "Buzurg-i-Mamlakat." The Chingiz Khan espoused her, and married one of her sisters, named Biktumish Kuchin, to his son, Juji, and another, Siur Kukibi Bigi, to Tuli, and all four sons of Tuli Khan were by her. After the Chingiz Khan had married Anikah a few days only, in consequence of a dream which he had, he gave her in marriage to one of his Amirs, the Nu yin, Gahti, also called Gati, the Ura-ut [he is turned into "a dyer on the borders of China," in the "Mongols Proper" !], who happened to be the Amir in maiting that night.

Another of the Chingiz Khān's Khātūns was Kor-Bāsū, the widow and chief Khātūn of the Tayānak Khān, Bādshāh of the Nāemāns. She was brought to him sometime after the Tayānak Khān's death; and, according to the Mughal custom, the Chingiz Khān entered into bonds of marriage with

her.

Besides these Khātūns he had many others, the daughters of Sultāns [Mughal and Tāttār Chiefs?] and Amīrs; and he also had a son named Jūrjīn, by a lady of the Nāemān tribe, who died before any other of his children; and another son named Jifān or Arjifān, who died in childhood. His mother was of the Tāttār i-māk.

The Great Khān likewise adopted a boy of the Tingkūt tribe, in his eleventh year, and brought him up; and was wont to style him his fifth son. He was the Nū-yān, Jifān, who had a great name for valour, and was the Bāsh-ligh or Chief of the Khās or Personal Ming—in the Tājzik language signifying Hazārah—of the Chingiz Khān, which was limited to 1000 persons; and from it many of the chief officials and leaders were chosen. In Uktāe Kā'ān's reign, when he was despatched into Khiṭāe, Jifān adopted a son himself, who was also a Tingkūt, named Būrah, taken captive as a child of three years, who was from the urdū of Būrtah Kūchin, as were many other

#### defeated him. Now he has returned, and his forces have

eminent officers serving in the Khāṣ Ming or Hazārah as Sadhahs—leaders of hundreds—but I have not space to mention more than one—the Bāwūrchī, Būrkī, of the Dūrbān tribe, who was the grandfather of Fūlād Āķā, from whom the Khwājah, Raṣhīd-ud-Dīn, the Hamadānī, obtained information respecting his account of the Mughals compiled from the Āltān Daftar, or Golden Record, and verified its contents. Alfī says, quoting other authorities, that Jifān was held in such high esteem and honour, that, in Uktāe's reign, he used to sit higher, on public occasions, than his brother Mangū, afterwards supreme ruler of the Mughal empire.

The Chingiz Khān had yet another adopted son, a Tāttār. When his tribe was attacked and plundered by the hostile Mughal tribes, a little boy was found by them weeping in his cradle. Būrtah Kūchīn, at that time, had borne her husband no children, and she adopted the child, and brought him up. He subsequently rose to high rank: his correct name was Shīkī Kutūkū, commander of the Tāttār Ming or Hazārah. He is one of the leaders who was overthrown by Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn. See page 289.

The total number of the Chingiz Khān's children was thirteen—eight sons and five daughters—and yet Pétis de la Croix says he had "a prodigious number of children."

I intended to have given here a list of the whole of the Chingiz Khān's Mings or Hazārahs, but I have not space for them.

The Contingents instituted by the Chingiz Khān, which were all cavalry, consisted of a Khāṣ or Personal Ming, or Hazārah, a Kol or Centre, a Burānghār, or Right Wing, so styled, and a Juwānghār, or Left Wing, also called the Jūk. The word Hazārah, which is Tājzik or Persian, must not be supposed to be the actual name by which these Contingents were called by the Mughals, for it is not—nor is it the name of a tribe, as Mr. Dowson, in Elliot's Historians [vol. vi., page 368] imagines—"the famous tribe of Hazarah," as he styles them. The word is the mere translation of the Turki word ming, a thousand. The number, too, was but nominal in many instances, since there were two Hazārahs of 10,000 men each, one of 8000, and several others of a higher number than a thousand. See note, page 984.

The Burānghār contained 30,000 men in 22 Hazārahs. Out of these there was one of Ūr̄rāt Mughals [vul. Virats], 4000; one of Bārīn Mughals, 2000; another of Ungkūt Turks, 4000; and one of various Ķaiāt tribes, 10,000. The Nū-yīn, Burjī or Būrjīn, the Arlāt, was its head.

The Juwanghar, consisted of 25 Hazarahs, among which was the Urut Hazarah, 4000 men; the Angiras Kungkur-ats, 3000; the Kungkur-ats, 5000; the Barins, 3000; and another of Kungkur-ats, of 4000. Besides the other Mughal Hazarahs, there was one Hazarah of Kara-Khita-is of 10,000 men, and another styled the Khūrjah Hazarah of 10,000 men. Its head was the Nū-yin Mūkali, the Jalā-ir, surnamed The Ko-yang, signifying in the language of Khitae, the Great Khan.

The contingents assigned to the Chingiz Khān's sons and brothers, and their sons, his mother, and other relatives, amounted to 28,000. His eldest son Jūji's contingent numbered 4000 in four Hazārahs, consisting of the tribes of Sujiūt, Kangīt, Hoshīn, also called Ūshīn, and Suntāe [?]. The contingent of his second son, Chaghatāe, amounted to the same number, also consisting of four Hazārahs, of the tribes of Barlās, Karāyat, Sūnīat, and Sūldūs.

Some recent Indian history compilers have made sad errors in connexion

#### become very numerous, and he is proceeding against the

with these four tribes assigned to <u>Chaghatāe Khān—Chaghatāe</u>'s tribes. These writers have assumed that there was a great and distinct tribe called "Chagtae," or "Chugtar," as a recent author writes it, and a "Chagtae language"! Some have even gone so far [see "The Turks in India," by Henry George Keene, M.R.A.S., Judge of Agra, etc.: London: 1879] as to assert that Bābar, who founded the Mughal empire in India, was not a Mughal, but a "Chugtae Turk." He was a Barlās Mughal [see note, page 898] pure and simple, of the race of Kaiāt. It is quite time such fallacies should be given to the winds.

The contingent of his third son, Uktāe, formed four Hazārahs of the same number as those of his other two brothers, consisting of the Hazārahs of Jalā-ir Yamkālin or Bamkālin [عمالية] a branch of the Sūldūs, Mangkūt, and Sūniat. After Uktāe came to the throne, the whole of his personal troops consisted of men of these four tribes.

The share of Kūlakān, another son of the Chingiz Khān, and to whom he was greatly attached, numbered 4000, in four Hazārahs, consisting of men of the Birlās, and other tribes.

These four Mings or Contingents in all numbered 16,000 horse.

The Chingiz Khān conferred a contingent to the number of 5000 upon his younger brother, Ū-Tigin, or Aw-Tigin, as it is also spelt, consisting of Ūrad Kalangkūts, Baisūts, Jūri-āts, also called Jājar-āts, and small numbers of many of the other tribes not included in the previously mentioned Hazārahs. The contingent of the sons of Jūji Kasār, another brother of the Chingiz Khān, consisted of 1000 men drawn from several tribes; and that of Ilchidāe, or Ilchikdāe, son of Kājbūn, a third brother of the Chingiz Khān, who died in his youth, numbered 3000 horse, consisting of Nāemāns, Uri-angkut, and some other scattered tribes. The contingent of his mother, Ūlūn Ānkah, numbered 3000 Kūrlās and Ūlkūnūts.

At the time of his decease the Chingiz Khān assigned the whole of his Khāṣah, or personal troops, and all the Hazārahs of the Centre, Right, and Left, consisting of 101,000 men, and his yūrats, to his youngest son, Tūlūe or Tūlī, who always continued with him, and who, in his lifetime, commanded them, under himself, hence no separate contingent appertained to him; and, after Tūlī's decease, they fell to the share of his sons, Mangū, Ķūbīlāe, and others.

It must be understood that these were contingents always kept up by the Princes, Nū-yīns, Juzbīs, and Bahādurs, to whom they were assigned, and not as being the entire amount, by any means, of the Chingiz Khān's forces. They were capable of being expanded at any time. That such was usual, we have sufficient proof in the number of troops which Hulākū led into Ī-rān-Zamīn, drawn from a portion of these contingents, some of which subsequently increased very much; and we are told that one of Chaghatāe's Hazārahs, in a short period of time, had increased to the number of 100,000.

I have been particular in mentioning these Hazārahs because, to judge from one of the questions propounded at the Oriental Congress of 1876, great doubt appears to have arisen in the minds of some persons on the subject, and some have even asserted that the real Mughal element in the Chingiz Khān's armies was very small, and that Mughal is "nothing more than a dynastic name adopted by Ghengis to denote the empire which he founded," but, from whiat I have here given, those who know Turks from Tāttārs, and

Altūn Khān of Tamghāj. It is advisable that we should make peace with him, and enter into alliance with him, and that, in concert, we should proceed into the country of Khitā, and overthrow the Altūn Khān." His opinion and that of his Maliks agreeing, this was determined upon, and peace was made by the Tingri Khān with the Chingiz Khān, and a firm treaty was entered into between them.

When the Tingri Khān's heart became tranquil on this union, he came to the Chingiz Khan, and united with him; and the forces of the Tingri Khan combined with the Mughal army, and they turned their faces towards the countries of Chin and Khitā. There was a river the name of which is Karā-Mūr [Mūr-ān?],4 and they crossed it with the intention of devastating the country of Khita. A number of Nū-ins and [other] Mughals represented to the Chingiz Khān, saying: "Our army is moving towards Khitā: if we should sustain a defeat, the troops of the Tingri Khan are likewise our enemies. His territory will be in our rear, and not one of us will reach our own country in safety. is advisable, since the Tingri Khān is among us, that we should slay him, and set our minds at ease respecting him. so that there will not be an enemy behind us, and, with our hearts at rest, we can turn our faces towards the Khitā country." The Chingiz Khan resolved to act upon this

Mughals, and who understand the difference between Badz-ūkis, and Udzukis, Kaiāts and Nagūz, Durāl-gins and Nairūns, will perceive how greatly the Mughāl element predominated, and how fallacious such a statement is.

To sum up :--

The Khās Ming or Hazārah	1,000
The Ming or Hazārah of the Nū-yān Būrji, also	
called the Kol	8,000
The Right, or Buranghar	30,000
The Lest, or Juwanghar	62,000
The Mings or Hazārahs of the sons	16,000
The Mings or Hazārahs of the brothers, nephews,	
and mother	12,000
	129,000

In the "Mongols Proper," on the authority apparently of M. Erdmann, the 101,000 men, including all the Hazārahs here given, with the exception of the contingents of Tüli's brothers, mother, and kinsmen, 28,000 in all, which appertained to Tüli', after his father's death—have been mistaken for, and added as, a separate force, and styled the "Centre under Tului," thus swelling the 129,000 to 230,000, which is not correct. The 8000 Arlāts, too, have been left out.

counsel, and he seized the Tingri Khān, and gave orders to kill him. On the Tingri Khān becoming certain that they would slay him, he said: "Convey a single message from me to the Chingiz Khān, and that message is this: I have not shown any perfidy towards thee. I came to thee under treaty. Thou art acting perfidiously towards me, and art going to act contrary to the covenant entered into with me. Now give ear. When thou slayest me, if from me issues blood white in colour like unto milk, know that three days after me thou diest." When they conveyed this message to the Chingiz Khān, he laughed, and said: "This man has become mad: blood like milk never issues from the wound of a slain person, nor has any one ever seen white blood. It behoveth the more speedily to put him to death."

When the executioner struck the Tingri Khān with his sword, white blood like unto milk issued from the wound; and he perished. When the tidings of this astonishing circumstance reached the Chingiz Khān, the accursed, he quickly arose, and came to the spot; and, when he saw that the occurrence was actually so, it struck his heart, and his strength forsook him; and, on the third day, his heart broke, and he went to hell.

He had made his last request, saying: "It is incumbent that ye slay the whole of the Tingri Khān's people, both male and female, small and great, young and old, and not leave a single person alive." When the Chingiz Khān was departing to hell he had devised the sovereignty to his son Uktāe; and Uktāe turned back, and massacred all the people of the city and territory of the Tingri Khān.

#### II. TÜSHŢ, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHẨN.

Tūshi was the eldest of the <u>Chingiz Khān's sons</u>, and was exceedingly energetic, intrepid, manly, and warlike; and his greatness was to that degree that his father used to stand in awe of him.

In the year 615 H., when Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, had gone forth to devastate the tribes of Kadr Khan

<sup>4</sup> One of the best copies of the text omits the words "of the city and territory."

F See note , page 1026.

of Turkistān, who was the son of Ṣafaktān-i-Yamak, Tūshī likewise, from the side of Ṭamghāj had advanced [with an army] in that direction, and had been engaged in a conflict with the army of the Khwārazm Shāh for a night and a day, as has been previously mentioned in the account of the Khwārazm Shāh. At this time, when Sultān Muḥammad fled from the banks of the Jiḥūn and the neighbourhood of Balkh, the Chingiz Khān despatched his sons Tūshī and Chaghatāe, with a great army, towards Khwārazm.

He [Tūshi] proceeded thither with that army, and appeared before the gate of [the capital city of] Khwārazm, and the fighting commenced. For a period of four months?

This name is clearly written in the different copies of the text with but slight variation. Every one of the best copies have the 'Arabic عال يعنا sas the first letter, used, of course, to express or represent some Turkish letter. Thus seven copies have عال على three others عمال على التعال يعام one والتعال يعام التعام الت

The difficulty is to decide who this person is, but, at the same time, it is necessary to point out that this affair is in no way connected with Tūk-Tughān, the Makrit, although it is mentioned by our author, at page 267, as immediately preceding the latter affair, which he refers to without mentioning any name as here. The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh also refers to this affair very briefly, but mentions no name.

At the end of this work, in the lines addressed to the Ulugh Khān, he is styled "Khān of the Ilbari and Shāh of the Yamak," and these Ilbari are again plainly stated to be Turks at page 800, and at page 796 it is mentioned that that tribe had to remove from their accustomed place of abode, which is not specified, but Khifchāķ is implied, when the Mughals became predominant over Turkistān and the tribes of Khifchāķ. See also pages 599 and 791.

In an old geographical work Yamak is briefly referred to as the name of a city or town and a territory or country famous for its beautiful females, and that it is also said to be the name assigned to the sovereign of the I-ghūrs, whoever he might be. But, as I have previously mentioned, in note at page 951, the Bāshlighs, or Chiefs of the Un-I-ghūrs, were in ancient times styled Il-Iltār. and those of the Tukūz-Ī-ghūrs, Kol-Irkīn, or, according to Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, Īl-Irkīn, and, in after years, Yīddī-Kūt.

7 The Khwārazmīs made a much more gallant defence than our author has given them credit for.

After the Chingiz Khān had effected the capture of Samrkand and had become established in Māwarā-un-Nahr, he, towards the close of 617 H., despatched his sons Jūjī, Chaghatāe, and Uktāe, to reduce the Khwārazm territory at the head of a great army, which one author states amounted to about 100,000 men, and they set out by way of Bukhārā. The capital city of Khwārazm was named Jurjāniah by 'Arabs, but was called Urganj and Urgānj by the Turks and the great men thereof. The 'Ajamis call it Gurgānj.

The author of the "Mongols Proper" tells us twice (pp. 83 and 85) that "Urgendj" is "the modern Khiva," but subsequently, in his additional

#### the people of Khwārazm continued to resist the Mughals,

notes, that "old Urgendj was the capital of Khuarezm," in which he is tolerably correct, but its site is not so very near "the modern capital—Khiva," being only ninety-two miles distant from it as the crow flies. In Col. J. C. Walker's last map [1879], notwithstanding the various "authorities" mentioned on the margin of it, "Khiva" duly figures under the name of Kharezm, while in the same map, as the name indicates, "Kunia"—an error for Kuhnah, or old—"Urganj" is the site. Such an error is to be lamented, but I fear I shall have to refer to many others. Khwārazm is the name of the territory, and the words "the city of Khwārazm" merely refer to the city which is the capital of Khwārazm; and this mode of terming a capital by the name of the country was not uncommon. I do not agree with Pétis de la Croix that the city was ever called Khwārazm, except in the sense mentioned. What is erroneously styled Khiva in maps is Khīwak—in of the people of the country.

Among the number of great men present in the territory of Khwārazm at this time, there was no one, in the absence of the members of the late Sultān's family, whom they could better choose under the circumstances than the Amīr, Khumār-Tigīn, the chief of the Kankulis [Pétis de la Croix's "Himartequen"—the point of the kh having been omitted by the scribe, he read it as h], who was a kinsman of the late Sultān's mother, the unfortunate Turkān Khātūn, and also held the office of Ḥājib-i-Dar, or War-Būkā; and they chose him to direct the administration. In the exigency of affairs, they accorded him the title of Sultān. There was besides, at the capital, the Pahlawān, 'Alī, the Darghamī.

After these measures had been adopted, one day, a small body of horse appeared before one of the gates, and began to drive off the cattle. knowing what calamity was lurking behind these horsemen, a number of imprudent and short-sighted persons led out a large force, both horse and foot, from the Khalki gate towards them, thinking to capture them. The Mughal horsemen, for such they were, pretended flight, but took care occasionally to give their pursuers hope of overtaking them, until they had drawn them to a place called the Bagh-i-Khurram—the Pleasant or Delightful Garden [turned into a "Town called Baghe-Eram," by Pétis de la Croix, who has made some terrible errors in his account of these operations, and has interwoven his own observations so much with the authors he quotes, that one is not to be distinguished from the other]-about a farsang from the city, where was the van of the Mughal army in ambuscade. These rushed upon the Khwārazmīs, and assailed them on all sides. The latter fought from early morning till noon, but the Tārikh i-Jahān gir says, from noon to the setting of the sun, when, having sustained great loss, they retreated precipitately towards the city. Mughals pursued; and, in the confusion which ensued, succeeded in entering along with the fugitives by one of the gates, and penetrated as far as the Tanurah quarter. This, however, could only have been a suburb, as the Mughals were stopped by Faridun, the Ghuri, an old officer in the late Sultan's service, and his soldiers, and the Mughals thought fit to retire. Tarikh just named states, that the Khwarazmis lost 100,000 men on this occasion, but this is impossible, and seems a mere confusion of events, and refers to the upshot of the siege, or is altogether an exaggeration.

Next day, the Mughals again appeared before one of the gates, but, finding Faridun there posted with 500 men, did not make any attack during the whole

# and to fight against those infidels. At last, they took the

of that day, and withdrew at night. Next day, when Juji and his brothers arrived with the remainder of the great host, they made a perambulation of the city, and a person was despatched to summon the defenders to submit to the yoke of the Mughals, and open the gates. This was refused, upon which the Mughals completely invested the city, and made preparations for besieging it. As there was no stone in those parts, the Mughals had to use blocks of wood for their catapults, cut from the timber of the mulberry-trees; but I do not see how blocks of that, even though "hardened by being soaked in water," as a European writer states, could have had much effect upon walls of burnt brick; but some say wood blocks were only used towards the close of the siege when the stones had all been expended. The Mughals, as was usual with them, made the men of the conquered cities do the hard work in sieges, and so they obtained assistance from Jund and other conquered places, in the shape of men, tools, and materials, including loads of stone which were brought in carts. When all had been prepared, and the missiles and battering apparatus were ready, they opened the siege, and carried on their operations with vigour, but the defenders were as active and determined in the defence.

After a considerable time, the Mughals sent a force of 3000 men to divert the stream or branch of the river Jihūn, which flowed past the city, and supplied the people with water, and there effect an entrance [the aqueduct apparently mentioned at page 474, which proved an effectual barrier to the Ghūris]; but the garrison made a sally to prevent it, and slew every one of the Here again P. de la C. has fallen into error, and makes the Mughals dig a canal to drain the ditch! Great part of the city was reduced to ashes by the discharges of flasks of naphtha and other inflammable ingredients; but, now, quarrels, which had been going on for some time between Juji and Chaghatae, became so serious-Fasih i says severe fighting ensued as the upshot of these quarrels, and that a great number of Mughals were killed—that the matter was brought to the hearing of their father, then engaged in the siege He was not at Samrkand, as P. de la C. states: he had, in the meantime, left it, and had taken Tirmid also previous to this. Five months had already passed in the siege of the Khwārazmi capital, and great loss had been sustained, and the Chingiz Khan, in his rage, directed Uktae, the younger brother of the two there present, to take the command, and that all should pay him implicit obedience. He accordingly carried on the siege with fresh vigour; but it took another two months before the Mughals succeeded, by filling up the ditch with brushwood and rubbish—they may have drained the ditch to do this—in effecting a lodgment, and planting their standards on the walls. Even then the people fought hand to hand with them, from street to street, and door to door, for several days, while the Mughals discharged flasks of naphtha among them. Vast numbers were slain on both sides, including the brave Khumar-Tigin; indeed, one author says "the city became a sea of blood;" and the siege altogether is said to have cost the Mughals nearly 100,000 men, including the unfortunate Musalman people compelled to work for their own people's destruction.

The loss sustained by the defenders is computed at about the same amount, but round numbers are often doubtful; still it must have been very great. The whole of the remaining people were driven out into the plain without the city, and after 100,000 artisans, mechanics, and tradespeople, had been selected, to be carried off with them and transported into Mughalistan, or to assist in

city, and martyred the whole of the people, and destroyed all the buildings with the exception of two places—one the Kūshk-i-Akhjak [the Castle of Akhjak], the other, the mausoleum of Sultān Muḥammad-i-Takish.

Some have stated on this wise, that, when the Mughals captured the city of Khwārazm, and brought the inhabitants out of the city into the plain, he [Tūshi] commanded that the women should be separated from the men, and such of the women as they cared for the Mughals retained. The remainder were directed to form into two bodies, and they caused the whole of them to be stripped naked, and round about them Mughal Turks [Turkān-i-Mughal], with drawn swords, were stationed. The two parties were then commanded, thus: 'The women of your city are good pugilists, therefore, the order is that both sides should set on each other with their fists." Those unfortunate Musalmān females they caused, thus ignominiously, to attack each other with hands clenched; and, for the space of a whole watch of the day, all those women continued to deal

the destruction of their own people, and the young women and children, and young men, had been sent into slavery [they were transported into the farther East, and several towns and villages were peopled by them and their descendants], the remainder were all butchered. [This reads like the doings at Eski Saghra and Kasānlik in 1877 A.D.] The number was so great that it was computed that each Mughal soldier had some twenty-four to put to death; but, before we compute the number at 2,400,000, because the Mughals numbered 100,000 at the commencement of the siege, we must allow for their loss during that operation, and also leave out the Musalmān auxiliaries, but we may safely assume that more than a million perished. Alfi says the Mughals numbered 100,000 at this time, and that the number said to have been butchered passes almost all belief.

It is said that the Chingiz Khān, before sending his hordes against the city, despatched a message to the celebrated Khwārazmī saint, the Shaikh, Najmud-Dīn, the Kabrī, otherwise Al-Ķīwaķī—or of Ķīwaķ, which Europeans have turned into Khīwa—advising him to leave the place, since the upshot might be its plunder, and the slaughter of the people, but the Shaikh refused, saying: "For eighty years have I dwelt here in its prosperity, and should not leave it in the day of its misfortune. I will take my chance with others, await my fate, whatever it may be, and not fly from the Almighty's decree." He perished with the rest.

After the fall of the capital, the other towns and cities of Khwārazm submitted.

In one copy, Akhchak.

• From the way in which our author here writes Turkān-i-Mughal, i.e. Mughal Turks—Turks of the Mughal i māķ—he was evidently well informed as to the accounts of their descent. See note at pages 874 and 875.

blows upon, and to receive the blows of, each other, until, at length, the Mughals fell upon them with their swords and martyred the whole of them—the Almighty reward them!

When Tushi, and Chaghatae, the sons of the Chingiz Khān, had finished their work at Khwārazm, they turned their faces towards Khifchāk; and the forces and tribes of Khifchāk they continued to subdue one by one, and make captive; and they brought the whole of the tribes under subjection. Tushi, who was the eldest son of the Chingiz Khān [as already stated], when he became acquainted with the climate of the land of Khifchak, considered that, in the whole universe, there could not be a more delightful land, a pleasanter climate, softer water, meads more verdant, and pasture-lands more extensive; and repugnance towards his father began to enter into his mind. He said to his confidants: "The Chingiz Khan has become mad, as he massacres so many people, and desolates so many countries! It thus seems meritorious on my part that, in some hunting-ground, I should slay my father, enter into an alliance with Sultan Muhammad, [Khwarazm Shah], render this country flourishing, and give help and assistance to the Musalmans." 2 His brother, Chaghatae, gained intimation of this idea [of his brother's], and made known this perfidious notion and design to his father. When the Chingiz Khān became aware of it, he despatched his own confidential agents, so that they administered poison to Tūshi, and killed him.3

Although our author wrote soon after these events took place, and was living at the time they happened, he was seemingly unaware that Uktāe was present at Urganj, and that he, after the quarrels between the brothers, was put in command over them, a fact which is beyond a doubt. Such being the case, and from what he himself says was the cause of his father's enmity towards him, it is probable that Jūji had no hand in these brutal crueities.

<sup>1</sup> This is an error. The brothers never agreed together, as I shall presently show, and they had quarrelled at Khwārazm only recently. After the capture of Ūrgang, Jūjī, with the ulūs (armed men of the tribe) of the Yīddī-Ķūt of the Ī-ghūrs, returned towards Ķarā-Ķuram, but subsequently Jūjī retired into the Dasht-i-Ķibchāķ; and Chaghatāe and Uktāe proceeded, by way of Hazār-asp, to rejoin their father's camp before Tāl-ķān. They captured Hazār-asp in the course of two days, and massacred its inhabitants, the number of whom, according to some writers, was so great that they did not deem it advisable even to record it. These events happened in 618 H.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Sultan had died some time previous to this, in 617 H.

Juji was but thirty years old when he died. He left behind him fifteen

Tūshi likewise had fourteen sons, the eldest of whom was named Bātū, the second Chaghatāe, the third Shaibān,

sons, but some say, fourteen. The eldest was Urdah, whose mother was Sürtäk Khātūn, daughter of the sovereign of the Kungkur-āt tribes, and that son was wont to lead the left of his army, while Bātū, his second son, led the right. Another was named Barkah, our author's Barkā, who turned Musalmān, being the first of that family who did so. The remaining sons, except Tūghāe-Timūr, who also turned Musalmān, are not so well known to history and need scarcely be mentioned here. Jūji's death took place in Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 624 H., not in 626 H., as P. de la C. says, for he died before his father. Bātū succeeded to his father's dominions, whose reign will be found farther on. The Khāns of Krim [the Krimīā] were lineally descended from Jūji Khān, whose descendants reigned longer as independent sovereigns than any others of the race.

Our author has exaggerated a little here, probably, but there is evidently some truth in what he says. There is plenty of evidence to prove that his father stood in some awe of Juji, for, undoubtedly, he was a great and highminded prince; and this, together with the occurrences attending his birth, appear to have alienated the heart of the Chingiz Khan from him. When the Makrit tribe plundered the urdu of Tamur-chi, they carried off Burtah Kuchin, his wife, who is said to have been pregnant at the time, and brought her to the Awang Khan, their chief. P. de la C., although he afterwards says Juji was the eldest son, says this lady was then "big with her second child," and makes out "Aunghcan" to be "her father" I He treated her with great reverence and respect, and, after some time, sent her back to her husband, and, on the way, she gave birth to a son. No preparations having been made for the occasion, there was nothing available to swaddle the babe with: therefore the messenger of Tamur-chi, who had been sent to demand her release, mixed some flour and water together, and swaddled the boy in the dough, and thus managed to convey him safely, with his mother, to the urdu of Tamur-cht. The boy being unexpected—it would seem indeed that Tamur-chi was unaware of his wife's pregnancy, hence the doubts respecting the paternity of the child-was named Juji, or Tushi, which, in the dialect of the Mughals, signifies the unexpected guest. It is not correct, as related in "The Mongols Proper," that the mother gave birth to Juji "after her return from captivity:" he was born on the road.

His brothers, particularly Chaghatāe and Uktāe, used constantly to taunt Jūjī respecting his birth, and they seem to have been jealous of him; but his father had great faith in his ability and valour, and entrusted him, previous to the invasion of Islām, with the command of an army, which army was overtaken by Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, and brought to action, as related at page 269, and his father had since conferred upon him the government of the whole of the ulūses or tribes and territories within the limits of Ardīgh and the Altāe mountains. Subsequently, the Chingiz Khān added thereto the territories of Khwārazm, the Dasht-i-Kibchāk or Khifchāk, and such conquests as Jūjī might effect over the countries of Khurz, Bulghār, Ālān, Ās, and Rūs.

After the capture of the capital city of Khwārazm, that is to say, Urganj, Jūjī separated from his brothers, and withdrew into [towards?] the Daght-i-Kibchāk; and, when Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] returned from their three years' expedition, and reached the Daght-i-Kibchāk, they had to obtain aid from him, as already narrated, before they could proceed farther on

and the fourth Barkā; and trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that the birth of this Barkā took place at the time of the conquests in the territories of Islām. When his mother gave him birth, Tūshī, his father, com-

their way. When the Chingiz Khan retired from Nangrahar, and set out on his return into Mughalistan, and reached Kulan Yazi, Juji presented himself. as already mentioned in the account of his father; but another writer, Hafis Abrū, states, and his statement is, without doubt, correct, that, after the disagreement with his brothers before Urgani, subsequent to its capture, Chaghatae and Uktae set out with their troops to rejoin their father, and reached his camp at Tāl-kān, but Jūji set out towards Ardish, where were his wives and families. and joined his own urdus. As his father, previous to this, had commanded him to invade the countries to the north and west, such as the territories of Būlār [sic. بولار], of the Bāsh-ghird, the Rüs, and the Jarkas [the Cheremis?], the Dasht-i-Kibchāk, and other parts in that direction, and to hold possession of them, when Juji set out toward Ardish, his father, at first, imagined that he did not intend to obey his commands, respecting that expedition, and that he had, consequently, returned to his home and given himself up to pleasure and jollity instead of carrying out his instructions. The Chingiz Khan was, in consequence, exceedingly incensed, and commanded that it would be necessary to put him to death without looking upon his face again. The real cause however was that Juji, at the period in question, had become prostrated by disease, and, on that account, when the Chingiz Khan returned from the territory of the Tajziks, and took up his residence in his own urdu, Juji was unable to present himself in his father's presence, but he despatched several loads of game, and made known his illness. Subsequently, he was again summoned to appear, but he made apologies, and stated his inability to attend on account of sickness.

Shortly after that, a person having set out from Juji's yūrats to proceed to the presence of the Chingiz Khan, coming along the road, noticed that Juji had gone forth, and was proceeding from yūrat to yūrat. By the way, Jūji had to pass a place where he had been wont to follow the chase, and, being incapable himself, through weakness, he despatched his Amirs to hunt. This person, who was on his way to the camp of his father, noticed, from a distance, a considerable gathering assembled engaged in hunting, and made sure to himself that it was Juji; and, when he reached the presence of the Chingiz Khān, and the latter inquired of him respecting Jūji's illness, he replied: "As to his illness I know naught, but, at the time of coming hither, near the skirts of a certain mountain range, he was engaged in hunting." At these words the wrath of the Chingiz Khan was roused to such degree as cannot be marrated, and, in his mind, he felt certain that Juji had become disloyal, and paid no regard to his father's words, and so he said: "Juji has gone mad, and, in consequence, is acting the part of a fool. It is necessary to send troops against him; and it is advisable to despatch Chaghadae and Ukdae in advance, and follow in person myself." At this crisis, news arrived that Juji was dead; and the Chingiz Khan was greatly grieved at his loss. What that person had stated respecting Juji hunting was entirely false and fictitious, and the Khan gave orders to put him to death; but he had got some inkling of what he might expect, when he heard of the decease of Juji, and made his escape from the urdu, and the wrath of Juii's father.

manded, saying: "Give ye this boy to a nurse of the Musalmāns, in order that his navel string may be severed by a Musalmān, that he may imbibe Musalmān milk, and turn out a Musalmān; for I intend that this son of mine shall be brought up in the Musalmān faith." If this statement is veracious, the Almighty mitigate his torment [in hell]! Undoubtedly, through the blessing attending this intention, when Barkā grew up, he became a scion of Islām. Up to this period of time, the date of the completion of this History, the year 658 H., of the sons of Tūshī, that one Musalmān sovereign is still left.

May Almighty God continue the Sultan of Islam, Nāṣir-ud-Din wa ud-Dunyā, Maṇmūd Shāh, upon the throne of sovereignty to the day of resurrection!

#### III. UKTĀE, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHĀN.

When the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> died, he devised the sovereignty to Uktāe, although <u>Chaghatāe</u> was older than he; but <u>Chaghatāe</u> was a butcher and a tyrant.

Uktāe, when he ascended the throne, and carried out the last command of his father, the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, and massacred all the people of Tingit, both females and males, returned from thence towards Turkistān. Having brought

- 4 The name of this sovereign is also written Uktāe, and Ukdāe, t and d being interchangeable, signifying "ascent," "height," "loftiness," "sublimity," and the like, but Ogotai is absurd, and could only have occurred to a person who had never been in the East, and who was unacquainted with the pronunciation of the name as written in the original, and the value of the letters of the alphabet.
- <sup>5</sup> This is incorrect. Uktāe did not ascend the throne until two years and more after the decease of his father. I have already given an account of the events which happened after the death of the Chingiz Khān in a previous note.

I must notice the events of Üktäe's reign in order to correct some erroneous accounts respecting him, but I must do so very briefly, because the events of his father's life, which were necessary to rectify incorrect accounts respecting him, have occupied much space. I must mention that "Tului," youngest son of the Chingiz Khān, did not "act as regent" at all: it would have been totally contrary to the custom of the Mughals, and the Chingiz Khān had himself named one, as already related. There are other equally erroneous statements respecting Üktäe which may be seen from the following.

Uktae is the first of the sovereigns who reigned in the Ulugh Yurat, also

that territory under his authority, he nominated armies to march into various parts of Khurāsān and Irāķ, and

styled the Aşal or Original or Chief Yürat, which words refer to Kalūr-ān and Karā-Kuram, and which last is also celebrated as the Urdūe-Bālīgh.

After having performed the funeral ceremonies over their father and sovereign, the sons, Nū-yīns, and Amīrs, each retired to his respective yūrat; and, for a period of two years, the mother of Ūkdāe and his brothers, Būrtah Kūchīn, administered the affairs; she may be styled "Regent."

After two years, and the period of mourning had expired, this Khātūn, Būrtah Ķūchīn, lest any untoward event might happen in the empire, summored the sons of the deceased, and the great Amīrs, to assemble at the Ulugh Yūrat, which the Chinese style Ho-lin, and hold a kūrīltāe or diet; and, in the beginning of the spring of 626 H., they arrived. From I-mil and Kū-Tāk came Ūktāe and his sons; from the Dasht-i-Kibchāk came Bātū, Barkah, Shaibān, Tingkūt, and two other sons of Jūjī Khān; from the eastern parts of the empire [i. e. east of Kalūr-ān] came the Nū-yīns, Ū-Tigīn or Aw-Tigīn—also written Ūnjī and Ūnchī-Tigīn—and Bilkūtī, the brothers of the Chingiz Khān; Chaghatāe Khān came from Bīsh-Bālīgh, and the Nū-yīn, Karachār, from his yūrat, besides numbers of other personages from all parts of the empire.

The Ulugh Nu-yin, Tuli Khan, and other brothers younger than he, who were already present at the Ulugh Yurat, and his Amirs, also attended; and, after all the Princes, Nū-yins, and Amirs, had assembled, a mighty feast was given, during which the matter which brought them together there was discussed. After this, the testament of the Chingiz Khan, nominating Uktae as his successor, and the covenant entered into between the sons, confir sing that nomination, were read out before all, in the presence of the troops then in the Urdu, in order that they also should confirm it. All, with one accord, now addressed Üktāe, saying: "In accordance with the will of the Chingiz Khān it behoveth thee to take thy seat on the throne of sovereignty;" but Uktae made excuses, saying: "There are others older than I am, my uncles and my brother Chaghatae: let one of them be raised to the throne; moreover, my younger brother Tuli is more worthy than I am, and, according to the customs and usages of the Mughals, the youngest son of the greatest of the Khātūns, and who is in possession of the Yūrat and place of abode, should succeed the father." For forty days was this feasting continued, during which discussion went on. and daily did Uktae continue to make excuses; but, on the forty-first day, all the Princes and Nū-yins came before him and said: "This sovereignty was assigned to thee from among the rest of the brothers and sons of the Chingiz Khān: how then is it possible to contravene it?" Then the whole of the assembly, according to ancient [Turkish] custom, having taken goblets, removed their caps, unloosed their girdles, and thrown them over their shoulders, Chaghatae, as the elder brother, seized the right hand of Uktae. and Tuli his left, while his uncle, U-Tigin, seized him round the waist, and, with the approval of the Astrologers and Diviners, seated him on the throne, and hailed him by the title of Ka'an-old

Kā'ān, I would observe, cannot be "a contraction" for Khākān—because the metre of both words is precisely the same, and there is no kh in the former; further, that it was not "borne by all Ogotai's successors," for Kyūk did not bear it, neither was it "new," for Bū-zanjar, the ninth ancestor of the Chingiz Khān, bore that title. One writer indeed says that, "as the

towards <u>Gh</u>ūr and <u>Gh</u>aznin, and began to administer the affairs of the country according to justice and equity, to keep his forces under subordination, and to cherish his subjects.

By nature, Uktāe was exceedingly beneficent and of excellent disposition, and a great friend to the Musalmāns. During his reign the Muḥammadans in his dominions were tranquil and prosperous in condition, and treated with respect. He used to strive greatly to show honour to the Musalmān people, and to render them flourishing and contented. In his reign, masjids were founded in all the cities of Tingit, Tamghāj, Tibbat, and the countries of Chin; and all the forts and strongholds of the region

Mughūls do not use much ceremony respecting titles, they style a Pādshāh, Kā'ān or Khān indiscriminately."

After Ūkdāe had been placed on the throne, gold and gems were poured over him; and, Tūlī having held the bowl to him, the Princes, Nū-yīns Amīrs, and all who were both within and without the assembly, and all keeping exact time, bowed the knee to him nine times, the lucky number of the Mughals. No author with whom I am acquainted refers, in the most remote manner, to "prostrating themselves nine times," whatever "ancient Chinese ceremonial might have been," and he was not a Chinese.

Surgeon-Major' Bellew, in the "Description of Kashghar" (REPORT, page 61), says with reference to this number nine: "Similarly the presents given by the father to the bride must be in the same number or its multiple of each kind, as nine frocks, nine mantles, nine carpets, &c., and the presents made by friends too must be in the same number, as nine pieces of silk, nine veils, nine caps, &c. The origin of the selection of this particular number, called tocūz, is not known, but the custom is observed by all the Turk and Tartar tribes of Central Asia." He might have said, more correctly, "Mughal." Tukūz stands for nine in the Turkish language, and I may add that the origin of the custom is known, and it will be found by referring to the note at page 875, which see, and is referred to in several other places.

A volume almost might be written on the subject of presenting the cup or bowl. It was not peculiar to the Mughals, but was usual among all the descendants of Turk. It would be interesting to compare their customs in this respect with those of the Scandinavians. The presentation of the cup was the highest mark of consideration and homage, and all present on such occasions bent the knee. It was likewise the highest honour a sovereign could show towards a vassal or subject. Ambassadors whom it was intended greatly to honour were presented with the cup, and it was necessary on the occasion of making a treaty, or confirming an oath. Kumiz or fermented mare's milk was generally used, but they also made drinks from millet, honey, and rice. Wine was used on special occasions, and by the Khāns.

This ceremony took place in the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 626 II. [Feby. 1228 A.D.—which is but eighteen months from Ramazān, 624 H., but, as previously stated, some contend that the Chingiz Khān died in Ramazān, 623 H.], just two years and six months after the death of his father.

of the east he gave in charge to a number of Musalmān Amīrs. Such Amīrs as they [the Mughals] had removed from the countries of I-rān and Tūrān he located in the cities of Upper Turkistān, and in the land of Chin and Tamghāj, and in Tingit, and commanded that they [the Mughals] should address Musalmāns by the terms "friend," and "brother." He also directed the Mughals that they should give their daughters [in marriage] to Musalmāns, and that if they [the Musalmāns] should evince a desire to demand their children [in marriage for their sons] they should not deny them.

Throughout the whole of the eastern countries [under the sway of the Mughals], the Friday's religious services [of the Muḥammadans] were established; and Musalmāns took up their abode in those parts, and they founded towns and cities of their own, and built places according to the manner of their own [native] cities.<sup>6</sup>

Of the friendliness of Uktāe towards Musalmāns the author has heard an anecdote which is here related.

#### ANECDOTE.

Trustworthy persons have related that Chaghatāe used to regard Musalmāns with hostility, and his mind constantly contemplated the shedding of Musalmān blood, and not leaving one of that faith alive. Now the Chingiz Khān had instituted certain ordinances, the punishment for the infringement of some of which laws used to be death. For example: adultery and crimes of lust in general, theft, lying, and embezzlement, and the act of seizing a morsel from another's mouth, were punishable with death; and whosoever should enter a piece of water, whether large or small, they were to kill him also, and likewise any one who should wash his face at the edge of any water, so that the water from the washed face of such person should enter that water. The punishment of any

<sup>•</sup> The Jesuits in their accounts of the Chinese empire refer to some of these places. See also note at page 1100, first para.

<sup>7</sup> All writers agree respecting his showing a preference towards the Muhammadan religion. He excelled his brothers and contemporaries in impartiality, grace, and bounty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See note <sup>2</sup>, page 1109, for the reasons of this prohibition.

one who might be guilty of an offence less than one of all these was to be three or five, or ten, or more, stripes with a rod, but with the stipulation that, while punishment was being administered, the offender should be entirely stripped, and that the rod should be wielded with force and severity. To these ordinances they have applied the name of *Yasah*, in the Mughali language, that is to say, command, mandate, decree.

One day, both the brothers-Uktāe and Chaghatāewere proceeding along a track into the open country. Uktāe was in advance, and Chaghatāe behind him, at the distance of a quarter of a farsang [league]. Suddenly, Uktāe reached the head of a reservoir of water, and perceived therein a Musalman who was washing his head and body. When the eyes of Uktae fell upon that Musalman, he turned his face towards his personal attendants, and said: "Alas! this unfortunate Musalman will be forthwith put to death by the hand of my brother Chaghatae: what is advisable?" After that he inquired: "Hath any person among you an ingot of gold or of silver' ready at hand?" One of his attendants bowed and said: "I have an ingot of silver with me." Uktāe said: "Give it to that Musalman, and tell him to throw it into the reservoir; and that, when my brother Chaghatae reaches this spot and sees him, and questions him, he must say: 'An ingot of silver of mine hath fallen into the water, and I have entered the reservoir in order to search for it,' so that he may escape being put to death." Uktāe's attendants gave the ingot of silver to that Musalman, and he threw it into the water; and Uktae urged his horse onwards.

When <u>Chaghatāe</u> reached the spot, he perceived that Musalmān in the water, and commanded his attendants to

<sup>•</sup> And a great many more forming the Code of the Chingiz Khān entitled Yāsā, or Yāsah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In all these histories which I have gone through, strange to say, I have never met with the slightest allusion to coined money in any shape with the exception of the *bālish* or ingot.

Thomas, in his "Pathan Kings," gives the description of a coin bearing the name of "Chingiz Khān," and the Khalifah un-Nāṣir's title, and he considers it genuine. It must therefore be a coin of one of the subjected Musalmān rulers of Chūr or Karmān, or parts adjacent, such as Hasan, the Karlūgh Turk, and others mentioned in these pages, and not a Mughal coin. It bears no date.

seize him. He demanded of him, saying: "Since it is the yasah of the Khān that no living being should go into the water, why hast thou acted to the contrary? we must kill thee." The Musalmān represented: "An ingot of silver of mine hath fallen into this reservoir, and I have got into the water in order to seek for it." Chaghatāe directed so that a number of Mughals entered the water, and made search for the ingot, and having found it brought it; and by the expedient and kindness of that just and beneficent sovereign, Uktāe, the Musalmān escaped. May the Almighty lighten his punishment hereafter!

Through his efforts, numerous Musalmans escaped from the hands of the accursed tyrant, Chaghatae. A number of trustworthy persons have related after this manner, that, judging from the ancient chronicles of bygone times, and of past ages, that, in the states of Turkistan, and the countries of Chin, Tingit, and Tamghāj, no sovereign more beneficent nor of better disposition than Uktae ever placed foot in stirrup. When he became firmly established in the dominions of his father, and his brothers and the Nūyins and Khāns of Turkistān, submitted to his authority. he girded up his loins, and organized and equipped his armies, and despatched them towards different countries. The Mughal Nū-yin, Jurmāghūn, was despatched into 'Irāk in the year 626 II., and the Nū-yin, Mangūtah was sent towards Ghaznin; and, in the before-mentioned year, Uktāe made over to his charge Tukhāristān, Kunduz, and Tāl-kān; and the Maliks of Khurāsān, Ghūr, Kirmān,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Our author does not appear to have known the reason why, in the ideas of the Tāttārs and Mughals, bathing in such a way required to be strictly prohibited. The prohibition was that "during the seasons of spring and summer no one should immerse himself in running water [one writer says, "in the day-time"], nor wash his hands in streams, nor wash his garments, and afterwards spread them in the open country to dry; and that water should not be taken from running streams in vessels of gold or of silver, because, in the belief of these people, such acts are the cause of increase of thunder and lightning, which, in their localities, from the beginning of spring to the end of summer, while rain used continually to fall, prevailed to such a degree, that the lightning was fearful, and the roaring of the thunder tremendous."

<sup>3</sup> Nominated to proceed, probably, but not despatched until the following year in which he crossed the Jiḥūn or Āmūiah. He was a Mangkūt, or, as it is also written, Manghūt Mughal.

One or two modern copies of the text have Tae-kan here, but all the text

and Fārs, and those, who still continued in [possession of] different forts and strongholds, all proceeded to Karā-Kuram, to the presence of Uktāe, and requested that Shaḥnahs [Intendants] might be sent to them; and different parts of Khurāsān began to thrive again.

Another anecdote of Uktāe's countenance of Musalmāns is apposite, and is [here] inserted.

#### ANECDOTE.

Trustworthy persons have related that Chaghatae, the accursed, was, at all times, striving to oppress the Muhammadans, and devising means to bring trouble and calamity upon the people of Islam, and bringing ruin upon those that remained of them and causing their extirpation, so that no sign or trace of them might anywhere be found. In the diffusion of that iniquity he was wont to machinate and to labour, and was in the habit of instigating a party of Mughals-Nū-yins and Bahādurs-in such a manner that they used to bring to Uktāe's notice words and acts on the part of Musalmans such as used to be the source of trouble and injury to the people of Islām, and the cause of their ruin and destruction, until, on one occasion. Chaghatae incited one of the priests of the idol-worshippers, which sect, in the Turki language, they style Tūniān [Tūnis], on such wise, that he came before Uktāe

are as above. As Ţāe-kān is in Ṭukhāristān, which is first mentioned, as well as Kunduz, Ṭāl-kān of Khurāsān is undoubtedly referred to.

Which is always mentioned as the asal or original yūrat of the Chingiz Khān, and known also as the Urdūe-Bāligh. It was not a city, but an

encampment.

- 6 Üktäe is said, by the Pro-Mughal Historians, to have poured balm into the wounds inflicted by his father. There was certainly much balm wanted, but many of the wounds have never been healed to this day, witness numbers of the once most flourishing cities of Asia, which still lie in ruins. Üktäe bears the character of a just ruler, and his liberality was excessive. When the records of his gifts and grants were made up, it was found that he had expended not less than 100,000 tomāns of gold bālish, some say 60,000; but the statements respecting the value of each bālish varies. Some compute a bālish of gold at 500 miskāls, others at 60 dirhams and 2 dāngs; some, 8 dīnārs and 2 dāngs, and others 8 dirhams and 2 dāngs.
- 7 In some copies of the text it is Tū-inān—uuju—as in Rubruquis, who calls them "Tuinian," and says they were idolaters. This word has sorely puzzled some of the copyists of the different MSS. of the text collated; but, in the Printed "Official" Text, it is invariably mistaken for the plural form of

and stated, saying: "I have seen the Chingiz Khan in a dream, and he has given me a command to convey unto thee, and thou, who art Uktae, his son, and installed by him, in his place, shouldst neither neglect, in any way, to carry out that command, nor deviate from, or fail to comply with, that vasah [ordinance]; and let it not be that thou receive not the Chingiz Khān's approbation. The mandate is this that the Chingiz Khān has commanded. saving: 'The Musalmans have grown exceedingly numerous, and, in the end, the downfall of the Mughal empire will be brought about by the Musalmans, therefore it is necessary that the whole of them that are in our whole dominions, to wit, from the countries of Chin, Tamghāj, Tingit, and Turkistān, as far as the land of I-rān and 'Ajam, you should put to death, and not leave name nor vestige of them to remain.' I have now delivered unto thee the command of the Chingiz Khan, thy father, and have removed that obligation from my neck. It behoveth thee to comply with it, and show obedience thereto, and not grant respite of life to the Musalman peoples, so that the empire may not sustain deterioration."

When he [the priest] conveyed this command to Uktāe, who was a just, wise, prudent, and sagacious monarch, and friendly towards the Musalmān people, he, of his princely penetration, perceived that this statement was false and a lie, that, from the utterance thereof, the odour of fabrication pervaded the smelling sense of discrimination, and that it appeared to have been hatched by his brother, Chaghatāe—the Almighty's curse upon him! Uktāe presently commanded that, for the Tūnī idol-worshipper, a grand place should be got ready, with due preparation and arrangement for his accommodation, and provided with all things requisite and befitting for him, and said to him: "This command is a very serious and awful one, and it

the Turkish title, Nū-in, Nū-yīn, or Nū-yīn, which so often occurs, namely, Nū-inān-وينان or Nū-yīnān-وينان The Editors of the Calcutta Text probably imagined that i was an error for i The same errors occur in the printed text in the account of Muḥammad-i-Bakht-yār, the Khalj ruler of Lakhaṇawaṭi. See note 3, page 567.

Farther on, our author styles them "the idol-worshippers of Tingut and Tamghāj." The singular form of the word above referred to is Tūni or Tūni.

will be necessary to shed the blood of a vast number of people. There is not a person among the Maliks, Nū-vins, Bahadurs, and Juzbis, that has not a great number of Musalman captives, and they [the Maliks, etc.] are dispersed in various parts of the territories of Chin, Tamghai, Turkistān, I-rān, and 'Ajam. It is absolutely necessary that this command should be communicated to them, in order that the whole of them may act according to its precept; and therefore it is now necessary for thee to wait so that this mandate may be sent out into the whole of the Mughal dominions, in order that the Nū-yins, Juzbis, and Bahādurs, and other Maliks, may assemble, and this awful command may be communicated to them, in such wise that all of them may be required to comply with it." Uktāe consequently issued directions so that they lodged this Tuni idol-worshipper at the place which had been fixed upon, in such a manner that he was treated with honour and reverence, and not a tittle of the minutiæ of due attention was left unobserved, because that accursed Gabr had some name and reputation in the region of Turkistan, and in Tamghaj and Chin.

As soon as the prescribed period expired, and the grandees of the Mughal dominions assembled, Uktāe commanded so that they convoked a great assembly, attended with princely ceremony, such as was the established custom of that people [the Mughals], and all with befitting formality, and observance of the prescribed duties. After this, Uktāe ascended the throne; and the whole of the grandees of the empire were present, with loins girded,

This word is spelt in three different ways, as mentioned in the preceding note. It is applied by the Karghiz nomads, and other tribes erroneously supposed to be Karghiz, to their chiefs or the heads of tribes, in the present day.

As these Maliks are immediately after styled 'the Maliks of Turkistān,' it would seem to infer that they held Musalmāns of 'Ajam in bondage, while they, as in the case of Arsalān Khān of Kaiālik, mentioned at page 1023, were Musalmān Turks.

<sup>•</sup> I have previously mentioned that this term is not solely applied to Pārsīs or Fire-Worshippers, any more than tarsā is to Christians. Our author uses the last word with respect to Christians in several places. It does not follow, therefore, that the person above mentioned was a Fire-Worshipper, nor need I write an essay on fire-worship in Mughalistān for the occasion. Our author here simply means to say that he was an infidel, or unbeliever.

before the throne; and those among them who were permitted to sit came down on the knees of homage. He then commanded that that Tuni should be conducted into his place of audience with all honour and reverence. When he arrived, and sat down before the throne, Uktae said: "Now is the time that thou shouldst pronounce the command of the Chingiz Khan, and declare what that command is, in order that all may obey it." That Tuni stood up, and pronounced the command of the Chingiz Khan in the manner he pretended he had received it, and delivered it. All present bowed their heads to the ground, and, with one accord, said: "We have heard the command, and we bend our necks thereto. What is the will of Uktae, the sovereign of the time, with respect to the mode in which it is necessary to obey this command, and how it behoveth to proceed, in order that we may all of us act accordingly?" Uktāe replied: "Every claim requires proof and demonstration, in order that truth from falsehood, and right from wrong, may be distinguished; and this statement requires the testimony of witnesses of the circumstances, because, if it turns out correct that it is the command of the Chingiz Khan, it will be necessary for all to obey that command; and, if it is false and a fabrication, or is the invention of this person, or invented at the instigation of an insidious person, the blood of people, subjects, and servants, must not be shed for a lie."

On Uktāe's concluding this speech, those present bowed their heads to the ground [saying]: "This which the Khān speaks, the whole of the sages of the world, the discriminating and the exalted in intellect, cannot add to, for it is a princely speech and a noble saying, which excellency of understanding and sovereignty indeed demands; and no created being is able to place the hand of objection to the forehead of this command, but it behoveth that Uktāe should direct and point out in what manner the truth or falsehood, veracity or falsity thereof, may be demonstrated and made known." Uktāe turned his face towards that Tūni idol-worshipper, saying: "Dost thou understand the Mughali language, or the Turki language,

<sup>1</sup> This shows that he was of high rank and position.

or dost thou know both those tongues?" The Tuni idol-worshipper replied: "I understand the Turki language, and I do not understand the Mughali tongue." Uktae turned his face towards the hereditary Mughal grandees, whose lineage and descent were from pure Mughals, and said: "Unto ve it is certain and clear, that the Chingiz Khān used not to understand any language whatever save the Mughali language." They all bent their heads to the ground, and, with one accord, replied: "Indeed, such is the case, that the Chingiz Khan understood no other language than the Mughali." Uktae, turning his face towards that Tūni, asked: "In what language did the Chingiz Khān deliver this command unto thee: in the Mughali or the Turki language? If he spoke in Mughali, seeing thou dost not understand it, in what manner didst thou comprehend what he was saying; and, if he spoke in Turki, since he used not to understand Turki, how did he communicate the order? Give an answer from which an odour of truth may come, in order that action may be taken thereon."

That impious, malevolent, cursed, Tuni remained silent and confounded, on such wise that the breath did not issue from that infernal one, and he became completely shamed. All the Mughal grandees and the Maliks of Turkistan bowed their heads to the ground, and with one accord said: "This command [from the Chingiz Khān] is false, and is devoid of truth." Uktāe said to the Tūni: "For the sake of my own dignity, and that of my brother Chaghatae. I do not inflict punishment upon thee. Return to thy abode, and say unto Chaghatae, and to his dependents, that they must draw back their hands from afflicting and oppressing Musalmans, as they are our brothers and friends; in them the strength of our dominion is evident, and, with their assistance, many peoples have been subdued by us." 3 May Almighty God mitigate his torments [in hell]!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Here is an indication that the dialects must have been, at this period, very different from each other.

Some of the Historians of the Mughal sovereigns, who give this anecdote in half a dozen lines, state that Uktāe ordered this Tūnī to be put to death, but they do not say who or what he was, merely "a person." A similar plot,

Some persons, whose statements are worthy of reliance, have so stated that such-like favours and reverence were a proof of this, that Uktāe, secretly, had become a Musalmān; but God knows the truth.

ACCOUNT OF THE NOMINATION OF ARMIES FROM TURK ISTÂN TO PROCEED INTO THE TERRITORY OF 'IRÂK.

When Uktāe despatched an army towards Khurāsān and 'Irāķ, he made the Nū-in Jurmāghūn' the com-

in which the then Yiddi-Küt of the I-ghurs was concerned, is mentioned farther on.

4 "The wish" here is evidently "parent to the thought."

s Considerable convulsions arose in the territories overrun and partially subdued by the Mughals, after the death of the Chingiz Khān. I say partially, for such was the fact, wherever troops were not stationed for the purpose of holding possession. These convulsions extended, on one side, as far as Khifchāk, Saksin, and Bulghār, and, on the other, as far as Khūtan, Chin, and Khitā; while the countries west of the Āmūlah had been devastated and ruined, but not subdued.

It appears that soon after the decease of the Chingiz Khān, on the borders of Tingkūt, Tūlī Khān, who was in possession of the Ulugh or Great Yūrat of his father, in accord with, and at the advice of, the great nobles present there, thought it advisable to despatch the Nū-yīn, Iljīdāe [the Īlchīkdāe of others. See page 1049], and Kyuk Khān, son of Ūktāe, with troops, towards the frontiers of the country of Kolghān [ولفان], or Kolķān [ولفان]. After slaughtering the people and devastating the country, according to the fashion of modern Christian warriors, they reduced it; and an Amīr of Ting-kūt, named Bahādur [بهاد], with a strong force, was left to hold it.

I notice that Colonel C. M. MacGregor, C.B., in his Gazetteer entitled "Contral Asia: Afghānistān," Part II., in his article on "Kūram," has been led into an unfortunate error respecting the death of the Chingiz Khān, on the authority of one of the writers he quotes: viz., "Wood, Burns, Moorcroft," but which of the three does not appear. He says, page 573, "It is said that Jangez Khān [this is the "popular" way of writing the name] died here from the effects of a melon sent to him from Balkh, in which there was a little pernicious insect"! This place is but 113 miles in a direct line N.N.W. from Kābul. Where Kābul? Where Tingkūt? See page 1085, and note at page 1088.

To remedy the state of affairs just mentioned was Uktae's first object after he ascended the throne, and he prepared to despatch bodies of troops into various parts. Alf says that as soon as he was established he despatched Koktae and Swidae [Sahūdah] with three tomāns of troops—according to the pro-Mughal accounts three tomāns is the maximum strength of their armies, and is, of course, wholly incorrect—into Kibchāk—or Khifchāk, as our author writes it—Bulghār, and Saksin. This is probably the reason why some European writers have mixed up this expedition with the former one in which

mander of it; and on that army marching towards that territory it consisted of about 50,000 Mughals, and other

Swidae [Sahūdah] and Jabah [Yamah] were engaged, already described in the

note at page 1000.

In 628 H., the Nü-yin, Jurmāghün or Jürmāghün, of the Mangküt tribe, was despatched at the head of three tomāns of horse [the "three" tomāns again], which, as I have previously stated, nominally consisted of 1000 men to each ming or hasārah, ten of which constituted a tomān, but really the ming, instead of merely numbering 1000 men, was often double and quadruple that number, as shown in note at page 1093. Our author's estimate of the strength of Jürmāghūn's army is, I believe, nearest the truth.

of troops, in advance, to operate against the Sultan.

It is necessary here to go back a little. After the capture of Urganj of Khwārazm, the Chingiz Khān installed in that territory, as Shahnah or Intendant, Chin-Timūr, sometimes called Jai-Timūr, who belonged to one of the tribes of the Karā-Khiţā-i, and this person is probably one of the family of the Gūr Khān, mentioned in the note on that dynasty, page 934. He had remained Intendant in Khwārazm up to this period [he had never, as yet, been governor of Khurāsān, but he became so shortly after]; and, when Uktāe, before setting out towards Khiṭāe, despatched the Nū-yin, Jūrmāghūn, into Īrān Zamin, he confirmed Chin-Timūr in his former appointment [Faṣiḥ-i says Uktāe nominated him Ḥākim of all Īrān-Zamin in 628 H.], and commanded that all the Intendants in those parts should proceed, in person, to Jūrmāghūn's camp, and render him all possible aid. Jūrmāghūn crossed the Āmūiah in 627 H.

On receipt of this mandate, Chin-Timur set out by way of Shahristanah for Jürmäghün's camp; and the different Princes of the family of the Chingiz Khan, located in the parts lying nearest to Khurasan, were directed to despatch Amirs of their own, with their contingents, to join Jürmäghün's army. His force of three tomāns was thereby increased by 50,000 additional troops, thus showing that our author's estimation of the number was pretty correct. The number of followers with Jürmäghün's whole force is said to have been

innumerable.

After that leader had, as he supposed, arranged the affairs of Khurāsān, and commenced his march westward, two Amīrs of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Ķarāchah and Tughān-i-Sunkar, who were at Nīshābūr [sic in MSS.], commenced attacking and making raids into the parts around, and slew the Intendants left in Khurāsān by Jūrmāghūn; and other "rebels" and "disaffected people" [all are rebels and disaffected people who prefer their former independence to a foreign yoke in these enlightened days, as in the dark ages, so called] were daily creating sedition in that quarter. Such being the case, Jūrmāghūn sent back Chīn-Tīmūr, along with his deputy, Kalbād, with troops, against these Khwārazmī Amīrs [they were, as their names indicate, Turks of Khwārazm] in

races of Turkistān, and captives of <u>Kh</u>urāsān; and the number, which turned their faces towards 'Irāķ, amounted, in all, to about 100,000 horse.

On reaching that country in the year 628 H., they massacred so many of the people of that territory and parts adjacent that the pen hath not the power of recording them; and all the cities of 'Irāķ, and the Jibāl [of 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam], of Arān, of Azarbāijān, Gilān, the territory of Rustam-dār, which is on the shores of the Baḥr-i-Khurz [the Caspian sea], as far as the Dar-band-i-Rūm [the Caspian Gates], and Tabaristān, the whole were ravaged, pillaged, and laid waste, with the single exception of the city of Ṣafahān [Isfahān], which was not taken for a period of fifteen years after the first irruption of the Chingiz Khān, the Mughal, and the entry of his forces into the country of 'Irāķ, as will be subsequently recorded in its proper place.

That army of Mughals [under Jurmāghūn] entered through the Ḥulwān Pass, and ravaged the country up to the neighbourhood of the metropolis of Islām—the city of Baghdād. On several occasions, from the Court of the Lord of the Faithful, Al-Mustanṣir B'illah, the Maliks of Islām, with the troops of 'Ajam, and Turks,' Kurds, and 'Arabs [in his service], were despatched to repel the Mughals and the infidel hosts. They [the troops of the Khalifah] frequently engaged in holy warfare against the infidels, and encountered them in many conflicts; and, upon all occasions, the troops of Islām were triumphant and victorious. During that period, in no way, could the infidels seize upon any of the parts adjacent to, and [immediately] round about, the Dār-ul-Khilāfat, Baghdād.

the direction of Nishābūr and Tūs; and, after the rout of one of them—Karā-chah—Kalbād returned to Jūrmāghūn's army again, and Chin-Timūr appears, from what followed, to have returned to his post in Khwārazm and Māzan-darān, which events will be found referred to at page 1120, note 2.

<sup>6</sup> All the copies of the text have 623 H., which, of course, is a great error, since the Chingiz Khān only died in 624 H., and Üktāe succeeded in the third month of 626 H.: 623 is evidently a mistake of عناه for عناه and, besides, at page 1109 he states that Jūrmāghūn was despatched in 626 H.

<sup>7</sup> Turks had been entertained in the service of the Khalffahs for three centuries previous to this period.

See note , page 711.

The accursed Jurmāghūn, who was the commander of the infidel Mughals, pitched his camp in the neighbourhood of Ķum and Kāshān, and some [of his forces] he despatched on incursions into Fārs and Kirmān. The Atā-Bak, Abū-Bikr of Fārs, who is the sovereign of that territory, and likewise the brother of the Ḥājib, Burāķ,\*

There is a mistake here: it is his brother's son who is meant. Burak. the Hājib, is the traitor who managed to obtain possession of Kirman, and who afterwards murdered Malik Ghiyag-ud-Din, Ak Sultan, brother of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din. To make interest with the Mughals, and get confirmed in his usurpations, he sent the head of that Prince to Uktae Ka'an, and he was confirmed in the rulership of Kirman. This "illustrious man," par excellence, came into Khwarazm, along with his brother, Husam-ud-Din, Hamid-i-Bur, in the reign of Sultan Takish, along with others, to collect the tribute for the Gür Khan of Kara-Khitae, and they were induced to remain in Khwarazm, and there turned Musalmans. Some say they were not permitted to return; but this seems improbable, as they are said to have been brothers of Jai-Timur-i-Taniko, or Baniko, son of Kalduz, chief of Taraz, the leader of the Gur Khān's army; and others, again, that they came after the defeat of their elder brother, Baniko. Whether they were detained or not, or came after or before Baniko's defeat, they rose in the service of Sulfan Takish and his successor; and Kiwak Khān, son of Ḥamid-i-Būr, became the commander of a body of troops, and was killed at Bukhārā when the Chingiz Khān appeared before it, and Burāk, Ḥamid's brother, became one of the Sultan's chamberlains. Another brother was styled Aghuz Malik. See page 282, note 7, page 283, and note to page 934.

This "illustrious man"—illustrious for the murder of his master and benefactor's son, and treachery towards another, to whom he presented a daughter for his haram—received from Sulfan Ghiyāṣ-ud-Din, Pir Shāh, the title of Kutlūgh Sulfān, and from the Mughal Kā'ān, to whom he sent Ghiyāṣ-ud-Din's head, the title of "Kutlūgh Khān, Ṣāḥib [i.e. Lord] of Kirmān." Burāk died in 632 H., and Kuth-ud-Din, Abū-l-Fath, son of Jai-Timūr-i-Bānīko, or Tānīko, who stood in the position of nephew, step-son, and son-in-law to Burāk, assumed the authority, according to the latter's will.

In the same year, several of the Khwarazmi Amirs, who, on the arrival of the Mughal army at Urganj, had fled from thence, and had come to Shiraz, to the Court of Salghur Sultan, Abu-Bikr-i-Sa'd, from thence came [with their followers] to Jiraft of Kirman. They were Aor Khan, Sunj Khan, and Timur Malik, that second Rustam and second Isfandiyar, the defender of Khujand [See note at page 972, para. 3]; and from Jirast they unexpectedly made a dash upon this same Kutb-ud-Din, son of Jai-Timur-i-Taniko, but he encountered and overthrew them. Some of the party, which appears to have been not very numerous, were killed in the encounter, some were taken prisoners, and some took to flight. After the victory, Kutb-ud-Din treated his exptives with favour, gave them dresses of honour, and sent them back to Shiraz; and the Ata-Bak, Abu-Bikr, son of Sa'd, sent, and made apologies to Kuth-ud-Din, and stated that he had been totally unaware of their intention. These Kutb-ud-Din accepted, and declared himself satisfied. In 633 H. he proceeded to the Urdu of Uktae Ka'an, in order to get his accession to the sovereignty of Kirman acknowledged and confirmed, but the Ka'an directed that the Khiṭā-i, who had become ruler of Gawāṣhir and Kirmān, entered into an accommodation with the Mughal forces, and agreed upon a fixed sum as tribute which they should pay them yearly.¹ The territories of Fārs and Kirmān, through that conclusion of peace, became tranquillized, and remained safe from the molestation of the forces of the Mughal infidels. The whole of the remainder of the cities of 'Irāķ, Āzarbāijān, and Ṭabaristān, were ruined and destroyed.

At this period, likewise, armies of Mughals were nominated to march from the side of Turkistān into the territories of Kābul, Ghaznīn, and Zāwulistān; and Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Ḥasan, the Ķarlugh—the Almighty's mercy be upon him!—when he saw, on several occasions, that he could not resist the power of the infidel Mughals except in the way of enduring vassalage, submitted to be dependent on them, and consented to receive Shaḥnahs [Intendants]; and the Maliks of Ghūr and Khurāsān likewise all obtained Shaḥnahs.

The Bahādur, Tā-ir, was appointed to proceed into the territory of Hirāt from Turkistān, and Mughal forces advanced towards the country of Nim-roz. These events came to pass in Sijistān and Nim-roz, on the second occasion, during the time of Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin, the Khwārazmi, who, in Sistān, had acquired strength, and had laid in abundant stores and munitions of war. In

Kutb-ud-Din should be sent away into Khitāe to serve under the Ṣāḥib Wazir, Maḥmūd, Yalwāj, and the vassal sovereignty over Kirmān was given to the late Burāķ's son, Rukn-ud-Din, Mubārak-i-Khwājah Jūķ, and he was installed on the 28th of Sha'bān, 633 H.

Rukn-ud-Din ruled sixteen years, and was afterwards deposed by command of Mangu Kā'ān, in 650 H.; and Kutb-ud-Din, son of Jai-Timur-i-Bānīko, was restored. Subsequently, Kutb-ud-Din, by the Kā'ān's command, put the deposed Rukn-ud-Din to death.

This Jfrast is the same well-known city, two miles in extent, which, in a work entitled "Eastern Persia," "with an Introduction by Maj.-General Sir F. J. Goldsmid, K.S.I.," one of the contributors, Major O. B. St. John, R.E., says was "not a town, but a district"!

Tavernier, who visited it, says "Girefte," as he spells it, "is one of the biggest cities in the province of Kerman," and that its trade is "hones and wheat."

<sup>1</sup> See page 180. There our author says that Abū-Bikr brought dishonour and reproach upon himself through becoming tributary to the infidels. See also note <sup>5</sup> to the same page.

the year 625 H., a Mughal army entered the territory of Nim-roz and invested the fortress of Uk of Sijistān, which lies in the direction of north-east from the Shahristān of Sistān. For a period of nineteen months that army sat

When intimation reached Uktāe Kā'ān of the agitated state of affairs in the provinces of Khurāsān, he directed that the Bahādur, Tā-īr, who, as stated by our author farther on, at this time was located in the territory of Hirāt, and stationed at Bādghais, which is a dependency of Hirāt, should move from that place, with his troops, against Karāchah, one of the two Amīrs of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, referred to in the note at page 1116, who was still fighting against the Mughals. Bādghais, the chief place of this district, I beg leave to say, is not and never was called Bādkhis or Badgheis. It is the place before which Tūkchār, one of the sons-in-law of the Chingiz Khān, was killed, as mentioned in note at page 989. There is no kh in the word: it is written

Tā-ir was directed to crush Karāchah, according to the Fanākatī, and put down the outbreak with the utmost severity. He began his march accordingly, but, on the way, heard of the reduction and rout of Karāchah having been already effected by Kalbād, and that the former had taken refuge within the fortress of Uk of Sijistān or Zāwulistān. On this, the Bahādur, Tā-ir, marched against it, invested it for a period of two years; and at length, the walls having been mined, the fortress was taken.

After the Bahādur, Tā-ir, had gained possession of the fortress of Ūk of Sijistān, he despatched an agent to Chin-Timūr, saying, that, by virtue of the mandate received from the Court of the Kā'ān, the government of Khurāsān had been assigned to him, Tā'ir, and requested that Chin-Timūr would refrain from exercising any authority therein. He replied that the statement that the people of Khurāsān were rebellious was false, and that therefore he could not see why such an extensive tract of territory, and so many subjects, should be ruined on account of the misdeeds of Karāchah; no doubt referring to what had already taken place in Sijistān and Khurāsān. Chin-Timūr further stated that he would transmit a statement of the case to the Kā'ān, and act as he might be instructed.

This reply was not palatable to the agent of Tā-ir, and he left the presence of Chin-Timur in a rage. Subsequently, at Tā-ir's request, probably, the Nū-yin, Jūrmāghūn, sent to Chin-Timur, requesting that he, along with the Amirs despatched by the Princes [mentioned in the previous note] to reinforce him, would return to Khwārazm and Māzandarān, where he had been Intendant, and leave the affairs of Khurāsān in Amir Tā-ir's hands.

Chin-Timūr had nominated Kalbād, one of his principal retainers, to accompany the Amirs of the Princes on their return from Khurāsān to the presence of the Kā'ān, to do homage, and give an account of these affairs. At this juncture, Malik Bahā-ud-Din, Şa'lūk, on the stipulation that he should be allowed to proceed to the presence of the Kā'ān, came down from his stronghold in Māzandarān, and submitted. Chin-Timūr returned from Māzandarān, whither he appears to have gone; and the holders of most of the strong fortresses of Khurāsān, on the report of Bahā-ud-Din having submitted, tendered submission likewise. On the arrival of Babā-ud-Din, Chin-Timūr treated him with the utmost consideration; and another Malik, at this time, also came from Māzandarān for the purpose of proceeding to the presence of the Kā'ān to do

down before the fortress; but, notwithstanding all the efforts and exertions which the Mughal infidels used to

homage, namely, the Aşfahed [see note ', page 262, para. 4], 'Alā-ud-Din of the Kabūd Jāmah—in one copy of the Fanākati's work styled Nuṣrat-ud-Din—he having been approved of by his people and kinsmen for that purpose.

These two Maliks set out for the Urdue-Baligh, accompanied by Kalbad, in 630 H. As, previous to this occasion, not one of the great Amirs or Maliks of Māzandarān had presented himself before a Mughal sovereign. Ūktāe Kā'ān was exceeding well pleased thereat, and he ordered entertainments to be given in their honour, and showed them great favour. Chin-Timur and Kalbad, in consequence, were distinguished by the Ka'an with various favours and benefits; and Uktae observed: "During the period that Jürmaghun has been away, and has gained possession of such an extent of territory, he has never yet sent a single Malik to me, while Chin-Timur, with such a small following and slender means, has done such good service. I therefore appoint him to the government of Khurāsān [as well as Khwārazm and Māzandarān which he then held], and no other Amirs shall have aught whatever to do with those parts." Kalbad was also associated with Chin-Timur [subordinate to him]; and the Ka'an conferred, in fief, upon the Asfahed of the Kabud Jāmah, the tract extending from the limits of the Kabūd Jāmah territory to Astar-ābād, and, upon Bahā-ud-Din, Sa'lūk, the districts of Isfarāin, Jū-in, Baihak, Jā-jurm, Khūrand, and Arghaiān; and, to each of them, the Kā'ān presented a commission written in gold, and gave a yarligh, or patent, to Chin-Timur.

When Chin-Timur became duly installed, in accordance with the varligh of the Kā'an, he appointed Sharaf-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Yazdi, to be the Wazir of his government, and Bahā-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Jū-ini, the Şāḥib-i-Diwāni, or Head of the Revenue Department, and that department under him assumed order and lustre. Not long after this, Chin-Timūr was about to despatch an official, named Kūrkūz, also written Kurkūz, on a mission to the presence of the Ka'an, but Kalbad strongly objected to it, saying: "He is an I-ghur, and will take care to make matters subservient to his own interests only, and therefore it is not advisable to send him." Chin-Timūr, however, did not alter his determination, and Kūrkūz was despatched. Contrary to Kalbad's expectations, when he reached the presence of the Kā'ān, and the latter inquired of him respecting the state of the people, and the territory of Khurāsān, and other provinces under the control of Chin-Timur, he gave such details and information as greafly pleased the Kā'ān, and made him well satisfied, and he expressed himself accordingly. Kürküz was sent back again, having fulfilled his mission in the manner desired, and, the requests made having been granted, he returned; and, shortly after [in 633 H.]. Chin-Timur died.

D'Ohsson has made a muddle of these affairs, if the extract contained in the "Mongols Proper" [pp. 133, 134] be from his work, and he could scarcely have understood the passage, or else he had an incorrect manuscript before him, whereby a good man, and an efficient administrator, is turned into a tyrant, torturer, and extortioner, and a causer of disaffection through his exactions. His co-partner, Kalbād, figures under the name of Kelilat, and as the "general of Chin Timur:" and such is history!

Amfr Chin Timur having died in 633 H., Amfr Tusal—ترال whom some style (اوال was nominated to succeed him in the government of Iran-

make, in no way did they succeed in gaining possession of that fortress and city, until pestilence overcame the

Zamin [as much of it as was under Muchal sway at that period], but the executive authority was administered by his Deputy, Kürküz, already referred to. Tüsäl or Üsäl died in 638 H., and Amir Arghün, the Üir-ät, was nominated to succeed him, after he had acquired the requisite acquaintance with the duties of the office of Bakhshi-gar, and proficiency in the duties of the Batak-chiān department. He was first despatched to make a report on the manner in which Kürküz had administered the government, and, subsequently, held it himself for ten years. The fate of Kürküz will be related subsequently.

This is stated differently in Alfi, wherein it is mentioned that in the year 627 H., Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināi-Tigīn, the Khwārazmi, having raised the banner of sovereignty in Sijistān, an army of Mughals was sent against him, but under what leader is not mentioned, and that the fortress of Uk [4], which is situated in the north-east part of that territory, was invested. The investment was carried on for a period of nineteen months; and all the efforts of the Mughals to take the fortress were of no avail, until pestilence arose, and then it fell. Our author may have mistaken the year, or perhaps it is the mistake of a scribe; and, taking into consideration the date of Uktāe's accession, Alfī appears to be correct.

This is the affair related by our author above. He was resident in the neighbourhood, was moving about those parts just before these events took place—as has been related, and will be again mentioned farther on—and was personally acquainted with the defender of this fortress, and therefore is worthy of credit. Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Binal-Tigīn, must have been the person referred to by the Fanākatī as Ķarāchah, or the latter joined him. That author, however, gives no further account of these proceedings, and the two Khwārazmī Amīrs are not again referred to by him, and, such being the case, our author's account is evidently the correct one. For some account of Bināl-Tigīn and his fate, see pages 199 to 202.

Uk, which is a well-known place in history, was never called "Oke" nor "Hok," as it appears in a recent compilation, unless such can be made out of will which would be rather difficult. It has been in ruins for many years; and Afghāns have often brought me Bakhtriān and Sasāniān coins from it. Its site is between Farāh and Zaranj, or the city of Sistān or Sijistān, as it is also called.

The fortress of Ük is again mentioned, nearly three centuries after, when, in 908 H., Sulţān 'Alī, the Arghūn, brother of the Amīr, Zū-un-Nūn, Arghūn, was governor or feudatory of the territory of Sijistān, at which time, at the instigation of Khudā Kulī, governor of the fort of Lāgh, Sulţān Ḥusain Mīrzā despatched a force against Sulţān 'Alī.

The word Shahristan signifies the walls round a city, also a kuahk with many gardens; but this place is one of the very few old sites, the names of which exist unaltered to the present day. The Shahristan stands upon or

Musalmans of the fortress, and until matters reached such a pitch among the people thereof, that one or two hundred men, who would be collected together in one place, would suddenly—Be the readers preserved from such a fate!—pass to the Almighty's mercy.

Trustworthy persons have related that, one night, the people of the fortress projected the formation of an ambuscade during the night, for the purpose of repelling the Mughal troops, and that they should conceal themselves among some of the kilns outside the northern gate. It was determined that, when the morning dawned, [a body of] fighting men should issue from the eastern gate of the citadel, and engage in holy warfare [with the enemy]. and, when the Mughal troops should turn their faces towards that body of holy-warriors which should issue from the eastern gate, the kettle drums should be sounded on the summit of the fort. Then the body of men in ambush outside the northern gate should, on hearing the sound of the kettle drums, disclose the ambuscade, and should advance on the rear of the infidel army, and fight for the faith as by the tenets thereof enjoined. According to this determination, about 700 men, Tūlakis, in complete panoply, issued from the fortress at midnight, and proceeded to the spot fixed upon for the place of ambuscade, and there took up their position. At daybreak the next morning, after having performed their religious duties, the people of the fortress donned their arms and issued forth from the eastern gate, and began the attack upon the infidels. The Mughals, from their camp, turned their faces towards those Musalmans, the champions of the faith, and a severe action commenced; and, when the

close to the ruins of the place here referred to. As I have before mentioned, Zaranj was the capital city of the territory called Sijistān by 'Arabs, and by the people Sigistān and Zāwulistān; and the name of "city of Sīstān" or "Sijistān," applied to that city, is after the same fashion as styling Ūrganj, Khwārazm. The MASĀLIK WA MAMĀLIK says that an ancient city of Sīstān was called Rām Shahristān, and that Zaranj was founded by people from that place. There would be nothing easier than to take it for granted that the fortress here referred to is the citadel of the city of Sīstān, but Zaranj is not referred to. The fortress in question is a totally different place, and in a different situation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is explained at page 1062 how the Tülakİs got there.

forces on both sides mingled together at close quarters, with sword, spear, and arrow, according to the previous night's arrangement, they beat the kettle drums within the fortress for the purpose of unmasking the ambuscade. Once, twice, the drums sounded, but not a man issued from the ambuscade; and, of that whole body, not a trace could be discovered. Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigīn, despatched confidential persons, saying: "Go ye and see what is the cause of this delay of the ambuscade party." When those sent came to the spot they found the whole 700 men dead, for they had surrendered their lives to God; and there was no sign of life in any one of them. God preserve us from the like!

This catastrophe has been recorded here in order that those who look into these pages may know, for certain, that, when the wrath of God, the Most High, ariseth, such like marks of punishment are manifested.

Trustworthy persons have related that the most common and violent manner in which the pestilence affected the people of the fort of Uk of Sistan was this, that their mouths would begin to ache, and their teeth to become loose, and, on the third day, they used to resign their lives to their Creator. The state of the people of the fortress continued in this way until, suddenly, a woman among the inhabitants thereof became attacked with the aching of the mouth, and, on the second day, her teeth became loose. She had a little daughter, and, on the third night, she called her unto her, seated her by her, and said: "My dear! to-night I will anoint thy hands and feet with hinnā with mine own hands, for to-morrow is the third day, and the hour of thy mother's decease." With this view she applied hinnā to the hands and feet of her little daughter. It is usual with women that, when they apply hinnā to the hands and feet of any one, they apply the fingers to the tongue in order that the fingers may be moistened with the saliva of their mouths, and then they apply the hinnā to the part to be dyed. Having applied the hinnā to her little daughter's hands and feet, she resigned her heart to death, and went to sleep. In the morning, the

<sup>4</sup> It must be remembered that the night precedes the day in the computation of Oriental peoples.

woman's teeth had become firmly fixed at the roots, and the aching of the mouth had entirely passed away. When the third day came and passed, the neighbours and acquaintances found her recovered, and, on the fourth day, she had become quite well again.

People were astonished, and they made inquiry of her about it, saying: "How has it come about and by what means, that thou art still alive, the disease of the mouth gone, and thy teeth firm? What medicine didst thou take, and what remedy apply?" The woman replied: "I had no medicine whatever, and took none: Almighty God restored me to health." They said: "He is the Author of all things, but, really, what act didst thou put in practice, and what operation was performed by thee?" The woman mentioned the application of hinnā to her daughter's hands and feet, the incident of wetting her fingers, and, by that action, of the hinna reaching her mouth. The whole [of the neighbours and acquaintances] concurred together that the cure for this disease was hinnā; and it so happened that this circumstance reached the [hearing of the] people of the fort, and the incident became diffused, and matters came to such a state, that every one who was attacked with this disorder they used to put hinna in the mouth of, and such person would recover. A mann of hinna reached the price of two hundred and fifty golden dinars, and whoever possessed any acquired great wealth by disposing of it.

Almighty God hath many favours for His servants, but for death there is no antidote!

At last, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigīn, was struck in the eye by an arrow, and that eye was lost; and, subsequent to that accident, he was directing the defence of the fortress from the top of one of the towers, when, suddenly, he lost his footing and fell from the top of the fort to the ground, and was taken prisoner. He was made a martyr of, and the fortress of Uk of Sijistān fell into the hands of the Mughals; and the inhabitants were martyred, after a great number of the infidels had gone to hell, as has been previously recorded herein.

May God, the Most High, continue the Sultan of Islam, NAȘIR-UD-DUNYA WA UD-DIN, MAHMUD SHAH, who is

the Bādshāh of the present time, upon the throne of sovereignty, victorious and triumphant!

## ACCOUNT OF THE DESPATCHING OF MUGHAL FORCES TOWARDS GHAZNÎN AND LUHÂWAR.

When Uktāe ascended the throne, he [likewise] gave orders for forces to proceed towards <u>Gh</u>ūr and <u>Gh</u>aznīn, and the Bahādur, <u>Tā-ir</u>, who had displayed great zeal and energy during the fighting in Sijistān under the standard of one of the great Nū-ins, was made their commander. This great Mughal Nū-in [<u>Tā-ir</u>'s leader] in the fighting before Ūk of Sijistān had gone to hell, and Uktāe commanded that the Bahādur, <u>Tā-ir</u>, should become the head and commander of that Mughal army, in his place.

Written here, as elsewhere, Luhawar and Lohor.

Who this great Nū-yīn was does not appear, and he is not referred to by others. Tā-īr was in these parts, according to the Histories quoted, previous to the accession of Ūktāe Ķā'ān, as early as Rabī'-ul-Awwal, 626 H.; and, as already narrated, he was subsequently ordered to aid in putting down the outbreak of Ķarāchah, so called, in the districts dependent on Nīshābūr. After that, Tā-īr invested the fortress of Ūk of Sijistān. Our author says it held out nineteen months, but some of the Histories, quoted in the previous notes, say it occupied Tā-īr two years; and, under these circumstances, the fortress could not have fallen before the close of the year 628 H., or beginning of 629 H., and not in 625 H., as our author states at page 201. Lāhor was not taken until upwards of ten years after the affair of Ūk.

The Tārikh-i-Alfi states that, in 633 H., Üktāe despatched armies into various parts, including an army, under a leader named Mukānū [مولانو]—in some copies, Mukātū [مولانو]—towards Hind and Kashmir, and that, after ravaging many tracts of country, he returned again [into his own territory].

This is an important item of information, for it clears up a very obscure part of our author's account of Sultan I-yal-timish's reign, at page 623, when he set out towards Banian, and had to return through the illness of which he afterwards died; for our author has not stated whom he marched against, but it is evident, from the direction there indicated, and the year, that he must have been marching against this very Mukātū, when sickness obliged him to return. There is nothing mentioned in the Histories of Kashmir, of which there are several, of any such invasion.

The Nū-yłn, Mangūtah, we first hear of in Indian History, in 643 H. Mukātū must be a different person.

The capture and ruin of Hirāt by the Nū-yīn, Iljidāe, or Īlchīkdāe, nephew of the Chingiz Khān, in 620 H., and the massacre of its inhabitants, all but eighteen persons, has been already described. Of these survivors seven remained hidden among its ruins, while eleven were at Kalah-i-Koh, and the Khatīb, the Maulānā, Sharaf-ud-Dīn, was included among them.

### When they [the Mughal troops] from Sijistan entered

After the infidel Mughals, and Tāttārs, had taken their departure from Hirāt and its territory, these sixteen persons—all men, it appears—issued from their places of concealment, and assembled before the shop of a certain halwā-f or confectioner, and began to look about them in all directions. Seeing no one, they stroked their faces and exclaimed: "Thanks be unto God, that during our existence we can once more breathe freely!" After this, these sixteen, the unfortunate remnant of the inhabitants of Hirāt, were joined by twenty-four others from the places adjacent to that city; and, for sixteen years, it is said, "there were no other inhabitants in Khurāsān; and, for some time, from the banks of the Jiḥūn or Āmūfah to the territory of Astar-ābād, if there were any people who had escaped with their lives in some out-of-the-way place, they must have existed upon such things as the dead left unconsumed."

These forty persons passed their time in the tomb of Sultan Ghiyag-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī, which had not been destroyed by the infidels. A full account of the matter of these persons, and their names, is recorded in the History of Hirāt.

In the year 635 H.—but Alff says in 634 H.—Üktāe Kā'ān gave orders that the cities of Khurāsān destroyed by the Mughals should be restored, and among them was Hirāt; and those people of the weaver class, who had been removed from Hirat when that city surrendered to Tuli Khan in 618 x., and had been located in Turkistan and Mughalistan by him, were made the instruments in commencing this good work. The chief men and heads of families among these weavers were the Mukaddam [he is also styled Amir and Peshwa. but not signifying a chief, a noble, or a leader here, but Provostl of the Guild of Weavers of Hirat, 'Izz-ud-Din, Hirawi [from Hiri or Hirat]; Jalal-ud-Din, Mālāni: Sā'id, Bādghaisi; and five others. It had been mentioned to the Kā'ān that one of the cities in question was Hirāt, and he had been told much respecting its former populousness, wealth, and prosperity; so he was the more desirious of re-peopling it. 'Izz-ud-Din, the Provost, with his family and kinsmen, had been located at Bish-Baligh; and he had obtained access to the Ka'an's presence, and used, every year, to manufacture a thousand suits [pieces, probably] of clothes of excellent quality for his use. He was now summoned to the presence of Uktae, who said: "I am going to send thee for the purpose of re-peopling and restoring Hirat. Art thou able to do so. quickly, thinkest thou, so that people may be able to say that Hirat is something like itself again?" 'Izz-ud-Din replied that, under favour of such a great monarch, he could do so; and that every year he would send, for the Kā'ān's use, 2000 suits of clothes of various delicate colours, such as, in the atmosphere of those northern parts, it was impossible to produce, equal in colours and texture to those of Khurāsān. Üktāe, accordingly, despatched him; and he was allowed to take fifty of his people along with him, and was also furnished with a mandate to collect people from all parts of Khurāsān, and locate them at Hirāt.

'Izz-ud-Din set out; and, on the arrival of the exiles in the neighbourhood of Hirāt, the Khaṭib, Sharaf-ud-Din, and the others, who had been living in concealment, came forth to meet them, and conducted them into Hirāt; and they set about its restoration. Having made some progress, in the following year [636 H.], the Provost, 'Izz-ud-Din, set out for the ardā of the Kā'ān to arrange certain matters in connexion with the restoration of the city, and made a request that he might be permitted to remove to Hirāt his own family, and

Khurāsān, the Nū-in, Anbān, and the Nū-in, Nikū-dar, and the troops which were in the territory of Ghūr and Khurāsān, marched towards Ghaznin. Previous to this, they had driven from Baniān Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Ḥarlugh, and had entered into a stipulation with him for payment of tribute, but, notwithstanding this, they were desirous of getting Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Ḥarlugh, into their hands, and they had been unable to

also the two hundred other families located in Turkistan and Mughalistan. His request was so far complied with that he was allowed to take away with him his own family, and one hundred—some say fifty—other families; but, on reaching Fāryāb, death overtook him. On this, his son, Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, who then succeeded to his father's office and title of Provost, conducted the families to Hirāt, after which he retraced his steps to the presence of Ūktāe. This was in the year in which Mahmūd, the Tārāni, broke out in Bukhārā. Having reached the Kā'ān's Urdū, he solicited that a Shahnah or Intendant should be appointed to Hirāt, and a Dāroghah [Warden, Provost, etc.]. A Kārlūgh Turk, but whose name is not mentioned, unfortunately, was nominated to the first-mentioned office, and a Mughal named Mangasāe to the last. The former was of the same tribe—but, probably, of the other branch—as Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Kārlūgh, referred to in the text above. See note 1, next page.

Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, and the Karlügh, reached Hirat together, but the control of the civil affairs was left, as before, in the Provost's hands. In a short time the Jū-e Injil—a canal so called—was opened and brought into Hirat, and the Burj-i-Karlügh built, and named after the Intendant in question. In 638 H. Malik Majd-ud-Din, the Kal-yūni, was made governor of Hirat, by command of Batū Khān [this must have been at the time Üktāe, from his excessive inebriety, had to be looked after, as stated in note 2, page 1142, and Batū Khān did so by virtue of his position as head of the family. He subsequently exercised authority after Üktāe's decease, and again during the interregnum after Kyūk Khān's death, as will be noticed farther on] and the Mukaddam, Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, was relieved of his duties. Majd-ud-Din, in concert with the Kārlūgh Intendant, opened the Alanjān canal, which became the source of such great prosperity to the Hirat district.

Majd-ud-Din was put to death, after the decease of Üktäe Ķā'ān, in 640 H., by command of the Nū-yin, Kūrkūz, and his head was brought to him at Tūs; but, in the following year, Prince Bātū, as my authority styles him, made Majd-ud-Din's son, Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad, the Kāl-yūni, governor of Hirāt, but he died from the effects of poison in the following year.

7 This name is somewhat doubtful. It is written Anbān—الباله as above, آامة المسالة ا

\* The word used in the text——means "extirpated," "rooted out," "driven out," etc.; but, had such been the case, he could not have been made a tributary. He had been already reduced to subjection and made tributary, as already stated at page 1119.

effect their object. In the year 636 H., however, they suddenly and unexpectedly attacked Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, and he fled discomfited from Karmān, Ghaznin, and Baniān, and came towards the Multān territory, and the country of Sind. At that period the throne of Hindustān was adorned by the Sultān Raziyyat—May she rest in peace!—the daughter of the august Sultān, Shamsud-Din, I-yal-timish; and the eldest son of Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, presented himself before the Dihli Court, and, by way of beneficence, the territory [fief] of Baran was assigned to him. Some time passed, when,

<sup>9</sup> See note <sup>7</sup>, page 498, para. 4.

<sup>1</sup> Sultān Raziyyat reached Dihlf, from Lāhor, on the 19th of <u>Sh</u>a'bān, the eighth month of 637 H.

This favour was shown towards him because he was a Turk, as Raziyyat's father was, and also because a great number of the principal nobles were Turks also. His name and title are Malik Nāṣir-ud-Din, Muḥammad. See page 861. Had he been a Mughal he would probably have been put to death, or kept in durance until he died, as Barkā Khān's agents were, as will be found mentioned farther on, although their sovereign was a Musalmān.

The Kärlüghs or Karlughs, or Kärlüks or Karluks, as the name is also written, here referred to, belong to that portion of the tribe mentioned in note 5, page 374, but I may add that there is no tribe of "Koorloogh (properly [!] called Kharlokh or Qarluk)" known to history. See Journal Ro. Geogr. Soc., 1872, note to page 509.

Thomas ["Pathán Kings"], noticing the coins of those Turkish chiefs, says that "Saif ud din Hasan Karlagh," as he styles him, "was one of the leading generals of Jalál ud din Mankbarnin," but where is the authority for that statement? This chief has been mistaken for Saif-ud-Dīn, Ighrāk, a totally different person, who deserted the Sulţān, after his victory over the Mughals near Barwān; but he and all his followers were destroyed within a few months of Sulţān Jalāl-ud-Dīn's defeat on the Indus in 618 H., as mentioned in Yāfa'-i, in the Jahān-Kushā, and others, and detailed at the end of note , page 1021. Hasan, the Kārlūgh, does not appear on the scene, east of the Indus, until many years after this event. See the preceding page, and page 720.

At page 96 of his work, Thomas quotes Major-General A. Cunningham's "Archæological Report to the Government of India," for 1863-4, to show who the "Karlaghs" were, but that statement is equally erroneous. Cunningham makes them out to be Indo-Scythians, and subjects or dependents of the rulers of Dihlf, which they never were, the bestowal of Baran upon Hasan's son, notwithstanding, since he left the Dihlf frontier very soon after, and, probably, never went to Baran at all. His going thither, moreover, would not have made his family and tribe, west of the Indus, dependents upon the Dihlf empire.

In order to dispel this very erroneous supposition, and to throw some light upon the matter, it will be well to give, in the first place, a short extract from the "Report" quoted by Thomas. Major-General Cunningham says:—

unexpectedly, he left it; and, without the permission of the Sultan, returned to the presence of his father.

"The first invasion of the Indo-Scythians must have caused a very general displacement of the ruling races. . . . The vanquished would naturally have sought refuge in the less accessible districts around, and to this period, therefore, I would refer the settlement of the Awáns and Janjuhas in the Salt Range to the south, and the Gakars in the hilly tracts of Pharwála and Dángali to the south-east" [but what have they to do with the KARLÜGH TURKS?].

"Of their subsequent history but little is recorded; we know only that they were divided into several branches, and that they all became Muhammadans, [When, or in what year?] In the time of Baber, the ruling tribe, called the Karluki Hasáras, héld the districts on both banks of the lower Suhán river, under the chiefs Sangar Khán Karluki and Mirza Malvi Karluki. At a still earlier period the chiefs of this tribe [!], Hasan Karluk and his son Muhammad; had asserted their independence [of whom? and what history says so?], by striking coins in their own names. The coins of the father are of the well known 'Bull and Horseman' type, with the legend in Nágari letters, 'Sri Hasan Karluk.' The coins of the son are of three different kinds, two with Persian characters only, and the third with Persian on one side and Nágari on the other. On the last coin there is a rude figure of a horse surrounded by the chief's name, Núser [sic] ud dunid wa ud din, in Persian letters, and on the reverse his name in three lines of Nágari letters, Srí Muhammad Karluk. On one of the Persian coins this chief calls himself Muhammad bin Hasan Karluk مرلغ,), and on the other he takes the title of ul-Malik ul-Mua'sam bin Hasan. From the types and general appearance of these coins their date may be fixed with certainty as coëval with those of Altamish [I-yal-timish?] and his sons, or from A.D. 1210 to 1265. The accuracy of this date is strongly confirmed by Ferishtah's account [Dow's or Briggs's Ferishtah?] of the first campaign of Náser-ud-dín Mahmúd, the youngest son of Altamish. In July, A.D. 1247, Mahmud proceeded to Multan [This is quite a mistake. See this Translation, pages 677, 678, 679, 814, and 815. Multan is a blunder in the Calcutta Printed Text for Banian-ul--and, moreover, the expedition was against "the infidels of Chin"—the Mughals—and "the Ranah of the Jud Hills"— "Jas-Pāl, Sihrā," and the Khokhars, not the Kārlūgh Turks, who had been expelled from their own territory by the Mughals. Mahmud left the capital, not in July-the height of the hot season, but in Rajab, 644 H., about 15th November, 1247 A.D., and advanced to the Sūdharah and the Jhilam, not the "Chenáb"], from whence he sent his Vazer towards the mountains of Jud and the provinces [Whose provinces?] on the Indus. . . . . According to this account, the rebellion (against whom was this rebellion, and what History says so?] lasted for about twelve years, from the death of Altamish, in A.D. 1235, until the close of Mahmúd's campaign in the end of 1247 [Did the rebellion end then?]. It is to this period that I refer the assumption of independence [of whom?] by Hasan Karluk and his son Muhammad. The age of these coins, as I have observed, corresponds exactly with the date of this rebellion, and the coins themselves before [sic] are found in greatest number in the rebellious districts of the mountains of Jud."

The remarks on these coins, and their correctness, are such as we might expect from Major-General Cunningham's knowledge of the subject, but the

On Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Ḥarlugh, entering the country of Sind, the territory of Ghaznin, and Kar-

historical, as shown by our author, in various places in his History, and by many other writers, are entirely erroneous, and are further proofs of the danger of trusting to translations of "Ferishtah."

For what "can be made of the Indo-Scythian theory" in the "Report" in question, see the very pertinent remarks of Beames in his edition of Elliot's "Memoirs on the History, Folk-lore, and Distribution of the Races of the North Western Provinces of India," London, 1869, pages 112, 113, 136, and 137; but Tājzīks, as well as Turks, have been brought under the "Indo-Scythian"

system lately, as I have noticed in another place.

General Cunningham connects the Karlughs with his "Indo-Scythians" of the Salt Range, and makes Hasan, the Karlugh, and his son, Awans and Janjhūhis, and subjects of the Dihli kingdom, as he alludes to their "rebellion." They were, in no wise, connected with the Awans or Janjhuhis, for the very cogent reason that the Karlughs, who are constantly mentioned in the account of the Mughals, are Turks, and were never subject to Dihli. Neither I-bak nor I-yal-timish held any part of the Sind-Sagar Do-abah, which, as well as the country as far east as the Rāwi, for some time was held by I-yalduz, and the southern part of that Do-ābah by Kabā-jah. That portion of the Ghūri empire held by I-yal-dūz, consequent on his captivity and subsequent death, fell under the sway of the Sultan of Khwarazm, to whom the Karlugh Turks were subject; and, in the time of the Chingiz Khan's advance to the Indus, Kamr-ud-Din, the Karmani, had only shortly before been ousted from the fortress of NANDANAH, by the Khwarazmi Amirs [See note 1, page 534, para. 7, and page 750]. Hasan, the Karlugh, may have been connected with this Kamr-ud-Din, and he may even have been Hasan's father, for it is certain that Hasan, the Karlugh, did hold Karman, as our author states above. There were a number of Turkish tribes settled between Kābul and the Indus. reason why these coins are found in the parts indicated by General Cunningham is, either that they formed part of the district or province of Bantan, or adjoined it, and Banian was held by the Karlughs. Naşir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shāh, of Dihli, never held any territory west of the Jhilam, although Ulugh Khān, his lieutenant, did, on one occasion, penetrate into it as far as the Indus; and, soon after, Mahmud's territory extended no farther west than the Biah, as already stated. See also note \*, page 862.

Bābar says—I quote from his Memoirs translated into Persian, of which there are two versions, and have compared them with the Turkish original—"In the Zafar Nāmah, and some other books, this range [the Jūd Mountains] is called and written, Koh-i Jūd. Why it received this appellation was not discovered at first, but, afterwards, it was found that Jūd and Janjhūhah were two septs descended from one original. . . . In one half of this range are the Jūd, and in the other the Janjhūhah [or Janjhūhā]. The greatest of them receives the name of Rāe, and his brothers and sons receive that of Malik. The Malik of the īls and ulūsīs round about the river Sūhān was Malik Haibat. . . . As these few districts, such as Bharah, Khūsh-āb, etc., had previously been held by the Turks, I was desirous of getting possession of them. . . . . Sankar or Sangar Khān, the Janjhūhah, came in the same day . . . . There are Balūchīs in the Bharah district. . . . There are Jats and Gūjars as far as the mountains of Kashmīr, and among these are numerous other

mān,<sup>2</sup> remained in the hands of the Mughal Shahnahs [Intendants], until the year 639 H., when the Mughal forces, and the troops of Ghūr,<sup>2</sup> were directed to advance to Lohor. The Bahādur, Ṭā ir, who was in possession of Hirāt and Bādghais, and other Nū-ins who were holding

peoples." Bābar, of course, does not mean that these peoples were Turks. They had been settled in these parts long before the Turks, even if we go as far back as Maḥmūd of Ghaznīn, the "Pathán" Turk of Dow, BRIGGS, etc. The peoples which Bābar refers to are Awān-kār, Gahep, Jat, Janjhūhī, Ḥālup, Bhanehr, Bhaghīāl, Kahūn Jat, Mālir Jat, Kassur Jat, Kahtar, Gakhar, Taṭrī, also called Rāṭhh, Gahrāl, besides Khokhars immediately south of the Jūd Mountains in the Bharah and Khūsh-āb districts, and a few others.

Bābar also mentions giving "Nīl-āb and the Hazārah-i-Ķārlūķ or Ķārlūgh Humāyūn," and "Sunkar or Sungar, Ķārlūķ, Mirzāe Malawī [فرى], Ķārlūķ, with some thirty or forty chief men of Ķārlūķ" coming in, and making "over the īls and ulīsīs, such as Ķārlūķ, Hazārah, Hālī, Dāl, etc."

Because Bābar uses the Turkish words  $\bar{\imath}l$  and  $ul\bar{\imath}s$  for tribe and clan, in writing of them in his work, it must not be supposed that all the people must be Turks on that account.

We commonly hear of this tract of country referred to as Chach Hazārah, and Taḥt Hazārah, but, according to fact, Chachch is separate from Hazārahi-Kārlūgh, and the former lies nearer the Indus—along its banks really. It is probable that some Kārlūghs may have been permanently located in this part, as well as its being subject to the Kārlūghs, as previously referred to, but how it became styled Hazārah is very difficult to say, because, among all the mings or hazārahs of the Chingiz Khān, there was not one of Kārlūgh Turks, but a Kārlūgh contingent of that portion of the tribe which continued in its old seats did serve with the armies of the Chingiz Khān, in Khurāsān and Ghūr, under their chief, Arsalān Khān of Kaiālik, previously mentioned in several places in this Translation.

What makes the matter still more complex is, that, in the original Memoirs of Bābar, I find, referring to the habitation of Tātār, the Gakhar, that it was situated much lower down than the Kärlik or Kārlūk [it is written both ways in the original, and with gh for k for the final letter] Tagh-قارليق لاغدين خيلي which, in one of the Persian versions, is translated as "much lower down than the koh-i-Kārlī'," thus retaining the Turkish word, while the other version gives the proper translation, "the koh-i-barf-dar, or Snowy Mountains, which is to say, the Pir Pinjal Mountains." It strikes me, therefore, that the non-translation of the word karlik or karlugh, signifying "snowy," or "pertaining to snow" [See the note on the Descent of the Turks, and the term Karlik or Karlugh, page 877]—the origin of the name of the tribe—in the Persian version referred to, has had something to do with this district having been styled "Hazārah-i-Kārlūk," and "Kārlūk Hazārah," as well as from the probability of Kārlūgh Turks having been once stationed therein, but who had no blood connexion whatever with the so-called "Indo-Scythians."

- <sup>2</sup> There were no Afghan tribes, at this period, dwelling in Karman; they were located farther south, and south-west, and their power was not great.
- <sup>3</sup> Troops raised in those parts, or rather the contingents of those Musalman chiefs and petty rulers who had submitted to the Mughal yoke.

possession of the territories of <u>Gh</u>ūr, <u>Gh</u>aznin, the Garmsir, and <u>Tukh</u>āristān, the whole of them, with their troops, arrived on the banks of the river Sind. At this time, Malik Kabir <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Ayāz was the feudatory of Multān, and Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Ķarā-Ķush, was feudatory of Lohor, and the throne of sovereignty had devolved upon Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām <u>Sh</u>āh.

When the news of the arrival of the Mughal forces reached Multan, Malik Kabir Khan-i-Ayaz, for the sake of his own dignity, assumed a canopy of state, assembled troops, and made ready to do battle with the infidels.5 On information of the number of his followers reaching the Mughal camp, those infidels came to the determination of advancing towards Lohor, and they appeared before the gate of that city. The Hisar of Lohor was unprepared with either stores, provisions, arms, or war materials; and the people of Lohor were not united, and did not harmonize together. Most of the inhabitants of the city were merchants and traders, and had undertaken journeys, during the time of the Mughals, into the upper parts, into Khurāsān and Turkistān, and, by way of precaution, every one of them had obtained a pass from the Mughal, and a safe conduct, and, knowing this, in defending and fighting for the safety of the Hisar of Lohor, they used not to act in unison with Malik Karā-Kush, and would neither render assistance nor make resistance, nor encounter the enemy. The troops of Islām did not assemble together, on this account, that the Turk and Ghūri Maliks were distrustful of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah; and, consequently, the army did not speedily set out from Dihli for the purpose of repelling the Mughals.8

For some time fighting went on before the gate of the city of Lohor, and the Mughal army planted a great number of catapults round about the fortifications of that

<sup>4</sup> See page 655.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See the account of Malik Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz at page 727, and page 730

Frofit, advantage, etc., instead of بايزة exemption, immunity, and the like.

<sup>7</sup> A walled city with a castle or citadel.

For the causes which led to the delay in relieving Lähor, see pages 655, 656, and 657.

The manjanik, or kird—the catapult or mangonel, which, under the name

## THE TABAKAT-I-NASIR!

city, and destroyed the walls; and, to such degree as Malik Karā-Kush was able, he remained and resisted the infidels. On becoming aware of the disunion and discordant inclinations of the inhabitants, and, as the Kāzī and chief personages used to show great misconduct in keeping guard on the walls of the city, Malik Karā-Kush knew that the upshot of affairs would be disastrous, and that the preservation of that city was beyond his power and capability. He therefore came out of it with the troops his followers, under the pretext of a night attack, made a dash upon the camp of the infidels, and, in one charge, broke through the ranks of the Mughal army, and set out for Dihli. In that charge some of the principal females of his haram and of his retinue got separated from him. A number of his people were slain and made martyrs of, and some, in the darkness of night, and in the tumult, threw themselves off the backs of the horses and hid themselves among the ruins and grave-vards. During that tumult likewise, the females of the Malik's haram managed to conceal themselves somewhere.

The following day, when the inhabitants of the city and fortress of Lohor, and the Mughal forces, became aware of Malik Karā-Kush's evacuation of the place, and of his flight, the hearts of the former entirely broke, and the Mughals became still more bold; and they captured the city. Conflicts arose in every quarter of it, and the Musalmāns fought continuously with the infidels; but two bands of Musalmāns, in that disaster, girded up their lives like their waists, and firmly grasped the sword, and, up to the latest moment that a single pulsation remained in their dear bodies, and they could move, they continued to wield the sword and to send Mughals to hell, until the time when both bodies, after fighting gallantly for a long period against the infidels, attained the felicity of martyrdom. One of that [band of] heroes was Ak-Sunkar, the sene-

of trebuchet, will be found in FROISSART, and engraved in GROSE'S Military Antiquities—was a kind of mechanical sling for casting stones, earth, and fire against an enemy. Balista is not a correct rendering of the word, for a balista or balister is a cross-bow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He was a Turk. His name signifies the white sunkar, or gerfalcon, as is supposed, referred to at page 752, note <sup>6</sup>.

schal of Lohor, who, with his dependents, in combat, and in conflict, surpassed, a thousand times, Rustami-Dastān; and the other hero was Din-dār Muḥammad, the Amīr-i-Ākhur [of Lohor], who, along with his sons and dependents—May the Almighty reward them!—on that day, waged holy-warfare, as by the tenets of the faith enjoined, and fought against the unbelievers in such manner as if the purified soul of 'Alī-i-Murtazā—May God be gracious to him!—in concert with the whole of the prophets and apostles, were showering blessings upon him from the garden of paradise.

When the Mughal forces captured the city, they martyred all the inhabitants or made captives of them; but such a number of Mughals went to hell as cannot be computed or numbered—about 30,000 or 40,000 Mughal horsemen, with 80,000 horses, indeed more than they. There was not a person among the infidel army that did not bear the wound of arrow, sword, or nāwak. The greater number of the Mughal Nū-ins and Bahādurs also departed to hell, and among them was the Bahādur, Tā-ir. He had encountered Ak-Sunkar, lance to lance, and they had wounded each other with those weapons Tā-ir, the Bahādur, went to hell, and Ak-Sunkar, the lion-hearted,

<sup>3</sup> See note <sup>7</sup>, page 422.

The Mughals obtained possession of the city on Monday, the 16th of

Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 639 H

The Tārīkh-i-Alfi says this happened in 628 of the Rihlat = 638 H. Quoting Pro-Mughal Histories, it says, an army of Mughals and Tāttārs crossed the river Sind, and invested Luhāwūr—, y — soon captured it, and made the younger part of the inhabitants, and the clu dren, captive. Kuţb-ud-Din, Hasan, the Ghūrī, was sent with an army from Dihli agr inst them, but he was too late. By the time he reached these parts the unvaders had gone off. This is a specimen how history is falsified to suit certain purposes. No notice of the resistance made, nor of the losses sustained by the invaders, is eve hinted at.

4 An arrow discharged through a tube—probably a cross-bow or balista, or something similar.

It is scarcely probable that our author is correct as to Tā-ir having been killed on this occasion, for the I'ro-Mughal writers mention him after this affair, and state that when, in 65 IH., Kubiline, brother of Mangu Kā'ān, was despatched into Karā Jāng, Tā-ir was sent with an army into Kaghmir and Hindustān, and that, when he dird, the Nū-yin, Sāli, referred to at pages 711 and 862, got the command. Sāli, Sāri, or Sālin, as it is also written, was of one of the Tāttār tribes.

passed into paradise—"One company to heaven: one to the flaming fire."

After the Mughal forces had destroyed Lohor, and retired, Malik Karā-Kush turned back again towards the city from the vicinity of the river Biāh, for, on the night of his flight from thence, his Jāmah-dārs [wardrobe keepers] had abandoned property of great value, consisting of pure gold, and other valuables; and, they having marked the spot, he returned to search for and recover the property. On reaching the city of Lohor he recovered it, for it had not fallen into the hands of the Mughals.

On the departure of the Mughal infidels, the Hindū Khokhars and Gabr <sup>7</sup> wretches had come to Lohor, and were committing destruction. Malik Karā-Kush discovered them, despatched the whole of them to hell, and afterwards reached the Court of Dihli in safety.

May Almighty God accord victory to the lords and chiefs of the government of the Sultan of the Sultans of Islam, and overthrow the foes of his kingdom! Amin!

# ACCOUNT OF THE DEATH OF UKTĀE, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHĀN.

A tradition to this effect had been handed down from the ancients—May God reward them!—that, when the

- 6 Kur'An: Chap. XLII., verse 5.
- 7 Not mentioned under the events of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shāh's reign. Khokhars are not Gakhars, I beg leave to say, although the latter are constantly confounded with them by writers who do not know of the former. See note at page 484.
  - If so, what prevented him from taking possession of Lahor again?
- The Altān Khān, Shūdai-Shū-o-sū-o-o-the "Ninkiassu" of some European writers, had withdrawn, as previously narrated under the reign of the Chingiz Khān, from his capital, Chūng-dū, Ching-dū, or Chingtū, to Taiming, or, as the Fanākatī writes it, Tāyāng fū, the "Pian-kin" of Europeans, said to be "the present Fai-fong-fu;" and the Mughals had become predominant over a great part of the territory of Khitā, or northern empire of the Kin, as they are termed by the Chinese. The other dynasty of the Song, as the Chinese style them, ruled what the Muhammadan Historians and Hindūs call Mahā-Chin, or Southern China. See note on the Karā-Khitā-is, page 912, and what our author states respecting the fall of the Āltān Khāns at pages 963 to 966, which differs considerably.

outbreak of the Turks should arise, and the narrow-eyed should seize upon the universe, and they should devastate

This was but a very partial conquest however on the part of the Mughals, for, during the absence of the Chingiz. Khān in Māwarā-un-Nahr and Mādūn-un-Nahr, the Mughals had been exceedingly hard pressed, and he hastened his return on that account. Soon after his death, during the two and a half years' interregnum, the Āltān Khān's forces had twice defeated the Mughals towards the end of 625 H. and in 627 H.

It has been already mentioned in note \$, page 1115, that Tūlī, during the interregnum which arose after his father's death, and before Ūktāe was raised to the throne, despatched troops into the territory of Kolghān or Kolkān, under the Nū-yīn, Iljidāe or Īlchīkdāe [of Hirāt atrocities], and that it was reduced, and a Tingkūt Amīr left to hold it.

Uktāe Ķā'ān, therefore, as soon as he had settled the government of the empire, provided for making other conquests in the west, securing what had already been partially acquired, and prepared for the final conquest of Khitāe. Some writers say he set out in 629 H.—this seems merely to be an error, which is confirmed by several others, of which is confirmed by several others, of which is confirmed by several others, of which is confirmed by several others, of which is confirmed by his brother Tuli, and some say Chaghatāe also went, but this, apparently, is a mistake—the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā says Kyūk was present as well as Chaghatāe. Having reached the N.W. parts of the Khitāe empire, several strong cities, and a large tract of country were subdued.

These successes, however, were not so great as expected, and, in the autumn following, Tült Khan, accompanied by the Juzbi, Tükülkü or Tükülküchi, as it is also written, was despatched with an army, consisting of two tumans, to enter Khitae by the southern route through Tibbat, and near the northern frontier of the empire of Mahā-Chin, while Uktāe Kā'ān took the more direct route from the spot where he had passed the summer, north of the Great Barrier or Wall. On his way he had reached the territory of the Holak and Kulfan [هولاق و کليان]—that is to say, a people whose garments and caps were all red and reduced it to subjection. Tuli's force, on the other hand, was nearly perishing of famine, so that his men were reduced to eating human flesh and dry grass; and his further progress was stopped until aid was sent him. He subsequently renewed operations, and advanced over was in 628 II. mountains and through plains like the ocean until he reached a city styled n ] the Rauzat-uş-Şatā has Sining-جوجاهو قستين on the banks of the Kara-Mur-an. After an investment of forty days the city surrendered, but 12,000 men of the troops stationed there succeeded in getting on board vessels and escaping down the river, and, on this account, the inhabitants were massacred, and their women and children were made captives. Tuli, after this, proceeded onwards, with the object of reaching the Altan Khān's capital, Ching-dū or Tāyāng-fū, when he reached the Kahlukah [قبالة] or Pass called Kongkahān [نونگفهای], which was a most difficult Pass, between two lofty mountains, and the only route in that direction. Tuli was in hopes of finding it open, but he beheld it occupied by a host of Khita-i troops, under two generals named Kadac Ranko or Rango and Kamar Takodar or Tagodar. occupying fortifications within, and drawn up in the plain [without or in front ?]. To enable him to get out of this insurmountable difficulty, and prevent pursuit, tradition says he had recourse to a Kankuli conjurer, who, by

the countries of 'Ajam and kingdoms of I-ran, whenever an army of them should reach Lohor, the dominion of that

means of the Yadah-Tāsh or Rain Stone, mentioned ir my account of the descent of the Turks, raised such a storm of wind, rain, and snow, and thick mist, that, while its effects completely impeded the Khita-is, it enabled Tüli and his army, under its cover, to escape without being pursued, with the intention of pushing northwards, in order to effect a junction with the main army under Üktäe Ka'an. When he reached the banks of the Kara-Mur-an [again? The map shows the locality, where the Hoang-ho, after making a bend of several hundred miles nearly due S., turns to the E. again, in about Lat. 34° N., and Long. 110° 21' E.] he sent out the Nū-yin, Jifan, the Tingkūt, the adopted son of the Chingiz Khan, by some called Jifa Būka Khan, with a party, along its banks to search for a place practicable for crossing. happened that the river had been greatly flooded some time before, and vast quantities of stones and sand had been brought down, which had accumulated at a certain point not far from the place where Tuli then was, and had caused the river to separate into a number of channels, and the water, being thereby greatly spread out, more than a league in breadth, became much less in depth. The identical place, after some search, was found, and Tuli and his whole army passed over without much difficulty. The Rauzat-uş-Şafā says no one had ever crossed the Kara-Mür-an before this occasion-by fording, probably, he means.

Üktāe had received alarming news of Tūli's situation, and was in a state of great anxiety respecting him. When he arrived, therefore, his delight was great, and he received him with much affection and great honour. This was in 628 H., according to Alfi, but the Fanakati says it was in 629 H. successes are said to have followed after this, but the Altan Khan's capital still remained to be reduced. The Ka'an now determined to return to his own royal place of residence, the Ulugh Yurat, taking Tuli along with him, and to leave the Juzbi, Tukulku or Tukulkue, with several great Amirs, and a numerous army, to remain in Khitae to carry out the conquest of the Altan Khan's dominions, leisurely and deliberately, and the 'Aziz, Yalwaj, was left to administer the civil and revenue affairs of the conquered territory, and such as might be subdued. Tull asked permission to be allowed to return in advance. which was granted; but the Ka'an passed the following hot season at a place in Khitāe, which is known as Altā-karā, and only reached the Ulugh Yūrat in the beginning of the following winter [628-629 H.]. Tüli died on the way homewards. This was the same year that [ūrmāghūn crossed the Àmūfah.

In the following year, the Juzbi, Tükülkü, fought a great battle with the Khiţā-is, before the capital of the Altān Khān, and, after making great efforts to overcome them, was totally defeated and routed, and had to fall back some distance. He despatched swift messengers, and asked for aid from the Kā'ān, who directed that a large army should forthwith march to his assistance; and, as there was enmity of long standing between the sovereign of Mahā-Chin ar i the Altān Khān of Khiṭāe, Ūktāe Kā'ān sent to ask the former to join him in attacking the Khiṭā-is at this juncture, and to send his troops to operate from the south, while the Mughals, from the opposite direction, should again march on Tamking [Like], the Taiming of others. The Bādṣḥāh of Mahā-Chin agreed to this, and despatched an army for the pur-

race would begin to decline, and the power of the infidels to diminish.

pose. Such being the case, when the reinforcements despatched by the Kā'ān joined him, the Juzbt, Tūkūlķū, was enabled to resume the offensive; and the Khiṭā-ts, having been beaten in the open field, took shelter within the walls of the capital, which was soon after closely invested, by the Mughals on one side, and the Mahā-Chints on the other.

For some time the Khita-i commanders concealed the position of affairs from the Altan Khan; but, at length, the true state of the case having reached him through some of the ladies of his haram, as it appeared certain that the place must soon fall, he determined to go out on the walls and see for himself. He found that the report was too true, and resolved to fly; and, having embarked, with his wives and other females of his family, his personal attendants and household slaves, on board vessels, by means of the canal which had been cut from the Karā-Mūr-ān, and brought into the midst of the capital, Tamking, he made his escape. He was again pursued by the Mughals, upon which he fled to another city, and was again followed. At length he reached a third city, but the Mughals, like fate, still pursued him. At last, when they had succeeded in investing the third city, which is called Baltae in the Tārikh-i-Jahān-gir, and had set it on fire on all sides, the Altan Khan summoned his chief men around him, and, telling them that he could not bear the idea of falling into the hands of the enemy, placed the diadem on the brow of one of his Korchis, or guards, caused him to don the royal robes, and seated him in his own seat upon the throne. He then went out from among them, and hung himself to a tree. He was found in this position, taken down, and buried.

There is considerable discrepancy respecting the fate of the last Åltān Khān. Some say that he donned the dress of n ascetic, and was never heard of more; others again assert, that, when the city of Baltāe was taken, he fled and disappeared; and some say that he fled to a fortress on the frontiers of his territory, and, having caused a funeral pyre to be prepared, when the Mughals attacked the place, mounted it with his wife and child, fired it, and perished. The Khiṭā-is, however, affirm that, when the Mughals set fire to the city of Baltāe in all directions, the Åltān Khān perished in the flames; but the previous statement is notorious that he hung himself to a tree, and, two days after he left the Korchī disguised in his robes, the Mughals captured the city. This event happened in Jamādī-ul-Awwal, the fifth month of 631 H., about March, 1233 A.D. Thus fell the empire of the Āltān Khāns, and thus perished the last ruler of the thirty-six dynasties which had reigned over Khiṭāe

From the time of the investment of his capital, and his flight from city to city, two years passed away; and, after the Altan Khān's death, the whole of his dominions, by degrees, were reduced under the sway of the Mughals.

Üktäe Kään, after reaching his own urdū, on his return from the campaign in Khiṭāe, founded a kaṣr or castellated palace [see note a, page 331, where kaṣr is described] near Karā-Kuram, and "they style it Karshi." It was not called "Ordu Balik," neither was it "the great city," nor "a celebrated city," nor "had he fixed his court" there. It was a kaṣr [whatever it might subsequently have become], and it was surrounded by tents of felt, for the Mughals, be it remembered, did not dwell in houses. In course of time some of the Princes and great Amīrs began to erect dwellings for themselves; and, as I

At the time that the writer of these words, Minhāj-i-Sarāj—May God direct him aright!—was about seven

have before mentioned, on the authority of authors who were servants of the Mughal sovereigns, the Ulugh Yūrat, also styled the Aṣal Yūrat, or original Yūrat of the Chingiz Khān, where he had fixed his dwelling, refers to Kalūrān and Karā-Kuram, which, subsequently, became known as the Urdūe-Bālīgh. Alfi, on the contrary, says it was styled Targhū-Bālīgh. The latter word is the same precisely as contained in Bīsh-Bālīgh. This kaṣr is said to have been two leagues distant from Karā-Kuram.

His kishlāk was enclosed on four sides with a wall or fence of wood and mud mortar, two days' journey in length; and there were entrances at various points. When Uktāe was desirous of following the chase, his Towach's were despatched into the countries within the distance of a month's journey, to drive the game before them into this enclosure. After the Kā'ān had hunted the game, and killed as much as he felt disposed to kill, he would take rest in a place erected for him within the enclosure. I have already referred to the I-ghūr country in the note at page 889, as lying between two ranges of mountains, and shown that the koh or mountain of Karā-Kuram is in the midst. It is stated that this place, where the urdū was, was called Karā-Kuram after this koh.

The felt tents, or portable houses, as they may be called, of the Mughals, from the Great Khān down to the lowest of his subjects, which were mounted on carts, appear to have given rise to the idea that the Mughals and other descendants of Turk dwelt in cities and towns, and that Karā-Kuram was a city in its fullest sense. Rubruquis tells us what one of the great urdūs was like. He was astonished at the sight of Bātū's, the houses or tents [khargahs] of which appeared like a vast city, and the people were ranging about for leagues. The Court was always in the middle, and was, therefore, he says, called "curiaorda," and the houses [khargahs], when taken off the carts, were ranged on all sides except the south facing the Court entrance.

The friar also describes Surtāk's urdā as being very great, and says that the women of his family had each a great house [khargah] and 200 waggons; and, from his description of the first interview with Mangū Kā'ān, the Court, so called, was an extensive range-of khargahs or portable houses, some of large size, and ornamented within. These, and those of other people of the camp, were ranged in streets. The probability is, that, in time, as the great camp near Karā-Kuram was the seat of government, as being near the original yūrat of the Chingiz Khān, mud walls were thrown up around it for greater comfort and security, and around the Kā'ān's urdā, and, the camp thus assuming a more permanent appearance, it was magnified into a city by Polo and others.

Kircher, in after times, writing of the Kal-Imak, says, that in certain seasons they settle on the banks of the rivers with their 'portable cities."

It may be mentioned here that the Mughal sovereign, Abūl-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, never mentions the words Karā-Kuram in his History, but always refers to the great yūrat as being at Karā-Kūm—را قوم—but the probability is that the, is an error for,

The country all round Karā-Kuram was not sufficiently cultivated to furnish provisions and drinkables for the Kā'ān's use, and that of his urdū, and 500 cart-loads used to arrive daily from other parts of the empire nearest to it, particularly from Karā-bāsh in Tingkūt.

years old, he used to come to the presence of the eminent teacher and Imam, 'Ali, the Ghaznawi-on whom be

Under the reign of Mangū Kā'ān, according to Chinese accounts, Karā-Kuram ceased to be the seat of government, and a city was founded, east of Whan-chew, styled Kay-ping-fū, afterwards called Shang-tū. Perhaps this city has been mixed up with Karā-Kuram.

With respect to the country around Kara-Kuram it is related that, "on account of the excessive cold, there used to be no cultivation there whatever in the olden time" [at the period when our author wrote]. When Uktae took up his residence there, people began to erect buildings or dwellings, and to A certain person planted some radishes, and, when they were ready to be drawn, he brought a few and presented them to the Ka'an, who was much pleased thereat. He commanded that the leaves should be counted, and they were found to number 100: the Kā'ān directed that the man should be presented with 100 balick [of silver?]. When the Ka'an built the kushk [the same meaning as kase before referred to] in the vicinity of Karā-Kuramthe work was carried out by Khita-i workmen-a person planted some willows and almond trees, but, on account of the extreme cold, trees absolutely would not grow there. These young trees, however, did sprout, and become green; and the Kā'ān was so pleased—trees never having been seen there before—that he ordered the person a reward of one balish for every young tree planted. The absurd idea of "hunting parks," "fish ponds," "flower gardens," "music halls," and "a palace which covered several square miles of surface," is merely derived from a misunderstood passage in the Rauzat-uş-Şafā, the translator of which made up his want of knowledge by adding his own exaggerations out of the mud wall enclosures I have

In the year 633 11., Üktäe Kā'ān despatched, from the Ṣaḥrā or Steppe of Asjānk [السانة] or Sajāng [اسانة], his son, Kochū, along with the Shāhzādah, Kūtūkū, with an army, towards Mahā-Chin, which they also call Tingnāsh [تنكناهي], which is written in various ways. See note at pages 1086 and 1087. Of the cities of that country they took Sindlim-yū [سندليمو] or Sindlim-yū [سندليمو] and Karim-yū [سندليمو], and plundered the country on the routes bordering on the territory of Tibbat.

The civil and revenue administration of the whole of the conquered parts of Khiţāe was in the hands of the 'Aztz and Şāḥib-i-A'gam, Maḥmūd, Yalwāj, the Bukhār, while his son, Mas'ūd Bak, was in similar charge of all the countries and territories from Bish-Bāligh and Karā-Khwājah [this is the place where the Ytddi-Kūt of the I-ghūrs slew the Intendant of the Gūr Khān. See note at page 952], that is to say, the territories depending on them, constituting the country of I-ghūristān, and the territories of Khutan, Kāshghar, Ālmāligh, Kaiāligh or Kaiālik, Samrkand, and Bukhārā, as far as the Jihūn or Āmūiah. From Khurāsān to the frontiers of Rūm and the Diyār-i-Bakr, all was under the administration of Amīr Kūrkūz [after Chīn-Tīmūr's death, as the Deputy of Tūsāl or Ūsāl]; and the revenues of all these countries were collected by these three persons, and transmitted to the Kā'ān's treasury.

Üktäe Kā'an had four Khātūns, and sixty concubines. The Khātūns were:

1. BŪRĀ KUCHĪN, who was his first, and therefore held in great respect.

2. TŪRĀ-KĪNAH, a Ūhāt—or Ūrhār, as it is also written—Makrit, said to have been the widow of Tā-īr Asūn, the head of the Ūhāts. When he was slain,

peace !—for the purpose of acquiring the Kur'ān by heart; and, from him, he heard the tradition, namely, that "A number of trustworthy persons have related, on this wise, from the Imām, Jamāl-ud-Din, the Bustāji '—on whom be peace!—that, whilst he filled the seat in the pulpit [of the masjid-i-jāmi'] in the city of Bukhārā, during the reign of Uktāe, he would often say in the sermon 'Oh God! speedily transport a Mughal army to Lohor that they may reach it;'" and the sense of this became manifest when the Mughal army took Lohor in the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, in the year 639 H. A number of narrators among the merchants and traders of Khurāsān and Māwarā-un-Nahr [subsequently] stated, that Uktāe died, and was removed from the world, on the second day after the capture of Lohor.'

she was carried off, and brought to Üktäe, who kept her for himself, and married her. Previous to this, Tā-ir Asūn had given his daughter, Kūlān Khātūn, o the Chingiz Khān. Some say Tūrā-Kinah was not Tā-ir Asūn's widow, but merely one of his tribe. She was not possessed of beauty, but in her disposition there was greatness and talent for command, and she ruled for some time after Üktāe's death; but, through not respecting the precepts of the Chingiz Khān, she caused sedition and discord among his descendants, as will be mentioned farther on. She was the mother of five out of Üktāe's seven sons – Kyūk, Kūtān, also called Kūtā Mangū, Kochū, or Kochūe, Karāchār, and Kāshi, so called because Kāshi or Kāshin, "subsequently," it is said, "styled Tingkūt," was subdued at the time of his birth. 3. Mūkā or Mūkāe, of the Katrin [Jukta] tribe ["who are neither Ī-ghūrs nor Mughals"], who, at first, was a wife of his father; and the son married her after his decease! 4. The fourth wife was named Jājūr, of the Kungkūr-āt tribe.

The other two sons of Üktäe were by a Ķūmāi concubine named Arkanah or Irkanah, or Azkanah or Izkanah—Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur, calls her Kamish. They were named Ķadān Aghūl, and Malik.

1 This name is doubtful, but in the best copies it is as above. In others it is Bastākhi, Bastāki, Astāji, and Satāki.

<sup>2</sup> Our author does not appear to have known, or was not inclined to state, that Uktāe killed himself by drunkenness. All the expostulations of his friends and confidants were of no avail to break him of his excess, but rather tended to make him drink the more. At last, his brother, Chaghatāe, sent one of his Amīrs, in accord with the Princes of the family of the Chingiz Khān, under the name of a Shahnah or Intendant, to look after Uktāe, who was now unable to take care of himself; and he was only permitted to have a certain quantity of intoxicating liquor by Chaghatāe's command. Uktāe, however, succeeded in making his Intendant his boon companion, who, unable, or afraid, to allow of his exceeding the number of cups, permitted him to increase their size, and therefore the Intendant's continuance with him was useless. In the thirteenth year of his reign, however, Anīkah Bīgī, sister of Sīūr-

After the death of Uktāe, the Mughal tribes drew the sword upon each other several times, and the accursed chief men [among them] generally, and for the most part, went to hell; and division arose among their tribes. The brother's sons of the Chingiz Khān, who are the sons of

Kūkibi Bigi, Tūli Khān's chief Khātūn, whom the Chingiz Khān gave [in marriage] to the Nū-yin, Ghati, or Mirghati, the Ūrā-ūt, after his dream referred to in the account of his wives and concubines, used to come every year from Khitae to see her sister, and banquets used to be given in her honour, and the cups to circulate. In the thirteenth year of Uktae's reign. according to her usual custom. Auikah [often written Abikah] Bigi arrived. and the usual entertainments were given, at 1 she, with her son, who held the high office of Bawarchi-that is to say, a Comptroller of the Royal Kitchen, and one of the Intendants of the Purveyor's Department [in India, bawarchi now signifies a cook], supplied the Ka'an with his favourite beverage, and he drank deeply. He soon went off to sleep, and never woke again; consequently, some of the Amirs and Khātūns began to reproach Anikah Bigi and her son, and vowed they had administered poison to the Kā'ān. The Nū-yin Iljidāe [Ilchikdae, nephew of the Chingiz Khan, of Hirat atrocities], who held the office of Kokal-tash, and was an Amir held in great veneration among the Ialair tribe, when he heard these words, scouted the idea of such a thing, and said: "What insensate words are these? when ye all know to what excess the Kā'ān used to drink, and when ye know, too, that his fate only has over-It behoveth that no such words as these should be again taken him. uttered."

The bones of Ūktāe Ķā'ān, and his kurūk, or kūrūk, signifying a place enclosed and prohibited from access, lie in a mountain range exceedingly lofty, called Būldān Kā-īr, which is always covered with snow, two days' journey from Ardīsh, and which, in more recent times, they style Yakah Wandūr; and from those mountains issue the rivers Yasūn Mur-ān, Tarkān, and Ūsūn, which fall into the river of Ardīsh, in the vicinity of which river the Chāpār tribe take up their kishlāks or winter stations.

The author of the "Mongols Proper," p. 725, quoting some foreign translation of Persian writers, evidently derived from a source similar to that whence I draw information, but probably misunderstood in the original, says "Abika had been married to a dyer on the borders of China," after the Chingiz Khān's death—an exceeding high position truly for Ūktāe's chief Khātūn to "envy" because the other "had married so well—and went every year with her son, who was dressed as a cupbearer, to pay her respects at the court," etc. The errors here are plainly disclosed from the above account. The same writer, quoting some other foreign translation of Persian histories, says, "Ogotai Khan was buried in the valley of Kinien, i. e. another name for the Imperial cemetery, whose site we have already described sub voce, Jingis Khan;" but it so happens that they were totally different places.

Uktae promulgated a code of his own, which, under the name of turah—a Turkish word signifying, institute, system, code, etc.—was, like the yāsā of his father, observed among the Mughal people. In 633 H. new regulations were promulgated respecting taxes on cattle, and on grain for the poor, and other matters for which I have no space here.

U-Tigin,\* went to the presence of the Altūn Khān of Chin; and Chaghatāe, and his sons, commenced acting in a refractory manner; and a great number were killed by the hands of each other—God's curse upon them!

The reign of Uktāe, son of the Chingiz Khān, extended over a period of nine years; and, after his decease, for a period of one year and a half, no one of that cursed seed ascended the throne. It is the custom among the Mughals that when a sovereign among them dies another should not mount the throne for one year and a half; and this period they call three years—one year and half of days and one year and half of nights.

When the reign of Uktāe came to an end, his wife, Turakinah Khātūn, ruled over the Mughal empire for a period of four years, and during this time she displayed woman's ways, such as proceed from deficiency of intellect, and excess of sensuality. The Mughal grandees took cognizance of that conduct, and sought a firm ruler. They sent Turakinah Khātūn to join Uktāe, and raised his son [Kyuk] to the throne of sovereignty; but God knows the truth.

### IV. <u>CHAGHATĀE</u>, SON OF THE <u>CH</u>INGIZ <u>KH</u>ĀN—MAY GOD'S CURSE BE UPON HIM!

Chaghatāe, the accursed, was the second son of the Chingiz Khān, the Mughal. He was a tyrannical man,

- <sup>3</sup> Or Ütichkin. See page 899. This circumstance is not mentioned by the Pro-Mughal writers, but there is truth in it, as may be seen from the conduct of Ü-Tigin himself during the troubles which ushered in Kyūk's reign, mentioned farther on [in note <sup>7</sup>, p. 1149, para. 3].
- 4 Previously, the Altan Khan is generally styled "of Tamghaj" by our author.
- This is incorrect. Üktäe Kä'än reigned from the third month of 626 H., to the 5th of the sixth [Guzidah says Jamādi-ul-Awwal, the fifth, and the Fanākati says in the year 638 H.] month of 639 H., exactly thirteen years, two months, and a few days, although authors, in round numbers, say thirteen years, and some fourteen.
- Not in our author's time; but Kāfdū, the grandson of Ūktāe, ruled nearly fifty years over the territory of Haytāl, and Kābul, and some parts of Hind [east of the Indus—the western parts of the present Panjāb], and his descendants continued to rule therein for a long period after.
  - 7 Chaghatae or Chaghadae-the name is written both ways, but Jagatae is

cruel, sanguinary, and an evil-doer; and among the Mughal rulers there was not one who was a greater enemy

as incorrect as it is impossible from the letters in which it is written-or the second son of the Chingiz Khan, is said to have been a monarch of great dignity, pomp, and magnificence, open-hearted, valiant, and hospitable; and, according to the wishes of his father, did not object to pay obedience to his younger brother, Uktae, as his sovereign. At the time that his father divided his empire among his sons, he assigned Tūrān-Zamīn, from the Nāemān country to the banks of the Jihūn to Chaghatae. Another author describes his territory as including the I-ghur country and Mawara-un-Nahr, and part of Madun-un-Nahr, viz., Kashghar, Khwarazm, Samrkand, Bukhara, Bada chshan, Balkh, and Ghaznin, as far as the banks of the Sind or Indus. His minister and counsellor was his kinsman, the Nu-yin, Karachar, the famous counsellor and deputy of the Chingiz Khan, and who is constantly mentioned in connexion with him from his earliest youth onwards. Karāchār is also the ancestor of the Gurgan or Son-in-law, Amir Timur, and, from the benefit derived from that veteran statesman's counsels, Chaghatae Khan became one of the wisest, manliest, and most energetic rulers of his time.

The capital, or seat of government, of his dominions was Bish-Bāligh; and, in carrying out the provisions contained in the yāsā or code promulgated by his father, he passed not over the slightest thing, but carried them out to the letter, and hence arose the circumstance related in the anecdote at page 1107. Such was the efficiency of his administration, that the routes, in every part of his territory, were safe, and neither guards nor escorts were required.

During the time of their father, the sons of the Chingiz Khān did not get on well together, as was but too apparent during the investment of Urganj of Khwārazm; but now, through the wise measures of Karāchār, Chaghatāe got on with his younger brother Uktāe better than previously. Chaghatāe was passionately fond of the chase, and in following that pastime, and in jollity, he passed most of his time, while Karāchār carried on the government.

During Chaghatāe's reign occurred the outbreak of Mahmūd, the Tārānī, so called from his native place, Tārān, a village within three farsakhs of Bukhārā, who, in 630 H., broke out into rebellion, whereby many thousands of persons perished.

Among other fictions related by Marco Polo is that respecting "Zagatay," as he styles Chaghatāe, whom he makes to reign about one hundred years before his own time—1272 A.D. [671 H.]. According to the same traveller's statements, "Zagatay" was persuaded to allow himself to be baptized, and the Christians built a church at Bukhārā, whose roof was supported by one pillar, that stood on a square stone, taken by "Zagatay's" favour, from a building of the Muḥammadans!

The Mughal dynasty founded at Dihli, by Bābar Bādshāh, is constantly styled the Chaghatāe dynasty, and its Princes, the Chaghatāe Princes, but these designations are not correct; for the only connexion between Bābar and the descendants of the Chingiz Khān was that his mother was the sister of a descendant of Chaghatāe, the head of that branch of his house; but this is not a valid reason for styling the house of Bābar, Chaghatāes, but it would be, and is, correct to style it the Timūriah dynasty. Although Bābar was a Mughal, both on the father's and mother's side, he was himself not much attached to the Mughals of the Chingiz family.

of the Musalmāns. He used to require that no created being should, in his presence, take the name of Musalmān on his tongue, except with evil intent; and, throughout the whole of his tribes [of which he was the head] it used not to be possible even to slaughter a sheep according to the ordinances of Islām, and all [sheep] used to be rendered [thereby] unclean. To say one's prayers [publicly] used to be impossible for any Musalmān. Chaghatāe used constantly to urge upon Uktāe that it was necessary to massacre all Musalmāns and not let any of them remain; and no Musalmān used to dare to put himself in his sight.

He was older than Uktāe; and, as the Chingiz Khān was aware that his nature was excessively sanguinary, malevolent, and tyrannical, he did not bequeath the sovereignty to him, and assigned it to his younger brother, Uktāe. Chaghatāe's place of residence likewise used to be the original Mughal locality, and that portion of the dominions of the Chingiz Khān which he held possession of [at his father's death] was assigned to him as his portion. His troops were [located] in different parts of Māwarā-un-Nahr, Farghānah, and Turkistān. For this reason, that he had impeached the elder-of his brothers, Tūshī, before his father, [asserting] that Tūshī, in his mind, meditated killing the Chingiz Khān in some chase, when this reached the father's hearing, the Chingiz Khān gave poison to his son Tūshī, and destroyed him.

This <u>Chaghatāe</u>, the accursed, for some years, was at the head of his tribes and forces; and, when the decree of his death arrived, Almighty God made a holy man among His eminent saints the instrument of his death so that he went to hell: and it was on this wise. There was a pious Darwesh, of pure heart, from the confines of <u>Khurāsān</u>, whom they used to call <u>Shaikh</u> Maḥmūd-i-Ātash-Kh'ār [the Fire-eater], a <u>Shaikh</u> of much eminence,

The four tribes which are called <u>Chaghatāes</u>—that is to say, <u>Chaghatāe's</u> tribes—have been already mentioned in the note at page 1093, last paragraph. See also notes at pages 874, 875, and note <sup>9</sup>, page 1100.

More sanguinary than his own? The Pro-Mughal writers say that he was "the light of his father's eye," but they, too, do not seem to recollect his conduct, and that of his other brothers, before the capital of Khwarazm.

and a Darwesh of great repute, who, having cast off earthly wishes and desires, and, impressed with the aspiration after Truth, had devoted his body to pain and affliction, and had gone out into the world, and used to wander about in different countries. He reached, during his wanderings, a place between two mountains [ranges?] through which lay the route between the country of Turkistān and the territory of Chin, and between these two mountains strong barriers were placed, and guards were there posted and overseers stationed, in order that they might examine every person who proceeded towards Chin, or who entered the territory of Turkistān from Chin, and have information respecting his condition.

When Shaikh Mahmūd-i-Ātash-Kh'ār arrived at that place, the guards beheld a person, a stranger to the usages of the world, and, in outward appearance, like a maniac: and they seized him [saying]: "Thou art a fidā-ī." Shaikh Mahmud replied: "Aye! I am a fidā-ī;" and, notwithstanding they importuned him, saying: "Who art thou? Say!" his reply was: "I am what we have said: a fidā-i." As he had confessed this thing, they brought him before Chaghatae. Mas'ud Bak, who was the Jumlatul-Mulk [Minister of State\*] of Chaghatae, recognized Shaikh Mahmud, but, through fear of Chaghatae, was unable to say anything, or mention Shaikh Mahmud's condition, or his eminence. Chaghatae demanded of Shaikh Mahmud: "Who art thou?" He replied: "That same fidā-ī I am." Chaghatāe said: "What shall I do with thee? What doth it behove to do unto thee?" Shaikh Mahmūd answered: "Command that they rain arrows upon me; that I may be freed [from life]." Chaghatāe commanded so that they killed him with volleys of arrows.

<sup>•</sup> See the Introduction to my "POETRY OF THE AFGHANS," page xi. London, 1867.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the Iron Gate Pass, mentioned in the journey of the envoys of Mirzā Shāh Rukh Sultān, sent into China in 822 H.

Fida-i means one who devotes his life as a sacrifice for a special object, or who consecrates himself to a cause. The Darwesh was right, literally, in what he said, but they appear to have mistaken him for, or suspected him of being, a fida-i, or disciple of the chief of the Mulahidah sect.

Yet Mas'ud Bak must have often come before him in his official capacity, and he was a Musalman.

Some days after Shaikh Mahmud was received into the Almighty's mercy, Chaghatāe was in the act of discharging a recoiling arrow, in a hunting-ground, at the prey, when, verily, it entered the back of that accursed one, and he went to hell; and God's people, particularly the people of Islām, were delivered from his malevolence.

## V. KYUK, SON OF UKTÄE, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHÁN.

Trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that Uktāe had two sons, one named Kutān, and the other

<sup>4</sup> The original is tīr-i-bās-ga<u>sh</u>tah, as literally translated above. What it may have been I cannot say; probably some sort of rebounding missile.

I wonder whether this statement was mistaken by other writers, who followed our author, or whether he, before he wrote this passage, heard some vague or confused account of the cause of Chaghatāe's death; because it is stated on very good authority in Alfi, that Hulākū Khān, when ne overturned the Mulāḥidah dynasty, made over several of the children and kinsmen of Ruknud-Din, Khūr Shāh, the last ruler of that dynasty and head of that sect, to Salghān Khātūn, a daughter of Chaghatāe Khān, in order "that she might avenge, on them, the blood of her father, who had been killed by Fidā-is."

The Tārikh-i-Jahān-gir states that, after the death of Chaghatāe, and Ūktāe Kā'ān's dying very soon after, up to the time of Kyūk's ascending the throne, some four years intervened; and, after the ulūs of Chaghatāe had been for some time without a head, Karāchār set up Karā-Hūlākū or Karā Aghūl, as he is also called, but Kyūk, on coming to the throne, deposed him, and set up another of Chaghatāe's sons, Yassū or Yassūkāe Mungah, instead. Kyūk observed—and he spoke feelingly, no doubt, since he had himself been nearly excluded from the throne by a brother's son—"How is it possible, when there is a son living, that a brother's son can be his grandfather's heir?"

- \* The name is generally written J-Kyūk—but our author always has the shortened form—who The Calcutta Printed Text is invariably incorrect, and has had and who instead. He was styled simply Khān, and not Kā'ān like his father.
- 6 Uktāe had seven sons, of whom Kyūk, the later historians say, was his eldest son. Our author may have mistaken Kūtān for Kyūk, for the latter was subject to some disease from his childhood, though it is not improbable that

Kyuk; but Kutān, who was the eldest, had become afflicted with palsy and did not possess eligibility for the sovereignty, to rule over the empire, and administer its affairs, and he [therefore] made over the throne to his brother Kyuk.<sup>7</sup>

his statement respecting Kūtān is the correct one, for he had been nominated to succeed Üktāe by his grandfather. But the Pro-Mughal historians state that Üktāe had nominated his third son Kochū or Kochūe as his successor, as will be found detailed below. See next to last para of note \*, page 1142.

7 Kyūk, son of Ūktāe, at the time of his father's death, had not yet arrived from the army then engaged in the campaigns west of Kifchak, from which he and other Shah-zadahs were returning, as before stated; and Müka Khatun, the most beloved of Uktāe's wives, also soon after died. Tūrā-Kinah Khātūn-there was no such title as "empress," I beg to say, among the Mughals, nor will Khātūn bear any such translation, whatever there might have been among "Mongols"—mother of the five eldest sons, by her stratagems and cunning, and the liberal use of gold, had gained over a party, including some of the Chingiz Khān's family, and the Wazirs, to her side; and, without consulting the whole of the Shah-zadahs and Amirs, as was customary, she assumed the direction of affairs. During the reign of the late Kā'ān she was sorely displeased with a number of persons, and now she resolved to take revenge upon them. She had a Tājzik handmaid, named Fātimah, who had been made captive at the time of the invasion of Khurāsān, and sent into Mughalistan by the Amirs after the capture of the Mash-had of Tus. This damsel was talented, and exceedingly clever and sagacious, and soon became the trusted servant and confidant of the Khātūn in all matters. Amirs and Ministers sought her good offices, even in the Kā'ān's reign, he being in a state of half inebriety all his time, and ignorant and unfit persons were often entrusted, through her interest, with offices of which they were wholly incapable or undeserving.

At this time, these two women, the mistress and handmaid, sought to seize Chinkāe, the Grand Wazīr, but he made his escape to the urdū of Kūtān, son of Ūktāe. Fāṭimah bore enmity of old towards Maḥmūd, Yalwāj; and, by her power, she now caused his removal, and a person named 'Abd-ur-Raḥmān was sent to administer the [financial] affairs of Khiṭāe, and endeavours were made to seize Maḥmūd and his servants, but he made his escape to Kūtān's urdū also. The son of Maḥmūd, Yalwāj, who administered the revenue affairs of Turkistān [but not the appanage of Chaghatāe and his family, which the Nū-yīn, Karāchār, is said to have been in charge of], on becoming aware of these matters, fled to the Court of Bātū Khān. Karā Hūlākū, or Karā Aghūl, as he is also called, and the Khātūns of Chaghatāe, Ūrghanah Khātūn, and others, had despatched Kūr-Būkā, along with Arghūn Āķā, into Khurāsān, for the purpose of seizing the governor, Amīr Kūrkūz, the Ī-ghūr; and they put him to death, and Arghūn Āķā, the Ūir-āt, was installed in his place.

During this period of sedition, the different Shāh-zādahs were plotting, and sent agents into different parts to endeavour to get support in their ambitious proceedings; and, the field being vacant, and Kyūk Khān not yet arrived in his father's urdū, the Chingiz Khān's younger brother, the Nū-yin, Ū-Tigin [Ūtichkin, i. e., Younger Brother. See page 899], sought to usurp possession of the throne, and, with a numerous force, set out for the late Kā'ān's urdū.

When Kyuk assumed the sovereignty, all the refractory Mughals made their obeisance to him; and he nominated

This movement caused great disquietude in the urdū of Üktāe. Tūrā-Kinah Khātūn, to gain time, despatched an agent to Ü-Tigin, telling him that Kyūk was shortly expected to reach the urdū, and asking him why he was coming thither with such a large following, as it was a source of great disquietude. Ü-Tigin, finding that his design was suspected, became ashamed of what he had done—perhaps the near approach of Kyūk added to it—and he pretended that his only object in coming was to offer condolence. At this juncture he received news that Kyūk had reached the banks of the river Ī-mal. On this his repentance became still greater; and he turned his steps, without delay, back towards his own urdū again.

In short, for a period of nearly four years, the throne remained vacant, and the empire was ruled by Tūrā-Kinah Khātūn, because there was want of accord in the assembling of a kūrīltāe for the purpose of choosing a sovereign.

Some writers, on the contrary, affirm that Tūrā-Kinah did consult with the heads of the family, and the chief men, when she assumed the chief power, in the same way as the wife of the Chingiz Khān, the mother of Uktāe, had done, on a previous occasion, and such was undoubtedly the custom, as our author also states farther on; and they also say that it was usual for three years to expire before the kūrīltāe was held in order to choose a sovereign from among the heirs; and the mother of the eldest son, in the meantime, used to exercise the supreme authority.

Uktāe Kā'ān had, during his lifetime, nominated his third son, Kochū, his successor, and, after his death, having been greatly attached to him, Uktāe named the latter's youngest son, Shiramun, who was a promising and intelligent youth, whom he had brought up in his own haram, as his heir. When Uktae felt that the hand of death was on him-but another version of his death has been already recorded; still, he may have been ill when he overdrank himself the last time—he sent to summon his eldest son, Kyūk, to him, in order to assign the sovereignty to Shiramun in his presence, so that there might not be any mistake about it, but before he arrived Uktae was dead. At this time, it is said, after reaching his father's urdu, the desire of obtaining the scvereignty overcame him. At this juncture the different Shah-zadahs, who had been previously summoned to a kūrūltāe, by the late Kā'ān, arrived from different parts, at the place called Kokū or Kok Nāwar-the Kokonor of European translators, who always make Nor of Nāwar-and a kūrīltāe was held; and they began to consult on the choice of a successor to the late Kā'ān. Bātū Khān, however, who, as the eldest son of Jūji, eldest son of the Chingiz Khān, was the head of the family, did not come from the Dasht-i-Kischāk, and excused himself on account of illness; but, according to some accounts, he nourished displeasure in his heart against Kyūk, and did not desire to come. It is certain, however, that illness was the cause; for, about this time, Batu had been stricken with paralysis. His "horses' feet" appear to have been quite well, although his own feet "were bad," but we are told differently in the "Mongols Proper," p. 162, whose author appears to have taken, or to have mistaken, it from some foreign version of one of those "muddy streams," some "Persian History." The original from whence this statement came, as well as other works, use the words dard-i-pae-ache or pain of the foot-with respect to Batu, in reference to the disease in question; armies to [march into] the different countries of Chin, I-ran, Hindustan, Khurasan, and 'Irak. The Nu-in,

hence the very amusing error. There was nothing the matter with his horses. Athough unable to be present himself, Bātū sent his brothers and sons.

With respect, however, to the summoning of a kūrīltāe by Ūktāe for another purpose, and the members of it consulting on his successor, and naming one, there is certainly some error in a part of the statement above, because nearly four years elapsed from the death of Ūktāe to the accession of Kyūk, and the kūrīltāe was assembled by direction of Tūrā-Kīnah Khātūn.

Among those who were present on this occasion was Utichkin, or Unchi-Tigin, or Unit-Tigin, or U-Tigin, for the name is written in these several ways, youngest brother of the Chingiz Khan, with his eighty sons, and a great number of other persons from all parts, including Amir Arghun from Khurāsān, the chiess and rulers of 'Irāķ, Āzarbāljān, and Khurāsān; Ruknud-Din, brother [and envoy] of Sultan Kai-Kā-us, of Rum [The Saljuk Sultan, Kai-Khusrau, in 641 H., had "submitted to the yoke of the Mughals. and had agreed to stamp the coin with the name of the Ka'an, to insert his name in the Khutbah—for an infidel !—to pay tribute at the rate of 1000 dinars daily, and yearly a male and female slave, and a sporting dog." See pages 162-164]; the two Dā'ūds, claimants to the sovereignty of Gürjistān; the brother of the ruler of Halab; the son of the ruler of the Divar-i-Bakr. Sultan Badr-ud-Din, Lulu; the ambassador from the Dar-ul-Khilafat [1]. the Kāzi-ul-Kuzāt, Fakhr-ud-Din; the ambassadors of the Farang; the rulers of Fars and Kirman; the Muhtashims, Shihab-ud-Din and Shams-ud-Din, on the part of 'Alā-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Mulāhidah of Alamūt; the Malik of the Russians], who was, however, left to stand outside the great tent; and others, all bringing presents and offerings befitting the occasion. About 2000 great hhargahs, or felt tents, used by the Turks, Tattars, and Mughals, were pitched for their use; and, on account of the vast number of persons who had assembled there, no vacant place remained available near the urdii—which certainly was neither a "city" nor a "town," but, as its name shows, a camp-and provisions rose to an excessive price.

After much consultation, it was agreed by a majority in the assembly, that, as Kūtān, son of Ūktāe, whom the Chingiz Khān had himself nominated to succeed after his father, was not alive, and his son, Shirāmūn, who had been nominated by Ūktāe, had not yet reached manhood, Kyūk, the eldest son of the late Kā'ān, who was conspicuous for his spirit and talent for governing, should succeed to the sovereignty; and he was, accordingly, raised to the throne, which decision was chiefly brought about by the stratagems and efforts of his mother, Tūrā-Kinah Khātūn, and her party in the state, in the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 643 H.—September, 1245 A.D. Bātū Khān's objection was, that Ūktāe had bequeathed the sovereignty to his grandson, Shirāmūn.

Kyūk, whose constitution, from his childhood, had been weak, was not desirous of succeeding, but his mother's exhortations overcame him, and, after some time, he said: "I will accept the sovereignty on the condition that, after me, the supreme sovereignty shall continue in my family, and to my descendants, and not to others." This was agreed to by those present, and Kyūk was placed on the throne according to the usual ceremonies.

Carpini, who describes the khargahs or pavilions of Kyūk Khān and his mother, which some recent writers will turn into cities and palaces, was pre-

Mangūtah, who was at the head of the forces of [the Mughal troops occupying] Tukhāristān, Khatlān, and Ghaznīn, was, another time, made leader of an army. He was an aged man, very tall, with dog-like eyes, and one

sent on this occasion. He says: "The emperor seemed then to be about forty, or forty-five. He was of a middle stature, and behaved with exceeding gravity. He was a very wise Prince, and seldom laughed."

During the long interregnum, many of the Shāh-zādahs had been guilty of certain ambitious proceedings, misconducting themselves, acting contrary to the ordinances of the Chingiz Khān, stretching out their hands in acts of oppression, and appropriating the property of the state; and none were free of these acts but the sons of Tūlī Khān. In consequence of this, Mangū and Ūrdah, sons of Tūlī, were appointed to inquire into these matters. I have not space here for the details, but several persons were put to death in consequence, among whom were several of the followers of Kyūk's great uncle, Ū-Tigɪn, and Fātimah Khātūn, his mother's favourite handmaid.

After disposing of these matters, Kyūk despatched armies into different parts of the empire. Siwidae [Sahūdah], the Bahadur, and the Nū-yin, Chaghan, with a force consisting of Karayats, were sent to the frontiers of Khitāe and the territories of Manzī [منزي], and the Nū-yin Iljidāe or Ilchikdāe, with a large army, was sent into I-ran-Zamin, with the object of reducing Rum, Sham, Halab, and Misr under the yoke. [See page 164, where our author mentions Īljikdāe under the name of Aljaktā or Iljaktā; but he confuses Mangū Kā'ān with Kyūk Khān.] 'Abd-ur-Raḥmān, who had been sent to administer the financial affairs—civil affairs were administered according to the yāsā—of Khitā by Kyūk's mother, was now removed, and put to death: the financial administration of the annexed territory of Khita was again confirmed to the Şāḥib, Maḥmūd, Yalwāj; that of Turkistān and Māwarā-un-Nahr, in which Chaghatae's son ruled, was restored to Mas'ud Bak, Mahmud's son; and the Amir Arghun Akā was nominated to the direction of the finances and civil administration of Khurāsān, 'Irāķ, Āgarbāfjān, Shirwān, Kirmān, Gürjistän, and that side of Hindustan [the Panjab as far as the Biah] under the Mughal yoke. The Maliks and Amirs from different countries, who had presented themselves, were made the recipients of the royal favour, and permitted to return; and, on Rukn-ud-Din of Rum, Kyuk bestowed his brother's sovereignty [See page 164], but, as numerous complaints had been received from Jürmäghün from 'Irāķ, the Khalifah's ambassador was dismissed with admonitions and threats for his sovereign. Da'ud, son of Kabar [ إلم ] Malik, was made ruler of Gürjistän, and the other claimant was made subordinate to

During the period that Tūrā-Kfnah Khātūn exercised the chief authority, the Mughal troops had entered the territories of the Diyār-i-Bakr and Ḥarrān, taken Rammā, and Nārdīn surrendered. Shihāb-ud-Dīn-i-Ghāzī, the Wālī thereof, retired into Miṣr, and there obtained support, and attained authority.

In the same year in which Kyūk was elevated to the sovereignty, and shortly after that event, his mother died; and, during her administration of the affairs of the empire, in 640 H., the Nū-yīn, Ķarāchār, the kinsman [cousin, in fact], friend, and counsellor of the Chingiz Khān, died.

\* Two of the best copies have red-eyed, and another copy has one-eyed, but

of the Chingiz Khān's favourites. On Mangūtah's entering the land of I-rān, he made Tāe-kān of Kunduz, and Walwālij, his head quarters; and, in the year 643 H., he determined upon entering the states of Sind, and, from that territory, brought an army towards Uchchah and Multān.

At this period, the throne of Hindustan was adorned with the splendour and elegance of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shāh; and the city of Lohor had become ruined. Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Ķarlugh, held [possession of] Multan; and Hindu Khān, Mihtar-i-Mubārak, the Khāzin [Treasurer], was ruler and governor of the city and fortress of Uchchah, and he had, on his own part, placed a trusty person of his own as his Deputy within the fort of Uchchah—the Khwājah, Ṣāliḥ, the Koṭ-wāl [Seneschal].

On Mangūtah's reaching the banks of the river Sind, with the Mughal army, Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the

the majority are as above. "Sheep-eyed" is a very common expression, and "dog-eyed" may be used after the same fashion.

Tāc-kān of Kunduz, or, more correctly, Kuhandujz, also called or known as Tāc-kān of Tukhāristān. A few modern copies have with without any points to the which, in manuscript, might be read in error for Tāl-kān—bence the mistakes which have arisen regarding these two places through people not knowing the difference. These are places which we shall probably know better before long. See page 1008.

Mangūtah is the person whom Mr. Dowson, the Editor of Elliot's MUHAM-MADAN HISTORIANS, in the extracts from our author's work therein contained, and which extracts I have already referred to, straightway turns into Mangū Khān, without authority, either from our author or any other, for so doing. At page 344, vol. ii., of that work, he has: "This asmy was under the command of the accursed Mankūta (Mangū Khān)," and yet, in a footnote, adds var. "Mankuna." At page 363, of the same vol., he has again: "In this year the accursed Mankūti (Mangū Khān), who was one of the generals of the Mughals," etc. Mangū Kā'ān was never south of the Hindū Kush in his life, but there are some persons who would prevent such errors being spoken about, much less corrected, for fear of "isijuring the susceptibilities" of people, and would allow them to stand, and continue to mislead!

• He held it nominally only, and was not present. In the account of this Malin our author says he was placed in charge of Uchchah and its dependencies in Raziyyat's reign, and that he returned to the Court when Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, came to the throne, supsequent to which Jalandhar was assigned to him.

The text is somewhat imperfect here; and this attempt on Uchchah is evidently the first one, when the Khwājah, Ṣāliḥ, was there, but, at this time, Mukhlis-ud-Din was the Kotwāl-Bak. See pages 810—813.

Karlugh, abandoned the fortress and city of Multān,¹ and embarked on board a vessel, and proceeded to Diwal and Sindūstān [Sewastān]. Mangūtah advanced to the foot of the walls of the fortress of Uchchah,² invested it, and the attack commenced; and he destroyed the environs and neighbourhood round about that city. The people of the fortress put forth the utmost exertions and diligence, and used immense endeavours in defending the place, and despatched great numbers of the Mughals to hell.

Notwithstanding all the efforts the Mughal troops and the infidel Nū-ins and the Bahādurs were using, the holywarriors of the fortress continued to defend the head of the breach until one of the famous Bahadurs of the Mughals, who had gone away in some direction, when he returned to Mangutah, began to reproach him, saying: "What stronghold and fortification is this in the taking of which thou makest so much delay and hesitation? I would take it in a single assault." The following night he made preparations, and put a great number of Mughals under arms; and, suddenly and unexpectedly, in the third watch, which was the time the guards on the walls took repose, and the men of the fortress had gone to sleep, he appeared on the top of the breach. The grace of Almighty God was such, however, that the people of the fortress had mixed up a vast quantity of water and clay in rear of the breach, and had [thus] prepared a great pit and deep quagmire, more than a spear's length in depth.

If Multān had then a broad river immediately on its west side, as the river near it flows at this time, he would scarcely have needed to evacuate Multān, and, probably, would not have done so. At the period in question, however, no river intervened between the Sind or Indus and Multān, and Malik Ḥasan's retreat might have been cut off. He, consequently, embarked on the combined rivers Jihlam, Chin-āb, and Rāwi, which then ran east of Multān, and so, placing a river between himself and the Mughals, was enabled to get down into Sind without danger or molestation. See page 1119, and page 1129, note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Uchchah seems to have generally been the first point of attack by invaders of India from the west, especially by the Mughals. It was the key and bulwark of India at this period, like as Hirat has ever been that of Khurasan.

We must presume that a breach had been already made.

<sup>4</sup> The "time of repose for the guards," etc. ! They must have been very efficient "guards," truly, and must have taken their duty very easily.

<sup>\*</sup> The "official" Calcutta Printed Text, in every instance, has بوريني for بوريني

When that Mughal Bahādur planted his foot within the breach, under the supposition that it was firm ground, he fell into the quagmire, and sank in it. The people of the fortress raised a shout; and they brought out torches, and armed themselves, and the Mughals retired.

The next day they [the Mughals] deputed persons,

No details of this kind are to be found in any other writer, and the Pro-Mughal historians, including the "great Raschid," are silent on this subject. They do not chronicle defeats generally, unless they cannot possibly help it: victories alone are necessary for their pages.

This is the investment referred to at pages 667 and 809. The question naturally arises, how it was that Uchchah, and sometimes Multan, was always the first point of attack by invaders from the north-west, for the Karlüghs and Mughals attacked Uchchah first, as did Mu'izz-ud-Din, the Ghūrl, before them, and Pir Muhammad, grandson of Timūr, after them. It seems the more strange when we look at the map of the Panjāb, and notice the present position of the rivers; for the invaders all came the same way, through the Sind-Sāgar Do-ābah, and with scarcely an exception, from the direction of the Koh-i-Jūd, immediately south of which lay the great road from Ghaznin into India.

To attack either Üchchah or Multan at the present day from the west, or north-west, coming by the same route, what is called the Chin-ab—three of the five rivers of the Panjab, which join some distance above the latter city, and which is unfordable, would have to be crossed—an impossible matter at any time without a bridge of boats or inflated skins, or the tedious operation of ferrying across—while, to attack Üchchah, the Panj-Nad or Panj-Ab—the five rivers combined—would have to be passed.

Uchchah, from the present appearance of the country, could have been relieved from Dihli without crossing any of the Panjāb rivers, but to relieve Multān. the Sutlaj or Ghārā must now be crossed. At the same time, an enemy beaten off from either place, or, in case of an army advancing to the relief of either from the east, the enemy would stand a chance of being hard pressed while retreating across the Chin-āb, unless he effected the passage in good time, and also of being cut off from his line of retreat by the advance of an army from the east towards Lāhor.

From the facts mentioned in this History, as well as in others, together with what is stated by the old Musalman geographers, the traces of the former beds of four of these five rivers—that is, with the exception of the Jihlam—and also of the former bed of the Indus, and the traditions current in those parts, it is evident that very great physical changes have taken place during the 654 years since this investment of Uchchah took place; and, indeed, even during the last hundred years. From all these facts which I have mentioned, it is certain that, when this attack upon Uchchah took place, that place lay, as it had previously lain, on the right or west, not on the east or left, side of the Panj-Nad. Multan also lay west of the united Jihlam, Chin-ab, and Rawi, at that period also, for we know, for certain, that those streams passed on the east side of Multan in those days, and therefore Uchchah and Multan both lay in the same Do-abah, no river intervening between them. I have prepared a paper on this special subject, and hope very shortly to see it in print.

requesting the defenders to give up the Bahadur who had been taken prisoner the previous night, in order that the army might raise the investment and depart. As that accursed one had gone to hell, and had sunk into the black water and slimy mud, to give him up was impossible: so the people of the fortress denied having taken him prisoner. In short, through the grace of the Most High God, causes were brought about, by means of which the Musalmans of Uchchah might continue safe and secure from the tyrannical hand of the infidel Mughals. One of those causes was this, that, when the Mughal army appeared before the fort of Uchchah, the Musalmans of that fortress sent an account of it to the Court, the capital city, Dihli-God defend it from calamity !--imploring assistance in repelling them, and Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shāh, animated and inspired, through the efforts and exertions of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, assembled the hosts of Hindustan, and moved towards the upper provinces for the purpose of driving off the Mughal invaders. The writer of these words, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, during that holy expedition against the infidels, was in attendance at the august stirrup [of the Sultan].

When the sublime standards reached the banks of the river Biāh, the army moved along its banks towards Uchchah, as has been previously related and recorded. On the Mughal forces becoming aware of the advance of the forces of Islām, and the vanguard of the warriors of the faith having reached within a short distance of the territory [of Uchchah and Multān], they did not possess the power of withstanding them. They retired disappointed from before the fortress of Uchchah, and went away; and that fortress, through the power of the sovereign of Islām, and the Divine aid, remained safe from the wickedness of those accursed ones. Thanks be unto God, the Lord of the universe, for the same!

<sup>• 7</sup> This refers to the river when it flowed in its old bed—not as it runs now—between its west bank and the Rāwi, which also fell into the Biāh, on the east side of Multān. Üchchah and Multān lay in the same Do-abah, no river intervening between them, and no river had to be crossed after passing the Rāwi, or Rāwah, as our author calls it.

Some copies have, "the potency of the army of Islam."

#### ACCOUNT OF A MUSALMAN MIRACLE.

Trustworthy persons have stated on this wise, that, when Kyuk acquired stability in his sovereignty, and had put to death his cousins, who were the sons of Chaghatae, and the Mughal Nū-ins and Bahādurs had submitted to his authority, he, upon several occasions, despatched immense armies towards Chin; and, in that country, victories were gained. A fraternity of recluses and devotees of the infidels of Chin, and idol-worshippers of Tingit and Tamghāj, whom they style by the name of Tūniān [Tūnis]. acquired ascendancy over Kyuk. That faction constantly used to study persecuting the Musalmans, and were wont to promote means of afflicting the people of Islām continually, in order that, mayhap, they might entirely uproot them, extirpate them completely, and eradicate both name and sign of the true believers from the pages of that country.

One of those Tūniān, who had a name and reputation in <u>Ch</u>in and Turkistān, presented himself before Kyuk and said: "If thou desirest that the sovereignty and throne of the Mughals should remain unto thee, of two things do one—either massacre the whole of the Musalmāns, or put a stop to their generating and propagating." For a long

He probably refers here to an event which happened in Mangu Ka'an's reign, in 649 H., or it may be quite a different event. At Bish-Baligh, the Yiddi-Kut of the I-ghurs, who was the head of the Idolaters-But-Parastanof Khitāe, entered into a compact with a number of his religionists to put all the Musalmans to death on a Friday—their Sabbath—when they should be assembled together for prayer in their Jami' Masjids, so that, throughout all Khitāe [sic in MSS.], not one should be left alive. It so happened, however, that, previous to the identical Friday fixed upon for carrying out this diabolical plot, a slave among them became a convert to the Musalman faith, and acquainted the Muhammadans with the whole affair. A number of the chief men of that faith, taking the slave along with them, hastened to the presence of Mangu Kā'ān, and stated their case. He issued commands that the Yiddi-Kut should be seized, and brought before him, and inquiry instituted. The truth of the slave's account having been proved, the Yiddi-Küt confessed his guilt. Mangu Kā'ān commanded that he should be re-conducted to Bish-Baligh, and, on a Friday, after the Musalmans had finished their religious

In some copies of the text Tūinān, as in Rubruquis, before referred to. Kyūk was a Christian, and his mother also.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Our author appears quite demented on this subject. See also in his account of Chaghatāe, which is much the same.

time they were wont, in this manner, to importune and instigate Kyuk to this wickedness, and continued to devise insidious snares and artifices. On account of the numerousness of the Musalmans in the countries of Chin, Turkistan, and Tingit, to massacre them would not be feasible, they therefore [the Tūnis] came to this conclusion that it would be right that a mandate should be issued by Kyuk, that all Musalmans should be emasculated and made eunuchs of, in order that their race might become extinct, and the empire of the Mughals be safe from their rebellion and sedition.

When such [like] tyranny and barbarity took root in the mind of Kyuk, and his decision in this course was come to, he commanded that a mandate should be issued, to this effect, throughout all parts of the Mughal dominions, from the extreme limits of Chin and Turkistān to the farthest parts of 'Ajam, 'Irāk, Rūm, and Shām,' and the whole of the Mughal rulers, who were located in different parts, were directed to obey it, and hold it necessary to be carried out.

On this mandate having been written out, they brought it to Kyuk, and he impressed it with vermilion, which [impression], in the Turki language, they call Ali-Tamghāj. Accordingly he delivered this mandate to [one of] those Mughal Tūniān, saying: "Do ye transmit this mandate into all parts of the empire, and use the utmost efforts in so doing."

services in the Jāmi' Masjid, he should be brought out, and, in their presence, and in the presence of the rest of the people of the city, be torn to pieces, in order that others might take warning against entertaining such-like futile ideas as the Yåddi-Kūt had conceived.

2 Over which two latter states their power was but small.

Al here signifies a fiery red colour, carnation, vermilion [?], and the compound word signifies the red or vermilion stamp or signet of the sovereign. In the Dictionaries, generally, the compound word is written التمال —Altamghā, instead of الراب as above. Tamghā, also written Tamghah, is said, in such works, to signify a stamp or brand, but, from the way our author uses these Turkish words here, with t and long ā in the first syllable, and j as the final letter of the last word, it evidently refers to the country of Tamghāj, so often mentioned; and the word is also said to be the title of the sovereigns of Tibbat and Yughmā, and would thus signify, literally, the crimson or vermilion signet of Tamghāj, and that is clearly the meaning of the words.

4 In the Printed Calcutta Text this word is invariably turned into Nünlän

and Nüinan, the difference apparently not being understood.

When that accursed base one, who held that tyrannical mandate in his hand, was issuing from the place of audience in great glee and confidence, there was a dog which they used constantly to keep there, and which was wont to be near the throne, at the sides, and in the precincts of the dais, and the sovereign's exclusive seat; and on the animal's golden collar, studded with precious stones, was impressed a brand denoting its being the royal property. It was a dog, which, in courage and fierceness, greatly exceeded and far surpassed a thousand roaring lions and howling tigers [!]. This dog was in Kyuk's place of audience, and, like unto a wolf upon a sheep, or fire among wild rue seeds, it seized hold of that impious Tuin, flung him to the ground, and then, with its teeth, tore out that base creature's genitals from the roots; and, by the Heavenly power and Divine help, at once, killed him, and the imprecation, according to the hadis, which Mustafa-on whom be peace!—had pronounced upon the son of Abū Lahb: "O God! let one of thy dogs defile him!" was fulfilled upon that accursed wretch of a priest.

Such a miracle as this was vouchsafed in order that, under the shadow of the protection of the Most High God, the faith of Islām, the felicity of the Ḥanafi creed, the happiness of the Aḥmadi belief, the prestige of the followers of the orthodox Muḥammadi institutes, might continue safe from the malevolence of these accursed ones. When Kyuk, the Tūniān, and those present of the Mughals and infidels of Chin, beheld such an awful and condign punishment, they abandoned that vicious meditation, and withheld the hand of tyranny from off the Musalmāns; and they tore that Tamghāj [vermilion-sealed document] to pieces. Praise be unto God for the triumph of Islām and the overthrow of idolatry!

When a period of one year and a half of the reign of Kyuk had passed away, the decree of death arrived, and at the board of destiny placed the morsel of death in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Ro. As. Soc. MS., I. O. L. MS. 1952, and the Printed Calcutta Text, are exceedingly defective here.

<sup>6</sup> The Rausat-us-Safa states that it was the Christians who did this, and that it was a Christian whom the dog worried.

<sup>7</sup> The Pro-Mughal writers say just one year; some, less than a year.

mouth of Kyuk's existence; and the cause of his death, likewise, is thus related.

#### THE DECEASE OF KYUK, THE ACCURSED.

Trustworthy persons related that Kyuk was constantly being incited by the Tunian fraternity to acts of oppression towards the Musalmans, and that they used to instigate him to persecute the true believers. There was an Imam, in that country, one of the theologians of the Musalmans, adorned with manifold erudition in theological knowledge, and proficient in the rules and canons of the ecclesiastical law, and the subtile doctrines of the Truth. His exterior [mind] being illuminated with the jewel of knowledge and excellence, and his interior [soul] with the splendour of the attributes of purity, he had become distinguished among the followers of the faith of Islam, and a pole of indication in the orthodox religion of Mustafaon whom be peace! His surname was Imam Nur-ud-Din. the Khwārazmi—the Almightv's mercy be upon him! A number of Christian laymen and priests, and the fraternity of idol-worshipping Tünian, made a request to Kvuk. saying: "Be pleased to summon that Imam of the Musalmans that we may carry on a discussion with him, and make him prove the superiority of the religion of Muhammad, and his apostle-ship, or otherwise it behoveth that you should have him put to death."

In conformity with this request, Kyuk had this godly Imām brought into his presence, and he, trusting in [the promise] "and God will protect thee from [wicked] men," in the defence of his religion, was strengthening and

<sup>\*</sup> Kyūk Khān, from childhood, had been brought up in the Christian—Naṣārī—faith—respecting which there is no doubt whatever—and was much attached to it; and his mother also was of that religion. At this time, from Shām and Rūm, presbyters and monks of that religion turned their faces towards his Court, and received great consideration from him, and, consequently, the affairs of the Christians prospered. His chief minister, Chinkāe, and the Atā-bak, Ķalāk, were also Christians. Indeed, during his reign, no Musalmān dared to speak arrogantly to the Christians, while the Fanākatī states that the monks treated the Musalmāns with great oppression.

supporting himself with [the rest of the promise]: "for God directeth not the unbelieving people." When he sat down in that assemblage, they asked him: "What person was Muhammad? explain." That godly Imam answered: "The last of the prophets, the head of the apostles, and the messenger of the God of the universe. whose head is adorned with the diadem of 'By thy life I swear,' and his body with the mantle of 'Have we not opened?' Musā was enamoured of his excellence - Make me, O God! one of the people of Muhammad!—and 'Isā the herald of his mission 'bringing good tidings of an apostle, who shall come after me, whose name shall be Ahmad.'" That assemblage of infidels said: "He will be a prophet who will be purely spiritual, and not entertain appetite for women, and not be inclined to it like as 'Isā was. Muhammad had nine chambers [women] and a number of children: How was that?" That godly Imam replied: "The prophet Dā'ūd—on whom be peace!—had ninety-nine women - This my brother hath ninety and nine ewes'; and Suliman, the Lord of Potentiality, had three hundred and sixty women to wife, and a thousand handmaids." That assemblage of infidels, by way of annoying, negation, contention, and obstinacy, denied the prophet-ship of Dā'ūd and Sulimān—on whom be peace! and said: "They were kings merely."

<sup>9</sup> Kur'An, chap. v., verse 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the passage which the Muhammadan theologists contend is proved by the passage in St. John, xvi. 7, foretelling, as they say, the coming of Muhammad, who is referred to as the Paraclete, or, as they read it, the *Periclyte*, or Illustrious. See Kur'An, chap. lxi., verse 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kur'ān, chap. xciv., verse I.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Kur'An, chap. xxxviii., verse 22. Some authorities translate it with "lambs" instead of ewes.

<sup>\*</sup>Rubruquis relates an anecdote something similar to the above, concerning an event which happened in the following reign. Repairing to the Palace [the Kā'ān's Khargah] a few days after Ascension Day, 1254 A.D., "Aribuga [Irtuk Būkā], near whom sat two Muḥammadan lords of the Court, being apprised of the animosity that reigned between the Christians and the followers of Muḥammad, asked the monk Sergius [who officiated in a little Armenian chapel in the urdū], if he was acquainted with the latter? Sergius answered, 'I know they are dogs: why have you them so near you?' They called out, 'Why do you treat us in so injurious a manner, who give you no cause of offence?' The monk justified himself by saying he spoke the truth, adding, 'Both you, and your Muḥammad, are vile dogs.' Provoked at such

At length, as the proofs and arguments of those accursed ones were weak, and destitute of the force of truth, they drew back the hand of contradiction, and drew the mark of oppression and outrage upon the pages of the subject, and made a request to Kyuk, saying: "Say unto the Imām that, in accordance with the rites and ordinances of the Muḥammadan law, he should perform two genuflexions

language (if such he durst utter), they began to blaspheme Christ; but Aribuga, it seems, forbade them, saying, 'We know that the Messias is God.' Some time after, certain Muhammadans, meeting the monk on the road, urged him to dispute; and, as they laughed at him, because he could not defend his religion by reason, he was going to confute them with his whip. These things coming to the Khān's [Kā'ān's] ears, he commanded Sergius, and the other priests, to remove to a greater distance from the Court."

Rubruquis had, himself, a disputation with a Musalmān, as he states, in Mangū Ķā'ān's presence. He says, Mangū sent to acquaint him that, as there were Christians, Muhammadans, and Tuins at his Court, and each of them pretended his Law was the best, and his Scriptures truest, he would be glad to have the matters argued, that he might judge whose cause was best. On the day appointed, the parties met before a numerous audience. Three of the Ķā'ān's secretaries, one of each persuasion, were arbitrators.

Rubruquis says he confuted the *Tuin*, who affirmed that "there was one supreme deity, and ten or eleven inferior gods; that none of them was omnipotent; that one half of things are good, the other bad; and that the souls of men passed from one body to another. The good friar also says that the Musalmāns confessed they believed everything contained in the Bible, and always prayed to God that they might die the death of Christians, but, with respect to this, we must needs be sceptical."

Mangū Kā'ān, having been told that Rubruquis had called him a Tuin or idolator, sent for him on Whitsun Day, and asked him the question in the presence of his late Tuin adversary. Rubruquis having answered in the negative, Mangū told him that such had been his opinion all along. He then declared what his faith was. He said: "The Mughals believe there is but one God, and have an upright heart towards Him; that, as He hath given to the hand many fingers, so He hath infused into the minds of men various opinions. God hath," he continued, "given the Scriptures to you Christians, but you observe them not: you find it not there that one of you should revile another, or that for money a man ought to deviate from justice." The friar confessed all this; but, as he was going to make apology for himself, the Kā'ān replied, that he did not apply what he had said to him, repeating, "God has given you the Scriptures, and you keep them not; but He hath given us soothsayers, whose injunctions we observe, and we live in peace."

If we are to credit the Armenian monk, Hayton, however, who was related to the King of Armenia, he, in his Oriental History, says the King sent his brother to the Kā'ān, in 1253 [Rubruquis refers to his having passed him on his road back], who returned after four years' stay, and that after that the King himself went, and found Mangū at Ālmālīgh, where the Kā'ān was baptized, with all his Court, among whom were many of the chief men of the empire, at the Armenian King's request.

## IRRUPTION OF THE INFIDELS INTO ISLAM.

in prayer, in order that, to us and to thee, in the performance of this adoration, his unbecoming actions may be manifested." Kyuk commanded him, saying: "Arise, and perform two genuflexions in prayer, as with the congregation, according to the rites of thy religion." That godly Imam-God reward him!-called unto him one of the Musalmans who was in the vicinity of the place, and arose, went through the form of pronouncing the call to prayer, and genuflexions, in accordance with the orthodox Sunni rule, and standing up, Khalil-like, repeating, from his heart and mind, the verse: "Verily I have turned my face unto Him who hath created heaven and earth, being a true believer, and not one of the polytheists," began pronouncing "God is great." Then he commenced the form of prayer, and went through, as prescribed and enjoined, with due pause and ceremony, the standing, sitting, bowing, and prostration.

When, in the act of prostration, he placed his forehead to the ground, some individuals among the infidels, whom Kyuk had introduced and prompted, greatly annoyed that godly Imām, and the other Musalmān who had followed him [in the prayers], knocked their heads with force against the ground, and committed other unbecoming actions towards them, in order that, thereby, the prayers might perhaps be rendered ineffectual. But that godly Imam and holy sage continued to bear the whole of this annovance and tyranny, performed all the required forms and ceremonies, and made no mistake whatever, and the prayers were in no way rendered ineffectual. Having delivered the salutation, he raised his face upwards towards the heavens, observed the form of "Invoke your Lord in humility and secresy," arose, with permission, and returned to his dwelling again. Almighty God of His perfect power and foe-consuming vengeance, that same night inflicted a disease upon Kyuk which, with the knife of death, severed the artery of his existence, so that verily that same night he went to hell, and the Musalmans were delivered from his tyranny and oppression.7

Like Ibrahim. Khalil 'Ullah—the Friend of God—is one of his titles.

<sup>•</sup> Kuk'An, chap. vi., verse 79.

<sup>7</sup> Having arranged the affairs of the empire to his satisfaction, Kyūk resolved

When the sons of Kyuk beheld that awful vengeance, the next day they asked pardon of that Imām, and sought his good opinion. May God reward him and all true believers!

VI. BĀTŪ, SON OF TŪSHĪ, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHĀN.

When Tūshi, the eldest son of the Chingiz Khān, as has been previously stated, was removed from the world for conspiring against his father, several sons survived him, and the eldest of them all was Bātū. The Chingiz Khān

to turn his face towards I-rān-Zamin, and complete the subjugation of the territories therein. He passed the winter of 643 H.—A.D. 1245-46—at the seat of sovereignty; and, when spring came round, with an immense host, he set out towards I-rān-Zamin. On reaching the limits of Samrkand, a week's journey from Bish-Bāligh, death suddenly overtook him. The widow of Tūli Khān, Stūr Kūkibi Bigi, who cultivated good terms with Bātū Khān for a particular purpose, as will presently appear, suspected this movement on the part of Kyūk was against Bātū, and she sent him information at once.

Faşih-İ and some others say that he was stricken with palsy—not gout: Bātū was gouty, however—and that he proceeded towards Samrkand for change of climate.

The Fanākatī says he reigned "nearly a year," but does not give the date of his decease.

Bātū Khān and other princes, who were on the way to join him with their troops, on receiving intimation of Kyūk's death, turned each back from the point he had reached, and returned to their own ulūses again.

Kyūk is said to have been merciful, liberal, and munificent, like his father, Uktāe.

It is strange that our author, although so detailed in his account of the oppression of the Musalmans, does not seem to know when and where Kyūk died.

8 See page 1101.

On the decease of Jūji Khān, the Chingiz Khān, his father, despatched his younger brother, Utichkin, otherwise U-Tigin, to the urdū [see note 3, page 1101] of Jūji—some say, into the Dasht-i-Kiſchāk—to instal his son, Bātū, or Bātūe, as it is also written, as successor to the appanage of his late father. The Baḥr-ul-Asrār says, however, that Urdah, Bātū's elder brother, resigned his right to succeed in favour of Bātū, but it is probable that the Chingiz Khān nominated the one most capable of ruling over the Dasht-i-Kiſchāk and its dependencies. Bātū and others of the sons of Jūji held territories under their father; and one of them, the fifth son, Tūghāe Timūr Khān, whose mother was a Kungkur-āt, is said to have had assigned to him the territory of Ås and the Meng-Kishlāk, or "The Winter Station of the Meng" [Mangishlak of the maps], and the "ulūs-i-Chār-gānah," or four tribes so styled—the Tarkhān. Ushūn, Meng, and Uir-āt—by his grandfather, and which Bātū, subsequently, confirmed him in. Tūghāe Timūr's chief Khātūn was

# installed him in the place of his father, and all the states

Kūrak-Lūk Bigi, daughter of the Bādshāh of the Nāemāns [Koshlūk]; and he was the founder, in time, of a separate dynasty. Bātū Khān, with several of his brothers, set out for the yūrat of the Chingiz Khān, when the news of his grandfather's decease reached him, leaving Tūghāe Timūr his representative in Kiſchāk, and was present at the installation of Ūktāe Kā'ān.

Tüghāe Timūr, like his elder brother, Barkah, became a convert to Islām, and, it is said, Barkah converted him to that faith.

Bātū is known by the title of the Şā-İn, or Şā-İn Khān, which title continued to be applied to his descendants down to modern times, and even after they became subject to the 'Usmānli Turks.

Rubruquis, who had an interview with Bātū, says he was seated on a couch gilt all over, and his wife beside him. He had a fresh, ruddy, complexion, and, looking earnestly at the party, at length ordered them to speak. Then their guide bid them kneel on both knees, which they did, and Rubruquis began to pray for Bātū's conversion, at which he modestly smiled, but the others present jeered him.

After his return from the campaign in Khiţāe, as previously mentioned, Uktāe Ķā'ān held a great kūrūtāe, in 633 H., at a place named Tālān Wasir, at which his sons, kinsmen, and the old Amirs of the Chingiz Khān were present. After a month devoted to feasting and jollity, the laws and regulations of the Chingiz Khān were read out once more; and various rewards were given. It was then resolved that, as various parts of the empire had not been completely subjugated, and some were in a disturbed and disaffected state, each of his sons and kinsmen should be despatched at the head of armies into different parts, in order to arrange and settle their affairs, while the Ķā'ān himself would proceed into the Dasht-i-Ķiſchāk at the head of another army. Mangū, son of Tūlī, although young in years at the time, gave very sound advice on this occasion. He urged that it was not advisable that the Ķā'ān should go thither when he had so many sons and kinsmen whom he could send instead. This was approved by all present; and arrangements were made in cohformity therewith.

The Shāh-zādahs appointed for this service were Mangū, Tūli Khān's eldest son, and his brother Būchak [Kubilāe is subsequently mentioned as being. present, at least for part of the time, in the Dasht-i-Kifchāk]; of the family of Üktäe, his eldest son, Kyük, and his brother, Kadan Aghül, and Būri, Baidār, and Kolkān, sons of Chaghatāe; and among the great Amirs was the Bahadur, Swidae, also written Swidan [Sahudah]. Having set out in the spring, in Jamadi-ul-Akhir, 633 H. [March, 1235 A.D.], they passed the hot season of that year by the way, and towards its end-in the latter part of it—within the confines of Bulghar [Bulghar, its capital, was about fifty miles from Kāsān, and near the river Kāmā] joined the urīk of Bātū Khān, son of Jūji, who had succeeded to his father's appanage, and he was to hold the chief command. His brothers, Urdah, Shaiban, and Tingkut, were likewise directed to proceed from the parts in which they were located, and join him, in order to accompany him on this expedition, which is famous as the Yūrish-i-Hast Salah, or "Seven Years' Campaign." They were to invade the territories of Kischāk [not yet subdued], the Urus [Rūs], Būlo [Poland?], Majār [Magyar], Bāshghird, Ās [Ossetæ of Europeans], Sūdāķ [Azdāķ or Azof], Ķrim, and Charkas [the territory of the Cheremis, I believe, not the Circassians], and

of the tribes of Turkistan, from Khwarazm, Bulghar,

clear them of foreigners and enemies. They penetrated, as will be mentioned farther on, as far west as Poland and Silesia, as well as Russia.

All things being prepared, Bātū Khān, with Shaibān, and Boroldāe, with an army, commenced his march to subdue the Būlo [the Tārikh-i-Jahān-gir says the Kalār] and the Bashghird; and, having arrived in those parts, they, in a short time, subdued those territories, slew a great number of people, and carried off great booty. The Būlo were a mighty people of Christian faith, and the frontier of their country was adjoining that of the Farangs. Hearing of Bātū Khān's advance, they, arrogant because of their grandeur, and the number of their troops, moved forward to oppose him with an army of 40 tomāns—400,000—composed of chosen warriors, who considered it an eternal disgrace to fly from the battle-field. Bātū Khān detached his brother, Shaibān [the Tārikh-i-Jahān-gir says Saknāk], with 10,000 horse as a vanguard, in order to reconnoitre the enemy and obtain information as to the number and position of their army. In the course of a week he returned, bringing information that the Būlān [Poles?] were, like the Mughals, all able and efficient men, and ten times more numerous than themselves.

The two armies soon came in sight of each other. They were separated by a morass—the Fanākatī and Alfī say a river or water, but it would seem to refer to a morass containing a considerable body of water. Batu requested the Musalmans in his army to assemble together in prayer, and call upon Almighty God to give them the victory, while he, himself, as was his wont on such occasions, like his grandfather before him, retired to a hill or rising ground; and, during a night and day, without speaking word to any one, occupied himself in prayer and supplication to the Most High to accord the victory to his army. During the next night he sent Boroldae [the Fanakati says, his brother Shaiban] and some Amirs, with their troops, to cross the water during the night, which they accomplished. Next morning early Bātū passed over and attacked the Būlan in person. By what means he crossed with his army, whether by a bridge or otherwise, is not stated, but it must have been a hazardous proceeding. Repeated charges were made upon the enemy, but they, being so strong in point of numbers, did not move from their position; and Shaiban greatly distinguished himself, in such wise that his prowess called forth praises from both sides. The force which, under Boroldae, had passed over during the night, now attacked the enemy in the rear. The Mughal troops penetrated into their camp, and began cutting the ropes of the tents. They made towards the tent of Kalar [ ] their Badshah [Bela IV., king of Hungary of European writers?], and cut the ropes with their swords, and overturned it. Seeing this, his soldiers lost heart, and the main body of the Mughal army under Bātū, having pushed forward at the same time, the Būlān gave way and took to flight. The Mughals pursued, and made such slaughter among them "as cannot be computed."

The first place attacked, according to the Fanākatī, and the Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gir, but which works enter into no details, was the city of Mankas—which, on account of the denseness of the forests among which it lay, was difficult to approach, even on foot. The trees were, however, felled on either side, and around it, sufficient to enable four carts to move abreast, which enabled Bātū to invest it. The city was, in due time, captured, and the inhabitants massacrod; and it is stated that the left ears of all those slain were

## Barţās, Saklāb, as far as the boundaries of Rūm, came

cut off in order to obtain the number of them, and that the total amounted to 270,000. Its capture, in 636 H., is mentioned farther on.

Such was not unusual, even in comparatively modern times. Gerbillon [1699] mentions that, in the battle which took place between "Bosto or Bostugo Khān, Prince of the Kalmuks," and "Zuzi [Jūji?] Khān" Prince of the Mughals, the latter were defeated with great slaughter, and that nine camelloads of ears and locks of hair were brought to him.

When the spring of the following year came round, Bātū Khān, having disposed of the affairs of Kischāk, Rūs, and Ālān, resolved to turn his arms against the territory of Kalār—, —[Būlo of Alfi] and Bāshghird or Bāshķir.

Wolff, in his History of the Mughals, refers to nine sacks full of ears having been collected after the battle near Signitz, fought on the 9th April, 1240 A.D. [15th Ramazān, 638 H.], but this appears much too late a date for the capture of the city in question, as that took place early in the campaign, in the year 633 H. [1235-36 A.D.], under which year also it is recorded in Alfi; and, from what follows, the inhabitants do not appear to have been Christians.

After this victory, the territories previously named are said to have fallen under the sway of the Mughals, "and a portion of Farang likewise."

This disastrous battle is that which took place, according to Von Hammer, on the banks of the Sayo, a tributary of the Theiss, in which Bela IV., King of Hungary, was overthrown in the spring of 1241 A.D., which accords with the last quarter of 638 H., but Alfi records it under the events of the year 623 of the Rihlat, equivalent to 633 H.; but this can scarcely be correct, for the other princes only set out to join Bātū in that year, and, as it is mentioned soon after the capture of the city of Mankas, the correct date would be 634 H., which commenced on September 3rd, 1236 A.D. In the accounts given by European writers generally, Bātū Khān's troops are incorrectly styled an army of "Tartars" [there were certainly some Tartars among these forces, as well as Turks and Tajziks, who were subject to the Mughal yoke], by some called "Thatturi," and, by others, "Mangali," and these were under the command of "Bathus and Peta, sons of Hocotum Cham, son of Genzis Cham"! "Bathus, with his forces, had ravaged Great Russia, Lithuania, Poland, and Bohemia. The Cumani, a Sarmatian nation [the Kumans of Oriental authors], whose territory had been previously ravaged by the Mughal troops, brought intimation to the king of Hungary of the invasion of the countries of Rūs, Ālān, and Ķifchāķ, by the Mughals, and sought permission themselves to take shelter in Hungary, promising, in return, to turn Christians, and to be loyal subjects. Permission was granted; and some 40,000 Kumans, with their slaves, came into Hungary. The subjects of Bela IV., king of that country, were disaffected towards him; and, as the advance of Bathus took place within a year of the Kumans' arrival in their country, the Hungarians accused them of having instigated the Mughals to come, and slew their chief, and his attendants, on his way to join King Bela. This act caused the Kūmāns to join the invaders, who had ra nged Russia and sent part of their forces into Silesia, Moravia, and Bohemia, while Bathus with an army of 300,000 men was advancing towards the frontiers of Hungary. Meanwhile, King Bela, with an army nearly as numerous, moved to encounter them; and, as he advanced, they retreated leisurely towards Agria, both leaders seeking a favourable opportunity to give battle; but Bela's troops, as I have said before, were under his sway; and, in that region, he subjugated all the

disaffected, and rather wished that Bela might be defeated. At length the two armies drew so near each other that their respective camps were only separated by a marsh [this, no doubt, is the river or water of the Musalmān writers], which the Mughals finding passable crossed over by stealth in some places, and surrounded the camp of the Hungarians. One morning at daybreak the Mughals began the attack by volleys of arrows. The Hungarians, confounded at this unexpected attack, could not be persuaded to leave their camp. The upshot was that they were totally defeated, and the greater number perished: some say from 100,000 to 65,000 men. Pesth is said to have fallen immediately after.

"The Mughals then proceeded to ravage Upper Hungary, and King Bela had to fly into Austria. Then the invaders passed the Danube on the ice, entered and subdued Strigonia, while detached bands pursued Bela into Dalmatia. Unable to take him, they turned aside into Croatia, Bosnia, and Bulgaria, pillaging and destroying. At this time news reached Bathus of the decease of Hocotam Cham [his father !], on which he set out on his return homewards through Cumania and Ruthenia." This latter is a specimen of history writing; Jūji Khān, Bātū's father, had been dead ten years before the campaign began.

Rubruquis, who passed through the Dasht-i-Kifchāk about sixteen or seven teen years after these events, says the whole country between the Danube and the Ātil was possessed by the Koman Kapchak, "who are," he says, "called Valani by the Germans, and their country Valania."

It will be seen from this that there is some discrepancy between the two accounts of eastern and western writers, and that the latter have made terrible havoc with the names, wrongly imagined that Bātū was the son of Ūktāe Kā'ān, instead of being his nephew, and turned all the Mughals into "Tattars." There is little doubt but that the Kumans were of Turkish descent, and that, as before stated, there were some Turks, Tattars, and Tajziks in Batu's army. Kadān Aghul, and Malik, sons of Ūktāe, were by a Kūmān concubine. Other blunders committed by most European writers are with regard to the dates, and the supposition that Uktae's death was the cause of the return of the Mughal princes and their armies, whereas, as has been, and will be presently, related, these wars were over, and they returned to their respective territories before the death of Uktae, which took place on the 5th day of the sixth month of 639 H.— 16th December, 1241 A.D.; and yet, according to the European writers, the battle of Lignitz was only fought in April of that very year, and the "Mongols" only crossed the Danube on the ice, after the great battle in which Bela, king of Hungary, was overthrown, to attack Gran, on the 25th December, 1241. or, according to this theory, twenty days after "Hocotam's" death.

After overrunning the country of Bolo [4,4], the Shāh-zādahs, and Amīls, during the [following] winter, assembled on the banks of the river Jānān [4,4]; and the Bahādur, Swidāe [Sahūdah], with a large force, was despatched into the country of Urūs [also styled Rūs], and the frontiers of Bulghār. He penetrated as far as the city of Komak [4,4], and overthrew the armies of that state, after much fighting, and brought it under subjection; and, the capital thereof having been reduced to wretchedness and desolation, the Amīrs of that place came out, proceeded to the presence of the Shāhzādahs, and made their submission. They were well treated, received favours

## tribes of Khifchāķ, Ķanķuli, Yamak, Ilbari [Albari], Rūs, 2

<sup>1</sup> The tribe to which Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, belonged, and also Ulugh Khān, and his brother, and cousin. Our author connects them with the Yamak also. See pages 599, 791, 796, 800, and last page.

In some copies written ارس and الم The tract referred to is Russia in its restricted signification—Russia Proper. The meaning of Rus is said to be

"fox," which, to say the least, is suggestive.

and benefits, and were permitted to return [as vassals of the Mughals]. Again they became rebellious, and the Shāh-zādahs again detached Swidāe [Sahūdah]. He soon reduced the disaffected, and put all concerned in the outbreak to death.

After these events a council was held by the Shah-zadahs, and it was agreed that each one, with the troops under him, should march towards different points [where this council was held is not stated], subdue such territories as lay in his way, and destroy the fortified places. Mangu, accordingly, continued to advance on the left hand towards Jirkah [عرك ] or Chirkah [عرك], keeping along the banks of the Irkah or Chirkah river, and Bajman [This name is doubtful. It is written جمان -Najmān, or Bajmānand without any points. It may also be read Tajman, or it may be Tachman, but it seems to be Bajman, from the various modes here given, who was a great Amir, and redoubtable warrior of the tribe or people of the Aolbarlang of the peoples of Kifchak, and Kajir Olukah [اوليراناه] of the peoples of Kifchak, and Jir Olukah As [Ossetæ?], he made prisoners. It happened in this wise. Bajman, with a body of robbers, who had escaped the sword [probably at the time Küktäe and Swidae [Sahūdah], at the commencement of Ūktāe's reign, moved into those parts. See note 5, page 1115], having been joined by other fugitives, were harrying the parts around and carrying off property, and the sedition was increasing daily. The Mughals were unable to lay hands on this Bajman, and he used to hide in the vast forests of canes along the banks of the Atil or Wolga. Mangū caused two hundred vessels to be prepared, on each of which he embarked 100 Mughals, while Mangu himself, and his brother, Tukal, moved along down either bank with their forces. [Neither Mangu nor his brother embarked on board these vessels: they would have defeated their own object if they had done so.] At last the troops under Mangū reached one of these forests of canes, and discovered traces of a recent encampment. After some search, an old woman, who had been left behind because she was sick. was discovered. On being questioned, she, to save her life, confessed that Bajman and his followers had recently decamped, and were then lurking in a certain island, which she pointed out, with all his property and effects. As his boats were not there, and he was unable to cross to the island, Mangū sent messengers to hasten onwards his vessels; but it so happened that, before the vessels arrived, a high wind arose, and the waters became so disturbed, and the waves rose so, that the shallow part which constituted the ford, pointed out by the old woman, became partially exposed from one side to the other. Mangu pushed across with his troops and caught Bajman, who requested that Mangū would put him to death with his own hand, but this he declined, and directed his brother, Tūkal, to cut him in two. On this island Kajir Olūkah, the Amīr of the As, was also slain. Mangu passed part of the summer on this island, and when the weather became very hot he moved into another country.

# Charkas, and As, as far as the Bahr-i-Zulmat [Sea of

<sup>3</sup> The people styled Tschermiss probably, who, in ancient times, dwelt between the Volga and Tanais or Don.

1 Said to have been a city of Kischak giving name to a province.

These events are recorded as having taken place in the year 633 H. = September, 1235-36 A.D.

Bātū Khān, in the beginning of 634 H.—the latter part of 1236 A.D. in concert with his brothers, Urdah, Barkah, and other Shah-zadahs, undertook an expedition against Tokashi [توقش] and Bartas, and, after some delay, subdued their [those?] territories. Towards the close of this year, the Shāh-zādahs present held a kūrīltāe, and it was therein determined to make war on the Urus [ازس]. Bātū, Ūrdah, Kyūk, Mangū, Kolkān, Ķadān, and Būri, accordingly, invested the city of Arpān, and, after three days, it was captured by storm, and the city of I-kah [4] met the same fate. In the capture of I-kah, Kolkan [not the son of the Chingiz Khan, as supposed, merely because he bore an uncle's name who died long before, but Chaghatāe's son] was mortally wounded. One of the Amirs of the Urus, named Arman [ارمان], also written Azmān [ازمان], advanced with an army against the Mughais, and, after many endeavours on his part, he was killed, with the greater part of his troops. The Mughals now captured the city of Makar [, ] after five days' investment, and the ruler [Hākim] of the city, who was named Ulā-tīmūr [ولاتيمور]—Vladimir, son of the Grand Duke George of Russia?], was likewise killed. The city of Borkī-i-Buzurg—Great Borkī [بركي]—was also invested, and, after eight days, during which its people fought desperately, it was taken, and fell into Mangu Khan's hands. In the space of five days, the Mughals took the city of Karikla [قريقلا], which is the native country of the Wazir of Ladan or Lawan. The Amir of that country, Wamkah Porko ?], fled, and took shelter in a forest, and after some trouble he was captured and killed.

After this, the Mughal Shāh-zādahs made a retrograde movement [to the river Don?] and held counsel together respecting their future operations. It was agreed that they should continue to advance, tomān by tomān, to Jīrkah or Chirkah, and capture and destroy every city and town and fortified place that came in their way. Bātū, on this occasion, appeared before the city of Kasal Ankah [كل انك] and invested it for two months, but could not succeed in taking it. Subsequently, Kadān, and Būrī, arrived with their contingents, and, after three days, it was carried. After this they came to a pause, and took up their quarters in houses [for the winter?], and took their ease.

Towards the close of the year 635 H. [which commenced the end of August, 1237-38 A.D.], Mangū and Kadān marched into the country of the Charkas [Cheremis of Nichi Novgorod], and, in the midst of winter, entered it. The Bādshah of the Charkas, named Būkān [ووقال possibly Yūkān—وقال, was slain, and the country fell into the possession of the Mughals. In this year likewise, Shaibān, Tūkal, and Būri, turned their attention to the country of Marim [مرام], and subdued it from Ḥejakān [مرام] as far as Karār [عرام].

Barkah, during this year, set out towards Kifchāk; and Uzjāk [إقوان], Kozān [توران], Kezān [قران], Kezān [الوران], and other leaders and their dependants, after

Darkness—the Arctic Sea?], and the whole submitted to his authority.

He was a very sagacious man, and friendly towards the

A stormy sea is called by this name, in a passage in the Kur'ān, but there can be little doubt as to what sea is alluded to.

great slaughter, were captured, and their country devastated. After this, Barkah returned to the *urdū* of his uncle, Ūktāe Kā'ān, bearing along with him such a vast amount of spoil as cannot be computed.

In the year 636 H.—August, 1239-40 A.D.—Kadān and Būrī proceeded towards the city of Mankas [منكس] during the height of winter, and took it, after forty-five days' investment. In the following year, 637 H.—August, 1239-40 A.D.—Mangū Khān and Kubīlāe were directed to return from the Dasht-i-Kifchāk, while Bātū Khān and his brothers, and Kadān, Būrī, and Būchak, marched to attack the country of Urus [again], and the tribe and soldiers of Halahān [مراح المحاص ال

"The Russians," according to the "Modern Universal History," quoting Petreius, par. ii., were reduced to a most deplorable situation, perpetually distressed by their own sovereigns, harassed by their neighbours, and exposed to all the calamities of war; when, to complete their misery, the Tartars [Mughals?], still greater savages than themselves, poured in upon them with irresistible fury, and actually made a conquest of their country. History does not inform us of the particulars of this remarkable event, any farther than that innumerable multitudes of those barbarians, headed by their khan Batto or Battus, after rayaging great part of Poland and Silesia, broke suddenly into Russia, and laid waste everything before them, marking their steps with every act of cruelty. Most of the Russian princes, among whom was the great Duke George Sevodolitz, were made prisoners, and racked to death; in short, none found mercy but those who voluntarily acknowledged the Tartars [Mughals?] for their lords. The relentless conqueror imposed upon the Russians everything that is most mortifying in slavery, insisting that they should have no other princes than such as he approved of [History repeats itself often: this reads much like part of recent treaties which one power wished to impose upon the 'Uşmanli Turks, and another upon Afghans; and that they should pay him a yearly tribute, to be brought by their sovereigns themselves, now his vassals, on foot, who were to present it humbly to the Tartarian [Mughal?] ambassador on horseback. They were also to prostrate themselves before the haughty Tartar [Mughal?], to offer him milk to drink, and, if any drops of it fell down, to lick them up-a singular mark of servility, worthy of the barbarian who imposed it, and which lasted near two hundred and sixty years."

A duke or prince of the Rūs or Russians attended, as a vassal of the Mughals, the installation of Kyūk Khān, but was compelled to stand outside the audience tent or khargah. This is confirmed by John de Plano Carpini, who reached Kyūk's urdū in 1246 A.D.—644 H.—before Kyūk was elected, and he found Jeroslaus standing at the door of the khargah.

Musalmāns, and, under his protection, they used to live contented and happy. In his camp, and among his tribes, there were *masjids* with regular congregations, an Imām, and Mu'azzin, all duly organized; and, during the period of his reign, and the term of his life, the territories of Islām sustained neither harm nor injury by his command, nor from his dependents nor troops. The Musalmāns of Turkistān, under the shadow of his guardianship, enjoyed great affluence and infinite security.

Out of every country of I-rān which fell under the jurisdiction of the Mughals, he [Bātū] had a specified assignment, and his factors were placed over such portions as had been allotted to him, and all the Grandees and Leaders of the Mughal forces were obedient unto Bātū, and used to look upon him in the light of his father Tūshī.

When Kyuk departed from the world, all concurred in the sovereignty of Bātū, with the exception of the sons of Chaghatāe, and made this request to him, that he would accept the throne of the Mughals, and assume the sovereignty, in order that all might obey his commands. Bātū did not consent; and Mangū Khān, son of Tūli, son of the Chingiz Khān, was raised to the sovereignty, as will, subsequently, be related.

Some among the trustworthy have stated on this wise, that Bātū, privately, and in secret, had become a Musalmān, but used not to make it known, and that he reposed implicit confidence in the people of Islām. For twenty-eight years, more or less, he ruled over this extent of country [as previously mentioned], and died. The mercy

- 6 As head of the race and family. See page 1177.
- 7 Not mentioned by any other author, but extremely probable. It is also stated again at page 1164.
  - So he says respecting Üktāe Ķā'ān.
- After his return from the seven years campaign, by command of his uncle, Uktāe Ķā'ān, Bātū was raised to the sovereignty over all the parts of Ķifchāķ, and the farther west, including the extensive territories which he had subdued and made tributary; and he proceeded to the presence of his uncle, and remained with him some time. He subsequently returned to his own dominions.

In 639 H. he was struck with paralysis, hence his inability to come to the Urdue Bāligh to hold a kūrītāe on the death of Kyūk; and, in the year 650 H., he died on the banks of the Atil or Wolga, at the age of forty-eight, having been born in 602 H. There is some discrepancy regarding the date of

of the Almighty be upon him, if a true believer, and, if an infidel, may the Almighty lessen his punishment [in hell]!

They buried him in conformity with the Mughal custom; and among that people it is the usage, when one of them dies, to prepare a place under ground about the size of a chamber or hall, in largeness proportionate to the rank and degree of the accursed one who may have departed to hell. They furnish it with a throne and covering for the ground, and they place there vessels and numerous effects, together with his arms and weapons, and whatever may have been his own private property, and some of his wives, and slaves, male or female, and the person he loved most above all others. When they have placed that accursed one upon the throne, they bury his most beloved along with him in that place. In the night-time the place is covered up, and horses are driven over it, in such a manner that not a trace of it remains.1 This custom of theirs-God curse them ! -is comprehended by all Musalmāns. Here an astonishing anecdote which the author has heard is recorded, in order that readers thereof may, respecting the things of the world to come, increase their reverence; but God is all knowing.

#### AN ASTONISHING ANECDOTE.

An astonishing anecdote, which was heard from the Khwajah [opulent merchant], whose word is reliable, whom

his decease. Some say it happened in 645 H., some in 653 H., others in 654 H., and that he was aged forty-seven, and others, again, give 662 H. as the date; but, as nearly all agree that he was born in 601 or 602 H., and died at the age of forty-seven or forty-eight, there is no doubt that 650 H. is the correct year of his death.

Bātū Khān founded the city known as the Sarāe, on the Ātil or Wolga. He was succeeded by his son, Surtāk, who will be mentioned farther on.

<sup>1</sup> That quaint old traveller, Sir John Maundeville, had heard a correct version of the mode of interment, which he gives in detail, and winds up saying: "Many cause themselves to be interred privately by night, in wild places, and the grass put again over the pit to grow; or they cover the pit with gravel and sand, that no man may perceive where the pit is, to the intent that never after may his friends have mind or remembrance of him."—Early Travels in Palestine. London: Bohn.

Rubruquis states, and quite correctly too, with reference to burials, that, if the deceased be of the race of the Chingiz Khān, his sepulchre is rarely known. See note at page 1089, para. 5.

they used to style Rashid-ud-Din, the Ḥakim, a native of Balkh, is here related, in order that it may be acceptable to the Sultan of the Sultans of Islam.

This Khwajah, Rashid-ud-Din, the Hakim, had come into Hindustan from Khurasan, in the year 648 H., for purposes of trade, and he accompanied the author of this TABAĶĀT, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, on a journey [from Dihli] to Multan. He related as follows: "One of the Mughal lords, in the territory of Karā-Kuram,3 who possessed numerous followers and servants and great wealth, [died and] went to hell. They accordingly caused a place to be prepared, with the utmost ceremony, for the interment of that accursed one, and placed with him arms and other effects, and furniture and utensils in great quantity. A couch also, adorned and decorated, they had prepared: and desired to bury, along with him, the most loved of his people. They consulted together as to whom among his servants they should inter who would be the one to whom he was most attached.

"There was a youth of the confines of Tirmid of Khurāsān, who, in his childhood, had fallen captive into the hands of this Mughal gabr in the beginning of the misfortunes of Khurāsān; and, when he reached puberty, and grew into youth and virility, and attained unto man's estate, he turned out exceedingly active, intelligent, expert, and frugal, in such wise, that everything belonging to that accursed one, in whole and in part, came under the youth's disposal; and, as this Mughal had called him son, on this account, the whole of the property and effects, and cattle, and whatever else belonged to him, the youth had taken under his control. All the servants and followers of that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This was on the occasion of our author's proceeding thither in order to despatch the slaves to his "dear sister" in Khurāsān. Perhaps they went along with Rashīd-ud-Dīn's own kāfilah; indeed, it is most probable that they accompanied it. At page 687, our author says he set out himself in Zī-Ḥijjah of 647 H., and returned again to Dihlī in Jamādī-ul-Ākhir, 648 H.

<sup>3</sup> In a few copies, "the territory of Karā-Kuram of the Mughals," as though that was some other Karā-Kuram.

<sup>•</sup> The Amuiah being correctly considered as the boundary of Khurāsān.

An Essay on "Fire-Worship" in Mughalistan is not required to elucidate this any more than in Hindustan or Upper India. The signification of this word, and the way in which it is applied, has been given at page 620.

Mughal were under his orders, so that not one of them, without the permission of that youth, used to have the power of making use of anything belonging to that accursed one. At this time, all of them [the Mughals], with one accord, girded up their loins to despatch this youth, saving: 'The deceased [Mughal] used not to regard any one more than this youth: it is necessary to inter him along with him.' Their object was to destroy this youth, and take vengeance on him for the sway he had exercised; and, in this proceeding, all agreed. The Musalman youth, in this state of affliction, was astounded, and resigned his heart to death, seeing that he had no asylum and no succour, save in the Lord, the Helper of the Helpless. He stretched out the hand of supplication to the promise of Him, "who hears the distressed when they pray unto Him," and performed the ablution of purification, donned clean clothes, and placed his foot within that subterranean [chamber].

"When they had covered it up, in a corner of this chamber, that poor creature turned his face towards the kiblah, repeated a prayer of two genuflexions, and then occupied himself in repeating the Musalman creed. Suddenly, a side of the chamber opened, and two persons, so majestic and awe-striking that the bile of a hundred thousand lions, at their aspect, would turn to water, entered. Each of them bore a fiery javelin, out of which issued flames of fire, and the flames encircled the couch of the [dead] Mughal all round; and a small spark from the fiery sparks [issuing from the mames], about the size of a needle's point, fell upon the cheek of that youth, burnt it, and made it smart. One of these two persons said: 'There appears to be a Musalman here;' and the other turned his face on the youth and asked: 'Who art thou?' The vouth states that he answered: 'I am a poor and miserable captive, captured by the hands of that Mughal.' They demanded: 'From whence art thou?' and I replied: 'From Tirmid.' They then struck one side of the chamber with the heads of their javelins, and it rent

<sup>6</sup> Kur'An, chap. xxvii., verse 63.

<sup>7</sup> Strange that these supernatural beings did not know all about him, and that this never occurred to the narrator.

asunder to the extent of about [the size of] a doorway, and they said: 'Go out!' and I placed my foot without, and I found myself in the Tirmid country."

"From that place, namely, Karā-Kuram of the Mughals to Tirmid, is a distance of six months' journey and more; and, up to this time, that youth is dwelling upon his own property and possessions, on the confines of Tirmid; and whatever salve he continues to apply to the hurt occasioned by that spark of fire, it is ineffectual to heal it, and it continues open to the size of a needle's point, and to discharge as before." Glory to Him who contrives what He pleases!

May Almighty God long preserve the Sultan of the Sultans of the age, NASIR-UD-DUNYA WA UD-DIN, upon the throne of sovereignty!

# VII. MANGŪ KHĀN, SON OF TŪLĪ KHĀN, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHĀN.

Trustworthy persons have stated that Tūli was the

\* Here also, as at the beginning of this anecdote, two of the most modern copies of the text have Karā-Kūm for Karā-Kuram. See para. 6 of note, page 1140.

Like Ükdāe or Üktāe, Mangū is always styled Ķā'ān.

Tūli, or Tūlūe, as the name is also written, had ten sons, but the four named by our author were the most renowned among the Musalmāns: (1) Mangū Khān, (2) Kubilāe Khān, (3) Hulākū Khān, and (4) Irtuk Būķā.

Our author has not devoted a separate heading to Tūlī Khān, although he was as much entitled to it as Ūktāe, Chaghatāe, or Jūjī, but the account of his life is contained in the reigns of his father and brother Ūktāe. Tūlī was the youngest son, and most beloved by his father, and, when very young in years, his father married him to the daughter of the Jānkabū, Badāe, brother of the Awang Khān, named Sīūr Kūkibī Bīgī, and by that Karāyat wife, the chief of his Khātūns, he had the four sons named above. As his decease, which took place in 628 H., was a source of grief to Ūktāe Kā'ān, care was taken that the word "Tūlī," which in their language signifies a mirror, should not be mentioned, and instead of using the word tūlī for mirror, after his decease, the word tūlī was had recourse to, bearing the same signification, and it became common in consequence. Command was also issued that the name Tūlī should not be given to any one again. The Jahān-Ārā says that Mangū, Tūlī's son, commanded the use of the word gūsgū.

Üktäe, when he used to have recourse to drink, and became inebriated, would say that he first took to it in order to divert his thoughts from dwelling on the bereavement he had sustained by the loss of his brother Tülf.

The authority from which the author of "Mongols Proper" draws a version of this little episode, and a very erroneous version, must have been "in a fix"

youngest son of the Chingiz Khān; and it was he who destroyed the cities of Khurāsān, as has been previously recorded respecting him, in the account of the downfall of the city of Hirāt. He had four sons, the eldest being Mangū Khān, the second, Hulā'ū, the third, Irtuķ Būķah, and the fourth, Kublā.

When Kyuk went to hell, the sons of Chaghatāe demanded the sovereignty; and they, having a great number of horsemen and dependents, did not consent to the sovereignty of Mangū Khān. The beginning of this matter was in this manner. When Kyuk departed from this world, all the great chiefs of the Mughal armies turned their faces towards Bātū [son of Tūsht] saying: "It behoveth that thou shouldst be our sovereign, since, of the race of the Chingiz Khān, there is no one greater than thou; and the throne and diadem, and the rulership, befitteth thee best." Bātū replied: "I and my brother, which is Barkā, possess [already] so great a sovereignty and empire in this part, that to rule over it, together with

to translate it, and has consequently made it ridiculous:—"Tului in Mongol means 'mirror,' and the Turkish synonym of the word, viz., guezugu, was eradicated from the language," etc. So guezugu was eradicated so that "Tului" might be perpetuated!

Tüli Khān was known by the titles of the Yakah or the Unique Nū-yīn and the Ulugh or Great Nū-yīn, but certainly he was not referred to – at least, by Mughals—as the "Great Novan."

1 Our author forgets to say where. The Dasht-i-Ķifchāk, and the vast territories farther west, are referred to. See under the account of Bātū, page 1165.

On the death of Kyūk Khān, again disorder arose in the affairs of the empire. The Khātūn of the late Khān, Ūkūl-Kūlmish, or Ūghūl-Klmish, as the name is also written, according to previous usage, in concert with, and by the advice of, the ministers and Amtrs present in the great urdū, or yūral, assumed the direction of affairs. The routes, too, had become closed, as each of the Shāh-zādahs, Nū-yīns, and Amīrs, with his followers, were on their way to the urdū, but, when they became aware of Kyūk's decease, they halted then and there, and delayed in expectation of the accession of a Khān; and each of the Shāh-zādahs was beginning to plot sedition, and stir up dissension, particularly the sons of Ūktāe Kā'ān, who entertained ideas of their rights to the succession, after the promise made to Kyūk by those present at his accession.

Bātū Khān, son of Jūjī, was the real head of the family of the Chingiz Khān, and of the Mughal Ī-māķ, was acknowledged as such by the whole family, and all the different Mughal tribes, and was looked up to and held in great reverence in consequence. He had, however, in 639 H., been stricken with paralysis, in one or both legs, which the historians term dard-i-pāe, literally

## possession of, and sway over, the states of Chin, Turkistan,

signifying, "pain, ache, or affliction of the foot or leg," which some modern translators interpret as gout; but gout is temporary, as far as moving about is concerned, while Bātū's affliction was permanent, and precluded his undertaking a long journey. He was, consequently, unable to proceed from the Dasht-i-Khifchāk to the ancient urdū and yūrat of the Chingiz Khān, as was usual on such occasion, but he sent out envoys, by virtue of his position, to the different Shāh-zādahs, and Amīrs, saying: "Let each one get ready to come into Khifchāk, so that we may hold a kūrīltāe of the different brothers, and brothers' sons, and consult as to whom we shall choose to succeed to the Khān-ship, since, on account of my paralysis, I am unable to proceed to Kalūr-ān, which is the original yūrat and the seat of sovereignty of the Chingiz Khān."

The agents of Bātū in due course delivered their message, but Karā Aghūl, son of Chaghatae, and the sons of Kyūk, declined to obey, saying: "The ancient yūrat and seat of sovereignty of the Chingiz Khān is Ū-tāķ or Ū-tāgh and Kalūr-ān, and it is not at all necessary for us to go into Khifchāk to hold a kūrīltāe;" and Khwājah, the Nū-yin, Karklūkūr, and the Nū-yin, Timūr, who were the Amirs of Karā-Kuram, they therefore sent to act as their deputies, and to sign anything that might be agreed upon among the Shāh-zādahs. Kūķibi Bigi, the mother of Mangū, however, having heard that the sons of Üktāe Ķā'ān, Chaghatāe Khān, and Kyūk Khān, refused to comply with Bātū Khān's request, advised her sons, particularly Mangū, her eldest, to lose no time in proceeding to the presence of their Aka, and paying homage to him; and Mangu and his brothers set out without loss of time. Having reached the Dasht-i-Kifchāk, and the presence of Bātū Khān, he received them with great favour, and paid Mangu great attention. Batu stated to the other Shāh-zādahs present at the kūrīltāe then assembled, that he had already remarked Mangu's fitness for the Khān-ship, and, besides, reminded them that he had already experienced the good and evil, the sweet and bitter, of life, and the affairs of the world, had several times commanded armies, and had been held in estimation by Uktae Ka'an, the Shah-zadahs and Amirs, and the Bātū added: "The Kā'ān sent him along with me, and my brothers, Urdah, Shaiban, and Tingkut, and others of the family of Juji, accompanied by his brother Korkan [Buchak is mentioned as having gone. See note 9 page 1164], and Kyūk, into the territory of Kischāk, and other countries, where he greatly distinguished himself. After that, when the Ka'an commanded that the Shah-zadahs [that is, other than those of Juji's family, whose appanage was the territories of Kischāk, and other western countries] should return, before they reached the Ka'an's presence he was dead. The Kā'ān's will was, that Shirāmun, his grandson, should succeed him, but Turā-Kinah Khātūn set aside his commands, and set up her own son, Kyūk, in the place of his father, Uktae. Now it is proper that Mangū Khān should succeed, and he is worthy of succeeding; and there is none other so fitting and capable of directing the affairs of the empire and of the army. More than this, Mangū is the son of my uncle, Tulue Khan, the youngest son of the Chingiz Khan, and to whom appertained the charge of the great yūrat; and as, according to the ordinances and usages of the Mughals, the dwelling-place of the father belongs to the youngest son, therefore the sovereignty belongs to Mangil." The others present acquiesced; and, the right of Mangu having been determined, Bātu Khān despatched envoys to the Khātūns and sons of Uktāe, and to Siūr Kūkibi-Bigi, Mangū's mother, and the other Shah-zadahs and great Amirs

## and 'Ajam, would be impossible. It will be advisable

of the Dast-i-Rāst, and Dast-i-Chap [i.e. who, in the assemblies of the Chingiz Khān, and his son, Üktāe, used to sit on the right and left, and who belonged to the Hazārah's of the right and left wings. See note at page 1093], saying, that "by the Shāh-zādahs who, with their eyes, had seen the Chingiz Khān, and who, with their ears, had heard his laws and ordinances, the Shāh-zādahs present in this kūrīltāe, it was deemed advisable, on the part of the ulūs, the army, and the people generally, to raise Mangū to the sovereignty."

Having thus selected Mangu, Bātu Khān directed his brothers Urdah, Shaiban, and Barkae, or Barkah, as he is also called, the Shah-zadahs of the Dast-i-Rāst, the whole family of Jūji, Karā Hūlākū, and others of the sons of Chaghatae, and the Shah-zadahs of the Kara Bilad [الرا بلاد], to prepare a great banquet in honour of the occasion, and to seat Mangu on the throne, but Mangu made some hesitation [for form's sake, probably?], when his brother, Mükāe, or Mükā Aghūl, got up, and said: "Have we not all stipulated and signed our hands that we would not act contrary to the command of the Sā-in Khān, Bātū, how therefore can Mangū hesitate to accede to his commands, and neglect to give ear to his words?" All present applauded this speech of Mūkāe's, and Mangū therefore signified his willingness. Then, as was customary, Bātū Khān arose, seated Mangū on the throne, and saluted him as Kā'ān, and all present, following his example, did the same. Bātū then held the goblet to him, and, followed by the others, bent the knee to him nine times, opened his girdle, doffed his cap, and acknowledged his fealty to him.

It was then determined that a great kūrīltāe should be summoned to meet at Kalūr-ān to confirm this decision; and, accordingly, all those who attended this one departed for their own yūrats, and the accession of Mangū became noised abroad in all parts. Bātū then directed his brothers, Barkāe and Būkā Timur—the Fanakati says Urdah and Shaiban also went—to accompany Mangu, with a large army, to the khargah of Kalur-an [referring to the urdu of the Chingiz Khān], and, in the presence of the Shāh-zādahs, to seat him on the throne there likewise. Mangu's mother used all her influence, which was very great, to induce the adverse party to attend; and most of the Shahzādahs and others signified their approval of the choice of Mangū, all save a number of the sons of Üktāe and Kyūk, and Yassū-Mungā, Karā Aghūl, and Būri, sons of Chaghatāe, who were using all sorts of stratagems to prevent the installation of Mangu, and our author was wrong in supposing that only the sons of Chaghatae were plotting against him. They despatched an envoy to Batu Khān, notifying their dissent from the succession of Mangū, and stated that it had been previously determined that the sovereignty should continue in Uktāe's family, and demanded why, such being the case, he had set up another. Bātū replied that he had done so by virtue of his position as head of the tribes and family, and because he and others deemed Mangu best fitted to rule a vast empire; and that no one else among them was capable of ruling it-a work which could not be entrusted to boys. He exhorted them to think better of the matter.

In this discussion the time prescribed by Bātū for the installation of Mangū on the throne in Kalūr-ān passed; and the next year [647 H.] came round, and still the affairs of the empire remained without order or splendour. All the efforts of Mangū and his mother to propitiate and persuade the hostile party were of no avail: the more she and others endeavoured to do so, the

# that we should raise to the sovereignty Mangū Khān,

more obdurate the others became. This year coming to a close also, Mangu, in accord with Bātū's brothers, sent envoys to all his kinsmen, requesting them to assemble in Kalūr-ān; and despatched Shalāmūn, the Bitik-chi-a writer. or secretary, from the Turkish ela-to Ughul Kulmish, the chief Khatun of Kyūk Khān, and her sons, Nāķū and Khwājah, and another Bitik-chi to Yassū-Mangū [also written Mangā], saying: "Most of the members of the urdu of the Chingiz Khan have here assembled, and the kūrīltāe is entirely delayed, through your non-attendance, for a long time. If you are of one mind, and desire to see the affairs of the empire disposed of, amicably and in accord, attend; but, understand, that nothing will be left in abeyance for you any longer." They saw there was no help but to appear, and therefore Nākū Aghūl set out, and the Nū-yin, Kadāk, and several other Amirs of Kvūk Khān, and Yassū Mangū, and Būri, sons of Chachatae Khān, proceeded from their urdus, and went to the presence of Shiramun, grandson of Uktae, and all these Shah-zadahs met together at an appointed place. Afterwards, Khwājah, son of Kyūk, joined them; and, under the supposition that the kūrīltāe would not, and could not, be held without them, they proceeded very leisurely. It so happened, however, that Barka Khan had previously written to his brother, Bātū, saying, that it was now two years since the sons of Uktāe, Kyūk, and Chaghatāe, had been summoned to attend, and they would not, and were constantly occupied in their ambitious and seditious designs. In reply, Bātū gave orders, saying: "Place ye Mangū on the throne, and, if they or either of them do anything contrary to the yasa of the Chingiz Khan, let their heads pay the forfeit."

On receipt of this command, Barkā and his brother assembled together all the Shāh-zādahs then present in the urdā of Kalūr-ān, and the great Amīrs, among whom was Amīr Harkashūn, or Harkasūn, and of the Shāh-zādahs of the Dast-i-Rāst, Ķarā Hulā'ū [or Hulākū; the name is written both ways, and both are correct], son of Chaghatāe; of Ūktāe's sons, Ķadān, and his grandsons, Mūngard and others; and the brothers of Mangū, Ķubīlā, or Ķubīlāe, Hulākū, Mūkā, and Irtuk Būkā. Of the Shāh-zādahs of the Dast-i-Chap, the sons of Jūjī Ķasār, Nako [?], and Yasū Mungā; Iljidāe or Īlchīkdāe, son of Ķājīūn, and Mājār, son of the Nu-yīn, Ūljī, the sons of Mankūtī, and a few others of the family of lesser note.

Having chosen a propitious hour, approved by the augurs, they met together, confirmed the decision of the Āķā, Bātū Khān, and placed Mangū Ķā'ān upon the throne with the usual ceremonials. One of the most auspicious signs of the glory of his reign, according to the pro-Mughal Historians, was, that for several days previously, the atmosphere of those parts became so overcast that the face of the sun was completely hidden, and incessant rain fell; but it so happened that, at the very moment chosen by the astrologers for Mangū's seating himself on the throne, the world-enlightening luminary burst forth from his veil of clouds, and filled the universe with his effulgent brightness. present in that great assembly, Shah-zadahs, Amirs, and people, thereupon arose, doffed their caps, unloosed their girdles and cast them over their shoulders, and bent the knee nine times. I cannot here enter into farther details, which are highly interesting: space forbids. It took place at the ancient yūrat, within the limits of Karā-Kuram, the urdū of Kalūr-ān, in the year of the Hog, in the month of Zi-Kadah—the eleventh month—of the year 648 H., or February, 1251 A.D.

son of Tūlī, the youngest of the <u>Chingiz Khān's sons</u>, who was removed from the world in the day-time of youth, and never enjoyed dominion; and, whereas, I, Bātū, shall place him on the throne, in reality I shall be the sovereign." All ratified this opinion.<sup>2</sup>

When they were about to place Mangū Khān on the throne, Barkā, the Musalmān, said: "The empire of the infidels hath departed, and the dominion of every pagan monarch who ascends the throne of sovereignty will not endure. If ye desire that the rule of Mangū shall continue, and be prolonged, let him pronounce the [Musalmān] confession of faith, in order that his name may be inscribed in the register of the Islāmis, and then let him ascend the throne." This was concurred in, and Mangū repeated the confession of faith. Then Barkā, taking him

In 649 H., Mangū Ķā'ān lost his mother, Stūr-Ķūķtbi Bigi, by some written Stūr-Ķūķtti Bigi—being, I believe, a mistake of z for which often occurs in MS. She was a Christian, but favoured the Musalmāns, and was exceedingly liberal towards them. She gave 1000 bālish of gold for the purpose of erecting a khānķah or monastery over the tomb of the Shaikh, Saif-ud-Dīn, the Bākhūrzī, at Bukhārā, and ordered villages to be purchased wherewith she endowed it.

<sup>2</sup> Previous to Mangū's having been raised to the throne of sovereignty, and during the four years his confirmation remained in abeyance, some events of importance happened in the countries, and to several persons, mentioned by our author.

In the year 643 H. Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr, the Kurat, repeatedly mentioned by our author, the maternal grandfather of Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad [who is considered the first of the Kurat dynasty], died at Khāesār of Ghūr, a notice of whom will be found farther on.

On the 23rd of Muharram, 644 H., Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, died, or, rather, was put to death, in prison at Dihli, and was succeeded by his uncle, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, who, soon after, at the advice of his Ḥājib, Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Balban [subsequently raised to the office of Lieutenant of the kingdom, with the title of Ulugh Khān], advanced towards the Indus to expel the Mughals from the Sind-Sāgar Do-ābah, where they had established themselves after the unsuccessful attempt upon Uchchah mentioned at page 1154.

In 645 H., the Amfr, Arghūn Āķā, having obtained the government of I-rān-Zamīn, entered upon his office. He had obtained it, by Kyūk Khān's command after the I-ghūr Nū-yīn, Kūrkūz, had been put to death. Some say that Turā-Kinah Khātūn, Kyūk's mother, had removed Kūrkūz, and appointed Arghūn Āķā, before Kyūk succeeded to the sovereignty, as has been previously mentioned, in note 7, page 1149.

If so, how is it that other Musalman writers do not say so? I fear "the wish" of our author "was parent to the thought."

Barkā Khān had already become a Musalmān, because, at the great feast

by the arm, seated him on the throne; and all the Mughal rulers paid homage unto him, with the exception of the tribe and dependents and sons of Chaghatāe, who began to act in a contumacious manner, and showed a rebellious spirit. They were desirous of acting in a perfidious manner, and of falling unexpectedly upon the camp of Mangū Khān, to capture him, and put him to death.

They [the sons of Chaghatae] despatched confidential persons to the presence of Mangu Khan, saying: "When thou ascendest the throne we propose to come to thy presence for the purpose of tendering our congratulations and observing the custom of felicitation." With this pretence they issued forth from their place of abode, with a host of horsemen well organized and armed; and their determination was to make a night attack upon Mangū Khān, slay him and Bātū also, and overcome their adherents, and seize upon the sovereignty: "man proposes, but God disposes." It was the decree of Heaven that a camel-man, from the camp of Bātū and Mangū Khān, who had lost his camel, set out towards the open country in search of it, and was roaming about in every direction, when, suddenly, he fell among the army of the sons of Chaghatae. On becoming aware of the circumstances of this army, to every one who inquired of him to whose following or retinue he belonged—as the appearance and dialect of the camel-men of the army of Chaghatāe's sons was the same as his own—he made himself out to be a camel-man of one of their Amirs, until night came, when, seizing the opportunity, the camel-man got away from among the forces of the sons of Chaghatae, and returned to the camp of Bātū and Mangū Khān, and made them acquainted with the matter.4

held on this occasion, sheep were allowed to be killed for him, according to the prescribed Musalman usage, which was contrary to that of the Mughals. Kadan Aghūl, and his brother's son, Malik Aghūl, and Karā-Hūlā'ū, tendered to the Kā'ān their congratulations according to the custom of the Mughals.

4 While Mangū Kā'ān, and the Shāh-zādahs, or Princes, were engaged in these festivities just referred to, and the Bādshāh was expecting the arrival of the other Shāh-zādahs, who had delayed by the way instead of attending the kūriltāe, as already stated, when no living soul expected that hostility, much less treachery, would break out in the family of the Chingiz Khān, and at a

#### When that information reached the hearing of Mangū

time when all was jubilee, and there was no suspicion of such treachery, and no precaution taken, Shirāmun, grandson of Üktāe, and son of Kochue, Nāķū or Nākūe, son of Kyūk, and Kūnūkū, son of Karachār, son of Üktāe, combined together, and arrived near unto the urdu of Mangu Ka'an. them were a great number of carts full of arms, and in their hearts they meditated treachery and perfidy towards Mangu. It so happened, however, that a kosh-chi—that is to say, a camel-man, whose name was Kashak, a Kankuli, in the immediate service of the Ka'an-had lost one of his In search of this animal he was wandering about the open country, when, suddenly, he found himself in the midst of an army, and saw a countless number of carts. As he proceeded onwards, pretending to take no notice, he came upon a boy seated before a broken cart. The boy, thinking he was one of the followers of the force, asked him to aid him in mending it. Kashak dismounted from his horse for the purpose, when, to his great astonishment, instead of drinkables and other necessaries for a banquet, he found implements of war and arms concealed in the cart, under other things. inquired of the boy: "What are these?" He replied: "Only arms, such as are contained in all the other carts;" and, on further inquiry, Kashak discovered that this force consisted of the followers of Shiramun, Nakue, and others, who were proceeding towards the Kā'ān's urdū to offer their congratulations, and to hold a banquet. Being aware that banquets were not furnished from carts full of arms, nor congratulations offered, he, after helping to mend the cart, and obtaining other information, got out of the camp of Shiramun and the other Shah-zadahs, and performed a three days' journey in one. Without waiting to ask permission, he rushed into the presence of Mangu Ka'an, and before all those there assembled cried out: "Here are ye all occupied in amusement and mirth, while foes have arisen against ye, and are close at hand!" and he related what he had beheld and heard. Mangu would not believe it; and the Shah-zadahs and Amirs present thought Kashak must be exaggerating; nevertheless, the Nu-yin, Mungusar, or Mungusar, the principal of Mangu's Nu-yins, was despatched, with 2000 or 3000 horse, to gain When the next morning broke, Müngusar pushed on, at the head of 500 chosen horse, nearer to the position where the camp of the rebels was situated, and while he was reconnoitring a large body of horsemen were observed approaching. It was Shiramun and his party, issuing from their camp. Müngusar was soon joined by the Shah-zadah, Müka, and the Gürgan, Jokal, the Karayit, and an additional force despatched by Mangu to his support, and they completely surrounded Shiramun, Nāķu, and Kunuķu.

The Fanākatī says Mangū, on becoming aware of this, despatched the Nūyīn, Mungusār, with 3000 men, to meet them, and that he met Shīrāmun at the head of 500 horse, despatched by the conspirators in advance.

The Nū-yin said to him: "They say ye are coming with evil designs in your hearts. If this is not true, pass on without fear or hesitation to the presence; otherwise I am directed to arrest thee and take thee prisoner thither." Shirāmun denied all evil intentions, and asserted that they were all only atterded by their usual retinues. As the others arrived, they and their followers were disarmed, as the party of Shirāmun had been already, and the Princes and their Amirs were divided into nines—the number venerated by the Mughals—and, in that manner were allowed to enter the audience-tent or khargah; and, soon after, the Amirs with them were admitted to make their obeisances. An

Khān, after taking ample care and caution, he caused the

entertainment was given, which lasted three days, and nothing whatever was said to them, nor was a question asked.

On the fourth day, however, command was given that all the followers of the disaffected Princes and their partisans should depart each to their own yūrats, under pain of death if they should be found to remain after that order. A body of troops was detailed to guard the disaffected Princes and Amirs, and Mangu, in concert with his chief Nu-yins, Amirs, and Ministers, on the sixth day, proceeded to inquire into their conduct. The Atā-Bak of Prince Shirāmun was closely questioned about the plot. He at first denied all knowledge of it; but, on being bastinadoed, he confessed, and immediately stabbed himself; and Shirāmun also confessed. The seven Nu-yins directed to try the Princes declared them guilty, and, moreover, the conspirators themselves now confessed their plot. Mangū is said to have been inclined to pardon them, but this his Nū-yins and Amirs strongly opposed. He therefore directed that they should all be imprisoned until he had time to consider what should be done with them. After a few days, Mangu again summoned his Counsellors, and asked their advice upon the matter. Some said one thing, some another, but in such wise as not to satisfy the Kā'ān. Then his Wazīr, Mahmūd, Yalwaj, related the anecdote respecting Aristotle's reply to Alexander, about rooting up all the old trees in the garden, and replacing them with young scions, which story has done duty in scores of instances, and is applied by Firishtah, the Dakhani Historian, to the Turk chiefs in the Panjab in the reign of Ghiyasud-Din, Balban—the Ulugh Khan of this History, the father-in-law of Nasirud-Din. Mahmud Shah, and his successor on the throne of Dihli.

After hearing the anecdote, Mangū Kā'ān understood the necessity there was for completely crushing this faction, and he gave command for them to be put to death.

As some of the conspirators had not yet been brought to justice, such as Ughul Kulmish, the chief Khatun of Kyuk Khan, and Khwajah Aghul, her son, Mangū Kā'ān was not yet safe from their designs, and he therefore despatched troops to compel the disaffected to submit. One army, said to have contained ten tomans, probably two, was despatched to the Ulugh Tak and to Tulkae and weigh which lie between Bish-Baligh and Kara-Kuram, with orders to join the Nū-yin Alghū, who was in the district of Kaiālik, and to advance as far as the border of Utrar, and act in concert, and the Nu-yin, Būķā, with two tomāns, was despatched to Kirķir or Kirāķir and Kum-Kumifut. The Chinese say that, in the year 1250 A.D. [commencing on the 4th of April, 648 H.], in the year of his accession to the throne, and "about the same time," as he put down the rebellion, "Mengko ordered Holitay, one of his generals, to enter Tibbat, and to put to death all who refused to submit to the Mughals" [to himself?]. The Bitik-chi, Shalamun, was despatched to summon Ughul Kuimish Khatun, and her son, Khwajah. He proposed to put the envoy to death, but one of his Khātūns persuaded him against committing such an act, and advised his presenting himself, without delay, in the presence Ughūl Kūimish Khātūn refused to obey the command of Mangū, and abused and upbraided him before his envoy. Mangū was much enraged when he heard of it, and commanded that she should be brought, with hands bound, to his mother's urdu to be tried.

In due time Üghül Külmish, the Khatun of Kyük, and Kadakaj, the Khatun of Kochüe, son of Üktae, the mother of Shiramun, and Tükashi, the Khatun

# forces to be got ready, and moved out to meet and engage

of Yassü-Mungā, two sons of the Nū-yin, Ilchikdāe, and the Christian, Kadāk, the chief minister of Kyūk Khān, among others, were brought in, tried, and their guilt established. Shirāmūn's mother and other Khātūns were sent to the urdū of Siūr Kūkibi Bigi, Mangū's mother, to be dealt with; and they were rolled up in felts, and drowned. The Shāh-zādahs, Nū-yins, and Amirs, were beaten on their mouths with stones until they died. That they "were choked by having earth or stones forced into their mouths," as we are informed in the "Mongols Proper," is merely a wrong translation from some "muddy stream" Persian author [or an incorrect translation from the foreign rendering of the Persian], in which وهان كوند والمان كوند

Būrī, and some others, were sent to the presence of Bātū Khān to Le dealt with, and, their crime having been proved, they too were put to death. The Nū-yīn, Īlchīkdāe, the destroyer of Hirāt, and slayer of its inhabitants, was likewise seized at Bādghais, and subsequently put to death.

No less than seventy-seven or seventy-eight members altogether of the family of the Chingiz Khān, Nū-yīns, and Amīrs, perished on this occasion; and, in consequence of these executions, enmity arose among its members, which was never afterwards extinguished.

Rubruquis, who reached Mangū's urdū in January, 1254 A.D.—the last month of 651 H.—and was present during these executions, says that three hundred lords, besides ladies, perished. He describes Mangū Kā'ān as being of middle stature, flat-nosed, and about forty-five years old. "He sat on a bed [couch], and was clad with a robe of spotted fur, which shined like seal-skin. His wife [one of his wives], who was a little pretty woman, sat by him; and, on another couch near, sat one of his daughters [by his chief Khātūn], named Shīrīn, grown up, but exceedingly hard favoured, and several little children; for that being her [Shīrīn's] mother's urdū (a Christian lady whom the Khān was very fond of), she was mistress of it. On the 14th of January, the Khān's chief wife Kotola Katen [the name of the Khān's chief wife was Kankāe Khātūn—[statended the Christian chapel with her children."

Mangū having now put down all sedition, the natural goodness of his heart disposed him to show kindness to his remaining kinsmen. He directed that Shirāmūn, Nākū, and the Nū-yin, Chaghān, should accompany his brother, Kubilāe Khān, into Khitā, and Khwājah Aghūl, son of Kyūk Khān, received an appanage in the territory of Salingah, or Sālingāe, "which is near unto Karā-Kuram;" and, in the same manner, he assigned appanages to others of his kinsmen, wherein they might pass their days in affluence.

The loyal Shāh-zādahs were now also dismissed to their different urdūs, and also Bātū Khān's brothers, Barkāe, and Būkāe Timūr, who had rendered such good service, and had the longest distance to go. They were dismissed with rich presents, and bore along with them befitting offerings for the Āķā, Bātū, the Ṣā-in Khān. The sons of Kūtān, Kadān [Kadghān?] Aghūl, and Malik Aghūl, were also rewarded, and allowed to depart to their stations; and on Karā-Hūlākū, son of Mitūkāe, son of Chaghatāe, his father's appanage, which his uncle, Yassū-Mungā, had usurped, was conferred; but when he reached Alāe—"Vi—death overtook him.

Kashak, the kosh-chi, or camel-man, was rewarded, raised to high rank, and made a Tarkhān. The administration of the revenue affairs of the eastern part of the empire was conferred upon the Ṣāhib, Mahmūd, Yalwāj, who had, of old, done such good service, and who had reached Mangū's urdū previous

the army of the sons of Chaghatāe, and repel them. Before they could reach the camp of Mangū Khān, he, with his own forces, and the troops of Bātū, fell upon that array, wielded the sword among them, and despatched about ten thousand Mughals of rank and renown, leaders of armies [!], to hell; and extirpated all who belonged to the army or were dependents of Chaghatāe's sons; and set his mind at ease. Mangū Khān now became firmly established in the sovereignty, and ascended the throne of Chin and Upper Turkistān, and carried out his measures so that not a trace of the tribe of Chaghatāe remained upon the face of the earth, with the exception of one or two of Chaghatāe's sons who proceeded towards Chin, to the presence of the Altān Khān of Tamghāj.<sup>5</sup>

Subsequently to that, Mangū Khān despatched forces

to his being raised to the throne. Turkistān and Māwarā-un-Nahr were entrusted to his son, Mas'ūd Bak; and the Amir, Arghūn Āķā, who, on account of the immense distance he had to come, could only reach the Court after the kūrīltāe, was confirmed in the administration of the revenue affairs of all the countries west of the Jihūn, as far as Halab, Arman, and Rūm. 'Alī Malik was sent with him to make a new assessment in the countries of I-ran-Zamin under the sway of the Mughals. Mangū also resumed all grants not conferred by the Chingiz Khān, Uktāe Kā'ān, or Kyūk Khān. This was done because the Shāh-zādahs had, for their own purposes, during the long interregnum, been bestowing fiefs upon their partisans in all directions. Mangū issued wise regulations on this, and many other subjects, for which I have no space here. A fresh assessment likewise was made in Khitae, and the only exemptions from taxation were made in favour of such persons as had been also exempt during the reigns of the Chingiz Khan and his son, Uktae Ka'an, namely, Sayyids, Shaikhs, and 'Ulama, of the Musalmans, the priests, monks, and ascetics, of the Christians, the chief Tuinan of the Idol-worshippers, and such persons as, by reason of infirmity, sickness, or old age, were unable to work; and all outstanding claims for cesses were likewise remitted.

I must, however, briefly mention one especial good regulation instituted by Mangū Kā'ān. A number of scribes were employed at the Court, conversant with the Fārsī, I-ghūrī, Khiṭā-ī, Tibbatī, Tingkūt, and other languages, so that, whenever they might have occasion to write farmāns, they might be able to do so in the language and character of the particular tribe or people to whom such farmān might be addressed. This contradicts the statement made, on the authority of Klaproth, quoted in the Journal Ro. As. Soc., vol. v. [new series], page 33.

It is curious to read of "Professor" Tatatonggo, "installed by Genghis as Professor of the Ouigour language and literature"—in the University of Ulugh Yūrat perhaps.

• The final downfall of the Altan Khans, the Kin of Chinese authors, could not have occurred as early as Ukdāe's reign from this statement, which the Pro-Mughal writers do not even hint at.

to the Kuhistān of the Mulāḥidahs; and, during several years, the Mughals overran that territory, pitched their camps therein, and took up their quarters in that country. The inhabitants of the Kuhistān became thereby reduced to misery and wretchedness; and the Mughals gained possession of their fortresses and cities, and demolished their strongholds, and the Mulāḥidahs fell. The account of them is as follows.

# ACCOUNT OF THE FALL OF THE MULAHIDAHS—ON THE WHOLE OF WHOM BE GOD'S CURSE!

The reason for despatching forces into the territory and against the fortresses of Mulhidistān was this. At the outset of the career and time of Ḥasan-i-Ṣabbāh —God's

- Not intended to be understood otherwise than as a nick or by-name—Heretic-land, from Mulhid, heretic, etc.
- 7 The Printed Text turns this name into جاغ --- şabbāgh--which is the Arabic for a dyer!

It is very amusing to notice the errors made with regard to the name of this person, and the plunges made respecting it; and it is surely time such errors should be corrected. The latest notice of this kind occurs in a book lately published, by Major R. D. Osborn, of the Bengal S. C., entitled " Islam under the Khalifs of Baghdad," in which we are told [p. 345] that the "first Grand Master of the Assassins" was "Hasan ibn [i.e., son of, when, at the same time, his father's name is 'Ali Saba," but, afterwards, a little farther on, he is styled "Hasan Saba" only. The author of the above work, howeyer, merely follows in the beaten road of others who cannot read the original histories for themselves, and have to depend on translations often incorrect. and who call him by such incorrect names—it is merely error stereotyped, so to say-such as "the old man of the mountain" for example, another gross error. Yet such is the force of habit that there are editors of periodicals and reviews who, if they saw an attempt to correct such blunders, would probably say, "in the case of a journal intended for general readers, we are more or less forced to adopt the usual conventional spelling, partly because readers like it. and partly to secure uniformity," while others would consider the correction of such errors "want of taste," and "very offensive."

The first  $D\bar{a}^{\dagger}\bar{i}$ , the literal meaning of which is an apostle or missionary, one who invites or stimulates others—of the Ismā'ilis or Mulāḥidahs of Alamūt was 'Alā-ud-Dīn. Ḥasan, son of 'Alī, son of Muḥammad, son of Ja'far, son of Ḥusain, son of Muḥammad, who claimed descent from Uṣ-Ṣabbāḥ Al-Ḥamairi, mentioned at page 7 of this Translation; but by some he is considered to be descended from Ismā'īl, son of Ja'far-uṣ-Ṣādik.

By 'Arab writers he was styled the Shaikh-ul-Jibāl—which some one, long ago, probably, translated without recollecting, or without knowing, that Shaikh has other meanings besides "an old or venerable old man,"

curse upon him!—who instituted the rules of the Mulāhidah sect, and founded the canons of that heresy, he restored and strengthened the fortresses of Alamūt which he purchased, along with the fortress of Lanbah-Sar, which was [afterwards became, and was at this time] the capital of the chief Mulhid [heretic], whom that sect used to

and that jibāl is the plural of jabal, "a mountain," and at once jumped at the conclusion that his title was the "Old Man of the Mountain," more especially as his stronghold was on a mountain likewise, and so he has continued to be wrongly styled "The Old Man of the Mountain" down to the present day.

The terms Shaikh-ul-Jibāl, however, signify, the Patriarch, Prelate, High-Priest, etc., of, or dwelling in, the tract of country south of the Caspian, called Jibāl, the Mountains of Dilam in the MASĀLIK WA MAMĀLIK, and also Kohistān, consisting of a belt of mountains running along the frontiers of Gilān, Māzandarān, and 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam—ancient Parthia. It was from this tract known as "the Jibāl," that Ḥasan-i-Ṣabbāh, the first Shaikh of the eastern Ismā'ilis or Mulāḥidahs, received the name, because, in this tract, he began his mission.

There is a Jibāl of <u>Gh</u>ūr also, mentioned at pages 335 and 338, but that is a mere local name, while "the Jibāl" referred to is well known, and is called by hat name.

• Thus stated in the text, but Alamut was the capital of the Mulāḥidahs, during the greater part of their rule, and hence they are sometimes styled Alamutis.

A pretty jumble has been made, too, of the name of this well-known place, in every copy of the text collated—but some other works are almost as bad—through the carelessness or ignorance of the copyists. What European writers make of it I shall presently show. Only one copy of the text has anything approaching the correct name, but the various modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have "in—line—and "In Calcutta "Official Text"—which is very defective at this particular part—has in the page and in a note; and whenever this name occurs it is vitiated in the way above mentioned.

Von Hammer styles it Lamsir, which is not very far wide of the mark; but, when he styles Gird-koh by the impossible name of Kirdkuh, it is not to be wondered at that the other is not correct. D'Ohsson, to judge from the "Mongols I roper," appears to call it Lamsher and Lamhessar; Quatremère alone is in one word انبهسر Lanbah signifies anything round or circular, such as an apple, an orange, or the like, and Sar means, summit, top, head. etc. It is the name of a mountain in the territory of Mazandaran, near Gird-Koh, which signifies the Round or Circular Hill or Mountain, also in Māzandarān; and on each of these mountains the Mulāhidahs had erected a strong fortress. The latter place, which will be again referred to, lies a short distance from Damghan, but neither place is to be found in the large map of Persia lately published by the India Office, nor were they, apparently, known to the most recent travellers in that part of the Persian empire; and I beg to suggest that some of those who may travel that way in future should pay both Lanbah-Sar and Gird-Koh a visit, both sites being well known in those parts.

style "The Maulānā"—God curse them all!—from the Diālamis for a large sum of money.

Having brought there a pregnant female slave of his own, he represented to people, saying: "She is pregnant by Mustanşir, the Mişri, [the Ismā'ili Khalifah of Egypt], and, flying from enemies, I have brought her to this place, for, from the lineage of this burden [which she bears] will spring the Imām-i-Ākhir-i-Zamān and Mahdi-i-Awān," accompanied with vain and impotent words, the like of which no sensible person would allow to pass in his imagination, or enter his heart. God curse him!

After he purchased those fortresses, he repaired the fortress of Alamūt, and expended incalculable wealth in the restoration of, and providing that stronghold with stores and provisions. It is situated on a mountain in the vicinity of the city of Kazwin. The inhabitants of that city are all orthodox Sunnis, of pure faith, and unsullied belief; and, through the Bāṭiniah and Mulāḥidah heresy, continual fighting and contention used to go on between them [and those heretics].

Trustworthy persons have narrated that all the people and inhabitants of the city of Kazwin had entire sets of arms ready, and implements of warfare in preparation, to such degree, that all the bazar people were used to come completely armed to their shops; and conflicts used to take place daily between the Kazwinis and the Mulāhidahs of Alamut, up to the period when the outbreak of the Chingiz Khān took place, and the domination of the Mughals over 'Irāķ and the Jibāl. Ķāzi Shams-ud-Din, the Kazwini, who was a sincere Imam and truly learned man, upon several occasions, travelled from Kazwin towards Khitā, and suffered the distress of separation from country and home, until this time, during the sovereignty of Mangu Khan, when he again set out, and proceeded to his presence. In such manner as was feasible he endeavoured to obtain aid, and gave an account of the

The Director or Guide, the last of the twelve Imams, Muhammad-i-Abū-l Kāsim, the son of Ḥasan-al-Askari, the eleventh of the Imams, born in 255 H., whom the Shi'ahs believe to be still alive, and whose manifestation, according to the Kur'an, is one of the signs of the Judgment Day.

wickedness of the Mulāḥidah and their sedition in the Muhammadan states.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> In the year 654 H., but Hafiz Abrū, and some others, say in 653 H., 'Alä-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Hasan, son of Muhammad, the seventh Dā'i or Apostle, or Missionary, of the Mulahidahs, died at the end of the month of Shawwal. He was the only son of the Nau Musalman [referred to in note 4, page 265-nau, in the Persian of the East, signifies "new," "fresh," etc., but Von Hammer's "Nev" is very nau indeed, and, when he grew up, his brain, it is said, was affected, and he refused, in consequence, to attend to any instructions brought by his own envoys from the Mughal sovereigns, so the Pro-Mughal writers say; but the correct reason was that he thought himself strong enough to preserve his independence, but he was mistaken. In his reign, the Muhtashim [Preceptor], Nāṣir-ud-Din, who held the chief authority over the Kuhistan, which tract of country has been repeatedly mentioned before, seized the Khwajah, Naşir-ud-Din, the Tüsi-the celebrated Şūfi poet-and compelled him to proceed along with him to the presence of 'Alā-ud-Din, Muhammad: and the Khwājah continued with him, in his stronghold of Maimun fduizl, until the arrival of Hulaku in I-ran Zamin. There he composed his celebrated work, the Akhlāk-i-Nāşiri, which, the Rauzat-uş-Şafā says, was dedicated to the Muhtashim Nāşir-ud-Din, who nevertheless threw him into prison, at the instigation of the traitor Wazir of the Khalifah, mentioned farther on. There are other versions of this, however, and the Khwajah is said to have gone thither of his own free will and accord, and to satisfy his feelings of revenge, as will be subsequently mentioned.

After Mangū Ķā'ān had determined upon sending forces into Ī-rān-Zamīn, to guard the Mughal conquests therein, he despatched the Nū-yīn, Tānjū [pt] It is sometimes written pt—but incorrectly so] thither. Soon after his arrival there he became so much grieved—disinterested creature!—at the conduct of the Ismā'ili heretics, and the Khalîfah of Baghdād, towards the people generally, that he despatched an agent to the presence of the Ķā'ān to complain of them both. How history repeats itself! We might read Bulgaria for Ī-rān, and the 'Uṣmānlī Sultān for the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah.

At this juncture, likewise, the great Kāzī, Shams-ud-Dīn, from Ī-rān-Zamīn, presented himself in Mangu Kā'ān's urdu, and was graciously received. As the Kāzi, out of terror of the Ismā'ilis, was in the habit of wearing mail under his clothes, one day Mangū Ka'ān, having observed it, asked him the reason of such an unusual dress for an ecclesiastic. He replied: "It is now several years since I, out of fear of the Ismā'ili Fidā-is, who like unto 'Azrā-il-the Angel of Death-however much a person may guard himself, still contrive to reach and destroy him, began to wear this mail as a protection." Von Hammer makes a muddle of this matter also, and says that "the judge of Kaswin, who was at the Khan's court, went in armour to the audience, fearing the daggers of the assassins," as though they were there. The Habib-us-Siyar says the Kāzi, through fear of the Ismā'ili's daggers, used to wander about the country in the scales of his armour, like a shell-fish in its shell, and by his importunities at last impelled Mangu to send a numerous army into I-ran-Zamin. The author of the "Mongols Proper," however, who appears to have taken the story from D'Ohsson, puts a piece upon it, and states, that such was the terror of the "fedavies," that "the chief officers and more prominent men of its [Western Asia's] various courts" wore coats of mail under their clothes as a precaution, etc.!

# They [the trustworthy persons] also related in this wise,

To return to Mangu and the Kazi. He related to the Ka'an-or manufactured for him-such atrocities on the part of the heretics-as might be expected from one so orthodox as himself-that Mangu was amazed; and he resolved in his mind that he would utterly destroy that sect. Having observed indications of capacity and fitness for sovereignty on the brow of his brother, Hulākū, he determined to nominate him to carry out his intention, and at the same time to take possession of, and secure, the territories to the west of I-ran-Zaminthe real object in view, of course-namely, Shām, Rūm, and Arman. Hulākū having been nominated, he was informed that the forces along with the Nuyin, Tānjū, and those lately under Jūrmāghūn, which had been previously despatched into I-ran Zamin, all appertained to him; and likewise, in the same way, those which had been sent into Hindustan under the Bahadur, Tā-ir, after his death, came under the command of the Tattar Nu-vin. Sali. Salt, according to the Pro-Mughal writers, had subdued the country of Kashmir, and several thousand Kashmiri captives had been sent by him to There is still a Sālī kī Sarāe on the route from Rāwal the Kā'ān's urdū. Pindi to Khanpur, an eld place, and formerly of some importance. See page 844, where Sali is mentioned, and page 1135 for Ta-ir's death.

Vast preparations were made for Hulākū's movement, and, besides the armies already in the countries of I-ran-Zamin, Mangū commanded that, out of all the forces of the Chingiz Khan, that is, the various hazarahs already mentioned at page 1093, which he had assigned to and divided among his brothers. his sons, and brothers' sons, out of every ten persons two should be selected [they had vastly increased, too, since the time of the Chingiz Khān] and sent to serve under Hulākū. The numbers are variously mentioned at from 120,000 to 180,000 horse. Besides these, a thousand families of Khitā-i Manjanik-chis [catapult workers], Naft-Andaz [naphtha-throwers], Charkh-Andaz [shooters of fiery arrows worked by a wheel] were to accompany him, and they brought along with them such a vast amount of missiles and stores appertaining to their peculiar branch of the forces as cannot be They had with them also Charkhi Kamans [wheeled arbalists], worked by a wheel in such wise that one bow-string would pull three bows, each of which would discharge an arrow of three or four ells in length. These arrows or bolts, from the notch for the bow-string to near the head, were covered with feathers of the vulture and eagle, and the bolts were short and strong. These machines would also throw naphtha. The bolts [sic-ni] of the catapults were made of ash, very tough and strong, and covered with the hides of horses and bullocks [to prevent their being burnt], like as a dagger in its sheath; and each catapult was so constructed as to be capable of being separated into five or seven parts, and easily put together again. These catapults and mangonels were brought from Khita-e on carts into Turkistan, under the direction of skilful engineers and mechanists, but there is no evidence whatever to show that they had any knowledge of gunpowder, but quite the contrary.

As soon as the expedition had been determined on, agents were despatched in order that wheresoever the passage of the great host should be, from Kara-Kuram to the Amurah, all the available pasture-lands and grazing tracts should be laid under embargo [the word used is kurūk, the same that is used with reference to the site of the subterranean chamber in which the Chingiz Khān was buried, but signifies enclosed as well as prohibited. The word is quite

# that, in the presence of Mangū Khān, the Ķāzi, according

common in our district Law Courts in India] for the use of the forces. Strong bridges were also constructed over the different rivers by the way to enable the great host to cross with ease and facility. Throughout the whole empire [east of the Sthūn probably] orders were sent so that, for the use of the army, at the ratio of a taghār [an earthen vessel of capacity; also a saddle-bag for holding corn or meal, which is probably meant here, and, of course, filled. Some authors state that a taghār is equivalent to 100 manns of Tabrīz, others to 10 manns of Tabrīz, equal to one kharwār] of meal, and a khīg [a skin or leather bottle of liquor—kumis] for each man, should be collected.

The Nū-yin, Tānjū, and his army, together with the troops which had previously been sent into Ī-rān-Zamin under Jūrmāghūn, now received orders to move towards the frontiers of Rūm.

All things being prepared, and the different Shāh-zādahs and Nū-yīns, and the Hazārahs, and Ṣadhahs [leaders of thousands, and hundreds], having been nominated, the Nū-yīn, Ķaibūķā, the Nāemān, was sent at the head of 12,000 horse, as the advance or van of Hulākū's forces, in Jamādī-ul-Ākhir, 650 H. Kaibūķā—" Kitubuka" is not correct—crossed the Āmūīah in the beginning of Muḥarram, 651 H. [early in March, 1253 A.D.], entered Khurāsān, which he reached in Rabī'-ul-Awwal, and occupied himself in the reduction of the Ķuhistān.

His first attempt, with 5000 horse and 5000 foot [Tāizīk levies from the conquered states], was against the strong fortress of Gird-Koh, already referred to, but he found it a much more difficult matter than he expected. rounded it with a wall, furnished with a ditch inside, towards the fortress, and raised another wall, with a ditch outside, and between these two walls placed his forces, more like one besieged than a besieger, to guard his force from the sallies of the Fida-is. All his efforts were useless, so he left a force there under Būri, to watch that fortress, and moved himself towards Mihrin-duizanother stronghold of the sect. He invested that likewise, placed catapults in position against it, left several Amirs with troops to carry on the siege, and proceeded himself, with the rest of his forces, which, no doubt, had been greatly increased in point of numbers by the levies and contingents of those places in Khurāsān under the Mughal yoke, against the fort of Shāh-dujz, which he reached on the 8th of Jamadi-ul-Awwal, and slew a number of people outside, and then made towards the districts of Taram and Rūd-bar, between Gilan and Kazwin. He then appeared with his forces before the fortresses of Mansuriah and Alah-Bashin, and for eighteen days tried his utmost to take them, but all his efforts were of no effect. He then faced about and moved into the Kuhistan again, drove off the flocks and herds of the people of Tun, Turshiz, and Zar-Koh, slaughtered a vast number of people, and carried away a great number of captives. On the 10th of Jamadi-ul-Akhir, he gained possession of Tun and Turshiz, these being the first places that fell into his hands. On the 1st of Sha'ban he took Mihrin-dujz, and, on the 27th of Ramazan, the Dujz-i-Kamali also fell.

On the 9th of Shawwāl, the Fidā-İs of Gird-Koh made a sally at night upon the Mughals, destroyed their circumvallation, and slew the greater number of them, including their leader, Būrl. At this time a pestilence had broken out within Gird-Koh, and, as soon as 'Alā-ud-Dln, Muḥammad, the Maulānā of the Mulāhidahs, had intimation of it, he at once despatched Mubāriz-ud-Dln, 'All, Sarābāni, and Shujā'-ud-Dln, Ḥasan, Tūrāni, with 110 picked men, to

## to the practice of Musalman dignity and religion, used

Gird-Koh, with directions that each man should carry with him one mann of hinnā and two manns of salt [in all, about 21 or 24 lbs.]—the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh says two of hinnā and three of salt—because hinnā had lately been discovered, accidentally, to be the antidote for the pestilence.

The author of the "Mongols Proper" has, or his authorities, perhaps, have, made a very amusing story out of the above—for the source is evidently the same. He says, p. 194: "One of the garrison [of Girdkjuh—i. e., "the Round Mountain"—so "kjuk" is a mountain, perhaps—the same which Von Hammer turns into "Kirdkuh"] escaped, and sent to Alaeddin, the Grand Visier, to ask for help. He sent two leaders, each with 110 troopers; one to escort three mens of salt, the other three mens of henna," etc.—equal to about one ounce of salt and hinnā each, even if only 400 people were within the walls.

At this place, in Alfi, an anecdote is related respecting the discovery of hinnā as a remedy for the disease which affected the defenders of several great fortresses, as our author relates, and which, from his account at page 1124, appears to have been scurvy or something very much like it. It is that the daughter of the Amir of that fortress was going to be married, and, on the night of the consummation of the marriage, the custom was to dye the bride's hands and feet with hinnā. This was done; and, those who applied the dye having afterwards washed their hands, some of the pestilence-stricken people, on account of the scarcity of water, drank that water, and recovered in a wonderfully short time. This seems but another version of our author's account of the cure wrought by hinnā in his description of the investment of Ük of Sistān, at the page above mentioned.

I must now return to the movements of Hulaku. Before setting out for I-ran-Zamin, he took leave of his brother Mangu, and his nephews, in order to return to his own urdus, where his wives and children were. Mangu Ka'an, before parting with him, gave him much wise counsel for his guidance. He enjoined him to observe the laws and ordinances of the Chingiz Khan; and, from the Jihun of Amuiah, to the extreme frontier of Misr, and Maghrib. to protect and cherish all who submitted to him, but to exterminate all those who did not, and to trample them into the dust of destruction, women, children, and all [in "the true Circassian style"], and to commence with the fortresses of the Mulahidahs in the Kuhistan. He was then to march into 'Irak, and remove off the face of the earth the Lurs-a tribe of nomads so-called-and the Kurds, whose misdeeds [in not submitting to the ameliorating Mughals. and which hardy race have, in these days, given offence, in the same way, to another "ameliorator," and are to be extirminated as early as practicable] never ceased; then to call upon the Khalifah of Baghdad to submit; and, if he should do so, not to molest him in the least; but, if he showed arrogance. and refused, to send him to join the others. He was further advised to make judgment and sense his guide and model; to be prudent and watchful; to be mindful of the deceit and treachery of enemies; to give tranquility to the people generally, and make them happy [by killing them !]; to cause ruined places to be restored; to subdue the contumacious, so that he might have plenty of places wherein to make his summer and his winter quarters; and always, in all things, to consult and advise with Dūķūz Khātūn. This name is also written Tūkūz, d and t being interchangeable. She was a Karāyat and a Christian, the daughter of Aighū or Aikū-written A yaghū-in Alfia son of the Awang Khan. Hulaku greatly favoured the Christians on her

## stern language, in such manner that the wrath of sove-

account; and throughout his dominions churches were allowed to be built. At the entrance of the *urdi* of this Khātūn, a *kalīsa*—church or chapel [felt tent]—was always pitched; and they used to sound the *nākūs*—a thin oblong piece of wood, so called, suspended by two strings, and struck with a flexible rod, called *wabīl*, used by Eastern Christians to summon the congregation for divine service.

Mangū Ķā'ān assumed that his brother Hulākū would take up his residence, permanently, in Ī-rān-Zamin; nevertheless, he told him that, after he had accomplished all these things, he should return to his *urdū*.

Mangū now dismissed him, along with two of his wives, his sons, and Amīrs; and sent along with him their brother, Tursūtāe Aghūl, and some of their nephews to serve under him. Mangū, it is said, was greatly affected at parting with Hulākū, for he considered him the jewel in the diadem of the empire, and that both shed copious tears. He reached his own urdūs at the end of the year 650 H. The Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gīr and the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā say that he returned to his urdūs in the third month of 651 H., to make his preparations, but the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh says in Zī-Ḥijjah, 650 H.

He set out from his urdūs for Ī-rān-Zamīn on the 24th of Sha'bān, 651 H.—the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā says he began his march in Ramazān, while the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh says it was in Zī-Ḥijjah, 651 H.—having made his son, Balghā Aghūl, his representative there during his absence, as that son's mother was the greatest in rank among his wives, two of whom, and two sons, went with him. The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh, in one place, says Jūmkūr, or Jūmghūr, was left in charge, and, in another place, that it was Ajāe who was left.

A vast army accompanied him; and in due time he reached Almaligh, where the Khātūn Urghanah, one of Chaghatāe's widows, received and entertained him. Having moved from thence, on the frontier of Turkistan and Mawaraun-Nahr, the Sāhib, Mas'ud Bak, and several other Amirs, received him. He passed some months of 652 H. in those parts; and, in Sha'ban, 653 H. about October, 1253 A.D.—reached Samrkand, having been just two years on the road. He encamped in the mead of Kan-i-Gul, where Mas'ud Bak had a great tent pitched for him of nasich-a species of silken fabric woven with gold-and passed forty days there, happily, but for the death of his brother, Tursūtāe Aghūl, who had been long ailing, and who was there buried. Hulākū, after this stay, marched to Kash, afterwards known as Shahr-i-Sabz, at which place he remained a month; and there the Amir, Arghun Aka, the administrator of I-ran-Zamin, from Tus [in the previous year, when Rubruquis returned to Europe, the Amir, Arghun Aka was at Tauris, who, as he remarks, collected the tribute], as in duty bound, waited on him to give up charge of that region, after which he was to return to the presence of the Ka'an, and there, also, came Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Kurat from Hiratsome say he presented himself to Hulākū at Samrkand.

Whilst at Kash, Hulākū issued a farmān to the Sultāns and Rulers of I-rān-Zamīn, pretending that the object of his coming was to destroy the strongholds of the Mulāḥidahs for the sake of the people of those parts, "who," he said, "have sought the protection of the Kāān, and made complaint unto him;" "the people," in this case, being one Kāzī! The object stated was as specious and illusive as an invasion of the same nature in these days—it was to appropriate the territories of the weak. "If ye present yourselves in person," he said, "and render assistance, your services will be

#### reignty overcame Mangū Khān; for the Kāzi applied the

appreciated, and your territories, forces, dwellings, and property will be spared to you; but if ye show negligence or indifference to the purport of this farmān, when, with God's help [history repeats itself again], we shall have finished with the Ismā'ilis, we shall turn our face towards you; and the same will befall you as befalls them."

When the news of Hulākū's arrival became spread abroad, "the Sultāns and Maliks" are said to have poured in, among whom was Sultan Rukn-ud-Din of Rum-he, however, was not Sultan of Rum at all, but merely the envoy of his brother, Sulţān Kai-Kā-ūs, and acted treacherously towards him for his own ambitious ends; see page 164-and 'Izz-ud-Din, the son of the Atā-Bak, Muzasfar-ud-Din, and others from 'Irāķ, Azarbāijan, Ārān, Sherwan, Gürjistan, and various Maliks, Sadrs, and other great men. On reaching the Jihun of Amuiah, orders were given to lay all the vessels and boats on the river under embargo. With these a strong bridge was constructed; and, on the 1st of Zi-Hijjah [the Tarikh-i-Jahan-gir says Shawwal, two months beforel, 653 H.—31st December, 1255 A.D., but see under—Hulākū crossed with his army, at what point is not stated, but at the Tirmid ferry, in all probability; and, for the first time, set foot in I-ran-Zamin. As a reward to the boatmen for their services, he remitted the collection of tolls from them, and that tax afterwards entirely ceased. Next day, "several lions were seenthe word sher is applied to the tiger also, but, considering the high latitude, we may assume that some other less formidable animals are referred to, since a real lion hunt on two-humped camels, as stated, would be a sight indeed—and, they having been enclosed by a circle of men, Hulākū mounted, and two of them were "caught in the toils." The next day's march brought him to Shiwarghan, or Shiwarkan [vul. Shibbergan].

His intention was to stay but one day there, but it so happened that, on the following day, which was the 'Id-i-Azhā-the 10th of Zi-Hijjah-[such being the case, he must have crossed on the 8th, or remained from the 1st to the 8th] the snow and sleet began to fall, and continued for seven consecutive days and nights, and a great number of cattle perished through the excessive He had no choice but to winter there. Our author's statement, that he made the territory of Badghais his headquarters is much more probable, or rather the whole tract between Shiwarghan and Badghais, considering the number of his troops. See note 1, p. 1226. In the spring, Arghun Aka set up a vast audience-tent of silk and gold, fitted with furniture and utensils befitting, of gold and silver studded with precious stones, and worthy of a mighty monarch. It was pitched at an auspicious hour by Hulākū's command; and, at a felicitous conjunction of the stars, he mounted the throne set up therein, and received the congratulations of all the Khans, Shah zadahs, Amirs, Maliks, and Hakims of all parts around then assembled there. After the ceremonial, the Amir, Arghun Āķā, set out for the presence of the Kā'ān, as commanded by him, leaving his son, Girae Malik, with Ahmad, the Bitik-chi [Secretary], and the Sāhib [ Wazīr], 'Alā-ud-Din, 'Atā Malik, for the administration of the civil and revenue affairs, with which Hulākū had nought to do, at that time. The latter moved to Khowaf and Zawah, where he was taken ill, and consequently he despatched Kaibūkā and Kūkā-I-yalkā, at the head of a force, to complete the conquest of the Kuhistan.

Early in 654 H., Hulākū sent Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad, the Kurat, to the Muḥtashim, Nāṣir-ud-Din, one of the chief Dā'is of the Ismā his, who

words feebleness and infirmity to his government and power. Mangū Khān said: "What weakness hath the Kāzī observed in our kingdom that he gives utterance to such like alarming words as these?" Kāzī Shams-ud-Dīn replied: "What greater feebleness can there be than that the sect of Mulāḥidah has made several forts its asylum, notwithstanding that the creed of that sect is contrary to the Musalmān faith, and also to the Christian and Mughal belief? They parade their riches and they wait in expectation of this, that, if your power should sustain any decline or reverse, the sect will rise in the midst of those mountains and in those fortresses, and overthrow the remainder of the people of Islām, and not leave the trace of a Musalmān."

This reality influenced and roused the mind of Mangū Khān to the reduction of the fortresses and territories of Mulhidistān, and the Ķuhistān of Alamūt. A mandate was accordingly issued so that the forces which were in the countries of I-rān and 'Ajam, from Khurāsān and 'Irāk, turned their faces to the territory of the Ķuhistān and the tract of Alamūt; and, during a period of ten years or more, they took the whole of the cities and fortresses, and put the whole of the Mulāḥidah to the sword, with the exception of the women and children, all the remainder

had grown old and feeble, and called upon him to submit. He appears to have ruled the district of Tun and its dependencies, in which was the fortress, apparently that mentioned by our author above-Sar-i-Takht. Nāṣir-ud-Din came on the 17th of Jamadi-ul-Awwal, bringing presents; and Hulakū demanded why he had not brought the garrison of the fortress along with him, and was told that they would obey no orders but those of their Badshah, 'Alaud-Din, Khur Shāh. This appears to have satisfied Hulāku, who conferred upon him the government of Tun and its districts, and sent him thither; but he died soon after. Hulaku now advanced to Tus, the seat of government of the Amir, Arghun Aka, and then moved to Radakan, where he stayed some time, and Khabūshān [there is no place called "Kabuskan"], which the Mughals call Küchan. He directed that this kasbah [town] should be restored, and that the means should be furnished from the treasury. The kahrezes—subterranean aqueducts-were repaired [he "ordered" no "canals to be dug"]. and the Wazir and Secretary of the province, Saif-ud-Din, Aka, used his utmost endeavours, as a Musalman, to bring the works to completion, especially the Jāmi' Masjid, which he himself endowed. Workshops were erected, and gardens laid out; and Amirs and prominent men were directed to build dwellings for themselves, which they subsequently did.

\* He possibly means, not even excepting the women and children, for even the Pro-Mughal writers say that all were exterminated.

being sent to hell; and the potency of the verse—"Thus do we cause one oppressor to overcome another"—was made manifest.

This votary, who is Minhāi-i-Sarāi, the writer of this TABAKAT, and author of this history, upon three different times, had occasion to travel into that part [the Kuhistān] on a mission. The first occasion was in the year 621 H., from the fortress of Tulak, after Khurasan had become cleared of the Mughal forces, on account of the scarcity of clothing, and dearth of some requisites, which had run out in consequence of the irruption of the infidels; and people were distressed for necessaries of life. At the request of Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Hasan-i-Sālār, Khar-post, the author proceeded from the fortress of Tulak to Isfirar, in order to open the route for kārwāns. From thence he proceeded towards Kā-in, and from that place to the fort of Sar-i-Takht,3 and Jowarsher, and Farman-dih of the territory of the Kuhistan. At that time the Muhtashim [of the Mulahidah] was Shihāb-i-Manşūr, Abū-l-Fath. I [the author] found him a person of infinite learning, with wisdom, science, and philosophy, in such wise, that a philosopher and sage like unto him there was not in the territory of Khurāsān. He used greatly to cherish poor strangers and travellers; and such Musalmans of Khurasan as had come into proximity with him he was wont to take under his guardianship and protection. On this account his assemblies contained some of the most distinguished of the 'Ulamā of Khurāsān,' such as that Imam of the Age, Afzal-ud-Din, the Bamiani, and Imam Shams-ud-Din, Khusrau-Shahi, and other 'Ulamā of Khurāsān, who had come to him; and he had treated all of them with honour and reverence, and showed them much kindness. They stated to this effect, that.

with slight variation in two of the oldest, and two other good MSS. Subsequent writers mention a stronghold of the Mulähidahs in the Kuhistān, in the district of Tūn, under that name. Three of the most modern copies of the text, the best Paris MS., and the Calcutta Printed Text, have سرفيت which may be read Marikhat, Marbakht, or Maranjat, and in a note to the Printed Text what may be read Rikht or Zikht. It lay in one of the common caravan routes. The same place, in the Rausat-us-Safā, is written

مرضت or سرخت Most copies of the text are deficient here.

during those first two or three years of anarchy in Khurāsān, one thousand honorary dresses, and seven hundred horses, with trappings, had been received from his treasury and stables by 'Ulamā and poor strangers.

As the kindness and benefactions towards, and association and intercourse of this Muhtashim, Shihāb, with the Musalmāns became frequent, the Mulāhidah sect sent accounts to Alamūt saying: "Very soon the Muhtashim, Shihāb, will give the whole of the property of the Da'wat-Khānah [General Bounty Fund] to the Musalmāns;" and, from Alamūt, a mandate came for him to proceed thither; and the government of the Kuhistān was conferred upon the Muhtashim, Shams [ud-Din], Ḥasan-i-Ikhtiyār.

When this servant of the victorious government returned from the presence of the Muhtashim, Shihāb, he proceeded, for the purpose of purchasing the necessary clothing, to the city of Tūn, and from thence returned to Kā-in, Isfirār, and Tūlak again. After some time, it happened that, in 622 H., the author chanced to proceed from Tūlak to the presence of Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Usmān, the Maraghani, —may he rest in peace!—at Khāesār of

- 5 The Printed Text is lamentably out here, and has عدى for but the former makes the sentence totally unintelligible. The second word, fitrat, refers to the Mughal invasion and distraction prevailing in those parts consequent on their being without a sovereign or settled government.
- This shows that the power of the sect was still very great; and that the Mughal domination was but nominal at this period.
- 7 At this period Kā-in was noted for the manufacture of very fine linen, hair-cloth, and similar fabrics.

Their descent is traced to Sulţān Sanjar, the Saljūķ, on the father's, and to the Ghūrī Sulṭāns on the mother's side, according to several authors; while others say that he was the son of one of the uncles of the Sulṭāns of Ghūr and Ghaznīn—the brothers, Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-Sām, and Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-Sām. A sister of those Sulṭāns certainly did marry a Saljūķ—Malik Ķizil-Arsalān, nephew of Sulṭān Sanjar, and Ķizil-Arsalān's son, Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Alb-i-Ghāzī, received the investiture of the fief of Hirāt early in 599 H. When the Khwārazmī Sulṭān invested Hirāt the second time, he was its ruler, and had to surrender it. See note 2, page 257. What relationship existed, or whether any, between him and the

# Ghūr, and, at the request of that august Malik, consented

preceding feudatory of Hirāt, 'Izz-ud-Din, 'Umr, the Maraghani, who is styled Malik of Khurāsān at page 193, is not, I fear, to be discovered, but the Ghūrī Sultāns were certainly related in some way to the Maraghani Maliks.

Nāṣir-ud-Din, 'Uṣmān-i-Ḥarab, ruler of Sijistān and Nimroz, son of Malik Tāj-ud-Din-i-Ḥarab, married Āyishah Khātūn, daughter of this 'Umr-i-Maraghani. Much respecting Nāṣir-ud-Din, 'Uṣmān's descendants, by that lady, will be found at pages 193—202. See also note 3, page 967.

Guzidah says 'Izz-ud-Din, 'Umr, was Sultān Ghiyāş-ud-Din, Muhammadi-Sām's Wazir, and held in great estimation. The Maraghanis are said, by our author, to be Gharjahs, that is, natives of Gharjistān. 'Izz-ud-Din, 'Umr the Maraghani, held the fief of Hirāt when Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, invested it in 598 H. [see note 7, page 257], and had to surrender that stronghold to him at the close of the year.

While holding the fief of Hirāt, 'Izz-ud-Din, 'Umr, had entrusted his two brothers with the seneschal-ship of two important fortresses, under his government-Rukn-ud-Din [his Musalman name is not given, but it was, probably, Muhammad-i-Abi-Bikr, from what follows] with that of Nigaristan, according to Alfi, which name is doubtful, and is never once mentioned by our author, but one of the great fortresses of Gharjistan is evidently meant; and Taj-ud-Din. 'Uşman, with that of Khāesar of Ghūr. Tāj-ud-Din was Sar-i-Jandar to Sultan Ghiyas-ud-Din's son, Sultan Mahmud, and played an important part during the investment of Firūz-koh by the Khwārazmis. See page 410. Tāj-ud-Din, 'Uşmān, having died-the date is not given, but it must have been subsequent to 607 H.—'Umr gave Khāesār, and a portion of Ghūr, to his other brother, Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad[-1-Abi-Bikr?], who, some say, " was the maternal grandfather of Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad," the founder of the Kurat dynasty, to whom Mangu Ka'an gave the fief of Hirat and its dependencies, with some other territory.

Now, as 'Umr's brother, Rukn-ud-Din, had already been provided for, it is evident to me that instead of reading, as in some copies of the original, that 'Umr gave Khāesār of Ghūr, and some other territory, to his brādar—brother, we should read brādar-zādah—brother's son, for it is certain, from the names given by our author above, that 'Usmān is the name of the father of the chief of Khāesār of Ghūr to whom he refers, and whose agent our author was, and that the chief was himself called Rukn-ud-Din, Muḥammad, as was his father's brother; and, moreover, the period named—622 H.—makes this view the more certain, because the Chingiz Khān died in 624 H., and Rukn-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-'Usmān, during the Mughal troubles, made interest with the Nū-yin, Īlchikdāe—and, from what our author says, this Malik appears to have been unmolested while all other parts of Ghūr, and territories around, were invaded by the Mughals—and the Chingiz Khān confirmed him in the possession of his territory.

The similarity of names has apparently caused confusion in some of the accounts of the Kurat dynasty, and I think I can show how. Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, 'Umr, the Maraghani, had two brothers, as already stated—one Tāj-ud-Din, 'Usmān, the other Rukn-ud-Din, Abi-Bikr. When Tūlī Khān obtained possession of Hirāt, he left there, it is said, as nominal governor, under the Mughals, along with the Mughal Shahnah, Mangatāe, "Abi-Bikr, the Maraghani," without giving his title; and this person is, according to my theory, the same who tendered submission to the Mughals, and the brother of 'Izz-ud-

#### to go on a mission towards the territory of the Kuhistān a

Din, 'Umr, and of Tāj-ud-Din, 'Uşmān. Abi-Bikr,—that is Rukn-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Abi-Bikr—the Maraghani, was put to death soon after, along with Mangatāe, the Mughal Shaḥnah, when the Hirātis threw off the yoke, leaving, as I suppose, among other children probably, a daughter, who was given in marriage to Rukn-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Tāj-ud Din, 'Uşmān, our author's chief of Khāesār of Ghūr, who thus married his cousin. The Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad, the first of the dynasty, is the son of the said Rukn-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-'Uṣmān, our author's patron, who died in 643 H.

Most of the works which give an account of the Kurat dynasty, including Alfi. state that "Malik Rukn-ud-Din was the maternal grandfather of Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, while Fasih-i states distinctly, in several places, that Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, was Rukn-ud-Din's son, and Rukn-ud-Din. Abi-Bikr's son. Both statements, according to what I have mentioned above. would be quite correct-Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Abi-Bikr, would be the maternal grandfather, and Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Uşman, of our author, would be Shams-ud-Din's father, and, at the same time, nephew and son-in-law of the first-mentioned Rukn-ud-Din; but even then the Saljuki descent does not appear. It is said that, "when Malik Rukn-ud-Din used to attend the camp of the Chingiz Khan, and Uktae Ka'an, and the Mughal Nu-yins, he used to take Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, along with him, so that he became acquainted with the Mughal usages and regulations." This too is not incompatible. When taken to the Chingiz Khān's camp, he went with his maternal grandfather, Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Abi-Bikr, and, when he attended at Üktāe's, he accompanied his father, Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Uşmān.

A member, apparently, of the same family, Amir Muhammad, the Maraghani, was killed in the fortress of Ashiyār of Gharjistān. He had done good service against the Mughals [see page 1077]. In 643 H., Malik Rukn-ud-Din [Uṣmān] died; and he, previous to his death, nominated his son, Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, heir and successor to his fief. In 646 H., Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, proceeded into Turkistān, to the urdū of the Kā'ān, for the purpose of being confirmed in the fief; and, during one of the affairs in which the Kā'ān was engaged against his enemies, Mangū's notice was drawn to Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, who was greatly distinguishing himself. The Kā'ān inquired who he was; and, when he was informed, he caused a yarlīgh to be issued confirming him in his father's fief of Ghūr, and added thereto that of Hirāt, Gharjistān, Sāwah, Farāh, and Sijistān, subject, of course, to the Mughal authorities in Ī-rān-Zamīn.

In that same year, previous to proceeding to the  $urd\bar{u}$  of the Kā'ān, Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad, is said to have slain Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, 'Ali, the Şufiāri, son of Bahrām Shāh, ruler of Nimroz, whose maternal grandfather was 'Izz-ud-Din, 'Umr, the Maraghani, and Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad's great uncle on both the father's and mother's side, but our author was unacquainted, seemingly, with the facts respecting Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, 'Ali's death. See pages 193 and 197. When Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad, appeared before Mangū Kā'ān, he inquired of him: "Wherefore didst thou slay Malik 'Ali'?" He replied: "I slew him for this reason that the Kā'ān might make the inquiry of me, 'Wherefore didst thou kill him?' and not inquire of him why he had killed me." Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad, likewise obtained possession of the fortress of Bakar——which, from the time of Nūghirwān, no one

# second time, in order that the route for karwans might be

had been able to possess himself of by force. It is the name of a fortress of Sijistān.

Subsequently, in 647 H., he slew the Malik of Gharjistan, Saif-ud-Din—who he was is doubtful, but a kinsman probably—within the territory of Hirat. The reason is obvious. After his return from the urdu of the Ka'an, with the investiture of these different tracts, in which were situated several of the great fortresses mentioned previously by our author, he had to gain possession of them if he could; and the chiefs in possession of them were not inclined to give them up, and submit to the Kurat, like as the Hākims of Tāl-kān, Sāwah, and Tūlak, had done. No further particulars are given of these events.

Our author probably may not have known from personal observation that Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Uṣmān, had become a feudatory of the Mughals, for he left his native country and retired into Hind in 623 H., but he could scarcely have failed to hear of it afterwards. However, he does not say the Malik was not a feudatory: he is only silent on the subject. There is no mention of Khāesār being a strong fortress, although it is most probable that it was such. Yet we cannot fail noticing, that, when all other places were assailed by the Mughals, captured, or compelled to submit, Khāesār of Ghūr was left unmolested. The reason is palpable—Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Uṣmān, had made his submission to the Mughals through the Nū-yin, Ilchikdāe, who was a nephew of the Chingiz Khān to boot.

We are told, at page 1006, that the Chingiz Khān conferred upon Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Ḥabashi-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, the territory of Ghūr, with the title of Khusrau of Ghūr. In this case Rukn-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-'Usmān, must have been subordinate to him, or the territory of Khāesār must have been distinct, by virtue of its Malik being also subject to the Mughal yoke, but he soon threw it off, and, fighting against them, was killed.

Nine years after the Nū-yin, Mangūtah [Mr. Dowson's "Mangú Khán." See page 809], abandoned the siege of Üchchah, as has been recorded, and at whose appearance on the Sind or Indus in the year 644 H., Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Kārlūgh, had fled from Multān, Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad, the Kurat, son of Rukn-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-'Usmān, accompanied the Nū-yin, Sāli, into Hind. Having entered it, "Sāli despatched Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad, to Multān, in 654 H., on a mission to that Shaikh of Shaikhs, Bahā-ud-Din, Zakariā—commonly styled, at this day, Bahā-ul-Ḥakk, whose tomb we had to batter so much during the siege of Multān in 1848-9—and an accommodation was agreed upon. The sum of 100,000 dīnārs was paid to secure this accommodation, and probably to save Multān from being sacked; and a Mamlūk of Shams-ud-Din, Muḥammad's, named the Chingiz Khān, was [made?] Ḥākim at Multān." See pages 711, 784, 792, and 844.

"From thence the Nū-yīn, Sālī, with Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, the Kurat, proceeded towards Luhāwūr—Lāhor—where, at that time, was Kurit or Kurat Khwān—[sic. كَانُوان There was a Kuret Khān among the Maliks of Dihlī, No. XV., but he was never feudatory of Lāhor, and was dead before this period]." He was probably no subject of the Dihlī kingdom, for, at this time, Lāhor had been lost to it, and the Khokhars are said to have occupied the ruins of Lāhor after its sack by the Mughals in 639 H. "Sālī entered into an accommodation with this person," whoever he might have been, "on the payment of 30,000 dīnārs, 30 kharwārs—loads sufficient to load an ass with—of soft fabrics, and 100 captives."

# reopened. From Khāesār he [the author] proceeded

"After this, the subordinates of the Nū-ȳn, Sālī, plotted against Malik Shams-ud-D̄n, Muḥammad, upon which he retired from Hind, and set out to return to Ghūr. On the way he was arrested and detained by Malik 'Imād-ud-D̄n, the Ghūrī. Shams-ud-D̄n, Muḥammad, on this, despatched a trusty agent to the Bahādur, Ṭā-r̄r, then commanding the troops in those parts [and, consequently, if this be true, this Ṭā-r̄r could not have been killed at Lāhor in 639 H., as our author states at page 1135], telling him of his seizure and detention while on his way to his, Ṭā-r̄r's, presence. Ṭā-r̄r directed his release, and he came to Ṭā-r̄r's urdū, and, after that, he retained Shams-ud-D̄n, Muḥammad, near his own person."

In a book published at the commencement of this Afghān crisis, entitled "History of Afghānistān from the Earliest Period," by Colonel G. B. Malleson, C.S.I., we are told, at page 114, with reference to the year 1249, that:—

"In that year, Shir Khán, the governor of the Panjáb for the King of Dehli, Násir-u-Din Mahmúd, invaded Afghánistán, seized upon Ghazni and Kábul, and annexed them to the Dehli monarchy. It is probable that they were speedily recovered, for not only, in subsequent years, do we find the Moghols making repeated incursions into India, but in the year 1336 traces appear of a new Afghán dynasty seated on the throne of Ghazni, owning subordination to, and acknowledging the suzerainty of, the Moghols of Central Asia."

Now the text above translated—The Tabakāt-i-Nāṣiri—was dedicated to, and named after the Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, who is referred to in the above extract, and in no work extant will such details be found respecting that reign in particular, and also the history of the Ghūris. Indeed all later historians obtain their information from this Tabakāt, for there was no other contemporary writer but its author, that we know of, who gives such details. Sher Khān, i.e. the Lion Khān—Sher signifying Lion, but "Shir," as in the work above referred to, signifies "Milk"—The Milk [and Water?] Khán—is no other than the great Malik, the cousin of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, a memoir of whom is given at page 791, and who was living when our author finished his work, and was personally known to him.

Nothing of the above romantic statements as to "the throne of Ghazni" and "Kabul" will be found recorded in the text, for the reason that they never happened; and nowhere will such be found save in Dow, Briggs, and Firishtah. See notes , page 690, and , page 794, para. 7.

The events of the year 647 H.—1249 A.D.—will be found at pages 685 and 820. The following year, Sher Khān-i-Sunkar re-took Multān from the Mughals, and, in the year in question, ousted a rival Malik of the Dihli Court, who was disaffected, and intriguing with the Mughals, from Uchchah; and, soon after, he actually had himself to retire to the urdū of Mangū Kā'ān, while his rival went to Hulākū. The reason of this will be found fully explained by our author respecting the disorders in the Dihli kingdom. This re-capture of Multān and seizure of Uchchah is what the Dakhani compiler, Firishtah, made Ghaznin of, but even he and his translators only make "Shere, the emperor's nephew [which he was not], take Ghizni;" not Kābul too.

Col. Malleson then adds :-

"This Afghán dynasty, like that which preceded it, came from Ghor. Probably [!] it was the chief of the Afghán tribe [sic. tribe!] in the Ghor mountains to whom the Moghol suzerain delegated his authority. They

towards Farāh, and from thence to the Ķala'-i-[fort of] Kāh of Sistān, then on to the Ḥiṣār [fortified or walled town] of Karah, and to Ṭabas and the fort of Mūminābād, and thence to Ķā'in. At Ķā'in the author saw the Muḥtashim, Shams, who was a man of the military profession. From this latter place the author returned to Khāesār.

When the year 623 H. came round, the writer of this, who is Minhāj-i-Sarāj, determined to undertake a journey into Hindūstān; and, as a requirement for the journey into that country, with the permission of Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-'Uṣmān, the Maraghani, of Khāesār [of Ghūr], he proceeded to Farāh, in order that a little silk might be purchased. On his arriving in the neighbourhood of Farāh, Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin, the Khwārazmi, mention of whom has already been made in the Section containing the account of the Maliks of Nimroz, was ruling the country of Sistān. Hostility had arisen between him and the Mulāḥidahs on account of the fort

ruled from 1336 to 1383. The first sovereign, Shams-u-Dín Ghori, and his two immediate successors, Rukh-u-Dín [sic], and Fakhrú-dín [sic] Ghori," etc., etc.

I beg to differ entirely from Col. Malleson with regard to this latter statement, as well as the former ones. These errors all emanate from the same source, of Dow and Briggs making Tājzīk Ghūrīs the "Afghán dynasty of Ghor," and turning the people of Ghūr into Afghāns, who at that period, and up to comparatively modern times, were settled in Afghānistān, that is, east of Ghaznīn, and not in Ghūr. The "first sovereign, Shams-u-Dín," of this so-called "Afghán dynasty"—this "chief of the Afghán tribe in the Ghor mountains," is, of course, no other than Malik Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, the founder of the Kurat dynasty, referred to above. On the father's side he was of Saljūk Turk-mān descent, and on the mother's, of Ghūrī, and also Maraghanī, that is of Gharjī descent; and if this mixture composes an "Afghán of the Ghor mountains" I need not say anything more. See note 1, page 508.

His capital and that of his successors in their fiefs, for they were merely feudatories, was Hirāt, and Ghaznīn never belonged to them.

It is a pity that such statements should be disseminated, because they mislead. I do not for one moment suppose but that Col. Malleson imagined that what he was writing was strictly correct, or that he was aware what errors he was putting his name to. These he would have avoided had he been able to consult the original historians; and he would have saved himself from falling into terrible errors had he consulted even that small portion of this Tabakāt which is contained in Vol. II. of Elliot's Historians, in which the events of the year 1249 A.D. will be found, as well as a portion of the history of the Ghūrī dynasties.

of <u>Sh</u>āhan-<u>Sh</u>āhi, which is adjacent to the town of Neh, and he had retired defeated before them, and came to Farāh. Fear [of them] had overcome him; and, of the men of note who were along with him, among those on whom he was relying to proceed into the Kuhistān to effect an accommodation, and make terms between him and the ruler of the Kuhistān, the Muḥtashim, Shams, not one of them, the notables of his Court, was equal to undertake the journey, until they acquainted him [Bināl-Tigin] with the news of the arrival of this votary, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, in the vicinity of Farāh.

Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin, despatched a led horse, and a deputation of persons of note to receive him, and call him. When the author reached his presence, the Malik made a request, saying: "It behoveth thee to do the favour of effecting a peace, and to proceed into the Kuhistan. The son of Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammadi-'Usmān,' will accompany thee in this important enterprise—thou [wilt go] under the designation of an envoy, and he, under the name of a mediator." In conformity with this solicitation, the author proceeded towards the Kuhistan. The Mulahidahs were then before the town of Neh; and, after having reached the confines of the Kuhistan, it was necessary to come back again; and the author proceeded to Neh, and the accommodation between Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin, and the Mulāhidah Muhtashim, Shams, was effected.

When the author had returned from that journey, and had again reached the presence of Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigīn, the latter said: "It is necessary for thee to go a second time, and demand war from the Mulāḥidah;" but this servant of the state did not consent to set out on a second journey, as he had determined upon undertaking a journey into Hindūstān, and entertained a very great predilection for this journey. This refusal on the part of this votary did not meet with the approval of Malik Tāj-ud-

The Calcutta Printed Text, as usual, makes a pretty hash of this well-known name.

<sup>1</sup> See the account of the Rulers of Sijistan and Nimroz, pages 196 to 201.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The son here referred to is, doubtless, Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, referred to in the previous note <sup>8</sup>.

Din, Bināl-Tigin, and he commanded so that they detained him [the author] for forty-three days in the fort of Safhed of Sistān, and prohibited his going beyond the walls, until Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-'Usmān, of Khāesār—may he rest in peace!—despatched letters from Ghūr to Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin. The author, likewise, composed a poem conformable with the case of his confinement; and, by the favour of the Most High God, he obtained his liberation from that fortress. Five verses of that poem are here given that they may come under the august observation of the SULTĀN OF THE SULTĀNS OF ISLĀM, whose sovereignty be long prolonged! Āmin.

"How long shall my crystal tears on the amber [like] face,
To the emerald spheres the coral hue impart?
Since like unto smoke from Kumārī wood are my sighs,
It would not be astonishing were ye distilling rose-water, O tears!
In disposition, neither am I vicious, nor is evil found in me;
Why then am I a captive on the Şafhed mount?
I am not the Sī-murgh, nor is this the mountain of Kāf—
For ever pleasing to the parrot captivity will not be.
Minhāj—The Straight Road —is best on the open highway:
The straight road he findeth not, through restraint the fortress within."

The intermediate [portion of the] poem, and the entire copy of it, is not in existence, and hence it is thus abridged.<sup>7</sup> May the Almighty preserve the Nāṣiri dominion to the utmost bounds of possibility!

I now return to the subject of the history.

In the territory of the Mulāhidah there are one hundred and five forts—seventy forts in the Kuhistān territory,

- The Calcutta Printed Text, which is " so much to be depended on," merely turns this into the fort of Şaf of Hindūstān—قلع صف هندوستان instead of علم منهد سيستان
- 4 Wood brought from Kumār or Kumārūn [Anglicized Comorin] used for fumigation, also aloes, and gum benzoin.
- <sup>5</sup> The fabulous bird of eastern romance—the 'unkā or griffin. Its home is the Koh-i-Kāf, which is supposed to surround the world.
  - Which Minhāj signifies—a play on his name.
  - 7 Its loss is scarcely to be regretted, judging from the above specimen.
- \* In Khurāsān west of Hirāt. The word comes from Kohistān, signifying a mountainous tract of country. Our author has plainly indicated its whereabouts: Kā-in was, and is, its chief town. Respecting Alamūt see note \*, page 363. In the Masālik wa Mamālik it is said there is not any river water throughout that tract, but this assertion is not quite correct, unless a great change has taken place since that work was written: it is scarce,

and thirty-five in the hilly tract of 'Irāk, which they call Alamūt. After the Mughal forces had occupied their territory some time, and a great number of captives of that sect had been slaughtered, the Maulānā of the Mulāhidah, 'Alā-ud-Din, Maḥmūd, son of Jalāl-ud-Din, Ḥasan-i-Nau Musalmān, was assassinated by one among his personal slaves,' in the fortress of Lanbah-Sar, and the son of 'Alā-ud-Din, Maḥmūd, came out of that stronghold and proceeded to the Mughal camp. They despatched him, along with his dependents and followers, to the presence of Mangū Khān, and command was given to put him to death on the way. All the forts of Mulhidistān were destroyed, and the Mughals took their cities and towns and demolished them, with the exception of the fort of Gird-Koh' which

certainly. These parts were, at the period in question, very populous and flourishing.

- The head of the sect who held both the temporal and spiritual power over the Mulāhidah, as previously mentioned at page 1189.
- <sup>1</sup> Hasan, the Māzandarāni, at the end of Shawwāl, in the year 653 H. 'Alā-ud-Din, Mahmūd's son, was the Khudāwand, Rukn-ud-Din, Khūr Shāh, who was quite a youth, mention of whom will be found in another note. Our author is quite wrong here, and has, in his brevity, confused events. Lanbahsar was not taken possession of until long after Khūr Shāh came out of Maimūn-dujz, and went to Hulākū's camp, the details of which will be found farther on.
  - 2 Here the Printed Text turns this name into بسر for لنبهسر for
- A few miles west of Damghān. Having marched from Kūshān [Khabūshān], Hulākū turned his face towards 'Irāk, and moved to Bustām and Khurkān, and reached Bustām on the 10th of Sha'bān. The Korchī, Baktimish, the Bitik-chī, Zahīr-ud-Dīn, and Shāh Mīr, who had been sent on a mission to Rukn-ud-Dīn, Khūr Shāh—with a copy of the farmān, probably, issued at Kash—rejoined him on the 29th of Jamādī-ul-Ākhir, on which same day some of the fortresses were reached, and raids made upon the country round. From Bustām, the Shahnah or Intendant of Hirāt, Margatāe, along with Bak-timish [the Bak-Timūr of Alfī, Takalmish of the Fanākatī, and Mankalmish of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh and the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā] were again despatched to Khūr Shāh with promises, stipulations, and menaces.

At this time, the Maulānā-i-Sa'id, the Khwājah, Naṣir-ud-Din, the Tūsi, and several other learned doctors, such as the Ra'is-ud-Daulah, and the Muaffik-ul-'Adal [-ud-Daulah?], and their sons, were detained by Khūr Shāh, against their will, according to the Shi'ahs, but the Khwājah, Naṣir-ud-Din, was with Khūr Shāh for his own seditious purposes, as will be hereafter plainly manifested; and, influenced by him, the Khudāwand, Rukn-ud-Din, Khūr Shāh, who was quite a boy, and had only recently succeeded his father, and had been advised by those traitors to submit, treated the envoys well; and, on dismissing them, sent with them his younger brother, Shāhan-Shāh, with the Khwājah, Aṣīl-ud-Din, the Zauzani, and other great men of his

## lies between Khurāsān and 'Irāk. Up to this time, now

kingdom, to tender his submission, and to acknowledge the suzerainty of the Mughal Kā'āṇ. Hulākū received them, and treated them with honour, but despatched Zaḥīr-ud-Dīn, the Bitik-chī, and two other men of note, to intimate to Khūr Shāh that, if he, Khūr Shāh, spoke truly with respect to his obedience and subjection, it behoved him to demolish his fortresses, and present himself in the audience tent of Hulākū. Khūr Shāh's reply, on dismissing them, was, that, whatever opposition his father may have displayed with regard to the Mughal Court, he had himself evinced naught save servitude and obedience; and he gave orders, in the presence of the agents, to dismantle several fortresses, such as Humāyūn-dujz, Alamūt, Lanbah-Sar, and others, to throw down the battlements, carry away the gates to a distance, and begin to tear down the walls; but, for himself, he requested a delay of one year, after which he would present himself.

Hulākū perceived it was useless to send envoys again, and he therefore directed all the Mughal troops that were in 'Irāk and other parts to advance, and close in upon the Mulāḥidah territory. Those on the right [Busṭām being the centre], in Māzandarān, were under Būkā Timūr and the Nū-yīn, Kūkā I-yalkā, while those on the left, under Nikūdar Aghūl, and the Nū-yīn, Kaibūkā, were to advance by way of Khwār—the name of a district or tract of country in the neighbourhood of Rai—which signifies low or sloping ground, but not necessarily "salt"—and Simnān, while Hulākū himself, with one tomān of picked troops, advanced from Busṭām on the 10th of Sha'bān, 654 H. I would here remark, for geographical accuracy, that the name of this place is written and that the name "Bostan"—i.e. "was signifying "a flower garden," under which name this place, famous in Persian history, appears in Colonel J. T Walker's map and Major O. B. St. John's, is not correct.

Hulākū, notwithstanding ne had said he would send no more envoys, again had recourse to negotiation, but, with the treachery inherent in the Mughal, and in some other northern barbarians, sent to Khūr Shāh saying: "Although our standards have advanced, and notwithstanding all the misdeeds he has been guilty of, if Khūr Shāh presents himself, he will be received, the past will be forgotten, and he will be exalted." After the Mughals had passed Firuz-koh, the envoys again returned, accompanied by Khur Shah's Wazir-i-Khāş -Prime Minister-Kai-Kūbād, to intimate that his master had agreed to demolish all the fortresses. Khūr Shāh made, however, a special request, that Alamut and Lanbah-Sar should be left to his offspring, since they were the ancient homes of his family, and that he should be allowed a year's grace, to prepare certain presents and offerings worthy of the Mughal sovereign's acceptance, that the Muhtashims of Gird-Koh and the Kuhistan had been directed to present themselves, and that, in the mean time, all the other fortresses should be demolished. This temporizing was no match for the duplicity and wiles of the Mughal barbarian, who was aware what a difficult task he would have in order to capture the chief strongholds. Hulākū, still moving forward towards Lar and Damawand, passed, by the way, Shah-duis, which was captured in two days; and, once more, he despatched his envoys. Khūr Shāh still refused to appear, but he notified that he would send his son, with a body of 300 militia [as a contingent?] and demolish all the fortresses. His son came - a child of seven years old, whose mother was a concubine; but Hulākū, who waited at 'Abbās-ābād of Rai to receive him, would not allow

#### that the year 658 H. has drawn to its close, it will be a

an infant to remain in his camp, and sent him back. Then, to carry out his proposed treachery, Hulākū, in reply to Khūr Shāh, intimated that, in case there should be further delay in appearing himself, he had better send another of his brothers to relieve Shāhan-Shāh, who had been so long in the Mughal camp. Khur Shah then despatched another brother, Shahran-Shah-some call him Sherwan Shah-along with the Khwajah. Asil-ud-Din, the Zauzani, and 300 soldiers; and, on the 5th of Shawwal, 654 H., they reached his camp within the limits of Rai. On the 9th, they were sent back bearing a safe-conduct for Khūr Shāh himself, with a message to the effect that, in consequence of the submission, and show of obedience of Khur Shah, the misdeeds of his father had been forgiven, and, as no improper conduct had been shown by himself, since he had succeeded his father, if he destroyed the fortresses as promised, he might expect the royal favour. After sending off this-the bearers filled with delight at the terms-Hulākū issued orders for the Mughal troops to form a cordon round about Maimun-dujz, the residence of Khur Shah, which was carried out, particularly on the part of Buka Timur and Kükā I-yalkā, who approached it very closely, from the side of Astadār-or Astadārah—the same place as is mentioned in Jabah [Yamah] and Sahūdah's (Swidae's) raid.

As soon as Khūr Shāh became cognizant of this suspicious proceeding, he sent a person to the Mughals, saying: "Since we have submitted, and are occupied in demolishing our fortresses, what is the object of your advancing into these parts?" By way of mockery they replied: "Because we are friends now, and there is no disagreement between us, we have come into your grazing lands, in order that our horses may enjoy a few days' rest, after which we again depart." On the 10th of Shawwal, the Mughals entered the Rud-barat or Rūd-bārān [a district and town, between Gilan and Kazwin: it is the plural of rūd-bār, and signifies a tract of many streams] by the Yashkal or Bashgal Dara'h or Pass, on the road to Tāl-kān, and commenced plundering and devastating the country round. On the 18th, the audience tent of Hulaku was pitched facing Maimun-duiz on the northern side; and, the next day, he reconnoitred the place preparatory to an attack; and, the following day, the troops completely encircled it, although the mountain, on which it is situated, is six farsakhs in circuit. Hulākū, however, when he beheld that impregnable fortress, saw that, to take it by storm, was utterly impossible, and that nothing else than reduction by famine was possible, and that that might not be effected for many years. He therefore held counsel with the Shah-zadahs and Amirs whether to invest it, or retire, and return next year, as the season was far Most of them were for retiring, as winter was come-it was Shawwal, 654 H.—November, 1256 A.D.—the horses were emaciated, and forage was scarcely obtainable, and would have to be brought from the frontier districts of Kirman or Arman; but Būkā-Timūr, the Bitik-chi, Saif-ud-Din. and Amir Kaibūķā, the Nāemān, on the contrary, urged, that to retire now would be a show of weakness, and that, as a matter of necessity, they ought to remain until the affair assumed some tangible shape or other. So Hulaku again had recourse to duplicity, while traitors in the stronghold of the inexperienced Khūr Shāh helped its success: he despatched another envoy to Khūr Shāh, with a message tending to seduce him, by hopes of favour, to come down. The envoy said: "O Khur Shah! if, like a man, you come down and present yourself, you not only preserve your own life, but also the

#### period of ten years that the investment of that fortress has

lives of all who are in this place with you. If, in the course of five days, you do not come, then make your fortress strong, and expect an assault; for this is the last time that any one will come to you." Rukn-ud-Div. Khur Shah. seeing the state of affairs, held counsel with his chief men; and no way appeared left open to him other than to surrender. On the same day that this was determined on, he despatched, in advance of himself, another brother, Shāh Kivā, along with the traitor—as I shall presently show—the Khwājah, Nasir-ud-Din, the Tusi, and other officials and leaders of his forces, to the presence of Hulākū, bearing presents and offerings befitting. On Friday, the 27th of Shawwal, they reached his camp; and, on Sunday, 1st of Zi-Ka'dah, 654 H.—12th November, 1256 A.D.—the Khudawand, Rukn-ud-Din, Khur Shah, having taken a last farewell of his ancestral home of two hundred years. accompanied by Asil-ud-Din, Zauzani, Mu'ayvid-ud-Din, the Wazir, and the sons of the Ra'is-ud-Daulah, and Muaffik-ud-Daulah, the same day presented himself in the camp of Hulaku, the Mughal. So, "the strongly fortified town of Meimundiz" was neither besieged, nor was "the attack prosecuted with vigour," because no attack was ever made, neither did "Rokn-ud-din propose terms to Khulagu," as we are informed in the "Mongols Proper," but quite the contrary.

The traitor, Naṣṭr-ud-Din, the Tūst, composed a verse on this event, the first half of which, not quite correctly rendered, is given by Von Hammer, who, in his account of the Ismā'tliān, makes sad havoc among the names of persons and places. The verse is as follows, literally rendered:—

"When the 'Arab year six hundred, fifty, and four, came round, On Sunday, the first of the month Zi-Ka'dah, at morning dawn, Khūr Shāh, Bādshāh of the Mulāḥidah, from his throne arose, And, in front of the throne of Hulākū [Khān], stood up."

When Khūr Shāh presented himself, Hulākū beheld a mere youth of inexperience and indiscretion, and, therefore, according to the pro-Mughal accounts, he treated him kindly, and gave him hopes of the Kā'ān's favour. Khūr Shāh, at Hulākū's request, despatched one of his chief men, entitled Sadr-ud-Din, in order that all the fortresses which his father and forefathers had obtained possession of, in the Kuhistan, the Rud-barat, and Kumis-a district, or rather province, between Khurāsān and 'Irāk-i-'Ajam-full of military stores, magazines of provisions, and other valuable property, might be delivered up to the Mughal officials; and, by Hulāku's command, they are said to have been levelled with the ground-subsequently perhaps, as this would be a work of time only: Hafiz Abru says they amounted to some three hundred; all but Lambah-Sar and Gird-Koh-but the number was only a little over a hundred-which the governors refused to give up, and which held out. particularly the latter, for twenty years after, as already mentioned. Pestilence at last broke out in Lambah-Sar, and most of its people perished. The rest abandoned it, and the Mughals destroyed it.

The day after Khūr Shāh reached the Mughal camp, he gave orders to his dependants to leave Maimūn-dujz; and his ancestral treasures, other valuable property, and library, he presented [perforce] to Hulākū as a pesh-kash, the whole of which Hulākū is said to have distributed among his officers. After this the latter turned his face towards Alamūt. On reaching the foot of the stronghold, Khūr Shāh was sent forward to request the seneschal to come

#### been going on.4 Within it about 100 or 200 men have

<sup>6</sup> Not in "the third year of the siege," as Von Hammer states: it held out for nearly twenty years, and only then fell because pestilence had destroyed nearly the whole of its defenders.

down and give it up, but that Sipah-Sālār refused to listen to his words, and gave him a rough and stern reply. Hulākū left a numerous force there to invest it, but, after holding out for three or four days, the Sipah-Salar agreed to surrender it, on the lives and property of all within being guaranteed. On Monday, the 26th of Zi-Ka'dah, 654 H., it was given up. The people asked, according to the terms of surrender, for three days' grace to enable them to remove their effects; and, on the fourth day, the Mughals poured in, and commenced to sack the fortress. The catapults on the walls were thrown down and destroyed, the gates removed to a distance, and they began to demolish the defences. On the following day Hulākū came up to inspect the place, and much was he astonished at beholding that fortress and the mountain on which it stands. "Alamut is a mountain, which they have likened unto a camel kneeling, with its neck stretched out upon the ground [between a camel شر-and a lion-شر-there is, in MSS., but the difference of a couple of points over and under, but there is a very material difference in their significations, whatever Von Hammer may have said, for he must have read it incorrectly or from a poor MS.]. On the summit thereof, which has but one path leading to it, a fortress was built of such prodigious strength that the like of it has never yet been described. Within had been excavated several reservoirs for storing vinegar, honey, and other drinkables - the word sharab, in the original, does not necessarily mean wine or intoxicating drinks—so that, after obtaining possession of that stronghold, the Mughals were diving into them—and must have come out in a very 'sweet' state from the reservoirs of honey—and finding various articles of property, which the people, in their first alarm, had thrown into them," but the Mughals "in the subterranean chambers and cellars, searching for treasure, did not fall into the wine and honey," without knowing what was there, as erroneously stated by Von Hammer, from a wrong reading probably. The greater part of the contents of these magazines, which had been laid in during the time of Hasani-Sabbāh, remained unchanged; and his followers, the Ismā'ilis, attribute this to the sanctity of his blessing.

The subsequent fate of Khūr Shāh may be related in a few words. By the early part of 655 H., all the Mulāḥidah strongholds in the Kuhistān and 'Irāk-i-'Ajam, with the exception of Lanbah-Sar and Gird-Koh, were in the hands of the Mughals, but those in Shām had yet to be gained possession of. Hulākū, consequently, continued to treat Khūr Shāh well, and induced him to send his messengers along with Mughal officials into Shām, to request the governors to give them up, whereby they would obtain favour, or otherwise bring down ruin upon the whole of the sect. Khūr Shāh had also become enamoured, it is said by the orthodox Musalmāns, the enemies of the sect, of a base-born Mughal's daughter, and, the matter becoming notorious. Hulākū, on the occasion of the great Shī'ah festival of the 'Aṣhūrā, bestowed favours upon Khūr Shāh on the last day of the festival, the Ioth of Muharram, 655 H., and, among these favours, made him a present of the Mughal damsel. The idea that "Khulagu" would not have scrupled to have put him to death

taken refuge, but, up to this time, it has not fallen into the hands of the Mughals.

\* The I. O. L. MS., No. 1951, the Ro. As. Soc. MS., and the Bodleian MS.—all three—have an interpolation here relating to the death of Mangū Kā'ān in Chin, the same as occurs at page 1223, thus showing that they are copies of the same original, or that the two last are copies of the first MS.

"because he had lately married a Mongol woman of low extraction," is absurd, and also that a "solemn marriage was ordered." The round-faced, ugly wench was bestowed upon him in the same way as a horse or a slave would be given; but some say that Khūr Shāh actually asked Hulākū for her.

Hulākā had solemnly promised not to harm Khūr Shāh, hence he was well pleased when the latter, who found his promises of favour were all empty ones, asked to be sent to the presence of Mangu Kā'ān; still, as the fortresses of Sham had not yet been secured, he would have kept him in play a little longer. However, as Khūr Shāh was desirous of going, he despatched him, but took care to detain his offspring, females, and dependants, at Kazwin, and only the Mughal concubine was allowed to accompany him. He was desired to obtain the surrender of Gird-Koh by the way; and, although Khūr Shāh, outwardly, in the presence of his Mughal guardians, did request its commander to surrender, he had before sent secretly to tell him on no account to give it up, as it had been prophesied that in, or by means of, that fortress, their sect would again flourish. The commandant, consequently, refused to surrender, and gave a fierce answer, so the Mughals had to proceed on their road unsuccessful. Khūr Shāh is said to have quarrelled with his conductors after passing the Āmūiah, and it is added that they came to fisticuss; and this want of dignity on his part made him very contemptible in the sight of the Mughals. His death is differently related. Some say he reached Mangu's presence, but the Fanākatī and Ḥāsiz Abrū say that the truth is that, when he had arrived in the vicinity of Karā-Kuram, Mangū Kā'ān commanded that he should be put to death. This, the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh states is the truth, but Rashid-ud-Din does not say that Mangū was at Karā-Kuram. These writers, however, appear to have forgotten that the Ka'an was in Chin at this time, and never returned to Karā-Kuram again.

Mangū's instructions to his brother were, not even to spare a child of a year old of the race of Kiyā-i-Buzurg-Umīd; so, during the absence of that unfortunate Prince, Hulākū gave orders to slay the whole of them, and "neither young nor old were spared; and, of a family, which, for one hundred and seventy odd years, had reigned in Ī-rān-Zamīn, not a vestige or trace remained."

In Alfi, however, it is stated that a number of Khūr Shāh's offspring and relations were made over to Salghān Khātūn, Chaghatāe Khān's daughter, that she might, according to the law of retaliation, shed their blood, in order to avenge her father who had been killed by Ismā'ili Fidā-is. See note 4, page 1148.

After this Hulākū—with the treachery inherent in the Mughal race—issued commands to the Amirs in Khurāsān to assemble together, by stratagem, the whole of the Kuhistāni Ismā'ilis, and extirpate them, so that not a trace of them might be left. Under pretence of a general levy of fighting men, for the purpose of invading Hindūstān, numbers came in from all the towns and

#### AN ACCOUNT OF THE MISFORTUNE WHICH HAPPENED TO THE MUHTASHIM, SHAMS-UD-DIN.

This account is derived from a recluse among the recluses of Islām, who is worthy of credit, and is here recorded in order that it may come under the observation of the Sultān of Islām.

This servant of the victorious empire, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, who is the author of this TABAĶĀT, on the first occasion that he chanced to undertake a journey into the Ķuhistān, and saw the Muḥtashim, Shihāb, the Ḥakim, the friend of the Musalmāns, saw, in his presence, a recluse, an aged man of Nishāpūr, who was one among the esteemed of Sulṭān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, and his mother, the Malikah-i-Jahān—on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—and, during the time of that monarch and his mother, he enjoyed their intimacy and esteem. This recluse used clandestinely to take care of the interests of the Muḥtashim, Shihāb, before the Sulṭān's throne, and was wont to show honour towards his emissaries; and, such of their important affairs as used to be before the Court, he would get brought to a successful termination.

When the misfortunes [attending the irruption] of the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> arose, and the people of <u>Kh</u>wārazm, of the

<sup>6</sup> The later Mulāḥidah were tributary to the Sultāns of this dynasty, and had been for some time. See note <sup>4</sup>, page 254.

villages of that territory; and the Mughals thus succeeded in trapping 12,000 Ismā'ilis, the whole of whom were massacred. Towāchis [Pursuivants or Tipstaffs] were also sent out into every part of the Kuhistān with instructions to kill the heretics wherever they could be found, and all who might aid or shelter them; and they are said to have been "wholly exterminated."

They were not however, for, in 674 H., twenty years after Khūr Shāh surrendered, in the reign of Hulākū's successor, a body of Mulāḥidah, having combined with a son of the late Khūr Shāh, and assigned him the title of "the Nau Daulat," seized the fortress of Alamūt; and their outbreak assumed a serious aspect. Ābāķāe Khān sent an army against them, which overthrew them; and the fortress of Alamūt was razed to the ground.

In the present day we hear of a claimant to the spiritual office of this sect, as a descendant of the last of the Mulāḥidah, and, I believe, Magazine articles have even been written on the subject.

Hulākū's mind being now entirely set at rest respecting the Mulāḥidah, he turned his attention to further "ameliorations"—the capture of Baghdād, our author's account of which will be found farther on.

capital, and of Khurāsān, became dispersed, this recluse. for those reasons [above referred to], threw himself into the Kuhistan; and, on account of previous obligations, the Muhtashim, Shihāb, the Hakim, was under towards him, this recluse obtained great favour with him, and received abundant honour and reverence. On the Muhtashim. Shihāb, being removed from the government of the Kuhistan, and his proceeding to Alamut, when the Muhtashim, Shams, arrived, this recluse did not obtain the same respect from him; and, as he was not on terms of intimacy with the Muhtashim, Shams, the absence of Shihāb greatly affected the heart of the recluse. He desired, in order to perform the debt of gratitude [he owed] to the Muhtashim. Shihāb, to take vengeance upon this Muhtashim, Shams. who was the displacer of the former, and thereby attain. for himself, the felicity of martyrdom, and also perform an act of holy warfare [upon an infidel].

One day he entered the place of audience of the Muhtashim, Shams, and represented, saying: "It is necessary to give me a private audience. I have important business, which I will communicate in private." The Muhtashim, Shams, had his audience hall cleared, but the recluse said: "I am not satisfied to proceed lest it should so happen that I might be in the middle of my statement and an interloper might enter, and the matter be interrupted. If the Muhtashim will direct that I may fasten the door of the audience hall on the inside, my heart will be freed from that fear." The Muhtashim replied: "It will be well: it is necessary to put the chain across the door of the audience hall on the inside." The honest [!] recluse got up, and fastened the door on the inside, and came and seated himself down in front of the Muhtashim. It was an habitual custom with that Muhtashim constantly to have a finely-tempered palārak 7 poniard in his hand. Sometimes he would place it at his side, sometimes before him, and sometimes he would take it in his hand.

The recluse turned his face towards the Muhtashim, and said: "I suffer tyranny in thy city and territory. Why

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A species of Damascened steel held in great estimation: also the damasked water of a sword.

have they placed this poniard in thy hand? [Is it not] for this purpose that thou shouldst ward off tyranny and violence from the weak and oppressed? Give the weapon into my hand that I may see whether it be sharp or not." The Muhtashim, inadvertently, the recluse being an infirm old man, and thinking that from him no mischief would arise, gave the ponjard into the Darwesh's hand. The latter seized it. struck at the Muhtashim, and inflicted upon him several severe wounds, in such a manner that his body was wounded in several places. It was the winter season, and the Muhtashim wore two garments of hair [cloth], one over the other; and, the recluse being old and infirm, the wounds proved not so very deep. Had the recluse been young, and had it been the summer season, without doubt, the Muhtashim would have gone to hell. Notwithstanding he was wounded, he got up, seized the wound-inflicting recluse, and cried out for assistance. A number of Mulahidahs were in the vestibule of the place of audience, and they burst open the door, and came in, and martyred the recluse—the Almighty reward him!

A cry now arose in the city of Tūn; and the Mulā-hidahs conspired against the Musalmāns to put those poor unfortunates to death, but the Muhtashim promptly directed so that they issued a proclamation, to the effect that no Musalmān should be hurt in the least, since it would not be right to slay all the Musalmāns through the act of one individual. During that short period of tumult, however, an eminent Imām, and learned man, whom they used to call Imām Najm-ud-Din-i-Sarbāri, the Rūmi, attained martyrdom, because a Mulhid was at enmity with him, but of the rest of the Musalmāns not one suffered any molestation. Subsequently, command was given so that they impaled the Mulhid who had killed the Imām.

The object in [relating] this incident was this, that it is essential that sovereigns should ever be circumspect and vigilant, and should never leave [their] arms out of their own possession, and should not place confidence in any one.

At page 1197, he says he saw him at Kā-in, which was the seat of government, but this, it appears, took place at Tun. It is not contained in all copies: only in the best ones.

I now return to the thread of this History.

When they placed Mangū Khān upon the throne, he conferred the dominion of I-rān and 'Ajam upon his younger brother, Hulākū; and another younger brother, named Kubilān, after he had returned from the conquest of 'Irāķ, he installed over the tribes of Turkistān, and a third brother, Artuķ Būķah, he placed as his deputy over the kingdoms of Tamghāj. He [Mangū] then assembled a numerous army, and marched into the country of Chin, and reached a place where the horses of his forces,

At page 1177, our author styles him Kubilā—Ly—and here Kubilān—as above, the letter 'n,' apparently, being nasal, as in many other words. The Calcutta Printed Text here turns him into Kilān—ay. The name is written rather differently by other authors, as with many other names, particularly with the addition of a final a often found in these words—Kubilāe. The letter 'k'—a—which is the first in his name, is turned into 'Kh' in the book so often referred to herein, which is equivalent to e or but any one who understands a single letter of Oriental tongues knows that "Khubilai" is as impossible as "Khulagu" for Hulākū; and is incorrect, whatever the "Mongol" Professors may say. The Chinese, who spoil all foreign proper names, style him "Hū-pi-lay."

<sup>1</sup> This is a great mistake: we should read Khiţāe for 'Irāk. Kubilāe was never sent into 'Irāk on any expedition, and was never in that country in his life. He is said to have been in Kifchāk in Üktāe's reign. The services on which he was sent in Mangū's reign have been already mentioned.

The Printed Text mistakes this name too, and has Irak or Arak—رقراب —for Irtuk—ارتن—and sends Irtuk, sometimes written Artuk, Bükā into Chin, whereas he was left in charge of the great wrdūs at Karā-Kuram of Kalūr-ān.

\* Tamghāj has already been referred to in a previous note.

4 As I have briefly referred to the principal events in the lives of the preceding Mughal sovereigns, I will here relate, even at the risk of being considered rather too diffuse, the other chief events in Mangū Kāān's reign, in order to complete the notice of him, and will compare it with the Chinese accounts, as the names of countries, places, and persons, are so widely different, and as, in other matters, considerable discrepancy occurs, and numerous errors exist.

In the year 651 H., which commenced on the 2nd of March, 1253 A.D., Mangū, being well established on the throne, determined upon making fresh conquests in the east and west, or rather, to speak more correctly than the Oriental chronicles of these events, to secure possession of the countries which had been but partially subdued. Accordingly, in this, the second year of his accession, Mangū made a great feast or banquet at the ancient yūrat of the Chingiz Khān. After it was over he nominated his youngest brother, Hulākū, to march into Ī-rān-Zamīn, some of whose proceedings have been already described, and his middle brother, Kubilāe, into the countries of the east; and the Ko-yāng, Mākalī, the Jalā-īr, was despatched along with him [as his guide and preceptor]. This well-known leader's title is not "Guyaneg." Ko-yāng, the name the Khiṭā-īs called him by, signifies great and trustworthy.

After they had set out from Kara-Kuram, with the army, by the direct route,

## through the insalubrity of the climate, and want of forage,

apparently, they found grain and forage excessively scarce. They accordingly despatched information to the Kā'ān, stating that it would be impossible to proceed by that route, and asked permission to march by another road into Karā-Jāng [eii]. This is the tract of country which Raghid-ud-Din, quoting Al-Birūni, mentions. After noticing Diw-gir and the Ma'abar in the Dakhan of Hind, he says: "There is also another large territory which is Gandhār, and called by the Mughals Karā-Jāng or Ḥāmil [], and its people are descended from Hindi and Khiṭā-i [parents]. In the reign of Kubi-lāe Kā'ān it was subdued by the Mughals. On one side it joins Tibbat, on another, the frontier of Khiṭāe, and on the third, Hind. Learned men have said that the people of three different countries are particularly celebrated for three different things: Hind for its numerous armies, the territory of Gandhār for its elephants beyond computation, and the Turks for horses."

I have previously narrated the Fanākati's account of the geography of some of these parts [see note , page 912], and the names of various countries of Khiṭāe, Chin, and Mahā-Chin, but it will be well to mention what refers to this very tract under discussion again, as great discrepancy exists with regard to the mode of writing the name of it. The Fanākati says: "To the S. W. of Khiṭāe is another country, which they—the people of it—style Dāe-liū والي —in one MS. written 'Dāe-kū—الاله , and the Mughals call it Gandhar [داول —another MS. has Gandah-har—الاله .]. This country with us—the writer's native country, Māwarā-un-Nahr, and Turkistān—is known as Kandhār [اقدها ]. It lies between Hind and Tibbat, and in one half of it the people are black [dark], and in the other half, white [fair]. The Mughals call all this white half Chaghan-Jāng [اجال عالي المالة عالي المالة المال

It is doubtful what the meaning of Jāng is, in fact it is very doubtful what is the correct word, for it is written which—whi—which—and which which may be read in various ways, but if one take the first form—which—in which perhaps it is chiefly written, it may be read, in the absence of vowel points, Jānak, Jānag, Jānk, or Jāng; and from its being used with chaghān and karā, which are Turkish words for white and black, it must, without doubt, be Turkish likewise. I am doubtful, however, whether the last form given above—which—Jāmak, is not, after all, the correct word. I have taken some trouble to search it out in several works, and am sorry that there should still remain any doubt upon it.

But, from comparison, we arrive at some other facts. The Fanākatī and some others say, that, east of Khiṭāe, the Chin of the Hindūs, and Jākūt of the Mughals, inclining south-east, is an extensive country called Manzī المنافى—it is also written in some MSS. مبرى and مبرى—and sometimes, by the Fanākatī also, اينوا or أيوا by the Chinese, Mahā-Chīn by the Hindūs, and تَكُنَافَى the Mughals.

This latter name too, as I have previously remarked, is written in so many different ways that it is difficult to determine which is the most correct. It is written written —Tingnāsh—in several very correctly and carefully written MSS., also سَكَاهُ Tingbāsh—الله Biktāsh, الله Tingnās, and the like, but I believe, after all, that the way in which it is written in the "Nuhzat-ul-Kulūb" is the correct one, namely, المناف —Ningāish. This country is said, by the Fanākatī and others, to be separated from Khitāe or Chīn by the Karā Mūr-ān or Black River, that its capital was Khūnsāe [خونسائي], that it is also called Karā-

# were perishing. He despatched swift messengers into Tur-

Jäng by the Mughals, and Däe-liu in the language of Khiţāe—that is, by the Chinese. Consequently, from these various statements, Ningāish or Tingnāsh is the Karā and Chaghān Jāng and the Gandhār of the Mughals, which contitute the Manzi and Dāe-liu of the Chinese, the Mahā-Chin of the Hindus, and Kandhār of the Musalmāns of Turkistān and Māwarā-un-Nahr.

I now return, after this necessary digression, to the request of the Ko-yāng, Mūkalī, to enter this territory of Karā-Jāng, or whatever it may be. His request was complied with, and he entered that territory with his forces, plundered it, and obtained what his troops were in want of. I notice, in Elliot, vol. 1, page 63, that "Waihind, capital of Kandahár, west of the Sind," is said to be called "Karájáng" by the "Moghals." This is a little out of the way, and must be an error certainly.

The Chinese say that "Mengko," as they style Mangū, made his brother Hū-pi-lay, governor of all the territories south of the great Kobī or Desert, that is, Tartary bordering on the Great Wall of China, Lyan-tong, and the conquered provinces of China. In Decr., 1252 A.D. [Shawwāl, the tenth month of 650 H.], Hū-pi-lay was directed to attack Tai-li-Fū in Yun-nan, and took along with him the general Hū-lyang-hotay [Mūkalī?], and Yanshī [Maḥmūd, Yalwāj?].

About the same time envoys arrived at the *urdū* from Intû or Hintûs [Hind], to render homage. This was about the very time that Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunķar, the cousin of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, left his fief of Tabarhindah, withdrew from Hind, and proceeded to the presence of Mangū Ķā'ān. See pages 695, 784, 792, 798, and note ', page 1223.

In the same year—650 H.— Mangū Ķā'ān began to consider in what direction he should himself lead an army, and, therefore, he held another great feast at which the Shāh-zādahs and Amīrs appeared. This was held at a place called Kortūķūk Jiwan [قرر توقق جون]—the Jidan [جهدن] doubtless, referred to in note, page 912, para. 4—which is situated in the middle of Mughalistān. This is the place where, according to tradition, Ķūblah Khān, the eighth of the Būzanjar dynasty [see the note on the descent of the Turks, Tāttārs, and Mughals, page 896, para. 6], and his followers, danced so much in the hollow of a tree, after he had obtained success over the Tāttārs, and the Āltān Khān's forces, when he avenged his brother, Ūkīn-Barķāķ's death.

At this feast, Mangū was advised by Dārkāe, the Gurgān [one of the sons-in-law of the Chingiz Khān], an Amīr of the tribe of Angīrās-Kungķūr-āt Mughals, to invade Tingnāgh or Bīktāsh [Ningāīgh]. Dārkāe added, as a reason for invading it, that it was near by, was bāghī, that is to say here, unreduced and independent, and that it had hitherto been disregarded by them. This was not correct, however, if, as previously mentioned, the Mughals call this Tingnāgh or Bīktāgh by the name of Karā-Jāng, for that was invaded and plundered by the Mughal troops under Kubīlāe and Mūkalī, the Ko-yāng, just before.

Mangū Kā'ān, in reply to this advice, remarked, that each of his uncles and

# kistān and Māwarā-un-Nahr, and called for horses for his

Respecting these events, the Chinese say that, in February, 1253 A.D. [the last month of the year 650 H. The year 651 H. commenced on the 2nd March, 1253 A.D.], "Mengko" assembled the Princes and Grandees at the river Onon, and determined upon sending armies to make further foreign conquests, "one into India and Kashmir, another into Korea, and a third against the Khalifah," which was to be the most numerous, under his brother "Hyule hû" [Hulākū]. Among the generals was "Kakan [the Manjanik-chi?], son of Ko-chey, son of Ko-pan-yu," who were generals of the Chingiz Khān, a native of Ching, dependent on Wha-chew, in the district of Si-gan-Fû, capital of Shen-si, a very learned man.

"Hû-pi-lay" [Kubîlāe] had assembled his forces the previous year [1252 A.D.—649-50 H.], at Lin-tau-Fû, in Shen-si, entered Sew-chwen, and, by difficult roads, through mountains and by precipices, reached the river Kin-sha or Kyang. At this period, great part of Yun-nan was ruled by Princes independent of China. Tali had a king of its own, and he was taken, with that city, in December of that year. "Hû-pi-lay" subdued several neighbouring Princes, and reached Tibbat, where several others submitted to him. After this he returned to his government, leaving Hû-lyang-hotay [the Ko-yāng, Mūkalī?] in command.

Again, in 1254 A.D. [652 H., which commenced on the 20th Feby., 1254], the Chinese writers state that "Mengko" again assembled the Princes and Grandees at the source of the river Onon, made many new regulations, and ordered the commanders of troops in China to lay in great magazines of provisions in such parts of Ho-nan as had walled cities. Hitherto the Mughals had only made incursions into Sew-chwen to pillage, and had often to retreat, and, many times with loss, for want of subsistence [as in Kubilāe's case, to which this evidently refers], and "Mengko" directed the general Wang-teching, son of Wang-shi-hyen, to inclose several towns, and lay in stores of provision.

In June, 1256 A.D. [this would be the beginning of Rajab, the seventh month of the year 654 H.], another great feast was held, and "Mengko" received the homage of several Princes of Yun-nan, as well as of neighbouring Princes and Sulfans of the west.

Then it is related that "Mengko considered the urdā at or near Karā-Kuram was inconvenient for holding kūrīltāes and keeping his Court; and so he directed a Chinese Bonza, named Lyew-ping-chong, to select a place in Tartary, which might henceforth be the capital of his dominions. Ping-ching, who was a man of great learning and of scientific attainments, made choice of a place, to the east of the city of Whan-chew, called Long-kang; and there a city was

# army. Trustworthy persons related, on this wise, that his

built, which was called Kay-ping-Fû, and, asterwards, Shang-tû: yet Karā-Kuram [although neither a city nor town] still continued to enjoy a jurisdiction of greater extent "—it was still the ail yūrat of the Chingiz Khān.

The foundation of this place therefore has been wrongly ascribed to Kubiläe, who founded Khān-Bāligh, instead of to "Mengko," but that it was more convenient, as to position, than the vicinity of Karā-Kuram, and Kalūr-ān, is absurd, unless for the convenience of his enstern subjects and dominions alone. There may have been another reason, and an important one. Karā-Kuram depended a great deal on provisions brought from a long distance, and, should supplies, by any chance, have been cut off, famine would have arisen, as was subsequently proved.

This new capital, "Kay-ping-Fu," afterwards "Shang-tû," is apparently the Shandu, and Ciandu of Polo, "Xandu" in Ramusio, and "Ions" of Hayton. "It stood," it is stated, "in the country of Karchin on the river "Shan-tû," N.N.E. of Pekin, and "seems to be," says a writer in ASTLEY'S "COLLECTION," "Chau-nayman-suma, which is one of three ruins marked in the Missioners' map, on the river Shangtû." Hayton calls it lons. "Passing out of the gate, Hi-fong-kew in Pe-che-li, you find yourself in Karchin, Ohan, Naman [Nāemān], and Korchin. It is divided into ten standards; and the country of the Mughal of Korchin extends to the Sira Mūr-ān—the great river Sira. Mūr-ān in the Mughal language signifies a great river, and Pīrah a smaller one."

To continue the Chinese accounts before returning to the Mughal records quoted by the Musalman writers, in whose time the Mughals had to a considerable extent become Musalmans likewise, they say that, in 1257 A.D. [the year 655 H. began on the 18th January, 1257 A.D.], "Mengko" sent orders to his generals in Se-chwen, Hu-quang, and Kyang-nan, to prepare to attack the Song [empire] on all sides, resolving to begin himself with the first, that is to say, Se-chwen, which therefore is equivalent with Tingnash [Ningaish] of the Musalman writers, Maha-Chin of the Hindus, and Manzi of the Chinese, as described by the Fanākati and others. After the month of July [the seventh month of 655 H.] he appointed his brother, Alipû-ko [Irtūķ or Irtūgh Būķah], in charge at Karā-Kuram." There is some discrepancy between the Musalman and Chinese dates, because he was appointed in the first month of 652 H., as before mentioned. "In the same month, Mengko" set out, reached the mountain of "Lewpan in Shen-si," where the Chingiz Khan died [which place, as stated before at page 1087, was situated on the frontier of Tingnash, or Ningāish, and Khūrjah]. He was scarcely arrived there when he understood his brother Hû-pi-lay, with his family, and without any attendance, in the manner of a criminal, had come, in order to submit himself to the Kā'ān. This news so affected "Mengko" that the suspicions he entertained against him were removed and he was completely forgiven." I shall refer to this matter presently.

"Hû-pi-lay" was directed by "Mengko" to return to his government, and prepare for the siege of Vû-chang-Fû, the capital of Hû-quang, then to march to Hang-chew, the metropolis of Che-kyang, and the empire of the Song; and the general Chang-jau was nominated to command under him.

I will now return to the Mughal accounts from where I left off, when, early in 652 H.—about March or April, 1254 A.D.—Mangū appointed Irtūk Būkah to remain in charge of the great urdūs and ulūsīs, along with his eldest son, but some say his son Serki.

# lieutenants and governors, who were in Turkistan and Ma-

As Mangū issued forth on his way, he received the news of the death of the Nū-yin, Belkūtāe, half brother of the Chingiz Khān, who had attained the age of 110 years, and who had done good service in the latter's time. Mangū also received an account of his brother Kubilāe's movements with his forces; and that, as Kubilāe, at this time, was indisposed—he was afflicted with gout, or dard-i-pāe—if he were allowed to take repose for a time, it would be well. Kubilāe was permitted, accordingly, to return to his urdūs, as a temporary measure, to get better.

This evidently is what the Chinese histories refer to as a disagreement between the brothers, and Kubilāe's being suspected, and forgiven, but the Musalmān writers never so much as hint at anything of the kind, and the two accounts are wholly contrary to each other. Although Kubilāe had permission to retire to his urdūs he did not do so, but again returned to his post. It was at this time likewise, that Shirāmūn, who was not to be trusted, was put to death before Kubilāe departed. Perhaps it is this incident that the Chinese writers got hold of.

Having set out, in the summer of 653 H.—about June, 1255 A.D.—Mangū reached the boundaries of the territories of Tingkūt and Tingnāsh or Biktāsh [Ningāish], at the place named Afwān or Afūān Shān [وان عان —it was Lewak Shān—فوان عان before. See note, page 1088], within the confines of Tingnāsh or Biktāsh [Ningāish], which is the place where the Chingiz Khān died.

Towards the latter part of the year he moved forward for the purpose of attacking the Masūl [سول] Kahlukah or Pass, and forced it. With little effort he subsequently captured twenty fortresses, and subdued a territory known as Khān-Sindān [سان سندان —in one copy of Alfi the first word is Jān—نام, and, having taken possession of the whole of it, turned his face towards a great fortress [a fortified city] called Mūlł Sāng [سرلي سانه].

There is considerable discrepancy with regard to the name of this place, caused by the careless copying of scribes, and the facilities which the Arabic characters offer for making mistakes when carelessly written. In the best written copies of Alfi and the Fanākatī, respectively, it is Mūlī-Sāng, as above, and Dolī-Shāng [وولى شائه], while in other copies of the former, and in other works, it is مولى سائه — and مولى سائه without points. The place in question is the Ho-chew of the Chinese historians, and Ho-chew or Ko-chew of the Jesuits' map.

Before setting out into those parts, he had despatched the Nü-yin, Taghachār, with a numerous army, by way of the great river called Kā'ān Ling [عالى لله],

# warā-un-Nahr, in a very short space of time—less than

that he might reduce to submission the fortified cities of Fang-ching [الله عداه] — the words are without points, and may be meant for Māng[المال] -ching—and Māng-Fūn [المال فون]. Taghāchār reached the foot of that fortress [the last mentioned place], and invested it for a week, but, during that time, having obtained no sign of success, he marched away, and returned to his own urdūs. Mangū Kā'ān was excessively wroth at this proceeding, and vowed he would punish Taghachār in such a way that others should take example therefrom, but he did not live to do so.

The place before which Mangū Kā'ān sat down in 654 H., was, according to the Ḥabib-us-Siyar, "a fortified mountain of prodigious height and circuit, and furnished with all things necessary to withstand an enemy. Winter came and passed, and spring set in, and the summer of 655 H. arrived [the summer of 1257 A.D.]. The excessive heat brought on a pestilence among the troops, and most of his army perished. Mangū, who, for a long period, had been investing this strong place, took to drinking deeply, in order to ward off the danger of catching the disease, but his health gave way in consequence, and he was taken ill, and died eight days after, in Muharram—the first month—of 656 H. [about the middle of January, 1258 A.D.] on the banks of the Kobighah Mūr-ān [قرائية عند الله عند الل

There is considerable discrepancy, too, and some doubt, concerning the date of Mangū's death.

Alfi, and its authorities, the Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā, the Fanākatī, and the Muntakhabut-Tawārīkh, say it happened in 655 H. The Ḥabīb-us-Siyar says it took place in 654 H., while Guzīdah and Faṣiḥ-ī say it was in 657 H., and that he reigned nine years, and was forty-eight—some say forty-six—when he died. The Fanākatī says his reign was six years and two months.

The last of these dates—657 H.—is undoubtedly correct, because his brother, Hulākū, in Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 655 H., sent Khūr Shāh, Bādshāh of the Mulāhidah, to his camp, after obtaining possession of Alamūt; and, by Mangū Ķā'ān's command, he was put to death by the way. Mangū, therefore, could not possibly have died in 654 H., nor in the first month of 655 H. Another proof is that Hulākū sent the news to Mangū of the capture of Baghdād, and the murder of the last Khalifah, together with an account of events which had happened in 'Irāķ in 656 H., and which news reached him. Therefore, allowing for the immense distance which separated the brothers, the first month of 657 H.—January, 1259 A.D.—is, evidently, the more correct date. Our author, who finished his history in the fifth month of 658 H.—about the end of February, 1260 A.D.—appears to have very recently become aware of his death by report.

I must now give the Chinese version of these events for the sake of comparison. "Hû-lyang-hotay" having made conquests in the countries bordering on "Yun-nan," subsequent to his subjugation of Tibbat in 1255 A.D.—653 H.—penetrated as far as "Tong-king," and "Kochin-China," then comprehended under the name of "Gan-nan," ruined its capital, and plundered the country. He was returning to "Tali," when "Mengko" ordered him to join "Hû-pi-lay" in the siege of Vû-chang-Fû [capital of the province of Hû-quang].

"Mengko's" forces entered "Se-chwen" in three bodies, each in a different direction. As soon as the army passed the mountain of "Lû-pan" [this is

# one week-purchased 80,000 horses from Samrkand and

the Chinese name for the place where the Chingiz Khan diedl, "Po-li-cha," a great Tartar [Mughal] lord, was appointed to command the first body, Mu-ko, the Kā'ān's brother, the second, while "Mengko" commanded the third, and took the route of Han-chong-Fû in Shen-si. He was vigorously opposed by the troops of the Song in Se-chwen. "Mengko" now sent on, in advance, Nyew-lyen of the Chanchu tribe, whose father and grandfather were greatly renowned in the army, in the beginning of the year 1250-656 H.—to obtain He learnt that Ata-hû, the Mughal general in Ching-tû-Fû, was in great extremity, being invested on all sides by the Song. He, Nyew-lyen, having reached Ho-chew, resolved to succour Ata-hû, but his efforts were of no avail, for the Song took it, and Ata-hû died. Nyew-lyen, now grown desperate from want of success, posted himself between the Song army and Ching-tû, to which he immediately marched, and soon after succeeded in gaining possession of it. Finding that the Ka'an had reached Kang-chong-Fû, he left the city in charge of another officer, moved to Mahû, and sent forces to facilitate "Mengko's" passage of the river Kyan-lin by a bridge of boats. The other two bodies of troops having rejoined him, Long-gan-Fû was captured, and Lan-chew [now Pau-ning-Fû] surrendered. The general Hûlyang-hotay entered China, on his return from Gan-nan, by Tung-quin, moved forward to Quang-si, and seized Quey-lin-Fû, the capital of that province. The Chinese were surprised to find him penetrate as far as Chang-sha, a city of Hu-quang, which he invested in the beginning of 1259 A.D., equivalent to the first month of 657 H., which ended on December 16th of that year, and which

completely agrees with the year given in Guzidah.

On the first day of the same year, 1259 A.D.—the 28th of December began the year 657 H .-- "Mengko" reached the mountain Chong-quey, where the Chalar [Jala-ir], To-whan, affirmed that the war in Se-chwen would turn out unfortunate from heat and moisture, which would destroy the troops, and Pa-li-che, the Orla [Arlat], said that To-whan spoke thus advised a retreat. through fear, and advised the Ka'an to continue the campaign; so "Mengko" determined to continue the war, and to invest Ho-chew, which he accordingly did in February. Nyew-lyen advanced to co-operate with him, and constructed a bridge of boats near Fû-cheu-Fû, while another leader went and took up a position near Quey-chew, on the borders of Hû-quang. An attack was repulsed on Ho-chew in February, another in March, while, in April, there was thunder and rain for twenty days. One day, however, the Mughals succeeded in scaling the walls, and made great slaughter among the defenders, but they were finally repulsed. A Chinese general attacked the raft bridge. at Fû-cheu-Fû, and got to Kon-chin-Fû, eight leagues S.S.E. of Ho-chew, collected 1000 barques to ascend to Kya-ling [Kyan-lin?], but was attacked by a Mughal force, and pursued to Chong-king. The Mughals were, however, still much harassed by disease, want, and sallies. In July "Mengko" resolved to assault it with his best troops, and carry it at any cost. On the 10th of August the Kā'ān visited the works, and gave orders for scaling the walls the following night. The Mughals gained the top of the walls, but were repulsed with great slaughter, and even pursued. "Mengko," in desperation, now ordered a general assault, and went in person to direct it. A storm arose at the time, and during the attack several ladders were blown down. On this, a fearful carnage ensued; vast numbers of the Mughals perished, and among them was the Ka'an, whose body was found pierced with many wounds.

Bukhārā, and, adding them to those which they had purchased in Upper Turkistān, despatched them [to Mangū Khān's army].

They also related, that, after some time, the king of **Chin** brought such an immense army, as cannot come within the compass of number or computation, and, in the end, Mangū Khān, and his army, were overthrown, and reached a mountain [range] round about [nearly] the whole of which range was the sea, and morass; and, in that mountain [range], Mangū Khān, with the whole of the Mughal army, perished from famine.

The reign of Mangū Khān was nine years.

Thus fell "Mengko," at the age of fifty-two, after a reign of nine years. Such is the Chinese account, which is very different from that of the Mughals.

The second day, the corpse was removed to the urdū of Tuwāw-chin [تواوچس], who is also called Tānāw-chin [تاناوچس], and Tūrā-chin [تراچس], but all these names are more or less doubtful, of the tribe of Bāyāūt. She bore him a son. Serki, also written Sherki, previously alluded to.

On the third day it was conveyed to the *urdū* of <u>Ūghūl-Kūimish Khātūn</u>, the <u>Ūir-āt</u>, who had accompanied him on this expedition. She was of the family of his mother, Siūr-Kūkibi Bigi, and was a woman of strong mind and force of character. At first she had been betrothed to Mangū's father. She used to style Kubilāe and Hulākū, her husband's brothers, "farzands," or sons, and they paid her great respect. She bore no son, but had two daughters.

On the fourth day the corpse was taken to the *urdū* of Kasā [LL] **Kh**ātūn. She was of the tribe of Īljikiah or Īljikin, an offshoot of the Kungķūr-āts, and bore him a son named Asūtāe, previously mentioned.

The first and third Khātūns were free born: the two others were handmaids, but there were many others of lesser note. On each day, in each urdū, the coffin was placed upon a throne, and they made lamentation over the corpse. After the mourning ceremonies, the body of the Kā'ān was buried at the place called Būlkān or Būrkān—'1' and 'r' being interchangeable—Kāldūn, which is styled the Yakah Kurūk, that is to say, "the exclusively prohibited [spot]," at the side of the Chingiz Khān, and Tūlūi or Tūlī Khān, his grandfather and father.

Dur author has forgotten to notice, or would not notice, a remarkable

# May Almighty God prolong the reign of the present

matter concerning the Dihli kingdom, which happened in the reign of his patron, and during the reign of Mangu Kā'ān.

Early in 646 H., Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, the elder brother, it must be remembered, of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, who had hitherto been feudatory of Kinnauj, was made feudatory of Sanbhal and Budā'ūn, this last being one of the most important fiefs of the Dihlī empire, but, without proceeding thither, he became frightened at something which our author conceals, and fled, by way of Sihnur, towards Lohor [see pages 684 and 818]. His flight may have been caused through fear or suspicion of Ulugh Khān, in whose hands the whole power now centred, and who very shortly after married his daughter to the Sultān. What Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, subsequently did, or whither he went, is also made a profound mystery of. Lohor, too, is mentioned at this period in connexion with him, after its never being once mentioned since its capture and sack by the Mughals, in 639 H., when it was lost to Dihlī.

A few months after this Prince's flight, in the eighth month of the same year, we find the Sultan moving with his forces as far as the river Biah—which then flowed in its old bed—and his marching back again, but why he marched, and what his army did, is not mentioned anywhere by our author, but it was, without doubt, connected, in some way, with his brother's flight.

Again, in 650 H., the Sultan set out, intending to march to Uchchah and Multan [pages 692 and 825], but only reached the banks of the Biah when the Rayhani plot broke out [pages 693 and 826], and Ulugh Khan was banished to his fiefs. This was in 651 H. Nothing more is mentioned about Malik Jalāl-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, until 652 H., when we find him, in combination, with Ulugh Khan, and other Maliks, advancing towards the capital, in order, it would seem, merely to upset the Rayhani faction; and then our author says [page 830], that the Sultan's brother "came from the side of Lohor," but where he had been all this time, from 646 to 652 H., is not allowed to transpire. In another place [page 700], however, it seems that more than the upsetting of the Rayhanf faction was intended, for we are told that "a party of Amirs now interposed between the two personages"—the Sultan and his brother—and after, that "Lohor became the fief—not that the Sultān conferred it-of Malik Jalāl-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh." In another place [page 793], we are told that Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, who had left Hind and gone into Turkistan, to the urdu of Mangu Ka'an [see note 8, page 1198], returned with honour from thence, and went to Lohor, and joined the Prince [Jalal-ud-Din] there, but that disagreements arose between them, and the Prince "retired in disappointment, and his dependents and followers fell into the hands of Malik Sher Khan's train." From this time Jalal-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, disappears from the scene, and is heard of no more.

Fortunately a few others throw some light on what our author keeps so dark. Among them the Fanākatī says, that several of the great Mamlūks of the late Sultān, I-yal-timish, rebelled against his son, Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, and set up another in his place, as though he had been actually reigning. He says, in fact, that Raziyyat was set up by Ulugh Khān, but the date he afterwards gives, which is quite correct, shows that he has confused the names a little, and refers to Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, whom he afterwards mentions as having been set up by Ulugh Khān. Then he continues:—"Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn fled from Hind, and, in 651 H., presented himself in the urdū of

Sultan of Islam to the end of the existence of mankind, and preserve the Khān-i-A'zam, Ulugh Khān, in power and authority, to the end of the world! Amin.

VIII.-HULĀKŪ, SON OF TŪLĪ, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHĀN.

Hulākū7 is the brother of Mangū Khān, and Tūli [his

Mangū Ķā'ān, and Kutlugh Khān [this may be Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's step-father who afterwards caused such trouble, as he was in Hind up to near the close of 655 H.], and Sunkar [Sher Khān-i-Sunkar. He did leave his fief and retire into Turkistān at this very time, in 649—650 H. See pages 695 and 792], out of fear of Ulugh Khān, followed Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn. Mangū Kā'ān commanded that a befitting grant should be assigned to the latter, and a yarlīgh was issued to the Nū-yīn, Sālī, then in those parts [in the tracts on the Indua, and as far east as the Bīāh—the present Panj-āb], to aid him with his troops. Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn returned therefore, and he was permitted to take possession of the districts of Luhāwūr [Lahor], Kūchah [also written Kūjah——and always mentioned in connexion with Banīān. See pages 627 and 750], and Sūdharah, which parts were then subject to the Mughals, and thus he contented himself with a little out of much."

Rubruquis, curiously enough, confirms the above. He says that about the 15th of June, 1254 A.D.—about the fourth month of 652 H.—when the Kā'ān held a great assembly at Karā-Kuram, at which a number of ambassadors attended, he noticed the ambassador from the Sultān of India. This could be no other than Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, and his party, or Sher Khāni-Sunkar, for it is quite certain that no ambassador was ever sent from India by Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh. They brought with them, as a present, eight leopards, and ten hounds for coursing, which were taught to sit on the horses' buttocks. The same traveller also says he returned for six weeks the same road westward, along with this very ambassador, and then he struck off to the left—the east. It is a pity he has not mentioned the ambassador's name.

That this account is correct is without doubt, from what our author allows to escape him. Well might he say that Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas' ūd Shāh, "advanced from the side of Lohor," that "some of the Amīrs interposed between the two personages," and that "Lohor became his fief," The early history of the kingdom of Dihli has yet to be written. The history of a country is not to be rendered correctly from the accounts of a single author, or single extracts from two or three authors merely. See also pages 793, 862, and 863.

<sup>6</sup> I hope this is a sufficient proof to show that this work was written in Sultan Nāṣir-ud-Din's reign, and not in that of his successor, although, like much more, it is not contained in the Calcutta Printed Text.

I need not tell the Oriental scholar, who can read the letters of the Persian alphabet for himself, that the first letter of this name is simple المساكة المس

father] was the youngest of the Chingiz Khān's sons. When the Chingiz Khān crossed the Jiḥūn into Khurāsān, he despatched Tūli towards Nishāpūr, Hirāt, and Marw; and Tūli took all those cities, and destroyed them. Trustworthy persons related, that Tūli was a good-looking youth; and, when he returned from Khurāsān to Turkistān, he died, leaving four sons, as has been previously recorded.

When Mangū Khān, son of Tūli, ascended the throne, he despatched Hulākū into the countries of I-rān and 'Ajam, and assigned those territories to him; and the armies which were in 'Irāk, and the troops which were in Turkistān, Khatlān, Tāe-kān,' and Kunduz, and the forces which were in the territories of Ghūr, Khurāsān, Hirāt, and the Garmsir, were all directed to obey the command of Hulākū. On Hulākū's entering Khurāsān he chose Bādghais' as his head-quarters; and the Maliks of the different parts of 'Ajam presented themselves before him.

The Mughal army of Jurmāghūn, which was in 'Irāķ, was continually fighting and carrying on hostilities with the troops of the Lord of the Faithful, but, on no occasion, and in no wise, was it able to gain the superiority over the forces of the Khalifah's capital; and the infidels used continually to be defeated, more particularly in their attempts to take the city of Ṣafahān [Iṣfahān]. It occupied the infidels fifteen years before they were able to gain possession of that city. If the Kāzī of Ṣafahān had not attained

of various nationalities, whose meanings and words too may have been misunderstood, I must remark [for some one to explain to them] that to produce "Khulagu" the word must be written which no one has ever yet seen written—not even a Schiefner in "Mongol." Quartremère spells it Houlagu, and Von Hammer, Hulaku. How D'Ohsson may spell it I am unaware, as I have not seen his work, but, however it may be, the first two letters are hu, and not kh. See also "Mongols Proper," page 193.

He left eight sons, but Mangū, Kubilāe, Hulākū, and Artuk Būkā, were the best known among them.

• Tāe-ķān of Tukhāristān is undoubtedly meant here, which is in the same territory as Kunduz.

1 The Pro-Mughal writers say—as previously mentioned—that he was obliged to remain all the winter of 652 H. in the district or territory of Shiwarghān, a tract of country then in a much more flourishing condition than now. Bādghais too was a flourishing district, and within a short distance of Hirāt and its fruitful and formerly populous, but recently devastated, territory, of which it was, and is, a dependency. Our author's statement here is the more likely of the two.

martyrdom, the infidels would not have found it possible to take that place, for the army of Jurmāghūn, and the Nū-yin, Khainā,² for a period of fifteen years, continued to carry on hostilities, and to wage war before the gate of that city and its environs. During this entire period of time, the people of Ṣafahān kept the city gates open, so that, night and day, the gates used not to be closed; and, through the great valour and spirit of the holy warriors of Ṣafahān, the Mughals did not have the power of entering the city, until a party of powerful renegades seduced an individual from the right path to assassinate the Ķāzī, saying: "It is necessary to kill the Ķāzī because the trouble and annoyance of defending the city is caused by him." After they had martyred the Ķāzī the city was taken.

When, in the year 655 H., the Amṛr-i-'Alam [Lord of the Standard] of the rightful <u>Kh</u>alifah, Al-Musta'ṣim B'illah, the Lord of the Faithful—God reward him !—whose name was Sulimān <u>Sh</u>āh, the Aiyūbi Turk-mān—on whom be the mercy of the Almighty!—entered 'Irāķ with the troops of the <u>Kh</u>ilāfat, he defeated the Mughal forces which were in the territory of Azarbāijān, and in 'Irāķ, and sent great numbers of Mughals to hell, so that the Mughal troops were unable to stand before Sulimān <u>Sh</u>āh, and the forces of the <u>Kh</u>alifah's dominions. They [the Mughals]

This name does not occur in the other works I have been quoting. It is written in several different ways—غيا سنانون سات

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Lord of the Standard, equivalent to the Gonfalonier under the Popes, in the middle ages. The Amīr-i-'Alam commanded the troops of the Khilāfat.

<sup>4</sup> The text, in every instance, has المتم — Al-Mu'taşim—and in several other works, including the Rauzat-uş-Şafā, the name is thus written, but the majority of others have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> After Hulākū had finished with the Ismā'ilis in the Kuhistān and Alamūt, he set out towards Lanbah-Sar, but, finding it was not likely to be soon taken, left a considerable force to invest it, under Tā-ir Būkā, and marched towards Kazwīn, whither his and other families had been sent, and encamped within seven leagues of it, on the 27th of Zi-Ḥijjah, 654 H. Subsequently, in Rabī'ul-Awwal, 655 H., he moved from the vicinity of Kazwīn, and marched to Hamadān, where the Nū-yīn, Tānjū, the Baisūt, from Āzarbāijān, presented

despatched swift messengers to Hulākū, in Khurāsān, and sought aid from him. Hulākū got ready the forces of Khurāsān, both Mughal and others, and determined to march into 'Irāk, and set out towards it.

# ACCOUNT OF THE FALL OF THE CAPITAL OF THE KHILĀFAT.

When Hulākū set out towards 'Irāk, the Malik of Mauşil, whom they were wont to style Badr-ud-Dīn-i-Lū-lū —on whom be the Almighty's curse!—had consented to receive a Mughal Shaḥnah [Intendant]. The Atā-bak, Abū-Bikr, son of Sa'd, ruler of Fārs, likewise had a Shaḥnah, and had agreed to pay tribute to the Mughals;

himself. Hulākū was not well disposed towards that great leader, on account of some reflection he had made, and he had therefore summoned Tānjū to his presence. He said to him: "Since thou hast been occupying the place of Jurmaghun, what hast thou done? what ranks hast thou broken? what rebel hast thou reduced to submission? and what enemy hast thou made a friend?" Tănjū bent the knee, and replied: "During this period of time I have committed no fault, and what was in the power of my hand to do, in that I have not been sparing of myself. Among other things I have taken a certain fortress and a certain town, and cleared all the tracts between Rai and Rum and Sham; but, in consequence of the difficulty of the road to Baghdad, and the great number of the troops of the Khilafat, in the neighbourhood of that city, the Mughal troops have been guarded from disaster; and now the sovereign has the option and power of commanding whatever he may please." The fire of Hulākū's ire cooled on his hearing these words, and he said to Tănjū: "It behoveth thee to proceed towards the frontiers of Shām and Rūm. and that thou shouldst subdue them, as far even as the sea of Maghrib [some say, to the sea of Yūnān]." Tānjū accepted this task; and, the very same day, set out towards Rum, into which he carried slaughter and devastation. Farther on it is stated that he was recalled, and, while on the march, directed to move against Baghdad. Early in Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 655 H .-April, 1257 A.D.—Hulākū prepared to invade 'Irāķ-i-'Arab, and attack Baghdad. He first turned towards Tabriz, and reached it on the 12th of Rajab. Having remained there one month and a half, during which the Mughals carried fire and sword into Kurdistan, he again turned towards Hamadan, which—the neighbourhood of which—he reached on the 10th of Ramazan. His camp was formed in the open country about Hamadan, near to Khanahābād, "which is a verdant plain of Kurdistān," and there he began to get ready his forces for the coming struggle. It was from this place that he despatched his envoys with an insolent message to the Khalifah, which will be referred to farther on.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Amir Badr-ud-Din, Abū-l-Fazāil-i-Lūlū, who was originally an Armani [Armenian] slave.

<sup>7</sup> See page 180.

and from both of these rulers bodies of cavalry arrived to the assistance of the infidel army. The infidel forces gathered around Hulākū-in 'Irāķ, and turned their faces towards Baghdād.

The Lord of the Faithful, Al-Musta'şim B'illah, had a Wazir, a rāfizī [a shī'ah heretic] of bad religion, and his name was Aḥmad, the 'Alkamī.' Between him, the Wazīr, and the eldest son of the Lord of the Faithful, who

"'Alkamah is the name of a city in Afrikah, or Mauritania, and the name of a man. It is also the coloquintida, and is used to signify anything very bitter. 'Alkami here refers to a native of 'Alkamah.

Mu'ayyid-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of 'Abd-ul-Malik, the 'Alkami, at the time of Al-Musta'şim's accession to the Khilāfat, held the office of Ustād-l-Dār, and was suspected, by many, but not by the Khalifah himself, of being much against his accession, and of wishing to have set up the Khalifah's brother instead. In 642 H., the Wazir, Nāṣir-ud-Din, Muhammad, a very aged man, was removed from office, and Ibn 'Alkami was installed as his successor, a most unfortunate act, and the chief cause of the downfall of the Khilāfat.

The new Wazir was an eloquent man, of vast attainments, and who, in the composition of poetry and prose, had no equal. He was generous and liberal, and clever in the administration of state affairs. In this office he had no partner or associate, and the government was under his entire control; but he was a Shi'ah in faith, and thus an enemy to the house of 'Abbas. He did not consider that the other officials of the Court paid him that respect and attention which he thought he was entitled to; and, by inuendo, they were wont to reproach and rail at him as a heretic. The Khalifah was cognizant of all this, and used to prohibit them from behaving in such a way towards the Wazir: nevertheless, he nourished in his heart hatred towards the Khalifah, his family, and the rest of his Court; but he took good care to conceal it so that not a soul suspected what was contained within his heart. Matters went on in this manner until the eldest son [by some writers, the brother] of the Khalifah, the Amir, Abū-Bikr, who, through his father's weakness of character, had been permitted to assume to himself the protectorship of the orthodox Sunnis, with more zeal than was perhaps advisable, despatched a body of troops to the suburb of Karkh of Baghdad, which was known as the mahallah of the Shi'ah's of the Ahl-i-Bait, to quell a serious disturbance which had broken out there between the Shi'ahs and Sunnis, the Shi'ahs having killed a number of the Bani Hāshim dwelling in the same suburb. In doing this, the Amir, Abū-Bikr, was severe, and allowed his men to treat the Shi'ah women as though they were the women of infidels captured in war, carrying them seated before them on their horses, through the bazars of Baghdad, bare-headed and bare-footed. When the Wazir became aware of this, the bridle of his heart's secret nearly escaped his grasp, and, in his rage, he vowed within himself, that, even if he perished in the attempt, he would wreak revenge upon all Sunnis for this act of the Khalifah's son.

Day and night he pondered the matter in his mind, and communed within himself, and deliberated how best he could bring about the destruction of his benefactor, his family, and the Sunni people. At this time he discovered that

# was named Amir Abū-Bikr, enmity had arisen on account

Hulākū Khān, after having completed the downfall of the Mulāhidahs, had been commissioned to invade 'Irāķ-i-'Arab, and reduce the Khalifah. Considering this a great piece of good luck, the Wazir resolved to profit by it. He forthwith set to work to render the design of the Mughals successful, and enable them to gain possession of Baghdād without trouble and without delay, by opening communication with Hulākū, and giving him all the information he could.

He accordingly represented to the Khalifah saying: "Thank God, the Lord of the Faithful this day is at peace with all the different rulers. All of them are loyal and subservient to him; and, at all times, they pray for his prosperity and security, and in no way desire to encounter the forces of the Khilāfat. In truth, the Khalifah is without rival and without adversary. Now it seems contrary to forethought and prudence, under these circumstances, that such an expenditure should go on every year from the treasury for the payment of so many troops; and, if the Lord of the Faithful will permit, I will despatch the various officers of the troops to different localities in the Khalifah's dominions on civil duties, and the troops may be disbanded, whereby a great advantage will accrue to the finances, and a vast deal of treasure be saved." This sounds like modern stump-oratory.

At this time, 124,000 efficient cavalry were kept up by the Khalifah, and paid out of his treasury, without counting the contingents of the vassals of the Khilāfat; and the unfortunate Khalifah, in his love of wealth, considering all this was for his advantage, permitted the traitor to carry out his scheme. After a short time this was completed, and the capital almost denuded of troops. The traitor now communicated again with Hulaku, and despatched an agent secretly, expressing his sincerity and loyalty, and urging upon him that he should, on no account, give up the attempt to subdue 'Irāķ-i-'Arab, and capture Baghdad, which could easily be accomplished, and that his services were at his entire disposal. Notwithstanding the proofs and arguments he gave in his communication, Hulaku, for some time, did not place much faith in the traitor's words, but, when Ibn 'Alkami continued to send communications, and to importune him on the subject, Hulākū consulted with another traitor—the Khwajah, Naşir-ud-Din, the Tusi, who had, by this time, gained complete ascendancy over him, and a high position in Hulaku's confidence and service. He, being one of the great 'Ulama of the Shi'ah sect, and having his own private revenge to satisfy by the downfall of the 'Abbasis, entered into the conspiracy with zeal, especially after Ibn 'Alkami had communicated direct with himself also. The Khwajah pretended that it was necessary to consult the aspect of the stars before determining on the campaign, and this he was directed by Hulākū to do. His report may be imagined: he stated that he had carefully carried out his observations, that the result was favourable, and that it was predicted that the time was at hand for the Khalifah, Musta'şim, to be made captive, and that Baghdad and 'Irak-i-'Arab would be subdued by his servants, without much trouble or difficulty.

Hulākū's first move was to despatch his envoys to the Court of the Khalifah with an insolent and arrogant message, on the 10th of Ramazān, 655 H., upbraiding him for not having rendered aid, which he accused the Khalifah of having promised, in the operations against the Mulāḥidahs, and of falsehood in consequence. His insolence was, no doubt, the greater, knowing that the Khalifah's own Wazīr was his friend and ally. The threats of the barbarian

#### of the despoiling of the rafizes who inhabited Karkh, and

and his demands were, among other matters, that the Khalifah should beware of manifesting impotent rage, and should neither strike his fist against an iron spike, nor attempt to plaster over the sun with mud mortar, otherwise he would deeply regret it, and sovereignty would turn away its face from him. "Our advice is." he said. "that thou shouldst demolish the defences of Baghdad, fill up the ditch, make over the direction of state affairs to thy son, and present thyself before us, in order that thou mayest dwell in safety from the wrath of God. If thou art not coming thyself, send thy Wazir, the Sar Dawat-Dar, and Suliman Shah—the two latter, especially the last, were the mainstay of the state, and chief obstacle in the traitor's way-in order that our messages, without detriment or addition, may reach thee; for, if thou dost not give thine ear to our friendly exhortations and advice, get thy forces ready, and prepare for war; for we have girded up our loins to fight with thee, and are ready. Further understand, that, when we shall reach Baghdad, whether thou art in the heavens above or in the earth beneath, they will bring to thee our preremptory command, which is like unto fate's."

The Khalifah's reply, transmitted through his own envoys, a mixture of admonition, boasting, and defiance, concluded: "Listen, young man, therefore, to the admonition we have given thee, and retain it in thy mind; and go back again whence thou camest, otherwise prepare for war and come." The Mughal envoys, on their return, were met outside the city by a great mob, who insulted and reviled them, and even spat upon them, in hopes that the Mughal envoys might do or say something which they might turn into a pretext for laying violent hands upon them. Here again was an opportunity for the Wazir: hearing of the disturbance, he, at once, despatched a body of his household slaves to guard the envoys, and conduct them safely out of the danger; and they, on their return to Hulākū's camp, related all the good offices of the Wazir on the occasion.

The Khalifah's envoys, on the other hand, were angrily dismissed, with fresh threats, from the halting-place of Panj-Angusht; and their report of what they had seen and heard made the Khalifah feel anxious and downhearted. He consulted with his Wazir, whose traitorous conduct was, of course, wholly unknown to him. He advised that the Khalifah should make use of the great wealth he possessed, and endeavour, by means of it, to ward off this calamity, and that liberal presents should be sent. For the Khān, a thousand bales of the finest fabrics, such as silk, fine linen, cloth, and other valuable wares and commodities, a thousand bakht? [hairy, double-humped] camels, and a thousand fine 'Arab horses, caparisoned befittingly; and, for the Mughal Shāh-zādahs and great Amīrs, presents suitable to their rank and degrees.

The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh puts a piece upon this, in order to flatter his Mughal patron no doubt, and says that the Wazīr—who, according to his account, was an innocent lamb—advised that the Khalifah, his master, "should make apologies" to the barbarian, Hulākū, "insert his name in the Khutbah, and stamp the coin with his name;" that the Khalifah was willing to do this, but that Mujāhid-ud-Dīn, and others, with whom the author of that work associates all the knaves and vagabonds of Baghdād, "out of animosity to the Wazīr, prevented it." That city, however, is not the only place where traitors have taxed patriots, who would not sacrifice "their countries' interests," with owing their influence to the support of knaves and villains, which terms were, at the same time, alone applicable to themselves.

the Mash-had of Mūsā-i-Ja'far—God reward him!—and the son of the Lord of the Faithful, the Amīr, Abū-Bikr, had slain some of them, and despoiled them. Out of revenge for this, the Wazīr of the Khalifah's Court, who was a rāfizī of bad religion, showed hostility towards the Lord of the Faithful; and, in secret, and clandestinely, he wrote a letter to Hulākū, and entered into collusion with him, and besought the infidels to advance. The Kurd troops, and forces of 'Irāk,' by way of dismissing them, he [the Wazīr] sent away from Baghdād, in different directions, and represented on this wise to the Lord of the Faithful, saying:

—"A peace has been entered into with the infidels, and we have no need of troops." After Baghdād became denuded of troops, suddenly, the infidel Mughals arrived in its environs.

They had taken forcible possession of a bridge [of boats] from the Malik of Mauşil, and fixed it so as to command Baghdād, and then passed the Dijlah [Tigris]. The fortress [fortified town] of Takrit [above Baghdād] was an

At first, the Khalifah was inclined to follow the Wazir's advice, and gave him instructions to prepare the presents, but, had they been sent, no good result would have arisen. The Sar Dawat-Dar [Head or Chief Ink-bearer, or Chief Secretary, as he may be called, and, by some writers, styled the Dawat-Dar-i-Küchak, or Under-Ink-bearer, or Secretary], Mujāhid-ud-Din, I-bak, was hostile to the Wazir, Ibn 'Alkami-he knew the Wazir was a traitor-and represented to the Khalifah, saying: "Between the Wazir and the Khwajah. Nasir-ud-Din, Tusi, the chief adviser of Hulaku, the most perfect understanding exists; and he, in consequence of identity of religious belief, always desired the ruin of the house of 'Abbas. Now the Wazir wishes, for his own purposes. to make it appear to Hulaku that he is, personally, loyally inclined towards bim, and so he gives this advice, and also in order to cast the other Amirs, and the soldiers of the Khilafat, into trouble and calamity." A number of other officials, who were not well inclined towards Ibn 'Alkami, also supported the Sar Dawat-Dar in this view; and they influenced the Khalifah against adopting the Wazīr's advice. The Sar Dāwat-Dār further advised that the disbanded troops should be forthwith recalled and concentrated, and the defences of the city made secure. It was now too late, however; and the weak and unfortunate Khalifah was still unconvinced of the diabolic wickedness

Mash-had—a tomb, a place of martyrdom. The city in Khurāsān, which appears in the maps under the meaningless name of *Meshed*, is the Mash-had of another of the Muhammadan saints.

I The Calcutta Printed Text invariably turns the Kurds into and here, instead of the Kurd troops and forces of 'Irāk, we have "lashkar-hāe gird bar [which is redundant] gird-i-'Irāk—the forces which were round about 'Irāk."

excessively strong place; and the holy-warriors of Takrit issued forth, and set fire to the bridge of boats, but, the following day, the Mughals again repaired the bridge, and martyred the Musalmāns.<sup>2</sup>

The son of the Lord of the Faithful, Amir Abū-Bikr, and the Amir-i-'Alam [Lord of the Standard] of the Khilāfat, Sulimān Shāh, the Aiyūbī Turk-mān—who for a period of thirty years had wielded the sword against the Mughal infidels, and had achieved many holy expeditions [against them], as by the canons of the faith enjoined—these two [personages], in concert, on several occasions, had attacked the infidels, and overthrew the Mughal troops. On the first occasion, they drove the Mughals from the environs of Baghdād, and pursued them as far as Ṣafahān [Iṣfahān], and despatched many of the infidel army to hell. This Amīr-i-'Alam of the Khilāfat, Sulimān Shāh,

<sup>2</sup> Part of the garrison of Takrit: the fighting men of the city and fortress. This affair is again referred to farther on.

3 This is quite true, notwithstanding the note by the learned Sub-Editors of the Calcutta Printed Text, noticed at page 711. On this subject the Tārikhi-Alfi, Rauzat-uṣ-Ṣafā, and others, say that it is quite correct, for, in the beginning of the reign of Ūktāe Kā'ān, Jurmāghūn, who was one of the Mughal Shaiṭāns [Devils], twice attempted to push on to Baghdād, and, on both occasions, was defeated, and his Mughals fled before the 'Arab, Kurd, and Turk, troops of the Khilāfat. On this account the Mughal soldiery did not evince much alacrity or valour in fighting against the Baghdādis, and were really afraid of them—in truth, it appears that, on all occasions, when energetically opposed by the Musalmāns, and sedition did not help them, and where their stratagems and treachery were not successful, the Mughals were beaten by anything like equal numbers; but the Musalmāns, unfortunately for them, were a divided people; and, when the people of one religion, or of one country, are divided in their counsels, nothing but evil and ruin can ensue.

The fact that the Mughals did not, at the period in question, very eagerly desire to encounter the Khalifah's forces, may be gathered from the reply of the Nū-yīn, Tānjū, to Hulākū, when he demanded of him what he had effected since he had succeeded Jurmāghūn in his command, previously narrated. At that time, the Khalifah used to keep up a large force of efficient cavalry, at, and around his capital, and these the traitor Wazīr managed to disband and disperse to their homes.

It was on account of the success hitherto of the Baghdad troops that Hulakū found it was necessary, for Mughal prestige, or even for their safety, to attempt the conquest of 'Irāk-i-'Arab, but it is probable he would not have attempted it so soon, had not the traitor entered into secret communication with him, and made known his plans; for, previous to these communications, Hulakū is said to have been in some anxiety respecting the upshot of a campaign in that quarter.

the Aiyūbi, was a Malik of the tribes of the Anboh, and they are a sept of the Turk-māns, and exceedingly spirited and warlike; and the left wing of the Khalifah's forces was their post. During a period of thirty years, from the time of Jurmāghūn's [first] entering 'Irāķ, up to this period, he [Sulimān Shāh] was wont to engage in conflict like as Rustam-i-Dastān had done in the age of ignorance—the Almighty be gracious to him!—and 'Alti-i-Murtaṣā in the [early?] days of the true faith—May God reward him!

Hulākū, having been overthrown the first time, on the second occasion gathered together troops from all Khurāsān and 'Irāķ, both horse and foot, consisting of infidels, renegade Amīrs, and captives; and, at the solicitation of the rāfizī Wazīr—God's curse upon him!—turned his face towards Baghdād. That accursed rāfizī minister, since he entertained in his heart and disposition treason and apostacy, had dispensed with the Kurd forces which were in the Madīnat-ul-Islām, Baghdād; and the Christians likewise, in secret, having taken measures with Hulākū, had written letters to him, and had solicited the

- 4 The best copies of the text have liquid as above, others liquid las above, others liquid las kaşbah of the first name, on the top of a mountain range, a dependence of Dilam, in Gilān. It is probable that the Anboh tribe of Turk-māns were in some way connected with the 'Usmanli Turks, and then, as now, a stumbling-block in the path of hordes of northern barbarians.
  - In the year 628 H. See page 1115, and note 5, para. 5.
  - 6 See note 7, page 422.
- 7 This is a mistake: Hulākū had not been overthrown, because he had never encountered the Baghdād forces, but the other Mughal leaders had, Jurmāghūn in particular, as already noticed. He may mean some portion of Hulākū's force.
- Infidels refer to the Mughals, but there were contingents in Hulākū's army which the Muḥammadan subject states had to furnish—contingents from Kirmān, from Abū-Bikr, the Atā-Bak of Fārs, from Mauşil—Badr-ud-Dīn, Lūlū, its ruler, is said by some to have joined his camp at this time—and the troops of other subordinate rulers. Captives refer to those prisoners pressed into the Mughal service.
- Our author uses the word Tarsā, which is generally applied to the Christians, but it likewise signifies an unbeliever, an infidel, a Gabr, a worshipper of fire, a pagan. None of the authors I have been quoting accuse the Christians of any hand in the treason, and the only time in which they are indirectly mentioned by a few of them is, when the Patriarch of the Nestorians, as one of the Khalifah's envoys, proceeded to the Mughal camp to seek for peace.

appearance of the infidel hosts. The Maliks and slaves of the Khalifah, who have been [styled] Sultans, had become aware of the Wazir's machinations, and once had shown to the Khalifah a letter which the Wazir had written to Hulākū, and they denounced the nature of his designs. The reason was this, that between the Wazir and the Sar Dawat-dar [Chief Secretary], Sultan Mujahidud-Din, I-bak, there was dissension and enmity, and he [the Sar Dawat-dar] was cognizant of the hostility of the Wazir towards the Khalifah's son, Amir Abū-Bikr, on account of his slaying the rafizis [previously mentioned]; and this fact he was wont to bring to the blessed hearing of the Khalifah. When the Wazir became aware of the purpose of the Sar Dawat-dar, he represented to the Khalifah in this way, saying: "The Sar Dawat-dar desires to remove thee from the Khilafat and to raise Amir Abū-Bikr to that position;" and, as the Lord of the

1 The word Sultan here does not mean a sovereign prince: it is a mere title given by the Khalifahs to great vassals, and to governors of provinces. and some of the household slaves, under the last Khalifahs. After Burāk, the Hajib, had murdered his master and benefactor, sent his head to the Mughals, and possessed himself of Kirman, as usual with upstarts, he wanted a title, so tendered his allegiance to the Khalifah as well as the Mughal ruler, and solicited the title of Sultan from the former. The reply he received was, that it was not usual, with the Khalifahs, to grant that title, except to a Bādshāh, or a vassal who entertained not less than 30,000 efficient cavalry in his own immediate pay. Subsequently, however, Burāk obtained it. BARON DE Torr, in his work, which contains much useful information on the Turks, Tattars, and Mughals, says, with respect to its application in recent times, that the word Sultan is only used as a title of birth appropriated to the Ottoman Princes born on the throne, and to those of the Chingiz Khān's family, in the same way as Mirzā is applied to the house of Timūr. See note to page 898, where Iridam-chi or Iradam-chi, the equivalent of Mirza, is referred to. and the reason for this title is explained.

<sup>2</sup> This was a mere ruse on the part of the traitor Wazir.

I wrote the whole of these notes before going through this portion of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, because I suspected, from what I knew of other portions of "the great Raschid's" History, and from its being dedicated to Hulākū's great grandson, that the events respecting Baghdād, and the fall of the Khilāfat, would not be fauthfully related; and I am not mistaken in my suspicions. There is not a word—not a hint even—about the notorious treason of the Wazīr, and he is made to appear a very lamb-like and innocent person, while a loyal servant of the Khalīfah, like the Sar Dawāt-Dār, is made out to be a traitor, who, surrounded by a considerable force, consisting of all the knaves, and villains, and scum of Baghdād, whom he is said to have taken into his pay, intended to dethrone the Khalīfah, and set up another member of the

Faithful had become aware of the endeavours on both sides, he used not to pay any attention to the words of either party in their efforts against each other.

When therefore the Maliks laid before the Khalifah the letters which the Wazīr had written to Hulākū, he replied: "These must be the doings of I-bak, the Dawāt-dār: besides, the Wazīr would not act in this way." The Maliks were disheartened at this reply, until, when Hulākū had arrived within ten kurok [about twenty miles] of Baghdād, Sulīmān Shāh, the Amīr-i-'Alam, and Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, son of Fatḥ-ud-Dīn, the Kurd, who was the champion of the Dār-ul-Khilāfat, and who led the right

house of 'Abbās, which plot the innocent Wazīr having discovered made known to the Khalīſah! The writer then, unintentionally perhaps, lets the cat out of the bag. He says the Sar Dawāt-Dār was sent for, taxed with the crime, and admonished, but he replied: "If any crime shall be proved against thy slave, here is his head, and here is a sword, but it is the Wazīr who is a traitor, who has been in constant communication with Hulākū, whose spies are continually passing to and fro, and, in order to lead us away from his own treason and screen himself, has falsely accused me." This statement, in the eyes of Rashīd-ud-Dīn, is a proof of the Sar Dawāt-Dār's wickedness!

Rashid-ud-Din then goes on to assert that the Sar Dawāt-Dār still continued to entertain his army of knaves and villains, and the Khalifah, being afraid of him, gave orders to assemble troops to put him down! Then he tells us that the affair was peaceably settled, and that "the Dawāt-Dār's name was inserted in the Khutbah next after that of the Khalifah, which statement I should not credit if all the "great Raschids" under the sun had said so. He is careful not to mention the Wazīr's letters to Hulākū: these proceedings are kept close, as well as the constant communication by other means, and the dispersion, by that traitor, of the Khalifah's forces. Our author shows what the facts were, as to the so-called plot to dethrone the Khalifah, as known in his day, and he is a contemporary writer.

The meaning of the Perso-'Arabic word Dawāt-Dār has been already explained: its literal signification is bearer of the ink-case, which contains ink, pens, and seals, but what a "little Devatvar" may be among "Mongols Proper," who can tell?

The garbled accounts of these events show, that, however learned and talented he was, Rashid-ud-Din's statements, where his Mughal patrons and his own interests are concerned, are not to be trusted; and dishonesty in an author, when apparent, ought to be pointed out. He was a Wazir too, and had plotted against a rival who was put to death, and was himself put to a most cruel death, by Abū Sa'id, the great great grandson of the very Mughal Prince whose perfidy and barbarity he glosses over, and whose success was chiefly, if not wholly, owing to the aid he received from the arch-traitor Ibn 'Alkami, the Wazir of the unfortunate Khalifah. Rashid-ud-Din was accused of having administered poison to Üljältū Sultān, and it is very probable that he was a Shi'ah as well as the traitor Ibn 'Alkami, and Naṣir-ud-Din, the Tūsi, and hence his concealment of facts.

wing of the Khalifah's troops, held counsel upon the state of affairs with Sultan Mujahid-ud-Din. I-bak, the Sar Dawat-dar, the Mustansiri, saving: "Matters have gone too far, a potent enemy is close at hand, and an adverse Wazir has plotted with the foe. It is necessary that it be communicated to the Lord of the Faithful in order that he may devise some expedient to repel the infidels." Mujāhid-ud-Din, I-bak, replied: "I have said everything that was possible on this subject, but it has made no impression upon the blessed ear of the Khalifah. I can do no more than to request permission for a private audience for vou. Do you make a representation to the effect [you have mentioned]." Malik Suliman Shah, the Aiyubi Turk-man, and Malik 'Izz-ud-Din. son of Fath-ud-Din, the Kurd, represented to the Khalifah the arrival of the enemy, and solicited that means might be devised for his repulsion. The Khalifah replied: "The Wazir hath been spoken to: it behoveth ye to request a reply from him." Both withdrew from the audience-hall of the Khilafat despairing.

The Nū-in, Tājū [Tānjū], with 80,000 infidel cavalry, from the side of Ārān and Āzarbāijān, obtained a bridge [of boats] belonging to the Malik of Mauşil·[Badr-ud-Din-i-Lūlū], and, in order to command Baghdād, fixed it near to Takrit. The holy-warriors of Takrit sallied out of the town and fortress, and entirely consumed the bridge constructed by the Mughals, and despatched great numbers of the infidels to hell, while a few Musalmāns attained martyrdom. The following day, the Mughals repaired the bridge, as has been previously recorded, passed over,

<sup>3</sup> That is to say he had held the office during the Khilasat of Al-Mustanşir B'illah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Written Tājū in one of the oldest and best copies of the text, and in others, as previously noticed, Bājū, Bājūn, Nājū, Bākhū, and Mājūn, but as to the correctness of Tānjū there is no doubt whatever. In his account of the Saljūks of Rūm our author, or his copyists rather, also style him Tājū. See page 162.

How could it be repaired, if totally or entirely burnt?

Here the Printed Text, as well as the I. O. L. MS., No. 1952, and the Ro. As. Soc. MS. have بكذبك "one another"—instead of "they passed over or crossed," and make, as may be imagined, an unintelligible jumble of the sentence. The Printed Text also has Dijlah for Hillah—a river for a town! The town lies on the west bank of the Dijlah, facing the supposed site of ancient Babylon.

and pushed on towards Kūfah, Ḥillah, and Karkh, and martyred the people. Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, son of Fath-ud-Dīn, the Kurd, and Mujāhid-ud-Dīn, the Sar-Dawātī, with 20,000 horse from Baghdād, crossed the Dijlah [Tigris], and summoned all the men of Karkh and other towns to aid them, and fought a battle with the infidel army. As the forces of Islām contained a great number of infantry,' they stood firm, and received the attack of the infidels, fought valiantly, and forced them back. The army of the infidel Mughals sustained an overthrow, and great numbers of them went to hell. Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, son of Fath-

- 7 The Hamilton MS. of the Text abruptly ends here, and contains no more than when and where the MS. was copied. It is minus just twenty-six pages. I notice it again in my Preface.
- The Pro-Mughal writers materially differ with respect to some of these events, but, with others, their statements tend to illustrate what our author says, and make his accounts stand out more clearly, and therefore I must give a few extracts.

Having dismissed the Khalifah's envoys, who brought the reply to his insolent message to the Khalifah, from his camp at Pani-Angusht, Hulākū's next move was to get possession of the fortresses in the difficult and mountainous tract between Hamadan and the Siwad of Baghdad. He accordingly began to enter into communication with another traitor, on a smaller scale than the Wazir, whose name was Husam-ud-Din, 'Akah, the Hakim of the fortress of Dar-i-Tang, which, from its name—the difficult or narrow passage -refers to some fort guarding a pass leading into the plain of Baghdad. had some cause for discontent against the Khalifah's government, and at once complied with Hulākū's summons to attend him. Husām-ud-Din was received with distinction, and many favours were conferred upon him, including the strongholds of Wurudah-Dujz and Marah-Dujz, and several other forts, which did not belong to the Mughals to give. He was allowed to return to Dar-i-Tang, where he had left his son, the Amir-i-Sa'd, in command; and, at once. proceeded to send bodies of his retainers to obtain possession of the forts in question, and put garrisons of his own in them. The forts appear to have belonged to the Amir-i-'Alam, Suliman Shah, because Husam-ud-Din is said "to have gathered about him the soldiers of Suliman Shah, and in that way hopes, long nourished, were fulfilled." Husam-ud-Din, having now effected his purpose, asked the Hakim of Arbil, Ibn Şalayah, the 'Alawi, to make his peace with Baghdad and the Khalifah's diwan. He stated that he had been proof against all Hulākū's offers; and that, if the Khalifah "would make his heart strong by encouragement, and would detach a body of cavalry to support him, he would raise a force of 100,000 infantry among the Kurds and Turkmans around, occupy all the routes in front of Hulaku, and prevent a single Mughal from approaching Baghdad." The Wazir is said to have acquainted the Khalifah with this offer, but no further notice was taken of it. It would not have suited Ibn 'Alkami's plans, and, therefore, he doubtless was the cause of the offer not being accepted. He, without doubt, communicated it to Hulāku, for the latter, soon after, despatched the Nu-yin, Kaibuka, with

ud-Din, the Kurd, earnestly urged the pursuit of the Mughals, saying: "It is requisite to pursue the routed

30,000 men, against Husam-ud-Din, and had recourse to the usual perfidious measures in order to get him into his power. Kaibūkū sent a message to Husām-ud-Din, saying that he was on his way towards Baghdad, that expedition having been determined upon, and that Husam-ud-Din's presence was required in order to consult with him. Unaware of the snare laid for him, Husam-ud-Din fell into it. After Kaibūkā had got him into his camp, he told him, "in order to show his sincerity," to muster all his family, dependents, and retainers, from the forts and elsewhere, so that they might be enumerated, and the amount of revenue, to be paid for them, fixed. Still did Husam-ud-Din comply, unaware that Hulākū knew all. His family, dependents, and soldiers, with the exception of such as were in some of the forts with his son, being secured, Husām-ud-Din, now that it was too late, found that his secret was known: and he gave up all hope of life. He was further called upon to give orders for the fortresses to be destroyed, as "an undoubted proof of his loyalty," and, being hopeless, he complied, after which, he and the whole of his people were massacred, with the exception of those with his son. Kaibūkā returned triumphant to his master's camp. The Amir-i-Sa'd, Husam-ud-Din's son. refused to give up the forts in his possession, and held them for some time. At last, he evacuated them, and retired to Baghdad. He was received with much fayour, and subsequently was killed in defending the city against the Mughals.

This feat accomplished, Hulākū, after he had been again in communication with the traitor Wazir, and with the Khwajah, Naşir-ud-Din, the Tusi, at his elbow-I have not space for all the prophecies of the Court Astrologer, Husām-ud-Din, of calamities to happen, in case of attacking Badghad. but the Tusi was in favour of advancing, and the Mughal augurs and astrologers declared all portents favourable for it-gave orders to make preparations for the campaign, and the Bahādur, Sūnjāk, was directed to cross the Dijlah, to the northward of Baghdad, in order to effect a junction with the Nu-yin Tanju, who, as already mentioned, with the Amirs and troops previously under Jurmāghūn's command, was marching, on the right hand, through Āzarbāfiān. for the purpose of invading the territories of Sham, Halab, and Rum, but whose march had been stopped, and he had been directed to turn to his left, move by way of Arbil and Mausil, where there was a bridge, and effect a junction with Sunjak. The Jami'-ut-Tawarikh says Tanju's yūrat, at that time, was in Rum, and that he had lately defeated the Saljuk Sultan at Koshah-Dāgh, but this is somewhat different from the statements of others already briefly mentioned.

The Shāh-zādahs, Bulghān or Bulghā, Tūtār, and Kūlī, all grandsons of Jūjī Khān, and Būkā Timūr's forces, were also directed to advance on the right, from the district of 'Abbās-ābād [west of Hamadān: in some Histories, Asadābād], and join Sūnjāk. These junctions having been effected, this combined force was to approach Baghdād from the west, through the tract afterwards called the "Garīwah of Sūntāe, the Nū-yīn." The Nū-yīn, Kaibūkā, Ķadsūn, and Ilkāe, or Ilkān, as he is also named, were to move towards Baghdād through Khūzistān, and approached it from the south-east, while Hulākū, himself, with the centre, advanced towards the city from the eastward, by way of Khānkīn.

The Jāmi'-ut-Tāwarikh states that Hulākü reached Dinaur, which is three

infidels, so that, with this victory even, the remainder of them may be brought under the sword;" but Mujāhid-ud-Din, the Sar-Dawāti, delayed in pursuing; and, that night, the Musalmans encamped upon that same spot.

days' journey from Hamadan, on the route to Baghdad, with the intention of marching thither, as early as the 9th of Rabi'-ul-Ākhir—the fourth month—of 655 H., but returned from thence to Hamadan again, and reached it on the 12th of Rajab—the seventh month—of that year; and that, on the 12th of the latter month, he despatched his agents to Baghdad with threatening messages for the Khalifah. Why Hulakū should have made this retrograde movement is not said, but, in all probability, it was because the traitorous Wazīr's schemes, which "the great Raschid," so glaringly, conceals, were not quite ripe for execution, and in order to put the loyal servants of the Khalifah off their guard.

Early in Muharram—the 11th, according to some accounts—656 H., but Zi-Hijjah, the preceding month, and twelfth month of the preceding year—655 H., appears to be the most correct—within the period prescribed, Tānjū, by way of Dajayl [lit. 'branch'] or Dajaylah [the district of Dajayl, at present, lies on either side of the old bed of the Dijlah above Baghdād. Dajayl iš also the name of the Little Tigris], crossed the Dijlah, and reached the Nahr-i-'Īsā [the canal or rivulet of Jesus]. The Masālik wa Mamālik states that "Karkh [which is a suburb of Baghdād] is very well inhabited, and considerable traffic is there carried on. . . . . On the western side is a canal or stream called Nahr-i-'Īsā, a branch of the Furāt, which, passing by Baghdād, falls into the Dijlah."

When the Khalifah became aware of this, he nominated Fath-ud-Din, son of Alankü, with Mujāhid-ud-Din, Ī-bak, the Sar Dawāt-Dār, the Mustanṣiri, and Ķarā Sankur, who were the leaders of the Khalifah's troops [the Dawāt-Dār was a civilian, not a soldier—the names given by our author, who was the contemporary of these persons, are far more trustworthy], with 20,000 horse, which the Fanākati magnifies into 30,000 men, fought a battle with Sūnjāk, and Būkā Timūr's forces within the limits of Anbār, before the Kūshk [castle] of Manṣūr, above Madrūkah, on the east bank of the Furāt, within nine farsakhs of Baghdād. Alfi says the Mughals in the first charge turned their backs and fled before the Khalifah's troops. The Fanākati, to flatter the Mughal pride, says they "fell back" as far as Shiriyah, in the district of Dajayl, when they were joined by Tānjū and his troops, and then compelled the Baghdād troops to fall back.

• The Pro-Mughal writers make out quite the contrary, but I prefer our author's version. Their statements are to the following effect:—

Fath-ud-Din [This is incorrect: Fath-ud-Din is the father's name: 'Izz-ud-Din is the son's, as our author mentions], Alankū, who was a man of experience, on whose head the dust of the battle-field had fallen, when he saw that the Mughals, without having had many men killed, turned their backs and fled, suspected some stratagem on their part, and therefore forbade the Baghdādis to go in pursuit, but Mujāhid-ud-Din, Ī-bak, the Sar Dawāt-Dār [poor fellow! the Pro-Mughal writers put all faults upon him], who, besides being without any experience in such matters, was in the revenue department of the state, thinking this proceeding on the part of ['Izz-ud-Din, son of] Fath-ud-Din arose from fear of the Mughals, said to him: "Dost thou consider that

In the vicinity of that place there was a stream, which they [the inhabitants] call the Nahr-i-Sher [the Lion's Canal]. It is cut from the river Furāt [Euphrates], and the land through which it flows is somewhat elevated, while the place in which was the Musalmān encampment was low ground. During that night, the accursed  $r\bar{a}fi\bar{z}\bar{i}$  Wazīr despatched a body [of men], and turned the water of that

debts of gratitude towards the Amir-ul-Muminin are to be paid in this way, that thou shouldst hold back thy hand when the enemies of the Khalifah have been beaten and overthrown? It is advisable, before the infidels shall have received assistance, and they regain strength, to pursue them, and give tranquillity to the mind of the Amir-ul-Müminin respecting them." Hearing this foolish speech, ['Izz-ud-Din, son of ] Fath-ud-Din gave orders to follow in pursuit of the Mughals. When the Baghdadis had passed beyond the margin of the suburbs [the scene of the action was some distance from the suburbs] into the open country, the Mughals faced about, and the engagement was renewed, and continued until the 'Abbāsī mantle of darkness [the 'Abbāsī coldur was black] covered the opponents, when the battle ceased, and both sides bivouacked for the night, opposite each other. During that night the Mughals, by cutting a dyke, let in the water of the river Furāt, so that the whole of the plain where the Musalmans were encamped became flooded with water, and the greater number of them were drowned in their sleep. They were attacked in overwhelming numbers in the morning, and ['Izz-ud-Din, son of] Fath-ud-Din was killed in the engagement, and Mujahid-ud-Din returned to Baghdad with three persons. The Fanakati says the Mughals cut the dyke of a considerable river—in rear of the Khalifah's troops, and the whole plain was laid under water. Our author's statement here is preferable, no doubt. The Pro-Mughal writers take away the credit of this act from their ally, the traitor, but it is evident that some one, who knew the locality, and who was well aware how easily the country might be laid under water, must have had the principal hand in the matter: the Mughals probably helped.

The next morning, which was the 'Ashūrā—the 10th of Muharram, 656 H.—according to the Fanākatī—but Alfī mentions these events as taking place a month earlier—the Mughals threw themselves upon the Baghdādīs—the few which survived—and overthrew them. ['Izz-ud-Dīn, son of] Fath-ud-Dīn, son of Alankū, and Karā Sunkar, and 12,000 men, besides those drowned and smothered in the mud, perished on that occasion; and the Sar Dawāt-Dār, Mujāhid-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak, with only a few persons, reached Baghdād in safety.

"This word may be shīr—the Canal of Milk—according to the vowels used with it; but I have no means of pronouncing which of the two names is right, but the above is the more probable. The Calcutta Printed Text has shahr—"city," "of lion" or "milk" twice, because the word n—nahr—canal, rivulet, etc.—is something like in MS., and yet "shakk" and "Furāt" is used with it! The account of the canals in the neighbourhood of Baghdād by Captain Felix Jones, I.N., in the "Bombay Geographical Transactions," may contain some information on this subject, but, in ancient times, the Dijlah or Tigris, north of, or above, Baghdād, flowed farther west than at present.

canal upon the encampment of the Musalmans; and the whole was flooded with water, and their arms and armour were all spoiled, and they became quite powerless. The next morning, at dawn, the army of the infidels returned, and another battle ensued; but the Musalmans, from the extreme misery and affliction of the preceding night, were

<sup>2</sup> Here too, the Printed Calcutta Text contains a great blunder, and has zaḥmat-i-sipāh—affliction, trouble, etc., of the soldiers—instead of zaḥmat-i-shabānah, as above.

Respecting the investment, and final operations against Baghdad, and the downfall of the Khilafat, there are many conflicting accounts, especially in the matter of detail, and according as the writer was a Sunni, a Shi'ah, or an Official under the Mughal sovereigns or otherwise; but all materially differ from our author, who wrote at the very time the events happened, and whose valuable account they either never saw, or would not consult or quote. The subject is an important one in the history of Islam, and, therefore, I shall give some extracts from the various Histories I have mentioned at the beginning of this Section, for the information of those who may not have access to all the works I also do so because there are some accounts, lately given to the public, extracted from foreign histories of the "Mongols," which are, without doubt, partially, and after a manner, compiled from some of the Histories I have quoted, but which, apparently, to judge from the very fantastic manner in which the events, the names of persons, and places, have been metamorphosed, have not been properly understood by the foreign translators, owing, possibly, to incorrect or defective MSS., or have suffered by translation at second hand.

Subsequent to the defeat of the Khalifah's troops, after the inundation of their camp, and the rendering of most of their weapons useless, in the month of Zi-Hijjah, 655 H. [the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh says the 11th of Muharram, 656 H., a month too late], the audience tent of Hulākū-equivalent to the "Head-Ouarter Camp" in military parlance-was pitched opposite Baghdad, on the east side. The appearance of the Mughals filled the city with consternation, and sleep forsook the eyelids of the inhabitants, in their anxiety respecting the issue, and the prospect of their deliverance. The Khalifah directed that the gates should be closed, and the ramparts and bastions guarded and secured. The Amirs and confidential officers of the Khalifah, such as the Amir-i-'Alam, Suliman Shah, and Mujahid-ud Din, the Sar Dawat-Dar, and the household slaves, and, in fact, the men of the city, generally, came forth on the walls and Next day [the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, contrary to all towers prepared for action. others, keeps Hulākū inactive from 11th to 22nd of Muharram, which is not correct], early in the morning, the standard of Hulākū was raised; and, during the whole of that day to evening, a fire of arrows, flasks of combustibles, stones from catapults and slings, and a storm from other missiles, continued, during which a great number were killed and wounded on either side. party maintained its position during the night, and began the fight the following morning. In this manner the fighting went on with little intermission for a space of fifty days [the Fanakati, who says twelve days, only begins the operations in the middle of the following month], when a number of the Sayyids [Shi'ahs] of Hillah, such as Majd-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Hasan, son of Ta-us, Sadid-ud-Din, Yusuf, son of Mutahhar, and others, despatched a spokesman of their own people, with a letter to Hulākū, the purport of which

defeated; and the Maliks of Islām, broken and discomfited, retired across the Dijlah, and took up their position

was, that it had become known to them, from the sayings of their forefathers, more particularly from the Amīr-ul-Mūminin, and Imām-ul-Murtaķīn, 'Alī, son of Abū-Tālib—on whom be peace!—that, during this year [656 H,], Hulākū would become predominant over 'Irāk-i-'Arab; and that the Ḥākim of that territory, which was to say, the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, would fall into his hands; that they tendered their fealty and submission, would carry out such commands as he might be pleased to issue, and would not place foot out of the pale of obedience to him.

The effect of such sedition, at such a crisis, may be imagined. Rather than not destroy their co-religionists of the rival sect, they would sacrifice anything. What did they care for the massacre of hundreds of thousands of innocent people by the Mughal barbarians, or the slavery of their country? was not Hulākū "a divine figure from the north"? and was not his sole object the "amelioration" of the condition of the Musalmān people? Hulākū was overjoyed. He treated the bearer of the letter and his companions with great honour; and sent back along with them a person of his own retinue, named Tūklah, as Shahnah of Ḥillah, along with the Amīr Yaḥyā, the Nakhjūānī. By this means the Sayyids of Ḥillah escaped all the misery and affliction of this troublous time, and "remained safe," to quote the words of one writer, "under the protection of the Most High."

A body of 100 Mughal infidels was sent to Najaf to act as a guard to the tomb of the Khalifah 'Ali, by way of flattering the Shi'ahs.

According to other accounts, after the Nü-yin, Tānjū, and the Bahādur, Sūnjāk, became victorious over the forces of Baghdad, after flooding their camp, they, having crossed the Dijlah, advanced towards the city, and took up a position on the river banks, on its western side, about the middle of the month of Muharram, 656 H. - and the date of the letter, given in note 7, page 1261, proves its correctness—but the Rauzat-uş-Şafā and Alfi have the month of Zi-Hijjah, 655 H., and the latter, by way of making it more certain, adds, "which is 645 of the Rihlat." In the direction of Nahāsīah and Şar-şar, Kaibūkā, and the other leaders along with him, also pushed forward towards the devoted city. Hulākū, leaving such of the families-for the Mughals took their families with them-and heavy materials, as he had brought with him, at Khānkin [Lat. 34° 21', Long. 45° 22'], now advanced by quick marches, and took up a position on the east side, where, on the 15th of Muharram-but other accounts, already referred to, say in Zi-Hijjah—the last month of 655 H. his audience tent was set up; and, like ants or locusts, the Mughal forces [including Musalman contingents from Kirman, Fars, and the other parts of the Khwarazmi empire which had fallen under the Mughal yoke, who were, consequently, forced to aid against the head of their faith and co-religionists] cathered round the city. On the left, or south side of the city, opposite the Burj-i-'Ajami-or 'Ajami bastion, the Nū-yin, Kūkā Ilkān, the Amirs, Tūtār and Kulf, took up their position facing the Kul-wazi gateway, while Bulgha, Arktū, and Shirāmūn, occupied the open space before the gateway of the Sūki-Sultan-the Sultan's Market-place. Bukā Timūr was on the side of the Kal'ah, near the place called the Dolāb-i-Bakul, while Tānjū and Sūnjāk held a position on the west side, at the place where the 'Uzdi hospital was situated.

and encamped at Baghdād, at the place where the great Sanjarī masjid and kaṣr [castle] are situated. On the army of the accursed infidels reaching that place, Sulīmān Shāh, the Aiyūbī Turk-mān, Malik Izz-ud-Dīn, the Kurd,

ram, 656 H. [the Fanākatī says, Tuesday, the 22nd, but those dates were Wednesday and Thursday, unless counted as terminating at noon], when the sun was in the constellation of Aries. The fighting went on for a considerable time, until most of the walls and ramparts were destroyed by the discharges of stones from the catapults—a "bombardment" by means of catapults, as it is termed in the "Mongols Proper," is certainly something new in the art of war—and great anxiety arose in the mind of the Khalifah, seeing that he had not the power sufficient to resist the invaders.

The Fanākatī also says, but his statement is not correct—he has greatly "compressed" events here—that the fighting continued for twelve days, during which the Mughals were occupied in slaughtering and pillaging; but this could only possibly refer to the suburbs, for the Mughals were not yet in possession of the place. That writer also says, that, during this period, Sulīmān Shāh, the Kā'id of the Khalīfah's troops, and his dependents and followers, were slain, and that the Mughals also slew the Amīr-i-Ḥāj—the Superintendent and Conductor of the Pilgrims—the eldest son of the Sar Dawāt-Dār, and that their heads were sent to Mauşil, thus showing that he has anticipated events.

To return to the account in Alfi and others. Finding himself powerless, the Khalifah is said to have sent out the Wazir, Ibn 'Alkami—and the Jāslik, or Patriarch of the Christians [Nestorians], according to the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh—with a message to Hulākū saying, that he hoped he would keep his former promise. Hulākū, in reply, said: "I made that agreement at Hamadān. Now that I have reached Baghdād, and the sea of discord, sedition, and tumult, has become lashed into waves, how can I possibly content myself with one Wazir? The recompense required is this, that the Khalifah should likewise send to me Sulīmān Shāh, and both the Dawāt-Dār-i-Kūchak and Buzurg—the Chief and Under Secretary, and Keeper of the Seals."

The Khalifah's envoys returned to the city with this reply; and, the next day, a deputation of illustrious and learned men [according to Rashid-ud-Din, the traitor Wazir was included] proceeded to Hulākū's camp to endeavour to obtain favourable terms of peace; but he sent them away, and the flames of war again blazed up, and continued for another six days. The fighting was obstinate, and great numbers, on both sides, were killed. On the seventh day, Hulākū, consequent on the arrival in his camp of the deputation above referred to, and the stubborn defence, caused seven farmāns to be written out, which were fastened to as many arrows, and discharged into as many quarters of Baghdād, the purport of which farmāns was: "Sayyids, Ķāzis, 'Ulamā, Officials, Merchants, and persons who do not fight against us, will be safe and secure from our rage and vengeance."

Consequent on the receipt of these farmāns, a great number of scurvy patriots among the Baghdādis deserted their posts, and gave up fighting; and, by this means, the Mughals were enabled to approach the Burj-i-'Ajami, and drive out of that important post the weak number now lest to defend the walls on that side.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The masjid and castle erected by Sultan Sanjar, the Saljūk.

and Mujāhid-ud-Din, the Sar-Dawāti, presented themselves in the Khalifah's presence, and represented, saying: "The enemy has reached the city gate, and we have but a few horsemen along with us in Baghdād, while the number of the infidels is 200,000 or more. It will be well that the Lord of the Faithful should embark on board a vessel, and give directions for placing his treasures, and his family, on ship-board; and we will likewise attend the Lord of the Faithful in the vessel, and push down the Dijlah as far as the limits of Başrah; and, in those islands, we will take up our abode until such time as deliverance cometh from Almighty God, and the infidels be vanquished." 4

The Khalifah mentioned this matter to the Wazir; and that accursed minister represented to the Lord of the Faithful, saying: "I have entered into a peace with the Mughals, and there is no necessity for leaving [Baghdād]. They [the Mughals] are going to present themselves before the Lord of the Faithful. If my word is not believed, it is necessary that the Amīr, Abū-Bikr [the Khalifah's son], should be sent out in order that he may understand the inclination of Hulāū." This counsel met with the approval of the Khalifah, and he sent out his son. The accursed Wazīr secretly despatched a confidant of his own to Hulāū, saying: "Treat the Amīr, Abū-Bikr, with great consideration, and pay him much reverence and respect, and send out and receive him, in order

4 The islands in the deltas, near the mouth of the combined rivers which fall into the Persian Gulf, are referred to here.

This is what the Pro-Mughal writers turn into the Dawāt-Dār's selfishly deserting his benefactor in his straits. They say, that, when the Dawāt-Dār saw that there was no other way of escape than instant flight, he, without the knowledge of the Khalīſah, embarked with his dependents—some even go so ſar as to say that 10,000 men were with him—and dropped down the river. When the boats arrived opposite the Karyah-ul-'Ukāb [village of the Eagle], called by some the Karyah-ul-Ghaffār, a body of the Īl-Khān's [Hulākū's] troops, under Būkā Timūr, which had been detached to guard the road from Baṣrah, and the Madāyin, and prevent the passage of vessels, discovered them. With discharges of stones from catapults, and flasks of burning naphtha, the Mughals compelled him to turn back, after they had succeeded in capturing three boats, all on board of which they slew, and plundered the property in them; and the Sar Dawāt-Dār, after a thousand stratagems, succeeded in reaching Baghdād again. The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh asserts that this act caused the Khalīſah to determine to submit, she could not trust his own Amīrs!

that the Khalifah may have reliance, and thy object will be gained." •

S At this time, the Khalisah, who had become resigned to loss of country and possessions, despatched Fakhr-ud-Din, the Dāmghāni, and Ibn Darwesh, with a sew rarities, as offerings to Hulākū, saying: "We will acknowledge dependency, and submit," but he paid no attention to the message; and they returned repulsed and disappointed.

Next day, the 27th of Muharram, the Khalffah's son, Abū-Bikr-i-Abū-l-Fazl—called Abū-l-Fazā'il by some—with a body of grandees, the chief men of the Khalifah's Court, proceeded to Hulākū's camp, bearing presents of great value, by way of pesh-kash or tribute, but they also had to return without being received: and the traitor Wazir returned with them to the city. The same day [the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh says, the first day of Safar, which was on a Thursday] Hulākū despatched another traitor, the Khwajah, Naşīr-ud-Din, the Tust, in company with one of the Mughal officers, to communicate with the Wazir, urging that the latter, along with Ibn Jauzi and Ibn Darwesh, should, by all possible means, pacify the minds of Suliman Shah, and Mujāhid-ud-Din, the Sar Dawāt-Dār, because they were the cause of the Khalifah's resistance. The Amir, Suliman Shah, was, indeed, and had been, the bulwark of the faith of Islam against the infidel Mughals, which they did The Rauzat-uş-Şafā says, that, to complete the usual not lose sight of. system of Mughal perfidy, "Hulākū even sent to them a deed of immunity and a safe conduct; and, nolentes volentes, they were induced to proceed to the Mughal camp." The author of the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh also details this shameful act of Mughal treachery without the least remark, as though it were a mere every-day affair, and a very pious action.

Hulākū, however, wanted more victims—the cup of treachery on the part of the barbarian monster was not quite full—so he despatched Suliman Shah and the Sar Dawat-Dar, into the city again, in order that they might bring out, along with them, their families, kinsmen, dependents, and retainers, pretending that he was going to despatch them, along with some of his own forces, against Misr and Sham. This further duplicity appears to have thrown them off their guard, and made them trust to the word of a Mughal prince. They returned, and brought out their people; and a great number of the soldiery of Baghdad and other persons, in hopes of saving themselves, came out along with them to Hulākū's camp. On their arrival there, they were all distributed among the different bands of Sadhahs and Dahhas; and, shortly after their return thither, an arrow from the city-for hostilities do not seem to have been suspended during these negotiations—struck a Hindū [probably a native of Hind, but not necessarily, although possibly, a worshipper of idols, is here meant] Bitikchi, in the eye, and destroyed it. [Von Hammer, by some error, turns this upside down, and says that an Indian struck out the eye of one of the principal emirs!]. As this man was one of Hulākū's chief officials, he was so enraged that he ordered his troops to the assault, and to strain every nerve to capture the city. He then directed the massacre of the Sar Dawät-Där, and his family, connexions, and kinsmen, and all the fugitives who had accompanied him and Suliman Shah from the city, while the Amiri-'Alam, Suliman Shah, the Turk-man, who had so often overthrown the Mnghals, was brought fettered, together with his family, kinsmen, and personal dependents, to the foot of the barbarian's throne. He demanded of the Musalman warrior: "Thou art an astrologer [doubtless the Tust Khwajah,

On the Amir, Abū-Bikr's, coming forth [from Baghdad]. and reaching the camp of Hulau, a throng of people, infidels and Musalmans, went forth to receive him, and observed the usages of service. When he reached Hulāū's place of audience, the latter advanced about four paces to receive him, treated him with due ceremonial, conducted him to, and seated him in, his own place, and himself reclined on the knees of reverence in his presence, and said: "I am come to present myself [before the Khalifah]. and will pay homage [to him]. My uncle, Barka, has become a Musalman at the hands of the Shaikh, Saif-ud-Din. the Bakhurzi, and I was, then and there, going to become a Musalman likewise, but I inquired among my Amirs: 'who is the greatest among the Musalmans?' and they directed me to the Court of the Khilafat, in order that, at the hand of the Lord of the Faithful, I might become a Musalmān."

also an astrologer, had furnished this information], and art acquainted with the propitious and unpropitious aspects of the heavenly bodies, the degrees and minutes, the rising and setting of the stars, and the like, how was it that thou didst not perceive thine own inauspicious day, and wherefore not warn thy benefactor, so that he might have acted accordingly, and not have become so shattered and broken?" The unfortunate Sulimān Shāh replied: "Alas! it was the misfortune of the Lord of the Faithful that he gave not ear to the words of his faithful servants, but listened to those of a traitor." In short, after some taunting on the part of the Mugha!, and words of proud defiance on the part of Sulimān Shāh, he and his family, kinsmen, and personal dependents, were also massacred, to the number of seven hundred persons. These events are said to have happened on Friday, the 2nd of Şafar.

After the murder of the Amir-i-'Alam, Sulimān Shāh, and the Sar Dawāt-Dār, Mujāhid-ud-Din [some say the Dawāt-Dār-i-Kūchak, and the Sharāb-Dār, or Purveyor of Drinkables, were also massacred on this occasion], their heads were sent, by Hulākū's command, to Mauṣil, to Malik Badr-ud-Din, Abū-l-Faṣā'il-i-Lūlū—the "diplomatic and wily old gentleman" of the "Mongols Proper"—by the hands of the latter's son, Malik Ṣāļiḥ, who was then in the Mughal camp, because great love and friendship existed between Sulimān Shāh and his father. The Mauṣil ruler was directed to have the heads suspended from the gates of Mauṣil. Badr-ud-Din-i-Lūlū, was greatly afflicted, and wept involuntarily, but, as he had submitted to the Mughal yoke, he was obliged to comply to save himself from destruction.

The printed text leaves out the word and so turns out the whole of Hulaku's host.

7 This is not unlikely, as part of the treachery at which the Mughals were such adepts, in order to throw dust into the eyes of the Khalifah's son, and so make sure of trapping his father. Most of the Pro-Mughal historians, and particularly Rashid-ud-Din, only seem anxious to conceal how much the success of Hulākū and his hordes was owing to the traitor Wazīr.

Having introduced these sweet expressions into the discourse, the Amir, Abū-Bikr, placed credence on these deceitful, poisoned, words; and returned from thence, well pleased, to the presence of the Lord of the Faithful, and related all that he had seen and heard. The cursed Wazir now said: "It is advisable that the Lord of the Faithful. himself, should move out, in great state and solemnity, surrounded by the cavalcade of the Khilāfat, in order that Hulāu may be able to observe the ceremony of receiving him, and perform the rites of homage." Notwithstanding the Maliks of Islām-God reward them !-exhorted the Khalifah, saving: "It is not well to show such confidence:" still, as the decree of destiny, and divine mandate, had come down, the dissuasions and exhortations of those Musalman holy-warriors were of no avail, and, in the end, fate was using the whip of wrath behind the horse of the Khilāfat, until the Lord of the Faithful went forth, on horseback, accompanied by twelve hundred distinguished and eminent persons of the city, consisting of Maliks, Sadrs, 'Ulama, Grandees, Merchants, and the officials of the State. When they reached the camp of Hulāu, the accursed Mughal, the Khalifah and the train with him were stopped, the whole [of the latter] were separated from each other; and they [the Mughals] seized the Lord of the Faithful. He [Hulāū] commanded him, so that, in his own handwriting, the Khalifah was compelled to issue his commands to the rest of the eminent men who had remained behind at Baghdad, in such wise, that they came out from the city [to the Mughal camp], until the whole were seized; and the Mughals martyred the whole of them.8

The investment having now continued for nearly two months, the difficulties of the Khalifah increased; and the simple-minded Musalman Pontiff again turned for counsel to the traitor within his own house, and snake within his own bosom, who was bringing destruction upon himself, his race, and the Muhammadan people. He inquired of the traitor Wazir what had best be done to escape from this calamity. He replied that the Mughal troops and Tattar soldiers were already very strong in point of numbers, and that reinforcements were continually arriving, while the weakness of the servants of the Khilafat daily became greater, and that there were not forces enough in Baghdad to defend it and repulse the Mughals, and that therefore it was advisable "that the Khalifah should abandon hostility and resistance, and proceed to the presence of Hulakū; open his hoards of treasure and valuables,

Here, respecting the putting to death of the Amir, Abū-Bikr, the son of the Khalifah, there are several statements;

and, by means of them, guard his honour and good name from hurt and injury, since the object of Hulākū, in coming to Baghdād, was to obtain wealth." Further, the arch-traitor stated that, by some means or other, after the Khalifah should have entered into "terms of concord and amity, this dissension might be changed into friendliness; in fact, into connexion and relationship, by a pearl out of the family of the Chingiz Khān being strung on the string of matrimony with the Khalifah's eldest son, and another pearl from the Khalifah's family being united to the son of Hulākū, which connexion would be, as it was in the time of the Saljūks, of immense advantage to the state and to religion, a source of dignity, strength, and grandeur [1], and, at the same time, would save very many people from slaughter and pillage."

The Mujāmi'-ul-Khiyār states that it was the pretence that he had arranged all this with Hulākū, and only required the Khalîfah's presence to confirm the alliance, that induced the unfortunate Musta'şim B'illah to trust himself in the barbarian camp.

When the Khalifah, who had now become so lost in amazement, and so stupefied by his misfortunes, as to be incapable of distinguishing villainy from goodness, and could not calmly consider what these words contained, perceived that all hope was gone, he resolved on going out to the Mughal camp, contrary to the prayers and exhortations of his faithful subjects; and accordingly, on Sunday, the 4th of Safar, 656 H.—the 9th of February, 1258 A.D. accompanied by three sons [but some say, two, and some, four-Abū-Bikr-i-Abū-l-Fazā'il, 'Abd-ur-Rahmān, 'Abd-ul-Manāķib-i-Mubārak, and 'Abd-ul-Manāzil, called, by some, Abū-l-'Abbās-i-Ahmad], and a body of about 3000 persons, consisting of Sayyids, Ecclesiastics, Kāzīs, Philosophers, Doctors of the Law, Amirs, and other Grandees and Officials, in short, all the most distinguished personages of the centre of Islam, he moved out of the city. reaching the canvas curtain before the entrance of the audience tent of the barbarian, Hulākū, the Khalifah, with his sons, and four or five attendants. were permitted to pass in, but the rest were forbidden, and were distributed among the soldiery.

"When the sight of the Mughal, Hulākū," writes one of my authorities, "fell upon the Khalīfah, Al-Musta'şim B'illah, as is the custom with the perfidious, he did not look crossly upon him, but made the usual [complimentary] inquiries with warmth, in such wise that the Khalīfah and his sons were hopeful of good treatment therefrom. After these inquiries, Hulākū turned his face towards the Khalīfah, and said: 'Send a person into the city so that the men may throw down their arms, in order that we may have them numbered.' The Khalīfah, accordingly, despatched a person, in order that a proclamation might be made, in his name, to the effect that whoever wished to save his life should lay down his arms, and set out for the camp of the Īl-Khān, Hulākū." Consequent on this having been done—and, doubtless, at the suggestion of the traitor Wazīr—the greater number of the people laid down their arms, and set out for the Mughal camp; and all who proceeded thither fell under the ruthless swords of these infidels.

Such infatuation as marked these last events is scarcely conceivable, after so many proofs of Mughal treachery, but the Musalman people were now without a head.

but God knows the truth. One statement is this, that they martyred him, and the Amir-i-'Alam, Sulimān Shāh,

Hulākū having got the Khalifah into his power, sent him to Kaibūkā's camp, at the Kul-wāzī gate, where a tent was pitched for him, and he was placed in charge of a guard; and the Mughal leader gave orders, at dawn the following day, to make a general massacre of the people of Baghdād. The broad and deep ditch was speedily filled up, part of the walls thrown down, there being no opposition whatever, and the Mughals, soon after, began to pour into the city; and the work of slaughter, violation, pillage, and destruction, began. This was ruthlessly carried out; and the Ḥaram-Sarāe—the private dwelling—of the Khalifahs, which, for five hundred years, had been the place of prostration of the Musalmān peoples, was so utterly demolished that no one would have imagined that a habitation had ever existed there. The other buildings of Baghdād—the masjids, mausolea of Musalmān saints and Khalifahs, the palaces, hospitals, colleges, and libraries—were all given to the flames; and places adjacent, constituting a vast extent of suburbs, were completely sacked and devastated.

Guzidah states that, during the massacre, a Mughal named Miānjū, in one small street of the city, found upwards of forty motherless sucking-bahes; and, thinking to himself, that without mothers' milk they would perish, put them to death to deliver them from their suffering!

I pass over the accounts given by some Oriental writers respecting the hoards of treasure, to get at which the rack was freely used, but I cannot pass over, without comment, the statement that "Mostassim," who had given up all hope of life, and who did not know at what hour the order for his murder might be given, "begged to be allowed to keep 700 wives [Musalmāns can only have four at one time, but concubines are unlimited] upon whom neither sun nor moon had shone, and was allowed to select 100," as we are told in the "Mongols Proper." What could he do with 100 wives, when he and his sons were kept in a tent under a Mughal guard, and allowed but four or five attendants for himself and them? Was he to leave his 100 wives for the sun and moon to shine upon in the camp among the brutal Mughals?

This is a specimen how History may be travestied, and of "taking up the mattock" to "complete the work which the pioneer can only begin." This little episode is taken from some foreign translation of "the great Raschid's" Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, but the meaning of Rashid-ud-Din was either not caught by the translator, or the author of the "Mongols Proper" misunderstood it. The words of Rashid-ud-Din, after his mentioning that directions were given to number the Khalifah's haram—the exact meaning of which word should be duly weighed—it is not solely the place wherein wives and concubines dwell, but the home of mothers, grandmothers, aunts, daughters, and female relatives as well, including sons' families, and sometimes daughters'-and that it was found to contain 700 females and concubines, and 1200 domestics, are: "When the Khalifah [who had been conducted to his own palace on the 9th of Şafar, according to Rashid-ud-Din] found what was going on, he implored saying, 'The inmates of the haram, on whom neither sun nor moon ". e. pardon or spare them for my sake." Hulākū said: "Out of the 700, choose 100, and give up the rest." The unfortunate Khalisah chose 100 semales, consisting of his relatives and kinswomen [including his mother, aunts, sisters, wives, and female children,

the Aiyūbi, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, son of Fath-ud-Din,' the Kurd, and Mujāhid-ud-Din, I-bak, the Sar Dawäti, all four of them; and some [persons] narrate, that, when the Amir, Abū-Bikr, returned to the presence of his father on coming back from the camp of Hulāū, at the time the Lord of the Faithful was setting out [to proceed thither], the Amir, Abū-Bikr, did not accompany him, and that he [subsequently] left Baghdad, and proceeded into Sham [Syria] by way of the desert. Others again state, that he was martyred, after he had, in the presence of Hulau, uttered harsh and taunting words; and the words are [said to have been] these. The Amir, Abū-Bikr, said: "It was supposed by us that, as thou hast high birth, thou mightest be an honourable man, and that thou wouldst be a highminded monarch; and we placed reliance on thy word. Now it is obvious that thou art neither a monarch nor a man, since thou hast acted thus perfidiously, for kings commit not perfidy." Hulāū commanded so that they martyred him. On the other hand, some state, that the Amir, Abū-Bikr, commanded one of the great Sayyids that they should take him towards Azarbāijān, and said that he would remain there some time, until, in freedom and in honour, after Baghdad became tranquil, command would be issued 1 [as to what it would be advisable to do]. When that venerable Sayyid had taken the Amir, Abū-Bikr, some stages on the way towards Azarbāijān, a number of renegades represented to Hulāū, saying: "Thou hast made a and the females of the families of his sons], who were allowed to issue forth with him when he was removed, and were thus to be saved from slavery to

with him when he was removed, and were thus to be saved from slavery to those barbarians; but what subsequently happened to them, with one or two exceptions, has not transpired. The fate of the remaining 600 may be easily conceived—much the same as, but certainly not worse than, helpless Turkish women have suffered, and are still suffering, in these days of "crusaders," "ameliorators," Bulgarians and Cossacks.

The Calcutta Printed Text, and the MSS., from which it has been printed, kill the father, Fath-ud-Din, who, in the former, is styled "Gird"—again, while the name of his son, who was killed, is left out altogether. The father probably had been dead half a century, after the manner of the father of Muhammad, the 'Arab conqueror of Sind, namely, Kāsim, whom some translators and compilers make the conqueror instead of the son, and without being conscious of the blunder, in the same manner that Bakht-yār-ud-Din, the Khalj Turk, has had the credit of being the conqueror of Lakhanawati, instead of his son, Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Muhammad. See note 1, page 548.

<sup>1</sup> Respecting the future affairs of the Khilasat, he meant.

mistake. If the Amir, Abū-Bikr, should reach Azarbāijān in safety, all the forces of Rūm, Shām, and Maghrab, will flock round him; and, undoubtedly, he will take his revenge." Hulāū [on this] despatched people of his own in pursuit of the Amir, Abū-Bikr; and they brought him back, and Hulāū martyred him; but God knows the truth. The Almighty reward him [Abū-Bikr] and the whole of his family! Amin.

# ACCOUNT OF THE MARTYRDOM OF THE LORD OF THE FAITHFUL, AL-MUSTA'SIM B'ILLAH—THE ALMIGHTY REWARD HIM!

For some time, the infidel Mughals desired to detain the Lord of the Faithful, Al-Musta'sim B'illah. were a great number of Musalmans among the Mughal forces, and they declared: "If Hulau should pour out the blood of this Khalifah? on the ground, both he, and the Mughal army will be swallowed up in an earthquake; and therefore it behoveth not to slay him." The object of these Musalmans was this, that the Lord of the Faithful should remain alive; for, among all, hesitation arose about putting the Khalifah to death. The Malik of Mausil, Badr-ud-Din-i-Lūlū-God's curse upon him!and other infidels,3 represented to Hulau, saying: "If the Khalifah continues alive, the whole of the Musalmans which are among the troops, and other [Musalman] peoples who are in other countries, will rise, and will bring about his liberation, and will not leave thee, Hulau, alive." The accursed Hulau was frightened at this, saying: "If the Khalifah continues to live, an outbreak of the Musalmans may take place; and, if he is slain, with the sword, when his blood falls upon the ground, an earthquake will take place, and people will be destroyed;" so he proposed to put the Khalifah to death after a different fashion. He gave orders therefore so that they enfolded him in a [leather] sack for holding clothes, and kicked his sacred

Instead of Khalifah, some copies of the text have tabakah—dynasty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Referring to the Musalman contingents from the subject states in the Mughal camp.

person until he died—May the Almighty reward him and bless him!

Some Historians affirm that the Khalifah died of starvation, while others say—as our author stated a century before any Pro-Mughal author wrote that Hulaku consulted with his confidents and chief officers about putting him Some said that, if he should escape from the present danger, assistance would reach him from the whole Musalman world, and that troops would gather round him from every part of Islām, and great sedition and trouble This advice Hulākū considered was given out of loyalty to him, and he determined to have the Khalifah put to death. Husam-ud-Din, the Astrologer [this is the "Hossam ud din," who is "probably a Muhammedan," of the "Mongols Proper"; but did any one ever hear of any Husam-ud-Din who was not a Musalman?], who was allowed admission to the presence of Hulākū, caused it to be made known, that, if the Khalifah should be put to death by the Mughals, the world would become overspread with darkness, and that the portents of the judgment day would appear; and many other similar things he stated, which filled the superstitious mind of Hulaku with fear and dread. He therefore consulted with the Khwājah, Naṣīr-ud-Dīn, the Tusi, the Shi'ah, and ally of the traitor Wazir, who also laboured for the downfall of the 'Abbāsis; and he replied, saying: "No such portents arose when Yahyā [St. John the Baptist], the Innocent, was put to death, when the Prophet, Muhammad, died, and when the Imam, Husain, was unjustly martyred; and, if Husām-ud-Din asserts that such as he states will arise if an 'Abbasi is put to death, it merely shows his excessive simplicity." Others said that no sword could possibly be dyed with the Khalifah's blood.

The Khalifah lest the city, and came to the Mughal camp [Guzidah says, contrary to others, that he was put to death two days after he came out], on Sunday, the 4th of Şafar, 656 H.—9th of February, 1258 A.D.—as previously mentioned. Of this date there is no doubt whatever, but there is some discrepancy with respect to the date of the last act in this tragedy. Some say that, on the following Wednesday, which would be the 7th, the Khalifah was summoned to the presence of Hulaku, while others say it was the 6th. Fanākatī says the 10th, without mentioning the day, which was Saturday. while some say Tuesday, the 16th of Şafar, but the 16th was on a Friday, and others again say it was Tuesday, and others Wednesday, the 14th of that month, equal to the 18th of February. As, in the east, the date commences after noon, as in nautical time, it is evident that the date was the 14th of Şafar, and that it was Wednesday, as I shall afterwards show. That same day Hulākū had moved his camp from near the city to a position close to the Dihi-Wakf, and the Dih-i-Jalabiah; and thither the Khalisah was conducted from the tent, in which he had been under a guard at the gate of Kul-wazi, to the camp at Dih-i-Wakf. Giving up all hope of life, and expecting speedy martyrdom, he asked permission to be allowed to go to the bath, that he might perform his ablutions anew. Hulaku directed that five Mughals should accompany him, but the Khalifah objected to "the society of five of the infernal guards," referring to the XCVI. Chap. of the Kur'An, verse 18.

On that same day, the Khalifah, with his four sons [the Ro. As. Soc. MS. of the Fanākatī merely says "his eldest son"], together with their servants, were ordered to be put to death. Notwithstanding the assurances of the Khwājah, Naṣīr-ud-Dīn, the Tūsī, the superstitious mind of the barbarian feared lest what

The Amir, Abū-Bikr, the Khalifah's son, and the Amir-i-'Alam, Sulimān Shāh, the Aiyūbi, they likewise martyred,

had been predicted might come to pass if the blood of the Khalifah should be shed. He therefore directed that he should be rolled up in felts, and that, in the same manner as the felt-makers beat the felts in making, he should be beaten to death, and every bone in his body broken. This mode of punishment, from what has been already stated, was not unusual among the Mughals [see note at page 1185]. The Mujāmi'-ul-Khiyār says, like our author, that the Khalifah, and his sons, were sewn up in bullocks' hides, and kicked to death.

Thus was the thirty-seventh and last of the Khalisahs of Baghdād, of the house of 'Abbās, martyred at the village of Waks—there never was such a plant as "Vacus"—towards the close of the day, on Wednesday [our Tuesday asternoon or evening], the 14th of the month of Şasar, 656 H., at the age of forty-seven, but some say forty-six years and three months, and others forty-three years and three months. His reign occupied sixteen years and nearly three months; and the Khilāsat of the house of 'Abbās had lasted 523 years, eleven months, and one day. His sons, and other offspring, and the whole of his samily and kin were also massacred, two days after, and utterly exterminated according to the generality of the Pro-Mughal writers; but our author, who, evidently, had correct information respecting these events, gives an interesting account of the subsequent death of the Khalisah's daughter farther on; and he likewise states that a son, a mere infant, also survived. We also know that fisteen Khalisahs of the house of 'Abbās, subsequently, filled the office of Khalisah, in Miṣr. See note ', page 1259.

The author of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh, with great apparent glee, asserts that the youngest son of the Khalifah, Mubārak Shāh, so called, was given to Üljāe Khātūn, one of Hulākū's Khātūns, who accompanied him into Ī-rān-Zamīn, and that she sent him to Marāghah that he might be with the Khwājah, Naṣīr-ud-Dīn, the Ṭūsī, and that she [subsequently?] gave him a Mughal wife, who bore him two sons.

The third day after the above tragedy was enacted, on Friday, the 16th of Safar [the third day before Friday would be Wednesday, for the date of the Khalifah's death], Hulākū commanded that the massacre, pillage, and devastation, should cease [Von Hammer, who has reversed the events, says the sack and pillage commenced four days before the Khalifah's death, and continued for forty days after !], and he came to view Baghdād. The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh differs here again considerably from other Histories. It states that the massacre began on the 7th of Ṣafar, and terminated on the 9th, on which date Hulākū entered the city, and that he moved from near Baghdād and encamped at Dih-i-Wakf and Dih-i-Jalābīah on the 14th of Ṣafar, the same date as that on which the Khalifah was put to death.

Nearly all the inhabitants of Baghdad had been massacred, but the few which remained now began to appear in the bazars and the shops; and command was issued to remove the dead from the streets, and bazars, and for them to be buried.

Ibn'Alkami imagined, up to this time, that the good offices he had performed for Hulākū, and the aid he had rendered him in destroying his benefactor, and the people of Baghdād, would have been rewarded with the government of that city and its territory; but Hulākū had now made as much

until the whole of the Amirs and Maliks of the Court of the Khilāfat, with the exception of the little son of the Lord of the Faithful, were made martyrs of.

Hulāū seized all the treasures of Baghdād, the enumeration of, and amount of which wealth, the pen of description

use of the traitor as he required, and took no farther account of him otherwise than to despise him for his base ingratitude, and to be convinced that no faith could be reposed in one who had betrayed his benefactor. The Bahadur. 'Ali, a Turk or Tattar Musalman, was made Shahnah or Intendant of the city and territory, as a reward for his intrepidity, because, out of the whole of Hulākū's army, he was the first to place foot within the walls of Baghdad. Fakhr-ud-Din, the Damghani, was made Sahib-i-Diwan, but Ibn 'Amran, another traitor, was made Hakim or Governor of the Baghdad territory. which Ibn 'Alkami hoped to have obtained as his reward. During the investment, Ibn 'Amran had helped the Mughals by supplying them with grain and forage from the neighbouring district of Ya'kūbah, where there were immense quantities stored. He was a man of the very lowest class, and was the menial servant of the 'Amil or Revenue Officer of Ya'kūbah, and, among other duties, he used to shampoo his master's feet - I have not space for a full account of him here—and the learned Ibn 'Alkami was placed in a subordinate position as Wazīr, under this boor. Now that it was too late, the late Wazīr became a prey to shame and remorse; and, bitterly regretting his misdeeds. lived, for a short time, brooding over his disappointment, shunned, and treated with contempt and disdain by the people of Baghdad, notwithstanding his utmost endeavours to get any one to notice him. He was soon after laid on the bed of sickness, brought on by the state of his mind; and he died in less than two months after the martyrdom of the Khalifah, on the 11th [Rashidud-Din says the 2nd] of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 656 H. His son, Sharaf-ud-Din, was afterwards installed in the subordinate office to which his father had been nominated, under Ibn 'Umrān.

But why need any one, who can read the originals for himself, say so? Are we not told in the "Mongols Proper" [p. 201], that "Khulagu appointed governors to take charge of the captured city," and that "Ibn Alkamiyi, the visier, retained his post. He is accused of treachery by the majority of the Moslem historians ["the majority" which the writer has seen in translation probably. What Musalmān author does not accuse him of treachery, except the partial historian, "the great Raschid"?]. Of the sect of Rafizis, it was natural that he should delight in the overthrow of the Abassidian dynasty and the reinstatement of that of Ali [Where and when, and who was the first person of the dynasty of "Ali" reinstated?]. . . . He [Ibn ul Alkamiyi] died three years after the capture of Baghdad," etc., etc. Such is history!

The number of people, who fell during these massacres, has been omitted by several authors. Some say 800,000 perished, but the number generally quoted is the enormous amount of 1,800,000, which includes not only the ordinary inhabitants of the city, but also those of the extensive and populous suburbs, and the unfortunate people from the country round, who, in a similar case, as we have witnessed, lately, having been stripped of house, home, and property, fled to the capital city of their country for refuge from the barbarian invaders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See note <sup>8</sup>, page 1259.

could neither record, nor the human understanding contain, and conveyed the whole—money, jewels, gold and gem-studded vases, and elegant furniture—to his camp. Such of these as were suitable for Mangū Khān [Kā'ān],6

<sup>6</sup> There is, as previously mentioned, some discrepancy among the Histories and Historians I have been quoting in these notes, respecting Mangu Kā'ān's death, but it seems strange that such discrepancy should exist. There is no doubt whatever that Baghdad fell in Safar, 656 H., but the Tarikh-i-Jahangir and Hāfiz Abrū state that Mangū Kā'ān died in Ramazān, 655 H., just six months before that event took place. Yet in Guzidah, Faşih-i, the Rauzat-uş-Şafā, Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, and in other works, it is recorded that "the Il-Khān [Hulākū], after the capture of Baghdad, despatched a vast quantity of the best of the plunder, and other valuable things of 'Irāk-i-'Arab, and 'Irāk-i-'Ajam, to his brother Mangū-which our author had already stated the best part of a century before any Pro-Mughal author wrote—under the charge of the Nu-yin, Shiktur [the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh, referring to his departure, styles him Hūlājū, but, when mentioning the receipt of the news of Mangū's death, calls him Shiktūr alsol, with the good news of the fall of Baghdad, and a full account of his proceedings, and informing him that he intended to march towards Misr and Sham. When his envoys reached the throne of Mangu Kā'ān, and delivered their message, Mangū was overjoyed, exalted Hulākū of his royal favour, and permitted the envoys to set out on their return." These two statements are widely different from each other; and the only way to reconcile them is, as is stated in the Lubb-ut-Tawarikh, and some other Histories, that Mangu Ka'an died early in 657 H., and not in 655 H., as in the Tarikh. i-Jahan-gir and Hafiz Abru, for the news reached him in the last month of the year 656 H.; and, if we only consider the immense distance that separated the brothers, and the time it must have taken to convey the news from the Yangtsi to the Euphrates-to Halab, where Hulaku then was-we can pretty clearly arrive at the correct date.

I must now, however, say a few words on another remarkable event which happened in the middle of 656 H.—the year before Mangu's death, and which, correctly, belongs to Mangu's reign-the crection of the Observatory on a high hill north of Maraghah, more particularly, because his ferocious brother, merely because he happened to have carried out his sovereign's instructions, has had the chief, if not the sole credit, among European writers and translators especially, of the good work, and the love of scientific research, while Mangū's attainments are unknown: Hulākū, however, is said to have had a great passion for alchemy, and expended vast sums in its pursuit. informed, in the "Mongols Proper," in almost every page of which D'Ohsson's History is drawn upon, that "Mangu" had sent, with his brother, "Khulagu," an astrologer styled "Hossam-ud-din, who had been sent as his adviser," and that "Hossam was probably a Muhammedan!" Farther, that "Nassir ud din, a famous astronomer, was ordered by Khulagu to build an observatory," etc., and that he "had impressed upon Khulagu the necessity of forming new astronomical tables," etc.

The facts are these—and I quote my authorities almost in their own words—that, out of the whole of the sovereigns of the Chingiziah dynasty, Mangū was the only one who nourished a great and sincere love of science, more particularly of mathematics. His study was Euclid, several of whose problems

with some of the females of the <u>Kh</u>alifah's *haram*, together with a daughter of the <u>Kh</u>alifah, he [Hulāū] despatched towards Turkistān; some [things?] were sent, as presents, and as his portion, to Barkā, the Musalmān, and some Hulāū himself retained.

Trustworthy persons have related, that what reached Barkā he refused to accept, and that he slew the emissaries of Hulāū; and, on this account, enmity became established between Barkā and Hulāū. With respect to such things as he [Hulāū] sent to Mangū Khān, when that property, and money, reached the city of Samr-

he had solved; and, from the great interest he took in astronomy, he earnestly desired that, during his reign, an observatory should be erected. He had, previously, commissioned Jamāl-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Tāhir, son of Majd-ud-Dīn, Al-Bukhārī, to carry out some important observations, but, on account of the paucity of appliances and instruments, and the defective acquaintance with the subtilties and niceties of mathematics, several important astronomical matters still remained doubtful.

At this period, the eminent acquirements of the Tuṣt Khwajah, Naṣtr-ud-Din. Muhammad, were famous even in those parts-in Turkistan and Tamehai. The Khwajah, at this time, used to dwell in the fortresses of the Mulahidahs: he had been long and liberally patronized by the last few Khudawands of the sect, had composed his famous work on ethics-the Akhlāk-i-Nāsiri-in the fortress of Maimun-duiz, and dedicated it to one of the Muhtashims of the order. When Mangu Kā'an despatched Hulāku into I-rān-Zamin, at the time of taking leave of each other, he said to Hulākū, "No doubt you will meet with the Khwājah, Naṣir-ud-Din of Tūs, who is now among the Ismā'ilis Isome writers say that he was among them against his will, but, as I have already shown, this is erroneous]. Treat him with honour and favour, and send him to me." When the Tusi reached Hulaku's presence, the latter, on account of the great distance which separated him from his brother, who had left his urdu for the territory of Manzi, put off, from time to time, sending the Khwājah to the Ķā'ān's presence; and, by degrees, he became so much taken up with him himself, and found him so useful, in combination with his brother Shi'ah, the traitor Wazir of Baghdad, as already narrated, that, at last, he determined to retain him about his own Court, and in his own service. Hearing from Hulākū the objects of the Ka'an, the Khwajah himself proposed to Hulākū to carry them out in Azarbāījān, and he was furnished with a mandate accordingly. Four other astronomers and mathematicians were associated with him in the erection and furnishing of this observatory-Mu'ayyid-ud-Din, 'Arzi, Fakhr-ud-Din, Maraghi, Fakhr-ud-Din, Akhlati, and Najm-ud Din, Kazwini; and, in the 57th year from the accession to sovereignty of the Chingiz Khan, the Rasad-i-Il-Khani was erected, and important observations began to be carried out. I have no space for farther details here: hereafter, if time permits, I hope to enter more fully into these subjects.

7 For some account of these matters, see the notice of Barka's conversion, farther on.

kand, the daughter of the Khalifah-God reward her!requested permission from the authority sent in charge of them, saying: "The mausoleum of one of my ancestors is situated in the city of Samrkand, namely, that of Kusam, son of 'Abbas: permit me to go and visit his tomb." The intendant in charge acceded to her request; and that innocent [creature] proceeded to the mausoleum of Kusam. son of 'Abbas," and celebrated the usual rites observed on paying a visit to a tomb, performed a prayer of two genuflexions; and, bowing her face to the ground, prayed, saying: "O God! if this Kusam, son of 'Abbas, my ancestor, hath honour in Thy presence, take this Thy servant unto Thyself, and deliver her out of the hands of these strange men!" The door of compliance was opened; and, then and there, in that act of adoration, she transmitted her pure soul to the Most High God. God reward her and bless her, and her ancestors, and all martyrs of the true faith!

The author of the Tārīkh-i-Mukaddasī, in the Section [entitled] "Kawā'in," and in the mention of the outbreak of the Turks, quotes a tradition from 'Abd-ullah-i-'Abbās—on whom be peace! He says: "'Abd-ullah, son of 'Abbās,' took oath and said, 'the Khilāfat of my posterity

• Kuşam, son of Al-'Abbās, accompanied Sa'id, son of the Khalisah'Uşmān, who held the government of Khurāsān, and who had been despatched, at the head of an army, into Māwarā-un-Nahr. Kuşam died in that territory, and was buried, at Şamrkand.

Muhammad Ḥusain Khān, otherwise Mirzā Ḥaidar, the Doghlāti Mughal, says in his History respecting the names of certain cities mentioned in previous Histories as formerly existing in parts of Central Asia, referred to in note at page 889, para. 4, that he himself visited a well-known place in Mughalistān, which is known by the name of ——Yūmghāl—where there was a cupola still standing, with part of an inscription remaining, which he read—"Shāh Jalil, son of Ķuṣam, son of 'Abbās"—the rest was wanting, and indicated that that was the tomb of the son of the very Ķuṣam above mentioned.

will continue up to such time as Turks of ruddy countenances, whose faces will be broad like unto a buckler, shall overcome their dominion and grandeur." Therefore. 'Ulama have all [from time to time] given an interpretation respecting this prediction. Some have said that they might be Musalman Turks, and others have said that they might be from the tribes of the Turks of the empire of Chin, who would subdue the land of I-ran, 'Irak, and Baghdad; but unto all the sages of the world, and 'Ulama of the race of Adam—God reward them !—it [now] became manifest that the latter interpretation was the correct one, and that the downfall of the seat of the Khilafat would be wrought at the hands of the infidels of Chin 2—the curse of the Almighty be upon them!—because the Lord of the Faithful, Al-Musta'sim B'illah—God reward him!—attained martyrdom at the hands of that race.

May the Sultan of Sultans, who, up to this present time, continues as usual to read the Khutbah in, and adorn the coin with, the name of that lawful Imam and Khalifah,<sup>2</sup>

the editors of that Printed Text, under the supervision of Colonel W. N. Lees, LL.D., and it comes from Calcutta, where the "Turani idiom" is so much cultivated, one must give these learned men credit for knowing something of that idiom, and that, if the text, as it stands, was not considered right by them, they would not have allowed the words to remain as they are. I may add that the Calcutta Printed Text agrees, in this instance, with several MSS. copies of the text, and that the only variation is that a few copies leave out the bin, intending igafats to be used in both instances.

<sup>1</sup> That is to say the descendants of Turk, which, according to their own traditions, the Mughals are. This prophecy seems different from that which the Sayyids of Hillah referred to. See last para. of the note at page 900.

Our author continually styles the Mughals infidels of Chin.

<sup>3</sup> It appears to have been considered necessary to do this until such time as a successor—a Khalifah and Imām—should be installed.

This is a pretty good proof that, at Dihli; they were not quite so "singularly ignorant," nor "strangely indifferent," as appears to have been supposed:—"While the throne of the Khalifs became an idle symbol, and the centre of Islám was converted into a ghastly camp of Nomads, the latest Muhammadan conquest 'in partibus infidelium' must have been singularly ignorant of, or strangely indifferent to, the events that affected their newly-conceded allegiance [?], as the name of the martyred Must'asim [sic] was retained on the Dehli coinage for some forty years after his death."—Thomas: "PATHÁN KINGS OF DEHLI," page 255.

After the capture of Baghdad, those of the 'Abbasi family who escaped the sanguinary Mughals fled into Mişr; and there, the ruler, Malik Tahir-i-Bandkadar, acknowledged Ahmad, son of Tahir, brother of the late Khalifah, as his successor to the Khilafat, at a great meeting assembled for that purpose,

be long preserved and maintained upon the throne of sovereignty, for the sake of the honour of the martyrs of the family of 'Ali and of 'Abbās, and the souls of the Lords of the Faithful, through the mercy of Him who is the Most Merciful of the Merciful!

After Hulāū had sacked Baghdād, and had caused the people to be martyred, he made over those that remained to the Wazīr, and assigned him a Mughal Shaḥnah [Intendant] that he might cause them to be collected together. When the accursed Wazīr returned again to Baghdād, and had collected some of the people, and located them therein, some of the servants of the Khalīfah, who had retired into the Wādī, and remained alive, to the number of about 10,000 horsemen, collected, and, suddenly,

and then and there did homage to him, on the 9th of Rajab, 659 H. He assumed the title of AL-MUNTASIR. The ruler of Misr furnished him with an army and all things befitting his position, and despatched him, at his own particular request, towards Baghdad, which the new Khalifah hoped to recover. He however encountered a Mughal army within the limits of Anbar, and was slain, after an obstinate battle, in 660 H. Some say he disappeared. and was no more heard of. He was succeeded on the 26th of Sasar, 660 H., by Abū-l-'Abbās-i-Ahmad, son of Hasan, son of Abū-Bikr, son of 'Alī, who was with him in the battle, and escaped into Misr. He took the title of AL-HARIM, and filled the office of Khalifah for upwards of forty years. He died at Kāhirah, in 701 H. Thirteen successive Khalifahs of the same family filled the office; and the last, AL-MUTAWAKKIL-'ALA-ULLAH, was taken prisoner by Sultan Salim, the first of that name, of the 'Usmanli sovereigns, when he deseated the Mişris in 922 H. The Khalisah was taken away to Constantinople, where he was allowed a pension, and was treated, as long as he lived, with all possible respect. With him the family of 'Abbās became extinct-at least, as far as could be discovered-and from that time, down to the present day, the 'Usmanli Sultans claim the office of Khalifah—the spiritual as well as the temporal authority—and as being the guardians of the holy places; and all Muhammadan sects but the Shi'ah acknowledge this

- <sup>4</sup> Not according to the Pro-Mughal writers. Farther on our author says Hulākū had him put to death.
- <sup>3</sup> Low-lying ground or valley, the valleys of the Tigris and Euphrates, also the channel of a river, marshy ground near rivers abounding in canes or reeds.
- In some copies 2000. Nothing of this is mentioned by the Pro-Mughal writers, as may be easily imagined. Rashid-ud-Din however states that the Nū-yin Ilkā and Ķarā Būķā were left at Baghdād, with 3000 [30,000?] Mughal horse, as a garrison, but, certainly, two months after, from some reason or other, Ilkā, "with several Amirs" along with him, reached Hulākū's camp in the neighbourhood of Hamadān. The son of the Sar-Dawāt-Dār, who succeeded in gaining Hulākū's confidence, subsequently served him after the perfidious

and unexpectedly, crossed the Dijlah and attacked Baghdād, captured the accursed Wazīr, and the Shaḥnah [Intendant] whom the infidel Mughals had installed there, and cut them both to pieces. As many of the dependents of those accursed ones as fell into their hands, and the whole of the Christians of Baghdād they seized, and despatched all of them to hell, and wreaked as much vengeance upon those accursed ones as they [the Musalmāns] were capable of, and withdrew with all speed. When information of this reached the camp of the Mughals, a body of cavalry was despatched to Baghdād. The remnant of the Musalmāns had departed, and with expedition; and not one among those holy-warriors of Islām was taken.

Some persons relate, that Hulāū, after he had finished the affair of Baghdād and the slaughtering of the Musalmāns, inquired of the Wazīr, saying: "Whence was thy prosperity?" The Wazīr replied: "From the seat of the Khilāfat." Hulāū said: "Since thou didst not observe the rights of gratitude towards thy benefactors, thou art, indeed, not worthy of being in my service;" and he gave commands so that they despatched the Wazīr—God's curse upon him!—to hell."

fashion of the Mughals, which may have some reference to the events our author refers to, but the particulars are much too long for insertion here. Suffice it to say that he succeeded in raising a large Musalman force, for a particular service, with Hulākū's consent, at Baghdād [according to Rashidud-Din, but we must take at their value the partial statements of that writer], with which he escaped safely into Misr.

7 After the capture and sack of Eaghdad, Buka Timur, brother of Uljae, one of Hulākū's wives, was despatched, at the head of a considerable army, to the southward; and, on his reaching the Furāt, opposite Hillah, the traitor Sayvids, before referred to, went forth to receive him, constructed a bridge over the river for him and his army to cross, and received the Mughals with delight. Finding them firm in their loyalty [!], in a few days, he marched from thence, and advanced against Wasit, and reached it on the 17th of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, ≥ 656 H. The people refused to surcender, and defended the place; but, after considerable opposition, it was captured by assault, and 40,000 persons were put to the sword. Shustar opened its gates; and Başrah, and other places in that part, also submitted to the Mughal yoke. On the 12th of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, Būkā Timūr rejoined the main army; and on the 19th of the same month, the envoys of Halab, who had come to Baghdad, were sent off, bearing the insolent letter, concocted by the Shi'ah confidant and counsellor of the Mughal barbarian-the Khwajah Naşir-ud-Din, the Tūsi. The letter is as follows:-" We reached the camp before Baghdad in the year 656, and the noise of the unsparing men was terrible. We challenged the sovereign of that

## ACCOUNT OF THE MARCH OF HULÂU TOWARDS HALAB AND SHÂM.

Hulāū, the Mughal, after he had satisfied his heart on the matter of Baghdād, moved towards Ḥalab, Mayyā-

city, but he refused to come; and upon him is verified the saying: 'We seized it with a frightful violence' [Kur'An: lvi., 88]. We said to him, 'We have pressed thee to submit thyself to us. If thou wilt, then wilt thou find peace and happiness' [Ibid. lxxiii., 16]: 'if thou refusest, thou wilt experience shame and misfortune. Do not act like the animal which, with his feet, discovered the instrument of his death [and heeded not], or as he, who, with his own hand, cut the partition of his own nose. Thou wilt then be of the number of those whose works are vain, whose efforts in this present life have been wrongly directed, and who imagine they do the work which is right' [Ibid. xviii., 103-4]. Nothing is impossible to God. 'Peace be with the man who follows the way whither God directs'!" [Ibid. xxxv. 18].

Soon after the events related above Arbil was invested.

After the capture of Baghdad, on account of the excessive heat and thirstiness of that territory, Hulaku, without making any longer stay there, marched from his camp at the Kubbah-i-Shaikh-ul-Mukārim, on the 23rd of Şafar, and returned to Khānkin, where he had lest a part of his urdū and heavy war materials. By this time, the treasures of Baghdad, and the valuables taken in the fortresses of the Mulhāidah, and such other plunder as had been carried away from the frontiers of Rum, Arman, Karkh, and other parts, had been collected there, in the royal treasury, which, along with his adviser, Nāṣir-ud-Din, son of 'Alā-ud-Din, the Sāhib or Wazir of Rai, Hulākū despatched towards Azarbāijān. Malik Majd-ud-Din, the Tabrizi, who was one of the ingenious and skilful men of that country, was directed to construct a strong fortress on a mountain on the shore of the little sea of Urumi and Salmas the Lake Urumiah-and to melt down all this treasure into balish or ingots -the only thing in the nature of coin ever mentioned in the accounts of the Mughals at this period—and place them for safe keeping in the new stronghold.

Hulākū then marched from Khānkin on his return to his urdū near Hamadan, and, after some time, marched into Azarbāijan. After he reached Marāghah, Badr-ud-Din-i-Lūlū, ruler of Mauşil, presented himself at the end of Rajab, 656 H.—July, 1258 A.D.—being then over ninety years of age, to pay homage. He was favourably received, for he also had acted a traitorous part in aiding—under compulsion, as a vassal of the infidels—the enemies of his faith. He was allowed to depart, shortly after, on the 6th of Sha'ban. On the 7th, Sultan 'Izz-ud-Din, Kai-Kāūs of Rūm arrived—the Rauzat-us-Safa says he joined the Khān's camp at Tabriz before the advance to Baghdad-and, next day, was followed by his brother, Rukn-ud-Din. 'Izz-ud-Din had exasperated Hulākū, because he had ventured to oppose the Nū-yin, Tānjū, and his forces, but, by a simple stratagem of his own, which flattered the vanity of Hulaku. and the countenance of the latter's Christian Khātūn, Dukūz or Dūkūz, he was forgiven. On the 14th of the same month, the Atä-Bak, Sa'd-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr, the Şalghūri ruler of Fars, also presented himself in the Khān's camp, "to congratulate him on the capture of Baghdad"

## fāriķin, and Amid.\* This territory they style the Diyār-i-

About this time command was given to construct the Raşad-i-Îl-Khāni, or Îl-Khāni Observatory, referred to in a previous note.

When Hulākū proposed to move against Baghdad, he detached the Nū-yin, Arktū, with a considerable force, against the exceedingly strong fortified town of Arbil [Arbela of European writers, some fifty miles W. of which the Macedonian Alexander defeated Dārā the Persian], held by Tāj-ud-Din, son of Şalāyah, styled the Lord of Arbil. He, on being summoned, came down, and submitted, but, although he attempted to induce the Kurds. who inhabited and garrisoned it, to submit, they would not hear of it, and reviled him for proposing it. All Arktū's endeavours to take it were fruitless. He sought aid from Badr-ud-Din-i-Lūlū, but, before his help came, the Kurds sallied out, burnt the Mughal catapults, and slew a great number of the enemy. Badr-ud-Din-i-Lulu, having arrived to his aid, advised him to retire, and give up the attempt to take it then; but to wait until the heat compelled the Kurds to retire to the higher hills before any further effort was made, as it would be impossible to take it by force, though it might be obtained by stratagem. Arktū accordingly gave up the attempt, and retired to Tabriz, leaving Badrud-Din-i-Lulu to gain possession of it, when the Kurds should have retired to the higher ranges from the excessive heat, after which he was to destroy the defences. This was subsequently done; and the Kurds retired into Sham. The unfortunate Tai-ud-Din was made the victim of the refusal of the Kurds to surrender the place; and he was taken to Hulākū's presence, and, by his orders, was butchered.

The envoys having returned from Sham with unfavourable replies from the Amirs and Hakims of that territory, Hulaku determined to march against Previous to his entering I-ran-Zamin, the Sultan of Halab, the Malik Un-Nāṣir, had despatched his Wazir, Zain-ud-Din, Ḥāfizi, to the urdū of Mangū Kā'ān, tendering his homage, and in return received a farmān couched in conciliatory and favourable words—the Fanākati says a yarlīgh, confirming him in his dominions, and a pāezah of exemption from tribute. When Hulākū entered I-ran-Zamin, Un-Nasir still continued to express his loyalty and submission, but, secretly. Nevertheless, his proceedings became known to the other rulers in Sham, and they conspired against him; and he was forced to seek aid from Hulākū, and fled to his camp. These facts urged the latter still more in his determination to reduce those rulers to submission. setting out, he informed Malik Badr-ud-Din-i-Lülü, that he should excuse him from accompanying him on this expedition, on account of his great age, but that his son, Malik Salih, should be sent in his place [with a contingent, as a hostage for his father]. His son arrived in due time; and Turkan Khatun, daughter of the unfortunate Sultan, Jalal-ud-Din, Khwarazm Shah, who had been brought up, from an infant, in the Haram of one of the Mughal Khātūns, and who had been sent along with Hulākū, by Mangū's command, in order that he might bestow her in marriage on some suitable person in I-ran-Zamin, was united to him.

Hulākū now put his troops in motion from Āzarbāijān. The Nū-yin, Kaibūķā, was despatched at the head of a strong force, forming the van; the troops under the Nū-yin, Sūnjāķ, formed the right of his army, while the Nū-yin Tānjū led the left [Rashid-ud-Din says Tānjū and Sangķūr led the right]; and, on the 22nd of Ramazān, 657 II.—20th September, 1258 A.D., Hulākū set out with the centre, or main body, towards Shām.

### Bakr; and this is the country of the son of Shihāb-ud-

On reaching the Ala-Tak, or Tagh, or Dagh, all three of which forms are correct, he was much pleased with the pasturage thereabouts, and gave it the name of Lanba [also written Labnā]—Sāghūt [لبا or إلبا ماغوت], and, in a place therein, built a Sarāe for himself. It lies a few miles to the west of Bāyazīd, a place often mentioned of late, and near the N. shore of the Lake Wan [vul. Van], near the head waters of the eastern branch of the Furat, [Euphrates]; and, by way of Akhlat, he entered the territory of the Kurds. They were particularly obnoxious to the Mughals, for they had, under the banner of the later Khalisahs, routed them on several occasions; and wherever they were found they were mercilessly butchered. On reaching the Diyār-i-Bakr, Hulākū first despatched his son, Yūshmūt, with the Nū-yin, Süntäe, against Mayya-farikin [Martyropolis], and Marddin, while Malik Salih was sent against Amid [Amadia], but certainly not without a Mughal, and a Mughal force, to look after him. Our author, however, distinctly states, that Malik Şālih was with the Shāh-zādah, Yūshmūt, at the investment of Mayyā-fāriķin. Hulākū then proceeded to reduce Rūhah, and, after little effort, gained possession of it. He then attacked Danisur. Harran, and Nisibin, took them by storm, massacred the people, and sacked the places. He then crossed the Furāt, and, suddenly and unexpectedly, appeared before The inhabitants, aware of the strength of the place, resolved to defend it. It was closely invested, and held out for a week, but, after that time, it was assaulted and captured in Zi-Hijjah, the Mughals having effected a lodgment at the Bab-ul-'Irak, or 'Irak Gateway: the citadel held out for forty days after that. Fakhr-ud-Din, Sāki, who was acquainted with the place, was put in charge of the city and fortress, and the Bakhshi, Tükal, was made Shahnah [Intendant]. The Habib-us-Siyar, however, states that Hulākū, after promising the people of Halab safety for their lives and property to induce them to surrender, made a general massacre of them, and sacked the city during seven days. The capture of the strong fortress of Hāzam, west of Halab, next followed; and the inhabitants, although their lives had been solemnly promised them, were all massacred. On leaving Halab, however, a general complaint was made against Fakhr-ud-Din's tyranny, and he was put to death; and the Wazir of the Malik-un-Nāṣir, Zain-ud-Din, Hāfizi, before referred to, was put in charge of the administration. After this, Hulākū prepared to attack Damashk, but the authorities there, having taken warning from the fate of Halab, made overtures, on the arrival of the van of his army, through certain Bulgharl merchants, and submitted. With the capitulation of Damashk, all Sham came under the sway of the Mughals.

It was at this juncture that the Nū-yin Shiktūr or Shiktūr, who had been despatched by Hulākū to his brother's presence after the capture of Baghdād [Rashid-ud-Din, when mentioning the despatch of Mangū's share of the plunder, says the Nū-yin, sent in charge of it, was called Hūlājū], arrived in his camp, near Ḥalab, having come with all possible speed, bringing him the tidings of Mangū Ķā'ān's death. Hulākū's sorrow was great, but he kept it secret within his own breast, and suddenly resolved to return into Āzarbātjān, in expectation that troubles would arise respecting the succession. He set out without further delay, leaving the Nū-yin, Kaibūkā, the Nāemān, to guard his conquests in Shām; and reached Akhlāt, 24th of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 658 H.

In a "History of Persia," by Sir John Malcolm, the author, quoting Des Guignes, states [p. 423, vol. I.] that "Hulakoo" was "desirous of returning

Din-i-Ghāzi-i '-Malik-ul-'Adil, of Shām, and his [the son's] title is Malik-ul-Kāmil. He is a man of great godliness and sincere piety.

The cause of Hulau's proceeding into that territory was this. The son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi was Malik of Mayya-farikin, Marddin, and Amid; and these three towns [cities] and fortresses of that territory appertained to him. When the army of Jurmaghūn, and the Nū-in. Tājū [Tānjū], who subdued Arrān, Azarbājjān, and Irāk, carried their incursions to the frontiers of this territory. the Maliks on those confines all requested Mughal Shahnahs [Intendants], and this son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi—the Malik-ul-Kāmil [Muhammad]—determined to proceed and reach the presence of Mangu Khan, [and did so,] and, from him, he obtained a special honorary dress.2 The reason of his obtaining it was this, that, at a drinking party, Mangū requested the son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi to drink wine, and he refused, and did not drink it.3 Mangū Khān inquired of him the reason of his refusal.

to Tartary to take possession of the government of his native country," now become "vacant," but that "the defeat of his general by the prince of the Mamelukes [Mamlūks?] compelled him to abandon the design," etc., etc. This however is as far from being correct as the statement at page 382 of the same volume, that "Hulakoo" was "the son of Chenghiz." See last para. of note 3, at page 1279.

If I did not put an izāfat here, which stands for "son of," I should make a great blunder. The person referred to is styled Al-Malik-ul-Muzaffar, Shihāb-ud-Dīn—by some entitled, Taķi-ud-Dīn-Al-Ghāzī—son of Al-Malik-ul-'Ādil, Abū-Bikt, son of Aiyūb, son of Shādī, Al-Kurdī; and, consequently, Shihāb-ud-Dīn-Al-Ghāzī was a nephew of Sultān Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn, Yūsuf. The Malik-ul-'Ādil, during his lifetime, entrusted the government of the different parts of his kingdom to his sons, of whom he had several, but this particular branch never ruled over Shām or in Mişr. The Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, succeeded his father as ruler of Mayyā-fāriķīn and its dependencies, in 642 H. See page 226. See also Calcutta Text, page \*\*\*\* r\*\*, line 11.

<sup>1</sup> Mārddin was under a different ruler at this period, but he may, previously, have been subject to Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi. See note <sup>2</sup>, page 1275, para. 13.

It is stated in Alfi that the Malik-ul-Kāmil was the first of any of the rulers of those parts to proceed to the presence of Mangū Kā'ān, in consequence of which he was received and treated with great honour. He subsequently received a yarligh confirming him in his territory, and a pāezah or exemption from all taxes and public burdens. The pāezah was not peculiar to the Mughals.

<sup>3</sup> The word used is "sharāb," not necessarily wine, but drink of any sort. Here, however, intoxicating drink is referred to, probably the Mughal beverage, fermented mare's milk.

He replied: "Because it is forbidden by the Musalmān religion; and I will not act contrary to my faith." Mangū Khān was pleased with this speech, and, in that very assembly, invested him with the tunic he had on, and showed him great honour. From this incident it appears that the dignity inherent in the Musalmān faith is, everywhere, advantageous, both unto infidel and Musalmān.

In short, when Hulau was appointed to proceed into the land of I-ran, Mangu Khan commanded that the Malikul-Kāmil should return towards 'Ajam along with Hulāu, and they reached the territory of 'Irak. Hulau determined to molest Baghdad, and had directed the Malik-ul-Kāmil, son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi, that, from his territory, he should bring 7000 horse and 20,000 foot to Baghdad, and render assistance. The son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi replied: "The extent of my forces is not so great that it is possible to furnish such a number: more than about 2000 horse and 5000 or 6000 foot I am unable to bring." Hulau importuned him in demanding a larger number of cavalry, and the Malik-ul-Kāmil persisted in his reply; and Hulau, in secret, said to his Wazir,4 who was a Musalman, an eminent man of Samrkand: "It seems to me that Kāmil meditates rebellion in his mind, and that he will not join with us; and it is necessary to put him to death." The Samrkandi Musalman Wazir was fond of the Malik-ul-Kāmil, and he, secretly, acquainted him with this idea and design. The next day, the Malik-ul-Kāmil went to Hulāū and asked permission to go out hunting. He set out from that place [where they then were], accompanied by eighty horsemen of his own: and, with the utmost expedition, got out of the Mughal camp, and pushed on towards his own country. so that, in the space of seven days, he reached it, and gave orders to put to death all the Mughal Shahnahs [Intendants] in his territory, by pinning them against the

<sup>4</sup> The Calcutta Printed Text leaves out Hulāū here, and so, as that text stands, the Malik-ul-Kāmil said this to his Wazīr: not Hulāū to his Minister! The Editors must have been much enlightened from their own version. The same text is defective a few lines farther on.

Located in his cities and territory. The text is defective here, in all copies, respecting these Shahnahs. Here the best British Museum Text ends, all the rest being wanting.

walls by means of five spikes—one mortal one being driven into the forehead, and four others into the feet and hands.

When three days passed, since his disappearance, Hulāū became aware of the fact of his flight; and despatched horse and foot in pursuit of him, but they did not find him, and again returned.

The Malik-ul-Kāmil, son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Dīn-i-Ghāzī, when he reached his own territory, despatched emissaries to the presence of Zahīr, the Malik-un-Nāṣir, and solicited his assistance, and that he would assemble his troops and come [along with him] to the seat of the Khilāfat, Baghdād. The Malik-un-Nāṣir agreed to aid him; and the son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Dīn-i-Ghāzī [i. e. the

<sup>6</sup> The Calcutta Text is deplorably bad here again, indeed throughout this Chapter.

When it became known that Hulākū meditated hostility towards the Khalīfah, and had prepared to move against Baghdād, the Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, as might naturally be expected, could not look on calmly with folded arms and see the successor of his Prophet, and head of the Musalmān faith, assailed, and the seat of the Khalīfah's power, and centre of Islām, captured, and sacked by infidels. He therefore had gone to the Malik-un-Nāṣir, ruler of Shām, and endeavoured to induce him and others to join him with their forces, and march to the Khalīfah's support, as our author also states, but the Malik-un-Nāṣir showed carelessness, selfishness, and negligence, in the matter until it was too late, and the opportunity lost.

This ruler must not be confounded with the Malik-un-Nāṣir, Dā'ūd, son of the Malik-ul-Mu'azzam, Sharaf-ud-Dīn, 'Īsā, who was a grandson of the Malik-ul-'Ādil, Saif-ud-Dīn, Abū-Bikr [brother of Sulṭān Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn, Yūsuf]: the titles of these Kūrdiah Princes are so much alike that they are liable to be confused. 'The ruler of Ḥalab and Shām, here referred to, is the Malik-un-Nāṣir, Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn, Yūsuf [not Zahīr; he was named after his great grand-father], son of the Malik-ul-'Azīz, son of the Malik-uz-Zāhir, Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Abū-Manṣūr-i-Ghāzī, third son of Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn, Yūsuf. The Malik-ul-'Azīz died in 634 H., and was succeeded by the Malik-un-Nāṣir. Rubruquis saw the envoy of the Malik-un-Nāṣir at Mangū Ķā'ān's Court. See note 1, page 221.

We are informed, in the "Mongols Proper" [page 205], from D'Ohsson, apparently, that "Syria was at this time ruled over by Nassir Saladin Yussuf, a great grandson of the great Saladin," while a little farther on [pp. 205-208] we are likewise informed, that his name was "Prince Nassir Seif ud din ibn Yagmur Alai ud din el Kaimeri"! This strange jumble of names, probably, is the several ways in which "the embossed bowl" is made by those "specially skilled in their various crafts," but the above, with some other specimens which I have given, seem more after "the case of the western farmer whittling his own chairs and tables with his pocket knife," as we are told at p. vii of that book. Saif-ud-Din, Al-Kaimari, also written Kamiri, was one of the Malikun-Nāṣir's Amīrs.

Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad], with the whole of his troops, horse and foot, marched towards Baghdād [to aid the Khalifah]. On the way he received information of the downfall of Baghdād, and the martyrdom of the Lord of the Faithful. He turned back again with the utmost expedition, strengthened his fortresses and cities, and gave intimation to the nomads of his territory, so that the whole of them sought shelter in places of strength, whilst he himself entered and took up his quarters in the fortress of Mayyā-fāriķīn, and prepared for holy warfare against the infidels.

Mayyā-fāriķin is a small city and strong fortress; and to the north of it is a mountain of considerable height, and within the city is a monastery [of Christian priests] which they call Marķūmah, and that Marķūmah is a place of sanctity. From the foot of that mountain a large stream flows, and, in the tag-āb [low ground where water collects in which the city stands, much water collects; and, to the south of the city are gardens, and, to the east of it, are tombs. The place has a fortified hill, and walls with ramparts [of stone], and a parapet.

- 7 The Calcutta Printed Text is deplorably bad here, and places the markūmah on the top of the mountain, which is contrary to fact.
- See note \*, page 334, for an explanation of tag-āb. Some copies of the text, instead of *Joot* of the mountain, have top of the mountain. This stream is one of the tributaries of the Dijlah or Tigris.
- 9 It is said to have been surrounded with a strong wall of stone, and to have possessed two strong castles. "Mayyā-fārikin is a celebrated city in the Diyar-i-Bakr, near a feeder of the Dijlah or Tigris. There was a church of the Christians there from the time of the Masihā-on whom be peace !-and some of its walls still remain. They relate that there was a physician whose name was Maronsa or Marunsa, of the kindred of Konstantin, the Lord of Rumfahi-Kibri [Rome]; and a daughter of Shapur-i-Zu-l-Aktaf [that is "Shapur of the Shoulder-Blades," because he caused every 'Arab who fell into his power to be deprived of his shoulder-blades. Such is well known from the Persian historians, but GIBBON, in his History, assures us, on the authority of D'HER-BELOT, that "Doulacnaf," as he terms it, signifies "protector of the nation"!] had fallen grievously sick, even unto death, and the physicians of Fars were totally unable to cure her. Some of Shapur's courtiers-lords of his Court-suggested that it was advisable to send for Maronsa, whose skill was samous, and so Shapur sent to Konstantin, saying: "Send Maronga," and Konstantin did so. When Maronsa arrived he set about curing the daughter of Shapur, and the remedies he administered had the desired effect, and her cure was brought about.

<sup>&</sup>quot;This good service was duly appreciated by the King, and he said to Maronsa:

After Hulāū had released his mind from the affair of Baghdād, he despatched his son, with the whole of the

"Ask of me whatsoever [boon] thy heart desireth." Marongā made a request soliciting that the King would make peace with Konstantin, and Shāpūr acceded to his request. Up to this time hostilities were constantly going on between the two rulers.

"When Maronsā presented himself to take leave on his returning to Rūmiah, Shāpūr said: "Name yet another wish in order that the royal beneficence may be extended towards thee personally." Maronsā replied: "A vast number of Christians have been slain [during the late wars]: grant me permission to collect their bones." Shāpūr granted this request likewise; and a vast quantity of the bones of the slain Christians were collected together, and Maronsā carried them away with him into his own country.

"Konstantin ratified the terms of accommodation, and was greatly pleased at peace being concluded, and also joyful because of the collection of these bones; and he said to Maronsā: "Ask some boon of us likewise." Maronsā said: "I pray that the King will afford me help and assistance in founding a place suitable [to receive these bones] in my own city and place of abode." Konstantin acceded to his wishes; and gave command that all those dwelling near by Maronsā's city should help him with the necessary funds.

"Maronsā returned to his usual place of abode [which is not referred to by name], and founded a city [sic in MSS.]; and the bones, which he had brought back from the territory of Shāpūr, were deposited in the midst of the walls of the defences which surrounded it, and it was styled ". [? Madrūsā ṣālā], which signifies Madinat-ush-Shahid—Martyropolis or City of the Martyrs, A holy man once prophesied respecting it, that it would never be captured by force, on account of the sanctity which the bones of these martyrs had conferred upon it, which has proved true.

"The defences surrounding the place have eight gates, one of which is called the Bāb-ush-Shahwat, or Gate of Desire. Another gate is called the Bāb-ul-Faraḥ wa ul-Ni'am, or Gate of Gladness and of Benefits; and over the gate-way are two statues carved out of stone—one in the form of a man, who, with both hands, is making signs of gladness and joy, and that they call the statue of Gladness. The other figure is that of a man with a mass of rock on his head, which is the statue of Benefits [received]. In Mayyā-fāriķin no afflicted or sorrowful person will be found, but, on the contrary [sic in MSS.], all that is good and excellent.

"On the summit of a tower which they call the Burj-i-'Alf bin Wahab, facing the west, to indicate the kiblah [the direction to which people turn to pray], Bait-ul-Mukaddas—Jerusalem—a large cross is set up, and on the Church of the Holy Sepulchre is another cross like unto it; and it is said that the same person designed both crosses.

Mughal army, into the territory of the Malik-ul-Kāmil; and a host of infidels advanced to the gate of the fortress of Mayyā-fāriķīn, and invested it, and commenced an attack upon it. For a period of three months or more they sat down before that fortress and besieged it arduously, and great numbers of the Mughals were killed and sent to hell, and wounded; but God knows the truth.

ACCOUNT OF THE MIRACLE [WHICH HAPPENED IN BEHALF] OF THE MUSALMANS OF MAYYA-FARIĶĪN.

Trustworthy persons of 'Arab and 'Ajam have related on this wise, that, during the period of three months that the son of Hulāū carried on hostilities before the gate of the fortress of Mayyā-fāriķīn,' [the contents of] every catapult discharged against that fortification from the infidel army came back again, and fell upon the heads of the infidels themselves, until they brought a famous catapult from Mauşil. On the first day [after it was brought], they prepared within the fortress fire of naft [naphtha],

Such, in a very brief form, is the account contained in an old geographer of Mayyā-fāriķīn. European writers, quoting Greek and Syrian authorities, say Martyropolis was called Nephugard in the Armenian language, and Maifarkat in the Syrian, and style Maronṣā, by the name of, Marutha, and make a bishop of him.

A considerable army, but not the whole by any means.

- 2 Even the Pro-Mughal writers state that it held out nearly two years. When Hulākū Khān set out to invade Shām, he despatched, from the Diyāri-Bakr, his son Yūshmūt, along with the Nū-yins, Ilkā and Sūntāe, and a considerable army, to invest the town and fortress, or fortified town, of Mayya-farikin, sending, at the same time, envoys, calling upon the Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, the ruler of its territory, to submit. This ruler had already witnessed enough of Mughal duplicity, treachery, and bad faith, and he replied: "Thy words are not to be believed, and no trust is to be placed in thee. It is useless to beat cold iron: I am not going to be deceived by thy words; and while life lasts I will never submit." When the agents returned with this answer, the Shah-zadah, Yushmut, and his Amirs, prepared for hostilities. The Malik-ul-Kāmil likewise got ready to encounter them; and he succeeded in making his people as determined as himself to resist the Mughals to the last. Next day, after the arrival of the enemy in his territory, he issued forth at the head of a gallant force, and attacked them, both sides sustaining some loss; and the Musalmans retired within the walls again.
- This is the correct way of writing this word according to the vowel points, and not 'Miā-fārķin,' as in note 7, at page 226.
  - 4 The words are in the original اتش ناط An "essay on the early use of

and discharged it [the composition] along with the stones of their catapults, and burnt that other catapult.

During this period of several months that fighting went on before the gate of the fortress, every day, according to one statement, by the omnipotence of the Creator, the Most High and Holy, seven horsemen—according to another, six, and according to a third account, less than

gunpowder" might be interesting here, but it would be perfectly out of place. Attempts have been made to prove that gunpowder was known, and artillery and fire-arms were used some centuries before their recognized date of approximate introduction. One of the supposed proofs is, that the "Canunj-Khand" is said to contain the following:—"The calivers and cannons made a loud report, when they were fired off, and the noise which issued from the ball was heard at a distance of ten coss"! Another imaginary proof is, that, in the 416th Chhand of the same poem, it is said: "The Zambur lodged in his breast, and he fainted away:-thus fell Rái Govind the strength of Dehli." Zanbūr, and another form of the word, here mean a cross-bow, and what struck Rai Gobind was a cross-bow bolt, but, because, at the present time, and since the invention of gunpowder probably, a small swivel carried on a camel's back is called by the same name, the word is supposed to be a proof that gunpowder must have been known in remote times. The literal meaning of Zanbūr is a wasp or hornet, and Zanbūrak is the diminutive form of the word -a little hornet. An inflammable composition was often attached to the head of the bolts, and hence, probably, the expressive name, or from the noise they made in mid-air.

The Dakhani historian, Firishtah, too, is supposed to have proved the existence of artillery as early as the year in which our author's History was finished, because he had the effrontery to state, according to Briggs's Revised ed. of his History [Vol. I., p. 128]—and the same is contained in Dow's version—as rendered in Elliot's Index, Vol. I., p. 353, that "The Wazir of the king of Dehli went out to meet an ambassador from Halákú [I have already shown the error respecting the "ambassador," so called, at page 859], the grandson of Changez Khán, with 3000 carriages of fire-works—Atishbázi." Firishtah saw guns and fire-arms in the Dakhan, and, without taking the trouble, apparently, to consider, at once concluded that gun-powder and fire-arms were nothing new.

The fact is that the previous names of the different missiles, and machines for discharging them, were retained after the invention of gun-powder, as may be seen from the statements of numerous Arabian and other writers, and hence all these ideas have arisen as to the knowledge of gun-powder among the ancients, and their use of artillery.

As to Firiahtah's assertion respecting the "3000 carriages of fire-works," it is strange that our author, who is the sole authority for the events of that period, and who was present on the occasion of the arrival of some emissaries from Khurāsān, and the return to Dehli of another, and describes the preparations in detail [page 856], did not see these "3000 carriages of fire-works," which, four hundred years after, Firishtah, who derives his information respecting the period in question from him, or rather from the Tabakāt-i-Akbarī—could give an account of. See note 5, page 631.

these—clothed in white garments, and with turbans [on their heads], were wont to sally down from the fortress and attack the Mughal forces. They used to despatch about a hundred or two hundred infidels to hell, while no arrow, sword, or lance of the infidels used to injure those white-clad horsemen, until about 10,000 Mughals had been sent to hell by that band. Hulāū despatched Ilkā Khān to the presence of his son, saying: "I captured Baghdād in less than a week [!], and thou art not able to take a small fortress [like this] in this long period of time." His son sent reply, saying: "Thou didst capture Baghdād through perfidy, whilst here it is necessary to me to wield the sword, and every day so many men are killed. It behoveth not to judge of this place by Baghdād." When

<sup>5</sup> This number, of course, is pure exaggeration. They slew a great number, and among them many of the Mughal champions, as well as others.

Among the troops of the Malik-ul-Kāmil were two valiant horsemen, one of whom they used to style Saif-ud-Din, Azkali [ in some MSS. Arkali— إركلي], and the other Kamr-i-Habash [? The first word of this name is also written r the like, but both عنبر حبشي --and also 'Anbar-i-Habashi -- تعبر حبشي --or the like, but both are doubtfull, and, on this occasion, they each slew ten Mughals; and continued to keep the fray alive. The second day, the same two cavaliers issued forth, and slew several notedly brave horsemen among the Mughals; and the third day they did the same thing, and hurled a number of the enemy in the dust of contempt. The Mughals began to be terrified of them. On the fourth day, a Gurji [Georgian], named, by some, Azmādari, and by others Aznāwari, who was a famous champion, and used even to defeat an army by his prowess, and who, among the Mughals, was a pattern of valour, resolved to encounter them. Notwithstanding all this, on his going out, he was killed, after a short resistance; and his loss filled the Shāh-zādah, Yūghmūt, with sorrow. Next day, the Malik-ul-Kāmil placed a very powerful catapult on the walls of the city. and a number of Mughals were killed by it. The Mughal Amirs, from the force of that mischievous catapult, were quite powerless, and at a loss what to do, until they found that Badr-ud-Din-i-Lulu, the Hakim of Mauşil, had a catapult more powerful even than this one. They had it produced, and planted it equally high with, and opposite to, that of the fortress. It so happened, one day, that both catapults were discharged at the same time, and, as we hear of cannon shot, at times, meeting in the air, stones from the coffer or bowl of either catapult, in which the stones or stone is placed-I do not recollect the technical term-met in mid air, and were dashed to atoms, to the astonishment of the spectators on both sides. The catapult worked on the Mughal side however was burnt in the night by a sally from the garrison of Mayyafarikin; and their onslaughts on the Mughals reduced them to helplessness.

<sup>6</sup> The Calcutta Printed text here has,  $\bar{\imath}lch\bar{\imath}an$ —envoys, etc., instead of the name of the Mughal leader—the Nū-yin, İlkān, or İlkā, but it was Arktū who was sent with the reinforcements, according to other accounts, for the Nū-yin, İlkān, was already with Prince Yūghmūt's army.

this message reached Hulāū he commanded: "Say ye to my son, 'take care to keep out of my sight, otherwise I will undoubtedly slay thee;" and Hulāū took oath and vowed: "I will capture this fortress in three days." Then, with the utmost expedition, he proceeded towards Mayyāfāriķīn, and set to to attack the place.

If Hulākū did not do so, according to Pro-Mughal accounts. Hulākū, on becoming aware of the state of affairs, despatched the Nū-yīn, Arktū, with a large force, to the assistance of his son, Yūshmūt, with directions that he should cease his attacks upon the city and fortress, and merely blockade it, and allow famine to do the rest, as it was not necessary to give over his troops to be slaughtered uselessly. Just as Arktū arrived, and had delivered his message, these two cavaliers from Mayyā-fārikīn issued from the fortress as usual, and caused confusion among the Mughals. As Arktū had a little wine in his head at the time, he, without discretion, turned his face towards them to encounter them; and they [one of them probably] confronted him. At this crisis, the Nū-yīn, Īlkā or Īlkān, went forth to the assistance of Arktū, but he was almost immediately unhorsed by the champions [by the disengaged one?] and hurled to the ground. The Mughals, whose ideas of a fair fight seem to have been peculiar, now rushed in on all sides, and succeeded in rescuing the two Nū-yīns, and, having remounted Īlkān, brought them out of the fight.

In short, these champions continued to sally forth daily, and used to kill several of the Mughal soldiers. In this manner, a considerable time passedover two years, it is said-the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh says, until a whole year expired—until the defenders were reduced to famine; and, after having eaten all their cattle, they ate dogs, cats, and rats, and were, at last, reduced to eat human flesh, by which means they managed to hold out another month. length, they resolved to issue forth, fall on the enemy, and sell their lives dearly, but the Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muhammad, would not permit them to do so. being resolved to hold out to the last breath. Some few of those within now sent a letter to the Mughal Shah-zadah, Yushmut, to this effect: "Within this place no one remains of those who had the power to offer resistance, and nought but a few with breath remaining, but body dead, exist, and they are about to eat each other—the father to eat the son, and the son his parent—to prolong their misery a little longer. If the Shah-zadah should now move against the city and fortress, there is no one to resist him." Yūshmūt at once despatched Arktū with a force to attack it. On reaching the place he found the whole of the defenders dead, with the exception of 70 or 80 half-dead persons, who remained concealed in the houses. The Malik-ul-Kāmil, with his brother, they also found, and conveyed them to the presence of Yushmut. The Mughal troops set to to plunder, when the two champion cavaliers appeared on the roof of one of the houses, and were killing with their bows and arrows all who attempted to approach them. Arktu now despatched a strong party of his troops to endeavour to capture them alive; and they came upon them in all directions. Seeing this, these lion-hearted men descended from the house-top; and, with their shields over their faces, threw themselves upon the Mughals, and fought until they were slain. The persons found within Mayya-farikin were subsequently put to the sword, but the Malik-ul-Kāmil was sent to Hulākū's presence, at the Tal-i-Bāshir, a strong fort and small town on a tal or hill or mound, two Every day, as on the previous occasions, several men in white garments, with turbans, were wont to come down, and used to despatch more than two or three hundred infidels to hell. For a period of three days conflicts were fought, and, subsequently, for three days more, Hulāū continued there, and directed such severe attacks to be made that 10,000 more infidels went to hell. Hulāū then intimated [to the defenders], saying: "This fortress belongs to Tingri, and therefore I have absolved you, but I have one request to make, and it is this. Show me those white clad horsemen, that I may look upon them, and see what sort of men they are."

When this message reached the people of the fortress, they with one accord swore the most solemn oath, [saying]: "In the same manner that they are unknown to you, we likewise know not that band, and know not who they are." Hulāū replied: "On this account, for the sake of Tingri, I present unto you, as a propitiatory offering, a thousand horses, a thousand camels, a thousand cattle, and a thousand sheep. Send out your confidential people that they may take possession of them." The people of the fortress replied: "We have no want of any offerings of thine, neither will we send any one out. If thou hast anything to send indeed, send it here, otherwise send the whole to hell," so that they [the narrators] relate, that Hulāū left there that number of cattle, horses, camels, and sheep, and that he raised the investment, and went towards a place,

days' journey N. of Ḥalab, on the great caravan route from the latter city to Isſahān through Mesopotamia and Assyria.

- They were Musalmans our author means.
- Our author is rather too liberal in slaughtering here.

<sup>1</sup> This was the rumour, probably, which reached our author at Dihlf, about the time he completed his History, and when no authentic accounts could have been received. When the unfortunate Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, was brought before Hulākū, the latter began to enumerate all his misdeeds, the greatest of which, doubtless, was that of defending his own, and said: "My brother showed goodness to thee, and treated thee with royal favour, and the return for all this is what thou hast now done." After that he commanded that he should be put to death under the most frightful tortures. They first starved him nearly to death, and then cut the flesh from his limbs, and compelled him to eat of it, until, after he had lingered in this manner for some time, death came to relieve him. The Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, was a devout and abstinent man—a recluse almost—and supported himself by the needle and making garments. These events happened in 657 H.

a verdant plain, which they call the Saḥrā-i-Mūṣḥ<sup>2</sup> [the Rat's Plain], where there is soft mud and stagnant water, and sank in it; while some have related that he encountered the army of Shām in battle, and was vanquished, and annihilated along with all his army, and went to hell.

Others again relate that the Malik-un-Nāṣir of Ḥalab sought help from all the forces of Shām, and from the Farangs [Franks]; and that, numerous forces having collected about him, Hulāū, the accursed, is, up to this date, occupied with them, and has, once, sustained a severe defeat; so that, what may be the issue of the matter who shall say? Please God, that it may be victory and success to the Musalmāns.<sup>3</sup>

The Pro-Mughal writers would not mention such a matter as this, because when they wrote, their Mughal masters were Musalmans, and naturally ashamed of such brutal proceedings.

A town of this name appears in the maps, in this same locality, near the banks of the eastern branch of the upper Euphrates, about fifty miles west of the Lake of Wān. The valley of the Furāt, N. of the Alā Dāgh, mentioned in note, page 1263, para. 3, is referred to. The city of the Mūsh lies to the west.

It was Kaibūkā's defeat, no doubt, which our author heard of. News did not travel fast in his day, and people at Dihli were in doubts, at the time he finished his work, as to Hulākū's subsequent proceedings. It is curious to read the reports which reached our author; certainly there was *some* little truth in them, and, therefore, I will, before closing the subject, give a few details respecting the events in question.

That our author, at such a distance, may have been partially misled, is not surprising, but what can one think of Ibn Baṭūṭah, who, having travelled into Shām, and other countries, some seventy-six years afterwards, could write such utter nonsense as the following: "Jengiz [but Chingiz in the original] Khān got possession of Māwarā El Nahr, and destroyed Bokhāra, Samarkand, and El Tirmidh: killed the inhabitants, taking prisoners the youth only, etc., etc. He then perished, having appointed his son, Hūlākū, to succeed him. Hūlākū (soon after) entered Bagdad, destroyed it, and put to death the Calif El Mostaasem [Khalīfah Al-Musta'ṣim, in the original] of the house of Abbās, and reduced the inhabitants. He then proceeded with his followers to Syria, until divine Providence put an end to his career: for he was defeated by the army of Egypt, and made prisoner!" Lee's Translation.

Hulākū had called upon the ruler of Mişr to submit and acknowledge fealty to the Mughals. At that time, the ruler was a Turk-mān. The first of these rulers was 'Izz-ud-Din, Ī-bak, a Turk-mān, who, after the termination of the dynasty of the Bani Aiyūb [See Section xv., page 203], in the latter part of Rabi'-ul-Ākhir, 648 H., obtained predominance over Mişr. He set up Şalāḥ-ud-Din, Khalil, son of the Malik-ul-Kāmil, of the Aiyūbi dynasty, who was then only ten years old, while he himself conducted the affairs of the kingdom, but the young Prince was set aside, and is no more referred to. On several

#### One among the comers from those parts has stated to

occasions, hostilities arose between 'Izz-ud-Din, I-bak, and the Malik-un-Nāṣir of Shām. After reigning seven years, 'Izz-ud-Din, I-bak, had returned home one day from playing at the game of Chaugān, and on reaching his palace ordered the bath to be prepared. He entered it, and, while he was at the bath, Muhṣin-i-Jauhari, one of his retainers, accompanied by a slave, entered, and slew him. This happened on the night of Wednesday [our Tuesday night], the 11th of Muḥarram—but some say the 25th, and others that it was the 25th of Rabi'-ul-Awwal—655 H. The following day, Wednesday, the assassins were taken and hung. 'Izz-ud-Din, I-bak, was a man of talent and valour, but a blood-shedder. The Amirs and Ministers of the kingdom of Mişr, on this, set up I-bak's son, the Malik-ul-Manṣūr, Nūr-ud-Din, 'Ali; but in Rabi'-ul-Ākhir, 655 H., Saif-ud-Din, Kudūz, a Turk-mān, became his Atā-Bak. and Amīr-ul-Umrā, and soon after set aside Nūr-ud-Din, 'Ali, and under the title of Malik-ul-Muzaffar, usurped the sovereignty of Miṣr for himself.

A number of the leaders and soldiery of the rulers of Mişr and Shām, at this period, had been formerly in the service of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Khwārazm Shāh. After the battle at Akhlāt they had retired into Shām, under their Sardārs, Barkat Khān, Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn Khān, son of Baltarak or Yaltarak, Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Ṣādik Khān, son of Mangūkā or Mangūekā, Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Kashlū Khān, son of Bek-Arsalān, Atlas Khān [un some I-yal-Arsalān], and Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Fushamirī [---also written Kaimīrī, and even Ķushairī], and others. See pages 230 and 766.

When Hulaku moved towards Sham, they kept out of the way, but, after he left and returned towards Azurbāijān again, they assembled, and turned their faces towards Misr and Kähirah [vul. Cairo], and stated their distress to Saif-ud-Din, Kuduz. He treated them generously and liberally, and took them all into his service; and they became the bulwark of his kingdom. When the Mughal envoys reached the presence of Kuduz, he consulted with the Khwarazmi Amirs. It appears that they had news of the death of Mangui Kā'ān by the time these envoys arrived, and they exhorted him to resist the Mughals, particularly as Kaibūkā had been left in those parts [in Shām-in Halab and Damashk]. Nāṣir-ud-Din, Fushamiri or Kaimiri, urged that it was "far better to die fighting honourably than trust to the good faith of Mughals, who never fulfilled their most solemn promises and compacts, as witness the case of Khur Shah; the Lord of the Faithful, the Khalifah; Husam-ud-Din, 'Akah; and the Şāḥib of Arbil." Kuduz approved of this, and thought it best, since the Mughals had carried their devastations into so many parts, even as far as the territory of Rum, in such wise that neither seed remained to sow, nor oxen to plough the land, to be beforehand with them, and attack them, and so save Mişr from invasion, or perish honourably.

The principal of the Amirs of Miṣr, Bandkadār, advised that the envoys should be treated in Mughal fashion and put to death, and that they should fall unawares upon Kaibūkā. The emissaries accordingly were put to death one night, and, the following morning, the troops of Miṣr set out. A Mughal Amir, Pāedār by name, who, with a force of Mughals, formed the advanced post towards Miṣr, as soon as he became aware of this movement, sent a courier to Kaibūkā, who was then at Ba'albak, warning him of their coming. Kaibūkā directed him to hold his ground firmly, and expect his speedy arrival. It so happened, that Kudūz drove Pāedār back as far as the banks of the river of 'Āṣī—the Orontes—and then, with much military skill, disposed of the greater part of

### this effect, that Hulau has gone to hell, and that his son

his troops in ambush, and, with the remainder, took up his position on a hill near the 'Ayn-i-Jālūt-Goliath's Spring-to act on the defensive, Kaibūkā having arrived near by with a great army. The Mughels, seeing but a small force posted on a hill, proceeded to attack it, upon which, Kudūz, after a slight opposition, faced about, and pretended to fly. The Mughals, on this, became still more daring, and pursued them, inflicting some loss on the troops of Kudūz; but, when they were fairly drawn into the ambuscade, the troops of Misr attacked them front and rear, and on both flanks, throwing them into confusion. The engagement lasted from early morning to noon; and Kaibūkā was charging the Misris in person, in all directions, and endeavoured to restore order, although advised to fly, to which he replied: "Since death cannot be escaped, better to meet it in fame and honour. If a single man out of this army is able to reach the presence of the Khan, let him say to him that his servant, Kaibūķā, did not wish to return ashamed. Tell him not to take this reverse to heart: let him merely imagine that the wives of his soldiers have not become pregnant this year, and that his mares have not foaled." At this juncture he was brought from his horse to the ground and made captive. After the capture of Kaibūkā, the Nāemān, the remnant of the Mughal army that remained, concealed themselves in a cane forest, in the Wadi, near by the scene of the battle, and Kuduz gave order to fire it in all directions, which was done: and they were all burnt.

After this, Kaibūķā, the Nāemān, was brought with his hands bound, before Kudūz, the Turk-mān, who said to him: "Kaibūķā! because that thou hast shed a vast deal of innocent blood unjustly, hast destroyed chiefs and great men after getting them into thy power by false and treacherous promises, and hast ruined numbers of ancient families, thou hast now to answer for all this, and suffer the punishment such acts call for." Kaibūkā boldly replied, according to the Pro-Mughal writers: "If, at thy hands, I am killed, I hold it to come from the Great God, not from thee; and, when Hulaku Khan hears of my death, the sea of his wrath will rise into such a storm, that, from Azarbāijān to Misr's gate, the ground will be levelled beneath the hoofs of the Mughals' horses, and they will carry away the sands of Mişr in their horses' nose-bugs, He has 300,000 [some have 600,000] horsemen like unto me: account them one the less." Kuduz answered him [here again was the hereditary enmity between Turks and Mughals: Kudūz was a Turk-mān, and Bandkadār, his Wazīr, a Khischāk Turk]: " Boast not so much, persidious man, of the powers of the horsemen of Türan. They effect their purposes by treachery, perfidy, and fraud: not manfully and openly like the hero, Rustam." After a few more taunts on either side, Kudüz had the head of the Nü-yin Kaibükā struck off and sent to Misr. He then pushed on with his forces, as far as the Furat, plundered the Mughal ardus, made captives of their women and children, and "carried them away into the house of bondage;" slew the whole of the Mughal Shahnahs and officials located in Sham [Syria] by Hulaku, with the exception of the Shahnah of Damashk, who fled the very night the news of the defeat of Kaibūķā reached him. The "horsemen of Tūrān" did not "carry away the sands of Misr in their nose-bags," as Kaibūķā vainly boasted, but they carried off defeat again and again.

The overthrow of Kaibūkā, the Nāemān, is not to be found in the Fanākatī: these defeats are ignored, and victories only chronicled.

Bandkadar above referred to, who was a Turk of Khifchak, under the style and

has been set up at the city of Rai in his father's place; but God knows the truth.

fitle of Malik-uţ-Tāhir [called Sulţān Fîrūz, by Guzîdah]; dethroned the Malik-ul-Muşaffar, Saif-ud-Dîn, Kudūz, and succeeded to the sovereignty of Mişr, in Zī-Ka'dah, 658 H. To him Ahmad, son of Muḥammad, son of Ibrāhīm, son of Abī-Bikr, son of Khallikān, a native of Arbal [Arbela of Europeans], near Mauşil, known generally as Ibn Khallikān, dedicated his celebrated biographical work. He went into Mişr in 654 H. Fastāţ, also written Fustāţ of Mişr, was Bandkadār's capital.

I must go back a little. On the approach of Hulākü towards Shām, the Malik-un-Nāṣir, Ṣalāḥ-ud-Din, Yūsuf, son of the Malik-ul-'Aziz, fied from Halab, and taking his family with him, sought safety in the desert of Karak. Subsequently, after Hulākū retired from Shām, Kaibūkā was desirous of investing him therein, but the Malik-un-Nāṣir agreed to submit, on his safety being promised; and he came down, and delivered up that fortress. Kaibūkā sent him to the presence of Hulākū, who treated him well, and promised to restore him to the sovereignty over Shām when he, Hulākū, should have subdued Miṣr. How Mughal promises were fulfilled the following will show.

The very day before the news of the Nu-yin Kaibuka's overthrow on the 27th of Ramazān, 657 H., reached Hulākū, near the Alā Tāgh, on his way back into Azarbāijān, he had conferred on the Malik-un-Nāşir the rulership of Damashk, and had permitted him to set out thither, escorted by 300 Saki-Shāmi?-horse. On the news of the disaster, a great change came over Hulākü; and, at this juncture, a Shāmi, who was an old enemy of the Malikun-Nāşir, influenced the mind of Hulākū against him. He insinuated that he was not loyally disposed, and related matters which produced such an effect, that 300 Mughal cavalry were forthwith despatched in pursuit of him. The advance party of that force, having overtaken the Malik-un-Nāṣir, stated that they had orders, from the Badshah, to give him a feast, wherever they might meet him; and, with this plea, got him to alight. They began to ply him with wine, at this entertainment; and, when he was sufficiently intoxicated [and his escort too, probably], the Mughals fell upon him, and slew him, and every one of his party, with the single exception of one man, a Maghrabi, a pretended astrologer, whom they allowed to escape. This happened at the close of the year 657 H., but there is another account in which it is stated that the Malik-un-Nāşir was put to death in 658 H. [early in the year-which is much the same, on receipt of the news of Kaibūkā's defeat, together with his son, the Malik-ut-Tahir, and all who belonged to them, and that, thereby, that branch of the Aiyūbi Kurdi dynasty terminated.

After this act, the Nū-yin, Ilkān, with a numerous army was despatched into Shām to recover what had been lost, and take vengeance for this defeat. Rashid-ud-Din says, Hulākū intended to have done so himself, but was prevented through the disturbances which arose consequent upon the death of Mangū Kā'ān.

In the year 658 H., the Shāh-zādah, Yūghmūt, accompanied by the Amīr, Sūntāe, after the affair of Mayyā-fārikin, by command of his father, proceeded to subdue the territory of Mārddin. When Yūghmūt and his forces appeared before that fortified city, they were amazed on beholding its strength. It is described in the MASĀLIK WA MAMĀLIK, and in IBN HAUKAL, as an impregnable

#### ANOTHER MIRACLE [WROUGHT IN BEHALF] OF THE MUSALMÂNS.

Trustworthy persons related in this manner, that the son of Malik Badr-ud-Din-i-Lū-lū, the Mausili, was along with the son of Hulau, and used to witness those conflicts. the overthrow of the Mughals, and the triumph of the holy warriors of Mayyā-fārikin [as already recorded]. He used to be filled with wonder at the circumstance, and was wont to extol the Divine assistance, until, one night he saw in a dream the sacred beauty of the sun of the universe. Muhammad, the Apostle of God-the blessing of the Almighty be upon him and guard him !-who.

fortress on a mountain, which produces bilaur or crystal, and measures, from the bottom to the summit, two farsakhs. Yushmut despatched the Nu-vin Arktū, to the Malik-us-Sa'id, the Şāḥib, or Lord of Mārddin, to induce him to submit. He, too, refused, saying, that he had always contemplated doing so, but found that not the least reliance could be placed on Hulaku's most solemn promises, as might be judged of from the murder of Khur Shah, the Khalifah, and many others, and that to die sword in hand was far preferable to being put to death under brutal torments. Further, that his fortress was strong, and he intended to defend it. It held out for eight months, during which the other parts of his territory of Marddin, Danisur, and Arzan, near by, had fallen into the hands of the Mughals, when a pestilence broke out, and famine arose, and the Malik-us-Sa'id fell ill. He had two sons. The eldest, Musaffar-ud-Din, wished his father to surrender, who would not hear of it. Mugaffar-ud-Din then determined, in order to save the lives of the remaining people, it is affirmed, to administer poison to his sick father, and sent a message to Arktu, saying: "The person who opposed you is no more: if you wish me to come down and submit, cease hostilities, and withdraw your troops farther off." This was done; and Musaffar-ud-Din came down along with his brother, and their family, and dependents. They were sent to Hulaku's presence; and he, at first, required restitution of the son for poisoning his father-what a conscientious champion of justice ! what a chivalrous warrior ! -but, when Musaffar-ud-Din said that he had done it to save the lives of thousands, after all his entreaties were of no avail, and when his father was sick unto death, and would have died in a few days more, Hulākü's sensitive conscience was satisfied; he treated the parricide with much favour, and conferred upon him the territory of Marddin as his vassal. Mugaffar-ud-Din was living up to the year 695 H.

Sir John Malcolm, in his History of Persia, crowds the capture and investment of Baghdad, the murder of the "Caliph," together with the "conquest," as he styles it, "of the remainder of Persia, Mesopotamia, and Syria," all into one year-656 H. !

In the Calcutta Printed Text, and one modern MS. copy, Khwajah-a man of distinction, etc., is used instead of Khurshed-the sun! In some

copies the word Mihr is used instead of the latter word.

standing on the summit of the ramparts of the fortress of Mayya-farikin, and having drawn the hem of his blessed garment around that fortress, was saying: "This fortress is under the protection of Almighty God, and under the apostolic guardianship of me who am Muhammad." The son of Malik Badr-ud-Din-i-Lū-lū, through the fear inspired by this dream, awoke; and was all the [next] day in this reflection: "This is an astonishing dream: what may be the manner of its interpretation?" The second night, and the third night, he saw the same vision; and the awe and terror in consequence of this overcame him both internally and externally. On the third day, accompanied by his own personal attendants, he mounted, under the plea of going to the chase, and separated from the camp of the infidels, and proceeded towards his own country. When his father [Badr-ud-Din-i-Lū-lū] became aware of this, he sent him his commands, saying: "Me and my territory thou hast plunged into death and ruin! Why didst thou commit suchlike conduct and opposition? I will not, in any manner, allow thee to come before me." The son of Badr-ud-Din-i-Lū-lū penned a message to his father, saying: "I cannot war with Muhammad, the Apostle of God-The Almighty bless him and guard him! -and such was my condition;" and he wrote out a statement of the matter, and related all the vision; and he departed into some other part; and, up to this date, the condition of him and of his father is not known. God knows the truth.

<sup>a</sup> Malik Badr-ud-Dīn, Abū-l-Fazā'īl-i-Lū-lū, died at Mauşil, at the age of ninety-six—some say he was over a hundred—in the year 659 H., after ruling fifty years. Hulākū Khān confirmed his son, Malik Ṣāliḥ-i-Ismā'īl, in his father's territory, but, after a short time, unable any longer to endure the yoke of the Mughal, he left Mauşil, and retired into Mişr, preferring to serve there rather than be a slave to the Mughals. At this time the Mughals had been overthrown by the Miṣrīs on two occasions, and the wife of Malik Ṣāliḥ—Sulṭān Jalāl-ud-Dīn's daughter—gave intimation of her husband's flight, to Miṣr, to the Court of Hulākū.

Malik Şālih was paid great attention to by Bandkadār, who had now become ruler of Miṣr, and had subjected Damashk, and who sent him back, with an escort of 1000 Kurd horsemen, in order to bring away his treasures and valuables from Mauşil to Miṣr. This having become known to the Mughals, an order was given to the Mughal forces in the Diyār-i-Bakr to occupy all the routes by which he could leave Mauşil, and the Nū-yin, Shidāghū or Shidāghū, with 10,000 cavalry, set out to aid in his capture, and Malik Şadr-

[Here our author brings in a kaṣīdah, several pages in length, composed in 'Arabic, by Yaḥyā, the son of A'kab,

ud-Din, the Tabrizi, with a toman of Tājzik levies, was also sent for the same purpose.

Malik Ṣāliḥ, who had come down to Joshak—a suburb probably—and given himself up to pleasure, was speedily brought to his senses by the danger; and the people of Mauşil also became terrified. Malik Ṣāliḥ now shut himself up within the walls, and enlisted all the fighting men he could collect—Kurds, Turk-māns, and Shūls. The Mughals soon after completely invested Mauşil, but were opposed with valour and obstinacy by the Kurds and Turk-māns, who made frequent sallies. Fighting went on in this manner for about a month, when eighty Mughal champions made an attempt to surprise the citadel, but they were killed to a man, and their heads falling into the camp of the Mughals announced their fate. Ṣadr-ud-Dīn, Tabrīzī, commander of the Tājzīk tomān, was badly wounded during the investment, and was allowed to return home invalided. At Ālā Tāgh, on his way to Tabrīz, he reached the presence of Hulākū, and acquainted him with the state of affairs at Mauşil, and he, without farther delay, despatched a considerable force to the assistance of the Nū-yīn Shīdāghū.

When Bandkadar became acquainted with Malik Salih's danger, he detached a force from Mişr to his aid, under Aghūsh, the Arpalū, who, on reaching Sanjār, wrote a despatch to Malik Sālih, announcing his arrival there, and, fastening it to the wing of a carrier pigeon, despatched the bird to Mausil. It so happened that the tired pigeon came and perched on a catapult belonging to the Mughals; and the catapult workers caught it, and brought it, with the despatch, to the Nū-yin, Shidaghū. He had the letter read; and, considering this incident a sure prognostic of success for the Mughals, set the pigeon free to continue its journey. He then, without delay, despatched a force of 10,000 men to fall unawares upon the troops of Mişr under Aghüsh, which they did, slaughtering the greater number of them. Then, donning the clothes of the slain Shamis, and endeavouring to make themselves look like Kurds, they moved back towards Mausil, and gave intimation to their leader, Shidaghu, saying that they had gained a complete victory, and the following morning, laden with plunder, in the disguise of Shamis, they would arrive as though proceeding to Mausil. Next day, when they approached, a number of the people of Mausil, under the supposition that they were the Shamis from the ruler of Misr, coming to their deliverance, issued forth to receive them, with great glee, for, to facilitate matters, Shidaghū had withdrawn his other forces to the opposite direction. The people of Mausil fell into the trap, and were surrounded, and massacred to a man, but Malik Şālih succeeded in entering the city again. After resisting for a space of six months longer, in Ramazān, 660 H.—Faşih-İ says, in 661 H.—the city was taken, and the remainder of the inhabitants were put to the sword, not a soul being left alive who fell into After some time, about 1000 persons crept out of holes and corners and assembled there, and for some time were the only inhabitants of Mausil. Malik Şālih fell into the hands of the Mughals, and was conducted to the presence of Hulākū [in Azarbāfjān]. The ferocious barbarian, exasperated at the defeats the Mughals had sustained, directed the Nu-yin, Ilka, to have him enveloped—not simply besmeared with fat - in fat tails of the dumbah, or fat-tailed sheep, sewn up in felt, and then exposed to the

who was the disciple of the Khalifah, 'Ali, and, subsequently, the tutor of Hasan and Husain, his sons. This kasidak prophecies the irruption of the Turks. the sedition of the Chingiz Khan in Chin and Tamghai, and the fall of the Muhammadan empires; and also the total annihilation of the Turks. Our author gives a Persian translation of the poem, and argues, and draws his own conclusions, from what has happened, up to his own time, that the period of their total and complete annihilation was close at hand—it was to happen in 659 H. or in 661 H.—since he left Hulāū and his Mughals in Shām, a few pages back, where their destruction was to take place. There he relates that it had even then been reported to have happened, but "the wish is parent to the thought," and, like other prophecies, this one has never come about. As the kasidah itself, and our author's commentary thereon, which is very diffuse, are of no historical value whatever, and as the former is very similar to others omitted at the beginning of this Section, I see no occasion for burdening the translation with it.]

Having recorded the prediction respecting the extinction of the power of the infidel Mughals, I desired that this TABAKĀT-I-NĀṢIRI should not conclude with the sedition and calamity of the infidels; and, since one person of that race, and a Khāħ among them, has attained unto the felicity of conversion to the true faith and bliss of Islām, an

burning heat of the summer sun, until, after a week, the tails became putrid, and swarming with maggots—which was the object in view—which began to attack the wretched victim, who for one month lingered in this Mughal torment. It was such devilish doings as these that Kuduz, ruler of Mişr—who was himself a Turk-mān—referred to when he taunted Kaibūķā that they could do nothing like men.

Malik Şālih left a son, a babe of two or three years of age, who was taken back to Mauşil, and cut in twain, one half of the child's corpse being suspended on one side of the Dijlah, and the other on the Mauşil side, and left there to rot, as a warning of Mughal vengeance. What became of Sulţān Jalāl-ud-

Din's daughter, Malik Salih's wife, has not transpired.

He means the Mughal I-māk as descendants, along with the Tāttār I-māk, and the other Turks, of the common parent. [See note to page 873.] Our author was not otherwise so ignorant as to class his master and sovereign, and his great patron, the Ulugh Khān, who both belonged to the Turk tribes of Khiſchāk, of whom more anon, among Turks, or to style Mughals Turks, save with this distinction.

account of his conversion shall be committed to writing, and, with it, this book shall, please God, conclude.

# ACCOUNT OF THE CONVERSION OF BARKA KHAN, SON OF TÜSHI KHAN, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN, THE MUGHAL?

Trustworthy persons related after this manner, that the nativity of Barkā Khān, son of Tūshi, son of the Chingiz Khān, [who] was [ruler over] the land of Saksin and Khischāk, and Turkistān, [took place] at the time that his father, Tūshi, captured Khwārazm, and marched forces into the country of Saksin, Bulghār, and Suklāb.

When this Barkā Khān was born of his mother, his father said: "This son of mine I have made a Musalmān. Find a Musalmān nurse for him, that his navel-string may be cut by a Musalmān, and that he may imbibe Musalmān milk, for this son of mine will become a Musalmān." In accordance with this intimation, a nurse severed the navel-string [of the child] according to Musalmān custom; and, at the breast of a Musalmān nurse, he imbibed milk.

7 This portion is wanting in some copies of the text, and is imperfect in others to the extent of several pages.

The I. O, L. MS., No. 1952, and the best Paris MS., invariably give him the name of Balkā—I is certainly interchangeable with r, in Turkish names, as in the case of the Nü-yîn, Sālī, also written Sārī, but I have never seen this name written save with r, although I have seen others, as in the case of Balkā-Tigin.

The text is particularly defective here. Nearly every copy has: "the birth or nativity—عالى)—of Barkā Khān, etc., took place in [or was in] the land of Chin, Khifchāk, and Turkistān," which, of course, is sheer nonsense. One or two copies have عالى "kingdom" or "sovereignty"—instead of عالى "birth"—which makes the passage no better, but gives something of a clue to a more correct reading of it. As it stands in the text it is unintelligible, and therefore, I have, as will be noticed, taken a slight liberty with it, as shown by the words between brackets, which is conformable with the statements of other writers, in order to make sense of it.

Along with Uktae and Chaghatae.

<sup>1</sup> The author of the Tārīkh-i-Rashīdī says "Saksīn, also written Safkīn [in error, I should suppose], is the name of a territory in Turkistān;" but, in connexion with the other names mentioned, the former word appears to refer to a territory in Europe. The two latter are what Europeans call Bulgaria and Sclavonia.

His father intended Jüji should invade those parts, and commanded him to do so, but, as already mentioned in note 3, page 1101, para. 4, he did not do so.

When the boy reached the period of instruction and edification, he [Tūshi] assembled a number of Muhammadan priests together, and selected one among them, who instructed his son in the Kur'an. Some among the trustworthy relate that Barka studied the Kur'an in the city of Khujand, with one of the pious 'Ulama of that city. When he attained unto the period for circumcision, his circumcision was carried out. On his attaining unto puberty, as many Musalmans as were in Tushi's army were directed to be attached to Barka's following; and, when his father, Tūshi, departed from this world, from having been poisoned by the Chingiz Khān, and his [Barkā's] brother, Bātū, succeeded his father, Tūshi, on the throne, he [Bātū] continued to support Barka in the same exalted position as before, and confirmed him in his command, fiefs, vassals, and dependents.

In the year 631 H., a party of agents of Barkā Khān came, from the land of Khifchāķ, to the presence of the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din [I-yal-timish]—may he rest in peace!—and brought with them presents and rarities, but, as that august monarch used not to keep the gates of intercourse and friendship with the Khāns of the Mughals open, in any way, he used not to admit their envoys to his presence, and was wont to turn them back in a courteous manner. These envoys from Barkā Khān the Sultān sent to the preserved fortress of Gwāliyūr. They were a party of Musalmāns; and, every

- <sup>2</sup> Khwārazm was taken in 618 H., and Jūjī died in the third month of 624 H., and, consequently, Barkā must have been in his seventh year when his father died, according to this account, but, from what follows, Barkā, even by our author's own account, must have been older.
- <sup>2</sup> Some copies of the text have "the land of <u>Chin</u> and <u>Khifchāķ,"</u> which cannot be correct. At this time, Barkā, Barkāe, or Barkah, had not succeeded to the throne: he did so nineteen years after the above date.
  - 4 The most modern St. Petersburg copy of the text ends here.
- <sup>5</sup> Here was a Musalman sending his agents to a brother Musalman, but the one was a Turk of the Mughal I-mak, the other a Turk claiming descent from the elder branch, namely, from the Ilbari tribe of Khischak, which had been ousted from, and compelled to leave, their native country by the Mughals about twelve years before. I-yal-timish, however, had been sold by his own brothers, and some of the tribe had been before displaced: still we here see the natural hatred existing between Tattar Turk and Mughal Turk, which even Islamism could not quench, and never has quenched, I believe: but, on the other hand, I-yal-timish behaved no better to the envoy of Sultan

Friday, they used to be present in the Jāmi' Masjid of Gwāliyūr, and used to repeat their prayers behind the Nawwāb<sup>6</sup> of the writer of this TABAĶĀT, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, until, in the reign of the Sultān, Raziyyat—The Almighty's mercy be upon her!—the author of this book, after a period of six years, returned to the illustrious capital, Dihli, from Gwāliyūr.<sup>7</sup> In the end, this sovereign was put in seclusion; and the agents of Barkā Khān likewise were ordered to be removed from Gwāliyūr to Ķinnauj, and they were restricted to the limits of that city; and there likewise they died [in captivity].

When Barka Khan attained unto greatness, he came from the land of Khischāk for the purpose of making a pilgrimage to the surviving illustrious men and 'Ulama of Islām, and arrived at the city of Bukhārā. He performed his pilgrimages, and went back again [into Khifchāk], and despatched confidential persons to the Capital of the Khilafat. A number of trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that, on two occasions or more, Barka Khan was honoured with dresses of honour from the Khalifah's Court, even during the lifetime of his brother, Batu Khan. The whole of his army, about 30,000 horse, were all Musalmans, and the orthodox ceremonies [of the Sunni sect] were established. Trustworthy persons have also related that, throughout his whole army, it is the etiquette for every horseman to have a prayer-carpet with him, so that, when the time for prayer arrives, they may occupy themselves in their devotions. Not a person in his whole army takes any intoxicating drink whatever: and great 'Ulama, consisting of commentators, traditionists, theological jurists, and disputants, are in his society. He has a great number of religious books, and most of his receptions and debates are with 'Ulama. his place of audience debates on moral science and eccle-

Jalal-ud-Din, Khwarazm Shah, who was a Turk like himself [but not descended from the "Gusses"], for his envoy was poisoned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Substitutes, deputies—the plural of فايب those who officiated for our author as Imāms during his absence.

<sup>7</sup> This was in the latter part of 635 H. These unfortunate men had then been under detention four years. See pages 643-44.

<sup>8</sup> His own contingent troops.

siastical law constantly take place; and, in his faith, as a Musalman, he is exceedingly sound and orthodox.

Having become a Musalmān he was naturally inclined towards the people of that faith; and, as the representative of his brother, Bātū, the head of the family of the Chingiz Khān, who was instrumental to Mangū's succession to the Kā'ān-ship, Barkā, under instructions from Bātū, had been actively engaged in establishing him therein, as already related. Barkā therefore was naturally inclined to assume a superiority over Hulākū, his cousin, who was the servant of Mangū, his brother; for, since Bātū's death, Barkā had himself been regarded as the head of the family; and now that so many Musalmān sovereigns had been sacrificed, and their dominions annexed, but, more particularly, since the cruel treatment and martyrdom of the innocent head of the Muḥammadan religion, all ties between them were broken; and Barkā vowed vengeance against Hulākū in consequence.

Hulākū died at No-shahr of Āsarbāfjān, on the night of Sunday—our Saturday night—the 19th of Rabf-ul-Ākhir, 663 H., aged forty-eight, after ruling over I-rān-Zamīn nine years and three months. He was buried on the mountain of Shāhū, which is opposite the village of Khwārkān, according to the Fanākatī and Rashīd-ud-Dīn, but the Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gīr says Shahāntalah, the mountain north of Tabrīz, the same place, probably, under a different name.

Raghid-ud-Din, who was Wazir to Huläkü's great grandson, as a matter of course is politic enough to view everything from the Huläkü point of view, and puts all the fault upon Barkä. He, however, acknowledges that Barkä Khän was considered the Äkä, or head of the family, but, that Huläkü had determined not to endure Barkä's threats, whereas it was Barkä who promptly followed his threats with acts. One of the chief causes of complaint on Barkä's part was, that Huläkü had made no distinction whatever between friend and foe; and had put the innocent Khalifah to death, without consulting himself in any way, whom, by right of his position, it was necessary Huläkü should have referred to, especially as he was a Musalmän.

At the time Hulaku retired from Halab, on the news of his brother's death reaching him. Balghā or Balghān, also written Balkā and Balkān with k, son of Shaiban, son of Juji, who was one of the Shah-zadahs sent to serve under Hulākū, died suddenly at an entertainment. Soon after, another Shah-zādah, Tütär Aghül, another kinsman of Barka Khan's, was accused of having caused Balgha's death by sorcery, and he was put to death on the 17th Safar, 658 H. [The Fanākatī says he was sent to Barkā, as head of the family, to, be dealt with, under the escort of the Nū-yin, Sūnjāk, and Alfi says Barkā sent him back again, but, in such case, how could Barka make the execution of this Shāh-zādah a pretext for making war on Hulākū?] The Şadr, Sāuchi, was also executed by Hulākū's order, because he was said to have written a charm for Tütär. Soon after these events, Koli, another Shah-zadah of Juji's house, serving with the Mughal forces under Hulaku, also died, upon which, his retinue, and dependents, made their escape from Hulākū's camp, and fled by way of the sea of Gilan [the Caspian], and the Dar-Band, and made for the Dasht-i-Kibchāk, or Khifchāk, the territory of Barkā Khān.

When the death of his three kinsmen became known to Barka, he despatched a message to Hulaku breathing vengeance; and sent Bukae, a near kinsman of the deceased Tutar, at the head of 30,000 horse, to extort restitution; and

## ANECDOTE RESPECTING BARKA KHAN'S ZEAL IN THE MUSALMAN FAITH.

In the year 657 H., a reverend and holy Sayyid of Samrkand came to the illustrious capital, the city of

he, having passed the Dar-Band, took up a position in sight of Shirwān. Hulākū, who, at this time, was encamped near the sources of the river Arās, on his way towards the Koh-i-Kāf, or Kakāsus, on this despatched the Nū-yīn, Shīrāmūn, and other Amīrs, to oppose Būkāe; and, in Zī-Ḥijjah, 660 H., they reached Shamākhī. Barkā's army fell upon Shīrāmūn and his troops, and defeated them with great slaughter. Subsequently, at the end of the same month, the Nū-yīns, Abātāe and Shimāghū, with another force, on the part of Hulākū, renewed the fighting, and surprised the troops of Barkā, which had retired towards Shāburān in Shirwān, and, within a league of that place, defeated them, in their turn, with great slaughter, at the end of Zī-Ḥijjah, of the same year; and Būkāe, with the remainder, fled.

On the 6th of Muharram of the next year, 661 H., Hulākū put his troops in motion for the purpose of pursuing them, and invading Barka's territory, and advanced from the frontier of Shamākhi. On Friday, the 23rd of Muharram, Hulākū encountered the forces of Barkā at the Bāb-ul-Abwāb, captured the Dar-Band, and defeat again befell them. A large force under Abākā Khān, Hulākū's son, was subsequently despatched in pursuit of Barkā's troops. Abākā Khān crossed the river Tarak [vul. Terek], entered the Daghti-Kibchāk, and reached their camp, which his troops found abandoned. Three days after, on the 1st of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, when totally off their guard-Guzidah says Hulākū's forces behaved most infamously in Barkā's territory-Barka, in person, attacked Hulaku's forces unawares, and drove them back with great loss. The river Tarak was frozen over at the time, and it gave way under the fugitives, the greater number of whom perished. Ābākā Khān, with the remnant, succeeded in reaching Shāburān. Barkā's forces then retired within their own frontier. Hulaku, on the 11th of Jamadful-Akhir, reached Tabriz, filled with rage and despondency at the upshot of affairs. He ordered great preparations to be made for a renewal of the war. in order, as soon as his preparations should be complete, to wipe out the disgrace, but death prevented him.

Other operations subsequently took place after Hulākū's death, but can have no place in this work. For the date of Barkā's succession, however, see note 6. page 1291.

It may not be amiss to mention here why Hulākū is known as the Īl-Khān, and his dynasty as the Īl-Khānī. Hulākū was subject to his brother Mangū, and the headings of all yarlīghs and other documents bore the name and title of Mangū Kā'ān. Hulākū had nothing whatever to do with the revenues of the countries west of the Āmūiah, which department pertained to Arghūn Ākā—Guzidah says his brother was Diwān of the revenue—and consequently Hulākū became renowned by the name of the Īl-Khān, il [the plural form when used is ilāt] signifying, in Turki, people, a society, assemblage, an array, race, tribe, etc., and Khān, a chief, but, among the Mughals, Khān is applied to a sovereign, as our author also mentions at page 862.

The Amir, Arghun Āķā, who for a period of thirty years had held the administration of the revenue affairs of I-rān, died, in his camp, in the plain of Rādakān of Tūs, in 673 H.

Dihli, to trade. In the audience hall of the sovereign the asylum of Islām and Sultān of the seven climes -May God long preserve his rule and sovereignty!—he received kindness and encouragement; and was distinguished by the reverence, and princely benefits of the Sultan. The grandees of this illustrious capital, every one of whom is a bright constellation in the firmament of Islām, and light-diffusing star in the sphere of the Faith. all deemed it right likewise to confer favours and benefits upon that illustrious Sayyid, who was [named] Ashrafud-Din, the son of the Sayyid, Jalal-ud-Din, the Sufi, and unto whom appertains the service of the khankah [monastery] of Nūr-ud-Din-i-A'mā [the Blind]-on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—in the city of Samrkand. From this eminent Savvid two statements were heard [by the author] respecting the firmness of Barka Khan in the Muhammadan faith. May God protect him and increase his blessings!

#### FIRST STATEMENT.

That eminent Sayyid thus related, that one of the Christians of Samrkand attained unto the felicity of Islām; and the Musalmāns of Samrkand, who are staunch in their faith, paid him great honour and reverence, and conferred great benefits upon him. Unexpectedly, one of the haughty Mughal infidels of Chin, who possessed power and influence, and the inclinations of which accursed one were towards the Christian faith, arrived at Samrkand. The Christians of that city repaired to that Mughal, and complained, saying: "The Musalmāns are enjoining our children to turn away from the Christian faith and serving 'Isā—on whom be peace!—and calling upon them to follow the religion of Mustafā [the Chosen one—Muḥammad]—on whom be peace!—and, in case

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Kur'an copying puppet, Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, his sovereign and patron, to whom this work is dedicated.

<sup>3</sup> Tarsāyān is used here again.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All the later copies of our author's work copied in India are more unctuous and diffuse in their glorification of the Muhammadan faith, and in their praises of their Prophet, than copies made in other parts of Islām, and they despatch all and everybody else "to hell" much oftener.

that gate becomes unclosed, the whole of our dependents will turn away from the Christian faith. By [thy] power and authority devise a settlement of our case.

That Mughal commanded that the youth, who had turned Musalman, should be produced; and they tried with blandishment and kindness, and money and wealth, in order to induce that sincere newly-converted Musalman to recant, but he did not recant; and that garment of freshness-the Musalman faith-he did not put off from his heart and spirit. That Mughal ruler then turned over a leaf in his temper, and began to speak of severe punishment; and every punishment, which it was in his power to inflict. or his severity to devise, he inflicted upon that youth, who, from his vast zeal for the faith of Islam, did not recant. and did not, in any way, cast away from his hand the sharbat of religion through the blow of infidel perverseness. As the youth continued firm to the true faith, and paid no regard to the promises and threats of that depraved set, the accursed Mughal directed so that they brought that youth to public punishment; and he departed from the world in the felicity of religion-God reward him and requite him!-and the Musalman community in Samrkand were overcome with despondency and consternation in consequence.7

Ashraf-ud-Din related on this wise: "A petition was got up, and was attested with the testimony of the chief men and credible persons of the Musalman religion dwelling at Samrkand, and we proceeded with that petition to the camp of Barka Khan, and represented [to him] an account of the proceedings and disposition of the Christians of that city. Zeal for the Muhammadan religion was manifested in the mind of that monarch of exemplary faith, and the defence of the truth became predominant in his disposition. After some days, he showed honour and reverence to this Sayyid, appointed a body of Turks

<sup>4</sup> With this sentence the best St. Petersburg copy of the text ends.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The following three pages and a half are not contained in the Haileybury, the Bodleian, or the Ro. As. Soc. copies of the text. The two latter begin and end with precisely the same words.

In one good copy of the text—'punishment with the sword.'

<sup>7</sup> The second British Museum copy is defective of the remainder of the text from this place.

and confidential persons among the chief Musalmans, and commanded that they should slaughter the Christian sect who had committed that dire oppression, and despatch them to hell.

"Having obtained that mandate, it was preserved until that wretched sect assembled together in the *kalīsā* [church]; and they seized them all together, and despatched the whole of them to hell, and reduced the church again to bricks."

This vengeance was [obtained] through the auspiciousness of that monarch towards the faith of Muhammad—the blessing and peace of Almighty God be upon him, and favourably regard among those of the true faith the Hanafi sect!

#### SECOND STATEMENT.

This same Sayyid, Ashraf-ud-Din, related, that, when Bātū Khān departed from this world, a son survived him, Surtāk¹ [by name]. He determined to proceed to the

The Calcutta Printed Text has مفسدان—rebels, seditious persons—for معتمدان

Barkā Khān ruled over the Dasht-i-Kibchāk, and its dependencies farther west, according to the Pro-Mughal authors, who wrote after our author; and, according to them, his authority did not extend to Samrkand; for the territories immediately east of the Jihūn or Āmūlah pertained to the descendants of Chaghatāe Khān. See note 1, page 1292.

Rubruquis mentions a city on the Ātil or Wolga, which he reached on his return homewards, under the name of Samarkant, which, he says, is encompassed like an isle with the river, when it overflows, that the Tartars [Mughals] were eight years besieging it before they could take it, and that it was inhabited by Ālāns and Muḥammadans. Whether such a city or town ever existed, under that name, is doubtful, but some have supposed it to be the city afterwards called Hājī-Tarkhān, Europeanized Astrakhan. The founder of that place has been mentioned however elsewhere.

His name is incorrectly given, in the remaining copies of the text available, as Surtāf—سرتان—a dot having been omitted from the last letter. The Calcutta Text makes it Surnāf—سرنان— Not only did Surtāk survive him, but also Üläghchi, another son, mentioned in a subsequent note.

On his way back from Mangū Kā'an's court, Rubruquis and his party were two months and ten days travelling from the urdū at Karā-Kuram to Bātū's urdū, in which space they found neither town, nor habitation, except one poor village [of felt tents probably], where they could not get even bread, and from time to time graves of the inhabitants. After he had travelled twenty days from Mangū's urdū, he heard that the king of Armenia had passed by, and at the end of August he met with Surtāk and his family, his flocks, and herds, going to the presence of Mangū Kā'ān—the very journey referred to by our author above. Rubruquis paid his respects to that Prince, who sent him two habits—dresses of honour—one for himself, and another for King Louis. The friar reached [the late] Bātū's urdū, at Sarāe, on the 16th September, 1254.

presence of Mangū Khān, from the country of Khiſchāk and Saksin, that, through the means of Mangū Khān, he might succeed to his father, Bātū's, position. On reaching the presence of Mangū Khān in the country of Tamghāj, he [after receiving him] sent him back with honour. As Surtāk shunned coming to the presence of his uncle, Barkā Khān, and altered his route, and did not come near his uncle, Barkā Khān despatched persons unto him, saying: "I am unto thee in the place of a father: why dost thou pass by like a stranger, and not come near me?" When the persons despatched delivered the message of Barkā Khān, Surtāk, the accursed, gave answer, saying: "Thou art a Musalmān, and I follow the Christian faith; to look upon the face of a Musalmān is unlucky"—The Almighty's curse be upon the whole of them [the Christians]!

When this unworthy remark reached that sovereign of Musalmāns, Barkā Khān, he entered into his khargāh alone, fixed a rope round his own neck, firmly secured the door of the khargāh with a chain, and stood up; and with the most entire humility, and most perfect submission, he began to weep and groan, and say: "O God! if the Muḥammadan faith and the laws of Islām are true, do me justice against Surtāķ." For the space of three nights and days, after having performed his religious duties, he continued, in this manner, to groan and lament, and to supplicate, until, on the fourth day, when Surtāķ, the accursed, arrived at that place of encampment, the hour of his death came. The Most High God afflicted him with bowel complaint; and he went to hell.

Rubruquis, who knew Surtak, did not think much of his Christianity.

4 Here the imperfect copies, previously referred to, begin again.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All are accursed who are not of his own faith: the same failing exists everywhere, no matter what the faith may be, but we might hope for something better from Christians, in these, so-called, "enlightened" days.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A large round tent constructed of *namads* or felts, such as are used by the Turkish nomads.

If Barkā was alone, it is strange that the very worthy Sayyid knew what he did, or what he said. This shutting himself up is more after the fashion of his ancestor, the Chingiz Khān, when he cried out to Tingri, than of a Musalmān. See page 954.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The place where death overtook him, probably, as just above we are told that Surtak would not come near Barka.

On the death of Baru Khan, his eldest son, Surtak, was in the camp of

Some [persons] related on this wise, that, on Mangü Khān perceiving signs of sedition upon the brow of Surtāk, he despatched confidential persons, secretly, so that they administered poison to the accursed Surtāk, and he departed to hell.

Barkā Khān took the wife [wives?] of Bātū Khān to wife; and there were fifteen sons and grandsons of the generation of Tūshi Khān, all of whom departed to hell. The possessions of the whole of them passed under the sway of Barkā Khān; and, through the auspiciousness [attendant on his embracing] the Muḥammadan faith, the whole of the territory of Khifchāk, Saksin, Bulghār, Saklāb, and Rūs, as far as the north-east [boundary] of Rūm, and Jund, and Khwārazm, came into his possession. In the year 658 H., which is that of the conclusion of this TABAKAT, parties of persons coming from the territory of Khurāsan state that Mangū Khān has departed to hell, and that, in all the cities of the east and west, and in the countries of 'Ajam, Māwarā-un-Nahr, and Khurāsān, the Khutbah is read for Barkā Khān; and that they have

Mangū Kā'ān. He was held in great estimation by the latter, who despatched him to his father's yūrat, to succeed to the sovereignty over his dominions. He never reached it, however, but died on the road in 651 H. Ulāghchī, another son, succeeded to the sovereignty, but he too died very soon after, in the same year. Some writers do not enter the names of these two brothers in the list of sovereigns of the house of Jūjī, and put Barkā, Barkah, or Barkāe, which is written in as many different ways, immediately after Bātū, his brother. Barkā ascended the throne in 652 H. Sir John Malcolm, in his History of Persia, among many other grave errors, says [p. 425, vol. I.] that "Barkah" was a descendant of "Chaghtae!"

- 7 A custom among the Mughals.
- The I. O. L. MS., No. 1952, the best Paris MS., the Ro. As. Soc. MS., and the Bodleian MS., all have any name but the correct one here, namely, and تربحان سربحان تربحان تربحان سربحان تربحان سوربحان مسلم and copy, but there ought not to have been any doubt as to who is undoubtedly referred to.
  - For Saksin and Rus the Calcutta Text has "Safin" and "Wurs."
- Our author appears to have been well informed upon most matters which happened about his own time especially, and he may be correct here too; and, no doubt, the above is what he heard. The Pro-Mughal authors, who began to write nearly a century after, under the patronage of the sovereigns of the houses of Hulākū and of Chaghatāe, and whose officials they were, out of policy, refrained from setting down anything likely to be unpalatable to their masters, as is amply proved by their writings. It is evident too that Bātū exercised authority in Khurāsān long before this time; for he appointed a governor to Hirāt in 638 H., and again in 641 H., as already mentioned, in

assigned to that Sultan the title of Jamal-ud-Din, Ibrahim; but God knows the reality.

In this same year, likewise, one of the great men among the 'Arabs, whom they style the Imām, Shams-ud-Din, the Maghrabi, has been despatched from the presence of his Lord, on a mission to the presence of the Asylum of the Universe, the Sultān of Sultāns, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Mahmūd Shāh—God perpetuate his sovereignty!—and, having dedicated services, which will be worthy of this Court, has caused himself to be strung upon the thread of the servants of this sovereign, the Asylum of the World, which felicity is, to him, the most excellent of all happiness.

May the Most High God prolong the happiness and felicity of the Monarch of the Universe to the extreme limit of possibility, and may He keep it uninterrupted and increasing; and grant that this TABAKAT may be deemed worthy of acceptance in the Sublime Audience-Hall, and that this author, in the garment of prayer, may, thereon, pronounce its conclusion with this verse:—

"Be Khigr, with the bowl of immortality, the Shāh's cup-bearer!

Be the portico of his gate like unto the nine-vaulted sphere!

May it continue in the world as long as the world continues—

The name of the TABAKAT of MAHMUD SHĀH-I-NĀṢIR-UD-DĪN."

note , page 1126. It is therefore clear, from these facts, that, in the interregnum which occurred on the death of Mangū Kā'ān, the parts above referred to must have come under the rule of Barkā, temporarily, or, as head of the famīly, until the time when, after the submission of Artuk-Būkā, also called Irtuk-Būkā, and death of Alghū, Kubilāe became established in the Kā'ān-ship, and, at which time, he assigned all Ī-rān-Zamīn, as far east as the Āmūīah, to Hulākū.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> No other writer mentions this title, but it is doubtless correct, for it was usual with the subsequent Mughal sovereigns, who became converts to Islām, to take a Musalmān name and title, as in the case of Nikūdār Aghūl, Hulākū's son, who was styled Sultān Ahmad: in fact, it is incumbent on a convert to take a Musalmān name.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Şāḥib: some have Khwājah. The four MSS. before mentioned, and the Printed Text, leave out the word Lord, and thus make the Imām come from the presence of himself! Who his Lord was, does not appear.

This was just sixty-seven years before the Maghrabi, Ibn-i-Batūtah, set out on his travels.

<sup>4</sup> The I. O. L. M.S.,-No. 1952, and Printed Text are defective here again: even the verse is imperfect.

#### CONCLUSION.

As much as was possible to, and lay in the power of, and came within the circle of the hearing of, and was related to, this votary, has been written and recorded. Should the observation of the ruler of the people of Islām—God perpetuate his sovereignty!—or of the Khākān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—may his prosperity endure!—or of the Maliks, or Nobles, or Grandees, or Ṣadrs, or Pillars of the State, or Eyes of the Faith, during the lifetime of the author, or subsequent to his decease, notice, in this TABAKĀT, an error, mistake, deficiency, or redundance, may they veil it with the skirt of the robe of kindness and forgiveness, which will be the extreme of favour, and perfection of benevolence.

Praise be unto Thee, O God, the all-sufficient helper in every respect! By Thy mercy, O Thou Most Merciful of the Merciful, have pity upon us! May God bless the best of His creation—Muḥammad, the chief of the prophets, the noblest of the dwellers in earth and in heaven, and all the prophets and divine messengers, and their descendants, every one of them!

The frailest of the servants of the Divine, MINHAJ-I-SARAJ, the Jūrjāni, who is the author of this TABAKAT—Almighty God protect him!—thus states, that, when this History was submitted by him to the SULTAN, NASIR-UD-DIN, MAHMUD SHAH—God prolong his reign!—he ordained him a royal dress of honour, and the washak' [marten] pelisse [trimmed] with fine ermine, which was on his own blessed shoulders. He likewise bestowed upon the author an allowance of 10,000 jītals yearly, and the grant of a village; and, when a transcription of this History was transmitted to the KHAKAN-I-MU'AZZAM

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Not one of the four now remaining copies of the text has this word correct. The Printed Text too is as defective and incorrect here as elsewhere. The waghak is described as an animal something similar to the fox, of the skins of which they make postlas or pelisses; and it is said that whoever wears one will not be troubled with hemorrhoids.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The revenue arising from the village, without prejudice to the proprietary rights of the landholders.

ULUGH KHĀN-I-A'ZAM—may his prosperity endure!—he sent [to the author] 20,000 jītals in ready money, a Māhi Subāḥi, a bundle of ermine, and another of fox [skin]. This strophe, out of gratitude for those gifts, was pronounced [by the author] and inscribed upon the back of the Khān's copy. Strophe:—

"To the Shahr-yar of the universe, Ulugh Khan, he Who is Khan of the Ilbari, and Shah of the Yamak."

Whosoever found acceptance in his presence
Never more turned face towards the heavens.
Before him [indeed] who is Ḥāṭim-i-Ṭā-f?
Near unto him what is Yaḥyā-i-Barmak?
The dust from the tablet of the heart of Minhāj—
The cares of the world—he with kindness wiped away.
Listen to this assertion from me, all people,
By the way of certainty, not by the road of doubt.—
Ninety and nine shares of [his] generosity belong to me:
Of it, all others have but one out of a hundred.
Every prayer I may offer up from the heart for him,
The angels, with sincerity, say, Āmīn! thereto."

## The book of MINHAJ-I-SARAJ,2 the JURJANI, on the 5th

7 The Printed Text has معبود—established, fixed, etc., while the MSS. copies have صعدود—counted, numbered, etc., and ready-money, which must have been sufficiently apparent from the context.

Our author was much more fortunate than some authors of the present day, who, in many cases, find their writings transferred to another man's book, who appropriates your labours as his own work, trades upon the fruit of your brains, gets honours for them, and probably abuses the work he pirates.

• Certain emblems of rank and honours conferred upon and carried before princes and great men, denoted by the figure of a fish— $m\bar{a}h\bar{i}$ —and other insignia, also styled Māḥi-Marātib, or something of a similar kind.

<sup>9</sup> See page 1097. And yet this Ilbari Turk is one of many other Tu:ks whom compilers of Indian History turn into "Afghāns," and "Patāns," which words are synonymous. See pages 599 and 796.

<sup>1</sup> The paragons of Oriental liberality and generosity. A good anecdote of Yahyā-i-Barmak is contained in Lane's "Arabian Nights," Vol. 2.

The I. O. L. MS., No. 1952, Ro. As. Soc., and Bodleian MSS., all have bin here, while the Printed Text omits it, an izāfat being understood. Here is an illustration, and a very good one, taken in connexion with the Khalīfah's words, announcing the death of our author's father, at page 244, as well as in many other places herein, which demolishes the theory put forth by the late Mr. W. H. Blochmann, M.A., in "The Journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society" for 1876, page 325, that "Minháj i Siráj does not mean in prose 'Minháj the son of Siráj,' but 'Minháj who writes under the name of Siráj';" that "his name is not Minháj ud Dín, the son of Siráj ud Dín," and that "the izáfat is never used in prose in place of Arabic bin," while, at the same time, the

of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, in the year 658 H., was brought to its

#### CONCLUSION.

Calcutta Printed Text has actually "Mināj-ud-Dín, bin Sarāj-ud-Dín," on its title-page! Our author's own words, too, in the body of the work, completely disprove these rash statements. See the Memoir of the author, page xix, and APPENDIX, page xviii.

<sup>3</sup> This would be about the 17th February, 1260 A.D. At page 865, he says he finished it in Shawwäl—the tenth month—while, at page 799, he states that he completed it in Rajab—the seventh month!

## APPENDIX A.

On the year of the occupation of Dihlī by Malik, afterwards Sultān, Kutb-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak, and the inscription on the minārah of Kutb Sāḥib, page 621.

MR. H. BLOCHMANN, M.A., in Part III., of his "Contributions to the History and Geography of Bengal," in the Bengal Asiatic Fournal for 1875, criticises the date given by our author, and by me, for the occupation of Dihli by Kutb-ud-Din, I-bak, at page 515, and would, instead, fix upon the year 587 H. for that event. He says:—"Mr. E. Thomas fixes it at 587 H. as consistent with the best authorities." But who are these best authorities? Two pages farther on, Mr. Blochmann states that "the Tabaqát is the only authority we possess for this period."

Now I will just give a specimen of Mr. Thomas' "best authorities." At page 11 of his "PATHÁN KINGS OF DEHLÍ," he says: "In 587, in a more extended expedition into Hindustán, Muhammad Ghori was totally routed on the memorable field of Thaneswar. \* \* \* After a year's repose \* \* \* on the self-same battle ground, he again encountered his former adversary \* \* \* This time fortune favoured the Ghories \* \* \* By this single victory the Muhammadans may be said to have become the virtual masters of Hindustán," &c., &c.

I will take it for granted that a year after 587 means 588 H., but turn to the foot-note at page 23 of the same work. There Mr. Thomas, forgetting, apparently, what he wrote a few pages before, says:—"As regards the historical evidence to the date 587 A. H. for the capture of Dehli by the Muslims, it is complete and consistent with the best authorities!"

Mr. Thomas adds "and Minháj-us-Siráj repeats in various forms, while treating of the life of Aibeg, the confirmation of the same date." In this I cannot agree with him. Let us turn to page in of the Calcutta Printed Text, the foot-note, and also to this Translation, page 515, in both of which we find [leaving out the first defeat by the

Hindus, but again referring to Kutb-ud-Din's being taken captive], he "took possession of that place—Mirath—in 587 H. [see note 5, page 515 of this Translation]. From Mirath likewise he issued forth in the year 588 H., and

captured Dihli."

These are the actual words in the different MSS. collated. It is not actually said that Dihli was taken in 588 H., merely that Kutb-ud-Din, in 588 H., marched from Mirath, and it must have been towards the close of that year, as will be shown farther on, for, according to the Tājul-Ma'āṣir [see page v of this] he had to start to relieve Hānsi in the ninth month of that year, and only took Mirath after that. It is evident, therefore, that Minhāj-ud-Din did not intend it to be understood that Dihli was taken and made the seat of government in 588 H., unless he stultifies himself by upsetting his previous statements at pages 248, 378, 456, 457, and 464, which see.

I will now leave the "best authorities" and go to facts.

Minhāj-ud-Din states [pages 456-477] that troubles arose in Khwarazm in consequence of the outbreak of Sultan Shāh, the Khwārazmi, in 587 H.; that, subsequently [but in the same year], Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām, advanced into India, took Tabarhindah; left a garrison there with orders to hold out for six months, and was preparing to retire [in consequence of the hot season, it being the third or fourth month, at latest, of 587 H.—April or May, 1191 A.D.]; was defeated by Rae Pithora; and had to retire, leaving the garrison still there. In the cold season of that year-five or six months after-instead of being able to return as he intended, he was under the necessity of preparing to attend his brother, Sultan Ghiyas-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām, along with other dependent Princes and their troops, against Sultan Shah, the Khwarazmi Prince, who threatened Ghiyas-ud-Din, Muhammad's dominions in Khurāsān. Besides, Mu'izz-ud-Din had been badly wounded in the first battle, and it must have taken him some time to recover. This campaign, Minhāj-ud-Din states, at pages 248 and 378, took place in 588 H., and occupied six months. Kutb-ud-Din accompanied his master, and was taken captive by the Khwārazmis, but, after a battle, and defeat of the enemy, he was re-captured. "This victory," says Minhāj-ud-Din, "was achieved in the year 588 н."

I also take it for granted that Mr. Blochmann will allow that this capture of Kutb-ud-Din must have taken place before he captured Dihli. But what will totally overturn any theories on this matter, unless people will not be convinced, is the fact that Minhāj-ud-Din's relative, Kāzi, Muhammad, the Tulaki [Mr. Dowson's "Kází Túlak"], was left with a body of troops to hold Tabarhindah for the space of six months [that is to the next cold season—the ninth or tenth month of 587 H.—September or October, IIQI A.D.]. Why did he do this, it may be asked? and the answer is plain enough: he could not remain in India any longer with safety. The hot season was close at hand, and he would have been unable to return if he stayed much longer, for, besides the heat, the six mighty rivers in his rear would have all been unfordable, and would have to be crossed by boats, even if boats were procurable, a dangerous matter with regard to most of those rivers at that season, witness the strong Railway Bridges washed away in these days. The Sultan, having been defeated immediately after he placed the Kazi in Tabarhindah, and having subsequently to accompany his brother towards Marw, where they were occupied six months, could not return as he intended, and the Kazi, having held out over thirteen months [see Translation, page 464], the Sultan still not having come, had to give it up to the Hindus.

Now if we calculate, say, fourteen or fifteen months from the first defeat, for the Sultan's return [i. e. from the setting in of the hot season—the ninth month of 587 H.], we shall come to the last month of 588 H.; and, in the same way, if we calculate six months of 588 H. for the operations in Khurāsān. we must allow some little time for the Sultan to reach Ghaznin, and he would then even require a month or two to prepare for a campaign in India; and besides, even if he were ready before, he could not move towards India during the height of the hot season. There were the same six mighty rivers to be crossed, and all unfordable at that period; and, all these things being thought of, it was utterly impossible for Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sam, to have entered India, at the earliest, before the middle of September or October—the end of the ninth or tenth month of 588 H., previous to which period no man in his senses, would have attempted to march from Ghaznin, to cross the six rivers, and advance into India.

Then followed the battle with Rāe Pithorā, Ķutb-ud-Din is left in charge at Kuhrām, and the Sultān prepared to return home again.

These being the facts, how is it possible, on Mr. Thomas's "best authorities," that Kutb-ud-Din could have occupied Dihli in 587 H.?

I am glad also to find that General Cunningham, on his visit to Dihli in 1862, considered that 589 H. and not 587

H. was the correct date on the Minarah-not of " Outbuddin Aibeg," about which so many reams of paper have been written, but of a wholly different KUTB. I refer to the date on this Minārah about which "doctors disagree," and with regard to which Mr. Thomas would fix on 587 H. for the occupation of Dihli, and so all other dates must be made to suit it, and Mr. Blochmann too prefers 587 H. I suppose, however, that all the "best authorities" never considered how it could be possible for Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din to be defeated by Rae Pithora just before the hot season of 587 H., to take "a year's repose" [Thomas], again enter India, be occupied some time even then against Rae Pithora before finally overthrowing him [according to the Tāj-ul-Ma'asir also, leave Kutb-ud-Din at Mirath, retire again from India, for Kutb-ud-Din, subsequent to all this to occupy Dihli, build a great Mosque, upon which [notwithstanding the address of the President of the Archæological Section at the Oriental Congress of 1874] Musalman artisans brought from different parts of Asia were employed, and all these events to have happened in the one year of 587 H.! The idea is simply preposterous.

It occurs to me, on considering this subject further, that the inscription on the fourth circlet of the lower story of the Minarah as given in Thomas [PATHAN KINGS, page 21-22] refers not to Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Sam, if the name given is correct, but to his elder brother. It will be found at pages 368 and 370 of this Translation, and in the corresponding places in the original, that the elder brother and suzerain of Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Sam, was first called Muhammad and his title was Shamsud-Din, and that the younger brother was also called Muhammad, and his title was Shihāb-ud-Din. The first brother. after he came to the throne, assumed the titles of "Ghiyāsud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Muhammad, son of [Bahā-ud-Din] Sam, Kaşim-i-Amir-ul-Müminin," and after the successes in Khurāsān, in 588 H., the younger brother, Muhammad, who, up to that time, bore the title of Shihāb-ud-Din, received the title of Mu'izz-ud-Din, so that, when defeated by Rāe Pithorā, he bore the title of Shihāb-ud-Din, but afterwards, on his return the second time, Mu'izz-ud-Din. This may account for the subsequent Indian Muhammadan writers calling him Shihāb and Mu'izz indiscriminately.

At the period in question, when these inscriptions are said to have been recorded [I fancy they were recorded subsequently. See note 6, page 621, of this Translation], the elder brother and suserain was still living, and lived for ten years after; and, I imagine, it will be allowed, that the

two sovereigns, and both the brothers, at the same identical time, could not bear the title of Kaşim-i-Amir-ul-Mūminin, or Ghiyāş-ud-Din, and, therefore, leaving out the additional titles, the work of the artist probably, the title in the said inscription is,—"SULTĀN-US-SALĀTĪN, GHIYĀS-UD-DUN-YĀ WA UD-DĪN, MUḤAMMAD, BIN SĀM, ĶASĪM-I-AMĪR-UL-MŪMINĪN," and throughout the inscription [given by Thomas] the name of Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, or Shihāb-ud-Dīn even, never once occurs.

The Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir is quoted as an authority, and a sufficient authority, to upset the statements of Minhāj-ud-Dīn, whose father, Sarāj-ud-Dīn, was Ķāẓī of Sulṭān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn's army, and whose kinsman, the Ķāẓī of Tūlak, was present on the spot; but I do not place trust in the statements contained in that inflated work, unless they are corroborated or confirmed by some other con-

temporary writer.

In ELLIOT [page 211, vol. ii.] it is stated that the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir is rare in Europe. I have had four copies to compare with the extracts from it given in that work, and I find that the date mentioned there—587 H.—for the Sulṭān's victory [it totally ignores his defeat] over Rāe Pithorā, is written [which may be either or without any points in two copies of the four MSS., in the third with one dot over and one under, and in the fourth with one dot over and one under, and in the fourth for one of the four may be either 7 or 9, just as one chooses to read it; but, as the first battle, according to every other author who has written on the subject, took place in 587 H., the same year, 587 H., cannot, for reasons already stated, be the same in which the Sulṭān defeated Rāe Pithorā, and the former's slave occupied Dihlī. See note 6, page 521, para. 3 of this Translation.

If the "best authorities" had looked at the Tāj-ul-

If the "best authorities" had looked at the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir attentively however [see also Elliot, vol. ii., page 217], they would have found that, even according to that work, in Ramaẓān, the ninth month of 588 H.—the middle of October [1192 A.D.]—Kutb-ud-Din had to march from Kuhṛām to relieve Hānsi [see also note 2 to page 516 of this Translation], and that, subsequently, "When" [according to Elliot, page 219], "the chief luminary threw its shade in the sign of Libra, and temperate breezes began to blow, after putting to flight the army of heat, Kutbu-d-din marched from Kahram and took Mirath," and subsequent to that "he then encamped under the fort of Dehli, which was also captured." This means 587 H. I suppose?

These events are very briefly, but most clearly and

unmistakeably recorded in Faṣiḥ-i, in which it is stated:—
"588 H. a battle between Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Sām, son of Ḥuṣain, Lord of Ghaznin, and the Rāe of Dihli; the Rāe is slain in the battle; Dihli [territory] subdued; Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad, locates Malik Ķuţb-ud-Din, I-bak, in the fort of Kuhṛām, assigns him the government of Dihli [territory], and retires from Hind. 589 H. Malik Ķuţb-ud-Din, I-bak, marches from Kuhṛām towards Dihli [the city], captures Dihli with its dependencies."

If Mr. Blochmann had looked at "that excellent work" the Haft-Iklim, he would have seen therein stated, that the defeat of Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Sām, took place in 587 H., his victory in 588 H., and that Dihli was occupied,

as the seat of government, in 589 H.

The Tabakāt-i-Akbari, the author of which "must have had the good MSS. older than" mine, also says, "defeated 587 H, victorious 588 H., Dihli occupied and made the seat of government by Kutb-ud-Din, in 589 H."

The Tazkarat-ul-Mulūk also says, first battle and defeat of Mu'izz-ud-Din 587 H., his victory 588 H., Dihli taken 589 H., and, next year, 590 H., Mu'izz-ud-Din came again

on an expedition to Kinnauj.

The Tārikh-i-Alfi says that the Sultān gained the victory over Rāe Pithorā in the year 578 of the Rihiat, that is 588 H.

The Zubdat-ut-Tawārikh also says that Dihli was made the seat of government in 589 H., and that, in the following year, 590 H., the Sultān returned on the expedition against Kinnauj.

The Muntakhab-ut-Tawārikh likewise says that Dihli

was made the seat of government in 589 H.

Budā'ūni and Firishtah also will be found to agree with the Tabakāt-i-Akbari; and, to crown the whole, and put the finishing touch to the picture, "the A'ín," so often quoted by Mr. Blochmann, says that the first battle and defeat of the Sultān took place in 587 H., the second and victory in 588 H., and that in the same year his slave took Dihli, but nothing is said of his making it the seat of government; and this agrees with the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir, where nothing is said of making Dihli the capital in that year; but that, "from Dihli," after staying some time there, "he marched forth against Kol, in 590 II."

I need not say more on this head, I think, and do not doubt but that Mr. Thomas is open to conviction.

## APPENDIX B.

On the name of Malik, afterwards Sultān, Ķutb-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak-i-Shil—of the Powerless Finger, page 513, and the use of the Izāfat in Persian.

In the "Contributions" previously referred to, the correctness of the name, and by-name of this Turkish slave-

king is criticised.

Mr. Blochmann "thought" the name of "Qutbuddín of the Paralyzed Hand," [see BRIGG'S translation of Firishtah, referred to in note at page 519 and 521 of this Translation, which makes a very energetic warrior of him, considering his "Paralyzed Hand"], had been "set at rest" by Mr. Thomas—but in this I cannot agree any more than in the date 587 H. for the occupation of Dihli, and 599 and 600 for the conquest of Bengal—and he says that my different MSS. "have clearly the same words as the Bibl.-Indica Edition of the Tabaqát" but I assert to the contrary: my MSS. run thus:—

## بظاهر جمالي ندائت و انكشت خنصر او شكستكي داشت

but, in the Calcutta Text, after the word! the words ——"of a" or "the hand"—occur, and the Hamilton MS., the worst of the whole number collated, has the same, but the other two MSS. from which the Printed Text is taken have not those words, and another MS. has ——"of a" or "the foot"—but all the rest of the MSS. are as I have given it above, and translated it.

I fail to see much difference in Mr. Blochmann's "literal translation:"—" Outwardly he had no comeliness, and his little FINGER [of one hand] possessed an infirmity. For this reason they called him Aibak-i-Shall [Aibak with the paralyzed HAND]" and my: "He possessed no outward comeliness, and the little finger [of one hand?] had a fracture, and on that account he used to be styled I-bak-i-Shil [the powerless-fingered]." The only difference is that where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate where I translate

the word with —guftandi—which is the imperfect tense of the verb, used also to imply continuity or habitude, and is not the PAST tense, and that I give to the meaning of a concrete noun. I see no reason to alter my translation, as lexicographers, who are supposed to know something of the meanings of words, render a rupture, a fracture, defeat, as well as breaking, brokenness, &c.

Mr. Blochmann calls the Haft-Iklim "an excellent work," and in this I quite agree with him. Let him look at it however, and he will find with respect to Kutb-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Shil, that, in it, are the following words— which I defy any one to translate otherwise than—from, or on this, that his little FINGER WAS BROKEN they used to call him Ī-bak." Which hand is not stated.

The author of the Tabakāt-i-Akbari, Budā'ūni, and even Firishtah, all of whom Mr. Blochmann states ["Contributions," page 138], "MUST HAVE HAD very good MSS. of the 'Tabaqát-i-Náçtrl,'" have THE VERY SAME WORDS, copying one from the other, as are contained in the Haft-Iklim, the Tazkarat-ul-Mulūk has the same, and also the Muntakhab-ut-Tawārikh. Some others say the same, but I need not name them here, as those I have mentioned are easily obtained for reference, but all leave out the without which will—finger, is meaningless. Mr. Blochmann quotes the Shams-ul Lughat: let him look at it for the word will and he will see these words——I.bak with kasr means FINGER," as well as the other meanings mentioned in the "Contributions."

The Tārikh-i-Majāmi'-ul-Khiyār—not the work even of a resident in India-has جون انكشت خنصر او شكسته بود او را ايبك شل كعتند -" As his little finger was broken they called him I-bak-i-Shil." The Zubdat-ut-Tawārikh, which copies Minhāj-ud-Din, has the same words as given in this Translation; and it is satisfactory to know that those authors; who say his as I have read the word شلستكي as I have read Of course, neither Minhāj-ud-Din, nor any other who writes I-bak-i-Shil which even, on Mr. Blochmann's own showing, is in the Calcutta Printed Text as in other copies, is right in putting whether it be shil or shall LAST, and it ought, according to Mr. Blochmann, to be inverted into "Shall Aibak," otherwise it is "un-Persian." None of these authors who write I-bak-i-Shil therefore, according to this theory, could have known their own language! He also, in his literal translation, renders the passage "and his little finger [of one hand] possessed an infirmity," and yet he turns him into "Aibak with the

paralyzed HAND." Because one finger was broken, or "possessed an infirmity," it does not follow that the whole hand was paralyzed. Mr. Blochmann could not have thought of these matters when he proceeded to criticise

the correctness of my rendering.

I have never said that I-bak alone meant Ī-bak of the broken finger, but, with shil added to it—I-bak-i-Shil—as I have already stated in note I, page 513-14 of this Translation, and I have also stated that, in Turkish, I-bak "means finger" only: not broken or fractured-fingered, or the like. Mr. Blochmann could not have read the notes through, or failed to see what I said of I-bak-i-Lang in the same note. Nor have I said that Î-bak was not Turkish, for he was a Turk, and so bore a Turkish name.

Neither have I ever hinted, much less stated, that his real name was Kutb-ud-Din: to have said so would have been absurd. That is his Musalmān titular name only, as Shams-ud-Din was the Musalmān title of his slave, I-yaltimish. In my note I, page 513, I have said that Kutb-ud-Din could not have been his real name, nor I-bak either, which I looked upon as a nick-name or by-name. So Mr. Blochmann here, unknown to himself probably, has come to the same conclusion. I should not write his name however under any circumstance "Qutbuddin," any more than I should translate it Thepolestarofthefaith, but Kutb-ud-Din—The Pole-star of [the] Faith.

There is not the least cause for "the izāfat" to be cancelled in I-bak-i-Shil: to do so would be contrary to the primary and simplest rules of the Persian Grammar—the Irāni I mean—of the "Túráni" dialect I know nothing. In Shil I-bak an adjective precedes the noun, and the izāfat—does not take place; but, when the adjective or qualifying word follows the noun, the kasrah of izāfat is required. See the "A'in," page 629 for an example, where Mr. Blochmann himself writes "A'ZAM KHAN, vide KHANI-A'ZAM." Any Persian Grammar, however simple, will show this, as well as Lumsden, or Sir W. Jones, Forbes, &c. The following is given as an example, and is very pertinent to the subject:—

"The last letter of every Persian word is quiescent, or un-accented—i. e. هم الله على asp, a horse; هم الله asp, a horse; هم asp, a horse; هم الله asp, a horse; هم الله الله mard, a man. But, in composition, when such word is either the معلى -muṣāf, or governing noun, or the mauṣūf, or substantive noun, the last letter must be accented with the kasrah of iṣāfat: as for example—الله asp-i-jald—a swift horse; الله asp-i-jald—a swift horse; الله mard-i-nek—a good man; الله الله rāh-i-rāst

—a true or right way, the *kasrah* being the sign of the governing noun, or the antecedent of the relative adjective."

Again: "When the adjective follows the substantive, the latter must be accented with the kasrah; as السبر ساه asp-i-sīāh—a black horse, but, on the contrary, when the adjective precedes the noun, the kasrah must not be used, as ساه المناه sīāh asp—a black horse. The same rule is likewise applicable to the governing and the governed nouns substantive; as المناه ال

jahān-shāh-world-king," &c.

When I learned these simple rules just thirty years since, I did not expect I should have to quote them again. Shil I-bāk therefore and I-bak-i-Shil, and I-bak-i-Lang, as he is styled in the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, and in Fanākatī, come under these rules, but no writer who pretended to elegance of style would prefer the former to the latter. In which Mr. Blochmann himself translates ["Contributions," page 136] mīr-i-māh, "Lord of the Moon," why is he so un-Persian, and why does he not "cancel the izáfat," and write who mah-mir—Moon Lord? and without an artificial izāfat whence comes "of the"?

I do not know that any one has said that Mr. Thomas is not quite correct in looking upon stall as "the original name." I, certainly, have not said so. I only write I-bak what Mr. Thomas writes Aibeg and Mr. Blochmann Aibak, but I think Mr. Blochmann would have some difficulty in showing me the word written with a madd, viz.: stall He certainly cannot show it to me in any copy of the Tabakāti-Nāṣiri, and I never saw it anywhere else so written.

As to what is given as the legend on coins he is said to have issued, and his being merely called I-bak therein, which Mr. Blochmann deems quite sufficient to refute me by my own remarks, it is evident that, before Mr. Blochmann had calmly read my statements, he penned this portion of his "Contributions." I read in the legend given at page 525 of this Translation the words—Sultan Kutbud-Din, I-bak, as plain as it is possible to print. He would scarcely have put shil or shall upon his coins. Did Timūr add the word Lang to the legend on his? Of course not. See the ADDITIONAL NOTE to this Translation, on the subject of the legends on these coins: end of Nāṣir ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, page 717.

I do not consider that Mr. Thomas or any one else has "set this question at rest" with respect to "Aibeg;" and had Mr. Blochmann not been quite so hasty he might have

read a note in this Translation [note ', page 729], where I have remarked upon the number of other Maliks styled while—some five or six or more, including Ulugh-Khān's brother.

As to there being no such word as <u>shil</u> in Persian meaning limp, weak, soft, paralyzed, &c. ["Contributions," page 136] I do not agree with Mr. Blochmann. It is not "Turání," and may be Irāni, or possibly local, and peculiar to the Fārsiwāns of Afghānistān, but is commonly used; and another Persian word—<u>shul</u>—is used with it in the sense mentioned. As to Mr. Blochmann's "rare Arabic word shal or shall [which "rare" word I have also referred to in my note, page 513], he says it means "having a withered hand," but I say it means a hand or foot paralyzed or powerless, &c., on the authority of an excellent Lexicon in Persian, which explains it thus:—

I think I may venture to assert that Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Sām, was rather unlikely to have purchased a slave with the whole of one hand paralyzed: a finger broken or paralyzed would have been no very great detriment, but how could a one-hand paralyzed man fight on horseback? See too the wonderful feats Dow and BRIGGS—not Firishtah—make him perform. As to its being "a rare Arabic word" I beg to say that it is a most common one among the Afghāns: in fact, they rarely ever use another word, except by adding be shull to it—
"shall-o-shull." See my Pushto Dictionary, page 656.

In the following page [137] of his "Contributions" Mr. Blochmann, referring to my mentioning in a note to my Translation, that Ārām Shāh, said to be the son of I-bak, and, by some, the adopted son, is called I-bak's brother by Abū-I-Fazl, says he takes "the opportunity to justify Abul-Fazl, and that, in his [own] A'in text, Abul-Fazl states twice distinctly that A'rám Sháh was Aibak's son." Mr. Blochmann's A'in may, but in my A'in—the MS. I quoted, and which is now before me—a "good old copy"—has these words, in which may be a clerical error:—

در چوکان بازی نقد زندگانی در باخت امرا ارام شاه برادر او را بر مسند فرماندهی نشاندند

At page 137 of his "Contributions" Mr. Blochmann considers the word of ai "a moon" in the word ento occur in other names of Indian History, and in what he calls "Ai-tigin" or Étigin [he is not certain which perhaps: of can be written É in "Túráni" pro-

bably], and in "Ai-lititmish, the emperor Altamsh," but unfortunately i with madd over the does not occur in either of those names nor will Mr. Blochmann show them to me so written even in the Bibl.-Indica edition of the

"Tabagát."

If "Ai-lititmish" be the name of the so-called "emperor" [but why not write also the "emperor" Maḥmūd, son of Sabuk-Tigin, the "emperor" Mu'izz-ud-Din, and the "emperor" Kutb-ud-Din? They were Sultans by title as well as "Ai-lititmish" was] and if "Ai-lititmish" be right why style him "Altamsh" still? Such must be "behind modern research." If is be contained in the words and ابتكير — there are no madds here — and entirely separate from the نعش and نعش of those words, how does Mr. Blochmann account for the words Kal-timish, عليش Tak-timish, and سليش —Sal-timish? These are names often occurring as well as ابلغض — I-yaltimish, elsewhere than in Indian history, because they are Turk names, but the last part of these compound words and the first part تعش sometimes written بعن and the first part and الل and سل عير and عل respectively, and not عل at all. After this same fragile theory, I-yal-Arsalan -- ابل ارسلان and I-val dūz—المال which latter the author of the Tabakāt-i-Nāsiri and some others write بلدوز Yal-dúz [where is the "ايلدوز is said to mean a star in ايلدوز āi 'a moon'" here ? ايلدوز Turkish], those names must be written Ai-liarsalan and Ai-lilduz. I should like to know the titles of these "oldest Dictionaries" which give the pronunciation "Ailititmish." No, no, the " ai 'a moon'" in these last names is all moonshine.

In the Farang-i-Rashidi—a Dictionary published in the Bibl. Indica Series, among the meanings assigned to six-fingered. This is something new. In that work also si signifying moon, is not written i with madd.

Since the above was written I also find that the same Dictionary contains the word  $\omega$  but that form of it which signifies, soft, ductile, lax, feeble, relaxed, weak, &c., is written with short u—shul, which is evidently the same word as used by the Afghāns referred to at page xi of this.

#### APPENDIX C.

On the correct name of the conqueror of Bihār and Lakhaṇawaṭī, Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Bakht-yār-ud-Dīn, the Khalj, and others of his tribe, and the use of the kasrah of izāfat for bin, son of, &c., &c.

THE name of this Malik is also criticised in the "Contri-

butions," page 137. Mr. Blochmann says:-

"The only thing we knew hitherto (and I believe it is all we know now) is that the conqueror of Bengal was called

Muhammad Bakhtyár, and the name of his paternal uncle was Muhammad Mahmúd.

"The names of these two persons Major Raverty breaks up, by introducing an artificial izidfat, or sign of the genitive [see ante on the use of the izāfat and the and any Grammar on the subject], into four names, viz. Muhammad-i-Bakhtyár, and Muhammad-i-Mahmúd \*\*\*\*

Major Raverty says in explanation that "in his older MSS." the word bin, or son, is inserted between the words Muhammad and Bakhtyár in the heading of Chapter V., which contains the biography of the conqueror of Bengal; hence the conqueror of Bengal was Muhammad, and "the father's name, it appears, was Bakhtyár, the son of Mahmúd." It is not stated in how many MSS. this bin occurs; but, though it occur in the heading, it never occurs in the text.

The name of Muhammad Bakhtyár occurs more than thirty times in Major Raverty's Chapters V. and VI. (pages 548 to 576); but in every case Major Raverty gives Muhammad-i-Bakhtyár, i. e. the Izáfat. Hence his MSS. have no bin in the text. In the heading of Chapter VI., there is no bin, though Major Raverty puts it in; he tries even to do so in the heading to Chapter VIII., in the name

<sup>1</sup> See page 549 and note 4.

of Husámuddín 'Iwaz, and "one or two authors" get the credit of it."

"Nor does the word bin occur in the MSS. of the Tajul-Maasir, in Firishtah, the Tabaqat i Akbari, Badaoni, and later writers, though the authors of these histories must have had very good MSS. of the Tabaqat i Naçiri, some of which, in all probability, were older than those in Major Raverty's possession. Hence I look upon the correctness

of the solitary bin as doubtful."

My answer is, I "put" nothing "in": the Tāj-ul-Ma'āşir has no Arabic headings like the Tabakāt-i-Nāşiri, and does not use the word bin, but, that work not being written in the "Turani idiom," the Kasrah of izāfat, where necessary, is understood. The author of the Taj-ul Ma'asir, which work Mr. Blochmann has, of course, examined, could not possibly have had a "good" or "old copy" of the "Tabaqát" seeing that it was not written until more than thirty vears after, the Taj-ul-Ma'asir was finished. Neither has the Tabakāt-i-Akbari Arabic headings, Budā'uni says he copies from his patron's work. I have already shown, in my notes 6 and 4 to pages 697 and 711, and in many other places of this Translation, what the Tabakat-i-Akbari is. The Author in all probability saw the Tabakāt-i-Nāsiri. but, as I suppose, he did not take the trouble to collate different copies, or devote a year or two to that task alone, as I have done, and contented himself with one—for example say the I.O.L. MS. 1952, "a good old copy" too, which one person, at least, styles an "autograph"—the short comings of the Tabakat-i-Akbari may be accounted Firishtah contains nothing whatever—not a single event -respecting the Turk Sultans of the Mu'izzi and Shamsi dynasties, but what is contained in the Tabakāt-i-Akbari, even to the poetical quotations and the blunders also.

I do not propose to change the name of the "conqueror of Bengal": I do more: I do change it without the least hesitation on the authority of the best extant copies of the text of the "Tabaqát," which, as Mr. Blochmann most correctly observes, "is the only authority we possess for this period," and it will require positive proof to the contrary to make me give up the point. Because a name has been written incorrectly before, on wrong assumption, or on mere theories, and because the two names Muḥammad and Bakht-yār have been handed down and repeated from one writer to another as that of one man only, is there any reason why such error should be obstinately stuck to through thick and thin?

But at the same time I must state that I have naught to

gain or lose by the change: I have no object in changing it, and only do so on the "undoubted authority" of my author. The matter lies in a nut-shell: either the father was called Bakht-yār, or he was not. If he was so called, then he has hitherto had the credit for what his son performed.

As to Muhammad with the kasrah of isāfat being correct, I fancy Mr. Blochmann, even in a Muhammadan "School Register," [a great authority certainly,] never found one person called Muhammad Mahmud without the last referred to his father-certainly not if a Musalman in his senses wrote it down. But with regard to the "conqueror's" name, Muhammad, and Bakht-yār—that is to say Bakht-yār-ud-Dīn—his father's name, the word bin—son of —I first noticed in the oldest British Museum copy, one of the three best I have had for my translation, and Professor Rieu, on whose words, opinion, and experience in such matters, I place implicit confidence, considers it a MS. of the 14th century—or about a century after the time that Minhāj-ud-Dīn wrote. The word bin also occurs in the other British Museum MS., and in the best St. Petersburg copy, which is another of the three I refer to, and in the very old copy I have—which apparently looks, but may not be, much older than either of the other two-the whole of the headings are pointed, and in this last MS. the word bin does not occur, for at this particular place, as well as in a few other instances where bin, as in the case of Muhammad bin Süri, of whom more anon, is, subsequently, given, the bin has clearly been left out, accidentally, by the copyist. Mr. Blochmann's "solitary bin" also occurs in the best Paris copy. So bin-"son of"-occurs in four MSS.: in three of the best and oldest copies; the izafat in a fourth which often uses the izāfat for BIN in other instances where son of is undoubtedly meant; and bin in a fifth, considered to be a precious "autograph" of the author's. In the other MSS. vowel points are not marked, but the izāfat is, without doubt, meant there, as in other places where not marked. The "one or two authors" seems to be disapproved of-I had an object in not stating all my author's names at the time.

the kingdom of Ghūr to Amir Muhammad-i-'Abbās." and which Mr. Blochmann, according to his theory, would have written "Amír Muhammad 'Abbás," and so have made one person of the plural. There is another good example at -Ghiyas و فيات الدين معمد بن معمود سام --: pages الدين معمد بن ud-Din, Mahmud bin Muhammad-i-Sam. Here bin is used for one person—the son, and an izafat understood and required for a third person—the grandfather: there is no izāfat marked, but it must be used, because Muhammad, the father, was not called Sam, but he was the son of Sam—that is Bahā-ud-Din, Sām. Ghiyās-ud-Din, Mahmūd's father's name, is written in full in the headings with bin, but under. الدس محمد سام — Ghiyās-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām. and likewise his brother's, معر الدين حمد سام — Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sam, but, by the theory put forth in the "Contributions," and the system followed in the translation of the "A'in-i-Akbari," they would both be turned into Sam, which alone refers to their father, and not to them, as the headings as well as the text—including the printed text-most undoubtedly show, and many other examples are to be found in the work. The names in the headings are written in Arabic, in every copy, throughout the whole book, and in the body of the work, according to the Persian idiom, the izafat for bin is understood, as is also the case with the name of Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Muhammad bin Bakht-yār [ud-Din], the Khalj, and others.

Another matter tending to prove that Bakht-yār is the father's titular name, is the fact that the author of the Tabakāt-i-Akbari—one of those "who must have had" the good old MSS.—styles him "Malik Muḥammad-i-Bakht-yār-ud-Dīn." Muḥammad could not possibly, be called Bakht-yār-ud-Dīn, and Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn too. The same author, by the bye, at the head of the chapter, styles the "conqueror" of Bengal IKHTIYĀR-UD-DĪN, MUḤAMMAD, only. Why? Because he understood that Bakht-yār-ud-

Din was his father's name.

"Further," says Mr. Blochmann, "supposing bin to be correct, is it not strange, nay totally un-Persian, to speak continually of Muhammad-bin-Bakhtyár, or Muhammad-i-Bakhtyár, instead of using the single name of Muhammad? This would be Arabic usage. Thirdly, if Mahmúd were the grandfather, it would have been extraordinary on the part of the author to have left out the grandfather in the heading, and in the beginning of the chapter, when Muhammad Bakhtyár's descent is spoken of, and merely incidentally to mention it in connexion with the paternal uncle."

It certainly would be un-Persian to speak continually of

Muhammad-bin Bakht-yar, hence, after the Arabic heading, as in other places throughout the whole work of Minhāj-ud-Din, the Persian izafat is understood. Scores of examples in the text also show that a man's single name, such for example as Muhammad would be here, is unusual except in the case of some slaves whose fathers' names appear to have been unknown. So engrafted is the custom of using the father's name with the son's [but not the grandfather's], that in our Indian Courts we find bin and walad always used, and even in Bombay we find low caste Hindus. Dehrs, &c., styled, for example—"Lakhsman walad Nursia," and "Pāndū bin Santo," &c. A grandfather's name is very seldom put in the headings of the Tabakāt-i-Nāşiriit is not usual to do so. Had the paternal uncle's name occurred in a heading the word bin would have been written no doubt; but, as I have before noticed, did any person ever hear one man called Muhammad Mahmud? I know, however, that one of the sons of Mahmud of Ghaznin is styled Muhammad-i-Mahmud, and that his uncles are styled. Nasr-i-Sabuk-Tigin, and Yūsuf-i-Sabuk-Tigin respectively. What a nice thing for a translator to make one man of them!

"Lastly," writes Mr. Blochmann, "the use of the Izáfat, instead of bin or pisar (son), is restricted to poetry, and does not occur in prose [see note 1, page 138]. I see, therefore, no reason to change the name of the conqueror of Bengal, as proposed by Major Raverty."

This is a matter of such vital importance that I must give two examples, out of very many, of what may be caused through a translator not knowing where to place the izāfat so much objected to by Mr. Blochmann as "never occurring" in Persian prose in place of bin, son of,

which is so "un-Persian."

A careful and conscientious writer like ELPHINSTONE says, in book v., chap. 1, of his "History of India," that "Mahommed Casim" invaded Sind; and, page after page, and paragraph after paragraph, it is said that "Casim" did this, and "Cásim" did that, and that "the Mohametan arms ceased with the death of Casim."

In Elliot also, vol. i., page 138, the extract from the Chach-Nāmah commences with the death of Rāe Dāhir "at the hands of Muhammad Kásim Sakifí." names—for they are used as that of one person—" Muhammad Kásim" occur in scores of places throughout the extract, but, at page 157 we also have "'Imadu-d-din Muhammad Kásim bin Abí 'Akíl Sakifí."

"Muhammad Kásim," as though it were the name of one

man, duly appears in vol. vi. of the same work, as conqueror of Sind.

Now "Cásim" or "Kásim" had nothing whatever to do with Sind or its conquest. He was dead before his son, Muhammad, was appointed by his uncle to lead the 'Arabs into Sind, and so the father, who was in his grave at the time, has had credit, up to this moment, in our so-called Histories of India, for what his son performed, in the same manner that Bakht-yār-ud-Dīn, the Khalj, has had the credit for what his son, Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, performed in Lakhanawatī.

From Tabari downwards, the name of the conqueror of Sind is 'İmād-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Kāsim, son of Muḥammad, son of Hakam, son of Abū-'Ukail, and Al-Bilādūri, an extract from whose work is given in Elliot, says the same as Tabari; but, because the author of the Chach-Nāmah headed his chapters in Persian instead of Arabic, the necessary izāfat indicating son of, which is declared never to occur for that purpose, was not recognized, and hence this lamentable and absurd error. Such

is History.

I have already given examples of this; but turn to as other copies in these instances, and the fourth line from the heading are these words جون تخت فزنين بامير معمود سبكتكين رسيد chun takht-i-Ghaznin ba Amir Mahmud-i-Sabuk-Tigin rasid. Does Mr. Blochmann mean to assert that Sabuk-Tigin is not the father's name? So much for the random assertion that "the izāfat instead of bin or pisar [which last I have not used] is restricted to poetry, and does not occur in prose," and according to the footnote that it "is rare in poetry, and poets do not like to use this Izafat." If Mr. Blochmann met with the following in Indian History -- سمهاب الدواء هارون بغرا ايلك خان I wonder what he would think of it: he would write it "Shihabuddaulah Hárún Bughrá Ilak Khán," and make one person of it. I. however, read it-"Shihāb-ud-Daulah, Hārūn-i-Bughrāi-I-lak-Khān," because I know for certain that Hārūn who is entitled Shihāb-ud-Daulah is the son of Bughrā, who is the son of the I-lak Khān, who is named Mūsā, who were Khāns in Māwar-un-Nahr-of the Afrāsiyābi dynasty.

Next, in the same foot-note †, page 138 of the "Contributions," Mr. Blochmann says that "Minháj-i-Siráj" [I write Sarāj] does not mean in prose, 'Minháj, the son of Siráj,' but Minháj who writes under the name of Siráj. That the father's name was Siráj has nothing to do with it."

Mr. Blochmann would find it difficult to show me where

he "writes under the name of Siráj." I suppose it will be allowed that our Author knew his own name, and his father's, and if that be allowed, he calls himself repeatedly Minhāj-ud-Din-i-Sarāj, and he further says that his father was the Maulānā Sarāj-ud-Din, whose father was the Maulānā Minhāj-ud-Din, 'Usmān, whose father was the Imām, 'Abd-ul-Khālik, the Jūrjānī. For these reasons Abū-'Umr-I-'Usmān, who is also called Minhāj-ud-Din, sometimes styles himself in this work—Minhāj-I-Sarāj-I-Minhāj—referring to father and grandfather also. Here are two izāfats, in prose too. See also note 7, page 727 of this Translation.

I have already shown Mr. Blochmann's theory of "artificial" izāfats, as he calls them, to be "un-Persian," but, to prove that another statement here made is likewise incorrect, I must prominently notice another izāfat. It refers to the article "Who were the 'Patán' or 'Pathán' Sultāns of Dihlī"—the paper in the JOURNAL A. S. BENGAL, for 1875, page 31. Mr. Blochmann says in the same footnote ‡, page 138, of his "Contributions," para. 2, "The form of the name of Muhammad-i-Súrí, on whose name Major Raverty has built a hypothesis, is doubtful for this Izáfat."

Mr. Blochmann, apparently, did not notice that the matter of the kasrah of izafat, at page 31 of the JOURNAL, has reference solely to FIRISHTAH and his translators. he will take the trouble to refer to this Translation, page 316, and to the corresponding place page ra-38 of the Calcutta Printed Text, he will find the heading, "SURI, bin MUHAMMAD," showing that here Sūrī is itself a Ghūrī name. Then let him turn to page 320 of the Translation, and he will find the heading "MALIK MUHAMMAD bin SURI," but in the corresponding place in the printed text, page ماك محمد سورى If I chose to be guided by Mr. Blochmann's theory on that heading alone, and did not know that the kasrah of توصيع or description was required, and was in any doubts respecting the persons I was writing about, I might have called him, as Mr. Blochmann would, and as Mr. Dowson, in ELLIOT [vol. ii., p. 285], has done-Muhammad Súrí, as though the two names belonged to one man, and have turned two men into one accordingly. The printed text also mentions him as twice in the same page, but a third time, in the last line of that page, when speaking of Malik Muhammad having made over Ghur to his eldest son, his name is given with his father's and grandfather's name—بين مورى viz :-- Amir Bū 'Ali, son of Muhammad, son of Sūri.

Look again at the following heading in the Printed Text—page ri—41, and there it is again confirmed, and we have page ri—41, and there it is again confirmed, and we have like it is again confirmed, and we have like it is again confirmed, son of Muḥammad, son of Sūrī, but, in the ninth line, the father is again called like it is again called like it is again called like it is again called like it is again called like it is again called like like it is again called like like it is again called like like it is again called like like it is again confirmed, son of Muḥammad, son of Sūrī.

If my long note on this subject, 7, page 321, had been read before taxing me with building up a doubtful "hypothesis." it might have been seen that in the Kitab-i-Yamini, the author of which was contemporary with this very Muhammad, son of Sūri, who, it is pretended [merely because Dow and Briggs so rendered it and made a "Pathan "of him], was called Muhammad Sūrī, he is never once referred to as Muhammad but as ابن سورى —the son of SURI. The Tārīkh-i-Alfi, Fasih-i, Jahān-Ārā, Rauzat-us-Safā, Habib-us-Siyar, Mir'āt-i-Jahān-Numā, and Muntakhab-ut-Tawarikh, call him son of SURI only; and in the account of Mahmūd-i-Sabuk-Tigin's raid upon the Ghūris in the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh he is also merely called son of SURI: never Muhammad. The Bengal A. S. Library contains a copy [No. 14] of this work, and Mr. Blochmann can refer to it. He will find, if the portion copied for me has been correctly copied, that in the first two places this Ghūriān for من for عن but, four عن Shūri—a mistake of or five lines from the end of the paragraph, he is styled pisar-i-Shūri—that is the son of SHURI, and it is clear that Rashid-ud-Din followed the Kitab-i-Yamini and styled him son of SURI likewise, but that, in two instances, the copyist of that MS. No. 14, or the Calcutta kātib, left out the word \_ before the name, in the first two instances.

If the two words 'Ali Mardān alone mean 'Ali who was as valiant as many Men, and if Muḥammad Sherān alone also mean Muḥammad who was equal to many Lions, and his brother is also "equal to many Lions" [rather strange that both brothers should be so], whence come these five or six "artificial" words, since, without artificial means being adopted, the words 'Ali Mardān are—'Alī men—and Muḥammad Sherān—Muḥammad Lions? These words would, without the kasrah of description, be much the same as Shāh Jahān—King World—referred to in what I have said on the izāfat, and which is a complete answer also to these questions. Muḥammadan "School Registers" have nothing to do with it. The Khalj Turks of Garmsīr did not keep any Registers.

As this answer to Mr. Blochmann's criticisms may fall under the notice of readers not acquainted with the Irant

dialect of the Persian, and as he constantly refers me to his A'ı́n, I must point out how inconsistent he is himself about these iṣāfats.—I do not think I can be taxed with inconsistency—and how often his iṣāfats are used when they are not required, and wanting when not used. These inconsistencies, which I take from his translation of the A'ı́n-i-Akbarı́, may be seen at a glance; he appears to have no fixed system:—"Mir Sharı́f-i-Amulı́" requires the iṣāfat according to his theory, but, as Mir Sharı́f was a native of Āmul, the yā-i-nisbat or of relation affixed to Āmul—i.e. of Āmul—as it is written in the MS. from which it is taken, was sufficient, as Fārs—Persia, Fārsī—Persian or of Persia; and Panj-āb—Panj-ābi; Afghān, Afghāni, &c.

The same occurs in "Shaikh Faríd-i-Bukhárí," which last word containing the yā-i-nisbat means OF Bukhārā, or the Bukhārān. As it now stands it is "Shaikh Faríd of or the Bukhárí. Again, in the words "Alauddín-i-Khūji," although, at the very first page of Part III. of the "Contributions"

referred to, the word Khilji is called an adjective.

In another place, I find, "A'zam Khán" vide Khán-I-A'zam [see example of Izāfat previously given], and we find "Khán-I-A'zam" accordingly, but Mir-i-'Adl [as I should write it] is not correct according to Mr. Blochmann's theory: it must be "Mir 'Adl." For example, I will give a list of some of the titular names and patronymics, and Mr. Blochmann's different ways of writing them:—

"Chingiz Khán" in histories called "Qaán I Buzurg"; Çadr Jahán Mustí requires no izāfat, but "Mustí-I-Ma-málik" and "Umará-I-Kibár"; "Khán Khánán" and "Khán-khánán" require none: "Khán-I-Kalán" and "Khán-i-A'zam" require it; "Khán 'A'lam Fírúzjang," "Nugrat-jang" and "Khán Zamán" require none: "Rustam-I-Zamán," Túzak-I-Jahángírí, and Farang-I-Jahángírí want it; but Bahār-i-Dānish from me would be a dangerous innovation too, and my "Shāh-i-Jahān" is dangerous and un-Persian, but "Malikah i Jahán" is not!!: "A'caf Khán 'Abdul Majíd" requires no izāfat, but the same person "'Abdul Majíd-I-A'caf Khán" requires it; Sulaimán Kararání [by-the-bye, there is no such name] requires no izāfat, but, a little farther on, it requires to be written "Sulaimán-I-Kararání"!

I could multiply these examples ad infinitum.

"Burdan-kot may be due north of Bagura (Bogra) in Long, 89° 28', Lat. 25° 8' 25", close to Govindganj, on the Karataya River," but I fail to find it in the 110th Sheet of the Indian Atlas; but great changes must have taken place since Minhāj-ud-Dīn wrote, when "a river" flowed in front of his Burdan-kot, "of vast magnitude, the name of which is Bag-matī; and, when it enters the country of Hindūstān, they style it, in the Hindūi dialect, Samund (ocean) and, in magnitude, breadth, and depth, it is three times more than the river Gang" [see page 561], and the Karataya must therefore have grown "small by degrees and beautifully less."

I did not "identify Maksadah:" My words [note 4, page 576] are "the Maxabad probably of the old Maps," &c.

Mr. Blochmann at page 142 kindly recommends me to Mr. Thomas's "INITIAL COINAGE OF BENGAL," regarding the reigns of "Muhammad Bakhtyár's" immediate successors; but as I have the account of "Minhāj-ud-Dīn," "the sole authority for the period," and some others, I can dispense with it, and have already done so in my Translation. Perhaps some coins of Muhammad bin Bakht-yār

may yet come to light.

I beg further to notice here, now that I am on the subject of coins, that, although the Shansabānī rulers, and some of their freed-men after them, used the title of "KASĪM-I-AMĪR-UL-MŪMINĪN," it did not follow that they "shared their property" with the "Commander of the Faithful," as Mr. Blochmann imagines from his remarks on Thomas's readings of rare Bengal Coins, at page 203 of the Society's Proceedings for 1872. Our author's derivation of the title will be found at page 315 of this Translation. See also page 368, and the Shams-ul-Lughat wherein the word is also explained, but it is evidently of Arabic derivation.

## APPENDIX D.

On the date of the capture of the city of Adward Bihār by Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Bakht-yār-ud-Dīn, the Khalj, page 551.

THE next matter is the conquest of Bihār by Muḥammad, bin Bakht-yār, the Khalj, which Mr. Thomas fixes at 599 H. on the authority, Mr. Blochmann "believes," of the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir [ELLIOT'S version probably], which states that Kutb-ud-Din took Kālinjar in that year; but the MSS. of the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir examined by me, unfortunately, have that same stubborn — and what makes the date still more doubtful —viz.: —wich, from the want of diacritical points, may be 577, 579, 597, or 599, just as the reader chooses to render the words.

At page 523 of this Translation [note, para. 2] I have noticed that "it is astonishing that the Musalmāns remained quiet for six years," assuming that 599 H. was the correct year in which Kālinjar was taken, which, I add, "was the same year in which Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Din died," but, from the examination of these four MSS. of the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir again, I am in doubt whether 597 H. is not the most correct according to that work. Minhāj-ud-Din says the Sultān died in 599 H., but, as I have noticed in note 4, page 383, some authors give 597 H., and some 598 H. as the date of his death.

Those who suppose that "Bengal was conquered" [the surprise and capture of Nūdiah I refer to] in 599 H. do not consider how Muḥammad, bin Bakht-yār, could have "reigned," as he is said to have done, "twelve years," seeing that he was assassinated in 602 H.

I am told that I am mistaken, according to my own authorities, in connexion with the very doubtful date in the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir above referred to. Mr. Blochmann says, page 134, Part III. of his "Contributions":—

(1) That Muhammad Bakhtyár appeared before Qutbuddín in Dihlí, and was rejected by reason of his humble condition.

According to Major Raverty, Dihlí was occupied in 580 H.1, hence Muhammad Bakhtyár must have been rejected in or after 589 H.

(2) After his rejection, Muhammad Bakhtyár goes to

Badáon where Hizabr gives him a fixed salary.

(3) After some time, Muhammad Bakhtyár goes to Audh, where he obtains certain fiefs near the Bihar frontier. He now undertakes plundering expeditions, which continue, according to the printed text, for one or two years.

In a foot-note is added, "Major Raverty has left this

out."

(4) He invades Southern Bihár<sup>2</sup> and takes the town of Bihar. He then goes to Dihlí, where he remains for sometime at Outb's court.

(5) The second year after his conquest of Bihar, he sets

out for Bengal, and takes Nadiya.

Now how is it possible, with these five chronological particulars, that Muhammad Bakhtyár could have left Bihár, as Major Raverty says, in 589 H.? [A foot-note has, "Major Raverty says that Muhammad Bakhtyár presented himself to the Sultan at Lahor, but the text has Dihlí (page 549).] It would, indeed, be a close computation if we allowed but five years for the above events, i.e. if we fixed the conquest of Bengal as having taken place in

594 H., or A.D. 1198."

To this my reply is that "the text [page 549] says not one word about "Muhammad Bakhtyár" presenting himself before "the Sultan at Lahor" ["the Sultan" in this instance was a slave, continued a slave during his master's lifetime, and did not obtain his freedom and the title of Sultan until 605 H.—only about fifteen years after this time! See page 389 of Translation, and corresponding place in the original]. The words in the Translation, and in the Text, are, that "Muhammad-i-Bakht-yar presented himself before the Muster-Master at Dihli," and so, the probability is, that Malik Kutb-ud-Din was at Lahor, as I have stated in note 6, page 550, on the authority of another writer, and Muhammad, bin Bakht-yar, straightway went to Husam-ud-Din, Ughul-Bak.

If looked at in a different light, although the time seems very short, it is not so utterly impossible for Muhammad, bin Bakht-yar, to have waited on Kutb-ud-Din at Lahor, or gone to Ughul-Bak, as the case may be, proceeded to Awadh, have been sent to Bhiuli and Bhagwat, have taken

Early in 589 H.: the second month probably.
 It should have been stated above that his fiefs were close to the frontier of South Bihar, as in this Translation.

Bihar which only required a party of 200 horsemen, in fact, it may be said Muhammad bin Bakht-yar took it alone, and might have occupied him a couple of weeks, or even say a month from his fiefs, a distance of under 200 miles as the crow flies, have gone to Dihli to Kutb-ud-Din in 589 H., or to Mahobah, as the case may be, and have invaded Bengal the following year, for "the second year" means the following year-I quote my authors as I find them. That in the following year after 589 H., he took Nudiah, agrees with the statement of Shiam Parshad, whose work Mr. Blochmann, of course, has referred to; but he appears not to have noticed the statement of Minhāj-ud-Din at page 556 of this Translation [page 10. of the printed text], that when Muhammad bin Bakht-yar returned from the presence of Kuth-ud-Din, he subdued Bihar, thus contradicting his previous statement.

The only thing I can blame myself for in this matter is, that I did not mention in a note, that the printed text, which at one time is so utterly "untrustworthy," and then so trustworthy, contained the words "matters went on in this way for one or two years" after the words "and ravaged that territory," at page 551 of this Translation. The reason why I did not do so is, that, in all probability, I did not look at the printed text here, or that it escaped my attention, otherwise I certainly should have done so: I think I have noticed the printed text pretty often, when right as well as when wrong. I had no object not to do so: I had built up no theory or made statements anywhere else that I wished to support. I might also have added that the two MSS. on which that printed text is based—two of the three worst of those collated—contain the same words, and

that other collated MSS. had no such words.

I would, however, remark here that I did not profess to translate the Calcutta Printed Text, but to translate the work from MSS., and as advertised on the covers of the

Society's publications.

Why the expression "some years before 601 H." can make it clear ["Contributions," page 135] that Nūdiah "must have been taken about 594 H. or 595 H. i.e. in A.D. 1198 or 1199," any more than about 591, 2, 3 or even 596 or 7, I am at a loss to understand. But one thing, at least, is very clear—that the year 599 H. for the conquest of Bengal, even "as consistent with the best authorities," is utterly impossible.

Another theory is then raised. Although it is clear to Mr. Blochmann that Nūdiah "must have been taken in 594 or 595 H.," the statement contained in the Tāj-ul-Ma'āşir

[Firishtah, who merely copies from his immediate predecessors, more particularly, is a very trustworthy authority to quote], that Muhammad-i-Bakht-yar waited on Kutb-ud-Din at Mahobah, in 599 H.—a doubtful date in that work, as before stated, which may be 597 H., and four or five vears after Mr. Blochmann says Bengal was conquered-"involves no contradiction as far as chronology is concerned." No, not in the least, even though our author, Minhāj-ud-Din states, that Muhammad-i-Bakht-var waited on Kutbud-Din before he surprised Nūdiah! With that city Bengai -or rather Lakhanawati-fell. There is no mention of any fighting after; and so, if it is correct according to the Tajul-Ma'asir, that Muhammad-i-Bakht-yar only waited on Kuth-ud-Din at Mahobah, in 599 H., not from AWADH and BIHAR as incorrectly rendered, in ELLIOT'S version [page 232, vol. ii.], but from تَرْبُدُ بِال —the points are thus given, according to the text of the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir, I now have before me—that city could only have been taken after that time—599 H. See also foot-note page 134, of the "Contributions," in which it is contended that دولت —as Minhāj-ud-Din writes it-cannot be correct, because the Calcutta Text has !!

The author of the Tabaķāt-i-Akbari, like some others, takes Muḥammad, son of Bakht-yār, from the presence of Mu'izz-ud-Din direct to Ḥusām-ud-Din, Ughal-Bak, and says, that Muḥammad-i-Bakht-yār, when subsequently he came to Ķuţb-ud-Din's presence, "was deputed to conquer Lakhaṇawaṭi." The Tazkirāt-ul-Mulūk also takes Muḥammad-i-Bakht-yār direct from Ghaznin to Ughal-Bak, and states that he took Bihār before he went to Ķuţb-ud-Din.

See page xxiv of this.

"The time fixed upon by Mr. Thomas for the conquest of Bengal is 599 H., that is, four or five years after the time assumed by Mr. Blochmann, while I have stated, according to my author, that it was the year following 589 H., that is 590 H.—but three or four years before Mr. Blochmann's chosen time. Mr. Thomas is only "a little too late:" mine is "impossible as being too early." Probably Mr. Blochmann did not notice that at page 340 of the Ro. As. Journal vol. vi. for 1873, Mr. Thomas has again changed his opinion, and says that "the first occupation of Bengal by Muhammad Bakhtyár Khilji," was "in 600 A.H." As Muhammadi-Bakht-yār is said to have held the territory of Lakhanawati for twelve years, and was assassinated in 602 H., how is it possible that the conquest of Bengal could have taken place in either 599 H. or 600 H.?

# INDEX

# IN TWO PARTS.

# PART I, HISTORICAL AND BIBLIOGRAPHICAL.

(b. stands for ibn; n. for footnote).

## A

Ābāķāe <u>Kh</u>ān b. Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, 1212 n. 1287 n.

Abar Khān, the Ilbarī, 800 and n 7. Abars, tribe of the, 961 n.

Abātāe, the Nūyīn, 1287 n.

'Abbas II, the Şafawī, Shah, ix.

'Abbās b. 'Abd-ul-Muttalib, Progenitor of the Abbasides. 1260. 'Abbās Sarwārpī, 537 n.

'Abbās b. Shis b. Muḥammad-i-Sūrī, 322 n, 329, 330, 331 and n 2, 332 and n 3,—app. xx.

'Abbas b. Shams-ud-Din Muhammad, 428 n 1, 434 n, 436 n 5.

Abbasides, the, House or Family of 'Abbās, xxxiii, 1, 11, 55 n 1, 180, 209, 215, 217 n, 218 and n, 219 n 7, 165 n 4, 311 and n 2, 312 and n 1, 316 n, 354 n 5, 893 n, 1190 n 1, 1229 n 8, 1230 n, 1232 n, 1236 n, 1241 n, 1243 n, 1253 n 4, 1254 n, 1255 n, 1259 n 3, 1260 n, 1275 n 3.

Abbott, 78 n.

Abdāli Afghāns, the, 1022 n.

'Abd-ul-'Azīz b. Nūḥ b. Naṣr, Sāmānī, 45.

'Abd-ul-Ghaffar, the Historian, 324 n, 328 n.

'Abd-ul-Hai, Maulawi, 1258 n 9.

'Abd-ul-Hakk, author of the Akhbār-ul-Akhyār, xxx.

'Abd-ul-Ķāsim b. Sallām, Imām, 14.

'Abd-ul-Khāliķ the Jurjānī, Imām, xix, 104,—app xix.

'Abd-ul-Kulāl b Marsad, of the Tababi'ah, 7.

'Abdu'llah, Khwarazm Shah, 232 n.

'Abdu'llah b. 'Abbas, 1258.

'Abdu'llah b. 'Abdu'llah b Tāhir, 14 n 4.

'Adu'llah b. Ashkān, Khwārazm Shāh, 38 and n 6, 232 n.

'Abdu'llah-i-Khurdād-bih, Sce under Ibn Khurdād-bih.

- 'Abdu'llah-i-Şālib, Sijisī, 16.
- 'Abdu'llah b. Tähir, 10 n 5, 12 n 3, 13 and nn 8 and 9, 14 and n 4, 27 n 6, 28 and n 7.
- 'Abdu'llah b. Ziyad, 901 n.
- 'Abdu'l Malik b. Marwan, 337 n 6.
- 'Abdu'l Malik b. Nüh b. Manşür, Sāmānī, 29, 44, 50 and nn 3 and 4, 52 and n and nn 8 and 1, 54, 80 n, 903 n.
- 'Abdu'l Malik b. Nūḥ b. Naṣr, Sāmāni, 29, 38, 40 and n 2, 41 and nn 6 and 8, 54, 70.
- 'Abdu'l Manāķib b. Musta'şim 'Abbāsī, 1249 n.
- 'Abdu'l Manāzil b. Musta'şim
  'Abbāsī, 1240 n.
- 'Abdur-Rahīm b. Muhammad b. Sultān Mahmūd Ghaznawī, 90 n 4, 91.
- 'Abd-ur-Rahmān, administrator of Khitāe, 1149 n 7, 1152 n.
- 'Abd-ur-Rahmān Isfarā'īnī, Shaikh; 299 n.
- 'Abd-ur-Rahmān b. Muḥammad b. Sultān Maḥmūd <u>Gh</u>aznawī, 91.
- 'Abd-ur-Rahmān b. Musta'şim'Abbāsi, 1249 n.
- 'Abd-ur-Rashid, 'Izz-ud-Daulah b.
  Sultan Mahmud Ghaznawi, 88,
  98 and n 5, 6 and 8, 99 and n and
  n 1, 100 and n 5, 132 n 9, 901 n.
- 'Abd-ur-Razzāķ, Matīzī, Shaikh,
- 'Abd-ur-Razzāķ b. Sulţān Mas'ūd, 95 n 7.
- Abel, of Scripture, 596 n 1. See also Hābīl.
- Abghā Khān b. Hulākū Khān, zlvii, 163 n5.
- Abhyantara-<u>Oh</u>arya, the, 691 *n*. Abīķah, See Anīķah Bīgī.

- Abir b. Shalikh, 6.
- Abkah, the Nū-īn, 1047, 1074.
- Abrahah, ul-Ashram b. Hasan-uş-Şabbāb, 7.
- Abrahah-i-Zu'l Manār b. Hāria of the Tabābi'ah, 6.
- Abraham, the Patriarch. See under lbrahim.
- Abū 'Alī, general of Sulţān Ghiyāgud Dīn Maḥmūd, 484 n.
- Abū 'Alī b. 'Abdu'llah, al-Bal'amī, 42 n 9, 44 n 4, 58 n 3.
- Abū 'Alī-i-Ḥasan, Dīlamī, 55 n 1, 61 n 4, 62 and n 6.
- Abū 'Alī b. Ilyās, Amīr, 87 n, 56 and n 2.
- Abū 'Alī-i-Kai-<u>Kh</u>usrau b. 'Izz-ul-Mulūk, 66 n 7.
- Abū 'Alī-i-Lawīk, 73, 186 n, 320 n 3. Abū 'Alī b. Māmūn, al-Farīghūnī, 84 n 8, 232 n.
- Abū 'Alī b. Muḥammad-i-Sūrī, 322 n, 324, 325, 329, 330,—app. xix., xx.
- Abū 'Alī-i-Simjūr, 39 n 1, 45 and n 8, 46 and n 4, 47 and n 8, 48 and n n 2 and 4, 74 n 3, 75 n 4, 902 n, 903 n.
- Abū Bakr; Raverty writes Abū Bikr in all instances.
- Abū-Bikr aş-Şiddīķ, the let Khalī-fah, 223 and n 3.
- Abī-Bikr-i-'Abd-ul-Karīm, Uţ-Ţā--ī'u-L'illah, 61.
- Abū-Bikr-i-Lawīk, 71 and n5, 72 n6. Abū-Bikr-i-Maraghanī, Amīr, 1037 n, 1039 n 7, 1042 n 6, 1049 n 2, 1052, 1054 n, 1199 n. See also under Rukn-ud-Dīn
- Abū-Bikr b. Muḥammad, Atā-bak, 172 n 3, 173, 249 and n 8
- Abū Bikr b. Musta'şim 'Abbāsī, Amīr, 1229 n 8, 1230, 1232, 1233,

1235, 1245, 1246 n 5, 1247, 1248, 1249, and n, 1251, 1252, 1254.

Abū-Bikr b. Sa'd b. Zangī, Atā-bak, 177, 178 and n 7, 179, 180 nn 5 and 6, 239 n 9, 295 and n 6, 296 n, 299, 1118 and n 9, 1119 n 1, 1228, 1234 n 8.

Abū-Bikr-i-Şālih, <u>Kh</u>wājah, 102 n 4. Abū Dakur, 434 n, 502 n 6, 526 n.

Abū-Ḥanīfah an-Nu'mān b. Ṣābit, Imām, 190 and n 2, 227 and n 1, 384, 513, 646.

Abu Hasan Ash'ari, 613 n 2.

Abū Ibrāhīm, Sāmānī, 87 n.

Abū Ibrāhīm-i-Ismā'īl b. Nūḥ, Sāmānī, lvii, 51 n 6, 52 n 1. See also under Al-Muntaşir, Sāmānī.

Abū Ishāķ, the Istakhurī. See Al-Istakhurī.

Abū Ishāķ Ibrāhim b. Ismā'il Sāmāni, 33.

Abū lshāķ-i-Tāhiri, 4 n, 71 n 5.

Abū Ja'far Fārīghūnī, 233 and n 7.

Abū Ja'far Muḥammad al-Bāķir, 32 n 6.

Abū Kālinjār Şamṣām-ud-Daulah, Al-Marzabān, 64 n 1. See also under al-Marzabān.

Abū Kālinjar b. Sultān-ud-Daulah, Dīlamī, 93 n 8.

Abū Ķurrah, Şābit b. Sinān, aş-Şābī, 60 n 7.

Abū Lahab, 1159.

Abū Mauşūr b. 'Abd-uz-Razzāķ, 40 n 4, 42, 71 n 5.

Abū Manşūr-i-'Azīz, the Wazīr, 48 and n 5, 49.

Abū Manşūr-i-Jīhānī, 36 n 9.

Abū Manşūr Muḥammad ibn-ul-Azīz, 40.

Abū Muhammad b. Abū Naşr, the Shār, 80 n 5, 841 n 6. Ahū Muhammad Manşūr-i-Ismā'īl, Sāmānī, 38.

Abū Mūsā Ash'arī, 613 n 2.

Abū Mūsā-i-Hārūn, Shihāb-ud-Daulah Bughrā Khān, 45 and n 8, 902 n, 903 n. See also under Bughrā Khān.

Abū Muslim, the Marwazī, 311 and nn 1 and 2, 893 n, 894 n, 915 n.
Also called Abū Muslim al-Khurāsānī.

Abū Naşr, Farāhī, 195 n 4.

Abū Naşr b. Abū-l-Ḥirs, Fārīghūnī, 232 n.

Abū Nasr-i-Aḥmad, Khwājah, 123 n. Abū Nasr-i-Aḥmad, Sāmānī, 33 and n 3, 34, 35, 54, 185 n.

Abū Naṣr-i-Aḥmad b.'Alī, the Ilak-Khān, 902 n.

Abū Naṣr-i-Mishkān, Khwājah, 86 n, 89 n, 92 and n 3, 93, 105 n, 116 n 2, 120 n 5, 131 n 7.

Abū Naṣr b. Rāshid, the Shār, 80 n 5, 841 n 6.

Abū Rīḥān al-Bīrūnī, 77 n, 78 n, 84 n 8, 231 n 2, 232 n, 451 n, 1046 n 3. 1216 n.

Abū Sahlān, tribe of, 1003 and n4.

Abū Sa'īd, Prince, 1236 n.

Abū Sa'id-i-'Abd-ul-Haiy b. Zuḥāk, the Gardezī, 901 n, 902 n, 904 n, 905 n, 1043 n 1.

Abū Sa'id-i-Abu'l Khayr, Shaikh,

Abū Sa'īd-i-'Abd'ullah of Baigā, Kāzī. See under al-Baigāwī.

Abū Sa'id-i-Āķ-Sauķur, 203 n 1, 204

Abū Sa'īd-i-Bakir b. Al-Malik, Al-Farghānī, 40.

Abū Sa'id b. Haiyah, 536 n.

Abū Şalih b. 'Abd-ul-Malik, Sāmānī, 41 n 8, 42.

Abū Şaliḥ-i-Manşūr b Ishāķ, Sāmānī, 33, 34 and n 7, 185 n.

A bū Şaliḥ Naşr-i-「smā'il, Sāmāni, 33.

Abū Shajān b Marzabān, 8.

Abū Sulīmān-i-Dā'ūd, al-Fanāķatā. See al-Fanākatī.

Abū Sulīmān-i-Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg. See Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg.

Abū Yazīd, Busţāmī, <u>Shaikh</u>, 419, 420, 702 n 6.

Abū Zakarīā Yaḥyā-i-Ismā'īl, Sāmānī, 33, 37 n.

Abū Zakur, See Abū Dakur.

Abū Zanjar, See Bū-Zanjar.

Abu'l 'Abbās-i-Ahmad b. Ḥasan b. Abū Bikr b. Alī, 1260 n.

Abu'l 'Abbās-i-Ahmad b. Musta'şim, 'Abbasī, 1249 n.

Abu'l'Abbās al-Fazl al Isfarā'īnī, 73 n 9.

Abu'l 'Abbâs b. Māmūn al Farīghūnī, 84 n 8, 85 n, 120 n 5, 232 n.

Abu'l 'Abbās Muhammad ibn-ul Jarrāh, 37 n.

Abu'l'Abbās-i-<u>Shīs</u>, the Sipah Sālūr, 316, 366, 367, 369, 371 and n 4, 413 n 1, 425 n 9, 447 n 8.

Abu'l 'Abbās-i-Tāsh, 44 and n 2, 45

Abu'l 'Alā-i-Ahwal, 116 n 3, 117 n.

Abu'l Farah, poet, 902 n.

Abu'l Faraj, 969 n 1, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1018 n.

Abu'l Fath of Multan, 85 n.

Abu'l Fath Muhammad b. Sam, Sultan, 301.

Abu'l Fawāris-i-Mākān, 57, 65 and n4

Abu'l Fazl-i-'Allāmī, li, 73 n 8, 79 n, 288 n 3, 295 n, 455 n, 486 n, 529 n

4, 538 n, 540 n, 554 n 9, 559 n 2, 565 n 5, 568 n, 582 n 6, 665 n 8, 880 n, 883 n, 888 n, 893 n, 894 n, 897 n,—app. xi.

Abu'l Fazl i-'Azīz, Sijizī, 38 n 6.

Abu'l Fazl Baihakī, See Baihakī.

Abu'l Fazl al-Bal'amī, 88 n 1, 88 n 5.

Abu'l Fazl Kirmānī, Khwājah, 190.

Abu'l Fazl Muhammad b. 'Alī, 248 n. Abu'l Fazl Muhammad ibn-ul Hākim Sarakhsī, 88.

Aba'l Fazl Süri, 122 n 3, 123 n.

Abu'l Fidā, 94 n 3, 535 n, 920 n, 923 n, 966 n 6, 984 n, 986 n, 1009 n.

Abu'l Ghāzī Bahādur Khān, 535 n, 540 n, 866 n, 869 n 2, 870 n, 872 n, 873 n, 875 n, 876 n, 877 n, 879 n, 880 n, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 888 n, 890 n, 892 n, 893 n, 894 n, 895 n, 896 n, 897 n, 939 n, 943 n, 941 n, 945 n, 948 n, 949 n, 950 n, 952 n, 956 n, 972 n, 981 n, 985 n, 987 n 3, 993 n, 1020 n, 1028 n, 1049 n 2, 1086 n, 1088 n, 1089 n, 1091 n, 1097 n 6, 1140 n, 1142 n.

Abu'l Ḥasan-i-Abī Shujā', Dīlamī,
b. Fanā Khusrau, 65.

Abu'l Hasan-i-'Alī b. al-Asīr, See Ibu ul-Asīr.

Abu'l Ḥasau-i-'Alī 'Imād-ud-Daulah, 55 n 1, 58 n 9, 59 n 5.

Abu'l Hasan-i-Buwiah, 40, 47, 48 n 4, 58, 59. See also under Fakhrud-Daulah.

Abu'l Ḥasan-i-Haisam b. Muḥammad-i-Nābī. See Ibn Haisam.

Abu'l Ḥasan Ilak-i-Naşr, 51, 902 n, 903 n.

Abu'l Ḥasan-i-<u>Kh</u>alaf, 325 n, 327 n, 328 n.

Abu'l Hasan-i-Naşr, Samanī, 35 and nn 5 and 6.

Abu'l Hasan-i-Simjür, 41 n, 42 n 8, 44, 45, 71 n 5, 75 and n 4.

Abu'l Ḥasan b 'Umro al-Fārīābī, 42 n 3, 71 n 5.

Abu'l Hayja, 59 and n 4.

Abu'l Hifs b. Khalaf, 186 n.

Abu'l Husain-i-Ahmad, Mu'izz-ud-Daulah, Dilami, 55 n 1, 58 and n 9, 59 and n 5, 60 n 8.

Abu'l Kasim-i-Abd'ullah b. Khurdadbih. See Ibn Khurbadbih.

Abu'l Kāsim-i-Nūḥ, Sāmāni, See Nūḥ b. Manşūr b Nūḥ Sāmānī.

Abu'l Ķāsim b. Sallām, Imām, 14 and n 2.

Abu'l Kāsim-i-Simjūr, 49 and n 9, 50 n 4, 80 n 5, 81 n.

Abu'l'Khair, 960 n, 964 n 2

Abu'l Ma'ālī Kulij-i-Tamghāj, 907 n.

Abu'l Ma'shar-i-Munajjim, 1.

Abu'l Muşaffar Năşir-ud-Dîn Mahmūd Shāh b. Iyal-timish, See under Nāşir-ud-Dīn.

"Account of Caubul," Elphinstone's, 874 n.

'Account of Zila Dinajpur,' 559 n. Achār Dīw, 691 n.

'Ad b. 'As, 303.

Adam, of Scripture, 1, 2, 803, 304 n 2, 596 n 1.

Adamish, the slave, 171.

Adisur, 558 n 1.

Āe  $\underline{Kh}$ ān b.  $\underline{Agh}$ ūz  $\underline{Kh}$ ān, 880 n, 881 n. Aetkîn, the Tātār, 1, 495.

Aetkin of Hirat, Malik, 375 n.

Afghāns, the, v, xii, xiii, xiv, xxv, lv, 74 n 2, 86 n, 120 n 5, 187 n 3, 304 n 3, 314 n 6, 317 n 5, 32) n 4, 322 n, 333 n 7, 337 n 6, 352 n 3, 397 n 1, 435 n, 439 n 4, 448 n 449 n 9, 463 n, 466 n 1, 477 n, 499 n, 508 n 1, 509 n, 511 n, 512 n, 528 n 3, 533 n, 538 n,

540 n, 548 n 3, 622 n, 736 n 5, 852 and n 4, 873 n, 876 n, 885 n, 1043 n 1, 1075 n, 1078 n 8, 1122 n, 1132 n, 1171 n, 1202 n, 1203 n, 1295 n 9, app. xi, xii.

"Afghān Grammar," Raverty's, 187

Afghan Kutb, the, 622 s.

"Afghāṇistān and the Afghāns," Bellew's, 1016 n 3, 1081 n 7.

Afranj, See Farangs.

Afrāsyāb, 3, 105 n, 117 n, 309 n, 561 n 9, 882 n, 901 and n, 905 n, 910 n, 914 n, 919 n, 923 n.

Afrāsyābī Maliks, the, l, 116, 260 and n 7, 264 n, 480, 900 and n 4, 901 n, 905 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 912 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 918 n, 919 n, 922 n, 928 n, 924 n, 925 n, 929 n, 932 n, 935 n 3, 953 n, 985 n, —app. xviii.

Afridun, 3, 305, 306 and n 5, 307 and n, 308 and n 2, 309 and n, 598, 882 n.

Afrīķīs b. Abrahah, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 6.

Afzal Khan, Khatak, 622 n.

Afgal-ud-Dīn, the Bāmiānī, Imām, 1197.

Aghri, signification of, 1041.

Aghrūsh, the slave, 996 n.

Aghūl, the Ḥājib, 1080 n.

Aghūl Ṣāhibb. Muḥammad-i-Takish, 286 n 6.

Aghūsh, the Arpalū, 1281 n.

Aghūsh, the slave, 996 n.

Aghūz, the Ohini, 907 n.

Aghūz Khān, Sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ, 871 n, 873 n, 876 n, 877 n, 878 n, 879 n, 880 n, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 891 n, 892 n, 804 n, 896 n, 951 n.

Aghūs Malik, 1118 n 9.

Ahl-i-Bait, the, 1229 n 8.

Ahmad, another name of Muhammad, 1161.

Ahmad of Khujand, the merchant, 271 n.

Ahmad, Khwajah, a Musalman saint of Turkistan, 932 n.

Ahmad, Sayyid, author of Asar-uş-Sanadīd, 718.

Ahmad b. 'Abdu'llah Khujistānī, 23 n 1.

Ahmad b. 'Alī b. Nūsh-Tigīn, 93 n 8.

Ahmad al-'Alķamī, Wazīr of alMusta'sim, 1229 and n 8. See
also Ibn-'Alkamī.

Ahmad b. Asad Sāmānī, 24, 27 and n 6, 28, 29 and n 9, 54.

Ahmad, the Bitikchi, 1195 n.

Aḥmad-i-Hārūn general of Ismāīl b. Asad Sāmānī, 32 and n7.

Ahmad b. Ḥasan, Wālī of Kāshghar, 907 n.

Ahmad b. Hasan al-Maimandī, Khwājah, 92 n 3, 120 n 5.

Ahmad b. Ismā'il Sāmāni, 33, 34 and n 6, 35 and n 6, 916 n.

Ahmad-i-Ķamāj, 156 n 8, 375 n.

Ahmad Khān,—Sultān Sikandar of Dihlī, 511 n, 591 n.

Ahmad <u>Kh</u>ān b. Ja'far <u>Kh</u>ān, of Samarkand, 138 n.

Ahmad Khān b. Khizr Khān, 906 n. Ahmad Khān b. Sulīman Khān, 147 n 8, 906 n.

Alimad Khujandī, Sayyid, xlviii, 270 n7.

Aḥmad b Muḥammad Kazwīnī, 452 u 2.

Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Khalaf, Suffari, 185 n.

Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Sultan

Mahmud, 91, 95 n 6, 96 n 1.

Ahmad, Nikūdār Āghūl, Sulţān, 1293 n 2.

Ahmad-i-Sa'd, the Sālār, 651 n 7, 751.

Ahmad b. Sahl, 185 n.

Ahmad, the Sālār, ruler of Kālinjar 1074 n.

Ahmad b. Şālih Sijizī, 16.

Ahmad-i-Simjūr, Dawātī, 35 n 4.

Ahmad-i-Sheran, 573.

Ahmad b. Ţāhir, 'Abbāsī, 1259 n 8.

Ahmad-i-'Uamān, Nisāwī, See under Jalāl-ud-Dīn-i-Majd-ul-Mulk.

Ā-ī <u>Kh</u>ān b. Āghūz <u>Kh</u>ān, 880 n, 881 n.

Aighū b Awang Khān, 1193 n.

A'īn-i-Akbarī, the, xvi, 73 n 8, 79 n, 455 n, 535 n, 554 n 9, 568 n, 582 n 6, 587 n 4, 592 n, 593 n, 603 n, 6, 650 n 1, (94 n 4, 698 n 8, 858 n 4,—app. vi, ix, xi, xvi, xxi.

'Ain-ul-Mulk, Ḥusain-i-Asha'rī, 613 and n 2, 631.

'Ain-ul-Mulk Muhammad Junaidī, 693 and n 2, 694 n 4.

'Ain-ul-Mulk Süränī, 390.

Ai-yitīm, Nāşir-ud-Dīn, 612 and nn 6 and 9, 627 and n 4, 647.

Aiyūb b. Shādī, Malik-ul-Afzal, Najm-ud-Dīn, Abū Lashkar-i-, 207 and n 8, 208 and nn 9 and 1, 215 and n 9.

Aiyūb, the Turkmān Darwesh, 657. Aiyūbī dynasty, the, 203, 230 n,

1275 n 3, 1278 n.

Ajāe b. Hulākū, 1194 n.

Ajah Diw, 523 n.

Ak, an epithet, 912 n.

Akāsirah dynasty of Ajam, 1, 5.

Akbar, 1, 78 n, 79 n, 81 n, 95 n 4, 449 n 9, 465 n 8, 468 n 3, 508 n 1

537 n, 551 n, 559 n 2, 588 n, 598 n, 601 n 6, 635 n 6, 642 n 2, 694 n 4, 698 n 8, 715 n 1, 788 n 2, 883 n, 1002 n 5.

Akbar-Nāmah, of Fāigī the Sarhindī, the, xvi, 869 n 2, 880 n, 883 n, 888 n, 889 n, 894 n.

Akhās Malik b. Khān Malik, 291 n.

Akhbār-i-Shihābi, the, 391, 892 n.

Akhlaj, the. See under Khalj.

Akhlāķ-i-Nāşirī, the, 1190 n 1, 1257 n.

Akhtān, the Atā-bak, 148 and n 2.

Akhūnd of Suwāt, the, 1062 n 2.

Ak Malik, a chief, 1034 n.

Aķrān <u>Kh</u>ān of Ķifchāķ, xlvii, 240, See also Ķadr <u>Kh</u>ān of Ķifchāķ.

Aķrān b. Shamar b. Afrīķīs, of the Tabābi'ah, 7.

Äķ-Sanķur b. 'Abdu'llah, the Ḥājib, 173 n 4, 203 n 1, 204 n.

Ak Shunkar, a bird, 951 n.

Aķ Sulţān b. Mnḥammad-i-Takish,
254 and n 3, 280 n 1, 282 and n 7,
284, 286 n 6.

Aķ-Sunķar, Amīr, 606 n 4, 656 n, 810 and n.

Aķ-Sunķar, seneshal of Lohor, 1134 and n 1, 1135.

Aķţa', Mu'izz-ud-Daulah, al-, 60 n 8. Al-i-Tamghāj, the, 1158 and n 3.

Al-i-Ziyar, the, 55 n 1.

Alāķ, the Nū-yīn, 972 n, 974 n, 975 n5, 977 n.

Alāķū<u>sh,</u> Tagīn Ķūrīn, See Ūlā-Ķū<u>sh</u>-Tigīn, 945 n.

Älän-Ķuwā, 892 n, 893 n, 894 n, 902 n, 903 n, 916 n.

**A**lānīans, the, 998 n, 999 n, 1290 n 9. **A**lanjī b. **T**aghdār, 233 n.

Alanjīk, title of Ķabal Khūn, the Mughal, 896 n.

'Alā-'ud-Daulah, Atā-bak, governor of Yazd, 296 n.

'Alā-'ud-Daulah, Al-Byābankī, us-Simnānī, Shaikh, 299 n.

'Alā-ud-Daulah, the Hamadānī, Sayyid, 995 n, 997 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Abū 'Alī b. Shujā'ud-Dīn, 845 346 and n 8.

'Alā-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. Mardān <u>Kh</u>aljī, Malik; See 'Alī-i-Mardān, the <u>Kh</u>alj.

'Alā-ud-Dīn, the Asfahed of the Kabūd Jāmah, 1121 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn 'Aṭā Malik, the Şābib, 1195 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Ayāz, the Zinjānī, xxviii, 709, 829, 821 n 5.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Bahrām Shāh b. Nāşirud-Dīn Ķabachah, xxvi.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Ḥasan b. 'Alī uṣ-Ṣabbāḥ, 1187 n 7. See also under Ḥasan aṣ-Ṣabbāḥ.

'Alā-ud-Dîn Husain Jahan Soz b. 'Izzud-Dîn al-Husain Ghürî, 110 n 5, 111, 112 nn 3 and 5, 113 n, 149 and n 2, 150, 155 n 3, 237 n 8, 238 and n 5, 301, 323 n, 333 n 6, 336 n 4, 337 and n 8, 339, 340 and n 2, 343 and n 3, 344 and n 7, 347 and n 2. 348 n, 349 and n, 350 and n, 351and n, 352, 353 and n 5, 354 and n 1, 355 and n, 356 and n 2, 357 and n 7, 358 and nn 2 and 3, 359 and n. 360, 361 and n 7, 362 and n 3, 363 and n 8, 364 and n 1, 365, 366 n 4, 368 n, 369 and n 5, 376 and n 2, 377 n 4, 378 n 3, 391, 395 n 4, 413, 417, 421, 422 423 n 8, 426 n 4, 438, 439 n 4,442 n, 443 n, 441 n, 445 and n, 416, 417 n 6, 418 and n 4, 419 n.

'Alā-nd-Dīn Jānī, Malik, 618 and n, 620, 629, 633 n 8, 634, 639, 640,

726, 731 and n 9, 735, 771 n, 772 n, 778 n, 774 n, 778 n, 779, 847 n 1, 910 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Kai-Kubād, the Khokhar chief, 610 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Kai-Ķubād, Sultān of Rūm, lx, 298 n.

'Ala-ud-Din-i-Khilji,-app. xxi.

'Alā-ud-Dīn <u>Kh</u>ūr <u>Sh</u>āh, Bād<u>sh</u>āh of the Mulāḥidah, 1196 n.

'Ala-ud-Dîn Kimaj, 371, 372, 373.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd b. Jalāl-ud-Dīn Ḥasan-i-Nau Musalmān, 1206 and n 1.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd Shāh b. Ruknud-Dīn Fīrūs Shāh, of Dihlī xxvii, 625, 660 and n 9, 661 and n, 663, 664, 665, 666 and n, 667, 668, 669, 676, 737, 744 n 6, 747, 755 and n 3, 758, 762 and n 8, 780, 787, 790, 792, 798, 812 n 9, 813 n 5, 814 and n 8, 815 n 5, 1153, 1156, 1181 n 2.

'Ala-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd b. <u>Shams-yd-</u> Dīn Muḥammad, of Bāmīān, 434 and n 8, 435.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad b. Bahā'ud-Dīn Sām, 401 n, 414, 432 nn 1 and 3, 433 and n, 434 n, 435, 437 n 7, '477 n, 493 and n, 494, 495 and n 4, 496.

'Ala-ud-Din Muhammad b. Hasan b. Muhammad, 1190 n 1, 1192 n, 1193 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Muhammad b. Ibak Kashlī Khān, 713 and n 5.

'Alā-ud-Dîn Muḥammad the Khutanī, Shaikh, 984 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, the Malikul-Ḥājī, l, li, 346 n 8, 391 and n 2, 392, 393, 394 and n and n 3, 395, 396, 397 and nn 5 and 3, 399 and n 3,412, 415 n 6, 417 and nn 5 and 6, 418 and n 2, 419 n 5, 420, 463 n 4, 472 n 7, 490 n 8, 492, 525 n, 1002 n 9. Same as Malik Ziyā-ud-Dîn Muḥammad, Durr-i-Ghūr or the Pearl of Ghūr.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Muhammad, of the Mulāḥidah of Alamūt, 1151 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Tuki<u>sh</u> <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, See under Muḥammad-i-Taki<u>sh</u>.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Utsuz b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn al-Husnin Jahān-soz, Sultān, 238, 395 and n.4, 398, 399, 402 n, 409, 411 n, 412 and n, 413 and n 1, 414, 415 and nn 4 and 8, 416 n 9, 417, 418 n 2, 419 n 5, 490, 496, 626 n 7, 1002 n 7, 1071 n 1.

'Ala-ul-Mulk of Kunduz, 1023 n.

'Alawis of Egypt, the, 208 and n 4, 209 and n 6, 210, 211, 213, 215, 216.

Alb, signification of, 49 n 7.

Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī b. Dā-ūd-i-Jaghar Beg. Saljūķī, 66 n 7, 99, 100, 102 nn 1 and 2, 103, 126 n 2, 132 and n 2, 133 and nn 3 and 4, 134 and n 9, 135 and n 2, 136 and n, 137 and n 3 and 4, 158, 174 n, 186 and n, 342 and n 1, 905 n, 906 n, 914 n, 924 n.

Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī b. Ķizil Arsalān Saljūķī, 390, 472 and n and n 9.

Alb Arsalān b. Sultān Mahmūd b. Muhammad Malik Shāh Saljūķī, 204 n.

Alb-i-Ghāzī of Hirāt, 257 n 2.

Alb-Tigin, Amir of Ghaznin, slave of the Sāmānīs, 27, 37 n 2, 40 and n 4, 42 and nn, 1 and 3, 43 and n 4, 46 and n 2, 40 n 7, 51 n 5, 70 n 8, 71 and n 5, 72 n 6, 73 n 7, 232 n, 320 n 3.

Alb-Tigin, Ḥājib of Abu'l 'Abbās-i-Māmūn Farīghūnī, 282 n.

Albari, See the Ilbari tribe, 1169.

Alexander, the Great, 261 n, 295 n, 354,678 n, 680 and n 7,998 n, 1044 n, 1054 n 2, 1184 n, 1263 n.

Alexius Comnenus, 157 n.

Alghu, the Nuyin, 1184 n, 1293 n.

'Alī b. Abī Khālid, 10 n 4.

'Alī b. Abī Tālib, 7, 9, 32 n 6, 64 n 1, 91, 104 n 8, 223 and n 3, 302 and n 6, 306 n 9, 307 n, 312 n 2, 496, 598, 994 n, 995, 1135, 1234, 1243 n, 1255 n, 1260, 1282.

'Alī, the Arghūn, Sulţān, 1122 n.

'Alī, the Bahādur, Shahnāh of Baghdād, 1255 n.

'Alī al-Bal'amī, See Abū 'Alī b. 'Abdullah, Muḥammad, al-Bal'amī.

'Alī Bastābādī, Khwājah, 723.

<sup>7</sup>Alī Ohatrī or Jatrī, Wālī of Hirāt, 237 and n 8, 848 n, 358 n 3, 378 n 8, 426 n 4.

Alī, the Chā-ūsh, Amīr, 150, 152.

'Alī, the Darghamī, the Pahlawān, 1098 n.

'Alī b. Farru<u>kh</u>-zād b. Maz'ūd-i-Karīm, 107.

'Alī, the Ghaznawī, Imām, 1141.

Alī, the Ḥājīb, governor of Akhlāţ, 297 n, 298 n.

'Alī b. 'Isā-i-Māhān, 10 and nn 2 and 7, 11 n 9.

'Alī-i- Ismā'īl, Sipah-Sālār, 529 n 4, 605 and n 1.

'Alī b. Iyal-Arsalān, 89 n 8.

'Alī Jatrī, See Alī Ohatrī.

'Alī Karīb, 89 n 9.

'Alī-i-Karmākh, the Sipah-Sālār, xxi, 114 n, 454 n, 456.

'Alī-i-Khar-post, See Malik Muḥammad, 'Alī-i-Khar-post. 'Alī Khweshāwand, the Hājib, 88 a 7, 89 nn 8 and 9, 91 n 8.

'Alī Kurbat, the Hājib, 89 and n 9, 90.

'Alī-i-Lais, Şuffārī, 19.

'Alī b. Maḥmūd-i-Zangī, Malik-uṣ-Ṣālih, 205 and n 6.

'Ali Malik, 1186 n.

'Alī-i-Mardān, the Khalj, 572 and n 6, 574 and nn 8 and 5, 575 and n 9, 576 and nn 5 and 6, 577 n, 578 and n 9, 579 and nn 4 and 6, 580 and n7, 581 n5, 587 n2, 610 n 7, 771 n,—app. xx.

'Alī b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm Ghaznawī, 107.

'Alī, the Mej, 560, 561, 562 n, 569 n 5, 571.

'Alī Nāg-awrī, 549 and n 4.

'Alî <u>Sh</u>āh b. Bahrām <u>Sh</u>āh <u>Gh</u>aznawi, 111.

'Alī Shāh b. Takish-i-Khwārazm Shūh, 249 n 3, 252 and nn 3 and 4, 253, 255 and n7, 380 and n 1, 381 n 2, 400 and n 1, 401 n, 403, 406, 407 nn 5 and 7, 408, 409 and n 5, 410 and nn 3 and 6, 411 n, 412, 413 n 1.

'Alī <u>Sh</u>er, Amīr, 404 n 1.

'Alî b Shuji'ud-Dîn, Abî'Alî, Ghürî, 1003 n.

'Ali b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawi, 105.

'Alī b. Sulţān Mas'ūd, 95, 97 and n
4,98 nn 5, 6 and 8.

'Alī b. Tāhir b. al-Ḥusain, 13 \* and 8, 393 \*.

'Alī Tigīn, ruler of Bukhārā, and one of the Afrāsiyābī Khāna, 121, 123 n, 904 n, 914 n.

'Alī-i-Zāhid, Amīr, 199 and n7.

Alīn Tāīshī, Bādshāh of the Ūirāţ tribe, 944 n.

Alinjah Khān b. Kiwak Khān, 878 n, 874 n.

Alinjah (Alminjah) b. Turk, 872 n, 878 n.

Alipû-Ko, chinese for Artûk Bûkā, 1219 n.

Aljaktā, Nū-īn, xlvii, 164, See Iljīkdāe.

Almas, the Hajib, Malik of Balkh, 413.

Almeric, king of Jerusalem, 210 n, 212 n 1.

Alminjah b. Turk b. Yāfig, 872 n, 873 n, 891 n.

Altamsh, anglicized form of Iyal-Timish, which see.

"Alțăn Daftar," or Golden Record, the, 891 n, 1093 n.

Altān <u>Kh</u>ān, sovereign of <u>Kh</u>itāe, the, 896 n, 897 n, 937, 940 n, 942, 593 and n, 954, 955 and n, 956 n, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 961, 962, 965, 966, 980 n 1, 1092 n, 1095, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1144 and n 4, 1186, 1217 n.

Altan <u>Kh</u>ans, sovereigns of <u>Kh</u>itae, the, 913 n, 936, 955 n, 1136 n 9, 1139 n, 1186 n 5.

Altī Sakmān, the Ḥājib of Dā-ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, 128 n, 129 n.

Altūn <u>Kh</u>ān, See Altān <u>Kh</u>ān.

Altūn <u>Kh</u>ān of Ţamghāj, 270, 271. See also the Altān Khan, sovereign of <u>Kh</u>itāe.

Altūn Tāsh, the Ḥājib, 85 n, 120 and n 5, 121 n, 123 n, 232 n, 321 n 7.

Altūr (Atsiz) b. Iley, 875 n.

Alwand-asp, father of Luhr-asp, 303 n 9.

Amin, al, 9, 10 and n 8.

Aminjah b. Būķā <u>Kh</u>ān, sovereign of the Tāttār Imāk, 875 n.

Amin Khān, governor of Bengal 772 n, 776 n.

Amīn Malik, Amīn ul Mulk, See under Malik <u>Kh</u>ān Yamīn-ul-Mulk.

Amīr-i-'Alam, the, 1227 and n 8.

Amīr-i-'Ālim, the 'Ajamī, 217 n.

Amīr-i-Dād, the, 476 n, 482 n, 529 n 4, 605 n 1.

Amīr-i-Hajj, the, 1244 n.

Amīr-i-Ḥamīd, the, title of Nuh b. Naṣr Sāmānī, 40.

Amīr-i-Māzī, the, title of Ismā'il b. Aḥmad Sāmānī, 38.

Amīr Mu'azzī, Poet-Laureate of Sulţān Sanjar, 153.

Amīr-i-Sa'd b. Ḥusām-ud-Dīn
'Akah, 1238 n 8, 1239 n.

Amīr-i-Sa'id, the, title of Nașr b. Ahmad b. Ismā'il Sāmānī, 37, 38, 902 n.

Amīr-i-Sa'īd b. Sultān Mas'ūd, Ghaznawī 95 n 7.

Amīr-i-Sadīd, the, title of Manşūr b. Nūh Sāmānī, 41 n 8, 44.

Amīr-i-Shahīd, the, title of Abū-Naṣr-i-Aḥmad b. Ismāīl Sāmānī, 34, 35.

Amīr Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 105.

Amīr u'l Umarā, the title, 58 n 1, 642 n 2.

'Amlak b. 'Ad, 803.

'Amlak b. Turk b. Yafis, 872 n.

Anand-pāl b. Jaipāl, ruler of Hind, 81 n.

Anang-pāl Tūn, Rājah, 598 n 8.

Anban, the Nu-in, 1128 and n7.

'Anbar-i-Ḥabashī, 1272 n 5.

Anboh tribe, the, 1234 and n4.

"Ancient History," Philip Smith's, 886 n.

Angiras, the, 940 n, 948 n, 1093 n, 1217 n, 1228 n.

Aniāl-Juk <u>Gh</u>ā-īr <u>Kh</u>ān, See under <u>Gh</u>ā-īr <u>Kh</u>ān

Anîkah Bîgî Khatûn, 1092 n, 1142, 1143 n.

Ansari, the poet, 308 n 2, 407 n 8.

Anshar b. Shaid-asp, 9.

Ansī (Îley) b. Aminjah, 875 n.

Antonia da Silva Meneses, 582 n 6.

Anwān b. 'Amlāķ, 808.

Anwar-i-Suhaili, the, 109 n 9.

Aoktāy, See Uktāe Ķā'ān.

Aolbarlang, the, a tribe or people of Kifchāk 1169 a.

Aor Khan, the Khwarazmi Amir, 1118 n 9.

Aor Khan of Lakhanawati, 736, 737.

Aor Khān b. Mughal Khān, 875 n.

Aor Khān of Rum, 164.

Aorangiās or

Aorangtash or

Aormaktāsh b. Mangū Ķā'ān, 1218 n, 1223 n.

Aormazd, or Hurmuz, of the Sasa--nīān dynasty, 4.

Apollonius of Tyana, 78 %.

Arabian Nights, the, 603 n 7. 790 n 7, 1295 n 1.

Arabs, the, xx, xlvii, 139 n, 231 n 2, 303 n 7, 304 and n 8, 305, 309, 329 n, 333, 899 n, 915 n, 1003 n 4, 1021 n 8, 1043 n 1, 1081 n 7, 1117, 1233 n 8.

Arām Shāh b. Kutb-ud-Dīn Ibak, 503 n 9, 506 n 9, 528, 529 and n 4, 530 n and n 7, 606 n 3, 608 n 8, 614 n 8,—app. zi.

Arash, the Archer, 721 and n 3, 1058 and n 5.

Arbaz, chief of the Karā <u>Kh</u>iţāîs, 911, 912 n, 927 n.

Archœological Report, Cunningham's, 1129 n 1, 1131 n.

Arda-Sher b. Hurmus b. Narsī, Sāsānī, 5.

Arda-Sher b. Sherwaish, of the Akasirah, 5.

Arda-Sher Bābakān, 4, 6.

Ardashīr-i Dirās-Dast, 8.

Ardawān-i-Akbar, of the Aghkānīān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Ardawān-i Aşghar, of the Ashkā--nīān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Ardū b. Atsis, sovereign of the Tattar Imak, 875 n.

Arfakhshād b. Sām, 6, 303 \* 7, 304 \* 2.

Arfa'wā, See under Ashk, 4.

Arghūn Āķā, the Ūīr-āt, Amīr, 1122 n, 1149 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1181 n 2, 1186 n, 1194 n, 1195 n, 1196 n, 1287 n.

Aribuga for Irtuk Būkā, 1161 n 4, 1162 n.

Aristotle, 678 n, 1184 n.

Arjāsib b. Afrāsyāb, King of Tūrān, 561 n 9.

Arjifan or Jifan b. <u>Chingis Khan</u>, 1092 n.

Arkanah, the Kümäi concubine of Üktäe Kä-än, 1142 n.

Arktū, the Nūyīn, 1248 n, 1263 n, 1272 n 6, 1278 n 7, 1279 n.

Arlats, the, 1095 n.

Arman, the Urus Amīr, 1170 n.

Armānūs, Ķaişar of Rūm, 184 n 9, 136 n.

Armenians, the, 1161 n 4, 1162 n.

Arrian, 1055 n

Arsalān-i-Arghū b. Alb-Arsalān b. Dā-ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, 143 n 2.

Arsalān-i-Bālū, Officer of the Sām--ānīs, 81 n.

Arsalan-i-Hajib or

Arsalān-i-Jāzib, governor of Khur-āsan, 80 n 5, 81 n, 117 n, 118 and n 5, 119, 321 n 7.

Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān Abu-I-Muzaffar, the Afrāsyābī <u>Kh</u>ān, 85 n, 904 n.

Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān b. <u>Kadr Kh</u>ān, 94 n 3, 905 n.

Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān of Ķaiāliķ. 1004 and n 9, 1007 n 3, 1023, 1054, 1055, 1061 n 7, 1066 n 6, 1112 n 8, 1132 n.

Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān, the Ķārlūgh, 953 n, 969 n 1, 985 n, 986 n, 989 n.

Arsalân <u>Kh</u>ân Muḥammad b. Sulīmān, See Muhammad-i-Arsalān Khān.

Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān Sanjar-i-<u>Ohast</u>, Malik of Awadh, 673, 695 and n 9, 699, 700 n 8, 703 n 7, 704 and n 5, 713 n 3, 766, 767, 768 and n 4, 769, 770, 771 n, 775 n, 776 n, 777 n, 779 n, 784 n, 793 and n 6, 830, 838 n 7, 839 n 4, 847 and nn 9 and 4, 848 and n, 849.

Arsalan Malik b. Sultan Malik b. Mas'ud-i-Karim, 107.

Arsalan Shah, Amir, 251 n 9.

Arsalān Shāh b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm Ghaznawī, xlvi, 107 and nn 7 and 8, 108 n 5, 147 n 8, See also Malik Arsālan.

Arsalān Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhim Ghaznawī, 106.

Arsalān Shāh b. Tughril b. Muhammad b. Malik Shāh Saljūķi, 165 and n 2, 166 n 7, 170 n 8, 171 n 9, 175 n 8.

Arsinh, the Pahlawan, 1002 and n 3, 1025 n 8.

Artaxerxes Longimanus, 8.

Artūķ Būķā b. Tūlī b. <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1092 n, 1215 and n 2, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1226 n, 1293 n. Artūķ, Mujīr-ud-Dîn, ruler of Da--mashk, 207 n 8.

Artūķiah, same as above.

Arwand Shah, 303 n 9.

Ārwand-āsp, father of Luhr-āsp, 303 n 9.

Ärwand-äsp b. Tüh, 303, 304, 305. Ärwand-äsp b. Zankabä, 303 and n

7, 804, 305.

Aryans, the, 899 n, 1075 n, 1076 n. Arzalāķ Shāh b. Muḥammad-i-Takish, 254 and n 3, 279 and n 6, 280 and nn 1 and 2, 281, 282 n 7, 286 and n 6.

Arzalū Shāh, See Arzalāķ Shāh.

Arzū-mand-Du<u>kh</u>t, daughter of <u>Kh</u>usrau Parwīz, 6.

As, tribe of, 1169 n.

'Aş b. Iram, 303.

Asad b, Ahmad Sāmānī, 29.

As'ad b. Bādān, 9, 11 and n 2.

As'ad b. Rādwīah, 9 r 1, 11 and n 2. Asad b. Sāmān-i-Khaddāt, 27 and n 6. 28.

Asad b. Shaddad, 312.

Asad-ud-Din Mankali, 742.

Asad-ud-Dîn Sher-i-Koh b. Shādī, the Kurdī, 204 n, 207 and n 8, 208 n and nn 1, 3 and 4, 209 and n 6, 210 and n, 211 and n 9, 212 n, 213 and n and nn 3 and 5, 215 and n 9, 216 and nn 3 and 4, 221 n 6, 225 n 4.

Asad-ud-Dîn Sher Malik Wajîrî, 461 and n 6.

Asad-ud-Din Tez Khān-i-Kutbī, 627.

Asaf <u>Kh</u>ān 'Abd-ul-Majid,—app.

Agār-u'l-Bilād, the, xvi, 14 n 1, 28 n 8, 70 n 6, 885 n 9, 1010 n.

Aşār-un-Nuzarē, the, 42 n 9.

Agar-us-Sanadid, the, 718.

Ascansar, anglicized form of Ak-Sankur, Malik of Halab, 173 n 4, 208 n 1.

Asfahed, a title, 263 n.

Ash'ar, Arab tribe, 613 n 2.

'Asharah-i-Mubashirah, the, 1.

Ash'arī, Sharaf-ul-Mulk, the, 664 and n.

Ash'ariun, the, 613 n 2.

Asha'yā (Isaiah) the Prophet, 3.

Ashk Arfa'wā, 1st of the Ashkāniān dynasty, 4.

**Ash**kān b. Ashk, of the Ashkāniān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Ashkāniān dynasty of 'Ajam, the, 1, 4.

Ashraf the 'Alawi, Sayyid, b. Muhammad-i-Abi-Shuja', the Samarkandi, 906 n, 907 n.

Ashraf-ud-Dîn b. Sayyid Jalāl-ud-Dīn, the Şūfī, Sayyid, 1288, 1289, 1290.

Ashrat b. Isham, 9.

Asia Polyglotta, Klaproth's, 885 n.

Asiatic Journal, the, 592 n.

Asiatic Society of Bengal, Proceedings of the, 693 n, 774 n.

Āşif <u>Kh</u>ān, 588 n.

Agīl-ud-Dīn, the Nīghāpūrī, 1008, 1061.

Aşīl-ud-Dīn, the Zawzanī, <u>Kh</u>wājah, 1206 n 3, 1208 n, 1209 n. [n 7.

Assassins, the, 363 n 8,651 n 7,1187

Astir (Atsiz) b. Iley, 875 n.

Astley's Collection, 920 n, 938 n, 1219 n.

Asūtāe Aghūl b. Mangū Ķā-ān b. Tūlī b. Chingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1223 n.

Atā-bak, meaning of, 168 n 2.

Atā-baks, the, 168 n 2, 169, 170 n, 172 n 3, 282.

Atā-baks of Āṣarbaijān and 'Irāķ, 166 n 7, 168, 172 n 8.

Atā-baks of Fārs, the, 148, 169 and n7, 178 and nn4 and 5, 174 n, 180 n 6.

Atā-baks of Mausil, the, 149, 169, 203 and n 1, 205 n6.

Ata-hû, the Mughal general in Ching-tu-fû, 1222 n.

Āt-Khān, the Ķarā Khitāī general, 154 n 2, 155 n, 287 n 2, 908 n, 919 n, 926 n.

Atkinson, Dr., 1012 n 4.

Atkinson, Mr. T. E., 683 n.

Atsiz b. Iley, Sovereign of the Tattar Imak, 875 n.

Aurangzeb, emperor of Dihlī, 559 n 2,586 n 9,592 n,593 n.

Avril, Father, 567 n 4.

Awang Khān Tughril Tigīn, Sovereign of the Karāyat Mughals, 470 n, 866 n, 936 n 5, 939 n, 940 n, 941 n, 942 n, 943 n, 944 n, 945 n, 948 n, 959 n, 1089 n, 1091 n, 1102 n, 1176 n 9.

Awan-Kars, a tribe of Jats, the, 499 n, 537 n, 538 n, 1132 n.

Awans, the, 1130 n, 1131 n. See also the above.

Awar Khān b. Mughal Khān, 875 n.

Awes, the Gabari Sultan, 1044 n.

Awḥad-ud-Dīn Bukhārī, Imām, 190, 191.

Aw-Tigîn b. Yassûkā Bahādur, 899 n, 1094 n, 1105 n.

Ayaghū or Aighū, Son of the Awang Khūn, 1193 n.

Ayat the Burak, 877 n.

Ayāz, Abū Najm, Uīmāķ or Imāķ, slave of Sulţān Mahmūd of Ghaz.
-nīn, lviii, 89 n 8, 102 n 4, 145 n 4.

Ayas-i-Hasar Mardah,—Kabir <u>Kh</u>an -i-Mangirnī, 725, 866 %.

Aydy Cūt, See Yiddi Ķūt.

'Ayishah Khātūn, daughter of 'Iszud-Dīn' Umr the Maraghanī, Malik of Hirāt, 193, 1199 n.

Aymin the servant, 206.

Ayyûbî dynasty, the, See under Aiyûbî.

Asad Ohihr b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghaznawi, 105.

Azād Malik b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghasnawī, 105.

Asad-Mihr b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghasnawi, 105.

A'gam Khan,-app. ix, xxi.

A'gam Malik b. 'Imad-ud-Din of Balkh, Amir, 540 n, 1016, 1022 n, 1023 n.

A'gam-ul-Mulk, the, 1015 n, See also A'gam Malik.

Azar-sab b. Tüh, 9.

'Aşid, Abû Muḥammad-i-'Abd'ullah, al-, 212 n, 218 n, 215 n 9, 216 n 4, 217 n, 218 n 6.

'Azīz of Egypt, the, 597.

'Azīz-bi'llah Manşūr-i-Nizār, al-, the Mişrī Khalīfah, 47 n 7.

Askanah Khātūn, 1142 n.

Az-Kullî Dâd-Bak, See Malik Saifud-Dîn, Îbak-i-Shamsî, 'Ajamî.

Azmādarī, the Gurjī, 1272 n 5.

Asman, the Urus Amir, 1170 n.

Aznāwari, the Gurjī, 1272 n 5.

Azrā-īl, 1190 n 1.

'Aşud-ud-Daulah, See 'Uşd-ud-Daulah.

## B.

Bābā Kotwāl the Şafahānī, 574, 575 and n 9.

Babak-i-Khurrami, 18 and n 9.

Bābakān b. Bābak b. Sāsān, of the Sāsāniān dynasty, 4.

Bābar, 75 n 5, 78 n, 81 n, 285 n 5, 288 n 3, 873 n, 874 n, 890 n, 1044 n, 1094 n, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n, 1145 n.

Babar-ud-Din, governor of Hirat, 375 n.

Badāe, the Jākambū, 1092 n, 1176 n.9.

Bādāe or Bātāe, the Tarkhān, 941 \*,

Badae Tarkhans, the, 943 n.

Bādān b. Māe Khusrau, 9.

Badrah, signification of the word, 18 n 8.

Badr-ud-Dîn, Sayyid, xlviii.

Badr-ud-Dîn 'Alî Kîdanî, Malik, 390, 490.

Badr-ud-Din, the chief Diwan, of Sultan Muhammad, <u>Kh</u>warasm Shah, 27 n 7, 276 n, 965 n 3, 988 n.

Badr-ud-Din Ja'far, Governor of Sarakhs under Sultan Shah, 248 \*\*.

Badr-ud-Dīn Jā'li<u>sh</u>, Governor of Bal<u>kh</u> under Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārasm Shāh, 402 n.

Badr-ud-Din-i-Khizr, 481 n 8.

Badr-ud-Din of Kidan, 342, 368.

Badr-ud-Dīn Lūlū, Malik of Mauşil, 1151 n, 1228 and n 6, 1234 n 8, 1287, 1247 n, 1252, 1262 n, 1263 n, 1272 n 5, 1279, 1280 and n 5.

Badr-ud-Din Sunkar, the Rūmi, 648, 651, 652, 653 n 5, 654, 662 n 6, 709

n 6,714 n 9,752, 758 and n 8, 787, 806, 867 n.

Badr-ud-Dîn Tughril, Slave of Sultân Sanjar, 374 and n 5, Same as Bahā-ud-Dīn Tughril, which see.

Badr-ul-Mulk 'Ajamī, Wazīr of Sulţān Sanjar, 155 n 6.

Bãe Sunkar b. Kaïdū, of the Būzan--jar dynasty of the Mughal Imāķ, 895 n. 896 n.

Baghatur, 898 n.

Bagh-rash, Amīr, 862 n 9.

Bagjadī, See Bakjadī.

Bagora, mispronunciation of the name Bughrā, 905 n.

Bagsüm b. Abrahat-ul-Ashram, 8. Bahādur, the title, 898 n.

Bahādur, Amīr of Tingķūt, 1115 n 5.

Bahādur, Ţā-īr, See Ţā-īr Bahādur.

Bahār-i-Dāni<u>sh</u>, the,—app. zzi. Bahā-ud-Daulah <u>Kh</u>usrau Fīrūz, 57,

68 n 8. Bahā-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. Sulţān Mas'ūd,

97 n 4, 98 n 6. Bahā-ud-Dīn Būlād-i-Nāsīrī. 626.

Bahā-ud-Dīn Ḥasan-i-Ash'arī, 635, 761.

Bahā-ud-Din Hilāl, the Sūrīānī, 663 n8, 737.

Bahā-ud-Dīn Ibak, the <u>Kh</u>wājah, 685, 819.

Bahā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, Amīr-i-Hājib, 491 n 9.

Bahā-ud-Din Muḥammad, the Jūinī, 1121 n.

Bahā-ud-Din Muḥammad b. Mas'ūdi-Karīm, 107.

Bahā-ud-Dīn Rāṭi, Sayyid, xlviii, 270 and n 7, 271, 963, 964 and n 2, 965 and n 5.

Bahā-ud-Dīn, Şa'lūk, Malik, 1120 n 2, 1121 n. Bahā-ud-Dīn Sām b. Ghiyāg-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd, Sultān of Ghūr ,407, 408, 409, 412, 413 n 1, 414 n 8.

Bahā-ud-Dīn Sām b. 'lsz-ud-Dīn al-Ḥusain, Sultān of Ghūr, xlix, 337, 339, 340 and n, 341 and nn 6 and 7, 342, 343 and n 3, 347 and n 2, 348 n, 349 n, 353 n 5, 357 and n 9, 369, 428, 432 n 8, 439 n 4, 440, 472 n 6, 478 n 6, 480 n, 1051 n 3, 1063,—app. xiv.

Bahā-ud-Dîn Sām b. Shams-ud-Dîn Muhammad, Bāmīāuī, xxii, 842, 390, 413, 414, 426, 428 and n 1, 429 n and n 4, 430, 431 and n 7, 432 and n 3, 433 and n, 435, 436, 490, 492 and n 7, 498 and n, 494, 501 nn 4 and 5.

Bahā-ud-Dīn Tughril, governor of Hirāt, 248, 249, 374 and n 5, 379 and n 5, See also under Tughril of Hirāt.

Bahā-ud-Din Ushī, Imām, 512.

Bahā-ud-Dīn Zakariyā of Multān, Imām, 482, 717 n, 1201 n.

Bahā ul-Ḥukk, See Bahā-ud-Dīn Zakariyā.

Bahā-ul-Mulk b. Najīb-ud-Dīn, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1030 n.

Bahkam, xlv, 68 and n 4, 69 n.

Bahlul Ludi, Sultan, 510 n, 511 n.

Bahman b. Isfandiyar b. Gushtasib 3, 7.

Bahr-ul-Asrār, the, xvi, 1164 n 9, Bahrām b. 'Alī, Governor of Kirmān, 93 n 8.

Bahrām b. Bahrām, of the Sāsānīān dynasty, 4.

Bahrām Gür b, Yazdajird, 231 n 2, 423 n 8, 598.

Bahrām b. Ḥajash, 312.

Bahram Hashnush, 58 n 6

Bahrām b. Hurmus, of the Sāsānīān dynasty, 4.

Bahram the Jahangirian, Sultan, 1044 n.

Bahram b. Razan Mürit, 9.

Bahrām b. Shāpūr,—Kirmān Shāh, of the Sāsānīān dynasty, 5.

Bahrām Shāh b. Khusrau Malik, Ghasnawī, 115, 379 n 6, 456 and n 2, 457, 1062 n 7, 1072 n 5.

Bahrām Shāh b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, Ghaznawī, xlvi, xlix, 107, 108 and nn 1, 2 and 5, 109 and n9, 110 and nn 1 and 5, 111 and n6, 112 n5, 113 n, 148 and n5, 149 n2, 236 n6, 323 n, 338 n2, 340, 341 n7, 347 n2, 348 n, 349 n, 350 n and n3, 351 and n and n1, 353 and n5, 357 and nn 6 and 7, 358 n2, 439 and n4, 440, 441 and n8, 442 n, 443 n, 444 n, 445 n, 448 n4.

Bahrām Shāh b. Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Kabājah, 532 and n 2, 541 n 8, 542, 543 n, 544, 613 n 7, 614.

Bahram Shah b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghaznawi, 106.

Bahrām Shūbīn, 27 and n 4, 29, 53 n 6.

Bahruz, prefect of Baghdad, 207 n 8. Baidar b. <u>Ohaghatae</u> b. <u>Oh</u>ingīz Khān, 1165 n.

Bāīdū b. Ardū, Sovereign of the Tāttār Imāķ, 875.

Baihaķi, al-, xlix, 67 and n 2, 69 n, 70, 75 n 5, 76 n 8, 77 n, 78 n, 82 n 2, 84 n 9, 85 n, 87 n, 89 n 9, 91 n 6, 93 n 9, 94 n 3, 95 n n 5, 7 and 8, 97 n, 100 n 5, 102 n 2, 105 n, 107 n 6, 117 n, 119 n, 120 n 1, 121 n 7, 122 and n 3, 12 i n 4, 126 n n 3 and 5, 127 nn 7 and 1, 128 n, 129 n. 180 n 6, 131 n 7, 132 n 8, 134 n 6,

231 n 2, 288 n 3, 318 n 1, 319 n 5 820 n 4, 321 n 7, 324 n, 326 n, 414 n 6, 426 n 6, 448 n 4, 536 n, 901 n, 1009 n, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1043 n 1.

Baikara, mispronunciation of the name Bughrā, 905 n.

Bain b. Munghī, 312.

Bāisū, a chief of the Mughal Imāķ, 936 n 6, 953 and n 8.

Baisūķā Āķā b. Jūjī Kasār, 1086 n. Baisūt, tribe of, lxiii, 988 n, 1094 n. Bait-ul-Māl, the, 62 n 5.

Baizāwī, Ķāzi, al-, xvi, 75 n 7, 97 n 4, 99 n, 107 n 7, 112 n 5, 113 n, 120 n 3, 349 n, 452 n 1.

Bajman, an Amir of the Aolbarlang tribe, 1169 n.

Baj-ūkī, the, 879 n, Same as the Bardz-ūkī, which see.

Bak, signification of the word, 49 n 7.
Bakan b. Kokar, the Hindu, 482 n,
484 n.

Bak-chak, the Mughal leader, 288 n 8, 1023 n.

Bakhtrian coins, 1122 n.

Bakhtyar b. al-Hasan b. Buwiah, 'Izz-ud-Daulah, Abu Mansur the Dilami, 43,60 and n 8,61 and n 4,62,63.

Bakhtyār or Bakhtyār-ud-Dīn b. Mahmūd, the Khalj, 548n 1, 1251 n 9,—app. xiii, xv, xvi, xviii.

Bāķir, Abū Ja'far Muḥammad, al-, 32 n 6.

Bakjadî b. Yal-dûz Khûn, the Mughal Chieftain, 892 n.

Bak-Taghdī, the Hājib, an officer of the Yamīnīah dynasty, 49 n 7, 88 n 7, 124 and n and n 4.

Bak-tam or Bak-Tamūr-i-Aor <u>Kh</u>ān, the Ruknī Malik, 703 and n 9, 759 n 6, 760, 837 n 6, 867 n. Bak-timish, the Korchī, 1206 n 8. Bak-Tūzūn, slave of the Sāmānī dynasty, 49 and nn and 9, 50 and n 4, 51 n 7, 80 n 5.

Balā, the Nuyin, 535 n, 1047 n 4, 1075 n.

Balaban, 865 n.

Balal Sen, 558 n 1, 559 n 2.

Bal'amī, Abū-'Alī b. 'Abd'ullah, 42 n 9, 44 n 4, 58 n 3.

Bala-nath, Jogi, 537 n.

Balas-al-Ashghani, 4.

Balāsh b. Fīrūz b. Yazdajird, of the Sāsānīān dynasty, 5.

Balban-i-Buzurg,—'Izzud-Din Bal-ban-i-Kashlu Khān, 678 n 8.

Balban-i-Khurd,—Ulugh Khān-i-Balban, 661 n, 678 n 8.

Bal-Diw, the Ohohan, 460 n 3.

Baldwin IV. King of Jerusalem, 101 n 8, 220 n 3.

Balghā Aghūl b. Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān 1194 n.

Balghā b. Shaibān b. Jūjī, b. Chin-gīz Khān 1286 n.

Balghür, a Mughal leader, 288 n 3.

Balikto Inānaj, the Tayānak Khān, 945 n.

Bālish, value of a, 1110 n 6

Bālisht, signification of the word, 962 n7.

Balkā, signification of the word, 94 \*7.

Balkā Khān b. Tūshī b. Qhingīz Khān, liv, 644 n.

Balkā Malik-i-Ḥusām-ud-Dīn 'Iwaz, the <u>Kh</u>alj, 617 and n 5, 618 n, 730, 773 n.

Balkā Tigīn, slave of the Sāmānī dynasty, 37 n, 49 n 7, 72 and n 6, 73 and n 7, 76, 232 n, 233 n, 320 n 3, 1283 n 8.

Balķīs, daughter of Hailād, Queen of Sheba, 6, 7, 803 n 7.

Baltu b. Mangu Ka-an, 1223 n.

Balüch, the,—a nomad tribe of Kirmān from whom the Balüchīs are descended, 60 n 8.

Balūchīs, the, 60 n 8, 334 n, 1131 n.

Bamkālīn the,—a branch of the Sūldūs tribe of Mughals, 1094 n.

Band Kadār, a Turk of Khifchāk, became sovereign of Misr under the title of Malik-ut-Tāhir, 1276 x, 1277 n, 1278 n, 1280 n 5, 1281 n.

Bandyas, the, 691 n.

Banī 'Abbās. See the Abbasides.

Banī Hūshim, the,—family of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfahs, 1229 n 8.

Banī Isrā'īl, the, 3, 7, 314 and n6, 318 n7.

Banī Rāsib, the,—a name by which the Ghūrīs are styled, 307 a.

Bani Ummiyah, (Umayyah) the, xxxiii.--1.

Bānīko of Țarāz, leader of the Gūr <u>Kh</u>ān's army, 261 m and n 8, 262 and n 1, 264 n, 283 n 9, 402 n, 411 n, 474 n, 908 n. 911, 912 n, 929 n, 931 n, 932 n, 934, 1118 n 9. His full name is Jai-Tīmūr-i-Bānīko, son of Kaldūz, chief of Țarāz.

Banjī b Nahārān, Shansabī, Malik of Ghūr, 311, 312 and n l, 313, 314, 315, 316 n and n 2, 326 n 368.

Bārakh (Tāraj) b. Yāfis, 870.

Bārakzīs, the; a tribe of Afghāns, 352 n 3.

Barani, See Ziya-nd-Din Barani.

Baranķash, the Sipah Sālār, officer of Sulţān Sanjar, 358 n 3, 426 n 4.

Burbier de Meynard, Mr., 962 x.

Bards- $\ddot{u}$ k $\ddot{i}$ , the ; the three eldest sons of  $\ddot{A}$ gh $\ddot{u}$ z  $\ddot{K}$ h $\ddot{a}$ n, 879 n, 880 n, 896 n, 1095 n.

Barin Mughals, the, 1093 n.

## Barkā or

Barkah b. Tūshī b. Chingīz Khân, liv, 163 n 5, 884 n, 1102 n, 1103, 1104, 1105 n, 1129 n 1, 1165 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1181 and n 2, 1185 n, 1247, 1257 and n 7, 1283 and n 8, 1284 and nn 2 and 3, 1285, 1286 n, 1287 and n, 1288, 1289, 1291 and nn 3 and 5, 1292 and n, 1293 n.

Barkat Khān, an officer of Sultān Jalal-ud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh, 1276 n.

Barkīārūk Rukn-ud-Dīn Abu'l Mugaffar b. Sulţān Malik Shāh Saljūķī, 143 n 2, 144 n and n 3, 145 n 4, 146 n 6, 147 n 8, 233 n, 669 n 7.

Barlās, title of Iradamchī b. Ķā-jūlī, which see, 898 n.

Barlās tribe, the, 939 n, 1093 n, 1094 n.

Barmās or Barmīās, the Mughal Daroghah in Marw, 1033 n, 1034 n. de Barros, 582 n 6.

Barsan b. Jürak, 9.

Barsinjān b. Yazdajird-i-Shahryār, 70 and n 1.

Barsinjār or

Barsinjūr b. Turk b. Yafis, 872 n.

Bar-Sipās <u>Kh</u>ān, chief of the Ķanghulī Turks, 979 n.

Bartan Bahadur b. Kabal <u>Kh</u>an, sovereign of the Bū-zanjar dynasty of the Mughal Imak, 897 n, 898 n.

Bartas, the, 1170 n.

Bartas b. Gumarī b. Yafiş, 872 n. Bartasīnah <u>Kh</u>ān, chief of the

Mughals, on their issuing from Irgānah-Kūn, 888 n.

Bartū [or Britū], a Hindū chief, 628, 629 n 5.

Basāsīrī, al-,—the celebrated Mamlūk of Bahā-ud-Daulah son of 'Azud-ud-Daulah, Buwaib, 136n.

 $Bash \cdot ghird$ , the, 1103 n, 1166 n.

Bāshghrūjān, or Bāshķrūjān, the, 872 n.

Bashkirs, the, 872 n.

Bāstānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, the, 1, 2, 1058 n 5.

Bat, etymology of the word, 326 n, 327 n.

Bat Tingrī, Kokjū, son of the Nūyān Manglīk, of the Ülkūnūt Kungķūr-āt Mughals, 1080 n5.

Bătāe or Bâdāe, the Tarkhān, 941 n, 942 n.

Batanî Afghans, the. See under Patans.

Bāthus, mispronunciation of the name of Bātū Khān, 1167 n.

Bātīkīn, the Zamīn-Dāwarī, governor of Zamīn-Dāwar on the part of Maḥmūd of <u>Gh</u>aznīn, 324 n.

Bāṭinīah, the,—a sect of Muham-madan schismatics, 74, 215, 1189.

Bātū Khan b. Tūshī b. Ohingiz Khān, 1102 and n, 1105 n, 1128 n, 1140 n, 1149 n 7, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1164 and n and n 9, 1165 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1172 and n 9, 1173 n, 1177 and n 1, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1181, 1182, 1185 n, 1186 n, 1218 n, 1284, 1285, 1286 n, 1290 and n, 1291 and n 6, 1292 and n and n 1.

Bātū <u>Kh</u>ān b. Jūjī <u>Kh</u>ān, 974 n, same as above.

Bāūrohīķ, ruler of tribes of I-ghūrs, 951 n.

Bāyāūt the : a Mughal tribe, 1223 n.
Bāyazīd, Shaikh. See Shaikh Abū-Yazīd, Bustāmī.

Bāyazīd, the Byāt, author of memoirs of Humāyūn Bādshāh xvi.

Bāyazīd, the 'Uşmānli Sultān, 884 n. Bāsān of the Tabābi 'ah, 8.

Beames, 1131 n.

Bedă, a Hindū chief, 86 n.

Begdalī or Bekdalī tribe of I-ghūrs, the, 288 n.

Beghū, Chief of the Ghuzz, 903 n.

Beghū Khān, Chief of the Karluks, 909 n.

Beghü b. Ghuzz b. Mang b. Yafig. 871-n, 878 n.

Beghū b. Saljūķ, 87 n, 99, 102 n 2, 117 n, 122, 123 and n, 124 n, 125, 129, 132 and n 9.

Beghū, the,—so the Turkmāns style themselves, 1, 433 and n 6, 494, 871 n, 909 n.

Beghū Ķārlūghs, the, 909 n.

Beghūn, another way of writing Beghū, 871 n.

Beg-Tigin Salāḥī, a general of Sulţān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm Shāb, 995 n.

Bejadah, signification of the word, 421 n 2.

Bekdali or Begdali tribe of I-ghirs, the, 233 n.

Bela IV, King of Hungary, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1168 n.

Bela Noyan, See Balā, the Nūyān. Belkūtāe, the Nū-yīn, half brother of the Chingīz Khān, 1220 n.

Bellew, Surgeon-Major, 901 n, 912 n, 917 n, 932 n, 933 n, 940 n, 952 n, 965 n 5, 968 n 6, 1016 n 3, 1022 n,

1048 n 1, 1044 n, 1045 n, 1075 n 1081 n 7, 1108 n.

Bengal Asiatic Journal, the, 563 n, 591 n, 622 n, 697 n 5, 743 n 4.

Bentinck, 981 n, 1089 n.

Beresine, 897 n.

Bhaghials, the, 1182 n.

Bhanehrs, the, 1132 n.

Bhanu Diw Rae, 592 n.

Bhatī tribe of Jats, the, 79 n, 826 n, 451 n, 795 n.

Bhikshus, the, 691 n.

Bhim Diw Rae, of Nahrwalah, 451 and n 6, 452 n 7, 516, 519 n, 520 n.

Bhīm Narāyan, chief of Bhīm-nagar, 85 n.

Bhim-pal, 86 n.

Bhīrā Rāe, chief of the tribe of Bīrār, 84 n 9, 85 n.

Bhirāj, or Bhūrāj, brother of Raē Pithorā, 517 n, 519 n.

Bhūtawārī Turks, the, 1046 n 3.

Bīgī Sūjī. See Sūjī the Bīgī.

Bīgī Ķolūķah. See Ķolūķah the Bīgī.

Bīgī Tūķtā. See Tūķtā the Bīgī.

Bihār Museum, the, 743 n 4.

Bihzād b. Sulţān Ibrāhîm Ghasnawl, 105.

Bij or Bijayî Dîw, Rājah, of Jamūņ, 454 n, 466 n 1.

Bikramaditya, 453 n 4, 454 n, 598 n 8, 622 n.

Bīķtūmi<u>sh</u> Ķūchīn, daughter of Bādāe the Jākambū, and <u>Kh</u>ātūn of Jūjī b. Chingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1092 s.

Bilādūrī, al-,-app. xviii.

Bilkadāe or Bilgadae, b. Yaldūs <u>Kh</u>ān the Mughal chieftain, 892 n.

Bilkütî b. Yassükā Bahādar, 899 n, 1105 n.

Biu'Abdallatif. See Ibn'Abdallatif.

Binal Tigin, Taj-ud-Din, the Khwa--razmī, Malik, 199 and n 7, 200 and n 8, 905 n, 1062 and nn 4 and 5.

Bīrār, tribe of, 85 n.

Bîr Māhî Dīw, Rāe, 592 n.

Birūnī, Abū Raihān. See under Abū Rīhān.

Biwar-sep, the infidel, V. of the Bāstāniah'dynasty of 'Ajam, 2.

Biwar-asp b. Arwand-asp, who is styled Zuhāk, 303 and n7, 305 n5. Black Tatars, the, 885 n.

Black Wolf, designation of Sabuk-Tigin's father, 69 n.

Blochmann, 554 n 9, 568 n, 585 n 6, 587 n 4, 591 n, 592 n, 603 n 6, 650 n 1, 694 n 4, 698 n 8, 718, 720 n 2, 727 n 7, 737 n 8, 739 and n 6, 741 n 2, 744 n, 770 n 9, 771 n, 772 n, 774 n, 776 n, 777 n, 779 n, 795 n 2, 810 n, 858 n 4, 866 n, 880 n, 1003 n 4, 1258 n 9, 1295 n 2,—app. i, ii, iv, vi, vii, viii, ix, x, xi, xii, xiii, xiv, xv, xvi, xvii, xviii, xix, xx, xxi. xxii, xxiii, xxv, xxvi.

Bombay Geographical Journal, the. 78 n, 95 n 4, 452 n 8, 705 n 7.

Bombay Geographical Transactions, the, 1241 n 1.

Boroldae, the Nu-yin, General of Bātū Khāu, 1166 n.

Bortakin, See Yorkin.

Bostan, the, 179 n 1.

Bosto or

Bostugo Khān, Prince of the Kalmuks, 1167 n.

Boulger, Mr. D. C., 1045 n.

Brahmapas, the, 691 n.

Briggs, xi, xii, xiii, 96 n 2, 110 n 4, 312 n 6, 404 n 9, 441 n 9, 442 n, 444 n, 446 n.5, 448 n 3, 450 n, 454 n,

459 n 7, 461 n, 468 n, 464 n 7, 465 n 467 n, 472 n, 475 n 2, 478 n 6, 480 n, 484 n, 487 n, 498 n 6, 501 n, 504 n 2, 509 n, 510 n, 511 n, 513 n 8, 515 n and n 7, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 524 n, 537 n, 542 n 9, 546 n 7, 591 n, 633 n 8, 635 n 9, 640 n 3, 641 n 6, 642 n 3, 645 n 9, 655 n 9, 656 n, 664 n 4, 678 n 7, 680 n, 683 n, 691 n,694n4,699n2,705n7,794n1874 n, 885 n, 1130 n, 1132 n, 1202 n, 1203 n, 1271 n,—app. vii, xi, xx. British Association, the, 1090 n. British Museum, the, 326 n, 351 n 1.

Britu, [or Bartū], a Hindū chief, 628, 629 n 5.

Browne, the Rev. J. Cave, 1062 n 2 Bū Rīḥān, See Abū Rīḥān. [1178n.] Būchak b. Tūlī Khān, 1165 n, 1171 n, Buchanan, 560 n 4, 565 n 5.

Budāunī, 'Abd'ul Kādir,-author of Muntakhab-ut-Tawarikh, xvi, 82 n1,85n,113n,449n8,452nn1 and 2, 461 n, 471 n, 523 n, 533 n, 543 n, 545 n 5, 551 n, 559 n 2, 566 n 9, 569 n 3, 570 n, 571 n 2, 578 n 3, 580 n 7, 591 n, 592 n, 601 nn 6 and 9, 603 n 6, 609 n 1, 611 n 3, 612 n 4, 613 n 7, 616 n 2, 623 nn 8 and 1, 624 n 3, 635 n 9, 648 n, 645 n 4, 646 n 6, 651 n 7, 665 n 8, 716 n 5, 776 n, 791 n 1,-app. vi, viii, xiv.

Buddha Sakyamuni, 892 n.

Buddhists, the, 570 n 9, 932 n, 933 n, 950 n, 961 n, 983 n, 1043 n 1, 1058 n 6, 1076 n.

Budsiak, name of a people on the banks of the Borysthones, W. of the Black Sea, 896 n.

Bue-Ruk, brother of the Tayanak Khan, ruler of the Naeman tribe, 940 n, 941 n, 946 n, 947 n, 949 n.

- Bughrā Khān b. Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn Bal--ban. See under Bughrā Khān Nāşir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd.
- Bughrā <u>Kh</u>ān b. Kadr <u>Kh</u>ān, X. of the Afrāsyābī Maliks, 905 n.
- Bughrā Khān Nāṣir-ud-Din Maḥmūd b. Ulugh Khān-i-Balban, lv, 716 n 5, 778 n.
- Bughrā Khān Shihāb-ud-Daulah, Abū-Mūsā-i-Hārūn, the Afrāsayābī Malik, 45 n 8, 46 n 4, 74, 84 n 9, 138 n 8, 239 n, 260 n 7, 901 n, 902 n, 903 n, 905 n, 910 n, 916 n.
- Bughrā Khān b. Yūsūf b. Bughrā Khān-i-Hārūn, the Afrāsyābī Malik, 904 n.
- Bughrā Tigīn, 85 n. See also Arsalān Khān, Abu-l-Muzaffar.
- Bughrae Khan, an Officer of Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah's army, 976 n.
- Bughrājaķ, uncle of Sulţān Maḥmūdb. Sabuk-Tigīn, 80 n 5, 186 n.
- Buj-kum, the Amīr-ul-Umarā of Baghdād, xlv.
- Būķā or Būķāe Khān b. Bū-zanjar, sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ, 894 n.
- Būķā <u>Kh</u>ān b. Tāttār <u>Kh</u>ān, sovereign of the Tāttār Imāķ, 874 n.
- Būķā <u>Kh</u>ān, the Turkmān Chief, 1029 n.
- Būķāe, the Nū-yīn, 972 n, 975 n 5, 1184 n, 1286 n, 1287 n.
- Bûkah, a Saljûkî Chief, 120.
- Būķān, [possibly Yūķān], Bādshāh the Charkas, 1170 n.
- Būķā Timūr b. Tūshī b. <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1179 n, 1185 n, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1239 n, 1240 n, 1243 n, 1245 n 4, 1261 n 7.
- Bukhara Haji, the,-- the merchant

- who purchased Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timish, 601.
- Bukhārs,—People of the towns are so called, 879 n.
- Bukht-un-Nassar, (Nabuchodonosor)
  Malik of Bābil, 8.
- Būķīa b. Bū-zanjar, See Būķā or Būķāe <u>Kh</u>ān. [Tāttār Khān.]
- Būķū Khān. See Būķā Khān 3.
- Būlān (Poles?), the; See under Būlo. Bulgarians, the, 1251 n. See also
- under the Bulghars.
- Bulghān b. Bātū b. Tūghī (Jūjī) <u>Kh</u>ān, 1239 n, 1243 n.
- Bulghār b. Gumārī b. Yāfis, 872 n, 886 n.
- Bulghārs, the, 870 n, 872 n, 959 n, 1032 n, 1251 n.
- Būlo, the, name of a people, 1165 n, 1166 n, 1167 n.
- Būrā Ķuchīn, the chief Khātūn of Ūktāe Ķā'ān, 1141 n.
- Bürah, adopted son of the Nüyan Jifan, 1092 n.
- Burāk, the Ghuzz Chief,—master of Ghaznīn, 112 and n4.
- Burāķ, the Ḥājib, Malik of Kirmān, 199, 200 and n 7, 261 n, 283 n 9, 284 and n, 285, 295 n, 297 n and n 9, 610 n, 933 n, 934 n, 976 n, 1062 n 4, 1118 and n 9, 1119 n, 1235 n 1.
- Burandash, See Nüridash.
- Burānghār or Right Wing of an army, 1093 n, 1095 n.
- Burghūts or Burkūts, the,—a tribe of Mughals, 981 n.
- Burhān-i-Ķāti', the, 60 n 8, 64 n 1, 74 n 1, 103 n 7, 128 n, 138 n 8, 155 n, 169 n 6, 201 n 4, 339 n 8
- Būrī b. <u>Ohagh</u>atāe b. <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1165 n. 1170 n, 1171 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1185 n, 1192 n.

Būrjin the Arlat, the Nū-yin, 1098 n. 1095 n.

Bürkī, the Bāwūr<u>ch</u>ī, of the Dürbān tribe, 1093 n.

Burkuts, the. See the Burghuts.

Burns, 115 n 5.

Bürtah Küchin, mother of Uktae Kā-an, 1087 n, 1091 n, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1102 n, 1105 n.

Bustam b. Mihshad, b. Narīman, Malik of Hind and Sind, 305, 306 and nn 9 and 5, 307 and n, 308 and n 2, 309. Bustām-i-Zuhāk, 331, same as above Buwīah, family of, xxxiii, 40, 43 and n 6, 45, 55 n 1, 57, 59 and n 6, 61 nn 9 and 4, 66 n 7, 87 n, 93 n 8, 136 n, 174 n, 296 n.

Buwiah b. Fanā <u>Kh</u>usrau, Dilami, 55 n 1, 56, 57.

Būzābah, or Fūzābah, Atā-bak, Governor of Fārs on the part of the Saljūķīs, 174n.

Bū-zanjar Ķā'ān, Sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ 893 n, 894 n, 908 n, 938 n, 1105 n, 1207 n.

O.

Cain, of Scripture, 596 n 1.

Calcutta Review, the, 590 n, 812 n 3.

Canunj Khaud, the, 1271 n.

Carpini, John de Plano, 1080 n 6,

1151 n. 1171 n.

Carrier-pigeons, transmitting letters by, xlvi, 37 n 9, 101 n 8.

Castanneda, 582 n 6.

Cato, 1014 n 2.

Censor, office of, 430 n 2. [xviii.]

Ohachh Nāmah, the, xvi,—app. xvii,

Chaghan, or white,—an epithet given
to civilized countries and peoples,

912 n.

<u>Ohagh</u>ān, the Nū-yīn, 1152 n, 1185 n. Chaghāniāns, the, 428 n 8.

Chaghatãe Khân b. Ohingīz Khân, 280 n 9, 535 n, 953 n, 956 n, 970 n 2, 975 n 5, 1024 n 2, 1026, 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1075 n, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1087 n, 1091 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1097 and n 7, 1099 n, 1101 and n 1, 1102 n, 1103 n, 1104 n, 1105 n, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1110, 1111, 1114, 1137 n, 1142 n 2, 1144

and n 7, 1145 n, 1146 and n, 1147, 1148 and n 4, 1149 n 7, 1152 n 1157 and n 1, 1170 n, 1172, 1176 n 9, 1177, 1178. n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1182, 1186, 1194 n, 1211 n, 1283 n 9, 1290 n 9, 1292 n and n 1.

Oheghatãe b. Tüshî b. Chingiz Khān, 1102.

Chaghatães, the; the four tribes of Chaghatãe, second son of the Chingīz Khān, 1093 n, 1146 n.

Chaghtay Khāns, the, 902 n.

Ohagtae tribe, the, 1094 n. See the Chaghataes.

Ohāhada Dīwa, a Hindū Rājah, 690

Chāhar, the Ajār, Rāe, 691 and n, 733, 735 n 9, 818 n 6, 824 and n 8, 825.

Chahār I-māķ, the, 874 n, 876 n, 1076 n.

Chahil tribe, the, 79 m.

Chait Singh, 551 n.

Chanah, 987 n 3, mispronunciation of the name of Jabah, the Nū-īn.

- Ohanchu tribe of Mughals, the, 1222 n.
- Ohand, the Hindu Bard, 327 n, 459 n 9.
- Chanda, the poet,—same person as above 486 n.
- Ohand-pal Bhud, Hindu sovereign of Asi, 67 n.
- Qhand Poondir, a vassal chief of Lahore, 467 n.
- Chang-jau, general of "Mengko" Khān, 1219 n.
- Chapar tribe of Mughals, the, 1143n.
- Chār-chīn b. Kāīdū. See under Jārohīn.
- Ohar-ganah, the; a name of four tribes of Mughals, 1164n 9.
- Oharkas, the; name of a people, 1170 and nn 3 and 4.
- <u>Charkh</u>ī, Maulānā-i-, a Muḥammad--an saint, 73 n 8.
- Ohaugan, description of the game, 41 n 6.
- Chaupan, Amīr, 939 n.
- Chepe, mispronunciation of the name of Jabah.
- Cheremis, the, 1103 n, 1165 n, 1170 nn 3 and 4.
- Chigal or <u>Oh</u>ikal (Jinkal) b. Turk b. Yāfis, 872 n.
- Ohihilgani Mamlüks, the, 643 n, 645 n 9. See also next.
- <u>Oh</u>ihl-ganiān, the,—forty slaves of Sulţān Shams-ud-Din Iyāl-timish, 794n1.
- Ohikal or Ohigal (Jinkal) b. Turk
  b. Yafig 872 n.
- Chilligies, a mispronunciation of the name Khaljīs.
- Ohin, second son of Yafis b. Nuh 870 n, 871 n.

Chinese, the, lv. 885 n, 898 n, 907 n, 912 n, 920 n, 921 n, 922 n, 940 n, 947 n, 958 n, 981 n, 985 n, 1083 n, 1085 n 3, 1088 n, 1105 n, 1106 n, 1136 n 9, 1141 v, 1184 n, 1215 nn 9 and 4, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1220 n, 1221 n, 1222 n, 1223 n.

Chinese language, the, 956 n.

Chingiz Khān, the .- Tamurchi b. Yassūkā—, xlviii, xlix, 94 n 3, 155 n6, 180n5, 198 and n3, 230, 239 n 9, 260 n 7, 265 n 4, 268, 269 and n, 270 and nn 6 and 7, 271 and n, 272 and nn 1 and 2, 273 n and n 5, 274, 275, 276 n, 277, 278 n, 280 and n 9, 284 and n, 285 n 3,286 and n 6, 287, 288 and n 3, 289 n, 290 n and n 4, 291, 292 n, 293 n 5, 294 n, 295 n, 297, 344, 427 n, 470 n, 481 n 8, 487, 534 and n 1, 535 n, 536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 540 n, 564 n, 609, 612 n 4,  $663 \, n \, 9,665 \, n \, 8,666 \, n,668 \, n,740 \, n$ 8, 869 and n = 2, 879 n, 882 n, 883 n, 884n,885n,886n,888n,889n,890n, 891 n, 892 n, 893 n, 894 n, 895 n, 896 n, 898 n, 899 n, 903 n, 913 n,  $917 \, n, 918 \, n, 922 \, n, 929 \, n, 930 \, n, 931$ n, 932 n, 933 n, 935, 936 n 6, 937, 938 n, 942, 946 n, 948, 949 n, 950 n, 951 n, 952 n, 953 and n, 954, 955 n, 956 n, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 960 and n,962,963 and n8,964 n2,965and n 5, 966, 967, 968 and n 6, 969 and n 1, 970 n 2, 971 n, 972 n, 974 and n, 975 and n 5, 976 n, 977 n, 978 and n, 979 n, 980 n 1, 981 n,  $982 \, n, 985 \, n, 986 \, n, 987 \, n \, and \, n \, 3,$ 988 n, 989 n, 990 and n, 991 n, 992 and n 5, 993 n, 994 n, 995 n, 998 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1001, 1004 and n 1, 1005 and n, 1006 and n 6, 1007, 1008 and n 5, 1009, 1010 n, 1011

and n, 1012, 1013, 1015 n, 1016 n 3, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 and n, 1020 n, 1022 and n, 1023 and n, 1024 n 2, 1025 n, 1026, 1027 n 8, 1028 n, 1030, 1035 n, 1087 n, 1040 and n 2, 1042, 1043 and n 1, 1044 n, 1045 and n, 1046 n, 1047 and n 4, 1048, 1049 n 2, 1050 n, 1051 n, 1054 and n, 1057 n 3, 1058 n 6, 1059, 1063 n 1, 1071, 1073 and n 4, 1075 n, 1077 n 6, 1078, 1079 and n 9, 1080 and n 5, 1081 and n 1, 1082 n, 1088 n, 1084 and n, 1085 n 3, 1086 n, 1087 n, 1088 and n, 1089 n, 1090 n, 1091 and n, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1095, 1096, 1097 and n 7, 1099 n, 1100 n, 1101, 1102 n, 1103 n, 1104 and n 5, 1105 n, 1106 n, 1107, 1108 nn 9 and 1, 1110 n 5, 1111, 1113, 1114, 1115 n 5, 1116 n, 1117 and n6, 1118 n 9, 1120 n 2, 1126 n 6, 1128 n 7, 1131 n, 1132 n,  $1136 \, n \, 9$ ,  $1137 \, n$ ,  $1138 \, n$ ,  $1140 \, n$ , 1142 n and n 2, 1143 and n, 1144, 1145 n. 1146, 1149 n 7, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1153, 1164 and n 9, 1165 n, 1170 n, 1173 n 1, 1177 and n 1, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1181, 1182 n 4, 1185 n, 1186 n, 1189, 1191 n, 1193 n, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1215 n 4, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1220 n, 1222 n, 1223 n, 1226, 1235 n 1, 1249 n, 1256 n 6, 1257 n, 1265 n, 1271 n, 1275 n 3, 1282, 1284, 1286 n, 1291 n 3,—app. xxi.

<u>Chingīz Khān</u>, Mamlūk of <u>Shamsud-Dīn Muḥammad the Kurat, lv, 1201 n.</u>

Chînkāe, the Grand Wazīr of Uktāe
Kā'ān, 1149 n 7, 1160 n 8.
Chīn-Tīmūr. See Jai-Tīmūr.

Christ, 1162 n.

Christians, the liv, 567 n 4, 927 n, 982 n, 961 n, 983 n, 1112 n 9, 1145 n, 1159 n 6, 1160 and n 8, 1161 n 4, 1162 n, 1167 n, 1186 n, 1193 n, 1194 n, 1284 and n 9, 1261, 1268 n 9, 1269 n, 1288, 1289, 1291.

Christie, 188 n 7.

Christopher Colombus. See under Colombus.

Chronicles of the Pathan Kings of Dehli. See Thomas's "Pathan Kings of Dehli."

Chugtar tribe, the, 1094 n. See the Chagtae tribe.

Circassians, the, 1165 n.

"Coins of the Kings of Ghaznīn,"
Paper on the, 71 n 5, 72 n 6, 114 n.
"Coins of the Pathān Kings of

Dehli," 399 n, 424 n 6, 469 n 9.
"Coins of the Şalāţīn-i-Hind,"

Treatise on the, 718.

Columbus, Christopher, 292 n, 422
n 3.

Conolly, 878 n.

Conrad, the Emperor, 221 n.

Contributions to the Geography and History of Bengal, Blochmann's, xviii, xix, xxi, xxiii, xxv, xxvi, 565 n 5, 587 n 4, 718, 727 n 7,737 n 8, 739 n 6, 741 n 2, 744 n, 770 n 9, 771 n, 772 n, 776 n, 777 n, 7 79 n, 795 n 2, 810 n, 880 n, 1003 n 4, 1258 n 9,—app. i, vii, x, xiii, xvi.

Corporealists or Mujassamian, a subdivision of the Şifatī sect, the, 384 n 5.

Cossaks, the, 1251 n.

Crusaders, the, 101 n 8, 140 n 2, 143 n 2, 144 n, 219 n 9, 225 n, 326 n.

Crusades, the, xlvii, 221 n 5, 225 n. Cumani. See the Kūmāns. Cunningham, Major-Gen. A., 511 n, 520 n, 598 n 8, 680 n, 878 n, 1004 n 1, 1129 n 1, 1180 n, 1181 n,—app. iii. Cushwaha (Kachwahah Rajpute), the, 691 m.

## D.

Dabkülī-i-Tughān Khān b. Karā Khān, 908 n.

Dādan Khān, a Khokhar chief, 537 n. Dād-Bak or Amīr-i-Dād, definition of the term, 788 n 2.

Dae, the Nū-yīn, Bādshāh of the Kungkur-āt Mughals, 1091 n.

Dāgh (Tāķ or Tāgh) b. Āghūz Khān, 880 n.

Daghistani, the: author of a Tag-kirah, xxi, xxx.

Dahahs or

Dahchahs, or Tens: one of the four degrees of the Mughals, 948 n.

Dahima Rājputs, the, 459 n 9.

Dāhir, Rūe,-app. xvii.

Dahriz the 'Ajami, of the Taba--bi'ah, 8.

 $D\tilde{a}^{i}i$ , meaning of, 1187 n 7.

Dā'ī ila 'l Ḥaķķ, ad-, Muḥammad b. Zaid-ul-'Alawī, 32 n 6.

Dā-īr. See Tā-īr Asūn.

Daķīķī, the poet, 308 a 2.

Dalakī of Malakī, 817, 850 n 3. Same as Dalkī.

Dālān, Tughachār; the Mughal general, 956 n.

Dalkī, or Dhalkī, the Hindū Rānah of Malkī or Mhalkī, 680 and n9, 681 n, 682 and n 5, 683 n.

Dalton, 563 n, 566 n 8, 705 n 7.

Dang, signification of, 141 n 9.

Dārā-i-Akbar b. Bahman, of the

Kai-ānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 4, 1263 n.

Dārā-i-Aşghar, of the Kai-ānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Dārā b. Shams-ul-Ma'ālī Ķābūs-f-Washmgīr, governor of Gurgān, 47, 51, 81 n.

Dārāb-i-Akbar, 3, 4. Same as Dārāi-Akbar.

Darabars or Rarabars, a general of the Rae of Gujarat, 522 n.

Darah, signification of, 99 n 9.

Dard-i-pāe, determination of the disease, 1177 nl.

Darhim b. Un-Nașr Kanânî, governor of Sijistân, 19 n 1, 22 n 5.

Dāritāe Ūn-chūkī: Tamur-chī, the Chingïs Khan's paternal uncle, 945 n.

Darius, 887 n.

Dārkāe, the Gurgān, one of the sons-in-law of the Chingiz Khān, 1217 n.

Darwesh Ahmad Afandi, 915 n, 920 n.

Darweshes, the, 657 n.

Darwezah, the Akhūnd, 1044 n.

Dasarata, father of Rāmā, 548 n 2, 585 n 6.

Dastān, a name of Zāl-i-Zar, father of Rustam, 422 n 7.

Dā'ūd, the prophet; David of Scripture, 1161.

Dā-'ud Amīr. See Amīr-i-Dād.

Dā-'ūd, claimant to the sovereignty of Gurgistān, 1151 n, 1152 n.

Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, Saljūķī, xlvii, 99, 100, 103 and n9, 104, 116 n 3, 117 n, 119 n 7, 120 n 3, 122 and n 8, 123 and n, 124 n, 125, 126 and nn 2 and 3, 127, 128 n, 129 and n, 130 and n 6, 132 and nn 9 and 1, 136 n.

Dā-'ūd b. Kabar Malik, ruler of Gūrjistān, 988 n, 1151 n, 1152 n.

Dā-'ūd-i-Siyāh, 10 n 7.

Dā-'ūd b. Sulīmān-i-Ķil-timish, of the Rūmī dynasty of the Saljūķs, 159 n.

Dā-'ud Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh b. Mas'ud-i-Karīm Ghasnawī, 111 and n7.

Daulat Shāh, author of a Taşkarat, 609 n 5.

Daulat Shah, Wali of Balkh, 147 n8.

Daulat Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm Ghasnawī, 110, 111, 352, 353 and n 4.

Daulat Shāh-i-Balkā. See Ikhtiyārud-Dīn-i-Balkā.

Daulat Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghasnawī, 105.

Daulat Yār-i-Ţughrā-ī, Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, the Malik-ul-Kuttāb of Sulţān Muhammad, <u>Kh</u>wārazm Shāh, 1003, 1052 and n 6.

Dayalimah, the. See under Dia-lamah.

Decebalus, 887 n.

Degun Dsāmbū, a Tibbatī title, 1092 n.

Dehrs, the,-app. xvii.

De Plano Carpini, 899 n. See also under Carpini.

De Sacy, Silvestre, 87 n.

Des Guignes, 886 n, 988 n, 1264 n.

D'Herbelot, 884 n, 1268 n 9.

Diālamah (Dayālimah), dynasty of the, 40 n 2, 45, 49 n 7, 55, 57, 60 n 8, 62, 65, 174 n, 296 n.

"Diary of a march"..., Raverty's, 95 n 4.

Dib-bādķūe b. Alminjah, chieftain of the Turks, 873 %.

Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, the, 886 n.

Dictionary of Greek and Roman Biography, the, 886 n.

Dictionary of Greek and Roman Geography, the, 886 n.

Dihgān, the; name of a people, 1043 n 1.

Dilaman, the, 93. See also the Dialamah.

Dilazāk Afghāns, the, 352 n 3, 1043 n 1, 1096 n.

Dinar, 'Imad-ud-Din, the Chuss Chief, 246 n 8, 378 n 8.

Din-dar Muhammad, the Amir-i-Akhur of Lohor, 1135.

Dinwaj Rae, ruler of Sunar-ganw, 590 n.

Divination by means of the shoulderblades of sheep, 1078 and n8.

Diwan-i-'Āriş-i-Mamālik [Muster-Master of the Kingdom], the, 709.

Diws, the, 187. [889 n.] Doghlātī tribe of the Mughals, the,

D'Ohsson, 535 n, 610 n, 884 n, 896 n, 910 n, 913 n, 916 n, 917 n, 947 n, 1001 n 9, 1021 n 8, 1086 n, 1090 n, 1121 n, 1188 n 8, 1190 n 1, 1226 n, 1256 n 6, 1267 n 6.

Doī-un-Byān, 892 n. See under Doyun Byān. Dorn, 622 n.

Dorn's "Afghāns," 150 n. [938 n.] Do-shākhah, signification of the term, Dostān b. Barsān, 9.

Dow, xi, xii, xiii, 41 n, 87 n, 205 n, 312 n6, 323 n, 404 n9, 431 n7. 441 n 9, 442 n, 448 n 5, 448 n 3, 450 n, 454 n, 459 n 7, 461 n, 464 n 7, 467 n, 472 n, 475 n 2, 478 n 6, 479 n, 480 n, 484 n, 498 n 6, 500 n 8, 504 n 2, 508 n 1, 509 n, 510 n, 511 n, 518 n 8, 515 n and n 7, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 523 n. 524 n, 537 n, 548 n 3, 591 n, 633 n 8,638 n 1,640 n 3,641 n 6,642 n 3, 645 n 9, 649 n 7, 655 n 9, 656 n, 657 n 5, 658 n 2, 664 n 4, 678 nn 7 and 8, 680 n, 691 n, 705 n 7, 874 n, 885 n, 1180 n, 1182 n, 1202 n, 1203 n, 1271 n,—app. xi, xx.

Dow's "Hindostan," 87 n.

Dowson, xxxiv n 4, xlvi, xlix, li, lii, liii, liv, 77 n, 78 n, 90 n 1, 91 n 1, 94 n 3, 96 n 2, 98 n 7, 101 n 8, 102 n 9, 103 n 8, 104 n 3, 106 n 4, 110 n 4, 459 n 7, 585 n 6, 587 n 3, 600 n 4, 807 n 8, 809 n 1, 819 n 8, 1076 n, 1093 n, 1153 n, 1201 n,—app. iii, xix.

Doyun Byan, grandson of Yuldus <u>Kh</u>an, sovereign of the Mughal Imak, 892 n. Dubay Nüyan, 585 s.

Dufflahs, the, 566 n 8.

Duklah, the Atā-bak, 148. See also Tuklah b. Salghur.

Dūķūz, the Christian Khātūn of Hulākū, daughter of Aighū, son of the Āwang Khān, 1193 n, 1262 n.

Düral-gin or Dürla-gin, the: descendants of Nagüz, 888 n, 891 n, 892 n, 893 n, 895 n, 940 n, 951 n, 1095 n.

Dürbäe, agent of the Chingiz Khān to the Yiddī-Ķūt, 952 n.

Dürban tribe, the, 1093 n.

Dūr-Bāgh, signification and description of, 607 n 5.

Durgāwatī, Rānī, 588 n.

Dur-la-gin, the, 888 n, = Düral-gin, which see.

Dürmäns, the; a Mughal tribe, 939 n, 940 n, 944 n.

Durr-i-Ghūr, or Pearl of Ghūr; designation of Ziyā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, also called 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, Malik-ul-Ḥājī, 346 and n 1.

Durrānīs, the; a tribe of Afghāns, 352 n 3.

Dzāzis, the, an Afghān people, 499 n.

E.

Earl of Crawford and Balcarres, ix.

Earl of Leicester, Simon de Montfort, 846 n 7. [n 1.]
Early Travels in Palestine," 1173
Eastwick, 109 n 9.

Elliott, Sir, H., x n 2, xiii, 77 n, 84 n 8, 87 n, 90 n 1, 444 n, 477 n, 481 n 1, 508 n 1.

Elliott's Biographical Index, 508 n 1, 1271 n.

Elliott's India, xxxiv " 4, xlv, xlvi,

xlix, li, lii, liii, liv, 69 n, 72 n 6, 76 n 2, 77 n, 78 n, 8 n, 85 n, 86 n, 87 n, 90 n 1, 94 n 3, 96 n 2, 104 n2, 107 nn 6 and 7, 110 n4, 128 n, 291 n, 292 n, 318 n 9, 351 n 9, 352 n 3, 355 n 4, 363 n 8, 446 n 5, 451 n, 456 n 1, 459 n 7, 469 n 9, 471 n 5, 485 n 3, 519 n, 524 n, 526 n, 530 n 7, 531 n 8, 533 n, 534 n 1, 586 n. 537 n. 539 n 2, 541 nn 7 and 8, 542 n 9, 544 n 8, 550 n 5, 554 n 6, 555 n 1,556 nn 9 and 1,560 n,565 n4,566 n8,568 n,570 n9,572 n7,573 n 2, 574 n 3, 575 n 1, 577 n 8, 579 n 4, 580 nn 8 and 9, 581 n 2, 582 n 6, 583 nn 9 and 1, 585 n 6, 586 n 8, 587 n 8, 588 n 5, 594 n 9, 598 n 8, 600 n 4, 601 n 7, 603 n 7, 604 n 1, 606 nn 2 and 3, 607 n 5, 608 n 8, 609 n 5, 611 nn 9 and 8, 613 n 2, 615 n, 616 n 3, 620 nn 1 and 3, 621 n 5, 623 nn 8 and 9, 682 nn 8 and 5, 634 n 3, 635 n 9, 637 nn 7 and 9, 638 nn 2 and 3, 639 n 6, 640 nn 1 and 2, 641 n 6, 647 n 8, 650 n 1, 651 n 6, 654 n and n8,655 n9,656 n3,658 nn9 and 1, 662 nn 4 and 5, 664 nn 2 and 3, 666 n, 667 nn 2 and 4, 670 n 3, 675 nn 5 and 7, 676 n 2, 677 n 6, 678 n7, 679 nn 3 and 6, 683 nn 6 and 7, 684 nn 8 and 9, 685 nn 1 and 4, 686 n 7, 687 n 1, 688 nn 2 and 3. 689 n and n 7, 691 n, 692  $\pi$ n 2 and 3, 693 nn 8 and 9, 694 n 2, 698 nn 7 and 8, 699 n 5, 700 n 6, 701 n 9, 702 n 4, 705 n 7, 706 n 1, 708 nn 9 and 2, 709 n and n 4, 711 n 4, 714

n8, 715 n2, 758 n1, 789 n5, 800 n5, 801 n9, 806 n7, 807 nn 8 and 9, 809 nn 5 and 1, 810 n, 811 n 7, 812 n and n 3, 818 n and n 4, 814. n 1, 815 n 8, 816 n 5, 817 n 8, 819 n 8, 821 n 7, 824 n 1, 825 n 3, 826 nn 9 and 1, 827 n7, 828 nn 2 and 5, 829 nn 7 and 2, 880 nn 6 and 7. 832 n 3, 834 nn 5 and 6, 836 n 5, 837 nn 8 and 5, 839 n 8, 841 nn 4 and 7, 843 n 1, 844 nn 4 and 1, 845 n 3, 846 n 6, 849 n 7, 850 nn 4 and 5, 851 nn 7 and 2, 852 n 4, 853 n 9, 854 n 4, 856 nn 6, 7 and 9, 859 n 6, 864 n 4, 869 n 1, 1010 n, 1022 n, 1023 n, 1093 n, 1153 n, 1203 n, 1217 n,-app. v, xvii, xviii, xix, xxiii, xxvi.

Elliott's Races of the North-West Provinces, 1131 n.

Elphinstone, 380 n 9, 442 n, 445 n, 454 n, 456 n 2, 459 n 7, 462 n, 465 n, 467 n, 479 n, 511 n, 513 n 8, 515 n and n 5, 516 n 2, 519 n, 521 n, 522 n, 546 n 7, 589 n, 595 n 2, 610 n 7, 623 n 9, 642 n 3, 647 n 9, 666 n, 669 n 8, 690 n 8, 674 n, 1010 n, 1043 n 1, 1058 n 6, 1076 n,—app. xvii.

Erdmann, 897 n, 939 n, 977 n, 983 n, 985 n, 996 n, 1021 n 8, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1090 n, 1095 n.

Essay on the Geography of the Oxus, Col. Yule's, 1010 n.

Ethnology of Bengal, Dalton's, 566 n 8, 705 n 7.

Euclid, 1256 n 6.

Eve, 596 n 1.

- Fadlallah, 1000 n, 1010 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, = Rashīd-ud-Din, Fazl-ullah, which see.
- Faghānī, the <u>Ohaghanīān</u>, ruler of Tu<u>kh</u>āristan, 423 n 8.
- Faghfür, the title of the rulers of Ohin, 1218 n.
- Failakus, (Philip of Macedonia, father of Alexander the Great), King of Yunan, 4.
- Faizī, the Sarhindi, author of the Akbar Nāmah, xvi, 587 n 4.
- Fakhr-ud-Daulah Abu'l Hasan-i-Buwiah, 48 n 4, 57, 58.
- Fakhr-ud-Daulah 'Alī b. ul-Ḥasan b. Rukn-ud-Daulah Buwiah, 57, 59, 61 n 4, 232 n.
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn 'Abd-ul 'Azīz-i-Kūfī, Ķāzī, 513.
- Fakhr-ud-Dīn 'Abd-ur-Raḥmān, Khwājah, the 'Ibrānī Banker, 1048 and n 1, 1049 n 2.
- Fakhr-ud-Dīn, Abū Sulīmān-i-Dā'ūd al-Fanākatī. See under al-Fanākatī.
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn Akhlāţī, astronomer and mathematician, 1257 n.
- Fakhr-ud-Din, the Dabir, Amir, 635.
  Fakhr-ud-Din, the Dāmghāni, 1246
- n 5, 1255 n.

  Fakhr-ud-Dīn, the Ķāzī-i-Ķuzāt of the Dār-ul-Khilāfat, 1151 n.
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn Khālid Füshanjî, 439 n 4.
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn Kûjî, 640.
- Fakhr-ud-Din, of the Kurat dynasty, 1203 n.
- Fakhr-ud-Din Maraghi, astronomer and mathematician, 1257 n.
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn Mas'üd b. 'Alâ-ud-Dîn Utsuz, Malik, 416.

- Fakhr-ud-Din Mas'üd b. 'Izz-ud-Din al-Husain, Shansabi, Malik of Bāmiūn, 337, 338, 340, 358 n 3, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373 and n 8, 374, 375 n, 379, 421, 422, 423 and n 8, 424 and n 6, 425 and n 3, 426 n 6, 427, 431 n 7, 447 and n 7.
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn Mubārak Shāh Farrukhī, the Farrāsh, 659 and n4, 660, 747, 761.
- Fakhr-ud-Dīn Mubārak Shāh of Marw-ar-Rūd, Maulānā, author of a history of the Ghūrīs in verse, 300, 301 and n 2, 302, 317 n 3, 386 and n 4, 397 n 2, 509 n.
- Fakhr-ud-Din Muḥammad-i-Arzizgar, 1067.
- Fakhr-ud-Dīn Muhammad b. 'Umr Rāzī, Imām xlix, 385 n 9, 429 and n 4, 485 n 3. [dealer, 744.]
- Fakhr-ud-Din the Şafahānī, a slave Fakhr-ud-Din Sāķī, governor of Halab, 1264 n.
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn Sālārī, governor of Sindüstān, 294 n.
- Fakhr-ul-Mulk 'Işāmī, Wazīr of Baghdād, 617 n.
- Fakhr-ul-Mulk Karīm-ud-Dīn Lāghrī, feudatory of Lakhaņ-or, 739 and n7.
- Fakhr-ul-Mulk Nizām-ud-Dīn Abu'l Ma'ālī, the Kātib, Wazīr of Sulţān Muḥammad, <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 990 n, 1035 n.
- Fakhr-ul-Mulk Sharaf-ud-Din Wadārī, Wazīr of Ghiyāş-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām, 389.
- Faķīr Muhammad, author of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh, xvi, 592 n.
- Fanākatī, al, 19 n 2, 31 n 3, 41 n 5, 53 n, 61 n 3, 66 n 7, 75 n 6, 77 n, 70

m, 97 n 4, 98 n 5, 99 n, 107 n 7, 108 n 5, 118 n, 117 n, 125 nn 8 and 1, 126 n 3, 146 n 5, 155 n 6, 348 n, 858 nn 2 and 3, 439 n 4, 446 n, 514 n, 522 n 5, 869 n 2, 870 n, 873 n, 876 n, 880 n, 882 n, 888 n, 894 n, 896 n, 912 n, 984 n, 988 n, 948 n, 976 n, 1008 n 5, 1019 n, 1120 n 2, 1121 n, 1122 n, 1136 n 9, 1138 n 1144 n 5, 1160 n 8, 1164 n, 1166 n, 1179 n, 1183 n, 1206 n 3, 1211 n, 1216 n, 1219 n, 1220 n, 1221 n, 1224 n, 1240 n, 1241 n, 1242 n 2, 1244 n, 1253 n 4, 8263 n, 1277 n, 1286 n,—app. x.

Fannā Khusrau, 43, 61 and n3, 62, 63, 64. See also 'Uzd-ud Daulah. Farangs, or Afranj, i.e., Franks, the, 139 n, 144 n and n3, 157, 158 n, 159, 160, 161 and n, 162 and n 3, 163, 171, 204 n, 209 and n6, 210 and n, 211 and n8, 212 n1, 214, 217 n, 218, 219 and n9, 220 n4, 221, 224, 226, 1151 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1275.

Farāwwal b. Siāmak, 304. [app. xxi.]
Farhang-i-Jahāngīrī, the, 64 n l,—
Farhang-i-Reshīdī, the,—app. xii.
Farīd-i-Bukhārī, Shaikh,—app. xxi.
Farīd,—Sher Shāh, the Afghān or
Paṭān sovereign of Dihlī, 511 n.
Farīdūn. See Afrīdūn.

Faridun, the <u>Gh</u>urī, an officer of Sultān Muhammad, <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Sh</u>âh, 1098 n.

Farighuni family, the; rulers of Khwarazm, under the Samani sovereigns, 232 n, 238 n 7.

Farmā or Farmāe Āķā, husbaud of the third Gür <u>Kh</u>ān, 927 n, 928 n. Farrāshīs, the; a class of servants, 659 n 5. Farrukh b. Bādān b. Māe <u>Kh</u>usrau, 11.

Farrukh Shāh, one of the principal Mu'izziah Amīrs in Hind, 607 n.

Farru<u>kh Sh</u>āh b. Bahrām <u>Sh</u>āh <u>Gh</u>aznawī, 111.

Farrukh Shah b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghaznawi, 106.

Farru<u>kh Sh</u>āh b. Sulţan Mahmūd b. Muḥammad-i-Malik-<u>Sh</u>āh Saljūķi, 204 n.

Farrukh-Zād b. Khusrau Parwiz, of the Akâsirah, 6.

Farrukh-Zād b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, Ghaznawī, 107.

Farrukh-Zād b. Sultān Mas'ūd <u>Ghaznawī</u>, 95, 99 n, 100 and n 5, 101 and nn 6 and 8, 102 nn 1, 2 and 4, 103, 901 n.

de Faryia y Souza, 582 n 6.

Farzand Khān Salghūr b. Atā-bak Sa'd, 296 n.

Faşîhî. See under the Mujmal-i-Faşîhî.

Fath-i-Karmā<u>kh</u>, Muayyid-ud-Dīn the <u>Sh</u>īgānī; Champion of the <u>Gh</u>ūrī forces, 816 and n 1.

Fath-ud-Din b. Alankū, a leader of the <u>Khalifah's troops</u>, 1240 n and n 9, 1251 n 9.

Fāţimah, daughter of Muḥammad, 104 n 3, 265 n 4.

Fāṭimah Khātūn, the Tājgik handmaid of Tūrā-kīnah Khātūn, 1149 n 7, 1152 n.

Fāţimites or Ismāīliāns of Egypt, the, 209 n 5. [next.]
Fāyiķ-i-Bak-Tūzūn, 44 n 1. See the Fāyiķ-i-Khāṣah, an officer of the Sāmānī dynasty, 44 and n 1, 46, 48 and nn 2, 4 and 5, 49, 50 and n 4, 51, 74 n, 75 n, 902 n, 903 n.

Fact b. Hasan, governor of Fars on the part of the Saljük sovereigns, 174 n.

Faşî b. Sahî, Wazîr of al- Ma'mün, 10, 12n 6.

Faşl-i-Şāliḥ, Sijizī, officer of the Tāhirī dynasty, 16.

Fazlawiahs, the: a people of Fars, 175 n 7.

Faşl-ullah, 535 n. See also under Fadlallah and Rashid-ud-Din.

Ferrier, General, 1057 n 4.

Fidā'ī, application of the term, 485 n3.

Fida'i, meaning of, 1147 n 2, 1148 n 4.

Fida'is, the, 265 n 4, 651 and n 7, 1190 n 1, 1192 n, 1211 n.

Fiku Nüyān, son-in-law of the <u>Ohin-gīz Khān</u>, 287 and n 2, 289 n, 1006 and n 6, 1007 n 3, 1019 n, 1020 and n, 1022, 1034 n, 1059 and n 1, 1060.

Fir'awns of Misr, the, 303 n 7.

Firdaus, the slave, 10 \* 8.

Firdaus [Philaretus], Rümī, governor of Anṭākīah, 158 n.

Firdausi, author of the Shāh-Nāmah, 1, 308 n 2.

Firishtah, xi, xii, xiii, 78 n, 81 n, 94 n 3, 96 n 2, 113 n, 118 n 5, 312 n 6, 380 n 9, 404 n 9, 431 n 7, 441 n 9, 442 n, 443 n, 444 n, 445 n, 446 n 5, 448 n 3, 449 n n 8, 1 and 2, 450 n, 452 n 9, 453 n n 3 and 4, 454 n, 456 n 1, 459 n n 7 and 9, 460 n 3, 461 n, 462 n, 463 n, 464 n 7, 466 n 9, 467 n, 469 n 9, 470 n 2, 475 n 2, 476 n, 477 n, 479 n, 480 n, 484 n, 486 n, 487 n, 493 n, 498 n 6, 500 n n 2 and 3, 501 n, 504 n 2, 508 n 1. 509 n, 510 n, 511 n, 513 n 8, 515 n, 516 n

2, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n, 523 n, 524 n, 583 n, 585 n, 587 n, 541 n, 542 n 9, 544 n 1, 545 n 5, 546 n 7, 547 n, 548 n 3, 584 n 2, 591 n, 592 n, 603 nn 6 and 7, 604 n 3, 605 n and n 1, 606 n 4, 607 n5, 611 n3, 616 n3, 619 n7, 623 nn 8 and 1, 630 n 1, 631 nn 5 and 8, 632 n 1, 633 n 8, 634 n 2, 635 n 9, 688 n 1, 640 nn 2 and 8, 641 nn 6 and 8, 642 nn 2 and 3, 643 n, 645 nn 2 and 4, 646 n 6, 647 n 9, 648 n 2, 649 n 7, 650 n 3, 651 n 7. 653 n 5, 654 n, 656 n, 657 nn 4 and 5, 658 nn 8, 1 and 2, 659 n 6, 661 n and n 2, 664 nn 4 and 5, 665 n 8, 666 n and n9, 668 n 5, 670 n 1, 678 n and nn 7 and 8, 679 n 2, 680 n, 683 n, 688 n, 689 n, 690 n 8, 691 n, 692 n 4, 693 n 2, 695 n 9, 697 n, 698 n, 699 nn 2 and 5, 703 n 7, 705 n7, 707 n8, 711 n4, 718 nn 2 and 4, 716 n 5, 722 n 8, 773 n, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 795 n, 801 n, 802 n. 1130 n, 1131 n, 1184 n, 1202 n, 1271 n,-app. vi, vii, /iii, xi, xiv, xix, xxvi.

Firuz Sultan, of the Bahmani dynasty in the Dakhan, 592 n.

Fīrūz-i-Bam-sinjān or Ķarā Fīrūz, 70 and n 8.

Fīrūz,—Bandķadār, Sulţān of Mişr, 1278 n.

Firūz, Iyal-timish, the Sālār, Malikzādah of Khwārazm, one of the Maliks of Shams-ud-Dīn Iyaltimish, 199, 625 and n6, 742 and n7.

Fīrūz-i-Iyal-Timish b. Sālār, Malik, 235. See the above.

Fīrūz b. Yasdajird, b. Bahrām-i-Gūr, 5, 421, 423 n 8. Fīrūzī College, of U<u>ohch</u>ah, 541 and n8.

Fīrūz Shāh-i Abu'l Muzaffar, Shāhi-Jahān, the Habashī, Sulţān, 582 n 6, 588 n, 590 n, 591 n, 592 n, 621 n 6, 717 n.

Fīrūz Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 106.

Fīrūz Tughlaķ, Sultān, of the Tughlaķ dynasty in Hind, 93 n 9. Fonetic Nuz, the, 874 n. Forbes, 1090 n. Forsyth, Sir T. D., 933 n.

Franklin, Major William, 558 n 7.

Franks. See Farangs.

Frazer, 894 n 2.

Froissart, 1134 n.

Füläd Äkä, grandson of the Bäwür--chī Bürkī, of the Dürban tribe, 1093 n.

Für, = Porus, which see.

Füzübah, Atā-bak, governor of Fārs, 174 n.

G.

Gabarī Afghāns, a sept of the Shalmānīs, the, 1044 n.

Gabr, application of the term, 620 n 2, 1112 n 9.

Gabrs, the, 620 and n 2, 1044 n, 1112 n 9, 1136.

Gaheps, the, 1132 n.

Gahrāls, the, 1132 n.

Gahtī, or Gatī, the Ūrā-ūt, the Nūyīn, 1092 n.

Gain-mart, or Gil-Shah, surnamed Pesh-Dad or Iran Ghah, first king of 'Ajam, 1, 2, 872 n, 879 n.

Gajz-lak, etymology of the name, 865 n.

Gakars, the, 1043 n 1, 1130 n.

Gakhars, the, 455 n, 477 n, 537 n, 647 n 9, 1132 n, 1136 n 7.

Gāo-i-Khitā'i, the, 69 n.

Gardezī, the. See Abū Sa'īd-i-Abdul-Ḥaiy.

Garshāsib b. Zau, 561 n 9.

Gaubil, Father, 958 n, 1085 n 3, 1088 n, 1090 n.

Gaudhiyas, the, or Gauriyas, the, 559 n 2.

Gazetteer of Central Asia, Mac Gregor's, 1115 n 5.

Gazlak <u>Kh</u>ān, an officer of the <u>Kh</u>wārazmī dynasty, 381.

Geographical Magazine, the, 878 n, 891 n, 915 n, 916 n, 917 n, 918 n, 919 n, 966 n 1, 981 n, 1031 n.

"Geographical Memoir of the Persian Empire," MacD. Kinneir's, 64 n 1.

George Sevodolitz, grand Duke of Russia, 1171 n.

Georgians, the. See under the Gurjis.

Gerbillon, 981 n, 1167 n.

Germans, the, 1168 n.

Ghū-'īr Beg, the Ķārlūgh, an officer of Sultān Iyal-Arsalān, Khwār-azm Shāh, 927 n.

<u>Gh</u>ā-'īr Būķā <u>Kh</u>ān, the **I-gh**ūr ruler, 242 n 6, 250 n 4.

<u>Ghā-'īr Khān Aniāl-Juk, the Kan-kuli, governor of Utrār on the part of Sultān Muhammad Khwā-rāsm Shāh, 271 n, 272 n 3, 273 n 5, 966 n 1, 971 n, 986 n.</u>

- Ghajz-Ghao, [the Bos Grunniens], or Wild Yak, xlv, 68 and n 4, 69 n, 922 n, 948 n.
- Ghakhars, the, 294 n.—also written Gakhars, which see.
- Shalzīs, the,—a tribe of Afghāns, 337 n6, 510 n, 548 n3, 556 n7, 1016 n3, 1022 n, 1058 n6.
- Ghalzī b. Shāh Husain, son of the Ghūrī chief who settled among the Afghāns, 510 n.
- Ghar-ghāu, 68 n 4, 69 n. See the Ghajz-Ghāo.
- Gharib-ul-Hadis, the, 14.
- <u>Ghassān</u> b. 'Ubbād, governor of <u>Kh</u>urāsān on the part of al-Ma'--mun, 11, 27 and n6, 28.
- Ghatī or Mīrghatī, the Ūrā-ūt,—
  the Nūyīn to whom the Chingīz
  Khān gave in marriage his Khātūn Anīķah Bīgī, 1092 n, 1143 n.
  The name is also written Gahtī
  or Gatī.
- Ghāzī, signification of, 70 n 2.
- Ghaznawi dynasty, the, v, xiv, 26 n 1, 99 n, 186 n, 231, 323 n, 332 n 4, 334 n 8, 338 n 2, 357 n 1, 467 n, 614 n 8, 631 n 7. Also called the Yaminiah dynasty.
- <u>Ghazzālī</u>, Imām, Aḥmad,—the Sulțăn of Mashā'ikh, 142, 149 n 2, 386 n 4, 359 n.
- Qhirarah, meaning of, 1027 n 8.
- Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn Ak Sultān b. Muḥam-mad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 934 n, 1118 n 9. This name seems to be an error for Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Pīr Shāh: See under Ak Sultān. Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Balban, xii, xxvii, xxix. xxx. xxxiv n 4, lv, 589 n,
- xxix, xxx, xxxiv n 4, lv, 589 n, 601 n 6, 620 n 4, 652 n 8, 661 n, 667 n 1 668 n, 674, 685 n 4, 695 n

- 2, 696 n, 699 n 1, 716 n 5, 717 n, 776 n, 778 n, 794 n 1, 800 n, 801 n, 806 n, 807 n 8, 810 n 4, 820 n 3, 865 n, 1181 n 2, 1184 n. See slso Ulngh Khān-i-Balban.
- •Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn 'Iwaz, the Khalj, fourth Khalj ruler of Lakhanawatī, lxii, 489 and n 7, 563 n, See also under 'Iwaz.
- Ghiyaş-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sam, Ghūrī, xxii, xxiii and n 2, 1, li, 112 and n 5, 113 n, 114 n, 115, 182, 189 n 1, 193 and n 6, 240 n, 243, 244 n, 245, 246 n and n 8, 248, 249, 252 and n6, 253, 255 n 7, 256, 257 n 2, 258 n, 265 n 4, 267, 301, 302, 342, 346 n 1, 349 n, 357, 366, 368 and n, 369, 370, 371 and n 4, 372, 378 and n 8, 374 and n 3, 375 n, 376 n and n 3, 877 and n 4, 378 and n 8, 379, 380 and n 9, 381 and n 5, 382, 383, 384 and n 5. 385 and n 9, 387, 388, 389, 391 and n 9, 392, 393 and nn 7 and 8, 396, 397, 404, 405, 408, 411, 412, 413, 414 and n 9, 417, 419, 424 and nn 6 and 7, 425 n 3, 426, 427, 428 and n 1, 429 n, 430, 431, 438 n 2, 440, 446 and n5, 447 and nn6 and 1, 448 and n 3, 449 and n 8, 456 and n 2, 457 n, 471, 472 and n, 478 n 6, 479 n, 480 n, 482 n, 487, 488 n 1, 499 n, 501, 510 n, 519 n, 522 n 5 and n, 523 n, 603 n7, 614 n 8, 622 n, 1063, 1127 n, 1198 n 8, 1199 n,—app. ii, i $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$ , xvi, xxiii. Before his accession to the throne his title was Shamsud-Dīn.
- <u>Gh</u>iyūş-ud-Dīn Muḥammad <u>Sh</u>āh b. Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timi<u>sh</u>, 625, 633, 639 n 7, 735.

Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn Pīr Shāh b. Muhammad-i-Takish, Khwārazm Shāh 254 n 8, 277 n 5, 281 n 5, 283 n 9, 284 and n, 285 n 2, 295 n, 296 n, 297 n 9, 976 n, 990 n, 1118 n 9. See also Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn Āķ Sulţān.

@hulem, in what sense understood, 168 n 2.

<u>Gh</u>üri <u>Shalmati</u>, Amir, an officer of the <u>Gh</u>üri dynasty, 410.

Chūrī Shānastī b. Muḥammad-i-Takish, Khwārazm Shāb, 254 and nn 2 and 3, 281, 480 n. See also under Rukn-ud-Dīn Ghūrī Shānastī.

Ghūrī Tāzīks, the, 511 n.

<u>Gh</u>ūriān Sulţāns, the,—See under the <u>Gh</u>ūris.

Churis, the, xiii, xiv, xxi, xxiv, 106 n3, 110, 111, 113 n, 149 n 2, 150, 192, 199, 247 n, 251 n 1, 252 nn 4 and 7, 253 and n 9, 255 n and n 7, 256 n, 257 n, 258 n, 262 n and n 9, 264 n, 307 n, 312, 313 n 4, 318 and n6, 320 n4 321 n7, 322 n, 328 n, 325 n, 326 n, 327 n, 328 n, 332 n 4, **233**, **234**, 335, 338 n 2, 341 n 7, 348 m, 352 n 3, 357 n 7, 858, 860, 364, 869, 372, 374 n 5, 376, 377 and n 4, 879 n 5, 381 nn 2 and 5, 382 n and n 9, 383 n 2, 893 n 8, 397 n 2, 400 n 8, 401 n, 403 n, 411 n, 414 n 9, 419 n 5, 420, 421, 425, 427, 439 n 4, 449 n 9, 473 n 2, 474 and n, 480 n, 481 n, 492 n 7, 493 n, 495, 505 n, 509 n, 510 n, 511 n, 537 n, 538 n, 539, 540 n, 548 nn 1 and 8, 606 n 3, 626 n, 750 n 7, 928 n, 929 n, 930 n 9, 980, 1002 n 7, 1014 n 2, 1015 m, 1016, 1022 n, 1023 n, 1058 n 7, 1056, 1061 n 7, 1099 n, 1138, 1198 n 8, 1202 n,—app. i, xx.

Ghuzz, the tribe of,-descendents of Ghuzz b. Mang or Mansag b. Yafig, 28 n 8, 81 n, 111 and n 1, 112 and n 3, 113 n, 114 n, 144 m, 149 and m 2, 150, 155 and m 6, 156 and n 8, 180 n 7, 189, 237 n, 245, 246 n and n 8, 348 n, 349 n, 350 n, 357 n 7, 359 n, 361, 363 n 8, 367 and n 9, 368 n, 369, 374 nn 2, 8 and 5, 375 m, 376, 877 and m m 4 and 6, 378 and n 8, 433 m 6. 447 n 8, 448, 449 and n 8, 450 n 8, 495 n, 499 n, 870 n, 871 n, 879 n. 891 n, 897 n, 903 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 911 and n 7, 916 n. 923 n, 961 n, 962, 1016, 1022 n, 1285 n.

Ghuzz b. Mang or Mansag b. Yāfis, 870 n, 871 n.

Ghuszan, the, 961 m. See the Ghusz tribe.

Gibaris, or Gabaris, the,—one of the three divisions of the Shalmanis, 1044 n.

Gibbon, 117 n, 138 n 4, 144 n, 162 n 8, 178 n 4, 508 n 1, 886 n, 1268 n 9. Gil race, the, 993 n,

Gil <u>Sh</u>āh, or Gaiū-mart, surnamed Pesh-Dād or Irān <u>Sh</u>āh, 1st of the Bāstānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 2.

Gil-wānīan dynasty, also named the Pesh-Dādīān and Bāstānīan dynasty, the, 2.

Gimāl or Gimīal (Gumārī) b. Yāfiş, 870 s.

Girāe Malik b. Arghūn Āķā, administrator of Irān-Zamīn, 1195 n.

Giw,—one of the ancient heroes of Iran, 561 a 9.

Gobind or Gobindah Rāe, Rājah of Dihlī,—brother of Pithorā Rāe of Ajmīr, 459 and n 9, 460 and n, 461 n, 466 n 1, 468, 469 n 9, 516 n 2, 1271 n.

Godfrey, King of Jerusalem,—who commanded the first Crusade, 101 n 8.

Goes 421 n 2, 969 n 1.

Gog and Magog, See under Yājūj and Mājūj.

Gohar Malikah, Tōj-ud-Dunyā wa'd Dīn, daughter of 'Alā-ud-Dīn al-Husain Jahān-soz, the Ghūrī, 376. Golah, signification of, 458 n 6, 517 n, 524 n.

Golah Pithorā, Rāe, See under Kolah Pithorā Rāe.

Golden Record, the,—the Altan Daftar, 891 n. [1119 n ]

Goldsmid, Major-General, Sir F. J., Gomānik <u>Kh</u>ātūn, See under Konīk

or Konayik <u>Kh</u>ātūn. Gomer (Gumārī) b. Yāfiş, 870 n.

Gon-chor, chief of the Yong-ku tribe, 985 n.

Gonds, the, 587 n 4.

Gonfalonier, the, 1227 n 3.

Goths, the, 887 n.

Gradus ad Aornon, Abbott's 78 a.

Grant Duff, Mr., 520 m.

Greeks, the, 3, 69 n, 211 n 7, 309 n, 314 n 6, 886 n.

Grigoreif, Professor V., 915 n, 920 n. Gribastha äsram, 691 n.

Grose, 1134 n.

Grote, Arthur, vi, 865 n.

Growse, F. L., Mr., 697 n 5.

Güdarz,—one of the ancient heroes of Iran, 561 n 9.

Gudarz-i-Akbar b. Shāpūr, of the Ashkāniān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Gudarz-i-Aşghar b. Güdarz-i-Akbar of the Ashkāniān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Güjars, the,—name of a people in Koh-i-Jüd, 1131 w.

Gukars, the, 1076 m. See the Gakhars.

Gulistan, the, 179 n 1.

Gumari b. Yafia.—Gomer of Scripture, 870 n, 871 n, 872 n.

Gür or Kür (Kiwak) b. Alminjah, chieftain of the Turks, 873 a.

Gür, Güz or Gawuz <u>Kh</u>ān b. Mughal <u>Kh</u>ān, the first ruler of the Mughal Imāk, 875 a.

Gür Khün, the, sovereign of the Karā-Khitāīs, xlvii, xlviii, 134 n 8, 155 n, 181 n 9, 200 n 7, 237 n 2, 244 n 3, 260 n 7, 261 n, 262 n 1, 263 and n, 264 and n, 402 n, 473 n 2, 474 n, 479 n, 480 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 901 n, 911 and n 8, 912 and n, 913 n, 914 n, 915 n, 917 n, 918 n, 923 n, 925 n, 926 n, 927 n, 928 n, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 933 n, 934 n, 935, 940 n, 951 n, 952 n, 955 n, 959 n, 961 n 2, 980 and n 1, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n, 984 n, 985 n, 1001 n, 1116 n, 1118 n 9, 1141 n.

Gür <u>Kh</u>āns of Karā-<u>Kh</u>itāe, dynasty of the, 901 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 912 n, 922 n, 930 n, 934 n, 984 n.

Gurjīs, the, 170 n 8, 296 and n 7, 297 n, 996 n, 998 n: same as the Georgians.

Gushtasib b. Luhrasib, of the Kaianiah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 7, 561 and n 9, 566 n 9, 764 and n 7.

Gusht-asp b Ashrat, 9.

Güzgü, a mirror,—how the word came into use, 1176 n 9, 1177 n.

## Ħ.

Habashah dynasty, the,—in al-Ya-man, 8.

Habashī-i-Nezah-war, Amīr,—governor of the fortress of Tūlak on the part of Sultān Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh, 1004 and n 6, 1057, 1058, 1059 and n 2, 1060, 1061, 1067.

Habib-us-Siyar, the, 14 n 1, 113 n, 287 n 9, 322 n, 333 n 6, 363 n 8, 403 n, 407 n n 5 and 7, 411 n, 413 n 1, 439 n 4, 540 n, 869 n 2, 870 n, 872 n, 913 n, 941 n, 946 n, 949 n, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 960 n, 975 n 5 987 n 3, 989 n, 1008 n 5, 1012 n 9, 1'19 n, 1032 n, 1048 n 1, 1090 n, 1190 n 1, 1221 n, 1264 n. — app. xx.

Hābīl (Abel) b. Ādam, 1.

Hadā'ik u's Sihr fī Daķā'ik i'sh Shi'r, the, 238.

Hāfi; Abrū, 79 n, 940 n, 941 n, 955 n, 957 n, 958 n, 960 n, 1036 n 1, 1087 n, 1103 n, 1190 n 1, 1209 n, 1211 n, 1256 n 6.

Haft Iklim, the, 363 n 8, 449 n 8, 469 n 9, 545 n 5, 582 n 6, 584 n 2, 593 n, 603 n 6, 628 n 1.—app. vi, viii.

Hāhir Dīw, 691 n.—See Rāe Chāhar, the Ajār.

Haibat, Malik,—chief of the tribes of Jūd, round about the river Sūhan, 1131 n.

Haidar, another name of 'Alī b. Abī Tālib. 460.

Hailād b. Sara<u>kh</u>īl, of the Tabābi'ah, 6, 7.

Hain [Hin or Hunain] b. Bahrām, —ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312. Hajar-ul-Majar,—the rain-producing stone, 870 n.

 Ḥajash or Khajash b. Ibrāhīm, ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312.

Hājī Hasan Saknāķī, 971 n.

Hājī, the Tarkhān,—founder of Astrakhan, 948 n.

Hakam b. 'Umr,—one of the generals of Mu'āwiyah,901 n.

Hākim, al-,—Abu'l'Abbās-i-Ahmad, 2nd of the 'Abbāsi <u>Kh</u>alīfahs in Egypt, 1260 n.

Hākim, Abu'l Fazl Ahmad b. Muhammad,—Wazīr of Amīr Nūh b. Naṣr, Sāmānī, 38 n 5, 39 n 1.

Ḥakīm-i-Ṣanā'ī, the 133.

Halā Khān. See the next.

Halahan, or Hala Khan, chief of the Urus (Russians), 1171 n.

Hālups, the,—name of a people, 1132 n.

Hām b. Nūh, 3, 304 n 1. Ham of Scripture.

Hamadis, the,—the Ghüriāns became so styled after they had embraced Islām, 313 and n 4.

Hamankā or Hamanghā b. Jirkah-Langūm b. Ķāīdū <u>Kh</u>ān, 895 n, 897 n, 938 n, 955 n.

Hamawiyah—the Sipah-Sālār of Amīr Nașr b. Ahmad the Sāmānī's forces, 36.

Hamdu'llah, the Mustaufi; 15 n 1, 964 n 2.

Hamid b. Amir Ahmad b. Asad Sāmānī, 29. [713.]

Hamid-ud-Din of Mārīgalah, Imām, Hamilton, Col. J. W., ix, x and n2, 560 n 4, 568 n, 585 n 6, 586 n 9, 589 n, 854 n 2. Hamîr, 517 n. See under Bhirāj. Hamlet, 138 n 8, 722 n 6.

Hamzah b. 'Alī,—one of the chiefs of Amīr Nasr b. Ahmad the Sāmānī's forces, 86.

Hamzah, the <u>Kh</u>ārijī,—the rebel of Sijistān, 12.

Hanafis, the,—followers of the Imam Abū Ḥanīfah, 994 n.

Hannay, 563 n.

Hannibal, 890 n.

Hans, the,—a tribe, 826 n 3.

Harab,—some persons are so designated, 191 n 6.

Hardab, the Hindū Rājah of Mathura, 85 n.

Hārig-ur-Rā-ish, 1st of the Tabābi'ah, 6, 7.

Hariz the 'Ajamī, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 8.

Harjā Dīw, 691 n. See Rāc Chāhar, the Ajar.

Harkashun or Harkasun, the Mughal Amīr, 1180 n.

Haroz Shah b. Muhammad-i-Takish, 254 and n 3.

Harsamah b. A'yun,—general of al-Ma'mūn, lvii, 10 and n 3.

Hart, Captain, 1012 n 4.

Hart's "Character and Costume of Afghānistān," 331 n 1.

Hārūn b. Altān-Tūsh the Ḥājib, governor of Khurāsān under the Ghaznawīah, 121, 123 n, 232 n.

Hārūn-ar-Rashīd, 312 and n1, 313, 315, 316 n and n9.

Hasan-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sipah-Sālār
—of the Ghūrī forces, 394.

Hasan b. 'Alī b. Abī Ţālib, Imām, 1282.

Hasan b. Buwiah, 43, 45. His correct name is Abu'l Husain-i-

Ahmad, Mu'izz-ud-Daulah,—which see.

Hasan b. ul-Husain,—brother of Tähir ibn u'l Husain, 15 n 6.

Hasan, the Karlügh. See under his title of Saif-ud-Din.

Hasan, the Māzandarānī,—the slave and assassin of 'Alā-ud-Dīn Mahmūd, the Maulānā of the Mulāḥidah, 1206 #1.

Hasan, grandson of Muhammad-i-Sūrī, ruler of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 316 n 2, 323 n, 325 n, 333 n 6.

Hasan Niṣāmī,—author of the Tājul-Ma'āṣir, 466 n 1, 469 n 9, 539 n 5, 540 n.

Hasan b. Rībāl,—Alb-Tigīn's deputy in Hirāt, 41 n, 71 n 5.

Hasan-i-Ṣabbāḥ,—the head of the sect of Mulāḥidah, 139 n, 145 n 4, 363 n 8, 1187 and n 7, 1188 n, 1210 n.

Hasan b. Sabuk-Tigin of Chasnin, 75.

Hasan, the Sarhang,—an officer of the Yaminiah dynasty, 103.

Hasan, al-, b. Sultan Malik b. Mas-'üd-i-Karım, 107.

Hasan-i-Wa'iz-ul-Kashifi, Mulla, author of the Anwar-i-Suhaili, 109 n 9.

Hasan b. Zaid-ul-'Alawī, Amīr, 15, Hasan-Tigīn b. 'Alī b. 'Abd-ul-Mūmin, of the Afrasiyābī Khāns, —famous under the name of Abu'l -Ma'ālī Ķulij-i ļ'amghāj, 907 n, 925 n.

Hasnak, the Shaikh-ul-Khatīr, Wasīr of Sultān Maḥmūd of Ghaznīn. 92 n 3.

Hassan b. Hassan, of the Tababi'ah, 7. Haman b. Tubba', & Hassan,—of the Tababi'ah, 7.

Hātim Tā-ī, 506, 512, 555, 598, 630, 636, 721, 1295.

Hayāţilah, the,—name of a people, 423 n 8, 1010 n.

Hayton, the Armenian monk, 1162 a, 1219 s.

Hazārah Mughals, the, 874 s.

Haziruks, or Thousands,—the second of the four degrees of Mughals, 948 \*\*.

Hazirahs, the, 874 n, 1075 n, 1076 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1095 n, 1132 n, 1179 n, 1191 n.

Haşrat Sātuķ,—a Muḥammadan mint,—See Sātuķ-Ķujah.

Henry III of England, 846 . 7.

Herodotus, 265 n 4, 887 n.

High Priest of the Parsis, the, x and n 2.

Hijzabr Shah b. Ulugh Khan-i-Abi Muhammad, 235 and \* 8.

Himartequen, 1098 s, for <u>Kh</u>umar Tigin.

Himyarī Maliks of al-Yaman, the, xxxiii, 7.

Hindā Khān b. Malik Khān b. Takish-i-Khwārasm Shāh, 251 and n 1, 252, 256 n, 393 n 8, 619 n 8.

Hindū Khān, Mihtar-i-Mubārak, the Khāsin,—one of the Maliks of Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish, 744 and #9, 745, 746, 1153 and #9.

Hindus, the, 81 n, 486 n, 509 n, 516 n2, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n, 524 n, 552 and n1, 570 n9, 619 n6, 639 n8, 642 and n1, 648 and n3, 652, 663 n9, 680, 686, 705 and n7, 706, 712 n1, 728, 734, 738 and n3, 743, 749, 764 n5, 765 n8, 766, 806, 809, 816, 817 n7, 818 n5,

821 and n 6, 836, 838, 839, 846 and n 6, 847, 848, 850, 852, 855, 893 n, 912 n, 1034 n, 1074 n, 1126 n 9, 1217 n, 1219 n.—apq. ii, iii, xvii.

Hinnd,—the antidote for scurvy, 1124, 1193 n.

Histoire des Mongols, D'Ohsson's, 916 \* See also under D'Ohsson.

History of Afghanistan, Mulleson's, 1027 n 8, 1045 n, 1202 n. See also under Malleson.

History of Bengal, Stewart's, 666 n, 732 n 1, 762 n 9, 770 n 7, 776 n, 905 n. See also under Stewart.

History of Gaur or Lakhanawați, of Shiam Parshid, xvi.

History of 'Genghizcan the Great,"

[the Ohingis Khān], Pétis de la Croix' s, 1010 n, 1083 n.

History of the Germans, Masoou's, 870 n.

History of India, Elphinstone's. app. xvii. See also under Elphinstone.

History of India, Marshman's, 445 a See also under Marshman.

History of Käshghar, Dr. Bellew's, 983 a. See also under Bellew.

History of the kha'shī Afghāns, Khwājū the Matīzī's, xvi.

History of Khurāsān, the,—of Maulānā Mu'in-ud-Din Sabawāri, 19 n 1.

History of the Mughals, Rashid-ud-Din's, 891 n. See also under Rashid-ud-Din.

History of the Mughals, Wolff's, 1167 n.

History of Persia, Malcolm's, 1264 n, 1279 n, 1202 n. History of the Rājahs of Jammū, zvi, 79 n, 455 n, 459 n 7, 460 n 3, 466 n 1, 468 n 4, 486 n.

History of Timur, the Gürgan, 866 n, 889 n, 1025 n.

History of the Turks, Moguls and Tatars, the, 535 n, 883 n.—a translation of the work of Abu'l Ghāzī Bāhadur Khān.

Hizabr-ud-Dîn Hasan-i-Adîb, the Sipah-Sālār,—holder of the fief of Budā'un, 549, 551 n.—app. xxiv.

Hisabr-ud-Din Muhammad b. Mubarak,—the Amir of Tülak, 1062 and a 5.

Hocotam Cham, for Üktäe Ķāān, 1167 n, 1168 n.

Hodgson's Sketch of Buddhism, 691 m.

Holāķ and Kuliān, the,—name of a people whose garments and caps were all red,—1137 n.

Holitay,—one of "Mengko"'s generals, 1184 n.

Hoshang b. Siamak b. Kaiumurt,— 2nd of the Bastaniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 2, 303 n 7, 304 and n 2.

Hoshang; Sultān of Mālwah, 589 n. Hoshīn tribe of Mughals, the, 1093 n.

Hospitaliers, the, 101 n 8, 212 n 1.

Howorth, Mr H. H.,—author of the

"Mongols Proper,"—891 n, 898 n,

910 n, 911 n 8, 918 n, 919 n, 924

n, 926 n, 928 n, 933 n, 942 n, 944

n, 947 n, 950 n, 966 n 1, 971 n,

977 n, 985 n, 1086 n.

Hubbe, mispronunciation of the name Jabah.

Hük-i-Karā Bahkam, name of Sabuk Tigīn's futher, xlv, 68, 69 s, 70. Huk here is an error for Juk, ---See xlv.

Hūlājū, the Nū-yīn, 1264 s. [ 7.] Hulakoo, for Uklan the Jusbi, 1066 Hulaku Khan b. Tuli b. Chingis Khan, 164 and n 9, 180 n 5, 696 n. 706 n 8, 711 and n 4, 712 n, 715 n 4, 784 and n 5, 786 and n 5, 788 n 9, 840 n 2, 846 n 6, 861 and m 7, 857 m 8, 859 and m 8, 861, 862 and # 1, 863, 936 # 6, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1094 n, 1148 n 4, 1176 n 9, 1177, 1180 n, 1190 n 1, 1191 n, 1192 n, 1193 n, 1194 n, 1195 m, 1196 m, 1202 m, 1206 m m 1 and 3, 1207 m, 1208 m, 1209 m, 1210 n, 1211 n, 1212 n, 1215 and nn 9 and 4, 1218 n, 1221 n, 1223 n, 1225 and # 7, 1226 and #, 1227 # 5, 1228 and n, 1229, 1280 n, 1231 n, 1232 and n, 1238 n 3, 1284 and nm 7 and 8, 1235 and n 2, 1236 and m, 18, 238 m 1239 m, 1240 m, 1242 n 2, 1243 n, 1244 n, 1245 and n 4. 1246 n 5, 1247 and n and un 6 and 7, 1248 and n 8, 1249 m 1250 m, 1252, 1258 m 4, 1254 m. 1255 n, 1256 n 6, 1257 and n, 1260 and n n 4 and 6, 1261 and n and n 7, 1262 and n, 1263 n, 1264 n, 1265 and n, 1266 and n 4, 1267 and n 6, 1269, 1270 and n 2, 1271 n, 1272, 1278 and n 7, 1274 and n 1, 1275 and n 8, 1276 m, 1277 and n, 1278 n, 1279 and n, 1280 n 5, 1281 n, 1282, 1286 n, 1287 n, 1292 n 1, 1293 n and n 2.

Hüläküe b. Mītūkāe b. Ohaghatāe Ķā-ān, 1148 n 4.

Hulāū, same as Hūlākū.

Hū-lyang-hotay, general, 1217 s, 1218 s, 1221 s, 1222 s.

40 Indexi.

Humāe,—the bird of happy omen, 404 n 7.

Humā-ī [also Humāe], daughter of Bahman,—VIIth of the Kai-ānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 8.

Humāyūn,—emperor of Hindustān, 559 n 2, 582 n 6, 1132 n.

Hume, 309 n.

Hungarians, the, 959 n, 1167 n, 1168 n.

Huns, the, 986 n.

Huns, the White, 1058 n 6.

Hū-pi-lay, - Chinese pronunciation of the name Kubilāe, 1215 n 9.

Hür Malikah, daughter of the Shar, Shah, Malik of Gharjistan, 363.

Hurmus or Aormazd, 3rd of the Sāsāniān dynasty, 4.

Hurmuz b. Narsī, 7th of the Sāsānīān dynasty, 4.

Hurmus b. Nüshirwan, of the Akasirah, 5, 8, 27 n 4, 53 n 6.

Hurmus b. Yazdajird b. Bahrām Gür, 423 n 8.

Hurrah-i-Jalali, the,—daughter of Bahā-ud-Din Sām Ghūrī, 342.

Husain b. 'Alī b. Abī Tālib, Imām, 64 n 1, 265 n 4, 1253 n 4, 1282.

Husain b. 'Alī Marw-ar-Rūdī, general of Abū Naṣr-i-Ahmad, Sāmānī, lv, lix, 35, 36, 185 n. [404 n l.]
Husain Bahādur Khān, Sulţān, Husain b. Ḥasan b. Muḥammad-i-Sūrī. See under Ḥusain b. Sām.

Husain-i-<u>Kh</u>armīl, 'Izzud-Dīn,— See under <u>Kh</u>ar-mīl.

Husain-i-Kharmīl, Nāşir-ud-Dīn,— See under Nāşir-ud-Dīn.

Husain Mirza, Sultan, 1122 n.

Husain-i-Muhammad Hasan, Amīri-Hājib of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Sām, 491 and n 8. Husain b. Mus'ab, Wālī of Füshanj,—father of Tāhir ibn u'l Husain, 9, 11, 12 n 6.

Husain b. Rībāl,—Alb-Tigīn's deputy in Hirāt, 41 n, 71 n 5.

Husain b. Sabuk-Tigin, of Ghasnin 75.

Husain b. Sâm b. Hasan b. Muḥam-mad-i-Sūrī, who was made Malik of Ghūr by Sulṭān Mas'ūd-i-Karīm of Ghaznīn, under the title of 'Izzu-d-Dīn, 106 n 3, 149 n 2, 238 n 5, 313 n 4, 322 n, 323 n, 332 n 5, 333 n 6, 335 and n 2, 336 n 4, 337 nn 6 and 7.

Husain, Sultan, of the House of Timur, 109 n 9.

Husain-i-Sur<u>kh</u>, Amīr-i-Ḥājib, of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammadi-Sām, 491 and n 9.

Husain b. Tāhir, ruler of Sijistān, 186 n.

Husām-ud-Daulah Tāsh, 44, 45 n7. See also under Tāsh the Hājib.

Husam-ud-Din Aghul-Bak, Malik of Awadh, 627 and n 5.

Husām-ud-Dīn 'Akah, Hākim of Dar-i-Tang, 1238 n 8, 1239 n, 1276 n.

Husām-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. Fakhr-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd, the Malik of Bāmīān, 425.

Husām-ud-Dīn 'Alī-i-Karmā<u>kh</u>, one of the Maliks of Sultān Mu'izzud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, 490,— See also under 'Alī-i-Karmā<u>kh</u>.

Husām-nd-Dîn, Amīr,—governor of Kāl-yūn and Fīwār, for Sulţān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Mahmūd Ghūrī, 502 n 6.

Husām-ud-Din, the Astrologer, 1253 n 4, 1256 n 6.

Husām-ud-Dīn Ḥamīd-i Būr, the Karā-Khitā-ī,—brother of Burāķ the Ḥājib, 934 n, 1118 n 9.

Husam-ud-Dîn Husaîn-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrad, Malik, 417and n 9, 1002 and n 7, 1006 n 9, 1079 and n 2.

Husam-ud-Din 'Iwaz, b. Husain
Khalji, Sultan of Lakhanawati,
490 n, 575 and n 2, 576 n 3, 577 n
8, 578 and n 2, 580 and n 8, 581
and n 5, 582 n 6, 583. See also under 'Iwaz.

Husam-ud-Dîn b. Kharmîl,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dîn Muḥammad-i-Sām, 490.

Husam-ud-Din Kutlugh Shah,-the

Amir of the black standard, 831 and n 2.

Husam-nd-Dîn Muhammad-i-Abī 'Alī, Jahān Pahlawān, Malik, 409, 412.

Husām-nd-Dīn Ughūl Bak, the Sipah-Sālār,—Malik of Awadh, 518 n, 519 n, 549, 550 n 6, 551 n,—app. xxiv, xxvi.

Hūshang b. Gaiū-murt 879 n. See Hoshang b. Siāmak.

Hwen Thsang, the Chinese traveller, 22n4, 306 n3, 426 n6, 680 n, 1011 n.

Hylander's Ibn-ul-Wardī, 1009 n. Hyu-le-hū, Chinese for Hulākū 1218 n.

I.

Ibak, signification of, 513 n 1, 729 n 4, 866n.

Ibak, the slave of Kutb-ud-Din Ibak,—afterwards Tam-ghāj, Amīr of Tabarhindah, 601, 603 and n 6.

Ibak-i-Aor Khan,—feudatory of Lakhanawati-Lakhanor, 736, 737, 739 n 7.

Ibak-i-Lang,—nick-name of Kutbud-Din I-bak, 514 n,—app. ix, x.

Ibak-i-Nā Pāk or Ibak-i-Bāk,—the Turkī slave who became master of Multān, 476 n, 477 n, 484 n.

Ibak, Sannā-ī, Amīr, 749 and n 4.

 Ibak-i-Shil,—byname
 of Kutb-ud 

 Din I-bak, 514 n.
 [969 n 1.]

Ibn 'Abd-ul-Laţif, the Historian,
Ibn 'Abd-ur-Razzāķ, governor of
Nishāpūr for the Sāmānīs, 42.

Ibn'Alkami, the Wazir of al-Musta'sim, the last of the 'Abbūsi Khalifahs, lv, 1229 and n 8, 1230 n, 1232 n, 1236 n, 1238 n 8, 1244 n, 1254 n, 1255 n.

Ibn 'Amrān, governor of the Bagh-dād territory under the Mughals, 1255 n.

Ibn Agir,—author of "Kāmil-ut-Tawārīkh," zvi, zlv, 217 n, 223 n 2, 936 n 6.

Ibn-ul-Athīr. See under Ibn Aṣīr. Ibn-ul-Baiṣā, Abu'l Faṣl Muḥammad b. 'Alī, Wazīr of an-Nāṣir, the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 243 n.

Ibn Batūṭnh, 179 n 3, 468 n 3, 648 n 5, 660 n 8, 678 n 8, 681 n 4, 687, 800 n 8, 802 n 1, 1046 n 3.

Ibn Darwesh,—the envoy of the Khalifah to Hulākū, 1246 n 5.

Ibn Dastah,—author of a geography,
909 n. [history, 56 n 3

Ibn Haişam-i-Füryübî,—nuthor of a

Ibn Haisam-i-Nābī, or Ṣānī,—author of a history, 11, 19, 26, 31, 56 and # 3, 116 and # 1, 320.

Ibn-i-Hājib, 203 # 1.

Ibn Haukal, 28 n8, 31 n 3, 94 n 3, 295 n, 370 n, 378 n 1, 925 n, 962 n, 1008 n 5, 1009 n, 1018 n, 1024 n 2, 1025 n, 1278 n.

Ibn-ul-Jarrāh, Abu'l 'Abbās Muhammad, 37 n.

Ibn Jauzī,—an officer of al-Musta'--sim, 1246 n 5.

Ibn-ul-Ķaşṣāb, Mu'ayyid-ud-Dīn, Wazīr of the Dār-ul-<u>Kh</u>ilāfat, 242 n 6, 243.

Ibn-i-Khallikān, 151 n 6, 205 n 4, 1010 n. 1278 n.

Ibn-ul-Khatīb,—the envoy of the Khalīfah an-Nāṣir to Sulṭān Mu-'izzud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, xxii, 243, 244 n, 383.

Ibn Khurdabih. See the next.

Ibn <u>Kh</u>urdādbah, Abu'l Ķāsim-i-'Abd-ullah, <u>Kh</u>urāsānī,—author of the Masālik wa Mamālik, 292 n, 295 n, 423 n 8, 869 n 2, 870 n, 871 n, 922 n, 961 n, 962 n.

Ibn-ur-Rabi',—the envoy of the Khalifah an-Nāṣir to Sultūn Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, xxii, 243, 244 n, 383.

Ibn Şalāyah, the 'Alawī, Hākim of Arbīl, 1238 n 8. See under Tājud-Dīn.

Ibn-i-Shaddād,—author of the history of Şalāh-ud-Dīn al-Ayyūbī, 218 n.

Ibn-al-Wardi, the historian and geographer, 1009 n.

Ibrāhīm, the Patriarch, (Abraham of Scripture), 8, 274 n 6, 303 n 7, 620 n, 1163 n 5.

Ibrāhīm b. Ahmad b. Asad Sāmānī, 29.

Ibrāhīm b. Aḥmad-i-Ismā'īl Sāmānī, 33, 39 n 8.

Ibrāhīm b. Bughrā <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Ķadr <u>Kh</u>ān,—the Afrāsyābī Malik, 905 n.

Ibrāhīm, the Ghuzz chieftain, 156.

Ibrāhīm ibn ul-Ḥusain, governor of Sijistān, on the part of the Ṭāhirīs, 19 and n 2.

Itrāhīm b. Imām 'Abd-ul-<u>Kh</u>āliķ, the Jurjānī, xix, xlvi, 105.

Ibrāhīm Lūdī b. Shāh Ḥusain. See under Lūdī.

Ibrāhīm b. Mu'addil, ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312.

Ibrāhīm b. Naṣr, of the house of Afrāsiyāb, 905 n.

Ibrāhīm b. Nī'āl, (or Nīālīah), the Saljūķī, 125 and n7.

Ibrāhim b. Nüh-i-Manşur Sāmānī, 52 n 8.

Ibrāhîm b. Şālih Marwazī,—an officer of the Tābirīs, 16, 17.

Ibrāhīm b. Simjūr, governor of Hirāt for the Sāmānīs, 38 n 6.

Ibrāhīm b. Sultān Mahmūd Ghaznawī, 88.

Ibrāhīm b. Sulţān Mas'ūd, <u>Ghaznawi</u>, Sayyid-us-Salāţīn, xix, 95, 99 n, 101 and n6, 102 and nn 2 and 5, 103 and n9, 104 and nn 2 and 4, 105 and n and n6, 106, 133, 143 n2, 323 n, 330, 332 and nn 3 and 5, 337 n7, 354.

Ibrāhīm Sūr, Sultān, of the Afghān house of Lodi, 511 n.

'Ibrānī Banker, the,—Khwājah Fakhr-ud-Dīn-i-'Abd-ur-Rahmān, 1048 and n 1. [86 m. Idol-temple of Mathura, the, 85 n Iftikhār-ud-Dīn, Amīr of Karah, Malik-ul-Umarā of Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, 626 and n 8.

Ighrāķ, tribe of, xlix, 1016 and n 3, 1021, 1023 n, 1043, 1081.

Ighūrī language, the, 896 n.

I-ghürs, tribe of the, 233 n, 242 n 6, 870 n, 876 n, 877 n, 881 n, 883 n, 888 n, 889 n, 890 n, 909 n, 910 n, 919 n, 920 n, 922 n, 932 n, 933 n, 938 n, 950 n, 951 n, 952 n, 953 n, 955 n, 959 n, 961 n, 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 975 n 5, 984 n, 985 n, 1083 n, 1097 n 6, 1101 n 1, 1115 n, 1141 n, 1142 n, 1157 n 1.

Ikbāl Nāmah-i-Jahāngīrī, the, xvi.

Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn Aetkīn, the Ķarākhitāī, of the Maliks of Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, 642 and n 2, 648, 649 and n 7, 650, 651 and n 7, 653 n 5, 661 n, 748, 749, 750, 751 and n 4, 752, 780, 821.

Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn Altūnīah of Tabarhindah, of the Maliks of Shamsud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, 645 and an9 and 3, 647 and n9, 648 and an 3 and 4, 651 n7, 652, 748, 749 and n1, 750 n8, 753, 867 n.

Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn-i-Balkā, the Khalj, of the Maliks of Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, 586 and n 1, 594 n 1, 618 n, 626, 774 n.

Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn Buktam-i-Aor Khān, Malik, 673.

Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn-i-Chust-Ķabā,—a dealer in slaves, 731 and n 8.

Ikhtiyar-ud-Din Husain, of the Maliks of Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish, 626.

Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn Ķarā-Ķush <u>Kh</u>āni-Aetkīn, of the Maliks of <u>Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish</u>, liv, 645, 647, 746, 747, 1138, 1184, 1136.

Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn Khān b. Baltarak or Yaltarak, Malik,—an officer of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwāraam Shāb, 1276 s.

Ikhtiyar-ud-Din Khar-war, of the Maliks of Mu'izz-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sam, 491 and #5.

Ikhtiyār-ud-Dîn-i-Kures, Malik, retainer of Ma'ik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, 688 and n 4, 689 n, 690 n 8, 695 n 9, 782, 792 and n 9.

1khtiyār-ud-Dīn Muhammad b. 'Alīi-Khar-post, the Ghūrī, Malik of Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwūrasm Shāh, lx, 286, 491, 1002, 1013, 1014 and a 2, 1015 n.

Ikhtiyar-ud-Din Muhammad b. Bakhtiyar-ud-Din, the Khalj, 517 and n 4. See also Muhammad-i-Bakhtyar.

Ikhtiyar-ud-Dīn, the Turkman, Ḥākim of Āmūīah, 1030 n, 1931 s.

Ikhtiyar-ud-Din Yuz-bak-i-Tughril Khan, Malik of Lakhanawati, 634 n 9, 659 nn 4 and 6, 673, 701 n 1, 747, 761, 762 and n 9, 763 and n 3, 764, 765 and n 8, 766, 771 n, 772 n, 774 n, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 779 n.

Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Nīshāpūrī, the Amīr-i-Ḥājī,—an officer of Sultān Muḥammad Khwārasm Shāh, 177, 179.

Ikhtiyar-ul-Mulk, Daulat Yar-i-Tughra-i. See under Daulat-Yar. Ikhtiyar-ul-Mulk Rashid-ud-Din Abū-Bikr, the Habash, 766, 796 and # 3, 797.

Iladd-giz, the Atā-bak,—one of Sultan Sanjar's slaves, 147 and

- n 1, 165, 166 n, 168, 169 and n 7, 170 and n 8, 171 nn 9 and 2, 801 n.
- I-lāk or I-lāgh,—summer station, 876 n.
- I-lak, title of the rulers of Yughmā or Turkistān, 902 n.
- I-lak of the Turkan or Tarakimah, the, 909 n, 910 n, 919 n.
- I-lak <u>Khān</u>, Abū Naşr-i-Aḥmad, the,—of the Afrāsiyābī <u>Kh</u>āns, 901 and n, 902 n, 910 n.
- I-lak <u>Khān Abu'l Hasan-i-Naṣr,—of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns, lvii,</u>
  45 and n8, 46 n4, 48 n2, 49,
  51 and n6, 52 and n and nn 8 and
  1, 84 n 9, 85 n, 116, 186 n, 902 n,
  903 n, 904 n.
- I-lak-i-Māzī, the,—of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns, 901 and n, 902 n 5, 912 n.
- 1-lam or Yilam Khān,—father of Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish 599 and n 10.
- Ilbarī oz, Albarī tribe,—of Turkistān, the, 598, 599 n 10, 791 and n 5, 796, 800 and n 5, 884 n, 961 n, 1197 n 9, 1169 and n 1, 1284 n 5, 1295 and n 9.
- Ilehīdāe b. Kājbūn,—Kājbūn, å brother of the Chingīz Khān, 1094 n, 1180 n, 1185 n, 1199 n, 1201 n.
- Ilchikdüe, the Nü-în, xlvii. See Iljî, the Nü-în.
- Il<u>ch</u>ikdāe b. Ķājbūn. See under Ilchīdāe.
- I-ley b. Aminjah, sovereign of the Tattar I-mak, 875 u.
- Il-Iltār,—title of the Bāshlīghs or Chiefs of the Un-Ighūrs, 951 n, 1097 n 6.
- Il-Irkin,-title of the Bashlighs or

- Chiefs of the Tüküz Ighürs, 951 n, 1097 n 6.
- Iljī, the Nū-īn, 1048, 1049 n 2, 1050 n, 1051 n, 1115 n 5, 1126 n 6, 1137 n, 1143 n, 1152 n.
- Iljidae. See the above.

Iljīkīah or

- Iljīkīn tribe, the,—an offshoot of the Kungkūr-āts, 1223 n.
- Ilkāe, read I-yalkāe, lxiv.
- Îl (I-yal) <u>Kh</u>ân b. Tingîz <u>Kh</u>ân, 881 n. See under Iyal <u>Kh</u>ân.
- Il-Khān, the,—title of Hulākū Khār, 1287 n.
- Îl-Khāniān dynasty, the,—the Mughal sovereigns of Persia, 1008 n5, 1287 n
- Ilūrūs,—so the Yūnānīs style Gaiūmart, the first king of 'Ajam, 2.
- Ilyas b. Asad Samani, 27 and n 6, 28.
- Ilyās Hājī, [Sultān Shams-ud-Dîn,] ruler of Bangālah, 590 n, 591 n.
- Ilyās b. Ishāķ b. Ahmad b. Asad Sāmānī, 36. [Sāmānī, 54.
- Ilyās b. Naar b. Ahmad b. Asad Imā, chief of the Karā-Khitāīs, 154, 911, 912 n. 924 n. 925 n.
- 'Imād-ud-Daulah Abū 'Alī-i-Simjūr, 45. See also under Abū 'Alīi-Simjūr,
- 'Imūd-ud-Daulah Abu'l Ḥasan-i'Alī,—the first sovereign of the family of Buwīah, 55 n 1, 58 n 9, 59 and n 4, 61 n 4.
- 'Imād-ud-Daulah Kīmāj, Amīr of the troops of <u>Kh</u>urāsān under Sultān Sanjar, 336 n 4, 359 n.
- 'Imad-ud-Din, the 'Abbasi dependant, 217 n.
- 'Imad-ud-Din of Balkh, 1015 n, 1016.

'Imad-ud-Din, the Ghuri, Malik, 1202 n.

'Imād-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Kāsim b. Abī 'Aķīl Ṣākafī, 1251 n 9, app. xvii, xviii.

'Imād-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, the Sha-fūrķānī, Ķāzī, 662.

'Imād-ud-Dīn-i-Rayhān,—one of the Amīrs of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Mahmūd Shāh of Dihlī, xxviii, 685 and n 1, 692 n 3, 693 and n 2, 694 and n 4, 695 nn 8 and 2, 696 n, 698 n 7, 700, 702 n 3, 703, 717 n, 758 n 1, 767 n 9, 778 n, 784 n and n 3, 792 n 1, 826, 827, 828, 830 and n, 832, 833 and n 9, 834, 835 and n 4, 836 and n 9, 1224 n.

'Imād-ud-Dīn 'Umr Fīwārī, Malik, Governor of Balkh on the part of the Sultāns of Bāmīan, 260 and n 6, 401 n.

'Imād-ud-Dīn Zangī b. Aķ-Sanķur, Malik of Mauşil, 201n, 207n8, 208n1.

'Imād-ud-Dīn Zangī Ba'lami, Malik, 1056 and n 2, 1057.

'Imād-ul-Mulk, Wazīr of Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 275 n.

'Imād-ul-Mulk Tāj-ud-Dīn, the Jāmī, the Dabīr,—one of the Ministers of State of the Khwārazm-Shāhī dynasty, 963.

'Imādī, Imām Muḥammad 'Alī, Abu'l Ķāsim,—author of the Tārīkh-i-Majdūl, 69.

Imāķ or Imāgh tribe, the, 873 n.

Imām-i-Nāṣirī, of Dihlī, the Poet, Amīr, 647.

Imām-i-Rabbānī, the,—Shaikh Ahmad Ghazzālī, 359 n.

Imām-Zādah, Rukn-ud-Ďīn, of Bu--khārā, 274. Imlägh or

Imlak b. Turk b. Yafis, 872 n.

Imperial Academy of Science of St. Petersburg, vii, viii.

India Office, the, v, 991 n, 1188 n 8.
Indian Atlas, the, 550 nn 5 and 6, 551 n, 591 n, 680 n, 696 n 4, 697 n 5, 728 n 3, 760 n 7, 837 n 4,—app. xxi.

Indo-Seythians, the, 878 n, 1004 n 1, 1129 n 1, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n. Initial Coinage of Bengal, Thomas's, 772 n, 778 n, 774 n,—app. xxii.

Insī (I-ley) b. Aminjah, sovereign of the Tättār Imāķ, 875.

Ionians, the, 872 n.

Iradamchī. See Iridamchī.

Iraj b. Afrīdūn, of the Bāstānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 308 and n 2.

Iram, Garden of, 386 and n 1.

Iram b. Sām [Shem] b. Nūḥ [Noah], 303 and n 7.

Iran Malik b. Farrukh-zād b. Mas-'ūd-i-Karīm, 107.

Irān Malik b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Chaznawī, 105.

Iran Shah, 1st of the Bastaniah dynasty, 2.

Irani dynasties, the, xiv.

Irānīs, the, 879 n, 1076 n.

Iridamchī b. Ķājūlī b. Tūmīnā-ī, <u>Kh</u>ān,—leader of the Mughal troops, 898 n, 939 n, 1235 n 1.

Irkah Karā, or Ūkah-Karā,—brother of the Awang Khān, 940 n.

Irkanah, or Arkanah, the Kümāi concubine of Ūktāe Kā-ān, 1142 n.

Irnuz, chief of the Karā-Khiṭāīs. See under Arbaz.

Irtuk Būkā b. Tūlī <u>Kh</u>ān son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1161 n 4, 1176 n 9, 1177, 1180 n.

'Isa (Jesus), Mihtar, 4, 7, 983 n, 1161, 1288.

'Isā b. Ţaf-Ķāj <u>Kh</u>ūn the Afrāsiyābī, 906 a.

Isaac, [Ishāk], the Patriarch, 274n 6, 620 n.

Isaiah, 8.

Isfandiyār b. Güshtāsib,—Shāh of ancient I-rān, 285 n 3, 561 n 9.

Isfarā'īnī Abu'l 'Abbās al-Faşl-i-Ahmad, al-, Wazīr of Sabuk-Tigīn, 73 n 9.

Ishāk b. Ahmad b. Asad Sāmānī, 29, 36.

Ishāķ b. Ahmad-i-Ismā'il Sāmānī, 39 n 8.

Ishāk b. Alb-Tigīn, governor of Ghaznīn, 43 n 4, 71 and n 5, 72 and n 6, 73 n 7, 186 n.

Ishāķ b. Ibrāhīm b. Ḥasan,—Ḥasan, brother of Ṭāhir ibn-ul-Ḥusain, 15 n 6.

Ishāķ b. Ibrāhīm b. Muş'ab, Ţāhir ibn-ul-Husain's cousin, 13 n 9.

Ishāķ b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghasnawī, 105.

Ishāķ-i-Ţābirī,—Alb-Tigīn's deputy in Hirāt, 41 n, 71 n 5.

Isham b. Turak, 9.

Iskandar b. Failaķūs, 4. = Alexander the Great, which also see.

Islām under the Khalīfs of Baghdād, Osborn's, 1187 \* 7.

Islām [Salīm] Shāb, Sūr, ruler of Diblī, 511 n.

Isley (Iley) b. Aminjah,—sovereign of the Tattar Imak, 875 n.

Ismā-'il (Ishmael of Scripture), 620 n.

Ismā'il b. Ahmad b. Asad Sāmānī, Amīr, 23 n9, 25 and nn 7, 9 and 2, 29, 30, 31 and nn 3 aud 4, 32 and n and n 7, 83 and n 1, 53, 54. 184 n, 308 n 2, 916 n, 941 n.

Ismā'īl b. Altūn Tūsh, <u>Kh</u>wārasm Shāh, 232 n.

Ismā'il b. Ja'far uş-Şādiķ, the 'Alawi, 1187 n 7.

Ismā'il b. Naşr b. Ahmad b. Ismā'il Sāmānī, 38.

Ismā'īl b. Nūr-ud-Dīn, al-Malik-uş Şāliḥ, 205 and n 6. See also under al-Malik-uş-Şāliḥ.

Ismā'il b. Sabuk-Tigīv, 50 n 3, 51 n 6, 75 and n 6.

Ismā'il b. Siānī b. Lādī b. Shāh
Husain, 510 n.

Ismā'īl b. Sultān Mahmūd Ghaznawī, 88.

Ismā'ili Khalifahs, the, 141 n.

Ismā'ilians of Egypt, the, 209 nn 5 and 6, 212 n, 218 n.

Ismā'ilis of Alamūt, the, 1187 n 7, 1188 n, 1190 n 1, 1195 n, 1209 n, 1210 n, 1211 n, 1212 n, 1227 n 5, 1257 n.

Israel of Scripture, 314.

Isrā'īl, Amīr, the general of <u>Gh</u>iyāşud-Din Maḥmūd, Sulţān of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 403 n.

Isrā'il b. Beghū b. Saljūķ, 87 n, 94 n 2, 117 n, 118 and n, 119, 120 and n 3, 126 n 2, 904 n, 1074 n.

Istakhri, al-, the Geographer, 94 n 3, 295 n, 1075 n.

I-taghmish,—slave of Atabak Muhammad b. Ilatt-giz, 171.

Itelah (I-ley) b. Aminjah, sovereign of the Tāttār Imāķ, 875 n.

Itīmās or

Itītmās, the Nū-yīn, lxiv, 116 a.

Itsiz. See Utsuz.

Iur-at or Uir-at tribe of Mughals, the, 940 n. Twas. Sultan Ghiyag-ud-Din, the Khalj, ruler of Lakhanawati, 489 and n7, 490, 586 and nn 9 and 1, 587 and n 2, 592, 593 n 7, 594 and n 1, 595 and n 2, 610 and n 7, 617 n 5, 618 n, 629, 770 n 9, 771 n, 772 n, 773 n, 774 n,—app. xiv. Before he was raised to the sovereignty his name was Malik Husām-ud-Dīn.

Iyal-Arsalān b. Jalāl-ud-Dīn Utsuz, <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Sh</u>ūh, 148, 234 n 8, 238 and n 8, 239 n and nn 9 and 1, 597 n 4, 908 n, 909 n, 927 n,—app. zii.

Iyal-duz, meaning of, 496 n 2.

Iyal-duz (Yalduz) b. Ae Khan, sovereign of the Mughal I-mak, 881 n.

Iyal-düz or Yül-düz b. Aghüz Khān, 880 n.

Iyal-dūz or Yūl-dūz Khān b. Mangalī Khān b. Timūr-Tūsh—chieftain of the Mughals, 888 n, 891 n, 894 n.

Ival-duz, Tūj-ud-Dīn, Sultān, -slave of Mu'izzud-Din Muhammad-i-Sam, 265 n 4, 267 and n 7, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375 n, 398 and nn 6, 7 and 1, 399 m and n 2, 401 m, 402 m, 415 and n 8, 416 n 2, 418 and n 2, 419 n 5, 424 and nn 4 and 6, 433 n 9, 434 n, 436 n 5, 438 and n 2, 475 n 2, 476 n, 477 n, 484 n, 489, 492 n 7, 493 and n, 494 and n 1, 495 and n 5, 496 and n 2, 497 n 3, 498 and nn 6 and 7, 499 and n, 500 and n 3, 501 mand n 5, 502 n and n 6, 503 and nn 8 and 9, 504 and nn 2 and 4, 505 n and n 8, 506 and n 9, 518 m, 522 m 5, 526 and m 8, 527 n, 530, 531 n 8, 532 n 2, 533 and n, 534 n

5, 543 n, 547 n, 575 n 9, 577 and n, 578 n 9, 597 n 4, 603, 607 and n 5, 608 and nn 7, 8 and 9, 609 n 5, 614 n 8, 627, 725 n 5, 774 n, 1131 n,—app. xii.

Iyal-kãe the Nũ-yĩn, 717 n, 1239 n, 1260 n 6, 1270 n 2, 1272 and n 6, 1273 n 7, 1278 n, 1281 n.

Iyal Khān or Il Khān b. Tingīs Khān, sovereign of the Mughal I-māķ, 881 n, 883 n.

Iyal-taku, governor of Fanākat, 972 n.

Iyal-Taz Khan,—a general of the Khwarazmis, 978 n.

Iyal-Timish, Shams-ud-Din, Sultan of Dihli,-slave of Kutbud-Din I-bak, xxvi, xxxiii. li, lii. 91 n 9, 158, 204, 267 n 7, 283 n 9, 292 n, 293 n 5, 294n, 310n5, 345, 418n2, 430 and n4, 490 n, 497 n 3, 503 n 9, 505 and nn 7 and 8, 518 n, 520 n. 527 n, 528 n 3, 529 n 4, 530 n and nn 5 and 6, 531 and n 8, 533 m, 534 and n 8, 535 n, 538 n, 539 n, 540 n, 541 nn 7 and 8, 542 and n 9, 543 n, 544, 549 n 4, 586 and n 1, 587 n 2, 590, 592, 593 and nn 6 and 7, 594 and n and n 1, 597 and n 4, 598 and n 8, 599, 601 and nn 6 and 8, 602, 603 and n 6, 604 and n 5, 605, 606 and n 8, 607 n and n 5, 608 and nn 8 and 9, 609 and nn 1, 4 and 5, 610 and n and n 7, 611 and n 8, 612 and nn 4 and 6, 618 and nn 4 and 7, 614 and n 8, 615 n 1, 616 n, 617 and n 5, 618 and n, 620 n 4, 621 n 6, 622 n, 623 nn 9 and 1, 624 and n and n 3, 626 n 3, 627 n and n 5, 628 and n 2, 680 and n 2, 631 and n 9, 632 and nn 5 and

6, 633 n 6, 634 n 1, 635 and n 1, 636 nn 5 and 6, 638 and n 1 639, nn 4, 5 and 6, 642 n 9, 644 n, 649, 656 n 3, 661 and n, 663 n 9, 669 n 8, 670 and n 1, 677 n 6, 690 n 1, 716 n 5, 719, 722, 723, 724, 725 and nn 5 and 6, 727, 728 and nn 1 and 2, 729, 730 and n7, 731 and nn 8 and 9, 732, 733 n 5, 735 n 9, 736, 742 and n 7, 744 and n, 745, 746, 748, 752 and n 8, 754 and n 5, 757 and n 7, 758, 759, 761, 762 n7, 766, 767 and n6, 770 n9, 771 n,772n,773n,774n,775n,777,779, 780, 781 n 2, 787, 790, 791 and n 5, 793, 794 n 1, 795 n 2, 796 n 3, 797 and n, 800 n 5, 801 and n, 802 n 1, 818 n 5, 824, 831 n 2, 832n6, 847 n1, 878 n, 880 n, 884 n,  $910 \, n$ ,  $934 \, n$ ,  $961 \, n$ , 1045,  $1046 \, n$ , 1056 n 1, 1078 n 4, 1074 n, 1126 n 6, 1129, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1169 n 1, 1224 n, 1284 and n 5, -app. ix, xii, Xiv.

Iyaz, Amīr, read Ayaz, lviii.
Izīd-yar b. Sulţan Mas'ūd, of Ghaz-nīn, 95.

Izkanah. See under Irkanah.
Izz-ud-Daulah Bakhtyār b. al-Hasan b. Buwīah, Dīlamī, 57, 60 and n 8, 61, 62, 63 n 8, 65 n 5, 73 n 9.

'Izz-ud-Dīn-i-'Abd-ul-Jalīl,—one of the Maliks of Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish, 626 n 8.

'Izz-ud-Dīn 'Alī-i-Mardān, the <u>Kh</u>alj, 503 n 8. See under 'Alī-i-Mardān, 8.

'Izz-ud-Dīn 'Alī Nāgawri Siwāli<u>kh</u>ī, one of the Maliks of <u>Sh</u>ams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, 627.

'Izz-ud-Din, Amir, 212 n, 213 n.

'Izz-ud-Dîn Bakhtyār, the Khalj, Malik, 626 and n 8.

'Izz-ud-Din Balban-i-Kashlu Khan, the Shamsi Malik, 634 n 9, 640 n1, 648 n2, 650 n5,660 n 1,661 and n, 662, 668 n, 673 n 5, 674 and n 3. 678 n 8, 684 n 9. 685 n 4, 688 and n, 689 and n and nn 6 and 7, 692 nn 3 and 4, 693, 700 n 8, 707 and nn 6, 7 and 8, 708, 709 and n and n 6, 710 and nn 7 and 1, 711 and n 4, 713 n 4, 714 n 9, 716 n 5, 775 and n, 777 n, 778 n, 779, 780, 781, 782 and n 3, 783 and n and nn 7 and 9, 784 and n and n 3, 785, 786, and n 6, 787, 792 and n 8, 794 and n 9, 795 n, 798, 802 n 1 822 n 1, 823, 825, 827 n 9, 840 and n 2, 841 n 5, 842, 813 and n 3, 844 and nn 4, 9 and 1, 845 n, 859 n 8, 860, 861 and n and n 4, 863 n 3, 867 n.

'Izz-ud-Dīn Balban-i Yūz-bakī, feudatory of Lakhaṇawatī, 769, 770 and n 8, 775 n, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 779 n, 827 and n 9, 832, 833, 849 and n 1.

'Izz-ud-Dīn b. Fath-ud-Dīn, the Kurd, Malik,—champion of the Dār-ul-<u>Kh</u>ilāfat, 1236, 1237, 1238, 1240 n 9, 1241 n, 1244, 1251.

'Izz-ud-Dīn, the Harawī,—the Mukaddam of the guild of weavers of Hirāt, 1037 n, 1127 n.

'Izz-ud-Dîn Ḥusaiu-i-<u>Kh</u>armīl, <u>Gh</u>ūrī, Malik, 528, 259. See also under <u>Kh</u>armīl.

'Izz-ud-Din Husain b. Kutb-ud-Din Hasan, Malik of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 322 n, 335 and n 2, 336 and n 4, 337 and n 7, 338 and n 2, 339 and n 7, 344 n, 347 n 2, 348 n, 319 n, 358 nn 2 and 3, 359 n, 423 n 8, 481 n 7, 447 n 7, 1002 n 9.

'Iss-ud-Din Ibak, the Turk-man, ruler of Misr, 1275 n 8, 1276 n.

'Iss-ud-Din Jānī, Malik,—one of the Maliks of Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timish, 594 and nl. See also 'Alā-ud-Din Jānī.

'Izz-ud-Dîn b Kawâm-ud-Dîn Abî-Bikr b. 'Alî, the Malik of Zawzan, 281 n 5.

\*Izz-ud-Dīn Kabīr <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Ayāz, al-Mu'izzī, Malik, 626 and n 8, 633 and n 8, 684 n 9, 639, 640, 641, 644 and n 7, 645, 655 n 2, 656 n, 663 n 9, 724, 725, 726, 727, 746, 747, 810 n, 1133 and n 5.

'Izz-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd b. Maudūd b. Zangī, Malik of Mauşil, 206 n 7, 225 n.

'Izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sālārī, Mahdī,—Malik of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 613, 626 n 7, 633, 634, 639, 640, 647, 673, 723, 726. 'Izz-ud-Dîn b. Muşaffar-ud-Dîn, the Atā-bak, 1195 n.

'Izz-ud-Dîn of Nisā the Sayyid, 1033 n.

'Izz-ud-Dîn Raşî-ul-Mulk, Darma-<u>sh</u>î,—Malik of Nāşir-ud-Dîn Maḥmūd <u>Sh</u>āh of Dih!ī, 697 and n and n 6.

'Izz-ud-Dîn Tughril Kutbî [Bahā-ī], Malik,—of Shams-ud-Dîn Iyal-Timish, 626.

'Izz-ud-Dīn Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, Malik,—of Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, 618 n, 626 and n 2, 641 n 8, 662, 663 and n 8, 664 and n 6, 665 n 8, 666 and n 9, 667, 673, 736, 737, 739 and n and n 7, 740, 741 n n 9, 2 and 3, 742, 743, 744 and n, 747 763, 771 n, 774 n, 775 n, 778 n, 809, 866 n.

'Izz-ud-Din 'Umr, the Maraghani, 193, 257, 1199 n.

'Izz-ul-Mulük Muhammad b. Tājud-Dīn Abu'l Fath, Malik of Nīmroz, 20, 189, 192.

-

Jabah, the Nū-yīn, 945 n, 956 n, 982 n, 985 n, 986 n, 987 and n 3, 988 n, 989 n, 990 n, 991 n, 993 n, 994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 997 n, 998 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1001 and n, 1014 n 2, 1027 n 8, 1028 n, 1030 n, 1033 n, 1102 n, 1116 n, 1208 n.

Jadah or Ajah Dīw,—Wakīl or minister of the Rāe of Kālinjar, 523 n.

Jādān,—one of the Awang Khān's chiefs, 941 n.

Ja'far, Khwājah,—a Musulmān in

the service of the Ching's Khan, 954,955n,957n. [Khalifah,28n9. Ja'far b. al-Mu'tamid, the 'Abbasi Ja'far-Tigin, son of the Xth Afrasiyabi Khan, the Bughra Khan b. Kadr Khan, 905 n.

Jagatai, mispronunciation of the name of <u>Chaghatāe</u>.

Jaghar Beg, Abū Sulīmān-i-Dā'ūd,
b. Mīkā-īl Saljūķī, 86 n, 94 n 2, 97
nn 3 and 4, 98 n 8, 102 n 2, 103 and
n 9, 122 n 8. See also under Dā'ūdi-Jaghar Beg.

- Jahan Ara, the —See the Tarīkh-i-Jahan Ara.
- Jahān Pahlawān, Muḥammad b. Iladd-giz, the Atā-bak, 166 n 7, 171 and n 9, 172 n 8, 242 n 5, 296 n, 995 n. See also under Muḥammad, Jahān Pahlawān.
- Jahān Pahlawān, Irbak, an officer of Sultān Jaiāl-ud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh, 294n, 297n.
- Jahān Shāh b. Khusrau Malik Ghaznawī, 115.
- Jahān Shāh b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 108.
- Jahangir, Emperor of India, ix, 593 n, 621 n 6.
- Jai Chandra, Rājah of Ķinnauj, 402 n, 466 n 1, 467 n, 469 n 9, 470 and n 2, 491, 516, 518 n, 519 n, 742 n 9.
- Jaidan, the tribes of.—See under Jidan.
- Jai-pāl, the Hindū,—Bādshāh of Hind, 74 and nn 2 and 3, 79 n, 81 n, 82, 86 n.
- Jai-Tîmür b. Kaldüz, chief of Tarāz,
  261 n, 938 n, 934 n, 972 n, 1116 n,
  1117 n, 1118 n 9, 1120 n 2, 1121 n,
  1141 n. Same as Bānīko of Tarāz,
  which also see.
- Jājar-āts, the, 939 n. See under the Jūrī-āts.
- Jajees or Dzazīs, the,—an Afghān people, 499 n.
- Jājūr Khātūn, of the Kungkūr-āt tribe,—fourth wife of Üktāe Kā'ān, 1142 n.
- Jākambū, the,—signification of the title, 1092 n.
- Jakr (Chakr) Dīw, Rājah of Jamūņ, 453 n 4, 454 n.
- Jala-ir tribe, the, 882 n, 894 n, 895

- n, 896 n, 898 n, 989 n, 940 n, 1094 n, 1148 n.
- Jalal-ud-Din 'Alī b. Baha-ud-Din Sām Ghūrī, 1, 267, 401 n, 402 n, 432 and n 1, 433 and n, 434 and n, 435 and n and n 4, 436, 437 n 7, 493 and n, 494, 495 and nn 5 and 6, 500 n 9, 502 n 6.
- Jalāl-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. Ḥasan Tigīn, the Afrāsiyābī <u>Kh</u>ān. See under his title of <u>Kh</u>işr <u>Kh</u>ān.
- Jalāl-ud-Dīn Bustāmī, <u>Shaikh,</u>
  <u>Shaikh-ul-Islām of Dihlī under</u>
  <u>Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish, 622 n-</u>
- Jalal-ud-Din Diw-Shari or Diw-Shahi, Wazir of Ghiyag-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sam, 390.
- Jalāl-ud-Dīn <u>Ghaznawī</u>, Ķāzī of <u>Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish</u>, 625.
- Jalal-ud-Din Hasan of Alamut, 265 n4. [Firuz-Koh, 583.]
- Jalāl-ud-Dīn b. Jamāl-ud-Dīn, the Ghaznawī,—an Imām-Zādah of Jalāl-ud-Dīn Jānī, See under Jalāl-ud-Dīn Kulich Khān.
- Jalāl-ud-Dīn Kāsānī, Ķāzī-i-Mamā--lik of Dihlī, 652, 654 and n, 664, 686, 689, 739, 823.
- Jalāl-ud-Dīn Ķulich Khān Mas'ūd Shāh b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Jānī, Malik of Lakhaņawati, under Nāṣīr-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, 673, 712 and n9, 769 n5, 771 n, 775 n, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 847 nn 1 and 4. See also under Ķulij Khān b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Jānī.
- Jalāl-ud-Dīn-i-Majd-ul-Mulk, Aḥmad-i-'Uṣmān Nisāwī, Ķ ŝẓī,— Ḥākim of Tūlak, 1060 and n 5.
- Jalal-ud-Dîn Malani,—one of the chief men among the weavers of Hirat, 1127 n.

Jalal-ud-Dîn Mang-Barnî b. Sultan Muhammad Khwarazm xliz, 1, 162 n and n 8, 172 n 8, 178 n7, 200, 228 n4, 280, 231 n1, 254, 267, 269 n, 275 n, 277 n 5, 278 and n, 279 and n 6, 280 n 1, 281 n 5, 283 n 9, 284, 285 and nn 2 and 3, 286 and nn 6 and 7, 287 and n 1, 288 and n 3, 289 n, 290 n and n 4, 291 n, 292 n, 293 and n 5, 294 n, 295 n, 296 n and n 7, 297 and n, 298 n and n 1, 299 and n, 391 n 8, 409 n 6, 417 n 9, 419 n 5, 505 n, 527 n, 538 n, 534 and n and n1, 535 n,536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 539 n 5, 540 n, 609 and nn 4 and 5, 610 n and n 6, 625 n 6, 793 n 5, 934 n, 988 n, 989 n, 993 n, 994 n, 997 n, 1007, 1008 n 5, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1012, 1013, 1015 n, 1016 and n 3, 1017 and n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 and n 8, 1023, 1024 n 2, 1025 n, 1033 n, 1036 n 1, 1037 n, 1042, 1046 n, 1047 n 4, 1049 n 2, 1050 n, 1059 n 1, 1065 and n 5, 1071 n 1, 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1075 n, 1081, 1082 n, 1087 n, 1093 n, 1116 n, 1118 n 9, 1120 n 2, 1129 n 1, 1227 n 2, 1263 n, 1276 n, 1280 n 5, 1282 n, 1285 n.

Jalāl-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd <u>Sh</u>āh b. 'Alā--ud-Dīn Jānī. See under Jalāl--ud-Dīn Ķuli<u>ch Kh</u>ān.

Jalāl-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd Shāh b.

Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish, 625,
661, 665, 683, 684 n 8, 696 n, 699
and n 2, 700 and n 8, 793 and nn 5
and 7, 818 n 4, 830 and nn 4 and
5, 831, 1224 n, 1225 n.

Jalül-ud-Dīn Warsak,—Shaikh-ul-Islām of Balkh, 429 and n 5.

Jalinzah b. Büķū <u>Kh</u>ūn, sovereigu of the Tāttūr Imāķ, 875 u. Jalsah b. Tünak b. Turk b. Yafig 872 n, 878 n.

Jamāl-ud-Dīv, the Armourer, 729.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn Abīah,—an officer of
Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muhammad,

Khwarazm Shah, 997 m.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn 'Alī, the Khalj,—the Hājib-i-Ajall of Ulugh Khān-i-Balban, 860, 861, 862.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn of Başrah, Khwājah, 800.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn the Bustājī, Imām, 1142 and n 1.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn, the Bustāmī, Shaikh-ul-Islām of Dihlī, under Sultān Nāşir-ur-Dīn Mahmūd Shāh, 702 and n 6, 707, 708, 713.

Jamal-ud-Din Haşiri, Imam, 227.

Jamāl-ud-Dîn Ibrāhīm: the Musulmān name of Barkā <u>Kh</u>ān b. Tū<u>sh</u>ī, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīs <u>Kh</u>ān, 1293 and n 2.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn, Imām, of Marw, 1032 n.

Jamal-ud-Din the Khazinchi, the Khwajah and Imam, 1060 and nn 8 and 5.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Ohust-Ķabā, of the Tight Tunic,—the merchant, 601, 602, 731 n 9.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn Muḥammad b. Tāhir b. Majd-ud-Dīn, al-Bukhārī, 1257 n. [jah, 754.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn-i-Nadīmān, Khwā-Jamāl-ud-Dīn, the Nīshāpūrī, the Ulugh Koţwāl-Bak of Dihlī, 709.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn, the Shaburghāni, Ķūzī, 685.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn Yāķūt, the Ḥabashī, the Amīr-i-Ākhur of Sultān Raz--iyyah, 642 and n 2, 643 n, 645 and n 3, 748, 750. Jamäsīb b. Bulāsh b. Fīrūs, of the Sāsānīān dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.

James's Attila, 404 n 7.

Jāmi-'ul-Ḥikāyat, the,—a book of anecdotes, 543 n.

Jāmī'-ut-Tawārīkh of Faķīr Muhammad, xvi.

Jami-'ut-Tawarikh of Rashid-ud-Din, 17 n 6, 24 n 3, 49 n 7, 77 n,  $78 \, n$ ,  $85 \, n$ ,  $86 \, n$ ,  $91 \, n$  6,  $94 \, n$  3, 117n, 138 and n 8, 139 and n, 142 n 1, 288 n 3, 294 n, 295 n, 297 n and n 9, 303 n7, 307 n, 308 n2, 309 n,848n, 400n3, 407n5, 409n6, 411 n, 419 n 5, 439 n 4, 457 n, 473 n 2, 474 n, 476 n, 482 n, 483 n, 484 n, 485 n 3, 493 n, 505 n, 514 n, 528 n 8, 535 n, 536 n, 540 n, 548 n 3, 592 n, 614 n 8, 618 n, 869 n 2, 892 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 924n, 926n, 928n, 991n, 1008n5, 1015 n, 1020 n, 1046 n, 1047 n 4, 1074 n, 1097 n 6, 1193 n, 1194 n, 1206 n 3, 1211 n, 1231 n, 1235 n 2, 1239 n, 1242 n 2, 1244 n, 1245 n4, 1246 n5, 1250 n, 1254 n, 1256 n 6, 1273 n 7,—app. x, xx.

Jāmi'-i-'Ugm, the,—name of a book, 870 n, 872 n. [879 n.]

Jamshed, IVth of the Bastaniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 2,303 n 7, 305,

Jamshed b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Utsuz, son of 'Alā-ud-Dīn al-Ḥusain Jahānsoz of Ghūr, 417.

Jamshed Malik b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, Ghaznawī, 107.

Jāmūķah, the Sājān, styled also Jajan and Jachan,—Bāsh-līgh or chief of the Jājarāt Mughals, 939 n, 940 n, 941 n, 944 n, 945 n, 946 n.

Jamün-wäl [Jamün dynasty], the, 453 n 4, 454 n.

Jan-dar, signification of the title, 608 n 7.

Jān-Jang,—a Chinese word signifying Governor General, 922 n.

Janjhühah or Janjhühī, the,—name of a tribe on the Koh-i-Jūd, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n.

Janjuhas, the,—See the above.

Jānkabū, See Jākambū.

Jannābī, al-,—name of an author, 363 n 8.

Jaochin b. Kāidū the Mughal chieftain, 895 n.

Jār-chīn or Jār-jīn b. Ķāīdū the Mughal chieftain, 895 n.

Jarkas, the, 1103 n. [ghūn. Jarmāghūn, the Nūyīn, See Jurmā-

Jarrāḥ, Abu'l 'Abbās Muḥammad, Ibn-ul, 37 n.

Jashman b. Tamghan,—ancestor of Saman-i-Khaddat, 29.

Jas-Pal Sihra, Ranah of the Jud Hills, 1130 n.

Jats, the, xiii, 826 n, 454 n, 499 n, 509 n, 516 n 2, 647 n 9, 795 n, 1181 n, 1132 n.

Jatwan, general of Bhim Diw, Rae of Nahrwalah, 516 n 2, 519 n.

Jāwīdān-<u>Kh</u>irad, the,—a work composed by Arfa<u>khṣh</u>ād b. Sām, 304 n 2.

Jāwlī [or <u>Oh</u>āwlī], the Atā-bak, governor of Fārs on the part of the Saljūķ sovereigns, 174 n.

Jenghiz <u>Khān</u>,—wrong spelling of the name of the <u>Ohingīz Khān</u>.

Jeroslaus, the Russian prince, 1171 n.

Jesuits' map, the, 876 n, 960 n 6, 981 n, 1046 n, 1085 n, 1107 n 6.

Jhat Rāe,—general of Bhirāj [or Hamīr], Rāe of Ajmīr, 519 n.

- Jidan or Jaidan tribes, the,—known to the Mughals by the name of Kara-Khita'is, 912 n, 918 n.
- Jifā Būķā <u>Kh</u>ān,—See Jifān the Nū--yān.
- Jifan, the Nū-yān,—of the Tingkūt tribe, adopted son of the Chingīz Khān and Bāsh-līgh of the Khās Ming, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1138 n.
- Jifan or Arjifan, son of the Chingiz Khan, 1092 n.
- Jigal b. Turk b. Yafis [Japheth], 872 n.
- Jīhānī, al-,-Abū 'Abd-ullah,—the Regent during the minority of Amīr Nasr Sāmānī, 36, 37.
- Jīhānī, al-,-Abū Manşūr,—governor of Hirāt under the Sāmānīs, 36 n9.
- Jīhānī, al-,-Muḥammad son of Muḥammad, Wazīr of Amīr Nașr Sāmānī, 37 n.
- Jikal b. Turk b. Yāfis [Japheth], 872 n.
- Jingis,—wrong spelling of the name of the Chingiz Khān. [872 n. Jinkal b. Turk b. Yāfiş [Japheth], Jirķah-Langūm or Jirķah Līkūm b. Ķāīdū, chieftain of the Mughals, 895 n.
- Jītal, a coin, 584 n 2, 603 n 6.
  Joan of Sicily, Princess, xlvii.
  Jokāl the Karāyit, the Gūrgān,
  1183 n.
- Jones, Captain Felix, 1241 n 1.
  Jones, Sir W., xvii,—app. ix.
  Joshua, son of Nun, 1269 n.
  Journal Asiatique, le, 962 n.
  Journal of the Bengal Asiatic
- Journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society, xiii n 5, xxiii n 2, 78 n, 922 n, 1003 n 4, 1044 n, 1295 n 2.—app. i, xix.

- Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 22 n 4, 71 n 5, 78 n, 79 n, 81 n, 288 n 3, 424 n 6, 508 n 1, 772 n, 915 n, 918 n, 924 n, 925 n, 926 n, 928 n, 933 n, 1011 n, 1186 n.—app. xxvi.
- Journal of the Royal Geographical Society, 1129 n 1.
- Journey to the Source of the Oxus, Wood's, 1009 n, 1010 n.
- Jūd tribe, the,—in the Koh-i-Jūd, 1131 n.
- Jū-īnah or <u>Oh</u>ūbīnah b. Iyal-dūz <u>Kh</u>ān, chieftain of the Mughals, 892 n.
- Jūjī, son of the Chingīz Khān, 941 n, 944 n, 945 n, 956 n, 959 n, 964 n 2, 969 n 1, 970 n and n 2, 971 n, 972 n, 973 n, 977 n, 982 n, 983 n, 986 n, 1000 n, 1001 n, 1004 n 8, 1026, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1087 n, 1091 n, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1097 n 7, 1099 n, 1101 n 1 and 3, 1102 n, 1103 n, 1105 n, 1164 n 9, 1167 n, 1168 n, 1176 n 9, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1239 n, 1283 n 1, 1284 n 2, 1286 n, 1292 n. Jūjī is the Irāuī spelling of the name of Tūshī, which also see.
- Jūjī Ķasār b Yassūkā Bahādur, brother of the <u>Chingīz Kh</u>ān, 898 n, 943 n, 945 n, 949 n, 1094 n, 1180 n.
- Juji Ughlan,—See Juji, son of the Ohingiz Khan.
- Jūķ, Turkish name of Sabuk-Tigīn, zlv, l.
- Jak, the,—or Left Wing of an army, 1093 n.
- Julian, 135 n 1. [1194 n. Jümķūr or Jümghūr b. Hulākū Khān, Junaid, 10th of the Akāsirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 6.

Junaid, governor of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 915 n.

Jūrak b. Gusht-āsp,—ancestor of Tāhir ibn-ul-Ḥusain, 9.

Jūrī-āts, the,—a tribe of Mughals, 989 n, 940 n, 941 n, 944 n, 946 n, 1094 n.

Jürjîn, son of the Chingis Khān, 1092 n.

Jurmäghün, or Jürmäghün, the Nüyin,—of the Mangküt tribe of Mughals, 298 n l, 1109 and n 3, 1116, 1116 n, 1117 and n and n 6, 1118, 1120 n 2, 1121 n, 1138 n, 1152 n, 1191 n, 1192 n, 1226, 1227 and n 2, 1228 n, 1233 n 3, 1234 and n 7, 1239 n, 1265 n.

Juwaini, al-,—author of the Tārikhi-Jahān Kushāe, 869 n 2, 889 n, 917 n.

Juwanghar or Left Wing of an army 1093 n, 1095 n,—Also called the Jük.

Juzbī, signification of the term, 979, 1080 n 5.

## K.

Kā'ān, the title, 1105.

Kabāchah, See Kabājah.

Kābah [or Kāyah], son of Nüḥ [Noah], 308.

Kabājah, Nāşir-ud-Dīn,—the Mu'izzī Sulţān in Hind, 474 n 6,
489, 500, 503 n 8, 505 n, 526 n 8,
527 n, 529 n 4, 530, 531 and n 8,
532 and n 2, 533 n, 534 n and nn 5,
6 and 8, 535 n, 537, 538 n, 539
and n 5, 540 and n, 541 and n, 542
n 9, 543 n, 544, 549 n 4, 607, 608 n
7, 609 and nn 1 and 5, 610 n, 612
and n 4, 613 and n 7, 614 and n 8,
615 nn 9 and 1, 622 n, 623 n 9,
627, 631, 724, 728 n 2, 773 n,
1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1131 n.

Kabal or Kabalī <u>Kh</u>ān b. Tūminā-ī, VIIth sovereign of the Būzanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-māķ, 896 n, 897 n, 1087 n.

Kabal Khwājah, Wazīr of Aghūz Khān, the IlIrd sovereign of the Mughal I-māk, 880 n.

Kabchāk, the,—name of a Turkish

tribe, which is also written Khaf--chāķ and Ķafchāķ, 877 n, 899 n, 1101.

Kābīl b. Adam [Cain of Scripture], Kabīr <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Ayāz, Malik, 1133 and n 5. See under his title of 'Izz-ud-Dīn.

Kabīr <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Man-girnī,—the title of Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn Kabīr <u>Kh</u>ān -i-Ayāz, 725 and n 7, 865 n.

Kabīr-ud-Dīn, Ķāzī of the Army of Sultān Shams-ud Dīn I-yal-Timiah, 625, 652, 654 and n, 713.

Ķābūs b. Washm-gīr, Wālī of Gurgān under the Sāmānīs, 44, 51 n
6. See also under his title of Shams-ul-Ma'ālī.

Kachwahah Rajputs, the, 691 n.

Ķadāe Ranko or Rango, the Khiṭā--ī general, 1137 n.

Kadāk, the Christian Atā-bak of Kyūk Khān, sovereign of the Mughals, 1160 n 8, 1185 n.

Kadāķ the Nū-yīn, 1180 n. See the above.

- Kadřķāj, the Khātūn of Kochūe, son of Ūktāe, son of the <u>Ohingīz</u> Khān, 1184 n.
- Kadān [Kadghān?] Aghūl b. Kūtān, son of Ūktāe, son of the <u>Ohingīz</u> <u>Kh</u>ān, 1185 n.
- Kadān Aghūl b. Ūktāe Ķā'ān, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1142 n, 1165 n, 1168 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1180 n, 1182 n. [b. Kūtān, 1185 n.
- Kadghan Aghūl. See Kadan Aghūl Kādir bi'llāh, al-,—the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 80 n5, 81, 232 n.
- Kādir Khān, governor of Utrūr, 267 n 9, 272, 966 and n 1. This is an error for Ghā-īr Khān Anīūl Jūķ, which see.
- Kadķā-ān Ughlān,—one of the Mughal royal family, 974 n.
- Kadr Khān, invader of Mū-warānu-Nahr, 906 n, 907 n.
- Kadr Khān of Kifchāk, xlvii, 254,
   267 n 9, 272 n 9, 279, 929 n. This
   was his Musalmān title, his Turkish name being Akrān or Ikrān.
- Kadr Khān b. Şafaķtūn-i-Yamak,
   xlviii, 961 n, 963 n 8, 982 n, 1096.
   See also Ķadr Khān b. Yūsuf,
   the Tatār. [Khāns, 906 n.
- Kadr Khan b. 'Umr Khan b. Ahmad Khan, XVIIth of the Afrasiyabi
- Kadr Khān b. Yūsuf, the Tatār, xlviii, 263 n, 267 and n 9, 268, 272 n 9, 960 n 6, 961 n. See also Kadr Khān b. Şafaktān-i-Yamak.
- Kadr Khān b. Yūsuf b. Bughrā Khān-i-Hārūn, VIIIth of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns, 84 and n9, 86 n, 87 n, 116 and n2, 118, 122 n8, 904 n, 905 n, 914 n.
- Kadsün the Nü-yin,—General of Hulākü Khān, 1239 n.

- Kae-mas, the Rumi,—an Officer of Sultan Kuth-ud-Din Ibak of Dihli, 575 and nn 9 and 1, 576 and 3, 577 n.
- Kafchāk, the,—same as the Kabchāk, which see.
- Ķāhir B'illah, al,—the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 37.
- Kahtan b. 'Abir [Heber of Scripture],—Father of the Arabs of Yaman, 6.
- Kahtars, the,—name of a people in Koh-i-Jūd, 1132 s. See the Kathars.
- Kahūn Jaṭs, the,—name of a people in Koh-i-Jūd, 1132 n.
- Kaian b. Iyal Khān,—one of the only two males who escaped the general massacre of the Mughal people, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 885 n, 887 n, 888 n, 890 n, 892 n, 803 n, 895 n, 937 n 9, 940 n, 1089 n.
- Kai-ānīān dynasty of 'Ajam, the, 1, 3, 183 n 2, 309 n.
- Kaiāt tribe of Mughals, the, 888 n, 889 n, 890 n, 891 n, 892, n, 893 n, 894 n, 931 n, 940 n, 951 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1095 n.
- Kaiāt Kungkur-āt tribe of Mughals, the, 1091 n.
- Kaibūkā the Nāemān, the Nū-yīn, 1192 n, 1195 n, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1238 n 8, 1239 n, 1243 n, 1250 n, 1263 n, 1264 n, 1275 n 3, 1276 n, 1277 n, 1278 n, 1282 n.
- Kāidū Khān b, Zūtūmin,—IVth sovereign of the Bū-Zanjar dynasty of the Mughal Imāķ, 894 n, 895 n, 896 n, 938 n.
- Kāidū b. Kyūk <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Ūktāe Kā-ān, son of the <u>Chingīz Kh</u>ān, 1144 n 6.

- Kaihān Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghasnawī, 105.
- Kai-Kāūs 'Izz-ud-Dīn b. Kai-Khusrau b. Ķulīj Arsalān,—of the Saljūkīah dynasty of Rūm, 161 n.
- Kai-Kāūs b. Kai-Khusrau b. Kai-Kubād, of the Saljūķīah dynasty of Rūm, 163 and n 5, 164, 1151 n, 1195 n, 1262 n. [187, 598.]
- Kai-Kāūs b. Kai-Ķubād, of the Kai-ānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 8,
- Kai-Kāūs b. Ķulīj Arsalān, of the Saljūķīah dynasty of Rūm, 161.
- Kai-Khusrau, grandson of Kai-Kāūs,—of the Kai-āniān dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 561 n 9.
- Kai-Khusrau, Abū 'Alī b. 'Izz-ul Mulūk,—last of the dynasty of the Buwīahs, 66 n 7.
- Kai-Khusrau b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Kai-Kubād, of the Saljūķīah dynasty of Rūm, 162 and n and nn 1 and 2. 163 and nn 4 and 5, 1151 n.
- Kai-Khusrau b. 'Izz-ud-Dîn Kulîj Arsalân, the Rūmī Saljūk, 161 n.
- Kai-Khusrau b. Khusrau Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh Ghaznawī, 113.
- Kai-Ķubād, 1st of the Kai-ānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 307 n.
- Kai-Ķubād, Wazīr-i-Khāş of Ruknud-Dīn Khūr Shāh of Alamūt, 1207 n.
- Kai-Kubād b. Bughrā Khān b. Ulugh Khān [Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Balban], lv, 717 n.
- Kai-Kubād b. Kai-Kāūs, of the Saljūķīah dynasty of Rūm, 161.
- Kai-Ķubād b. Kai-Khusrau, of the Saljūķīah dynasty of Rūm, 163 n 5.
- Kai-Ķubād b. Ķulīj-Arsalān, of the Saljūķs of Rūm, 161 n, 162 n and n 3, 298 n.

- Kai-Luhrasib, the Tyrant, of the Kai-aniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 4.
- Kā'im Bi'amr'illah, al,—the 'Abbāsī <u>Kh</u>alīfah, 125 n 8, 133 n 8, 134 and n 9, 135 n 2, 136 and n.
- Kaimas, of the Dahima race of Rājpūts, Lord of Biānah and minister of Pirt'hwi-rājā, 459 n 9.
- Kaişar of Rüm, the,—defeated and taken prisoner by <u>Shāpūr-i-Zu'l</u> Aktāf, 4.
- Kaiumurt, 303 n 7, 304. Same as Gaiu-mart, which see.
- Kajāe-Tigīn b. Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm-Shāh, 286 n 6.
- Kājbūn or Ķājiūn b. Yassūkā Bahādur,—brother of the Ohingīz Khān, 899 n, 1049 n 2, 1094 n.
- Ķājīr Olūkah, the Amīr of the As, 1169 n.
- Ķājiūn b. Yassūkā Bahādur. See under Kājbūn.
- Kājūlī or Ķā<u>ch</u>ūlī b. Tūminā-ī, leader of the Mughal troops, 896 n, 897 n, 898 n, 1097 n.
- Kakan b. Kochey b. Kopanyu, one of the generals of Hulākū, 1218 n.
- Kākars, the,—an Afghān tribe, 1043 n 1, 1067 n.
- Kalar, Bād<u>sh</u>āh of the Būlān, 1165 n. [634 n 3.
- Kalb, signification of the term, Kalbād,—an officer of Jūrmāghūn the Nūyīn's army, 1116 n, 1117 n, 1120 n, 2, 1121 n.
- Kalīd-i-Afghānī, the,—name of a book, 1081 n7.
- Kalīlah wa Dimnah, the, 109 n 9.
- Kalimaks, the,—not the name of the people, but their nickname,

- 889 n, 920 n, 922 n, 956 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1140 n, 1167 n.
- Kalladjes, the, 1021 n 8; mispronunciation of the name Khalj.
- Kalmāk, the, 899 n. See the Kālīmāks.
- Kalmuks, the,—Europeanized name of the Kālīmāks, 922 n.
- Kaltimish [also written Katlmish] b. Isrā'il b. Saljūķ, 133 n 3, 597 n 4.—app. xii.
- Kamāl-ud-Daulah Sher-zād b. Mas-'ūd-i-Karīm Ghaznawī, 107 and n7.
- Kamāl-ud-Dīn 'Alī Samairāmī, the Wazīr of Sulţān Mahmūd b. Muḥammad b. Malik Shāh Saljūķī, 170 n 8.
- Kamāl-ud-Dīn Arsalān Khān b. Maḥmūd, the Wālī of Jund, 908 n, 927 n.
- Kamāl-uz-Zamān, the minstrel of Sultān Sanjar, 153.
- Ķamar Takodar or Tagodar, the Khitāī general, 1137 n.
- Kam-chak or Bak-chak, the Mughal general, 288 n 3.
- Kāmil-ut-Tawārīkh of Ibn u'l Agīr, the, xvi, 519 n.
- Kamr-i-Ḥabash or 'Anbar-i-Ḥaba--shī, a horseman in the army of the Malik-ul-Kāmil of Shām, 1272 n 5.
- Kamr-ud-Dîn Karmānī, ruler of the district of Banbān, 536 n, 1131 n.
- Kamr-ud-Dīn Ķīr-ān-i-Tamur-<u>Kh</u>ān, Malik of Awadh and Lakhaṇawatī, 662, 665 n 8, 666, 667, 673, 742, 743, 747, 771 n. See also under Ķīrān and Tamur.
- Kandwez, a kind of silk made in Hirat, 1037 n.

- Kand Rae,—See under Khand or Khandi Rae.
- Kand-Kāe, the Nū-yīn, 1228 n.
- Kanghuli, the. See under the Kankuli.
- Kangit, the,—a tribe of Mughals, 1093 n.
- Kanglīs, the, 985 n,—same as the Kānķulīs, which see.
- Ķanķāe <u>Kh</u>ātūn, Mangū <u>Kh</u>ān's chief wife, 1185 n.
- Kankūd, a general of the <u>Ohingis</u> <u>Kh</u>ān, 289 n.
- Kankul, signification of the word, 877 n.
- Kankulis or Kanghülis, tribe of the, 233, 235, 268 n 4, 290 n, 877 n, 879 n, 909 n, 914 n, 923 n, 972 n, 979 n, 982 n, 984 n, 985 n, 1021 n 8, 1022 n, 1091 n, 1169.
- Kānūn-i-Mas'ūdī, the,—a work by Abū Ma'shar-i-Munajjim, 1, 2.
- Kanz-ul-Mahpūr, the,—name of a book, 78 n.
- Ķarā Aghūl b. Mitūkāe b. Chaghatāe, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1148 n.4. He is also called Ķarā Hulākū, which see.
- Karā Alb, the Arsalān Khān,—a leader of the army of the Khwārazmīs, 979 n.
- Karā Arsalān Beg b. Jaghar Beg, of the family of Saljūk, 93 n 8.
- Karā Arsalān b. Karā Mallat, grandfather of Sabuk-Tigīn, 70.
- Karā Baḥ-kam,—nick-name of Sabuk-Tigīn's father, 68, 69 n, 70.
   Karā Beg, the Khitā-ī,—general of the Gūr Khān, 479 n, 480 n.
- Karā Buj-kum, the,—the correct nick-name of Sabuk-Tigin's father, xlv.

- Karā Būķā, the Nū-yīn, 1260 n 6.
   Karāghah, Amīr of Sulţān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Khwārasm Shāh, 1116 n, 1117 n, 1120 n 2, 1122 n, 1126 n 6.
   Karāchah, the Nū-īn, 1063.
- Karāchār the Nūyān b. Sūghū-jijan or Sūghuj-chī, b. Iridam-chī, the Barlās,—leader of the Mughal troops, 899 n, 938 n, 939 n, 941 n, 944 n, 945, 1027 n 8, 1073 n 4, 1087 n,1105 n, 1145 n, 1148 n 4, 1149 n 7, 1152 n.
- Karāchār b. Ūktāe Ķā-ān, son of the <u>Ohingīs Kh</u>ān 1142 n.
- Karā Fīrūs b. Yazdijurd-i-Shahr--yār, 70 n 8.
- Karā Hulākū b. Mitūkāe b. <u>Chaghatāe</u>, son of the <u>Chingīz Kh</u>ān, 1148 n 4, 1149 n 7, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1182 n, 1185. He is also called Karā Aghūl.
- Karājah, the <u>Kh</u>āş Ḥājib,—an officer of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muhammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, lx, 273 n 5, 971 n.
- Karājah, the Sāķī or cup-bearer,
   Atā-bak,—Sanjar's slave, 151,
   152, 158 n, 159, 174 n, 207 n 8.
- Karā-Jamāk, the Sipah-Sālār,—a personal slave of Ulugh Khān-i-Balban, 831 and n 1, 832, 867 n.
- Karā Kash or Karā Kūsh Khān-i-Aetkīn,—one of the Shamsīah Maliks in Hind, 644 n 7, 645, 647, 655 and nn 1 and 2, 650 n, 659 n 4, 661, 674, 679 n 5, 741, 761 and n 3, 762 and n 6, 866 n. See also under his title of Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn.
- Karā <u>Kh</u>ān b. Mughal <u>Kh</u>ān, sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ, 875 n, 876 n, 890 n, 951 n.

- Karā Khitā-īs, the, 239 n, 457 n, 899 n, 900, 904 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 911, 912 and n, 913 n, 915 n, 917 n 1 and n, 918 n, 919 n, 923 n, 924 n, 925 n, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 933 n, 934 n, 951 n, 1001 n, 1093 n, 1136 n 9.
- Karā-Ķū<u>sh Kh</u>ān-i-Aetkīn. See under Karā-Ķa<u>sh</u>.
- Karā-Kū<u>sh</u>,—the celebrated engineer from Egypt, liv.
- Karā Mā, chief of the Kulij Karā branch of the Khalj tribe, 944 n.
- Ķarā Mallat or Millat b. Ķarā Na'mān, ancestor of Sabuk-Tigīn, 70.
- Karāmitah, the,—a sect of Muhammadan heretics, 40, 46, 47 and n 7, 48 n 4, 60, 139, 209, 215, 218, 365 and n 3, 449 and n 2, 491, 646, 647. Karamzin, 1000 n.
- Kāran, an ancient Diālamah King and champion, of the Gil race, 998 n.
- Karan, or Kurnah Rāe—a leader of the troops of the Rājah of Gujarāt, 521 n, 522 n.
- Karā Na'mān b. Fīrūz-i-Bamsinjān, —ancestor of Sabuk-Tigīn, 70.
- Kārāniān Maliks, the,—styled the Bāstānian Maliks, 2.
- Karār Bīr,—a demon killed by Rājah Rām Ohand, 93 n 9.
- Karā Sanķur or Sunķar,— a leader of the <u>Kh</u>alīfah's troops, 1240 n, 1241 n.
- Karā Sunkar-i-Nāşirī, Malik,—of the Maliks of Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish, 627.
- Karā Tigīn, a slave of Abū Ibrāhīm Sāmānī,—made governor of Hirāt, 87 n, 38 n 6.

Karayat or Karayit tribe of Mughals, the, 867 n, 874 n, 940 n, 941 n, 942 n, 943 n, 944 n, 956 n, 1076 n, 1093 n, 1152 n, 1176 n 9, 1193 n.

Karbūkā or Karbūghā, the Tāttār chief, 898 n.

Karghīs, tribe of, 876 n, 962 n, 1112. See also the Kirghīs.

Karîm-ud-Dîn Hamzah, Malik, governor of Nagawr of Siwalikh, 200 and nn 2 and 3.

Karīm-ud-Dīn-i-Zāhid,—a Tajzik official of Rukn-ud-Dīn Fīrūz <u>Sh</u>āh of Dihlī, 635, 761.

Karklükür, Khwājah, the Nü-yīn, Amīr of Karā-Kuram, 1178 n.

Karlaghs, the, 1129 n 1,—See under the Karlaghs.

Ķārlūks, the,—See the Ķārlūghs. Ķārlūgh Shahnah of Hirāt, the, 1128 n.

Kārlūgh Turks, the, 750 n 6, 781 n 2, 907 n, 925 n, 953 n, 1004 n 9, 1130 n, 1132 n.

Kārlūghīah Turk-māns, the, 374 n 5, 448 n 7, 953 n.

Kārlūghs, or Karlughs, the, l, 375 n, 656 n, 689 n, 727 and n 7, 730 n 7, 782 and n 3, 792, 877 n, 878 n, 879 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 914 n, 919 n, 923 n, 925 n, 926 n, 953 n, 959 n, 980, 985 n, 1004 n 9, 1023 n, 1129 n 1, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n, 1155 n 6.

Karluki Hazāras, the, 1130 n.

Ķārlūķs or Ķarluķs, the,—See the Ķārlūghs.

Karmākh, governor of Multān,— See under 'Alī-i-Karmākh.

Karsh-āsp, ancestor of Rustam-i-Zāl, 308 n 2, 309 n. [494. Kārūn,—the Korah of Scripture, Kārwah, name of an article of defence, 352 and n 3.

Kasā <u>Kh</u>ātūn, of the tribe of Iljīkīn,—wife of Mangū Ķā'ān, 1223 n.

Kashak, the Kanküli Kosh-chi or camel-man, 1183 n, 1185 n.

Küshghar Mission, History of the, 901 n, 912 n, 917 n, 940 n, 950 n, 952 n, 964 n, 968 n6, 981 n, 1044 n, 1069 n4, 1075 n, 1091 n, 1106 n.

Ķāshī or Ķāshīn, the Tingķūt, 1142 n.

Ķāshī b. Ūktāe Ķā-ān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1142 n.

Kashīs, the,—a Ghūrian people in the territory of Kashī or Kasī, 361 and n.1, 362, 395 and n.7.

Kashkah, Kashili or Kashiki,—one of the champions of Khwārasm Shāh's army, 177 and n5.

Kashlīk, the Tarkhān,—one of the two boys who apprised Tamurchī, the Chingiz Khān, of his danger, 941 n. 942 n.

Ķashliķ Tarkhāns, the, 943 n.

Kashlü or Kashli Khān, the Amīri-Ākhur of Sultān Muhammad Khwārazm Shāh, 976 n, 977.

Kashlü <u>Kh</u>ān, the Tatār,—See Koshlak <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the Tayānak <u>Kh</u>ān.

Kashlū Khān-i-Saukur,—same as the above.

Kāsim b. 'Abd'ullah,—Wazīr of the 'Abbāsī <u>Kh</u>alifah al-Muktafi, 32n.

Kāsim b. Muḥammad b. Ḥakam b.
Abū 'Akīl the Ṣakafī, father of
'Imād-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, the
Conqueror of Sind,—app. xvii,
xviii.

60 Index.

Kasīm-i-Amīr-ul-Mu'minīn,—atitle given to some Sultāns by the Khalīfahs, 316 and n, 368 n 2, 669 n 7, 772 n,—app. iv, v, xxii.

Kasr, meaning of the word, 331 n 2, 651 n 6.

Kassur Jats, the,—name of a people in the Koh-i-Jūd, 1182 n.

Kat-ghan tribe of Mughals, the, 893 n.

Katghin or Katkin tribe of Mughals, the, 940 n, 944 n. See the above.

Kathars, the,—a tribe, 537 n. See the Kahtars.

Katrin tribe, the, 1142 n.

Katula,—mispronunciation of the name of Ķūbilah <u>Kh</u>ān b. Ķabal <u>Kh</u>ān, 897 n.

Kawām-ud-Dīn, the Malik of Zaw--zan, 258 n, 281 n 5.

Kawām-ud-Dīn, Zawzanī, Imām, 190.

Ķāwurd b. Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī b. Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg,—founder of the Kirmān dynasty of the Sal--jūks, 138 n 8.

Kayā Khān b. Yūsuf b. Bughrā Khān-i-Hārūn—of the Afrāsiyābī family, 905 n.

Kazil, one of the Mihtars or chiefs of the Saljūks, 120. [1070.

Kazil Manjuk, the Mughal Chief Keene, H. G., 1094 n.

Kelilat,—wrong name of Kalbad which see,—1121 n.

Kezān, one of the chiefs of Kifchāk, 1170 n.

Khādijah, daughter of Dā-ūd-i-Jaghar Beg,—espoused by the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah al-Ķā'im, 186 n.

Khadijah, Muhammad's first wife, 302 and n 3.

Khādim Husain, Maulawī, 1258 n 9. Khafchāk tribe, the,—See under the Kabchak.

Khainā, the Nū-yīn, 1227 and n 2.

Khākān,—application of the title, 720 n 1.

Khākān of Turkistān, the, 27 n 4.

Khakhī division of the Afghān nation, the, 1044 n.

Khāk-rez of Hirāt,— an artificial mound of earth surrounding the city, 1039 and n 8, 1040 n.

Khalaf b. Ahmad,—ruler of Sijis--tan, 43 n 4, 76 n 8, 81 n, 85 n, 183 n 2, 185 n, 186 n, 187 n.

Khalaj, the, - See the Khalj tribe.

Khalifah, the office of, 1260 n. Khalifah Shaibānī, of Tukhāristān,

875 n.

<u>Kh</u>alifahs, the, xxxiii, 540 n, 887 n, 1117 n 7.

Khalil'ullah,—the Friend of God,
—one of the titles of Ibrāhīm
[the Patriarch, Abraham], 1163
and n 5.

Khalj or Khalaj,—progenitor of the Khalj tribe, 878 n.

Khalj. the,—a Turk tribe, li, 28 n 8, 397 and n 1, 439 n 4, 443 n, 460 and n 2, 463 n, 477 n 5, 480 n, 509 n, 529 n 4, 539 n and n 3, 540 n, 541, 542 n 9, 547 and n 9, 548 and n 8, 549 n 4, 550 n 6, 551 and n 7, 566 n 9, 574 n 7, 575 and n 1, 576 and n 3, 578, 579 n 4, 591 n, 595, 614 n 8, 615 n 1, 629, 757 n 7, 772 n, 870 n, 878 n, 916 n, 944 n, 980, 996 n, 1015 n, 1016 and n 3, 1022 n, 1023 n,—app. xx.

Khalj b. Yafis [Japheth], 870 n.

Khān, the title, 862.

Khān-i-A'zam,—app. ix, xxi.

- Khān-i-Buzurg, the,—or the great Khān, 52.
- Khān-i-Khānān, the,—the Persian translation of the title "Gūr Khān," 911 and n 8, 912 n,—app. xxi.
- Khān 'Alam Fīrūzjang,—app. xxi.
  Khān Malik,—officer of Sulţāu Jaļālud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh, 201 n.
- Khān Malik, daughter of 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh,—wife of Sultān 'Usmān the last of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns, 910 n.
- Khān Malik, Amīr-i-Hājib,—of the Maliks of Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, 491.

Khān Zamān,-app. xxi.

Khauī Rāe,—See the next.

Khānd or Khāndī Rāe, ruler of Dihlī,—brother of Pithorā Rāe of Ajmīr, 459 n 9, 460 n and n 3, 461 n, 462 n, 463 n, 466 n 1, 467 n, 468 n, 469 n 9, 516 n 2. See also Gobind Rāe.

Khāns of Ķifchāk, the, 239.

Khāns of Krim [the Krimīā], the, —lineally descended from Jūjī Khān, 1102 n.

Kharbüzah,—signification of the word, 404 and n9.

Kharfash,—a nick-name or byname, 410 and n 2.

Khargāh or tent, description of, 1080 and n 4.

Khārijīs, the,—a sect of Muslim schismatics, 13 and n 8, 14, 201.

Khar-jam, or Khar-Ohām, the Sipah-Sālār,—champion of the Chūrī forces, 926, 929, 980 n 6.

<u>Kh</u>arkah Langum,—See under Jirkah Langum.

- Khar'l Jats, the,—name of a people, 477 n.
- Kharmil,—a nick-name or by-name, 410 n 2.
- Kharmil, 'Izz-ud-Din Husain, the Gh iri,-Wali of Hirat, 258 and n, 259 and n 3, 264, 897 n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 403 n, 410 n 6, 411 n, 433 n 7, 434 n, 453, 454 n, 455 n 5, 468 n, 474, 475 and nn 1 and 2, 490, 493 n, 501 n 5, 503, 504 n 2, 516, 518 n, 602 n 3.
- Kharmīl, Nāşir-ud-Dīn Ḥusain,— See under Nāşir-ud-Dīn Ḥusain.
- Kharmīl-i-Sām Banjī,—Pahlawān of the Kingdom of Ghūr, 351, 444 n, 445 n.
- Kharmīl-i-Sām Ḥusain,— Pahlawān of the Kingdom of Ghūr, 351, 444 n, 445 n. [410 n 2.
- Kharnak,—a nick-name or by-name, Kharnak, Amīr,—of the Ghūrī tribes of Wajīristān, 335 and n 3.
- Kharnak b. Bain,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī, Shansabī, 312 and n 8.
- Kharoshtī or Kharoshī, the Sipah Sālār of the Ghūrian army, 433 and n 4, 403.
- Khar-Zor Maliki, the Ghuri Malik, 980 and n 6.
- Khās Khān,—an officer of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwārasm Shāh, 294n.
- Khūs Ming or Hazārah,—the personal troops of the Ohingīs Khān, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1095 n.
- Khāṣah-bardār, application of the term, 802 n 1.
- Khashi sept of the Afghan nation, the, xvi.
- Khatā'īs, the,—an error for the Khitā-īs, see lix.

- Khatak tribe of Afghāns, the, 511 n, 1044 n.
- Khātir-ud-Dīn Muḥammad b. Bahāud-Dīn b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, Ghaznawī, 107.
- Khatrini Afghāns,—a wrong name of the Kihtrān Afghāns, which see.
- Khazz-i-Khitāe,—name of a fabric, 966 and n 8.
- Khifohāk or Kifohāk,—name of a tribe of Turks, 233 and n 6, 235, 254, 998 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1169.
- Khing But—Grey Idol,—name of an idol or figure in Bāmīān, 1058 n 6.
- Khita'is, the, lix, 154 and n 2, 155 and n 3, 244 n 4, 246 n 8, 261 and n, 477 n 4, 478 n 6, 479 n, 482 n, 882 n, 894 n, 895 n, 899 n, 907 n, 908 n, 911, 912 n, 921 n, 924 n, 925 n, 926 n, 928 n, 929 n, 934, 935, 936 n 6, 955 n, 956 n, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 960 n, 975 n 5, 1073 n 4, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1215 n 4.
- Khitans, the,—name of a people, 885 n.
- Khizr, Mihtar, 287, 1293.
- Khār Khān b. Ibrāhīm-i-Ţamghāj Khān,—one of the Khāns of Turkistān, 908 n
- Khiar Khān, Jalal-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. Hasan-Tigin, XXIInd of the Afrasiyābī Khāns of Turkistān, 909 n, 910 n.
- Khirr Khan b Tafkaj Khan, XIVth of the Afrasiyabi Khans of Turkistan, 906 n.
- Khokhar Rāe, King of Multūn, 1. Khokhars, the,—a tribe of Hindūs, li, 294 n, 453 n 4, 454 n, 455 and n, 476 n, 477 n, 481, 482 n, 483 n, 484 n, 485 n 3, 486 n, 401, 524 n,

- 526 n, 536 n, 537 n, 539 n 5, 604, 605, 647 n 9, 648 n 3, 656 n, 678, 679 n 2, 795 n, 815 n 6, 822 n 9, 1074 n, 1130 n, 1132 n, 1136 and n 7, 1201 n.
- Khūb Chihr b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 105.
- Khudā Ķulī, governor of the fort of Lagh, 1122 n.
- Khudawand-Zadah of Mausil, the, 169, 204, 205.
- Khudāwandah-i-Jahān,—title of the mother of Sultān Muḥam-mad Khwārazm Shāh, 241, 256, 280.
- Khulagu, 1083 n,—wrong name of Hulākū Khān.
- Khulāṣat-ul-Akhbār, the,—a bookof history, xvi, 34 n 5, 41 n 8, 136 n, 155 n 7, 266 n, 404 n 1, 407 n 5, 439 n 4, 470 n, 669 n 7.
- Khulāşat-ut-Tawārīkh of Sujān Rāe, xvi, 449 n 8, 453 n 3, 469 n 9, 524 n, 537 n, 582 n 6, 587 n 4 593 n, 609 n 5, 623 n 1.
- Khumār-Tigin, Amīr,—governor of Fürs on the part of the Suljūķ sovereigns, 174 n.
- Khumār-Tigīn, chief of the Kanku-līs,—chosen to direct the administration of Khwārazm with the title of Sulţān, 1098 n, 1099 n.
- Khūrjah Hazārah, the,—one of the Hazārahs constituting the Juwān-ghār or Left of the Mughal army, 1093 n.
- Khūr-Khusrau, 27th of the Taba-bi'ah of Yaman, 8.
- Khür Shäh, last of the Mulähidah dynasty of Alamüt,—See under his title of Buku-ud-Din.

- Khūrshed b. Jamshed Malik b. Mas'ūd-i-Karim Ghaznawi, 107.
- Khūrahed Malik b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 105 and n 7.
- Khurs, the 3rd son of Yafia. [Japheth], 870 n, 871 n.
- Khush-hål Khan, the Afghan chief and poet,—of the Khatak tribe, 511 :, 1044 n.
- Khush-nawāz, King of the Hayāţi-lah, 423 n 8.
- Khusrau, signification of the title, 1006 n 1.
- Khusrau Malik b. Khusrau Shāh Ghaznawī,—last of the Yamīnīah dynasty, xxi, 67, 111 n 6, 112 n 5, 113 and n, 114 and n, 115, 240 n, 371 n 4, 376, 379 and n 6, 425 n 9, 447 n 6, 448 n 4, 449 and n 8, 452 and n 9, 453 nn 3 and 4, 454 and n, 455 and n and nn 6 and 7, 456 and n 2, 457, 631 and n 7, 1072 n 5.
- Khusrau Parwīz b. Hurmuz,—III. of the Akāsirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 5, 6.
- Khusrau Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh Ghaznawī, xlvi, 111 and n 6, 112 and nn 3 and 5, 113 n, 114 n, 348 n, 349 n, 350 n and n 3, 357 n 7, 376 and n 2, 377 n 4, 444 n, 447 n 6, 448 n, 449 and n 8.
- Khusrau Shāh b. Khusrau Malik Ghaznawī, 115.
- Khusrau Shāh b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawi, 106.
- Khufbah, explanation of the term, 12 n 9.
- Khutalī, the Ghuzz chieftain, 156.
  Khwājah-i-'Amid, Abū Naṣr-i-Mishkān az-Zauzanī,—See Abū Naṣr-i-Mishkān.

- Khwājah of Zauzan, the,—governor of Kirmān, 283 and #8.
- Khwājah 'Abd-ullah Angārī, 407 n8.
- Khwājah Abū Sahl,—minister of Amīr Muḥammad b. Maḥmūd of Ghaznīn, 89 n 8.
- Khwājah Abu'l Faşl Kirmānī, 190. Khwājah Aghūl b. Kyūk b. Ūktāe son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1180 n, 1184 n, 1185 n.
- Khwajah Ahmad the Wakhshi,—a merchant, 973.
- Khwājah 'Alī the Bukhārī,—in charge of Bukhārā under the Mughals, 972 n.
- Khwājah Ķuţb-ud-Dīn Bakhtyār Kākī,—See under Ķuţb-ud-Dīn.
- Khwājah Ghiyāg-ud-Dīn,—Wazīr of the Atābak Sa'd b. Zangī, 178 n7.
- Khwājah Ismā'īl, the officer in charge of the Ghaznīn treasury, 487.
- Khwājah Muzhir, the merchant, 216.
- Khwājah Tāsh slaves, the,—signification of the term, 666 n 9, 699 n 2, 717 n.
- Khwājū the Matīzī,—author of a History of the Khashī Afghāns xvi.
- Khwārazm Shāh,—Rulers bearing this title, 232 n, 233 n.
- Khwārazm Shāh, Kutb-ud-Dīn Muhammad b. Nūsh-Tigīn-i-Gharjah, 148, 171 and n 1, 234 n 8.
- <u>Kh</u> wārazm-<u>Sh</u>āhī dynasty, the, xxxiii, 38 n 6, 138 n 8, 162 n, 177, 179 n 2, 182 n 1, 231, 282, 298 n 1, 299, 377 n 5, 382 n 9, 399 n 6, 408 n, 527 n, 538 n.

Khwārazmī Sultāns, the, 879 n, 917 n 1, 960 n 6, 963, 965, 1056 n 1.

Khwārazmīs, the, xxiv, 540 n, 542 n, 9, 887 n, 889 n, 901 n, 931 n, 982 n, 934 n, 971 n, 978 n, 998 n, 995 n, 1021, 1022 n, 1097 n, 1098 n, 1199 n, 1276 n.—app. ii.

Kid Rāj or Keda-raja,—name of a Hindū king, 509 n.

Kīdī Rāe, 461 n.—same as Khāndī Rāe, which see.

Kihtran Afghans, the, 1043 n1.

Kil-timi<u>sh</u> or Kat-limi<u>sh</u> b. Isrā'īl b. Saljūķ,—governor of Dama<u>sh</u>ķ, 158 n.

Kimāj, 'Alā-ud-Dīn, ruler of Balkh.

—a Malik of the Sanjarī dynasty,

871, 372, 373 and n9, 374 n5,

875 n, 390, 424 and nn 4, 6 and

7, 926 n.

Kimāj, 'Imād-ud-Daulah,—Amīr of the troops of Khurāsān, 336 n 4, 359 n.

Kīmāl (Gumārī) b. Yāfis [Japheth], 870 n.

Kin, the,—name of a people who conquered Northern China, 877 n, 885 n, 921 n, 1088 n, 1186 n 9, 1186 n 5.

Kin Khān b. Mughal Khān the first ruler of the Mughal Imāķ, 875 n, 877 n.

Kinneir, Mac D., 64 n 1. [n 4. Kiosk, derivation of the word, 405 Kirāmīs, the,—also called Mujassamīān, one of the subdivisions of the Şifāţī sect, 384 and n 5, 385, 402 n.

Kīrān-i-Tamur Khān, Malik, 778 n, 866 n. See also under his title of Kamr-ud-Dīn and also under Tamur. Kircher, 1140 n.

Kirghīz tribe, the, 899 n, 923 n, 961 n, 969 n. 1091 n See also under the Karghīz.

Ķişaş-i-Şānī, the,—See Tārīkh-i-Ibn Haişam.

Kish-lāk or Kish-lāgh,—winter station, 876 n.

Kisrā-ul-Ashghānī b. Narsī, VIIth of the Ashkānīān dynasty of 'Ajam,—He is also called Ardawān-i-Akbar, 4.

Kisrā b. Mihr-Jaish, IXth of the Akāsirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 6.

Kitāb-i-Mas'ūdī, the,—a book on ecclesiastical jurisprudence, 415.

Kitāb-i-Nājī of Şābī-i-Dabīr [the Secretary], 60 n 7.

Kitāb-i-Yamīnī of al-'Utbī, the, xvi, 44 n 4, 56 n 3, 73 n 7, 75 n 5, 80 n, 87 n, 321 n 7, 341 n 7, 509 n, 536 n, —app. xx.

Kiwak or Kyūk b. Alminjah, grandson of Turk b. Yāfis, 873 n.

Kiwak <u>Kh</u>ān b. Ḥamīd-i-Būr, the Ķarā <u>Kh</u>iṭā-ī,—governor of Bu--<u>kh</u>ārā, 976 n, 977 n, 1118 n 9.

Kiwak or Kyūk <u>Kh</u>ān b. Ūktāe Ķā. ān, 1084 n. See under Kyūk <u>Kh</u>ān.

Kiyā-i-Buzurg Umīd,—extermination of his race, 1211 n.

Kizil Arsalān b. Atābak Iladd-gis, became sovereign of Āşarbaijān, 166 n 7, 171 n 9, 172 n 3.

Ķizil Arsalān,—brother's son of Sultān Sanjar the Saljūķī, 159, 160, 342, 1198 n 8.

Ķizil Bāshīs, the, ix.

Klaproth, 884 n, 885 n, 1186 n.

Koohaey, signification of the word, 60 n 8.

Kochā b. Uktāe Ķā-ān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1141 n, 1142 n, 1140 n, 1150 n, 1184 n.

Kodu, brother of the Tüktä Bigi sovereign of the Makrit tribe of Mughals, 950 n, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n. Kohistänis, the, 334 n.

Kojlak b. Tše-nāk,—See Ko<u>sh</u>lak son of the Tayānek <u>Kh</u>ān.

Kokar, <u>Ohief</u> of the <u>Khokhars</u>, 482 \*, 483 \*, 484 \*.

Kokjū, the Bat or But Tingrī, son of the Nū-yān Manglīk, of the Ulķūnūt Kungkurāt Mughals, 1080 n 5.—See also under Kūkjū.

Koktāe or Kūktāe, the Nū-īn, 1115 n 5, 1169 n. [1095 n.

Kol or Centre of an army, 1093 n, Kol-Irkīn,—title of the Bāshlīghs or chiefs of the Tūķūz I-ghūrs, 951 n, 1097 n 6.

Kolah or Golah b. Pithorā or Prithi-Rāj, the Rāe of Ajmīr, 458 and n 6, 459, 466.

Kolah Pithorā, Rāe, — See the above. Kolī, — a Shāh-zādah of Jūjī's house, 1286 n 9.— See also under Kūlī.

Kolkan b. Ohaghatāe, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1165 n, 1170 n.

Kolkan, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingiz <u>Kh</u>an, 1170 n.—See also under Külakan.

Kolūdī, also called Kunķāe, daughter of Üldāe b. Bartū,—<u>Kh</u>ātūn of Mangū Kā-ān, 1223 n.

Kolükah or Künükah, the Bīgī.—of the Mughal tribe of Üīr-āt, 950 n.

Kolün Khātūn, 927 n.—See Konīk Khātūn.

Komān or Kūmān, the Gūr Khān of Khitāe, 928 n, 929 n.

Koman Kapchak, the,—the people of Valania, 1168 s.

Komānik or Gomānik Khātūn, 927 n.—See Konīk Khūtūn.

Komķīn or Kümķīn Tās-ghū or Tāya-ghū. See under Kümķīn.

Konayik or Konīk Khātūn,—the third Gūr Khān, 927 s, 928 s.

Konch, the,—name of a tribe,—See under the Künch.

Konîk or Konayik Khātūn,—the third Gūr khān, 927 n, 928 n.

Konjū <u>Khātūn</u>, daughter of the Āltān <u>Kh</u>ān of <u>Khitāe</u>,—one of the wives of the <u>Ohingīs <u>Kh</u>ān, 958 n, 1092 n.</u>

Konstantin (Constantin),—of Rümiah, 1268 n 9, 1269 n.

Ko-pau-yu,—a Chinese general in the Mughal army, 985 n.

Kor-Bāsū or Kūr Bāsū <u>Kh</u>ātūn, widow of the Tayānak <u>Kh</u>ān, marries the <u>Chingīs Kh</u>ān, 945 s, 946 n 1091 n, 1092 n.

Korkān b. Tūlī, son of the Chingīs Khān, 1178 n.

Korumdevi, a princess of Putun, mother of Kurna, Prince of Cheetore, 520 n.

Koshaki, the Ḥakim, 155.

Koshlak Khān, son of the Tayānak Khān, the Nāemān ruler, 260 m.7. 261 n, 263 n, 264 and n, 269 n, 273 n, 867 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 934, 946 n, 949 n, 950 n, 951 n, 952 n, 964 n 2, 980 and n 8 and 1, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n, 984 n, 985 n, 986 n, 987.

Koshots, the, 1091 s.

Ķo<u>sh</u>tīn or Ķū<u>sh</u>tīn **Tāe-Ķū or** Tāya-ķū,—See under Ķūmķīn.

Kosmeli,—one of the great chiefs of the last of the Kitan dynasty, 985 n.

- Kotola Katen 1185 »,—wrong name of Kankāe Khātūn, which see.
- Ko-yang or the Great Khan, the, surname of the Nûyîn Mûkalî, the Jalā-îr, 1093 n.
- Koyūnik <u>Kh</u>ātūn, 927 n. See Konīk <u>Kh</u>ātūn.
- Kozān,—one of the leaders of Kifchāk, 1170 n.
- Kubāohah, governor of Sind, 294 n. Kubād, 421, 598,—See Kai-Ķubād, of the Kai-ānīān dynasty.
- Kubād b. Balāsh, of the Sāsānīān dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.
- Kūbīlāe or Kubilān. Khān b. Tūlī son of the Chingīz Khān, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1094 n, 1135 n 5, 1165 n, 1171 n, 1176 n 9, 1177, 1180 n, 1185 n, 1215 and nn 9, 1 and 4, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1220 n, 1221 n, 1223 n, 1226 n, 1293 n.
- Kübilah or Kubilah Khān b. Kabal Khān,—of the Büzanjar dynasty of the Mughal Imāķ, 897 n, 898 n, 1217 n.
- Kubilān. See under Kubilāe Khān b. Tūlī.
- Kūbūr, one of the generals of the Ohingīz Khān, 289 n.
- Kūch, the,—a nomad tribe of Kirmān, 60 n 8.
- Kuch, the, 560 n 6.—Same as the Kūnch, which see.
- Küchah b. Külakan, son of the Ohingiz Khan, 1092 n.
- Ķūchīn Bīgī, daughter of the Chin-gīz Khān, 941 n.
- Külldar Sajan, the Nü-yin,—chief of the Mangküt Nairün Mughals, 945 n.
- Küj-Büķā Khān,-a general of Sul-

- țăn Muhammad Khwārasm Shāh, 995 n.
- Kük or Kuk, fourth son of Aghüs Khān, the IIIrd sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ, 880 n.
- Kūkā I-yalka, the Nū-yīn, 1195 n, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1248 n.
- Kük<u>ch</u>ah or Kük<u>ch</u>u or Kükjah, the Tab-Tingri. See the next.
- Kūkjū, son of Minglīk Iohakah, the Ķunak Ķumār,—styled the Tab or Tub Tingrī, 948 n, 949 n, 955 n. See also under Kokjū the Bat Tingri.
- Küktäe or Koktäe, the Nü-yin, 1115 n, 1169 n.
- Kūk-Tāsh,—one of Mihtars or chiefs of the Saljūks, 120.
- Kûkzû, the Tab-Tingrî. See under Kûkjû.
- Külakān or Külākān, son of the <u>Ohingīz Khān</u>, 1091 n, 1094 n. See also under Kolkān.
- Kulan Khatun, daughter of Ta-ir Asun,—one of the wives of the Ohingis Khan, 947 n, 1091 n, 1142 n.
- Kuli or Koli, grandson of Juji Khan, son of the Chingiz Khan, 1239 n, 1243 n, 1286 n 9.
- Kulīj Arsalān 'Izz-ud-Dīn b. Mas-'ūd, V. of the Saljūķ dynasty of Rūm, 161 n.
- Kulij Arsalān 'Izz-ud-Dīn b. Suli--mān <u>Sh</u>āh, VII. of the Saljūķ dynasty of Rūm, 161 n.
- Kulīj Arsalān b. Kizil Arsalān, of the Saljūks of Rūm, 160.
- Kulij Arsalān [called Sulīmān by some] Rukn-ud-Dīn b. <u>Gh</u>iyāgud-Dīn Kai-<u>Kh</u>usrau,—XII of the Saljūk dynasty of Rūm, 163 n 5,

164, 1195 s.—See also under Rukn-ud-Dîn, Kulij Arsalân.

Kulij Arsalān b. Sulīmān b. Kiltimish.—III. of the Saljūk dynasty of Rūm, 160 n.

Kulij Karā,—a branch of the Khalj tribe, 944 n.

Kulij Khān of Khitā, xlviii, 260, 261 n, 929 n.

Kulij or Kulich Khān, Jalāl-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Jūnī, 768 n4, 769 n5, 847 and nn1 and 4, 848, 849 and n5. See under Jalāl-ud-Dīn Kulich Khān; and also under Kutlugh Khān-i-Mas-'ūd.

Kūmān or Komān, the Gūr Khān of Khitāe, 928 n, 929 n.

Kūmāns [Cumani], the,—a Sarmatian nation, 1167 n, 1168 n.

Kümkin or Komkin Tāe-ghū or Tāyaghū,—name of the family of the Gür Khān of Khitāe, lxiii, 913 n.

Kun or Kûn <u>Kh</u>šn b. Aghūz <u>Kh</u>ān, IV sovereign of the Mughal Imāk, 880 n, 881 n.

Künch, the,—a race of people in the mountains between Tibbat and Lakhanawatī, 560 and nn 4 and 6, 562 n, 571.

Kundāz, explanation of the word, 966 and n 9.

Kundus Khān, 147 n 8, 906 n, same as Kadr Khān b. 'Umr Khān, XVII of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns, which see.

Kungkurāt or Kunghurāt tribe of Mughals, the, 890 n, 939 n, 940 n, 943 n, 988 n, 1091 n, 1093 n, 1102 n, 1142 n, 1164 n 9, 1223 n.

Kunkae Khatun,-one of the wives

of Mangu Ķā-ān, 1223 n. See under Ķanķāe <u>Kh</u>ātun.

Künükah, the Bīgī. See under Kolūkah.

Kunuku b. Karachar b. Uktae, son of the Chingis Khan, 1183 s.

Kūr (Kiwak) b. Alminjah,—grandson of Turk b. Yāfis. 873 n.

Kür or Gür Khān, fourth son of Mughal Khān, 875 n.

Kurāīdāsh,—one of the Amīrs of Ūlā-Ķūsh-Tigin, the Bādshāh of the Turkish tribe of the Ungķūts, 945 n.

Kūrak-Lūk Bīgī, daughter of Koshlūk, the Bādshāh of the Nāemāns, 1165 n.

Kur'ān, the, 62 n 5, 103 n, 126 nn 4 and 6, 140 n 4, 301, 313 n 4, 350 n 6, 351 n 7, 355, 413 n 3, 451 and n, 473 n 2, 597 n 3, 606 n 2, 605 n 9, 625 n 4, 820 n 9, 826 n 7, 831 n 8, 835 and n 3, 862 n 7, 908 n, 976 n, 1011, 1136 n 6, 1142, 1161 nn 9, 1, 2 and 3, 1163 n 6, 1171 n 5, 1175 n 6, 1189 n 9, 1253 n 4, 1262 n, 1284, 1288 n 1.

Kurat dynasty, the, xxv, 1037 n, 1039 n7, 1078 n2, 1182 n2, 1198 n8, 1200 n, 1203 n.

Kur-basu <u>Kh</u>ātun. See under Kor Bāsu <u>Kh</u>ātun.

Ķūr Būķā, the Nū-yīn, 1149 n7.

Kurbuz, Malik, 285 n 4. See under Kurīz.

Kurdīah Maliks of Shām, the, xxxiii, 203 and n 1, 208 n 1.

Kurds, the, xlv, 60 n 8, 184 n, 298 n 1, 317 n 5, 996 n, 1117, 1193 n, 1232 and n 1, 1233 n 3, 1234, 1263 n, 1264 n, 1281 n.

Kurgharat, the Ghuzz chieftain, 156.

- Kūrī Subājū,—one of the Amīrs of the Tayānak Khān, 945 n.
- Kuriltae, or general assembly of the Mughal tribes, 948 n.
- Kurīz [possibly Kurbuz], the Khānsālār,—Malik of Ghaznīn under Sultān Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh, 267, 285.
- Kurjā Tigin b. Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 254 n 8.
- Kūrkūs or Kurkūz, the Nū-yīn,—an Ighūr official of Ohīn-Tīmūr, the governor of Irān-Zamīn, 1121 n, 1122 n, 1128 n, 1141 n, 1181 n 2.
- Kürläs tribe of Mughals, the, 940 n, 1094 n.
- Kurna b. Samarsī, Prince of Cheetore, 520 n.
- Kurnah Rāc, 521 n. See under Rāc Karau.
- Ķurūķ, or Ķūrūk, signification of, 1143 n.
- Kusam, son of al-'Abbas [uncle of Muhammad], 1258 and n 8.
- Kuthk, meaning of the word, 331 n 2, 651 n 6.
- Küshlak Khān b. Tāe-nāk,—See Koshlak, son of the Tayának Khān.
- Kushtin or Koshtin Tāe-kū or Tāyakū. See under Kumķīn.
- Kūtā Mangū b. Ūktāc Ķā'ān, 1142 n,—Same as the next.
- Kūtān b. Ūktāe Ķā'ān, son of the <u>Ohingiz Kh</u>ān, 1084 n, 1142 n, 1148 and n 6, 1149 and n and n 7, 1151 n, 1185 n.
- Kutās, the, xlv. See the Chajz-Ghāo.
- Kutb-i-Afghan, the,—title of Khwajah Kutb-ud-Din Bakht-yar Kaki, which see, whom the Afghans

- claim as their peculiar saint, 622 n.
- Kutb b. Nimrūd, the tyrant, (Nimrod of Scripture), 3.
- Kuthi dynasty, the, 539 n.
- Kutb-ud-Dîn, Malik,—one of the Amīrs of Sultān Fīrūz Shāh, the Habashī, 591 n.
- Kutb-ud-Dīn, Sayyid,—the Shaikhul-Islām of Dihh, 659 and n, 707, 708, 785 n 9.
- Kutb-ud-Dîn Abû Bikr, Kāşî of the kingdom, for Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dîn Muhammad-i-Sām <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 489.
- Kutb-ud-Dîn Abu'l Fath b. Jai-Tîmūr-i-Bānīko, chief of Tarāz, 1118 n 9, 1119 n.
- Kutb-ud-Dîn Bakhtyār Kākī, the Ūshī, Khwājah,—the Kutb Afghāu, a celebrated Muhammadan saint, 622 n, 658 n 2, 659 n.
- Kutb-ud-Din Hasan the <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 1135 n 3. See under Kutb-ud-Din Husain.
- Kutb-ud-Din Hasan b. Muhammad b. 'Abbās b. Shīs,—chieftain of Ghūr, 322 n, 333, 334, 335.
- Kutb-ud-Dīn Ḥusain b. 'Alī b. Abī
  'Alī, the Ghūri Amīr,—one of the
  Amīrs of Sultān Muḥammad
  Khwārazm Shāh, 140, 416 and
  n 9, 626 and n 7, 642 and n 9,
  654 n 6, 657 and n 6, 658 and n 1,
  661, 673, 701 n 1, 702 and n 3,
  709 n 5, 753, 754 n 5, 762, 798,
  832, 833, 835 n 2, 1002, 1003 n,
  1056 and n 1, 1060 and n 5, 1061
  and n 9, 1063, 1064, 1066, 1067,
  1068, 1070, 1071 and n 1.
- Kuth-ud-Din Ibak, Sultan of Dihli, xx1, 1, 1i, 41 n 6, 248 and n 1, 310 n 5, 398 and nn 6, 7 and 9,

404 n 9, 418 n 2, 428 n 1, 456 n 2, 457 n, 467 n, 469 and nn 6 and 9, 470 n and nn 2 and 3, 471 n and n4, 483 n, 484 n, 489 and n 6, 490 n, 491 n 3, 497 n 3, 500, 501 n 5, 502 n 6, 503 and nn 8 and 9, 505 n and n 8, 506 n and n 9, 507, 508 n 1, 510 n, 512 and nn 4, 5 and 6, 513 n and nn 8 and 1, 514 n and n 4, 515 n and nn 7 and 8, 516 and n 2, 517 n, 518 and n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n 5 and n, 523 n, 524 n, 525 n and n 7, 526 n and n 8, 527 and n, 528 and nn 2 and 8, 529 and n 4, 530 n and n 5, 532 and n 2, 533 n, 534 n 5, 545 n 5, 546 and n 7, 547 n and n 8, 549 n 4, 550 n 6, 551 n and n 7. 552 and n 4, 553 n 5, 554 and n 7, 555, £56 and n 6, 558 n 7, 560 and n, 573 n 9, 575 and n 9, 576 n 6. 577 and n, 578, 579 n4, 580 n7. 587 n 2, 598 and n 8, 601 n 8, 602 and nn 1 and 2, 603 and n 6, 604 and n 5, 605, 608 n 8, 610 n 7, 614 n8, 619 n6, 621 n6, 622 n, 627 n 5, 628 n 2, 631 n 4, 636 n 6, 638 n 1, 643 n, 663 n 9, 669 n 8, 718, 723, 729 n 4, 731 n 8, 745, 767, 866 n, 1181 n,—app. i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi, vii, viii, ix, x, xi, xii, xxiii, xxiv, xxv, xxvi.

Kutb-ud-Din Muhammadb. 'Izz-ud-Din al-Husain, Malik-ul-Jibāl, of the Shansabāniah dynasty of Ghūr, 337, 338 and nn 1 and 2, 339, 340, 343 n 2, 346, 847 n 2, 348, 349 n, 354, 422, 439 and n 4, 442 n, 443 n.

Kutb-ud-Din Muḥammad b. Nūsh-Tigin, Khwārazm Shāh, 231, 232 n, 233 and n, 234 and n 8, 235 n, 286 n 5,—wrongly called Kuthud-Din Ibak by the author.

Kutb-ud-Din Muhammad b. Shamsud-Din Iyal-Timish, Malik, 025, 633 and n 6, 670 n 1.

Kutb-ud-Dīn Yūsuf Timrānī, Malik, — one of the Mailks of the brother Sultāns of Ghūr, 390, 408, 490.

Kutlagh Inānaj, son of the Atā-bak Jahān Pahlawān Muhammad, 167 n8, 172 n3, 242 n5, 243 n, 247 n, 249 n3, 457 n.

Kutlagh Khān, title of the Atā-bak Abū Bikr b. Sa'd b. Zangī, which see, 180 n 5.

Kutlagh Tigin,—slave of Mu'izzud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām and Amīr-ul-Umarā of Tāj-ud-Din Iyal-dūz, 504 n 4, 526 n 8.

Kutlah,—mispronunciation of the name of Kübilah Khān b. Kabal Khān, 897 n.

Kutlugh, signification of the title, 865 n.

Kutlugh Khān, Hākim of Jand, 972 n Kutlugh Khān, step-father of Sulţān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Mahmud Shāh of Dihlī, 692, 701, 702 n 3, 703, 704 and n 5, 706, 707 and n 6, 708, 710 n 1, 759 and n 6, 768 and n 4, 769 n 5, 777 n, 778 n, 784 n, 785 and n 7, 825, 827, 833 an 9 and 4, 834 and n 7, 835 and n 4, 836 and n 9, 837, 838 n 7, 839, 840 and n 2, 841 and n 6, 842, 844 and n 4, 847 nn 1 and 4, 1225 n.

Kutlugh Khön-i-Mas'üd b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Jānī, 768 n 4, 769, and n 5, 775 n. See also under Kulij Khān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd.

Kutlugh Mas'ad b. Jani, Malik, 712 n9. See the above.

Kutlugh Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhim Ghasnawī, 106.

Kutlugh Sultan, title of Burak the Hājib, which see, 1118 n 9.

Kutükü, the Nüyān, one of the generals of the Ohingiz Khān, 1019 n, 1020 n.

Ķutūķū, a <u>Sh</u>āh-zādah of the family of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1141 n.

Kūz or Gūz <u>Kh</u>ān, fourth son of Mughal <u>Kh</u>ān, 875 n. Kyūk Khān b. Ūktāe Kā-ān, son of the Ohingūs Khān, xlvii, 1062 and n 6, 1105 n, 1115 n 5, 1128 n, 1137 n, 1142 n, 1144 and n 3, 1148 and nn 4, 5 and 6, 1149 and n 7, 1150 and n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1157 and n 9, 1158, 1159, 1160 and n 8, 1162, 1163 and n 7, 1164, 1165 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1172 and n 9, 1177 and n 1, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1181 n 2, 1184 n, 1185 n, 1186 n.

## L.

Lāchīn, the <u>Kh</u>iţā-ī,—an army officer of Kubāchah in Sindūstān, 294n.

Lā-chīn Beg,—a chief of the Karlüghs in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 909 n.

Laddah, son of the Rac of Ohand-wal [Ohand-war], 743.

Lailat-ul-Kadr, [the night of Power],—the 27th of the month of Ramazān, 831 and n 8.

Lais, the Şuffar,—head of the Braziers of Sijistan, 19 and n 1, 183 n 2.

Lais b. 'Alī b. Lais, the Brazier,—
of the family of the Şuffāriāns,
34 n 6, 184 n, 185 n.

Lak, the,—a sept of nomad Kurds, 317 n 5, 616 n.

Lakan the Lak, Amīr of Aytkīnābād, 317 and n 5.

Lak Bakhsh,—the giver of laks, a title given to Kuth-ud-Din Ibak, 512 n 4.

Lakhī, son of Jündharah,—of the Bhaṭī tribe of Hindūs, 79 n, 80 n.

Lakhīā Hazārahs, the,—name of a tribe, 600 n 5.

Lakhman Sen,—of the Hindū dynasty of Nūdīah, 558 n 1.

Lakhmana or Lakshmana, son of Dasarata,—half-brother of Rām Chaudra, 548 n 2, 585 n 6.

Lakhmania Rāc. see under Rāc Lakhmania.

Lams, the,—pontiff of the Buddhists, 1058 n 6.

Lamaists, the, 951 n.

Lamak [Lamech of Scripture], 303. Lāndaey, signification of the word, 273 n 4.

Lane, xxxi, 603 n 7, 829 n 9, 1295

Lat, the,—name of an idol, 1058 n6.

Lațăif-i-Ghiyăși, the,—of Imam Fakhr-ud-Din Rāzi, 385 n 9.

Läwad b. Säm [Shem] b. Nüh [Noah], 304.

Lawik, Abū 'Alī-i-, ruler of Ghaznīn, 73, 186 n, 320 n 3. He seems to be the same person as the next.

Lawik, Abū Bikr-i-, Amīr of Ghaznīn, 71 and n 5, 72 n 6. Layau the,—a title.—See under Liwan. [n3.

Lee, 648 n 5, 678 n 8, 800 n 8, 1275 Lees, Colonel W. N., x, 67 n 2, 1259 n.

Lesur, 884 n.

L'esvèque, 884 n.

"Life of Genghiscan [Ohingīs Khān] the Great," Pétis de la Croix's, 890 n, 898 n.

Life of Yakoob Beg, Ameer of Kashgar, Boulger's, 1045 n.

Lik-Tāl or Lek-Tāl, the rebel slave of Sulţān Mu'izs-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, 476 n, 477 n, 482 n, 484 n.

Likum Khātun,—daughter of Koshluk, son of the Tayānak Khān, 946 n.

Lingard, 309 n.

Lion of the Mountains, the, 213 n 5.

See Asad-ud-Din Sher-i-Koh.

Li-wan [the Layau of Europeaus],

—title of the Badshah of Kashin.

1085 n 3.

Lodi,—See under Lūdi.

Loe-daey,—the proper spelling of the name Lodi or Lüdi, 105 s.

Louis VII. of France, 221 m.

Louis IX. of France, 1290 nl.

Lubb-ut-Tawārīkh, the, 41 n 8, 94 n 3, 97 n 4, 117 n, 135 n 2, 142 n 1, 363 n 8, 407 n 5, 449 n 8, 469 n 9, 523 n, 528 n 2, 589 n, 623 n 1, 651 n 7, 869 n 2, 1256 n 6.

Lūdī or Lodī, surname of Ibrāhīm b. Shāh Ḥusain, Ghūrī, 510 s.

Lūdī or Lodī tribe of Afghāns, the, 820 n 4, 511 n.

Lüdiah dynasty in India, the, 510 n, 511 n.

Luhr-asp, 303 n 9. See Kai-Luhrasib, the Tyrant.

Lumsden, Mathew, -app. ix.

Lürs, the,—a tribe of nomads in 'lräk. 1193 n.

Lyew-ping-chong, the Chinese Bonza, 1218 n.

Ly-Hyen, Bādshāh of Ķāshīn, 1085 n 8.

## M.

Macedonians, the, 1045 n.

Mac D. Kinneir's "Geographical Memoir of the Persian Empire," 64 2 1.

Macgregor, Colonel C. M., 1115 n 5.

Mā-Chīn b. Chīn b. Yāfia [Japheth]

871 n.

Mā-Chīn b. Tūķā b. Bū-zanjar sovereign of the Mughal I-māķ, 894 n, 895 n.

Ma'dan-i-Akhbār-i-Ahmadī, the,—a historical work, xvi, 587-n 4, 739 n 6.

Madhob Sen b. Rie Lakhmaniah,—

of the Hindu dynasty of Nudiah, 558 n 1.

Māe <u>Kh</u>usrau b. Bahrām,—ancestor of Tāhir ibn-ul-Ḥusaiu, 9.

Māhā-kāl Dīw,—the idol temple of, 621 n 6, 622, 623, 628.

Māhān-i-Khazā'ī b. As'ad,—ancestor of Tāhir ibn-ul-Ḥusain, 9 n l. Mahā-pālā,—the Rājah of Gwāliyūr, 619 n 7.

Mahd-i-'Irāķ [or the 'Irāķī spouse], sister of Sultān Sanjar Saljūķī, 107 and n 6, 108.

Mahdī, al-, [the Director or Guide],

—the last of the twelve Imams of the Shī'ah, 1189 and n9.

Mahdī, al-,—the 'Abbāsī Khalifah, 874 n 5, 1189 and n 9.

Māhī Marātib, signification of the term, 1295 n 8.

Māhī Subāḥī, signification of the term, 1295 and n 8.

Māh Malik, daughter of Ghiyāg-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, xxii, 301, 391.

Mahmud of Ghaznin, Sultan Yaminud-Daulah Nizām-ud-Din Abu'l-Kasim, son of Sabuk-Tigin, xxxiii, 20 n 3, 41 n, 44 n 8, 47 and n 9, 48, 49 and n 8, 50 and nn 8 and 4, 51 and nn 6 and 7, 53 n. 67 and n 1, 68, 74 n 3, 75 and nn 6 and 7, 76 and nn 8 and 1, 78 n, 79 n, 80 n and n 5, 81 and n, 82 n 7, 83, 84 and notes, 85 n, 86 n, 87 and n, 88 and nn 3 and 7. 89 n 8. 91 n 9. 92 and nn 3 and 4, 93, 96, 99 and n, 102 n 4, 104, 105 n, 107, 112 n 5, 116 and n and n 3, 117 n, 118, 119, 120 and n 5, 129 n 2, 186 n, 232 n, 285 n 5, 293 n, 808 n 2, 316 n and n 2, 320 and n 4, 321 and n 7, 322 and n, 323 n, 324 n, 329 and m. 339 n 7. 341 n 6. 354 and n 6, 419 n 5, 448, 452 n 8, 453 n 4, 487 n6,509n,510n,536n,537n,903n, 904 n, 905 n, 1132 n.—app. xii, zvii, zviii, zz.

Mahmud the Patan King of Guzerat, 511 n.

Mahmud the Tārānī,—his rebellion in Bukhārā, 1128 n, 1145 n.

Maḥmūd-i-Ātash Kh'ār [the Fireeater], Shaikh, 1146, 1147, 1148. Maḥmūd b. Ghiyās-ud-Din Muḥammad-i-Sam, Shansabi Sultan of Chūr, xxiii, 1, 1i, 382 n 8, 389, 394 and n. 395, 396, 397 and nn 6, 8, 9 and 3, 398 and nn 6 and 7, 399 n and nn 2, 3 and 7, 400 and n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 403 and n, 404 and n 1, 405, 406, 407 nn 5 and 7 408, 409, 410 nn 8 and 6, 411 n, 412, 414 and nn 8 and 2, 415, 418 n 2, 431 n7, 432, 438 n aud n7, 434 n, 470 n 2, 472, 476 n, 478 n 6, 480 n, 490, 492 n 7, 493 n, 494 n 1, 497 n3, 498 n, 501 and n.5, 502 n and n 6, 508 and n 2, 519 n, 522 and n 5, 523 n, 524 n, 525 n, 526 n 8, 527 n, 528 n 2, 607 n 5, 1199 n,app. zvi. His title was Ghiyas. nd-Din.

Maḥmūd-i-Irān Shāh b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad the Pearl of Ghūr, 394 n, 395, 396, 399, 408.

Mahmūd b. Iyal Arsalān, Sultān <u>Sh</u>āh-i-Jalāl-ud-Din, <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 239, 245 and n 5. See also under Sultān <u>Sh</u>āh, Jalāl-ud-Din.

Mahmūd b. <u>Kh</u>usrau <u>Sh</u>āh b. Bahrām <u>Sh</u>āb, <u>Gh</u>uznawī, 113.

Mahmūd b. Malik <u>Shāh b. Alb-Arsalān-i-Gh</u>āzī, the Saljūķī, 138 and n7, 143 n2, 144 n and n3, 157 and n4, 158 and n, 159. His title was Mughīg-ud-Dīu.

Maḥmūd b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, Ghasnawī, 107.

Mahmud b. Muhammad b. Malik Shah Saljuki,—nephew of Sultan Sanjar, 146 n 6, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 169 n 7, 170 n 8, 204 n, 375 n.

Mahmūd b. Muhammad-i-Sām, <u>Gh</u>ūrī, Sultān, 253 and n 9, 258 n. See also under Mahmūd b. <u>Gh</u>iyāşnd-Dīn.

- Mahmud b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 105 and n 6.
- Mahmud b. Sultan Maudud Ghaznawi, 97.
- Mahmūd-i-Warrāk, of Nīshāpūr, 17, 18.
- Mahmud Yalwāj, the Bukhār, the 'Azīz and Ṣāḥib-i-A'zam of the Chingīzīah dynasty, 1075 n, 1119 n, 1138 n, 1141 n, 1149 n 7, 1152 n, 1184 n, 1185 n, 1217 n.
- Mahmud Khān, XVIth of the Afrāsiyā pī Khāns of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 906 n.
- Maḥmūd Khān b. Muḥāmmad-iArsalān Khān, εon of the Bughrā
  Khān,—the XXth of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān, 180 n 7,
  239 n, 906 n, 907 n, 908 n, 925 n,
  926 n. His title was Rukn-udDīn.
- Maḥmūd Shāh b. Khusrau Malik b. Khusrau Shāh, Ghaznawī, 115.
- Maḥmūdī dynasty, the, 26 and n 1, 98 n 8, 100 n 4, 111, 112 n 5, 113 n, 114 and n, 115, 127, 132, 184. Also called the Ghaznawiah and Yamīnīah dynasty.
- Mahmūdī [of Mahmūd of Ghaznīn] family, the, 340, 342, 348 n, 350 n, 354 and n 6, 355 and n 7, 358 a 2, 377, 422, 440, 448, 452, 455, 1062 n 7, 1072 n 5.
- Maḥmūdī Maliks, the. See the Maḥmūdī dynasty.
- "Mahommedan History," Price's, 444 n.
- Mahrattas, the, 691 n.
- Māh-Rūe, 'Amfl or governor of the district near Üzgand, on the part of Sulţān Mahmūd of <u>Uhaznīn</u>, 53 n.

- Maimandī, al,—Khwājah-i-Fāzil Aḥmad b. Ḥasan,—Wazīr of Sultān Mas'ūd b. Maḥmūd of Ghasnīn, 92 n 8, 120 n 5.
- Main, the Rev. Robert, 268 n 3.
- Mairs or Mers, the,—name of a Hindu people, 520 n.
- Majāmi'ul-<u>Kh</u>iyār, the,—name of a historical work, 869 n 2, 890 n, 894 n, 1020 n.
- Mājār, son of the Nū-yīn Ūljī, 1180 n.
- Majd-ud-Daulah Buwiah,— last of the Buwiah dynasty in 'Irāķ, 87 n.
- Majd-ud-Daulah b. Sayyid 'Alā-ud-Daulah the Hamadānī, of the race of 'Alī, 995 n.
- Majd-ud-Dīn, the Kāl-yūnī, Malik,—governor of Hirāt under the Mughals, 1128 n.
- Majd-ud-Dīn, the Model, Kāzī, of <u>Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn</u> Muḥammad-i-Sām Ghūrī, 383.
- Majd-ud-Dîn Muhammad b. Ḥasan b. Ṭā'ūs,—one of the Sayyids of Hillah, 1242 n 2.
- Majd-ud-Dîn Müsawî, Sayyid,— Wazîr of Sulţān Saif-ud-Dîn Sürî, 355, 440, 442, 445.
- Majd-ud-Dîn the Tabrîzî, Malik, 1262 n.
- Majd-ud-Dīn Tūlakī, Ķāzī,—maternal uncle of Minhāj-i-Sarāj, 458, 1060 n 5.
- Majd-ul-Mulk, <u>Kh</u>wājah Şafī-ud-Dīn, Wazīr of <u>Gh</u>iyāṣ-ud-dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 390, 414.
- Majd-ul Mulk 'Umr-i-Rājī, the Kāfī
  —one of the Wazīrs of Sulţān
  Muhammad Khwārazm Shāh,
  990 n, 1027 n 8.

- Majdūd b. Sultān Mas'ūd b. Mahmūd of Ghaznīn, 95, 125 n 5.
- Majīr-ud-Dīn Fa<u>kh</u>r-ul-Mulk. See under Fa<u>kh</u>r-ul-Mulk Nizām-ud-Dīn.
- Majīr-ul-Mulk Sharaf-ud-Dīn Muşaffar,—one of the Amīrs of Sultān Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1030 n, 1031 n, 1032 n.
- Majīr-ul-Mulk 'Umr-i-Rājī, the Kāfī, one of the Wazīrs of Sulţān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Sh</u>āh, 990 n, 1027 n 8.
- "Maţāmāt-ul-'Amīd-i-Abū Naṣr-i-Mishkān,"—of Abu-l-Faẓl-i-Muḥammad Al-Baihaķī, 87 n, 105 n.
- Mākān b. Kākī, Dīlamī,—of the Āl-i-Ziyār dynasty, sovereign of Gurgān, 37 n, 55 and n 1, 56 and n 2.
- Ma<u>kh</u>düm-i-Jahānāu-i-Jahān,—a Muḥammadan saint, 541 n 6.
- Makhzan-i-Afghānī, the,—a historical work, xvi, 622 n.
- Makrit tribe, the,—of the Kaiāt division of Mughals, xlviii, 273 n, 931 n, 940 n, 941 n, 944 n, 945 n, 946 n, 947 n, 949 n, 950 n, 951 n, 964 n 2, 980 n 1, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n, 1091 n, 1102 n.
- Malcolm, Sir John, 442 n, 1264 n, 1279 n, 1292 n.
- Māl Diw,—the Rāe of Gwāliyūr, 619 and n7.
- Malik of Kābul, the,—put to death by Hulākū Khān, 717 n.
- Malik, the son of Da'ar,—'Azīz of Misr, 596.
- Molik b. Uktāe Ķā'ān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1142 n, 1168 n.

- Malik-nl-'Adil Abū Bikr b. Malikul-Kāmil, Nāşir-ud-Dīn, al-Aiyūbī, 229 n 5, 230 n.
- Malik-ul-'Ādil al-,—Abu'l-Ķāsim Nūr-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd, son of 'Imād-ud-Dīn Zangī, 204 n.
- Malik-ul-'Adil Suif-ud-Dīn Abā Bikr-i-Muḥammad b. Aiyūb, son of Shādī, al-Kurdī, xlvii, 208 and n 9, 219, 222 and n 8, 223 and n 5, 224 and n 2, 225 and n 4, 226 and n 8, 227 and n 9, 228 n 4, 1265 n 9, 1267 n 6.
- Malik-ul-Afzal Abu-l-Ḥasan-i-'Alī Nūr-ud-Dīn b. Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn al-Aiyūbī, 219, 221 and n7, 222 and nn 8 and 9, 223 n and nn 2, 4 and 5, 224 and n 8.
- Malik-ul-Afzal Najm-ud-Dîn Abū Lashkar-i-Aiyūb. See Aiyūb b. Shādī,
- Malik-ul-Amjad b. Malik-ul-'Adil Saif-ud-Dîn Abû Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyûbî, 226.
- Malik-ul-Ashraf b. Malik-ul-'Adi-Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muham-mad al-Aiyūbī, 226 and n7, 228 n4, 297 n, 298 n.
- Malik-ul-Awhad b. Malik-ul-'Ādīl Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī, 226 and n7.
- Malik-ul-'Azīz b. Malik-ul-Zāhir

  <u>Gh</u>iyāṣ-ud-Dīn b. Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn

  Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 1287 n 6.
- Malik-ul-'Azīz, 'Imād-ud-Dīn, Abu--l-Fath, 'Uṣmān b Ş lāh-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 219, 222 and n and nn 8 and 9, 223 n and nn 4 and 5, 224, 225 n.
- Malik-ul-'Azīz Zāhir-ud-Dīn Saiful-Islām, son of Aiyūb b. Shādī, al-Kurdī, 208 and n 9.

- Malik-ul-Fā'is Sābiķ-ud-Dīn Ibrāhīm b. Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī, 226 and n 5, 228 n 4.
- Malik-ul-<u>Gh</u>āzī b. Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī, 226 and n.6. See also under <u>Sh</u>ihāb-ud-Dīn-i-<u>Gh</u>āzī.
- Malik-ul-Hujjāb, [Head of the Chamberlains],—name of a title, 820 and n 4.
- Malik-ul-Jawād Muzaffar-ud-Dīn Yūnas, son of Shams-ud-Dīn, Mawdūd, al-Aiyūbī, 229 n 5.
- Malik-ul-Jibāl, title of Ķuṭb-ud-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'Izz-ud-Dīn al-Husain Ghūrī, which see.
- Malik-ul-Kāmil b. Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad, al-Aiyūbī, 223 n 5, 226 and n 7, 227 n 4, 228 and n 4, 229 and n 5.
- Malik-ul-Kāmil Muhammad b Shihāb-ud-Dīn Ghāzī, al-Aiyūbī, lv, 1265 and nn 9 and 2, 1266 and n 4, 1267 and n 6, 1268, 1270 and n 2, 1272 n 5, 1273 n 7, 1274 n 7.
- Malik-ul-Mamdūd b. Malik-ul-'Ādil, Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī, 226.
- Malik-ul- Manşûr b. Malik-ul-'Azīz b. Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 223 n. 224, 225 n.
- Malik-ul-Manşûr Nûr-ud-Dîn 'Alî b. 'Izz-ud-Dîn Îbak, the Turkmān, sovereign of Mişr, 1276 n.
- Malik-ul-Mas'ūd b. Malik-ul-'Ādil, Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī, 226 n 7.
- Malik-ul-Mu'aggam, Shams-ud-Daulah, Tūrān Shāh, son of Abū

- Lashkar-i-Aiyūb b. Shādī al-Kurdī, 208 n 9, 214 n 6, 217 n, 229 n 5, 230 n.
- Malik-ul-Mu'azzam-i-'Isā b. Malikul-'Ädil Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī, 226 and n7, 227 and n9, 228 and n4, 1267 n6.
- Malik-ul-Muḥsin b. Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 222 and n.
- Malik-ul-Mushtammir b. Şalāh-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 222 and n.
- Malik-ul-Muzaffar, Saif-ud-Dîn Kudûz, the Turkman, sovereign of Mişr, 1276 n, 1278 n.
- Malik-ul-Umara [Chief, or Noble of Nobles], a title, 285.
- Malik-un-Nāşir, title of Şalāh-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 217 n, 218 and n 6.
- Malik-un-Nāṣir Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn-i-Dā'ūd b. Malik ul-Mu'aẓṣam-i-'Isā al-Aiyūbī, 227 n 9, 228 n 4, 229 n 5, 1267 n 6.
- Malik-un-Nāṣir Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf b. Malik-ul-'Azīz b. Malikuz-Zāhir <u>Gh</u>iyāṇ-ud-Dīn, al-Aiyūbī, Sulṭān of Ḥalab and <u>Sh</u>ām, 1263 n, 1264 n, 1267 and n 6, 1275, 1276 n, 1278 n.
- Malik-un-Nawwāb, Ibak,—one of the Malika of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 713.
- Malik-ur-Rahīm-i-Abū Naṣr, son of 'Imād-ud-Dīn, son of Sulṭān-ud-Daulah, Buwīah, 135 n 2.
- Malik-us-Sa'īd, the Şāḥib, or Lord of Mārdīn, 1279 n.
- Malik-us-Sā'is, Shams-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, 189 and n 9. See also under Shams-ud-Dīn Muhammad the Malik-us-Sā'is b. Tāj-ud-Dīn Abu-l-Fatḥ.

- Malik-uş-Şāliḥ-i-Ismā'īl 'Imād-ud-Dīn b. Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī, Lord of Ba'albak, 226, 229 n 5.
- Malik-uş-Şāliḥ Ismā'īl, son of Sultān Nūr-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd-i-Zangī, 205 and n 6, 206 and n 7, 207. In the text he is called 'Alī.
- Malik-uş-Şālih Najm-ud-Dīn Aiyūb b. Malik-ul-Kāmil b. Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif-ud-Dīn al-Aiyūbī, 229 and n 5.
- Malik-uş-Şālih b. Şalāh-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 222 and n.
- Malik-uţ-Ţāhir, Bandķadār, 1278 n. See under Bandķadār.
- Malik-uţ-Ţāhir Ghiyāg-ud-Dīn Abu'l Manşūr-i-Ghāzī b. Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 222 n, 225 n, 1267 n 6.
- Malik-ut-Tujjār, signification of the term, 790 and n7.
- Malik-uz-Zāfir al-Mushtammir, Muzaffar-ud-Dīn, Abu-l-Ķāsim, son of Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 222 n.
- Malik-uz-Zāhid Majīr-ud-Dīn, Abū Sulīmān-i-Dā'ūd b Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 222 n.
- Malik-uz-Zāhir, son of Ṣalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī, 221, 222 n and n 8.
- Malik Aghūlb. Kūtān b. Ūktāe Ķā'ān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1185 n.
- Malik Aghūl b. Malik b. Uktāe Ķā'ān, son of the <u>Chingiz Kh</u>ān, 1182 n.
- Malik Arsalān b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm Ghaznawī, 107.
- Malik <u>Oh</u>ihr b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm Ghaznawī, 107.

- Malik Chihr b. Sultan Ibrahim Chasnawi, 105 and n 8.
- Malik Dād b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 105.
- Malik Khān the Khalj,—a general of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh, 539 and n 5, 540 n.
- Malik <u>Kh</u>ān b. Taki<u>sh</u>-i-<u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 249 n 3, 250 and nn 4, 5 and 6, 251 nn 9 and 1, 254.
- Malik <u>Khān</u>, Yamīn-ul-Mulk, ruler of Hirāt, lx, 287 and n and nn 9 and 1, 289 n, 290 n, 291 n, 409 n 6, 412, 415, 417, 471 n 5, 540 n, 989 n, 1006 n 1, 1013, 1014 n 2, 1015 and n, 1016, 1017 n, 1018, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 n 8, 1022 n, 1023.
- Malik Shāh, of Wakhsh,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīu Muhammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī, 436, 490.
- Malik Shāh b. Alb Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, son of Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, the Saljūķī, 105 n and n 6, 108 n 5, 136 n, 137 and nn 5 and 6, 138 n and n 8, 139 and n, 140 and n 5, 141 and n, 142 and n 1, 143 and n 2, 144 n, 146 and n 6, 148 n 6, 158, 159 n, 168 n 2, 169 n 7, 173 n 4, 186 and n, 232 n, 906 n, 914 n. He was styled Jalāl-ud-Dīn.
- Malik Shāh b. Barkīārūķ b. Sultān Malik Shāh, Saljūķī, 144n, 145n4.
- Malik Shāh b. Khusrau Malik, son of Khusrau Shāh Ghaznawī, 115, 402 n 9, 456 n 1.
- Malik Shāh b. Mahmūd b. Muhammad, son of Sultān Malik Shāh Saljūķī, 151 n 6, 174 n.
- Malik Shāh b. Nāşir-ud-Dīn 'Uşmān, son of Tāj-ud-Dīn-i-Ḥarab, 194.

- Malik Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghasnawī, 106.
- Malik Shāh b. Tughril-i-Arsalān Shāh Saljūķī, 172 n 3.
- Malik Sher, the Ghūrī Ḥākim of Kābul, 1015 n.
- Malik Sher b. Sulțăn Ibrăhim Ghaznawi, 105.
- Malik-Zād b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm Ghaznawi, 107.
- Malik-Zād b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 105.
- Malikah of Kīdān, the, wife of Sulţān Bahā-ud-Dīn Sām <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 342.
- Malikah-i-Ḥājī, the, daughter of Ķuṭb-ud-Dīn, the Malik-ul-Jibāl, Malik of Ghūr, 847.
- Malikah-i-Jahān,the, mother of Sulțān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 1212.
- Malikah-i-Jahān, the, mother of Tāj-ud-Dīn Zangī, of Bāmīān, 342 and n 9.
- Malikah-i-Jahān, Jalāl-ud-Dunyā wa'd-Dīn, the,—mother of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, of Dihlī, 678, 677, 701, 710, 785.
- Malikah-i-Jalālī, the, daughter of <u>Gh</u>iyāṣ-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 182 and n 2, 412, 419 and n 6, 487.
- Malikah-i-Khurāsān, the, mother of Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, son of Malik Ķizil-Arsalān, 342.
- Malikah-i-Mu'aşşamah, Jalâl-ud-Dunyā wa'd-Dīn, the, 389. See under Malikah-i-Jalālī.
- Malikah-i-Mu'izzīah, the, daughter of Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn of Timrān, 408.
- Malikah Turkan, the, mother of Sultan Shah Mahmud, son of Jyal

- Arsalān Khwārasm Shāh, 239 n2.
- Malīr Jaţs, the,—name of a tribe in the Koh-i-Jūd, 1182 n.
- Malkā, the Hindū,—leader of the rebels of the Koh-pāyah of Mewāt, 850.
- Malkīrab b. Tubba', of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 7.
- Malleson, Col. G. B., 1022 n, 1027 n 8, 1045 n, 1046 n, 1058 n 6, 1202 n, 1203 n.
- Mamlūk, in what sense understood, 168 n 2.
- Ma'mūn, al-,—the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 9, 10, 11 and n9, 12 and nn 6 and 3, 13, 14, 27.
- Ma'mūn b. Muḥammad al-Farīghūnī,
  —Lord of Jūrjānīah of Khwārazm, 84 n 8, 232 n, 233 and n 7.
- Man, a weight, 1064 n 2.
- Manāt,—one of three chief idels of the pagans of Makkah, 82 and n5, 1058 n6.
- Mandāhars, the,—name of a people, 795 n.
- Mandars, the,—of the Khnk'hī division of the Afghān nation, 1044 n.
- Mandeville, Sir John, 1173 n 1.
- Mang or Mansag b. Yafis [Japheth], 870 n, 871 n.
- Mangal Dīw, son of Māl Dīw, Rājah of Gwāliyūr, 619 and n 7, 620.
- Mangalī <u>Kh</u>ān [styled also Mangalī <u>Kh</u>wājah], som of Tīmūr-Tāsh, the Mughal chieftain, 888 n.
- Mangalī <u>Kh</u>ān b. Yal-dūz,—VII sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ, 881 n.
- Mangasãe, the Mughal Dāroghah of Hirāt, 1128 n.

Mangatão or Mangãe, the Mughal Shahnah of Hirāt, 1037 n, 1039 n7, 1049 n 2, 1054 n, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1206 n 3.

Mang-baras-i-Zard or Mangūras-i-Zūd suwār, Amīr,—one of the Turkish slaves of <u>Gh</u>iyāş-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 395 and n 9, 396 and n.

Mangīrāk,—one of the Amīrs of Sulţān Maḥmūd of <u>Gh</u>azuīn, son of Sabuk-Tigīn, 91 n8.

Mangit tribe, the, 865 n.

Mangkūt Nairūn Mughals, the, 945 n, 1094 n, 1116 n.

Manglik the Nüyān,—who married the <u>Ohingiz Kh</u>ūn's mother, 1080 n5. See Minglik <u>Ich</u>akah.

Mangū or Mangūs, b. Ķarājah the Atābak, governor of Fārs on the part of the Saljūk sovereigns, 174 n.

Mangu Khan, son of Tuli, son of the Chingiz Khan, xlvii, 164, 667 n 4, 696 n, 699 n 2, 700 n 8, 784 n 5, 786 and n 6, 792, 809 n 1, 1078 n 8, 1088 n, 1089 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1119 n, 1135 n 5, 1140 n, 1141 n, 1152 n, 1153 n, 1157 n 1, 1162 n, 1165 n, 1169 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1172, 1176 and n 9, 1177, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 and n, 1181 n and n 2. 1182 and n 4, 1183 and n, 1184 n, 1185 n. 1186 and n. 1189, 1190 n 1, 1191 n, 1192, 1193 n, 1194 n, 1195, 1196, 1200 n, 1202 n, 1206, 1211 n 5 and n, 1215 and nn 1 and 4, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1220 n, 1221 n, 1222 n, 1223 and n, 1224 n, 1225 and n. 1226 and n, 1256 and n 6, 1257 and n, 1263 ", 1264 n, 1265 n and n 2, 1266, 1267 n 6, 1276 n, 1278 n, 1286 n, 1287 n, 1290 n 1, 1291, 1292 and n, 1298 n. Manguli Beg,—slave of Mu-ayyid-i-Ā'īnah-dār, 182 n 1, 246 n 8, 247 n.

Mangūtah the Nūyān,—one of the generals of the <u>Oh</u>ingīs <u>Kh</u>ān, 656 n, 667 and n 4, 727, 807 and n 1, 810 n, 812, 813, 1063, 1109, 1126 n 6, 1152, 1153 and n, 1154, 1201 n.

Mānī [Manichæan] religion, the, 927 n.

Manichman Christians, the, 567

Mānik, the surname of the rulers of Bhāṭah or Bhaṭī, 593 n.

Manjaniķ, or Kirā, definition of, 1133 n 9.

Manjha, the,—name of a people, 899 n.

Mankadhū or Mankadah, the Juzbī, the Nūīn, 1047 and n 6.

Mankalmi<u>sh</u>,—one of the emissaries of Hulākū to Rukn-ud-Dīn <u>Klı</u>ūr <u>Sh</u>āh, 1206 n 3.

Mankūtī, the Nūyīn, 1180 n.

Mansag or Manshīj b. Yūfis [Japheth], 870 n.

Manşûr b. 'Abd-ul-Malik b. Nûh Sûmûnî, 29, 41 and nn 5 and 8, 42 n 1, 43 and n 4, 71 and n 4, 185 n, 186 n.

Manşūr b. Ahmad b. Asad Sāmānī, 29, 54

Manşūr b. 'Alī,—governor of Hirāt for the Sāmānīs, 37 n.

Manşūr-i-Fülād Sutūn, of the family of Buwīah,—last of the Dilamī sovereigns in Fārs, 174n. Manṣūr b. Isḥūķ b. Ismā'īl, Sānānī, 36.

- Manşûr b. Ismā'īl b. Ahmad b. Asad Sāmanī, 38, 54.
- Manşûr b. Naşr b. Ahmad b. Ismā'il, Sāmānī, 54.
- Manşūr b. Nūḥ b. Manşūr Sāmānī, lviii, 44, 48, 49 and n 8, 50 and n 1, 52 nn 8 and 1, 54, 72 n 6.
- Manşūr b. Nüh b. Naşr b. Ahmad, Sāmānī, 29, 38, 41 n 8, 42, 43 and n 4, 44 and n 4, 54, 71 and n 4, 72 n 6, 185 n. He was called Abū Ṣāliḥ-i-Manṣūr.
- Manşūr b. Sulţān Maudūd, Ghaznawī, 97.
- Manşûr <u>Sh</u>āh b. Bahrām <u>Sh</u>āh <u>Gh</u>aznawī, 111.
- Manüchihr b. Iraj, Xth of the Bästānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 6, 9, 1058 and n 5.
- Manüchihr b. Shams-ul-Ma'ālī Ķābūs, son of Washmgīr, 81 n.
- Manulun Khātun. See under Matulun Khātun.
- Maraghani family, the, 1039 and n 7, 1199 n.
- Māraj (Tāraj) b. Yāfia [Japheth], 870 n.
- Marco Polo, 952 n, 960 n 6, 1089 n, 1140 n, 1145 n, 1219 n.
- Mardan Shah b. Sultan Mas'ud, of Ghaznin, 95.
- Mardāwanj,—general of the Sāmānī forces, 55 n l, 901 n, 903 n.
- Margatãe, the Mughul Shahnah of Hirāt, 1206 n 3.
- Marghazi, the,—name of a tribe, 1029 n.
- Marnias, Marsas or Marnas, son of Zuhak the Malik, 306.
- Marongā or Margūna, the Roman Physician, 1268 n 9, 1269 n, 1270 s.

- Margad b. 'Abd-ul Kuläl, of the Tabäbi'ah of Yaman, 7.
- Marsden, W., 718.
- Marshman, 41 n, 445 n, 462 n, 465 n, 467 n, 479 n, 524 n, 527 n, 553 n5, 669 n8, 690 n8.
- Maratha, a Bishop, 1270 n. See under Marousā.
- Marzabān, Şamşām-ud-Daulah b. 'Uşd-ud-Daulah Fanā <u>Kh</u>usrau, Dilamī, 57, 64 and n 1, 65.
- Marzabān b. Hariz, the 'Ajamī, of the Tabābi'ah, of Yaman, 8.
- Masālik wa Mamālik, the,—name of a geographical work, xvi, 20 n3, 28 n 8, 70 n 6, 94 n 3, 188 n 7, 195 n 2, 200 n 6, 201 n 2, 233 n 5, 288 n 3, 295 n, 305 n 7, 423 n 8, 426 n 6, 674 n 3, 923 n, 994 n, 1008 n 5, 1009 n, 1018 n, 1024 n 2, 1025 n, 1031 n, 1036 n 1, 1051 and n, 1123 n, 1188 n, 1205 n 8, 1240 n, 1278 n.
- Mascou's History of the Germans, 870 n.
- Māshkrūlān,—probably the Bāshkrūlān,—name of a people, 872 n.
- Masrūķ b. Abrahah-ul-Ashram, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 8.
- Masson, 339 n 7, 427 n, 1058 n 6.
- Mas'ūd b. Ķulīj Arsalān, Sultān of Rūm, of the Sa'jūķ dynasty, 160 n.
- Mas'ūd b. Maḥmūd of Ghaznīn, Sultān, vii, xx, xxi, 76 n 8, 85 n, 87 n, 88 and nn 4 and 7, 89 and n 8, 90 and nn 1 and 4, 91 and nn 6 and 2, 92 and nn 3 and 4, 98 and nn 8 and 9, 94 and n 3, 95 and nn 6, 7 and 8, 96 and n 1, 99 n and n 1, 100 n 4, 101, 116 n 3, 119 n 8, 120, 121 n, 122 and nn 8 and 3, 123 n, 124 n and n 4, 125 aud nn

5, 6 and 8, 126 and nn 2 and 8, 127, 128 n, 129 n and nn 2 and 8, 130 and n 6, 131 and n 7, 132 n 9, 232 n, 319 n 5, 324 n, 325 n, 326 n, 327 n, 328 n, 329, 332 n 4, 354, 904 n, 905 n, 1009 n, 1043 n 1. He was styled Näşir-ud-Dîn U'llah.

Mas'ūd b. Maḥmūd b. Sulţān Malik <u>Shāh</u> Saljūķī, 157 n 4, 158 n, 159, 160.

Mas'ūd b. Maḥmūd b. Muḥammad b. Sultān Malik Shāh, Saljūķī, 151 n 6, 160 n 8, 174 n.

Mas'üd b. Malik-ul-Kāmil b. Malikul-'Ādil Abû Bikr, 228 n 4.

Mas'ūd b. Maudūd, son of Sultān Mas'ūd, Ghaznawī, 97 and n 4.

Mas'ūd b. Muḥammad, son of Sulţān Malik Shāh Saljūķī, 146 n 6, 147 n 8, 150, 151 n 6, 152, 174 n, 207 n 8.

Mas'ūd Bak b. Maḥmūd Yalwāj,—
the Jumlat-ul-Mulk, [Minister of
State] of <u>Ohaghatāe Ķā'ān</u>, son of
the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1141 n, 1147
and n 3, 1152 n, 1186 n, 1194 n,

Mas'ūd-i-Karīm 'Alā-ud-Dīn, b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 105 and n, 106 and nn 2 and 5, 107 and n7, 108 n and n 5, 109, 148, 313 n 4, 323 n, 332 n 5, 337 nn 6 and 7, 348 n.

Mas'ud Shah b. Bahram Shah, Ghaznawī, 111.

Mas'ūd Shāh b. Khusrau Malik Ghaznawī, 115. [1092 n.

Mathi Dsāmbū, a Tibbatī title, Matūlut the <u>Khātūn</u> of Zūtūmin, the HIIrd of the Bū-zanjar dynasty of the Mughal-I-māķ, 896 m. Maudūd, <u>Shihāb-ad-Daulah</u> Abū

Sa'd b. Sultan Mas'ud of Ghaznin,

Sultan, 90 and nn 4 and 5, 95 and nn 8 and 9, 96 n 2, 97 and n and nn 8 and 4, 98 n 8, 100, 125, and n 5, 131 n 7.

Maulānā, i-Oharkhī,—a Muhammadan saint, 73 n 8.

Maurice, 445 n, 462 n, 467 n.

Mayālūn <u>Kh</u>ātūn, daughter of Mangū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Chingīz Kh</u>ān, 1223 n.

Megh, Mej or Mech tribe, the, 560 and n 4, 562 n, 571.

Memoirs of Bābar, emperor of Hindustān, the, 1131 n, 1132 n.

Memoirs of Humäyün Bādshāh, the, xv [n9.

Meng tribe of Mughals, the, 1164 Meng Koung,—a Chinese general and historian, 885 n.

Mers or Mairs, the,—name of a Hindū people, 520 n.

Messias, the, 1162 n.

Mewras, the, or

Mews, the,—a race of people, 705 n 7, 715 and n 1, 755 n 9.

Mīān, a term abridged from Mīānjī, which see, 454 n.

Mīānjī, title of the Jamūņ-wāl [the Jamūn dynasty], 453 n, 454 n.

Mīānjū, the Mughal,—the killer of babes, 1250 n.

Miānjuk, the Atā-bak and commander of troops of Yūnas Khān, son of Takish, Khwārazm Shāh, 242 n 6, 249 n 8.

Michell, Mr. Robert, 916 n.

Mihrāb Shāh, of the race of Zuḥāk, the Tāzī,—the tributary ruler of Kābul, 309 n.

Mihr-gan, title of Afridun, the VIIth of the Bastaniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3.

Mīhshād, Mishhād or Mamshād, son of Narīmān b. Afrīdūn, Bādshāh of 'Ajam, 306.

Mihtar Jattā, the Farrā<u>sh</u>, slave of <u>Kh</u>wājah Muhazzab-ud-Dīn, the Wasīr, 757.

Mīkā'il b. Saljūķ b. Luķmān, the Turkmān, 117 n.

Miles, 1056 n 7.

Military Antiquities, Grose's, 1184n. Mill, 462 n.

Minār of Kutb Sāhib, the, 520 n, 622 n.

Minglik Ichakah, the Kunakkumār or Kūnakumār, 949 n. See Manglik the Nūyān.

Minhāj-i-Sarāj, Maulānā, vii, xix and n 1, xxx, xlvi, 104, 105, 106 n 3, 150, 160, 188, 194, 197, 201, 219 n 9, 233, 255, 344, 361 n 8, 396, 469 n 9, 496, 509 n, 541, 611, 615, 620, 643 and n 4, 649, 659, 662, 663, 667, 677 n 6, 679, 690, 698, 701, 716 n 5, 732, 740, 745, 754, 773 n, 783 n 7, 787, 789, 808, 821, 827, 831, 834, 835, 845, 882 n, 896 n, 963, 967, 987 n 3, 1006, 1007, 1039, 1060, 1061, 1079, 1140, 1156, 1174, 1197, 1203, 1204, 1285, 1294, 1295 and n 2, 1296 n,—app. i, ii, iii, v, viii, xv, xvii, xviii, Xix, xxii, xxiii, xxv, xxvi.

Minhaj-ud-Dīn 'Uṣmān-i-Ibrāhīm, Maulānā, xix, xlvi, 105, 190, 191, 192 n 2,—app. xix.

Mīnīs, the,—name of a Hindū tribe, 795 n.

Mîr 'Abd-ul-Karîm Bukhārī, the historian, 1091 n.

Mir Ḥaidar, the Gabari,—governor of the fort of Gibari, 1044 n.

Mir Khawind, the historian, 964 n 2,

984 n, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1019 n, 1024 n 2.

Mîr <u>Kh</u>eirat 'Alī <u>Kh</u>ān, Munshī, 633 n 8.

Mīr-i-Māh, the,-app. x.

Mir Ma'sum the Bakhari,—author of the Tārikh.i-Sind, xvi, 543 n, 613 n 2, 614 n 8, 615 n 1, 616 n, 623 n 1.

Mîr Nük b. Sultan Malik b. Mas'üdi-Karîm Ghaznawî, 107.

Mir Sharif-i-Amuli,-app. xxi.

Mīrān Shāh b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 106.

Mir at-ul-Afaghinah, the,—a history, 622 n.

Mir'āt-ul-'Alam, the,—name of a book, xvi, 32 n 6.

Mir'āt-i-Jahān Numā, the,—name of a historical work xvi, 79 n, 84 n 9, 146 n 5, 322 n, 363 n 8, 368 n, 403 n, 407 n 5, 449 n 8, 451 n, 453 n 3, 528 n 2, 534 n 5, 545 n 5, 559 n 2, 602 n 2, 611 n 3, 619 n 7, 824 n 8,—app. xx.

Mirconde, the Europeanized name of Mīr Khāwind, which see.

Mîrghatî the Ûrā-ūt. See Ghatî the Nū-īn, 1143 n.

Mīrzā, application of the title, 1235 n 1.

Mīrzā Abū-Bikr,—makes Yārķand his capital, 922 n.

Mīrzā Ḥaidar, the Doghlātī Mughal,
—author of the Tārīkh-i-Rashīdī,
xvi, xlv, 876 n, 883 n, 889 n, 890 n.
See also Mīrzā Muḥammad
Ḥasan Khān.

Mīrzā <u>Kh</u>ān,—one of the Şūfi poets of Afghānistān, 1078 n 8.

Mīrzā Malawī, the Ķārlūķ, 1130 n, 1132 n.

Mîrză Mughai Beg, the surveyor, 79 n, 459 n 7.

Mīrzā Muḥammad Ḥasan Khān, the Doghlātī Mughal, author of the Tārīkh-i-Rashidī, 902 n, 913 n, 1258 n 8. See also Mīrzā Ḥaidar. Mīrzā Shāh Rukh, Sultān. 1147 n 1. Modern Universal History, the, 1171 n.

Mokul, the Purihar Prince,—ruler of Mandawar, 611 n 3.

Nongolians, the—one of the four races of Scythians, 886 n, 887 n, 899 n.

Mongols, the,—name of the Mughals as spelt by Europeans.

"Mongols Proper," Howorth's, 898 n, 911 n 8, 918 n, 924 n, 939 n, 942 n, 943 n, 944 n, 947 n, 948 n, 950n, 955n, 969n1, 970n2, 971n, $976 \, n$ ,  $983 \, n$ ,  $984 \, n$ ,  $993 \, n$ ,  $994 \, n$ , 996 n, 1000 n, 1001 n, 1021 n 8, 1024 n 2, 1028 n, 1035 n, 1037 n, 1046 n, 1074 n, 1075 n, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1086 n, 1089 n, 1091 n, 1092 n, 1095 n, 1097 n 7, 1102 n,1121 n, 1143 n, 1150 n, 1176 n 9, 1185 n, 1188 n 8, 1190 n 1, 1193 n, 1209 n, 1226 n, 1236 n, 1244 n, 1247 n, 1250 n, 1253 n 4, 1255 n, 1256 n 6, 1267 n 6.

Montenegrins, the, 1032 n. Moorcroft, 1058 n 6, 1115 n 5.

Moore's "Lalla Rookh," 374 n 5.

Morley, Mr., 77 n, 128 n, 325 n, 326 n.

Moses, of scripture, 314.

Mu'addil b. 'Alī-i-Laig, of the dynasty of the Şuffārīun, 34, 185 n.

Mu'addil b. Lais, the Brazier, of the dynasty of the Şuffārīun, 19, 185 n.

Manfik-ul-'Adal or Muzfik-ud-Daulah,—a learned doctor, 1206 n 8, 1209 n.

Mu'allakāt, the,—or the suspended poems, 88 2 6.

Muāṣafat of Khwājah Aḥmad h. Hasan al-Maimandī, the Wazīr, the, 92 n 3.

Mu'āwiyah, the <u>Khalifah</u>, of the House of Umayyah, 901 n.

Mu'ayyid-i-A'inah-dār. See Mu-'ayyid-ud-Din as-Sanjari.

Mu'ayyid-ud-Daulah Buwiah, son of Rukn-ud-Daulah, 57, 59.

Mu'ayyid-nd-Dîn, Wazîr of Ruknnd-Dîn <u>Kh</u>ür <u>Sh</u>āh, the Bād<u>sh</u>āh of the Mulāḥidah, 1209 n.

Mu'ayyid-ud-Din 'Arzi, the astronomer and mathematician, 1257 n.

Mn'ayyid-ud-Dîn Hindû <u>Kh</u>ān, Malik,—holder of the fief of <u>Uch-</u> chah, 641 n 8, 645 n.

Mu'ayyid-ud-Din Mas'ūd,—one of the Maliks of Mu'izz-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām, <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 491.

Mu'ayyid-ud-Dîn Mas'ûd Timrānī, —one of the Maliks of the brother Sulţāns of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 390, 490.

Mu'ayyid-ud-Dîn Muhammad b.
'Abd-ul-Malik the 'Alkamî, 1229
n8. See under Ibn-'Alkamî,
Wazîr of al-Musta'şim B'illah.

Mu'ayyid-ud-Dîn as-Sanjarî, Malik of Nîshāpūr, 180 and n 7, 181 and n, 239 n, 240 n, 245 n 7, 250, 375 n, 377 n 5, 419 u 6, 908 n, 928 n. He was known by the name of Mu'ayyid-i-Ā'īnah-dār.

Mu'ayyid-ul-Mulk Muhammad-i-'Abd-ullah Sistāni, the Wazir, of Mu'izz-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām, Ghari, 415 and n 5, 485 n 3, 489, 492 n 7, 493 and n, 494, 502 n 6, 505, 526 n 8, 534, 608 n 8.

Mu'ayyid Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 106. [the, 180 and n7. Mu'ayyidīah dynasty of Nīshāpūr, Mu'azzī, Amīr,—Poet-Laureate, of Sultān Sanjar, 153 and n7.

Mubārak, the Kurd,—Pahlawān of Sulţān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm Shāh, 1003.

Mubārak Shāh b. al-Musta'şim, the last of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfahs, 1254 a.

Mubāriz-ud-Dīn 'Alī, Sarābānī,—an officer of 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, the Maulānā of the Mulāḥidahs, 1192 n.

Mubāriz-ud-Dīn Muhammad 'Alī-i-Utsuz,—one of the Maliks of Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī, 490.

Mubāriz-ud-Dīn Sabzwārī,—governor of Fīrūz-koh under the Khwā--razm Shāhīs, 285, 1004 and n 5, 1048, 1049 n 2, 1050 and n, 1056, 1057.

Mubshī or Mushbī b. Kaiumurs, son of Adam, 304.

Mu'ddil [Ma'add or Ma'id] b. Asad b. Shadād, ancestor of Amīr Banjī 312.

Mughal dynasty of Dihli, the,—founded by Bābar, 1145 n;—is incorrectly styled the Chaghatāe dynasty.

Mughal I-māķ, the, 866 n, 867 n, 874 n, 875 n, 876 n, 877 n, 879 n, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 884 n, 885 n, 886 n, 887 n, 888 n, 891 n, 808 n, 899 n, 900 n, 936 n, 937 n 1, 938 n, 944 n, 951 n, 909 n, 1044 n 3,

1091 n, 1100 n 9, 1177 n 1, 1282 n 6, 1284 n 5.

Mughal or Mughūl Khān b. Alinjah Khān,—first sovereign of the Mughal I-māķ, 873 n, 875 n, 877 n, 881 n, 887 n, 900 n, 951 n

Mughal Turks, the, 1100 and # 9.

Mughals, the, xiv, xxiv, xxv, xxvii. xlix, liii, 154 n 2, 155 n 3, 162 and nn 2 and 3, 163 and n 5, 164 and n7, 178, 180 and n 6, 196, 197, 198, 201 and n 4, 202, 239 n 9, 243 n7, 264 n, 267 n9, 268 n4, 269 n, 270, 272 n 1, 275 n, 276 n, 277 and n 5, 278 n, 279, 280 n 1, 282 n and n7. 283, 286 nn 6 and 7, 287 n, 288 and n 3, 289 n, 290 n, 291 n, 292 n, 294 n, 297 n and n 9, 298 n and n 1, 317, 345 n 5, 370, 391 n 8, 892, 412 and n, 416 nn 9 and 3, 417, 426 n 6, 427 n, 473 n 2, 477, 511 n, 533 n, 515 n, 539 n, 539 and nand n 2, 540 n, 543 n, 567 n 4. 599, 609, 610 n 6, 642 n 9, 644 n and n 7, 655 and n 2, 656 n, 657 and n7, 663 n9, 665 n8, 666 n, 667, 668 n, 676 n 9, 677 and n 6. 684 nn 8 and 9, 688 and n 4, 689 n, 690 n 8, 691 n, 692 n 3, 696 n, 700 n 8, 711 and n 4, 712 n and n 6, 713 n 2, 714 n 9, 715 n 4, 716 n 5, 717 n, 724 n 3, 726 n 3, 727, 732 n 4, 740 n 8, 747, 758 n 9, 768 n 4. 780, 784 n 4, 786, 792, 793 n 5. 794 n 1, 795 n, 796, 810 and n, 811 and n 8, 812 nn 2 and 3, 814, 815 and nn 5 and 8, 816 and n 3, 822 n 9, 830 n 5, 840 n 2, 844, 845 n. 846 and nn 5 and 6, 847 and n 8, 850 and nn 2 and 6, 861 n and n 5 862 and n 8, 863 n 3, 867 n, 869 and "2, 870 n 871, 873 n, 874 n.

876 n, 877 n, 878 n, 880 n, 881 n, 882 m, 883 n, 884 n, 885 and n, 886 n, 887 n, 888 n, 889 n, 890 n, 891 n, 892 n, 893 n, 894 n, 895 and n, 896 n, 897 n, 898 n, 899 n, 900 n and n 1, 910 n, 912 n, 913 n, 917 n, 918 n, 920 n, 934 n, 935, 936 and n 6, 937, 938 n, 939 n, 942 n, 943 n, 945 n, 946 n, 947 n, 948 n, 949 n, 950 n, 952 n, 953, 954, 955 n,956 n, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 960 n, 961 n, 963, 964 n 2, 965, 968, 969 and r 1, 970 n and n 2, 971 n, 972 n, 973 n, 974 n, 975 n 5, 976 and n, 977 n, 978 and n, 979 and n, 980 and n7, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n, 985 n, 986 n, 987 and n and n 3, 988 n, 989 n, 990 n, 991 n, 992and n = 8,  $993 \, n$ ,  $995 \, n$ ,  $996 \, n$ ,  $997 \, n$ , 998 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1001 n, 1004and n 5, 1005 and n, 1006 and n 7, 1007 and n 4, 1008 and n 5, 1010 n, 1011 and n, 1012, 1014 n 2, 1015 n, 1017 n. 1018 n, 1019 n, 1016. 1020 n, 1021 and n 8, 1022 n, 1024 and n2, 1025, 1026, 1027 n8, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1030 n, 1031 n, 1032 n, 1033 n, 1034 n, 1035 n, 1036 n 1, 1037 n, 1038 n, 1039, 1040, 1042, 1043 n 1, 1045 n, 1047, 1048, 1049 and n 2, 1051 and n, 1053 and n 9, 1054, 1056, 1057 and n 4, 1059, 1061 and nn 9 and 1, 1062 n 5, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1069 and nn 3 and 4, 1070, 1071 and n 2, 1072, 1074 n, 1075 n, 1076 n, 1077, 1078 n 8, 1079, 1080 n 6, 1081 and n 7, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1084n, 1085 and n 3, 1086 n, 1088 n, 1089 n, 1090 n, 1091 n, 1093 n, 1095 and n, 1097 n 6, 1098 and n, 1099 n, 1100 and n, 1101, 1102 n,

1104 n 5, 1105 n, 1106 n, 1107 1109 n 2, 1112, 1114 and n 3, 1115 n5, 1116, 1117, 1118 and n9, 1119, 1120 and n 2, 1121, 1122 n, 1123, 1125, 1126, 1127 and n, 1129 n 1, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 and n 3, 1133, 1134, 1135 and nn 3 and 5, 1136 and n 9, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1140 n, 1142 and n, 1143 and n, 1144, 1145 and n, 1149 n 7, 1150, 1151 n, 1152 and n, 1153 and n, 1154 and nn 1 and 2, 1155 and n 6, 1156, 1157, 1158, 1159, 1162 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1168 n, 1169 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1172, 1173 and n 3, 1175, 1176, 1177 n and n 1, 1178 n, 1181 n 2, 1182 n, 1183 n, 1184 n, 1186 and n, 1187, 1189, 1192 n, 1193 n, 1197, 1198 nn 5 and 6, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1206, 1207 n, 1208 n,1209 n, 1210 n, 1211 and n, 1212 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1220 n, 1222 n, 1223 and n, 1224 n, 1225 n, 1227, 1228 and n, 1230 n, 1232, 1233 and n 3, 1234 n 8, 1235 n 1, 1237, 1238 and n 8, 1239, 1240 n and n 9, 1242 n 2, 1243 n, 1244 n, 1245 and n 4, 1246 n 5, 1247 n 7, 1248 and n 8, 1250 n, 1252, 1253 n 4, 1254 n, 1255 n, 1259 nn I, 2 and 3, 1261 and n and n 7, 1262 n, 1264 n, 1265 n 2, 1270 and n 2, 1272 and n5, 1273 n7, 1275 n3, 1276 n, 1277 n, 1278 n, 1279 and n, 1280 n 5, 1281 n, 1282 and n 6, 1284 and n 5, 1287 n, 1290 n 9, 1292 n 7. Mughan, the, or Fire-worshippers, 975n5.

Mughiş-ud-Din Mahmüd b. Malik Shāh Saljūķi. See under Mahmūd b. Malik Shāh.

- Mughīş-ud-Dīn Malik <u>Sh</u>āh. See Malik <u>Sh</u>āh b. Maḥmūd b. Mubammad Saljūķī.
- Mughia-ud-Dīn, Sultān, 771 n,—title of Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn Yūz-bak-i-Tughril Khān on his attaining to sovereignty.
- Mughīṣ-ad-Dīn 'Umr b. Malik-ul-'Ādil b. Malik-ul-Kāmil al-Aiyūbī, 230 n.
- Mughūl Khān, 873 n. See under Mughal Khān b. Alinjah.
- Muhallab,—one of the early 'Arab governors of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 915 n.
- Muhammad, the Prophet, 1 5, 8, 11 n 3, 12 n 9, 104 n 3, 147, 180, 218, 243, 313 n 4, 348 n, 388, 596 n 1, 694 n 4, 710, 717, 820, 858, 935, 975, 1041, 1161 and nn 1 and 4, 1253 n 4, 1279 n, 1280, 1288, 1290.
- Muḥammad b. 'Abbūs, son of Shīs, son of Muḥammad-i-Sūrī, the Amīr of Ghūr, 322 n, 332, 333,—app. xv, xvi.
- Muḥammad-i-'Abd-ullah, Amīr, an officer of the <u>Gh</u>ūrī dynasty, 410.
- Muḥammad b. 'Abd-ullah b. Tāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain, 14 n 4.
- Muhammad b. Abī 'Alī, Amīr,—governor of the province of Lāhor and Multān for Sulṭān Mu'iżz-nd-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, l, 476 n, 482 n.
- Muhammad b. Abū Sa'id Junaidī, <u>Kh</u>wājah,—Wazīr of Sultān <u>Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal Timish</u>, 425 n 9, 544, 613.
- Muḥammad-i-Abu-l-Ķāsim b. Ḥasan al-'Askarī, -- kuown as al-Mahdī.

- the last of the twelve Imams of the Shī'ah, 1189 n 9.
- Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. Lais, of the Şuffārīūn dynasty, 34 n 6, 185 n.
- Muḥammad-i-Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān b. Sulīmān,—XVIII of the Afrāsiyābī <u>Kh</u>āns of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 906 n, 907 n 9, 914 n, 923 n, 924 n, 925 n.
- Muhammad-i-Bakhtvar,-the Malik -ul-Ghūzī, Ikhtiyār-ud-Din the Khalj, ruler of Lakhanawati, 489 n 7, 503 n 8, 517 and nn 3 and 4, 519 n, 524 n, 548 and n 1, 549 and n 4, 550 nn 5 and 6, 551 n and n 7. 552 and n 4, 553 and n 5, 554 and n 6, 556 and n 6, 557, 558 and n 7, 559 n and n 2, 560 n and n 4, 561 and n 9, 562 and n, 563 and n, 564 and n, 565 n and n 4, 567 n 1, 568 and n 8, 569, 570 and n and n 9, 571 and nn 1 and 2, 572, 573 and nn 9 and 2, 574 and nn 3 and 6, 575, 576 nn 5 and 6, 581, 610 n 7, 627 n and n 5, 666 n, 764 n 6, 771 n, 1081 n 9, 1111 n, 1251 n 9.—app. xiii, xiv, xv, xvi, xvii, xviii, xxii, xxiii, xxiv, xxv, xxvi.
- Muḥammad-i-Bashīr,—Ḥājib and general of 'Umr, son of Lais Şuffārī, lvii, 25.
- Muḥammad-i-Ba<u>sh</u>īr,—Ḥājib of Sulţān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 411 n.
- Muhammad b. Buzurg-Umīd,—third Būdshāh of the Mulāḥidah of Alamūt, 363 n 8.
- Muḥammad b. Al-Ḥākim, Sarakhsi, the Imām, 38 and n 5.
- Muḥammad b. Hārūn,—an officer of Amīr Ismā'īl, son of Aḥmad Sāmānī, 32 n 7.
- Muḥammad b. Hasan b. Ishāķ-

- governor of Hirāt under the Sāmānīs, 87 n.
- Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan b. Muṣʻab, Aṭ-Ṭāhirī,—governor of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 11, 12.
- Muḥammad b. Idrīs, Ush-Shāfi'i, See under Shāfi'i.
- Muḥammad b. Ja'far,—general of the Khalīfah of Baghdād, 184 n.
- Mahammad Jahān Pahlawān b. Iladd-giz, the Atā-bak of 'Irāķ and Azarbāījān, 148, 171 and nn 9, 1 and , 172 n 3. See also under Jahān Pahlawān Muḥammad.
- Muḥammad Junaidī, the Wazīr, See under Nizām-ul-Mulk Kamāl ud-Dîn.
- Muhammad b. Kāsim b. Muhammad aş-Şakafî, Amīr,—the conqueror of Sind, 1251 n 9.—app. xvii, xviii.
- Muhammad-i-Kharnak, chief of the Amīrs and champions of Ghūr, 255 n 7, 257 n 2, 287 and n 1, 409, 471 n 5, 472 n, 473 n 1, 480 n, 927. See also under Shihāb-ud-Dīn Muhammad, Alī-i-Kharnak.
- Muḥammad b. Kirām,—head of the Kirāmī sect, called also the Mujassamīān, 384 n 5, 385.
- Muḥammad b. Maḥmūd, the <u>Kh</u>alj, —feudatory of Ka<u>sh</u>maudī, 549. —app. xiii, xv, xvii.
- Muhammad b. Mahmud of Ghaznin,
  Amir,—of the Yaminiah dynasty
  xxi, 85 n, 88 and n 4, 89 and n 8,
  90, 91 and n 8, 92 and n 3, 94 n 2,
  95 and n 6, 96 and nn 1 and 2, 319
  n 5, 324 n, 325 n, 905 n. He was
  styled Jaläl-ud-Daulah wa-ud-Din.

- Muḥammad b. Malik Shāh, son of Alb Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, the Saljūķī, Sulţān, 108 n5, 143 and n 2, 144 and n and n 3, 145 and n 4, 146 and n n6 and 7, 147 n8, 151 n6, 157 n 1, 169 n 7.
- Muḥammad b. Mas'ūd b. Sultān Maḥmūd, <u>Gh</u>aznawī, 95.
- Muḥammad b. Maudūd b. Sultan Mas'ūd, <u>Gh</u>aznawī, 97 and n 4.
- Muḥammad b. Muḥammad al-Jīhānī, —Wazīr of Amīr Naṣr Sāmānī, 87 n.
- Muḥammad b. Muzaffar—a general of Amīr Abū Naṣr-i-Aḥmad Sāmānī, 185 n.
- Muḥammad-i-Nābī [Al-Bāķī],—father of Ibn Haişam, the Historian, 11, 320 and n 2.
- Muhammad b. Nașr b. Ahmad b. Ismā'il, Sāmānī, 88.
- Muhammad b. Nüh b. Manşür b. Nüh, Sāmāni, 44.
- Muhammad-i-Shāmī [the Syrian] Shaikh,—one of the Sadrs or chief men of Dihlī, 652, 654 and n.
- Muhammad-i-Sherān,—the Khalj, Malik of Lakhanawatī, 573 and nn1 and 2, 574 and nn3, 5 and 7, 575 n and nn9 and 1, 576 and nn 3 and 5, 577 n, 610 n7, 1008 n4.—app. xx He was styled 'Izz-ud-Dīn.
- Muhammad b. Sürī, Malik of the Shansabānīah dynasty of Chūr, 316 n 2, 317 n, 320 and n 4, 321 and n 1, 322 and n, 324 and n, 325 n, 329, 332 n 5, 337 n 7, 432 n 8, 509 n, 510, 548 n 1.—app. xv, xix, xx.

Muhammad-i-Tae [or Nāc], Wazīr of the Gür Khān of the Ķarā Khitā-īa, 929 n, 930 n.

Muḥammad b. Tāhir b. 'Abd-ullah son of Tāhir ibn-ul-Ḥusain,—fifth of the Tāhirī dynasty of Khurāsān, 10 n 5, 15 and n 1, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22 and nn 6 and 7, 23, 24.

Muhammad-i-Takish. Khwārazm 8hah, Sultan, 148, 155 n, 173, 176, 177 and n 6, 179, 182 and n 1, 197. 198, 199 n 9, 200 n 7, 235, 240, 242 n 6, 244 and n 4, 249 n 3, 250 n 6, 251 and n 1, 252 and n 3, 253 and n 1, 255 n and nn 5 and 7, 256 and n, 257 and n 258 n, 259 and n5, 260 n7, 261 n and n8, 262 and n 1, 263 n, 264 and n, 265 and n 4, 266 and n, 267, 268 nn 3 and 4, 270, 271 and n, 272, 274, 275. 276, 277, 278, 279 and n 6, 280 and n2, 281, 282, 455 n5, 470 n2. 472 n, 473 and n 2, 474 n, 476 n. 479 n, 480 n, 481 n 8, 485 n 3, 495. 496, 501 n 5, 502 n 6, 503 and n 8, 504 nn 2 and 4, 505 and n, 506, 518 n, 519 n, 523 n, 526 n 8, 527 n, 625 n 6, 884 n, 908 n, 910 n, 914 n, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 933 n, 934, 960 n 6, 963, 966, 967, 968 n6, 969 n1, 970 n2, 973 n, 977and n, 979 and n, 980 nn 8 and 1, 982 n, 984, 986 n, 987, 990 n, 991 n, 992, 993 n, 994 n, 996 n, 1001 and n. 1004, 1012, 1013, 1014 n 2, 1028 n, 1038 n, 1041, 1042, 1052, 1053, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1063, 1096, 1097, 1100, 1101, 1102 n, 1199 n, 1212 — Before he came to the throne his title was Kutb-ud-Din, but on his accession he assumed that of 'Alā-ud-Dīn, the title borne by his father. Muḥammad-i-Zaid-ul-'Alawī, Ud-Dā'ī-ila-l-Ḥakk,—ruler of Tabaristān and Gurgān, 32 and n 6.

Muhammad Afşal <u>Kh</u>ān <u>Khatak</u>, author of the Tārī<u>kh</u>-i-Muraşşa', xvi.

Muḥammad, the Aghrī or robber, the Chingīz Khān so called Sultān Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh, 1041, 1042.

Muḥammad 'Alī Abu'l Ķāsim 'Imādī, Imām,—author of the Tārīkh-i-Majdūl, xxxii n 2, 69.

Muhammad 'Alī Ghāzī, the Amīri-Ḥājib of Sulṭān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām Ghūrī, 491.

Muḥammad Arsalān Tātār Khān, son of Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, governor of Lakhaṇawaṭī, 771 n, 772 n, 776 n, 779 n.

Muḥammad Bahlīm,—ruler of Hindūstān, 110.

Muḥammad Bakā,—author of the Tārīkh-i-Mir'āt-i-Jahān Numā, 79 n.

Muḥammad al-Bāķī [Nābī],—father of Ibn Haişam, the Historian, 11, 320 and n 2.

Muḥammad <u>Gh</u>ūrī,—governor of Bengal, 511 n.

Muḥammad Ḥasan Khān,—otherwise Mīrzā Ḥaidar, the Doghlātī Mughal, which see.

Muhammad Husain Doghlātī, father of Mīrzā Haidar, the Mughal Historian, 883 n. [227.

Muhammad Husain Shibani, Imam, Muhammad Khān of Samarkand, 147 and n 8. See under Muhammad-i-Arsalan Khān.

- Muḥammad the Maraghanī, the Gharjah chief, Amīr, 1073 and n 2, 1077, 1082 n, 1200 n. He was the ancestor of the Kurat dynasty. See also under Ruknud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-'Uṣmān.
- Muhammad Murād b. 'Abd-ur-Raḥmāṇ,—translator of the Āṣār-ul-Bilād in Persian, 14 n 1.
- Muḥammad Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh Ghasnawī, 111.
- Muḥammad Shāh b. Humāyūn,—of the Bahmanī dynasty in the Dakhan, 592 n.
- Muḥammad Sharif b. Mullā Muhammad Sharif b. Mullā Muḥammad Tāhir, Ḥājī, ix.
- Muḥammad Tīr, see Muḥammad b. Malik Shāh, the Saljūķī.
- Muḥammad the Tūlakī, Ķāṣī,—app. iii, v. See under Ziyā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-'Abd-us-Sallām Nisāwī.
- Muḥammad Yalwāj,—minister of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 265 n 4. See Maḥmūd Yalwāj.
- Muhammad Yüsuf,—author of a history entitled Muntakhab-ut-Tawārīkh, 614 n 8.
- Muḥammad Zeeruk, Prince of Marw, 472 n, 478 n.
- Muhazzab-ud-Dīn Muhammad'Iwaz Mustaufī, <u>Kh</u>wājah,—Wazīr of Sultān Raziyyat of Dihlī, xxvi, xxvii, 641 and n6, 650 and n1, 651 and n7, 652, 653 and n5, 664 n, 656 n, 657, 658 and n1, 660, 661, 662, 663 and n1, 751, 752, 753, 756 n3, 757, 780, 787.
- Muḥsin-i-Jauharī, one of the retainers of 'Izz-ud-Dīn Ibak, ruler of Miṣr, 1276 n.

Muḥtashim of the Mulāḥidah, the,—
signification of the term, 1262 n 2.
Mu'in-ud-Din Ohisti, the Ushi,—
the celebrated Muhammadan

the celebrated Muhammadan saint, 465 n 8.

saint, 405 n 8.

- Mu'īn-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, <u>Kh</u>wāi-Jahān, the Jahāngīrī, [of the Household of Jahāngīr], ix, x.
- Mu'in-ud-Din Sabzwārī, Maulānā, author of a history of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 19 n 1.
- Mu'in-ud-Din Ushi, 465 and n 8. See under Mu'in-ud-Din Chisti.
- Mu'izz-ud-Daulah, Abu'l Ḥusain Aḥmad, son of Buwīah, son of Fanā Khusrau, Dīlamī, 55 n 1, 57, 58 and n 9, 59 n 5, 60 n 8, 61 n 4.
- Mu'izz-ud-Dîn Bahrām Shāh b. Shams-ud-Dîn Iyal-Timish, Sulțăn of Dihlî, xxvi, 539 n, 625, 647, 648 and n 2, 649 and n 6, 650 and n 9, 651 and n 7, 654 n, 655, 656 and n, 657, 659 n, 660 and n 1, 727 n 7, 737, 746, 747 and n 1, 749 and n 9, 750, 751 and n 3, 753, 755, 759, 761, 780, 790, 798, 1133, 1136 n 7, 1153 n 9.
- Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Bahrām Shāh b.
  Sulţān Nāşir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd
  Shāh, of Dihlī, 672.
- Mu'izz-ud-Dîn Harawî, Kazî of Sulțan Ghiyaş-ud-Dîn Muhammad-i-Sum of Ghur, 389.
- Mu'izz-ud-Dîn Kai-Kubād, Sulţān of Dihlī, 634 n 2.
- Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām Ghūrī, Sulţān, xxiii and n 2, li, 112 and n 5, 113 n, 114 n, 115 and n 6, 189 n 1, 214, 214 n, 248 and n 1, 252, 255 n 7, 256, 257 n 2, 260 n 7, 261, 262 and n 9, 265 n 4, 267, 281, 290 n 4, 302 n 5, 307, 310,

342, 344, 346 n 9, 349 n, 357 and n7, 366, 368, 369, 370 and nn 9 and 1, 871 and n 4, 872, 373, 374 n 2, 375 n, 376, 377 and n 6, 378, 879 and nn 4 and 6, 380 and n 9, **38**1 n 5, 382, 384, 385 n 9, 390, 391 and n 9, 393 and n 7, 394 and n. 396, 397 n 3, 398 and n 1, 399 n 2, 400 and n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 403 n, 404, '405 and n 3, 408, 413, 414 and nn 8 and 9, 415 nn 5 and 8, 419 n 5, 424, 425 nn 1 and 3, 426, 427 and n 9, 428 and n 1, 429 n and n 4, 431, 432 and n 9, 438 and n 2, 440, 446 and nn 3 and 5, 447 and n 6, 448 n 3, 449 and nn 8 and 2, 450 n, 451 and n, 452 and n7, 453 n4, 454 n, 455, 456 and n1, 457 n, 458 n6, 460 n3, 461 n, 462 n, 463 n, 464 and n 7, 465 n and n 8, 466 n 1, 467 n, 469 n 9, 471 n and n 5, 472 and n, 473 n 2, 474 n 5, 475 and n 2, 476 n, 478 n 6, 479 n, 480 n and n 7, 481 n and nn 8 and 1, 482 n, 483 n,494 n, 485 n 3, 486 and n, 487 n 6, 488, 489 n 7, 490 n, 491 n 5, 492, 496 and nn 9 and 1, 497 n 3, 498, 499 n, 500 and n 3, 501 n, 503n 8, 504 n 4, 508 and n 1, 510 n, 512 n 3, 513 and n 8, 514 and n, 515 and n, 516 n 2, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 and n, 522 n 5 and n, 523 n. 524 and n, 525 n, 526 n 8, 527 n 2, 531, 532, 533 n, 537 n, 544 and n 4, 545 and n 5, 546 n 7, 547 n and n 8, 548 and n 3, 550 n6, 552 n4, 553 n5, 559 n and n3, 560 n, 572, 573 n9, 583 n,601 and n 8, 602, 603 n 7, 604 and n 1, 605, 607 n 5, 608 n 8, 611 n 9, 614 n 8, 617 n, 622 n, 627 nn 4 and 5, 628 n 2, 643 n, 679 n 2, 724 n 4, 727, 732, 742 n 9, 761 n 1, 773 n, 910 n, 929 n, 933, 935, 1053 n 7, 1059 n 9, 1060 n 5, 1063, 1155 n 6, 1198 n 8.—app. i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi, xi, xii, xiv, xvi, xxvi. His title was at first Malik Shihāb-ud-Dīn, which afterwards became Snltān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn even before he came to the throne.

Mu'izzīah dynasty, the,—the slaves of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥam-mad-i-Sām, of <u>Gh</u>ūr, who attained sovereignty, xxxiii, 496 n 9, 539 n, 737.

Mujāhid-nd-Dīn Asad·i-Sher-i-Koh, Lord of Ḥims, 229 n 5.

Mujāhid-ud-Dīn I-bak, the Sar-Dawāt-Dār, of Al-Mustanşir B'illah the 'Abbāsī <u>Khalifah</u>, 1231 n, 1232 n, 1235 and n2, 1236 and n, 1237, 1238, 1240 and n and n9, 1241 n, 1242 n2, 1244 n, 1245, 1246 n5, 1247 n, 1251, 1260 n6.

Mu'jam-ul-Buldan of Yakut, the, 26 n 3.

Mujāmi'ul-<u>Kh</u>iyār, the,—a historical work, 117 n, 1294 n, 1254 n.
—app. viii. See also under the Majāmi'-ul-<u>Kh</u>iyār.

Mujassamiān or Corporealists, the, —name of a Muhammadan sect, 384 n 5.

Mujmal-i-Faṣīḥī, the,—a historical work, xxix, xxx, xlv, xlvii, 10 n 8, 12 n 3, 15 n 1, 23 n n 9 and 1, 24 n 3, 31 n 3, 34 n 5, 35 n n 5 and 6, 37 n 3, 38 n 6, 39 n 1, 40 n 4, 41 n n 7 and 8, 42 n 1, 43 n 4, 46 n 4, 47 n 8, 48 n n 4 and 5, 50 n 1, 53 n and n 6, 58 n 2, 60 n 7, 61 n 9, 63 n 8, 71 n 5, 72 n 6, 73 n 9, 75 n 6

and no, 76 no, 80 no, 84 no 7 and 9, 85 n, 89 n 8, 97 nn 3 and 4, 98 n 6, 99 n, 100 n 5, 102 n 2, 103n 9, 105 n, 106 n 3, 107 n 7, 108 n 5,  $109 \times 7$ ,  $110 \times 5$ ,  $112 \times 5$ ,  $113 \times n$ , 114 n, 117 n, 120 n 3, 121 n, 126 n 2, 132 nn 9 and 1, 186 n, 142 n 1, 143 n 2, 144 n, 145 n 4, 146 nn 6 and 7, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 158 n, 163 n 4, 170 n 8, 181 n, 185 n, 191 n6, 199 n7, 217 n, 218 n5, 237 nn 8 and 2, 243 n, 264 n, 266 n, 273 n5, 316 n2, 336 n4, 337 n7, 347 n 2, 848 n 8, 358 nn 2 and 3, 359 n, 363 n 8, 370 n, 374 n 3, 377 nn 5 and 6, 401 n, 403 n, 404 n 1, 407 nn 5 and 7, 411 n, 449 n 8, 450 n 3, 451 n 5. 470 n, 496 n 9, 525 n, 527 n, 528 n 2, 535 n, 609 n 2, 623 n1, 656 n, 717 n, 869 n2, 894 n, 904 n, 905 n, 906 n, 976 n, 987 n 3, 988 n, 1099 n, 1116 n, 1164 n, 1200 n, 1221 n, 1256 n 6, 1281 n. -app. vi, xx.

Mūkā or Mūkāe, of the Katrīn tribe, —third <u>Kh</u>ātūn of Uktāe Ķā'ān son of the <u>Ohingīz Kh</u>ān, 1142 n, 1149 n 7.

Mūkā Aghūl b. Tūlī, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1183 n, 1222 n.

Mukah or Mūkā, the Nūyīn, of the Karāyit tribe of Mughals, 874 n, 1076 n.

Mūkalī the Nūyīn, of the Jalā-īr tribe of Mughals,—surnamed the Ko-yāng, signifying the Great Khān, 1093 n, 1215 n 4, 1217 n, 1218 n.

Mukanua'-i-Mītī, the false prophet, 874 n 5.

Mnkanu or

Mukātū Nū-īn,—one of the generals of Uktāe Kā'ān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, lxiv, 1126 n 6.

Mukhliş-ud-Din, the Koţwāl-Bak [Seneschal] of the fortress of Uchellah, 668 n, 810, 1153 n 9.

Mukhtaşar-i-Küfī, the,—a work by the Imām Abu-l-Fazl Muḥammad son of Al-Hükim, Sarakhsī, 38.

Muktadī B'illah, al-, the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 106 and n 3.

Muktadir B'illah, al-, the 'Abbasī Khalīfah, 35 n 6, 37, 185 n.

Muktafi B'illah, al-, the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 32 n, 33, 34, 89.

Mulahidah, the,-a heretic sect of Muhammadans, xxv, 46, 139 n, 145 n 4, 172 n 3, 201 and nn 1 and 2, 244 n 4, 245 n, 255 n, 265 n 4. 363, 365 and n 3, 381 n 5, 394 n 1, 485 and n 3, 623 n 2, 624, 646, 647, 706 n 3, 1039 n 7, 1061 n 1, 1062 n 2, 1147 n 2, 1148 n 4, 1187 and n 7, 1188 and n and n 8, 1189, 1190 and n 1, 1192 n, 1193 n, 1194 n, 1196, 1197 and n 3, 1198, 1203, 1204, 1205, 1206 and n 9, 1207 n, 1210 n, 1212 n 6 and n, 1214. 1221 n, 1230 n, 1257 n, 1262 n.

Mulamma', meaning of the word, 671 n7.

Mumīālī Afghāns, the,—one of the three septs or divisions of the Shalmānīs, 1044 n.

Mumtāz-ud-Daulah Mufakhkhar-ul-Mulk, Ḥusām-i-Jang, ix.

Mundaz Zu'l Adghār b. Abrahah, of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 6.

Müngard <u>Kh</u>än,—grandson of Uktāe Ķā-ān, son of the <u>Chingīz Kh</u>ān, 1180 n. Mûngusar, the Nū-yīn,—the principal of Mangū Khān's Nūyīns, 1183 n.

Münis-i-<u>Kh</u>ādim,—general of the <u>Kh</u>alīfah of Baghdād, 184 n.

Münshī b. Wajzān, ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 812 and n 9.

Münshi Shiam Parshad,—author of an account of Gaur, 558 n7.

Muntakhab-i-Tārīkh-i-Nāşirī, the, 139, 140 n 5, 141, 307.

Muntakhab-ut-Tawārīkh of Budāūnī, 'the, xvi, 22 n 8, 25 n 2, 26 n 3, 41 n 8, 91 n 8, 99 n, 100 n 5, 102 n 2, 113 n, 117 n, 135 n 2, 146 n n 5 and 6, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 154 n 2, 155 n, 162 n 2, 163 n 4, 175 n n 7 and 9, 176 n 4, 177 n 6, 178 n 7, 812 n 6, 316 n 2, 322 n, 338 n 2, 363 n 8, 407 n n 5 and 7, 411 n, 418 n 2, 427 n, 429 n 4, 434 n 8, 436 n 5, 449 n 8, 498 n 6, 601 n 8, 613 n 7, 623 n 1, 869 n 2, 1221 n. —app. vi, vii, xx.

Muntakhab-ut-Tawārīkh, of Muhammad Yūsuf, the, 614 n 8.

Muntaşir B'illah, al-, Ahmad b. Tāhir, 1st of the 'Abbāsī dynasty in Egypt, 1259 n 3, 1260 n.

Muntașir B'illah, Abū Ibrāhīm-i-Is-mā'īl son of Nūḥ, Sāmānī, the last of the dynasty, 52 n 1, 81 n, 903 n.

Muntaşir B'illah, al-, the Ismā'īliān or Fāṭimite Khalīfah, in Egypt, 209 and nn 5 and 6.

Murjī (Procrastinators), the,—name of one of the heretical sects of Muhammadans, 646 and nn 6 and 7.

Murray, 462 n.

Mūsā, (Moses of scripture), 3, 1161.

Mūsā-i-Beghū b. Saljūķ, son of Luķmān, the Turkmān, 117 n.

Mūsā-i-Ja'far [Mūsā al-Kāzim, son of Ja'far aş-Şādik],—of the descendants of 'Alī, 1282.

Mūsā b. Satuķ-Ķūjah, — second ruler of the Afrāsiyābī <u>Kh</u>āns of Turkistān, 902 n.

Muş'ab b. 'Abd-ullah b. Tāhir ibnul-Ḥusain,—governor of Nīshāpūr, 15.

Muş'ab b. As'ad,—grandfather of Ţāhir ibn-ul-Ḥusain, 11.

Mus'ab b. Talhah,—ancestor of Tahir ibn-ul-Husain, 9n1, 10 n5, 11.

Muş'ab b. Zarnik,—ancestor of Tāhir ibn-ul-Ḥusain, 9, 10 n 5, 11.

Muş'ab Shāh,—ancestor of Tāhir ibn-ul-Ḥusain, 10 n 5.

Muscovs, the, 1037 n 2.

Mushrif-i-Mamalik [Secretary of the State], the,—name of an office, 635, 638 and n 3.

Musta'in B'illah, al-, the 'Abbāsî Khalifah, 15.

Mustakfi B'illah, al-, the 'Abbasi Khalifah, 56 n, 58.

Mustanjid B'illah, al-, the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 218 n 5.

Mustanşir B'illah, al-, the 'Abbāsī <u>Kh</u>alīfah, 15, 616 n 2, 617 n, 662 n 7, 1117, 1237 n 3.

Mustanşir B'illah, al., Abū-Tamīm-i-Sa'd,—the eighth Khalīfah of the Ismā'īlīān or Fāṭimites in Egypt, 47, 299 nn 5 and 6, 1189.

Mustarshid B'illah, al-, the 'Abbasi Khalifah, 204 n.

Musta'sim B'illah, al-,—last of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfahs of Baghdād, 662 n 7, 711, 712 n, 1227 and n 4,

1220 and n 8, 1230 n, 1249 n, 1250 n, 1252, 1259 and n 3, 1275 n 3.

Mustauft, meaning of the word, 650 n 1.

Mustazhir B'illāh, al-, of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfahs, 106.

Mustazī Bi-nūr'illāh, al-, of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfahs, xx, 217 n, 218 and n 5, 383.

Mu'tamad-ud-Daulah,—a vassal of Muḥammad-i-Ba<u>kh</u>t-yār, the <u>Kh</u>alj, 565 and n 4.

Mu'tamid 'Ala-llāh, al-, of the 'Abbāsī <u>Kh</u>alīfahs, 22 n 7, 23 n 9, 29 n 9.

Mu'taşim B'illāh, al-, of the 'Abbāsī <u>Kh</u>alīfahs, 14.

Mutawakkil 'Ala-llāh, al-, of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfahs, in Baghdād. 15.

Mutawakkil 'Ala-llāh, the last of the 'Abbāsī dynasty in Egypt, 1260 n.

Mu'tazid, al-, of the 'Abbäsī Khalīfahs, 23 n 9, 24, 25, 31 and n 3, 32 and n, 33.

Muți'u-L'illah, al-, of the 'Abbasi <u>Kh</u>alifahs, 58 and n 2, 59, 60, 61 and n 9.

Matrāwi Afghāns, the,—one of the three septs or divisions of the Shalmānīs, 1044 n.

Muttaķī, al-, of the 'Abbāsī Khalifahs, 37, 38, 55 n 1, 58.

Muwaffak, al-,—the brother of the 'Abbāsī Khalifah al-Mu'tamid, 22 n 7, 23 and n 9.

Muzaffar, Malik,—governor of  $A\underline{kh}$ lät, 298 n 1.

Muzaffar b. Yāķūt, governor of Fārs,—a slave of the 'Abbāsī dynasty, 55 n 1.

Muzaffar-ud-Dîn b. Malik-us-Sa'îd the Şāḥib or Lord of Mārdīn, 1279 n. [Ardabīl, 997 n.

Muzaffar-ud-Dîn Gargarî, ruler of Muzaffar-ud-Dîn Yûzbak b. Jahān Pahlawān, the Atā-bak, ruler of Azarbāījān, 995 n. See also under Yūzbak b. Muḥammad Jahān Pahlawān.

## N.

Nabați, a son of Adam [of Scripture], 1, 2.

Nādir Shāh of Persia, 276 n.

Nāemāns, the, 260 n 7, 923 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 940 n, 944 n, 945 n, 946 n, 949 n, 950 n, 956 n, 982 n, 985 n, 987 n, 1091 n, 1092 n, 1094 n, 1165 n.

Nagūz,—one of the only two males who escaped the general massacre of the Mughal people by the Tattars, 881 n, 882 883 n, 885 n,

887 n, 888 n, 890 n, 893 n, 894 n, 895 n, 937 n 9.

Nagūz, the,—descendants of the above, 951 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1095 n.

Nahārāu b. Warmesh, son of Warmeshān,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312 and u 6.

Nāhar Dīw, Rāe of Rantabhūr, the greatest of the Rāes of Hindūstān, 818 and n 6, 824 n 8, 828 and n 3.

- Nā'ib-i-Mamlakat [Deputy or Lieutenant of the kingdom], name of an office, 694 n 4.
- Nairūn or Nūrūn Mughals, the,—descendants of Alān-Ķuwā through the mysterious light, 891 n, 893 n, 896 n, 899 n, 938 n, 939 n, 940 n, 942 n, 955 n, 1091 n, 1095 n.
- Najaf Khān, 790.
- Najīb-ud-Dīn, Khwājah, of Nāg-awr of Siwālikh, 200.
- Najm-ud-Din, the 'Ajami, 217 n.
- Nojm-ud-Dīn, Shaikh, author of a History of Hazrat Satuk-Kūjah, the sainted ruler of Turkistān, 901 n.
- Najm-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr Şadr-ul-Mulk, the Wazīr of Sulţān 'Alāud-Dīn Mas-'ūd Shāh, son of Ruknud-Dīn Fīrūz Shāh of Dihlī, 664, 698 and n7.
- Najm-ud-Dîn Abu'l Muzaffar Aiyūb b. Malik-ul-Kāmil, al-Aiyūbī, 228 n 4. See under his title of Malikuş-Ṣāliḥ Najm-ud-Dîn, al-Aiyūbī.
- Najm-ud-Dîn Aiyûb b. Shādī, the Kurdī. See under Aiyūb, son of Shādī.
- Najm-ud-Dîn the Kabrî, otherwise Al-Ķīwaķī, the celebrated <u>Kh</u>wārazmī saint, 1100 n.
- Najm-ud-Din Kazwini, the astronomer and mathematician, 1257 n.
- Najm-ud-Dîn al-Kîwakî, Seo Najmud-Dîn the Kabrî.
- Najm-ud-Dîn-i-Sarbārī the Rūmī, Imām, 1214. [24 n 5.
- Nakābat, signification of the word, Nako b. Jūjī Ķasār, the brother of the Chingiz Khān, 1180 n.

- Nāķū Aghūl b. Kyūk Khān, son of Ūktāc, son of the <u>Ohingīz Khān</u>, 1180 n, 1183 n, 1185 n.
- $N\bar{a}k\bar{u}s$ , meaning of the word, 1194 n. Nala, Rājah, 691 n.
- Nandā, a Hindū Rājah, 86 n.
- Narīmān or Nadīmān, son of Afridūn, ancestor of Amīr Banji, 306, 309 n.
- Naro [Tasdar] Jai-pāl, ruler of Hind, 86 n, 536 n.
- Narsī-ul-Ashghānī, VIth of the Ashkānīān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.
- Narsī b. Bahrām b. Hurmuz, VIth of the Sāsānīān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.
- Nar-singh Dīw, son of Rājah Bijayī Dīw, of Jamūņ, 451 n, 467 n.
- Nāshir-un-Ni'am b. 'Umaro b. Sarakhīl, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 7.
- Nāşibīs, the,—a sect of Muhammadan heretics, 646.
- Nusich—a species of silken fabric woven with gold, 1194 n.
- Nāṣir li-Dīn-illah, an-, the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, of Baghdād, xxii, 193, 223, 239 n 9, 242 n 6, 244 and n, 265 n 4, 266 n, 296 n, 383, 488 n 1, 617 n, 666 n, 961 n 2, 968 n 6, 1086 n, 1108 n 1.
- Nāṣir-ud-Daulah Abu'l Ḥasan-i-Simjūr,—governor of parts of Khurāsān under the Sāmānīs, 44. Seo also under Abu'l-Ḥasani-Simjūr.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Wazīr of Sulṭān 'Alāud-Dīn Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 993 n.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn-i-Actamur, Malik, feudatory of Cchchah, li, 531, 532.

- Naşīr-ud-Dīn of Bindār [or Pindār], the <u>Oh</u>ā-ū<u>sh</u>,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Sām, of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 626, 762 and n7.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, the Muḥtashim, ruler of the Ķuhistān, 1190 n 1, 1195 n, 1196 n.
- Naşīr-ud-Dīn-i-Ţughān, Feoffee of Budā'ūn,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, 626 and n 2.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, the Tūsī, Khwājah,—
  the celebrated mathematician
  and Ṣūfī poet and chief adviser
  of Hulākū Khān, 1190 n 1, 1206
  n 3, 1209 n, 1230 n, 1232 n, 1236 n,
  1239 n, 1246 n 5, 1253 n 4, 1254 n,
  1256 n 6, 1257 n, 1261 n 7.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn, the Ṣāḥib or Wazīr of Rai,—adviser of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, 1262 n.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr, son of Malik Saif-ud-Dīn Sūrī,—one of the Maliks of Sulṭān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī, 344, 345, 390.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Ai-Yitim-ul-Bahā-ī, Malik,—feudatory of Lohor under Sulţān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-timigh, of Dihlī, 727, 728, 780, 866 n.
- Nüşir-ud-Din Alb-i-Ghāzī b. Ķazil Arsalān, Saljūķī,—nephew and Malik of the brother Sultāns of Ghūr, 390, 472 and n, 478 n 6, 480 n, 490, 1198 n 8.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Fu<u>sh</u>ā:nīrī or Ķaimīrī, —officer of Sulţān Jalūl-ud-Dīn <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 1276 n.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Ḥusain, the Amīr-i-Shikār,—one of the Maliks of Sulṭān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥam-

- mnd-i-Sām, of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 415 and n 8, 416, 417 and n 8, 490, 504 and n 8, 505, 724, 725.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Ḥusain-i-Kharmīl b. <u>Kh</u>armīl-i-Sām Ḥusain, Malik, 351, 602 and n 3.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Ḥusain b. Muḥammad Mādīnī,—one of the Maliks of Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām of Ghūr, 340 n, 344 and n, 361 and n 9, 362, 364 and n 1, 365, 366 and n 4, 490 and n 3, 496 n 1, 626.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn 'Iwaz son of Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn 'Iwaz, the Khalj, of Lakhanawatī, lxii, 586 n 1, 595 n 2, 618 n, 774 n.
- Nāşir-ud-Dīn Kubājah, Malik, xxvi, 200. See under Kabājah.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Koshlū <u>Kh</u>ān b. Bek Arsalān, Malik,—officer of Sulţān Jalāl-ud-Dīn <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 1276 n.
- Nāşir-ud-Dīn Kāsīlī, Ķāzī,—one of the Court Ķāzīs of Sulţān Shamsud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, of Dihlī, 625.
- Naşīr-ud-Dīn, Kāzī,—father-in-law of a sister of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Bahrām Shāh of Dihlī, 650.
- Nāşir-ud-Dīn Mahmūd Bughrā <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Ulugh <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Balban, lv, 716 n 5.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, Malik of Lakhaṇawatī, elder son of Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish, Sultān of Dihlī, 534 n, 586, 594 and n and n 1, 595, 617 and n 5, 618 n, 625, 628, 629, 630, 631, 635 n 6, 639 n 4, 773 n.
- Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, Sulṭān, younger son of Shams-ud-Dīn Jyal-Timi<u>sh</u>, Sulṭān of Dihlı,

xxxvii, xxix, xxx, xxxi, xxxii, xxxiii and n 3, xxxiv n 4, lv. 26 n 1, 70 and n 9, 183, 231 and n 1, 310 and n 4, 388, 430, 538 n, 539 n, 547 n 9, 597 n 6, 625, 626 n 7, 630, 635 n 6, 639 n 4, 641 n 5, 648 n 5, 661, 664 n 3, 665, 669 and n 8, 670 and n 1, 671, 672 n and n 2, 675 and n 5, 676, 677 n 6, 681 and n 10, 684 and n 9, 685 n 4, 690 n 8,  $695 \, n\, 8$ ,  $699 \, n\, 1$ ,  $701 \, n\, 1$ ,  $716 \, n\, 5$ , 717 and n, 721 n 5, 723, 741 and n2, 744 n6, 747, 754 n5, 758 and n 2, 759 and n 6, 760 nn 8 and 9, 762 n 8, 767, 769 n 6, 770 n 9, 772 n, 774 n, 775 n, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 781, 782 n 4, 783 nn 7 and 1. 785, 787, 789, 790, 793 n 7, 794 n 1, 795 n, 797 n 5, 798, 799, 800 n 8, 808 and n 4, 813 n 5, 814 and n7,816n2,818andn4,819andn8. 820, 823 and n 4, 824, 831 and n 2, 842, 845, 846 n 5, 847 n 4, 849 n9, 853, 855 n5, 856, 862, 863 and nn 2 and 3, 884 n, 900, 1056 n1, 1077, 1104, 1125, 1130 n. 1131 n, 1176, 1181 n 2, 1184n. 1202 n. 1224 n, 1225 n and n 6. 1288 n 1, 1293, 1294.—app. x.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Ṭughril-i-Alb <u>Kh</u>ān,—one of the Maliks of Sulṭān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd <u>Sh</u>āh of Dihlī, 673.

Naşīr-ud-Dīn Mardān Shāh, Muhammad-i-Ohā-ūsh,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish of Dihlī, 626 and nl. Naṣīr-ud-Dīn, Mīrān Shāh, son of Muḥammad-i-Ohā-ūsh, the Khalji,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish of Dihlī, 626 nl.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, the Wazīr of the Dār-ul-<u>Kh</u>ilāfat, 1220 n 8.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Utsuz b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Ḥusain Jahān-soz, Ghūrī, 417.

Naşīr-ud-Din, Muḥammad, Ḥarīṣ-i-Mardān <u>Sh</u>āh,—one of the Maliks of Sulṭān <u>Sh</u>ams-ud-Dīn I-yal Timi<u>sh</u> of Dihlī, 626 n 1.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'Izzud-Dīn Ḥusain, Malik of Mādīn of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 339 and n4, 340 n, 343 n5, 344 n, 497. See also under <u>Sh</u>ihāb-ud-Dīn Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>arnak, for which it seems to be a mistake.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-<u>Kh</u>arnak, Malik of Marw, 381.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, Malik, son of Malik Saif-ud-Dīn Ḥasan, the Ḥarlugh, 689 n, 782 n 3, 786 n 6, 859 and n 8, 860, 861 and n 5, 863 n 3, 1129 and n 1, 1130 n, 1131 n.

Nāşir-ad-Dīn Muhammad Sher-i-Koh, entitled al-Malik-ul-Ķāhir, ruler of Ḥims, 213 n 5.

Nüşir-ud-Din Muhammad b. Shihübud-Din Muhammad Kharnak b. 'Izz-ud-Din al-Ḥusaia Ghūrī, 344 n.

Nëşir-ud-Din Sabuk Tigin, 46 and n 4. See under Sabuk Tigin.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Timrānī,—one of the Maliks of the brother Sultāns of Ghūr, 390, 490.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn 'Uṣmān-i-Ḥarab, son of Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn-i-Ḥarab, Malik of Sijistān and Nimroz, 193 and n5, 194 n7, 193 n5, 199, 200, 961 n 3, 1199 n.

96 Index.

- Nisiri Maliks, the,—the Turkish Slave dynasty of Dihli, 26 and n1.
- Nāşirī Nāmah, the, of Minhāj-i-Sarāj, xxvii, 681, 682, 818.
- Nāşiriyyah College of Dihlī, the, xxvi, xxvii, 644, 667.
- Nașr, the Ḥājī, the merchant of Bukhārā, 70.
- Nuşr, the Tülaki, Amir,—governor and feudatory of Tülak, 1058 and n7.
- Naşr b. Ahmad b. Asad, son of Sāmān-i-Khaddāt,—second of the Sāmānī dynasty, 29 and n 9, 30 and n, 31, 54.
- Naşr b. Ahmad, son of Tāhir Şuffārī,—governor of Sijistān under the Sāmānīs, 25 n 2.
- Naşr b. Aḥmad-i-Ismā'il Sāmānī, Amīr, Vth of the dynasty, 33, 35, 36, 37 and n and nn 2 and 3, 54, 56 n 2, 185 n.
- Naşr b. Alımad <u>Kh</u>ān-i-<u>Kh</u>işr <u>Kh</u>ān, of the family of the Afrāsiyābīs of Turkistān, 906 n.
- Naşr b. Darhim, son of Un-Naşr, governor of Sijistān under the Tāhirīs, 19 n 1, 22 n 5.
- Nașr b. Ibrāhīm, son of Sulțăn Mas-'ud, Ghaznawi, 105.
- Nașr b. Ismā'īl, son of Ahmad Sāmānī; 54.
- Nașr b. Mahmud of Ghaznin, Amir,
- Naşr b. Muḥammad Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān, —of the family of the Afrāsiyābīs of Turkistān, 906 n, 907 n.
- Nasr b. Sabuk Tigin,—commander of his brother Mahmud's army, xvii, 50 n 4, 51 and n 6, 75, 81 n, 86 n, 186 n.

- Nașr-i-Shīg or Abū-Nașr-i-Shīg, sou of Rabī'ī, the Khārijī, 12 and n7.
- Nasr, the <u>Kh</u>ūķān, <u>Sh</u>ams-ul-Mulk son of Taf-ķāj <u>Kh</u>ān, XIIIth of the Afrāsiyābī <u>Kh</u>āns of Turkistān, 905 n, 906 n.
- Naşru'llah b. Muhammad b. 'Abdu'l Majid, translator of Kalilah and Dimnah into Persian, 109 n 9.
- Nau Daulat, the,—title of the son of Rukn-ud-Din Khūr Shāh of Alamūt, 1212 n.
- Nau Musalman, the,—Jalal-ud-Din Hasan of Alamut, the Mulahidah heretic who turned orthodox, 265 n 4, 1190 n.
- Naubat, signification of the term, 383 and n 3.
- Nāwak, signification of the word, 1135 and n 4.
- Nestorians, the, 961 n, 984 n, 1284 n 9, 1244 n.
- Newport, Captain Christopher, 295 n.
- Nīālīs, the,—the adherents of Nīāl Tigīn, the rebel viceroy of the Panjāb, 121 and n7, 122 n3, 129.
- Niāl Tigīn,—chamberlain of Abu'l'Abbās-i-Māmūn al-Farīghūnī,
  85 n, 120 n 5, 232 n,—some call
  him Alb Tigīn.
- Nīāl Tigīn,—the rebel viceroy of the Panjāb, 121 n7.
- Nih-Firuzah b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghazpawi, 105 and n 9.
- Nikūdār Aghūl, son of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān,—he became a convert to Islām and was styled Sultān Aḥmad, 1207 n, 1293 n 2.
- Nikū-dar, Nū-īn,—one of the Nūyīns of the Chingīz Khān, 1128.

- Nikudaris, the, name of a people, 874 n.
- Ni'mat'ullah, the historian, 622 n.
- Nimrad, the Tyrant, [Nimrod of Scripture], 8.
- Ninkiassu,—the name of Shūdai-Shū-o-shū, the Altān Khān, as spelt by some European writers, 955 n, 1136 n 9.
- Nisāwī's Life of Sulţān Jalāl-ud-Dīn <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n.
- Nişīb-i-Nişābīān, the,—a lexicographical work, by Imām Abû Naşr Farāhī, 195 n 4.
- Nizām of the Deccan, the, 511 n.
- Nizām Shāh, of the Bahmanī dynasty, 592 n.
- Nizām-ud-Dīn, Shaikh, the celebrated saint of Dihlī, xxx and n5, xxxi.
- Nizām·ud-Dīn, of Farghānah,—a Janbas in the service of Sultān Muḥammad-i-Bakhtyār, the Khalj, of Lakhanawatī, 552.
- Nizām-ud-Dîn Ahmad, Khwājah, author of the Țabaķāt-i-Akbarī, xii, 623 n 8, 665 n 8.
- Nizām-ud-Dīn Muhammad,—an officer of Sultān Ķutb-ud-Dīn I-bak of Dihlī, 398, 523 n, 602.
- Nizām-ud-Dīn Shafūrkānī or Shabūrghānī,—one of the Amīrs of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish of Dihlī, 635 and n7, 761.
- Nizām-ul-Mulk, Wazīr of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Takish-i-Khwārazm Shāh, 244 n 4.
- Nizām-ul-Mulk Kamāl-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Abū Sa'īd Junaidī,— Wazīr of Sulţān Shams-ud-Dīn

- I-yal-Timish of Dihli, 625, 684 and n 1, 689, 640, 641, 724.
- Nizām-ul-Mulk Tūsī,—the Wasīr of Sultān Jalšl-ud-Dīn Malik Shāh, Saljūķī, 139 and n, 141, 142, 143 n 2.
- Niţām-ut-Tawārīkh,—of the Ķuţī Abū Sa'īd-i-'Abd-ullah of Baiţā, xvi, 25 n 2, 97 n 4, 99 n, 118 n, 137 n 5, 142 n 1, 146 n 5.
- Nogays,—the vulgar spelling of the name of the Nagus, which see.
- Noghay, the, name of a people, 899 n.
- Noroz-i-Jalālī, the,—the first day of spring, named after Sulţān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Malik Shāh, Saljūķī, who reformed the Calendar, 142.
- North, Lieut., 1052 n 5.

  Noshed b. Bahrām,—ancestor of
- the Sāmānīs, 29. [Sāmānīs, 29. Nosher b. Noshed,—ancestor of the "Notes on Afghānistān," Raverty's, xlvi, xlix, l, liv, lv, 1043 n 1.
- Nüh [Noah of Scripture], 2, 3, 303 and n 7, 870 n, 882 n.
- Nūḥ, the Jan-dār,—a Khalj chief in the service of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh, 540 n, 1022 n, 1023 n.
- Nüh b. Asad b. Sāmān-i-Khaddāt, —governor of Samrkand, 27 and n6, 28, 29, 53.
- Nüh b. Manşūr b. Nüh Sāmānī, IXth of the dynasty, 29, 33 nl, 44, 45 and nn 5 and 8, 46 and n 4, 47 and n 8, 48 and nn 2, 3 and 4, 52 n 8, 54, 74 n 3, 186 n, 232 n, 902 n, 903 n, 916 n.
- Nüh b. Naşr b. Ahmad Sāmānī, VIth of the dynasty, 29, 38 and nn 5 and 6, 39 and n 1, 40, 54.

- Nu-in, signification of the word, 164 and n 6.
- Nunis, the, 567 and n 8, an error for the Tunis, which see.
- Nür-ud-Din, the Khwārazmi, Imēm, 1160, 1161.
- Nür-ud-Dīn, the Turk, styled Nür, the Turk,—head of the Mulāḥidah heretics of Hindustān, 646 and n 5.
- Nūr-ud-Dīn-i-A'mā [the Blind], a Muḥammadan saint of Samrkand, 1228.
- Nūr-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd al-Malik-ul-'Ādil, son of 'Imād-ud-Dīn Zangī, Sulṭān of Shām, 149, 169, 203 and n1, 204 n, 205 and nn 4 and 6, 206 aud n7, 207 n8, 208 and n and n 4, 209 and n6, 210 and n, 212 n, 213 n and nn 2 and 5, 215, 216, 217 n, 218 n
- Nür-ud-Din Muḥammad,—governor of Sind for Sultan Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish of Dihli, 616 n.
- Nūrīdāsh, Burāndāsh or Kurāīdāsh
  —one of the Amīrs of Ūlā-Ķūsh
  Tigīn, the Bādshāh of the Turkish tribe of the Ungkūts, 945 n.
- Nürkā, the Nüyān,—one of the generals of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1027 n 8. [Nairūn Mughals.
- Nürün Mughals, the. See under the Nusakh-i-Jahan Ara, the,—name of a book, 195 n 4.
- Nüshad, son of Bahrām <u>Oh</u>ūbīn, son of Bahrām <u>Jash-nash</u>,—ancestor of the Sāmānīs, 53.
- Nüshir, son of Nüshad, son of Bahrām <u>Ch</u>übīn—aucestor of the Sāmānīs, 53 and n 5.
- Nüshirwan b. Kubad, the Just, first of the Akasirah dynasty of

- 'Ajam, 5, 6, 8, 19 n 1, 423 n 8, 888 n, 894 n.
- Nüsh-Tigin-i-Gharjah, founder of the <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āhi dynasty, 138 n 8, 169 n 7, 232 n, 233 n, 892 n.
- Nush-Tigin, the Khāşah Khādim of Sultān Mas'ud of Ghoznin, 124 n 4.
- Nush-Tigin, the Silāh-dār,—a Turk who slew Tughril, al-Mal'ūn, the usurper of the throne of Ghaznīn, 100, 101.
- Nüsh-Tigin or Küsh-Tigin, the Pahlawan,—an officer of Sultan Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwarazm Shāh, 1034 n.
- Nuşrat-ud-Dîn Abû Bikr b. Jahân Pahlawân Muḥammad, the Atâbak of Azarbāijān, 172 n 3, 173, 249 and n 3.
- Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. Yamīn-ud-Dīn Bahrām Shāh, Malik of Sijistān and Nīmroz, 196, 197 and n 1, 198, 1200 n.
- Nusrat-ud-Din Hazar-Asp, also styled
- Nusrat-ud-Din Hazār-Şaf, the Atābak of Lār,—one of the greatest of the ancient Maliks of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh, 990 n, 991 n.
- Nuşrat-ud-Dîn Kutlagh Shāh b. Ulugh Khān-i-Abī Muḥammad, Khān of Guzarwān, 235.
- Naşrat-ud-Dîn, Sālārī,—governor of the tract of Hansî, on the part of Sulţān Kuţb-ud-Dîn Ibak of Dihlī, 517 n.
- Nusrat-ud-Dīn Sher Khān Sunkar-i-Saghalsus the Malik-ul-Kabīr, one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāṣir-

ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 673, 674 n 3, 684 n 8, 687 and nn 9 and 1, 688 and n and n 2, 689 n and nn 6 and 7, 690 n 8, 692 n 3, 695 and nn 9 and 1, 696 n, 699 nn 2 and 5, 700 n 8, 704 n 5, 713 and n 2, 1202 n.

Nusrat-fid-Dîn Tâyasâ'î, Malik and feudatory of Awadh under Sulţān Raţiyyat of Dihlî, liv, lv, lxiii, 638 n 7, 639, 690 n 1, 732 and n 2, 783, 784, 785, 743, 824 and n 8, 825 and n 3, 866 n.

Nusrat Khān Badr-ud-Dīn Sunkari-Ṣūfī, the Rūmī,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 673 n 5, 700 n 8, 713 n 2, 714 n 9, 787, 788 and n 9, 794.

Nuşratjang,-app. xxi.

Nuzhat-ul-Kulüb, the name of a book, 1216 n.

Nyew-lyen, of the Chanchu tribe, one of the generals of "Mengko" Kā'ān, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1222 n.

0.

Odo de St. Amand, the Master of the Temple at Jerusalem, 220 n3.

Ogotai,—mispronunciation of the name of Uktãe, son of the Ohingis Khān, 1104 n 4.

Ohsson, D'. See under D'Ohsson.

Oldjaitu, a Mughal title corresponding to the Turkish Kuflugh and the Arab Mubārak, 865 n.

Oriental Congress, the, 511 n, 885 n, 1094 n —app. iv.

Oriental History, Hayton's, 1162 n. Osborn, Major R. D., 1187 n.7.

Osmanli, the. See under the 'Ugmanli Turks.

Ossetæ, the,—the Europeanized name of the tribe of As, 1165 n, 1169 n.

Ossian, 508 n 1.

Ottoman Turks, the, 874 n, 878 n, 1235 n 1. See also under the 'Uşmanlı Turks.

Ouseley, 94 n 3, 1008 n 5.

P.

Paderin, M., 916 n.

Pāedār,—a Mughal Amīr in the army of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, 1276 n.

Pāczah, 1263 n 8.

Pakhal, Sultān—the Jahāngīrīān Sultān, 1044 n.

Palārak,—a species of Damašcened steel, 1218 and n 7.

Pali-che, the Orla [Arlāt],—an officer of "Mengko" Kā'ān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1222 n.

"Panjāb and Dehli, in 1857," Rev. J. Cave Browne's, 1062 n 2.

Panjāb Survey Maps, the, 537 n.

Paranchahs, the, 511 n.

Pardon, the dress of, 37 and n i.

Paris National Library, the, viii. Parsis the, 620 n.2.

Parwes b. Parwes, son of Shansab,
—ancestor of Amir Banji, 812
and n 7.

Parwis b. Yazdajird-i-Shahr-yar, the last of the Akasirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 70 n 1.

Pashm, signification of the word, 989 n.

Pathān Kings of Dehli, Thomas's Chronicles of the, xxvin3, lv, 666 n, 668 n, 669 n 8, 679 n 6, 685 n 4, 688 n 2, 690 n 1, 699 n 1, 730, 779 n, 791 n 4, 795 n 2, 800 n, 818 n 6, 857 n 8, 878 n, 1108 n 1, 1129 n 1, 1259 n 3,—app. i, iv. See also under Thomas's "Chronicles of the Pathān Kings of Dehli."

Paţāns or the Baṭanī Afghans, the, xii, xiii, xxiii n 2, 820 n 4, 834 n, 352 n 3, 397 n 1, 497 n 3, 508 n 1, 509 n, 511 n, 512 n, 528 n 3, 533 n, 736 n 5, 852 n 4, 873 n, 885 n, 1132 n, 1295 n 9,—app. xix.

Paynton, 295 n.

Pemberton, 563 n.

Pesh Dād, surname of Gaiū-mart, or Gil-Shāh, 1st of the Bāstā-nīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 2.

Pesh-dād b. Hoshang, ancestor of Zuhāk-i-Tāzī, 303 n 7.

Pesh Dādān, the 1st of the five dynasties of 'Ajam, the, 1,—also called the Bāstānīah.

Peta, son of Hocotam Cham, son of the Genzis Cham,—wrong name of Bātū Khān, son of Tūshī [Jūjī] Khān, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1167 n.

Pétis de la Croix,—author of a Life of the Chingiz Khān, 535 n, 890 n,

898 n, 964 n 2, 969 n 1, 971 n, 984 n, 998 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1019 n, 1024 n 2, 1025 n, 1088 n, 1084 n, 1085 n.

Petreius, 1171 n.

Philaretus, 158.

Philip Augustus,—Philip II. of France who undertook with Richard Cœur-de-Lion the third Crusade, 225 n.

Philip Smith, 886 n.

Ping-ching. See Lyew-ping-chong, 1218 n.

Pir-i-Roshan, the, or

Pīr-i-Tārīk, the, 1078 n 8.

Pîr Muḥammad, grandson of Amīr Tīmūr, 1155 n 6.

Pīr Shāh, son of Sultān 'Alā-nd-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 254n3, 282n7, 283 n9. See also under Chiyāş-ud-Dīn Pīr Shāh.

Pirey, the slave of Alb-Tigin,—ruler of Ghaznin, 73 and n 7, 74 n 2, 820 n 3.

Pithorā Rāe of Ajmīr, xxiii, 846 n 9, 393, 410 n 5, 432 n 9, 456 n 2, 458 and n 6, 459 n and n 9, 460 n and nn 3 and 4, 462 n, 463 n, 464 and n 7, 466 n 1, 467 n, 468, 469 nn 8 and 9, 470 n and n 2, 486 n, 489 n 3, 491, 516 n 2, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 549, 598 n 8, 608 n 8, 621 n 6, 622 n, 635 n 4, 761 n 1, 779 n 3, 1059 n 9, 1060 n 5,—app. ii, iii, iv, v, vi.

Plowden, Captain T. C., 1081 n 7.

Poetry of the Afghans, Raverty's, xxxi, 511 n, 1078 n 8, 1147 n 9.

Poles, the,—the people of Poland, 1166 n.

Po-li-cha,—a great Tatar [Mughal]

lord, general of "Mengko" Ķāān, 1222 n.

Polo, Marco. See under Marco Polo.

Poondir, of the Dahima race of Rajpūts, 460 n. See also under Ohand Poondir.

Popes, the, 1227 n 3.

Portakin, Bortakin or Bortikin. See under Yorkin.

Porus, the Indian Prince who came to battle with Alexander the Great, 536 n, 537 n.

Pottinger, 188 n 7.

Pramārah race of Hindūs, the, 523 n.

Prānkī b. Sīānī b. Lūdī,—ancestor of Sulţān Bahlūl, the founder of the Lūdīah dynasty in India, 510 n.

Prejevalsky, the Russian Colonel and explorer, 951 n, 981 n, 1085 n. Price, Mr., 155 n 7, 442 n, 584 n 2, 1048 n 1.

Prithī Rāj or Pirt'hwirājā, of Ajmīr. See under Pithorā Rāe.

Prithu, Bartū or Britū,—a Hindū chief, 629 n 5.

Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 683 n, 774 n,—app. xxii.

Procopius,—the Greek historian of the VIth century, 171 n.

Pūlād or Fūlād, son of Malik Shansab, son of Kharnak,—Amīr of Ghūr, 306 n 9, 311 and n 2.

Pūlān,—one of the Maliks of Sultān <u>Shams-ud-Dīn</u> I-yal-timi<u>sh</u> of Dihlī, 629 and n7.

Purihars, the,—name of a Hindu people, 611 n 3.

Q.

Qāān-i-Bazarg,—title of the <u>Ch</u>iugīz <u>Kh</u>ān,—app. xxi.

Quatremère, 1188 n 8, 1226 n.

Queen of Sheba [Sabā], Balķīs, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 6, 303 n7.

Queen Roussudan, daugther of

Queen Thamar, sovereign of Georgia, 1001 n.

Queen Thamar, sovereign of Georgia, 1001 n.

Qotb aldyn Baktyar Káky, 622 n. See under Kutb-ud-Din Bakhtyār Kākī.

R.

Rādwīah,—ancestor of Ţāhir ibn ul-Husain, 9 n 1.

Rue of Chand-wal [Ohand-war], the. 743.

Rão of Dihlī, the,—defeated by Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām Ghūrī, Sultān of Ghaznīn, 379 n 4. Rão of Gujarāt, the, 521 n. Rão of Gwāliyūr, the, 546 n 7.

Rāe of Jāj-nagar, the, xxvii, 585 n 6, 589 n, 592 n, 666 n, 738, 739, 762, 763 and n 3.

Rāe of Jāj-nagar-Ūdīsah, the, 592 n. Rāe of Kālinjar, the, 523 n, 524 n, 788, 784, 785 and n 9, 777 n, 824 n 8.

Rae of Nahrwalah, the, 516 n 2, 519 n, 520 n.

Rac of Thangir [or Thankir], the, 545.

Rae of Udisah, the, 592 n.

Rāe Kokār or Kokar,—an error for the Khokhar Rāe, 294 n.

Rãe Lakhman, 554 n 9.

Rae Lakhmaniah, of the Hindū dynasty of Nūdīah, 512 n 4, 554 and n 9, 555 and nn 1 and 4, 556, 557, 558 and nn 7 and 1, 559 and n 2, 574.

Rae Lakhmah. See the above.

Rāe Mān, 627 and n 6.

Rae Pathora of Ajmir, 346 n 9. See also under Pithora Rae.

Rãe Sāl, the Hindû chief of the Jibāl-i-Jūdī [the Jūd Hills], 482 n, 484 n.

Rāfi' b. Harsamah,—deputy of Amīr Muḥammad, son of Tāhir [the last of the Tāhiri dynasty], in the government of Khurāsān, 23, 24 and n 3, 30, 32 n 7.

Rāfi'b. Hāsham,—the rebel governor of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 12 n 3.

Rāfizīs, the,—the name given by the Sunnīs to the Shī'ahs, 1255 n.

Rahup, the Rajput prince of Mandawar and Cheetore, 611 n 3.

Ra'īs-i-Bat or Tab, chief of Zarān, 326 a.

Ra'is-ud-Daulah, the,—a learned Muhammadan doctor, 1206 n 8, 1209 n.

Rājah of Jamūn, the, 453 n 4, 454 n, 460 n 8.

Rājah of Kāmrūd, the, 562 n, 568 n, 564, 570 n and n 9, 764.

Rajah of Sankarah, the, 591 %. Rajasthan, Tod's, 520 %.

Rājpūts, the, 459 n 9, 462 n, 466 n 1, 516 n 2, 520 n, 521 n, 611 n 8, 614 n 8, 691 n, 694 n 6, 858 n 6, 1048 n 1, 1076 n.

Ralph Fitch, 570 n 9.

Rām Chand [Rāmā], Rājah, 93 n 9, 548 n 2.

Rām Dīw,—brother of Jakr [Chakr] Dīw, ruler of Jamūn, 453 n 4.

Ramīsh-i-Bat or Tab, chief of Zarān, 326 n.

Rampoldi's Annali Musalmani, 535 n.

Ramusio, 1219 n.

Rānā Lakhī, son of Jündhara, of the Bhatī tribe, 79 n, 80 n.

Rānah of Ajār, the, 690 n 1, 691 and n, 733 and n 6. See also under Chāhar, the Ajār.

Ranah of the Jud Hills, the, 815.

Ranbakā or Zaukabā, son of Tāzīobarsed,—ancestor of Zuhāk the Tāzī, 303.

Ranjit Singh, the founder of the Sikh Kingdom, 292 n.

Ran-pāl [Ran-pāla], the Hindū Rānah, 889 and n 7, 840.

Rărābars or Dārābars, a general of the Rāe of Gujarāt, 522 n.

Raşad-i-Îl-Khānī, or Îl-Khānī, the, —the observatory erected in Aşarbāijān by command of Hulākū Khān, 1257 n, 1263 n.

Rāshid, the Shār of Gharjistān, 841 n6.

Rashīd-ud-Dīn, author of the Jāmi'ut-Tawārīkh, 888 n 4, 858 n 3, 859 n, 618 n, 869 n 2, 891 n, 892 n, 908 n, 909 n, 924 n, 926 n, 933 n, 938 n, 949 n, 964 n 2, 985 n, 989 n, 1000 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1046 n, 1086 n, 1089 n, 1155 n 6, 1211 n, 1216 n, 1235 n 2, 1236 n, 1240 n, 1244 n, 1247 n 7, 1250 n, 1255 n, 1260 n 6, 1261 n, 1268 n, 1264 n, 1278 n, 1286 n,—app. xx. ashīd-ud-Dīn, the Hakīm, Khwā-

Rashid-ud-Din, the Hakim, Khwājah,—a merchant of Balkh, 1174 and n 2.

Rashid-ud-Din-i-'Abd-ul-Majid, Imam, 184.

Rashīd-ud-Dīn 'Alī, the Sipah-Sālār,—an officer of Sultān Shams--ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish, of Diblī, xxvi, 620, 643 n 4.

Rashīd-ud-Dīn 'Alī, Ḥanafī, ṭhe Sharaf-ul-Mulk,—the Ulugh-i-Khās Ḥājib [Chief Royal Chamberlain], of Sulṭān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 833.

Rashīd-ud-Dīn Mālkānī or Mālkānī, <u>Kh</u>wājah,—a Tājik official of Sulţān Rukn-ud-Dīn Fīrūz <u>Sh</u>āh of Dihlī, 635 and n 8.

Rashīd-ud-Dīn Watwāt, Imām,—a lineal descendant of the Khalīfah 'Umar and author of the Ḥadāyiķ-us-Siḥr fī Daķāyiķ-ush-Shi'r, 238 and 74.

Ratbel or Rantbel,—title of the King of Kābul who opposed the first Mussalmāns when they invaded his territory, 22 n 5.

Ratbel or Rantbel, the Shāh of Kābul,—to whom the sons of Darbim, Governor of Sijistān, fied after their defeat by the Saffārīs, 19 n 1, 22 n 5.

Rāthhs, the,—name of a people in the Koh-i-Jūd, 1132 n.

Rātibah, the slave girl of Mahmūdi-Warrāķ of Nīshāpūr, 17, 18. Rausat-us-Safā, the, name of a historical work, 118 n, 116 n 8, 145 149 n 3, 162 n 2, 199 nn 7 and 9, 264 n, 266 n, 287 n 9, 289 n, 297 n. 805 n 5. 308 n 2. 316 n 2. 322 n, 333 n 6, 338 n 2, 343 n 3, 378 n 9, 404 n 1, 407 nn 5 and 7, 411 n. 432 n 1, 434 nn 8 and 9, 436 n 5, 449 n 8, 531 n 8, 540 n, 559 n 2, 560 n 4, 572 n 4, 576 n 5, 615 n 1, 623 n 1, 645 n 3, 658 n 1, 659 n 6,  $665 \, n \, 8,869 \, n \, 2,906 \, n,909 \, n,933 \, n,$ 946 n, 951 n, 959 n, 960 n, 983 n, 995 n. 984 n, 987 n 3, 998 n, 999 n, 1008 n 5, 1012 n 9, 1019 n,  $1020 \, n$ ,  $1025 \, n$ ,  $1028 \, n$ ,  $1029 \, n$ , 1031 n, 1032 n, 1034 n, 1036 n 1, 1038 nn 3 and 6, 1042 n5, 1048 n1, 1050 n, 1053 n 9, 1074 n, 1083 n, 1087n, 1137n, 1138n, 1141n, 1148 n 4, 1159 n 6, 1190 n 1, 1194 n,  $1197 \, n \, 3$ ,  $1206 \, n \, 3$ ,  $1221 \, n$ , 1227n 4, 1233 n 2, 1243 n, 1246 n 5, 1256 n 6, 1262 n,-app. xx.

Raugat-uţ-Tāhirīn, the,—name of a book, xvi, 308 n 2, 423 n 8, 451 n 8.

Ravenstein, 969 n 1.

Raverty, Major, lii, 718 n, 1021 n 8,
—app. xiii, xiv, xvii, xix, xxiv.

Rayḥān, application of the term, 694 n 4.

Rayhān, 'Imād-ud-Dīn. See under 'Imād-ud-Dīn Rayhān.

Rayhānī, signification of the term, 829 n 9.

Rasan Mürit,—ancestor of Tahir ibn-ul-Husain, 9.

Bāşī Bi'llāh, ar-, the 'Abbāsī <u>Kh</u>alīfah, 37, 55 n 1.

Raşī-ul-Mulk, 'Izz-ud-Din Durmaahī [Durmashānī],—one of the Malike of Sultan Nașir-ud-Din Mahmud Shah of Dihli, 697 and s and s 6.

Rayī-ul-Mulk Tirmisī, who became the director of the affairs of <u>Chasuīn</u> at the time of the Mughal invasion, 1015 n, 1016.

Raziyyah, Sultan, daughter of Sulțăn Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timish of Dihli, xxvi, 624 n, 625, 681 nn 4 and 9, 632 n 5, 683 n 7, 635 and n9, 636, 637 and n8, 638 n1, 639 nn 7 and 8, 640 and nn 1 and 2, 641 and n 8, 648 and n 4, 644 and n and n7, 645 and n and nn 9 and 3, 646, 647 and n 9, 648 and nn 2, 8 and 5, 649, 651 n7, 652, 656 n, 669 n 8, 726 and n 2, 731 n 9, 735, 787, 743, 745, 746, 748, 749 m and nn 1 and 3, 760 and n 9, 752, 753, 754, 761, 767, 779, 780, 782 n 3, 790, 798, 806, 818 n 5, 860 n, 884 n, 1129 and n 1, 1153 n 9, 1224 n, 1285.

Re-bāl or Ran-bāl, a Hindū Chief in the Koh-i-Jūd, 482 n, 484 n. See also under Rāe-sāl.

Reinaud. 1046 n. 3.

Reiske's Geography of Abu'l Fida, 94 n 3.

Remusat, 912 n 9.

Rennel, 585 n 6, 588 n.

Retrospect of Mahommedan History, Price's, 584 n 2.

Reynold, 73 n 7, 76 n 2, 87 n.

Riaz-uş-Şalâţîn, name of a historical work, 777 n.

Richard Cour-de-Lion, xlvii, 220 n 3, 225 n, 470 n.

Rieu, Prof., vi, viii, xvi, 826 n,—app. xv.

Rīnkāwar b. Sāhirah, son of Tūjz,

-ancestor of Zuhāk, the Tāsī, 808 n 7.

Risālah-i-Bahā'īah, the,—of Fa<u>kh</u>rud-Dîn Muḥammad, Rāşī, 429.

Ritter, 632 n, 916 n.

Riţā, Imām, descendant of 'Alī b.

Abī Ṭālib and one of the twelve
Imāms of the Shī'ah, 11 n 9.

Rişā, ar-, title of Nûḥ b. Manşūr son of Nûḥ, son of Naṣr Sāmānī, 44 n 9.

Romans, the, 135 and n 2, 136 n, 139 n, 872 n. See also under the Rūms.

Romanus [Armānūs the Kaisar of Rūm], 134 n 9, 136 n.

Royal Asiatic Society, Transactions of the, 691 n.

Rubruquis, 900 n and n 2, 1078 n 8, 1080 n 4, 1110 n 7, 1140 n, 1157 n 9, 1161 n 4, 1162 n, 1165 n, 1168 n, 1173 n 1, 1185 n, 1225 n, 1267 n 6, 1290 nn 9 and 1, 1291 n 2.

Rūdakī, Farīd-ud-Dīn, Abū 'Abdullah Muḥammad, the famous poet, 153 n 7.

Rukn-ud-Daulah, Abū 'Alī-i-Ḥasan, son of Buwīah, son of Fanā Khusrau, Dīlamī, second of the dynasty, 55 n 1, 57, 59, 61 n 4, 62 n 6.

Rukn-ud-Dīn, Abū-Bikr, the Kurat, Malik,—the maternal grand-father of Malik Shams-ud-Dīn Muhammad, founder of the Kurat dynasty, 1181 n 2, 1203 n. Same as Rukn-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Abū Bikr the Maraghanī.

Rukn-ud-Dîn Abu'l Muşaffar Barkīārûk, son of Sulţān Malik Shāh, Suljūkī, 143 n 2. See under Barkīīrūķ, Rukn-ud-Dīn Abu'l Muzaffar.

Rakn-ud-Dîn b. 'Alā-ud-Dîn Muhammad, 392.

Rukn-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. Ibrāhīm, of Nīshāpūr, the Ķāzī-i-Mamālik, 1035 n.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Fīrūz Shāh, Malik, b. Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, son of Shams-ud Dīn Iyal-Timigh, of Dilhī, 672, 695, 827 n 8.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Fīrūz Shāh, Sulţān b. Sulţān Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal-Timish of Dihlī, 625, 630 and n 2, 631, 632, 633 and nn 6 and 8, 634 and n 9, 635 and n 9, 636, 638 n 1, 657 n, 670 n 1, 703 n 9.

Ruku-ud-Dīn <u>Gh</u>ūrī <u>Sh</u>ānastī b. Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad -i-Takish <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 235, 266 and n, 273 n, 275 n, 276 n 5, 281 and n 5, 282 and n, 284, 399 n 6, 990 n, 992, 1075 n. See also under <u>Gh</u>ūrī <u>Sh</u>ānastī.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Ḥamzah, Ķiwām-ul Mulk, the Şadr-i-Kabīr,—Ķāzī of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Din Muḥam-mad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, 466 n 1, 489 n 3, 517 n.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Ḥamzah-i-'Abd-ul-Malik,—one of the Maliks of Sultān <u>Sh</u>ams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timi<u>sh</u>, of Dihlī, 626.

Rukn-ud-Dīn <u>Kh</u>ūr <u>Sh</u>āh, son of 'Aḥā-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd,—the last of the Mulāḥidah rulers of Alamūt, 706 n 3, 1148 n, 1206 nn 1 and 3, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1209 n, 1210 n, 1211 n, 1212 n, 1221 n, 1276 n, 1279 n.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Ķulij Arsalān [called Sulīmān by some] b. Ghiyāş-ud-

Din Kai Khusrau,—XIIth of the Saljükiah dynasty of Rüm, 163 n5, 164, 1151 n, 1152 n. 1195 n, 1262 n.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd, son of Yamīn-ud-Dīn Bahrām Shāh, grandson of Tāj-ud-Dīn-i-Ḥarab, Malik of Sijistān and Nīmros, 197 and nn 7 and 8, 198.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd <u>Kh</u>ān b. Muḥammad-i-Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān, the Afrūsiyābī. See under Maḥmūd <u>Kh</u>ān b. Muḥammad-i-Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Mubārak-i-Khwājah Jūķ, son of Burāķ, the Ḥājib, sovereign of Kirmān, under the vassalage of the Mughals, 1119 a.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Abī Bikr, the Maraghanī, Malik, 1037 n, 1039 n 7, 1042 n 6, 1049 n 2, 1052, 1054 n, 1199 n, 1200 n. Same as Rukn-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr, the Kurat.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Usmān, the Maraghanī, Malik,—chief of Khācsār of Ghūr and father of Shams-ud-Dīn Muhammad, founder of the Kurat dynasty, xxiv, xxv, xlvii, 201, 233, 967 and n 3, 1039, 1061 and n 1, 1198 and n 8, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1203, 1204, 1205.

Rukn-ud-Dīn Sulīmān b. <u>Gh</u>iyās-ud-Dīn Kai <u>Kh</u>usrau. See Ruknvd-Dīn Ķulij Arsalān.

Rukn-ud-Din Sür, of Kidān,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām, of <u>Gh</u>ür, 491 and n 7.

Rukn-ud-Dîn, title of Tughril Beg, son of Mīkā'il, son of Saljūķ, 186 n.

Rūmī dynasty of the Saljūķs, the, 157 and n 4, 158, 235 n.

Rûmian, the, 872 n. See also under the Romans.

Rūmīs, the, xxxiii, 139 n. See also under the Romans.

Rūms, the, 69 n, 211 n 7, 226. See also under the Romans.

Rūs, the, 870 n, 999 n, 1103 n, 1151 n, 1169 and n 2, 1170 n. See also under the Russians.

Rūs, fifth son of Yūfis [Japheth], —from whom the Russians are descended, 870 n, 871 n, 887 n.

Bū-shed b. Manūchihr,—ancestor of Tāhir ibn ul-Husain, 9.

Rūsiāns, the. See under the Russians and also under the Rūs. Russians, the, 474 n 5, 870 n, 871 n, 929 n, 981 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1051 n, 1171 n. See also under the Rūs. Rustāķ, signification of the word, 927 n.

Rustam-i-Dastān or

Rustam-i-Zāl, -- the famous hero of ancient Īrān, 3, 91, 184 n, 285 n 3, 308 n 2, 318, 422 and n 7, 460, 471 n 5, 510 n, 561 n 9, 681, 721, 972 n, 1135, 1234,—app. xxi.

Rustam b. as-Saddīd, ancestor of Tāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain, 9.

Ruzaik b. Māhān-i-Khazā'ī, ancestor of Tāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain, 9 n 1.

Ruzudan, daughter of Queen Thamar, sovereign of Georgia, 1001 n.

Ruzutan See the above.

8.

Sabā, the 'Arab tribes of, 613 n 2. Sāban-tar [Sāwan-tara], leader of the forces of Jāj-nagar, 763.

Ṣabbāḥ al-Ḥimyarī, aṣ-,—of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7, 187 n 7.

Şābī-i-Dabīr [the Secretary], author of the Kitāb-i-Nājī, 60 and n7.

Sabit b. Sinān, son of Sābit b. Kurrah, surnamed Abū Kurrah aş-Sābī, 60 n 7. See the above.

Sabtāe, the Nūyīn, 276 n. See under Swīdāe, the Bahādur.

Sabuk-Tigin, founder of the <u>Gharmawiyah dynasty</u>, xxxiin 2, xxxiii, xlv, 1, 27, 41 n, 45 n 8, 46 and n n 1, 2 and 4, 47, 48 and nn 8 and 4, 49, 51 n 5, 61, 62, 67, 68, 69 and n, 70 and n 8, 71, 72 n 6, 73 and nn 7 and 9, 74 and n and nn 2

and 3, 75 and n, 76, 80 n 5, 84 n 8, 105 n, 115, 232 n, 320 and n 3, 324 n, 329, 455, 509 n, 852 n 4, 1016 n 3,—app. xviii.

Sa'd b. Zangī, son of Maudūd, the Atā-bak,—ruler of Fārs under the Saljūk sovereigns, 148, 178, 176 and n 1, 177 and n 6, 178 and n 7, 179, 265, 266 n, 283 n 9, 295 n and n 6, 296 n.

Sa'd-ud-Daulah, the Shahnah or agent of Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, son of Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, the Sal-jūķī, at Baghdād, 184 n 9.

Sa'd-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr the Şalghūrī, Atā-bak, ruler of Fārs, 1262 n. See under Abū Bikr b. Sa'd b. Zangī.

Sa'd-ud-Dîn Gardaizî, Ķāşī,—one of the Court Ķāşīs of Sulţān

- Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish, of Dihlī, 625.
- Sa'd-ud-Dîn Tirmizī, <u>Kh</u>wājah, defender of Hirāt against the <u>Kh</u>wārazmīs, 258.
- Şadahs or Hundreds,—one of the four degrees of Mughals, 948 n.
- Şadakah,—one of the officers of Malik Shāh, son of Rukn-ud-Dīn Barkīārūk, the Saljūķī sovereign, 145 n 4.
- Saddid b. Dostân, aş-, ancestor of Tühir ibn ul-Ḥusain, 9.
- Sa'dī, Shaykh, author of the Gulistān, 179 n 1, 407 n 8.
- Sa'dī the Juzbī,—one of the Nūyīns of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1006, 1047, 1051, 1053 and n 9.
- Sadid-ud-Dîn Yûsuf b. Muţahhar, —one of the Sayyids [Shī'ahs] of Ḥillah, 1242 a 2.
- Şadr-i-Jahān, a title given to Minhāj-i-Sarāj, by Sulţān Nāşir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, of Dihlī, xxviii, 698 and n 8.
- Şadr-i-Jahān, application of the title in Akbar's reign, 698 n 8.
- Sadr-i-Jahan of Bukhara, the, 601 n8.
- Şadr Jahan Mufti,-app. xxi.
- Şadr-ud-Dîn,—one of the chief men of Rukn-ud-Dîn <u>Kh</u>ür <u>Sh</u>āh, Bād-<u>sh</u>āh of the Mulāḥidah of Alamūt, 1209 n.
- Şadr-ud-Dîn, the Tabrîzî, Malik, commander of the Tājzīk tomān of the Mughal troops, 1280 n 5, 1281 n.
- Şadr-ud-Dîn'Alî Haişam, the Nîghāpūrī, Imūm, of the sect of the Kirāmīs and the head of the Afshīn college, 385.

- Şadr-ud-Dîn Mas'üd, Harawî, Wazîr of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dîn Takish, son of I-yal-Arsalān <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 251 n 1.
- Sadr-ul-Mulk, the Sayyid, Tāj-ud-Dīn 'Alī, Mūsāwī, the Mushrif-i-Mamālik of Dihlī, 652 and n 1, 653 and n 5, 654 n, 663, 711. See also under Tāj-ud-Dīn, 'Alī, Mūsāwī.
- Sadsan, son of Yafis [Japheth], son of Nah [Noah], 870 n.
- Safaktān, of the Turkish tribe of Yamak, father of Kadr Khān of Turkistān, xlviii, 961 n, 963 n 8, 1097 and n 6. His Musalmān name was Yūsuf.
- Şaffah-i-Makşūrah, the,—the most sacred place within a Masjid, 976 n.
- Şāfī, an officer of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah al-Mu'tazid, 32 n.
- Şāfī or Şābī, the Historian. See under Şübit b. Sinān.
- Şafî-ud-Dîn Mahmüd, <u>Kh</u>wājah, one of the Wazīrs of Sulţān <u>Gh</u>iyāṣ-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Sām, of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 388, 390.
- Saghalsus,—a word attached to the name of Malik Nusrat-ud-Din Sher Khān, Sunkar, which see, 969 and n 3.
- Saghnāk Tigīn, son of Ūzār, the Kankulī, the chief of Ālmālīgh, 969 n 1, 986 n.
- Sag-lab [Saklab], fourth son of Yafis [Japheth], 870 n, 871 n.
- Şāḥib, aş., title of ibn-i-'Abbād,— Wazīr of Fakhr-ud-Daulah, Abu'l-Ḥasen-i-Buwīah, 48 n 4.
- Sühind or Sämind, son of Sifandäsp, descendant of Zuhāk, the Tāzī, 306.

- Sähirah b. Tüjs,—ancestor of Zuhäk the Täsī, 308 n 7.
- Sahūdah, the Bahādur. See under Swīdāe, the Bahādur.
- Saibī b. Altūn Tāsh-i-Khwārasm Shāh, the Ḥājib of Sulṭān Maḥmūd of Ghasnīn, 121 n.
- Sa'id Bādghaisī,—one of the chief men among the weavers of Hirāt, 1127 n.
- Sa'id, son of the Khalifah 'Ugman b.
  'Affan, 1258 n 8.
- Saif b. Zī-Yazan,—of the Tabūbi'ah of Yaman, 8. [jistān, 1201 s.
- Saif-ud-Din,—the Malik of Ghar-Saif-ud-Din. Malik.—one of the
- Saif-ud-Dīn, Malik,—one of the Amīrs of Ghūr, 1070.
- Saif-ud-Dīn, the Bākhurzī, Shaikh,
  —a Muhammadan saint, 1181 n,
  1247.
- Saif-ud-Dīn the Bitik-ohī,—an army officer of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1208 n.
- Saif-ud-Dīn al-Kaimarī or al-Ķamīrī,—one of the Amīrs of Malik-un-Nāşir, the ruler of Ḥalab and Shām, 1267 n 6.
- Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr b. Malik-ul-Kāmil, son of Malik-ul-'Ādil Saifud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī,—his father's Lieutenant in the Diyār-i-Miṣrīah, 228 n4.
- Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad b. Aiyūb, son of Shādī, al-Kurdī, the Malik-ul-'Ādil. See under Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif-ud-Dīn.
- Saif-ud-Dîn Aķā,—the Wazīr and Secretary of the Province of Tus, 1196 n.
- Saif-ud-Din Askali or Arkali,-a

- horseman of the Malik-ul-Kamil, of Sham, 1272 = 5.
- Saif-ud-Dīn Baţ Khān-i-Ibak, the Khitā-ī, Malik of Kuhrām,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān Nāşir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, of Dihlī, lxii, 673, 699, 706, 757, 758 and n9, 830, 832, 833, 948 n.
- Saif-ud-Dīn-i-Ghāzī, son of 'Imādud-Dīn Zangī, ruler of Mausil, 204n, 206n7, 207n8.
- Saif-ud-Din Hasan the Karlugh, Malik,—governor of Multan, 633 n 6, 644 n 7, 645 n, 668 n, 689 n, 730, 781 and nn 8 and 2, 782 and n 3, 1074 n 9, 1108 n 1, 1119, 1128 and n, 1129 and n 1, 1130 n, 1131 and n, 1153, 1154 n 1, 1201 n.
- Saif-ud-Dīn Ibak, the Shamsī, the chief Dād-Bak, one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 673 n 5, 788, 789 and n 3, 790.
- Saif-ud-Dīn Ibak-i-Balkā <u>Kh</u>ān, Ṣanā'ī,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd <u>Sh</u>āh of Dihlī, 673, 749, 774 n.
- Saif-ud-Din Ibak-i-Bihak, the Kutlugh Khān,—commander of the army under Sultān Raziyyah, daughter of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish of Dihlī, 641.
- Saif-ud-Dîn Ibak-i-Kashlī <u>Kh</u>ān Mubārak-i-Bārbak,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān Nāṣir-ud-Dîn Maḥmūd <u>Sh</u>āh of Dihlī, 674, 685 n4, 694 and n3, 702, 709 n, 713 and n2, 778 n, 781 n9, 795 and n2, 796, 798, 799 n4, 802, 820, 827, 840, 841, 849 n9.
- Saif-ud-Din Ibak-i-Uchchah, Malik, —one of the Maliks in Hind of Sul-

tan Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish, of Dihli, 617 n 5, 688 n 6, 729, 780, 781.

Saif-ud-Dîn Ibak-i-Yughān-Tat, the <u>Kh</u>iţā-ī Turk,—one of the Maliks in Hind of Sulţān Shams-ud-Dîn I-yal-Timish of Dihlī, 618 n, 731, 732 and n 1, 736, 744, 762 n 9, 770 n 9, 771 n, 774 n, 778 n, 866 n.

Saif-ud-Din Ighrāk, Malik of the I-ghūrs,—who joined Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh in the early part of his campaign against the Mughals, 287 n 9, 289 n, 290 n, 391 n 8, 409 n 6, 540 n, 1015 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 n 8, 1022 n, 1023 n, 1129 n 1.

Saif-ud-Din Kuduz, the Turkmān,-Amīr-ul-Umarā of Misr, who under the title of Malik-ul-Muzaffar, usurped the sovereignty of that country, 1276 n, 1277 n, 1282 n.

Saif-nd-Dīn Kūjī, Malik, feudatory of Hānsī,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal Timish, of Dihlī, 633, 634, 639, 640, 726, 735, 779.

Saif-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, son of Sulțān 'Alā-ud-Dīn al-Ḥusain, XVIth of the Shansabānīah dynasty of Chūr, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368 and n, 369, 370, 371, 375 n, 377 n 4, 413 n 1, 446, 447.

Saif-ud-Dîn Şādik Khān b. Mangūķā or Mangūeķā, Malik,—one of the Sardārs of Sulţān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh, 1276 n.

Saif-ud-Dîn Sürî b. 'Izz-ud-Dîn al-Husain <u>Gh</u>ürî, Sultāu, Ist of the <u>Shansabānīah</u> dynasty of <u>Gh</u>aznîn, 112 n 5, 118 n, 149 n 2, 316 n 9, 337, 338 nn 1 and 2, 339, 340, 341 and n 7, 343, 343 and nn 2 and 3, 347 n 2, 348 and n, 340 n, 350 n, 351 n, 353 n 5, 354, 355, 356 n, 358 n 2, 423 n 8, 438, 439 and nn 3 and 4, 440, 441, 442 and n, 443 n, 445, 446 n 3.

Saif-ud-Dîn Sürî, son of Shihāb-ud-Dîn Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>arnak, son of 'Izz-ud-Dîn al-Husain <u>Gh</u>ürî, Malik, 344, 472 n, 496 n 1.

Saif-ud-Dīn Timrānī, the <u>Kh</u>udāwand-Zādah,—one of the Amīrs of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 895.

Saif-ul-Islam, Malik-ul-'Asis, Zahīr-ud-Dīn, son of Aiyūb, son of Shādī al-Kurdī, 208 and n 9.

Şā'in <u>Kh</u>ān, the,—title of Bātū, son of Tūshī, son of the <u>Ohingis Kh</u>ān, 1165 n,

St. John, the Baptist, 1253 n 4.

St. John, the Evangelist, 1161 n 1.

St. John, Major, 991 n, 1119 n, 1207 n.

St. Louis, 885 n, 886 n.

St. Petersburg's Journal, the, 72 n 6. Sair-ul-Bilād, the,—a Persian translation of the Aṣār-ul-Bilād, an

Arabic geographical work, xvi. Sajān b. Marzabān b. Hariz, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 8.

Sājūķah. See under Sāmūķah, the Bahādur.

Saķlāb or Ṣaklāb, the fourth of the eight sons of Yāfls [Japheth], 870 n, 871 n, 886 n.

Saklabs or Saklabs, the,—descendants of Saklab, son of Yaffs [Japheth], 870 n, 872 n, 1032 n. The Sclavs or Slavs of Europeans. Saknāk, son of Tüshī, son of the

Saknak, son of Tüshi, son of the Ohingiz Khān, 1166 n.

- Saktūr, the Nū-yīn,—one of the Nū-yīns of the <u>Ohingīs Kh</u>ān, 972 n, 975 n 5.
- Sakya-muni, the Buddha,—story of his incarnation, 892 n.
- Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn, the Nisā-ī, Kotwāl [Soneschal] of the city of <u>Gh</u>aznīn,—under Sulţān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 1013, 1014 and n 2, 1015 n.
- Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn Khalīl, son of Malikul-Kāmil Nāṣir-ud-Dīn,—the last of the Aiyūbī dynasty in Egypt, 1275 n 3.
- Şalāh-ud-Dīn Yūsuf, son of Aiyūb b.

  <u>Shādī-al-Kurdī</u>, Sultān,—Vth of
  the Kurdīah Maliks of <u>Sh</u>ām, 101
  n8, 204n, 205 nn 4 and 6, 206
  and n7, 207 and n8, 208 n and
  nn 9 and 1, 209 n6, 210 and n,
  211, 212 n, 213 and n, 214 n and
  an6 and 8, 215 and n9, 216 and
  nn 3 and 4, 217 and n, 218 n and
  n6, 219 and n9, 220 and nn 1
  and 3, 221 n and nn 6 and 7, 222
  and n and n 8, 224 and n 8, 225 n,
  229 n 5, 470 n, 1265 n 9, 1267 n 6.
- Sālār Zaffir. See under Zaffir, the Sālār.
- Sale, General Sir R., 97 n.
- Sale's, "Defence of Jalālābād," 331 n1,1012n4, 1025n. [n9,835n3.
- Sale's Kur'ān, 365 n 3, 646 n 7, 820
- Salghān <u>Kh</u>ātūn,—daughter of <u>Ohaghatāe Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Ohingīz Kh</u>ān, 1148 n 4, 1211 n.
- Salghur, the Turkman Chief,—progenitor of the Ata-baks of Fars, 174 n.
- Salghur Shah, son of the Ata-bak Sa'd b. Zangī, ruler of Fars, 295 n 6.

- Salghur Sultān, Abū Bìkr-i-Sa'd, ruler of Fārs, 1118 n 9. See under the Atā-bak Abū-Bikr b. Sa'd b Zangī.
- Sālī, Sārī or Sālīn, a Tāttār tribe, 1135 n 5.
- Sālī, the Nūyīn. See under Sālīn the Tāttār Nūyīn.
- Şālih, Khwajah, the Koţ-wāl [Senes-chal], deputy of Hindū Khān Mihtar-i-Mubārak in the fort of Ochchah, 1153 and n9.
- Şûliḥ-i-Ismā'il, Malik, b. Badr-ud-Din A'ou'l-Fazā'il-i-Lūlū, ruler of Mauşil, 1247 n, 1263 n 8, 1264 n, 1280 n 5, 1281 n, 1282 n.
- Şālih b. Darhim, governor of Sijistān, 19 n 1, 22 n 5.
- Şālih b. an-Naşr, Kanānī,—governor of Sijistān under the Tāhirīs, 19 and n 1, 21.
- Salīm, Sultān, first of that name, of the 'Usmānlī sovereigns,—deposes the last 'Abbūsī Khalīfah in Egypt, 1260 n.
- Salīm [Islām] Shāh, Sūr, ruler of Dihlī, 511 n.
- Sālīn, Sālī or Sārī, the Tāttār Nūyīn,—one of the Nū-yīns of Mangū Ķā'ān, son of Tūlī, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 711 and n4, 786 n6, 788 n1, 844 and n8, 850 n2, 862, 1135 n5, 1191 n, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1225 n, 1283 n8.
- Sāljūt tribe of Mughals, the,—descendants of the second of the Nūrūn sons of Alān-Ķuwā, 893 n, 840 n, 941 n.
- Saljūķ b. Luķmān, the Turkmān, founder of the Saljūķī dynasty, 85, 116 and n 3, 117 n, 118 and n, 120, 908 n, 914 n.

- Saljūķ dynasty of 'Irāķ, the, 160 n, 165, 167 n 8.
- Saljūķ dynasty of Kirmān, the, 93 n 8, 138 n 8, 158 n, 167 n 8.
- Saljūķ dynasty of Rūm, the, 157 and n 4, 158 n, 164 n 7, 168 n 2, 235 n, 1237 n 4.
- Saljūķ dynasty of Shām, the, 144 n 3, 167 n 8.
- Saljūķ. Shāh, son of Mahmūd b. Muḥammad b. Malik Shāh, Saljūķī, 207 n 8.
- Saljūķ Turkmāns, the, 1028 n.
- Saljūķī Sultāns, the, 103 n, 126 n 2, 132 n 9, 145 n 4, 157 n 2, 168 n 2, 172 n 3, 189 n 8, 203, 234 n 8, 235, 236 and n 4.
- Saljūķs, the, vii, xxxiii, 66 n 7, 93 n 8, 94, 96 n 1, 98 and n 8, 100, 102 n 1, 104 n 1, 105 n, 108 n 5, 116 n 3, 117 n, 119 nn 7 and 8, 120 and n 1, 121 and n, 122 and nn 8 and 3, 123 n, 124 and n and n 4, 125 and nn 6 and 8, 126 nn 2 and 3, 127, 129 and n and nn 2 and 3, 130, 131 and n 7, 132 n 9, 138 n 8, 14i n, 157 and n 4, 167 n 8, 172 n 3, 174 n, 184 n, 185, 232 n, 236, 324 n, 332 n 4, 374 n 5, 478 n 6, 887 n, 891 n, 901 n, 903, 905 n, 1008 n 5, 1009 n, 1239 n, 1249 n.
- Salm b. Afrīdūn, sovereign of I-rān, 308 and n 2.
- Sal-Timi<u>sh,—a</u> Turkish name,—app. xii.
- Sām, the Sarhang [standard-bearer],—an army officer of Sultān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 1002, 1025 n 3.
- Sām b. Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd, Sulţān of Ghūr. See under his title of Bahā-ud-Dīn.

- Sām b. Ḥasan b. Muḥammad-i-Sūrī the chief of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 322 n, 333 n 6' Sām b. 'Izz-ud-Dīn al-Ḥusain, Sulṭān of <u>Gh</u>ūr. See under his title of Bahā-ud-Dīn.
- Sām b. Narīmān, grandfather of Rustam-i-Zāl, 309 n, 510 n, 972 n.
  Sām [Shem], son of Nūḥ [Noah]
  6, 170 n 8, 303 and n 7, 304.
- Sam b. Shams-ud-Din Muhammad Bamiani. See under his title of Baha-ud-Din.
- Sām, the Sipah-sālār, son of Zuhāk the Tāzī, 307.
- Sāmān-i-Khaddāt, founder of the Sāmānī dynasty, 26 and n 3, 27, 28, 29, 53 nn 3 and 6.
- Sāmānīs, dynasty of the, xxxiii, 25 n 2, 26, 27 and n 6, 28, 29 and n 9, 31 n 3, 32 n, 42, 50 n 2, 51 and n 6, 52 and n 1, 53 and n, 55 n 1, 63, 71 nn 4 and 5, 72 n 6, 73 n 7, 74, 75 n, 80 n, 81 n, 84 n 9, 118, 232 n, 341 n 6, 901 n, 915 n, 916 n, 922 n.
- Sāmānīs, Genealogical tree of the, 53.
- Samarsī, the Rājpūt Prince of Cheetore, 520 n.
- Sāmīārush, ruler of the descendants of Ķābīl and Nabaţī, the sons of Adam, 3.
- Samoydes, the,—the peoples inhabiting Northern Russia, who probably are the descendants of Yājūj and Mājūj, 872 n.
- Şamşām-ud-Daulah Marzabān, son of 'Uzd-ud-Daulah Fanā <u>Kh</u>usrau, of the Buwiah dynasty, 57, 64 and n 1, 65.
- Şamşām-ud-Din of Farghanah, a soldier in the service of Muham-

mad-i-Bakhtyar, the Khalj, 552 and n 8.

Sāmūkah Bahādur, the Sāljīūt,—one of the Nū-yīns of the Ohingīz Khān, 958 n.

Sanbashī, the Ḥājib. See under Subāshī, the Ḥājib-i-Buzurg.

Sanders, Captain, 1052 n 5.

Sang-i-Yadah, the rain-producing stone, 870 n, 941 n.

Sangar <u>Kh</u>ān, the Janjhūhah. See under Sankar <u>Kh</u>ān.

Sangar Khān, Kārlūķī. See under Sunkar Khān.

Sangkür, the Nű-yin,—one of the Nű-yins of Hulākü Khān, son of Tulī, son of the Ohingis Khān, 1263 n.

Sangūn, also styled Shangūn, son of the Awang Khān, 941 n, 942 n, 944 n.

Sanjan Rāe, author of a historical work, 85 n.

Sanjar, Sultan, son of Malik Shah, son of Alb-Arsalan-i-Ghazī, Saljūķī, 105 n, 107, 108 and n 5, 109 and n 8, 110 and n 1, 111 and n 2, 112 n 3, 138 n, 143 n 2, 144 n and n3. 146 and nn 5, 6 and 7, 147 and n 8, 148 and nn 4 and 5, 149 and n 2, 150, 151 and n 6, 152, 153 and n7, 154 and n2, 155 n and nn 3 and 6, 156 and n 8, 157 and nn 1, 2 and 4, 158 n, 159 and n, 165 and n5, 166 n, 168 and n2, 169 and n7, 170, 171 and, n2, 173 and n5, 174 and n, 180 and n 7, 181 n, 187 and n 4, 188, 189, 204 and n, 205, 233 n, 234 n 8, 236 nn 4, 5 and 6, 237 n and nn 7 and 8, 238 n and nn 3, 5 and 8, 239 and n, 242, 260 n7, 261, 264 n, 296 n, 338 n 6, 336

and n 4, 837, 842, 844, 847 n 2, 348 n, 349 n, 850 n, 857 and n 7, 858 and nn 2 and 3, 859 and n, 360, 861 and n 7, 362, 363 n 8, 364, 874 and n 5, 375 n, 378 n 3, 426 n 4, 427, 439 n 4, 900 n 4, 906 n, 907 n 908 n, 909 and n, 910, 911 and n 7, 919 n, 923 n, 924 n, 925 n, 926 n, 1198 n 8, 1244 n 3.

Sanjar Malik, the usurper of the government of Bukhārā, 260 n 7, 930 n.

Sanjar Shāh b. Tughān Shāh, son of Mu-ayyid-i-Ā'īnah-dār,—of the Sanjarīyah Maliks of Nīshāpūr, 182 and n 1, 246 n 8, 247 n, 250, 251 n 9, 391 n 3.

Sanjarī [of Sulţān Sanjar Saljūţī] dynasty, the, 168, 203, 231, 245, 248, 250, 336 and n4, 367, 371, 424.

Sanjārib, Malik of Bābil, [Sennacherib of biblical history], 3.

Sanjarīyah Maliks of Fārs, the, 173. Sanjarīyah Maliks of 'I-rāķ and Āzarbāījān, the, 169. [180. Sanjarīyah Maliks of Nīshāpūn, the, Sānjūtī, a friend of Ķabal Khān son of Tūmīnā-ī, chieftain of the Mughals, 897 n.

Sankar or Sangar Khān, the Janjhūhah,—chief of the Janjhūhah, tribes of the Koh-i-Jūd, 1181 n.

Sankghūr, the Nū-yān,—one of the Nū-yīns of the Ohingīz Khān, 1020 n.

Sankur b. Salghur, the Atā-bak, progenitor of the Atā-baks, of Fārs, 148, 168, 169 and n7, 173 and nn 4 and 5, 174 n, 175 and n7. See also under Ak-Sankur b. 'Abdu'llah, the Hājib.

- Saukur Shah b. Sa'd b. Zungî, the Ata-bak of Fars, 179.
- Sankur or Sankur Tigin b. Mandud b. Zangi, the Ata-bak of Fars, 174 n.
- Saukurāns, the, a sept of the Ghuzz tribe, 114 n, 377 n 6, 433 n 6, 450 and n 3, 451, 499 n.
- Sannufah-ut-Tārīkh, the,—of Sābit, son of Sinān, Abū Kurruh aş-Şābī, 60 n 7.
- Sar Dāwat-Dār, [Head or Chief Ink bearer, or Chief Socretary], the, 1232 n. See Mujāhid-ud-Dīn Ibak, the Sar-Dāwat-Dār.
- Sar-i-Jāndār [chief of the Jān-dārs or Guards],—title of a class of officers, xlix, 370, 603 and n7.
- Sarāj-ud-Dīn-i-Abū Bikr, the Amīri-Ḥājib,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, 483 n, 491 n 9.
- Sarāj-ud-Dīn b. Minhāj-ud-Dīn, 'Ujūbah-i-Zamān, father of the author, xix and n l, xxii, xxiii, xxx, xlvi, 105, 192, 193 n 4, 244, 383, 429 and nn 4 aud 6, 456, 489 n 3,—app. v, xix.
- Sarūj-ud-Dīn'Umr-i-Kharosh, Kharoshī or Kharoshtī, Malik,—one of the Maliks of Ghūr, 1070 and n 6, 1071.
- Sarakhsī, Abu'l Fazl Muḥammad ibn ul-Ḥākim, Imām,—author of the Mukhtaṣar-i-Kāfī, 38 and n5. [591.
- Sarns, Rae, Rajuh of Sankarah, Sarhang, signification of the word, 103 and n 7.
- Sārī, Sālī or Sālīn, a Tattār tribe,

- Sārī, the Nú-yīn. See under Sālīn, the Tāttār Nū-yīn.
- Sāriķ or Sārigh,—a term applied to the Tājziks by the Turks, 879 n.
- Sarkah, son of Kokar, the chief of the Khokhars, 482 n, 484 n.
- Sarligh Khán or
- Sarsigh Khān,—one of the Sardārs of Sultūn Muhammad Khwārazm Shāh, 978 n, 979 n.
- Sarts, the,—a term by which the Tājzīks are styled, 878 n, 879 n.
- Sarwēṇī b. Shāh Ḥusain, son of the Ghūrī chief who settled among the Afghāns, 510 n.
- Sāsānīān dynasty, the,—fourth of the five dynastics of 'Ajam, 1, 4.
- Sāsānīān coins, found in the city of Uk, 1122 n.
- Satuk-Karachar, or
- Satuk Kujah, or Sātuk Kujah, first of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān,—becomes a convert to Islām and is known as Hazrat Satuk, 901 n, 902 n, 923 n.
- Sāū<u>ch</u>ī, the Şadr,—an officer of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, executed by his order, 1286 n.
- Sawan-tara or Saban-tar.—leader of the forces of Jaj-nagar, 768.
- Sayyid 'Alā-ul-Mulk or 'Alā-ud-Dīn, of Tirmid, a lineal descendant of Imām Husain, acknowledged as Khalīfak by Sulṭān Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh, 265 n 4, 266 n.
- Sayyid Aghraf the 'Alawi,—heads a rebellion in Samrkand, 906 n.
- Sayyid Ḥasan, a colebrated poet of Ghazuin, 109.
- Sayyid Jamal Bukhari,-a Muham-

Index.

- madan saint, buried in Uchchahi-Sharif, 541 n 6.
- Sayyids, dynasty of the, in Dihlī, ziii, 509 n, 511 n.
- Scandinavians, the,—their custom of presenting the cup or bowl, 1106 n.
- Schiefner, 1226 n.
- Schuyler, Mr. Eugene, 917 n, 919 n, 1031 n.
- Sclaves, the, 870 n. See under the Saklabs.
- Scythians, the, 750 n 7, 886 n, 887 n, 1043 n 1, 1076 n, 1081 n 7.
- Sekarî, the,—a small tribe of the  $Afgh\bar{a}n$  people, 184n.
- Semënof, M., 916 n.
- Sen dynasty, of Gaurand Lakhanawatī, the, 559 n 2.
- Senaccherib [Sennacherib], the clay stamped annals of, 423 n 8.
- Sergius, the monk,—an Armenian priest in the urdū of Irtuk Būkā, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz-<u>Khān</u>, 1161 n 4, 1162 n.
- Serkī or <u>Sh</u>erkī, son of Mangū Ķāān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1219 n, 1223 n.
- Shabānkārah, the,—name of a people in Fārs, 174 n.
- Shabāsī, usurper of the government of Hirāt, 37 n.
- Shabkī Ķotū,—one of the Nū-yīns of the <u>Ohingīz Khān</u>, 289 n. See also under <u>Shīkī Kutūķū</u>.
- Shaddad b. 'Ad, King of the early 'Arabs, 303 n 7, 386 n 1.
- Shaddad b. Zuhāk, ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312.
- Shādī b. Mardān, the Kurdī, father of Aiyūb, the founder of the Aiyūbī dynasty of Shām, 207 n 8.

- Shāfi'i, Imām, Muḥammad, son of Idrīs—founder of the Shāfi'i sect of Muḥammadans, 182, 213 n, 227, 228 n 4, 384 and n 5, 385, 646.
- Shāfi'i Sect, the,—one of the four orthodox sects of Muḥammadans, 384, 994 n.
- Shah, Malik or Chief of Jund, 120, 121.
- Shāh b. Ibrāhīm b. Ardshīr, the Shār of Gharjistān, 863.
- Shāh-i-Jahān or Shāh Jahān, fifth Mughal emperor of Dihlī, ix, x, 621 n 6.
- Shāh-i-Zamān, daughter of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah aṭ-Ṭā'i'-u-L'illah, — given in marriage to 'Izz-ud-Dīn Bakhtyār, son of Mu'izz-ud, Daulah, Buwiah, Dīlamī, 61 n 1.
- Shāh Ḥusain, son of a chief of Ghūr,
   who settled among the Afghāns,
   —ancestor of the Ghalzīs, 337 n 6,
   510 n.
- Shāh Jalīl b. Kusam b. 'Abbās,—
  'Abbās, the uncle of Muḥammad,
  1258 n 8.
- Shāh Kiyā b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Mahmūd, brother of Rukn-ud-Dīn Khūr Shāh, Bād-shāh of the Mulāhidah of Alamūt, 1209 n.
- Shāh Mahmūd, 200 n 8. See under Shihāb-ud-Din Mahmūd-i-Ḥarab.
- Shāh Malik, ruler of Khwārazm under Sulţān Mas'ūd of Ghaznīn, 232 n.
- Shāh Malik b. Ya'kūb, son of Lais, Suffārī, 36 n 9, 185 n.
- Shāh Mīr,—an officer of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1206 n 3.
- Shāh Nāmah of Firdausi, the, 1, 721 n 3, 1058 n 5.

- Shāh Niṭām-ud-Din, the Budā'ūnī, —a Muḥammadan saint, 634 n 2. Shāh Rukh, son of Tīmūr, Sulṭān, 1033 n.
- Shāh Shujā', son of Shāh Jahān, the fifth Mughal emperor of Dihlī, 592 n.
- Shāh Turkān, mother of Rukn-ud-Dīn Fīrūs Shāh, son of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish of Dihlī, 630 and n3, 632, 670 n1. Also styled Khudāwandah-i-Jahān, Shāh Turkān.
- Shāh 'Uşmān, grandson of Nāşirud-Dīn 'Uşmān-i-Ḥarab, Malik of Sijistān and Nīmroz, 199 and n 7, 200 n 8, 201, 967 and n 3. Also styled Nuşrat-ud-Dīn 'Ugmān.
- Shāh Wakhshī,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Sām Ghūrī, 890.
- Shāh Zād b. Farrukh-Zād b. Mas'ūdi-Karīm, Ghaznawī, 107.
- Shāh-an-shāh [King of Kings],—a title first assumed by Bahrām, son of Bahrām, Vth of the Sāsānān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.
- Shāhan-Shāh b. 'Alā-ud-Din Maḥ-mūd,—brother of Rukn-ud-Din Khūr Shāh, Bādahāh of the Mulā-hidah of Alamūt, 1206 n 3, 1208 n.
- Shāhan-Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh, Ghasnawī, 111.
- Shāhan-Shāh, Nūr-nd-Daulahb. Abū Lashkar-i-Aiyūb, son of Shādī al-Kurdī, 208 and n 9, 219 n 9.
- Shahnah, signification of the term, 733 n 4.
- Shahrān-Shāh b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd,—brother of Rukn-ud-Dīn Khūr Shāh, Bādshāh of the Mulāhidah of Alamūt, 1208 n.

- Shahr-ārāe or
- Shahr-yar, the Wasir of Arda-Sher, son of Sherwaiah,—he ascended the throne of the Akasirah by usurpation, 5, 1295.
- Shāhū Khel tribe of Lūdī, the,—a division of the Afghān nation, 510 %.
- Shaiban, son of Tuahi [Jūji] Khan, son of the Chingis Khan, 1102, 1105 n, 1165 n, 1170 n, 1178 n, 1179 n.
- Shaibānī Khān,—ancestor of Abu-l Ghāzī Bahādur Khān, the historian, 873 n.
- Shaid-asp b. Azar-sab,—ancestor of Tähir ibn-ul-Ḥusain, 9.
- Shaid-aspb. Siamak,—descendant of Zuhāk the Tāzī, 306.
- Shaikh Khan,—an officer of Sultan Muhammad Khwarazm Shah, 1030 n. [901 n.
- Shaikh Najm-ud-Dīn, the historian, Shaikh-ul-Jibāl, the, Chief of the Assassins,—title of Hasan-i-Şab-bāḥ, the head of the sect of Mulā-ḥidah, 651 n 7, 1187 n 7, 1188 n.
- Shejarat-ul-Atrāk, of Abu'l Ghāzī Bahādur Khān, the, 548 n 3, 866 n, 869 n 2, 894 n, 1056 n 7.
- Shakar Khātūn, daughter of Rāe Sāras, Rājah of Sankarah,—adopted by Sulţān Fīrūz Shāh, the Ḥabashī, 591 a.
- Shalāmūn, the Bitik-ohī,—scoretary of Mangū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1180 s, 1184 s.
- Shālikh b. Arfakhahad b. Sām [Shem], 6.
- Shalmānīs, the,—a Tājzik race along the banks of the river of Kābul, 1043 n 1, 1044 n.

- Shamad. Shad or Shihad, son of Nariman b. Afridun, King of Iran, 306.
- Shamar b. Afrīķis b. Abrahah, the Ra'āsh, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 7.
- Shamgir [Washmgir], son of Ayaz, —governor of Rai, 56. See also under Washmgir.
- Shams, Sultan,—one of the Jahangirian Sultans of Pich, 1044 n.
- Shams Khān,—one of the Sardārs of Sultān Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh, 978 n, 979 n.
- Shams Malikah Khātūn, daughter of Sultān Tughril Saljūķī,—and wife, first of the Atā-bak Yūzbak of Āṭnrbāijān and then of Sultān. Jalāl-ud-dīn Khwārazm Shāh, 296 n, 297 n, 298 n.
- Shams-i-Sirāj,—anthor of the Tārīkh-i-Fīrūz-Shāhī, xvi, 590 n, 592 n.
- Shamsiah [of Sultan Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish, of Dihli] dynasty, the, 310 and n5, 677 n6, 698 n, 745, 746, 748, 789.
- Shams-ud-Daulah 'Alī, son of Sharaf-ud-Daulah, son of 'Uzd-ud-Daulah, Dīlamī, 65 n 5.
- Shams-ud-Daulah, the Ilak Khān of the Turks,—reconquers Māwarā-un-Nahr, 915 n.
- Shams-ud-Daulah Turān Shāh, son of Abū Lashkar-i-Aiyūb b. Shādī al-Kurdī. See under his title of Malik-ul-Mu'azzam.
- Shams-ud-Dīn, Amīr of Yamīn-ud-Daulah Bahrām Shāh, son of Tājud-Dīn-i-Ḥarab, Malik of Sijistān and Nīmroz. 198.
- Shams-ud-Din, the 'Ajami, Khwa-

- jah, the Malik-ut-Tujjär [Chief of the Merchants], 789 n 3, 790 and n 7.
- Shams-ud-Dīn, the Ba'khī, Ķāzī, one of the Court Ķāzīs of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām Ghūrī, 489.
- Shams-ud-Din, of Bharā'ij,—Ķāzi of the Kingdom of Sulţān Nāsir-ud-Din Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihli, 694, 707, 708, 827.
- Shams-ud-Din, the Kazwini, Kāzi, —incites Mangū Kā'ān against the Mulāḥidah, 1189, 1190 n 1, 1196.
- Shams-ud-Din, the Maghrabi, Imam, —envoy of the Khalifah of Baghdad to Naşir-ud-Din Mahmud Shah, of Dihli, 1293.
- Shams-ud-Din of Mihir, Kāzī—put to death by Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Din Bahrām Shāh of Dihlī, 657 and n 4.
- Shams-ud-Din, the Muhtashim, envoy of 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad, Bādshāh of the Mulāhidah of Alamūt, to the Kūrīltāe of the Mughals, 1151 n.
- Shams-ud-Dîn, Ķāşī,—governor of Sarakhs under the Mughals, 1030 n.
- Shams-ud-Dīn, the Turk, Imām, envoy of Sulṭān Chiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām of Chūr to the Court of the Khalifah of Baghdād, xxii.
- Shams-ud-Din 'Ali,—seneschal of the fort of Şa'lük in Gilân, 1029 n.
- Shams-ud-Din-i-Arshad, Imam, an ecclesiastic of the fortress of Kāwik, 436.

- Shams-ud-Din Harisi, the Shaikhul-Islam of Marw, 1029 n.
- Shams-ud-Dîn Hasan-i-Ikhtiyêr, the Muḥtashim,—governor of the Kuhistān, xxv, 1198, 1203, 1204, 1212, 1213.
- Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timish, Sultan of Dihli, 310 n 5. See under I-yal-Timish.
- Shams-nd-Din Khusrau-Shāhī, Imām,—one of the 'Ulamā of Khurāsān, 1197.
- Shams-ud-Dīn Kīdānī, Malik, one of the Maliks of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, 490.
- Shams-ud-Dîn Maudûd, son of Malik-ul-'Ādil, Saif-ud-Dîn Abû Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyûbī, 229 n 5.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad, of Bāmiān, Sulţān, 248, 249. See under Shams-ud-Din Muḥammad b. Fakhr-ud-Din Mas'ūd.
- Shams-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, the Jūzjānī,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 1003, 1036 n 1, 1038 n.
- Shams-ud-Dīn Muhammad, son of Bahā-ud-Dīn Sām, son of 'Izz-ud-Dīn al-Husain, Ghūrī,—after his accession to the throne of Ghūr his title was changed to Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 370. See under Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Sām Ghūrī.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad b. Fakhrud-Din Mas'üd of Bāmiān, son of 'Izz-ud-Din al-Ḥusain, Malik of Ghūr,—Sultān, 248, 249, 342, 358 n 3, 378 and n 3, 379, 390, 405

- n 8, 425 and n 3, 426 and n 4, 427, 428 " 1, 429 and n 7, 457 n, 472 n 9, 481 n 8.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad b. Ghiyāṣ-ud-Din Maḥmūd, son of Ghiyāṣ-ud-Din Muḥammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī, 408, 412.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad b. 'Issud-Din, the Hirawi,—the Mukaddam of the guild of weavers of Hirāt, 1128 n.
- Shams-ud-Dīn Muhammad b. Majdud-Dīn, the Kāl-yūnī,—governor of Hirāt, 1128 n.
- Shams-nd-Din Muhammad, son of Rukn-ud-Din Muhammad-i-'Ug-man,—the founder and first of the Kurat dynasty, xxv, 199 n7, 784 and n4, 1181 n2, 1194 n, 1195 n, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1203 n, 1204 n2.
- Shams.ud-Din Muhammad b. Tājud-Din Abu'l Fath, the Malik-us-Sā'is, Malik of Sijistān and Nīmroz, 189, 190, 191 and #5, 192, 447 and n 2.
- Shams-ud-Din 'Uşman, the Tughrae, —one of the chief 'Ulama of Tabriz, 997 n.
- Shams-ud-Din Utsuz, the Hājib,—one of the Turkish Maliks of the Khwārazm Shāhī dynasty, 399, 414, 1003.
- Shams-ul-Ayimma Abn'l Fazl Muhammad, son of al-Hākim, Sarakhsī,—author of the Mukhtaşari-Kāfī, and Wazīr of Amīr Nūḥ, son of Naṣr, son of Aḥmad, Sāmānī 38, 39 and n1.
- Shams-ul-Lughāt, the,—a lexicographical work, 49 n 7, 138 n 8, 200 n 2,—app. viii, xxii.

- Shams-ul-Ma'āli Kābās, son of Washugār,—ruler of Jurjān, 51 a 6, 59, 81 a.
- Shams-ul-Mulk 'Abd-ul-Jabbar Kidani,—one of the Wasirs of the brother Sultans of Ghur, 389 and an 3 and 4, 489.
- Shams-ul-Mulk, the Khāķān Naşr b. Tafķāj Khān, XIIIth of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān, 905 n, 906 n. [Ghasnawī, 105.
- Shams-ul-Mulk b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Shams-ul-Mulk, Shihāb-ud:Dīn, the Wasīr. See under Shihābud-Dīn-i-Alb, Sarakhsī.
- Shangun, son of the Awang Khan. See under Sangun.
- Shankal, sovereign of Hind,—slain by Rustam-i-Zīl, 561 = 9.
- Shansab b. Kharnak b. Bain,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī and progenitor of the Shansabānīah dynasty of Chūr, 303 and n 6, 306 n 9, 307 n, 311, 312 and n 2.
- Shansabānīs, the,—the royal family of Ghūr; descendants of Shansab, xiii, xxxiii, 115, 300, 302, 310 and n4, 313, 816, 818, 335, 340, 368, 386 n4, 416 and n2, 421, 494 and n1, 506, 669 n7, 894 n, 1011 n, —app. xxii.
- Shansabī family, the, 840 and #8, 420. See the Shansabānīs.
- Shāpër b. Arda-Sher-i-Bābakān, son of Bābak,—Hnd of the Sāsānān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.
- Shāpār b. Aahkān, son of Aahk,— IIIrd of the Aahkānian dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.
- Shāpār b. Shāpār-i-Zu'l Aktāf,— Xth of the Sāsāniān dynasty of 'Ajam, 5, 1268 n 9, 1269 n.

- Shipir Zu'l Aktif b. Hurmus b. Narei,—VIIIth of the Siminian dynasty of 'Ajam, 4, 5.
- Shar, title of the rulers of Gharjistan, 80 s.5.
- Sharaf-ud-Daulah, Abu-l Fawarisi-Shir-Zail, son of 'Urd-ud-Daulah Faua <u>Kh</u>usrau, Dilami, 57 and n 8, 65 and nn 4, 5 and 6.
- Sharaf-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr,—the Sadr-i-Sa'īd, son of the Şadr-i-Shahīd, Niṣām-ud-Dīn,—one of the Court Ķāṣīs of Sulṭān Mu'iss-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, 489.
- Sharaf-ud-Dīn Adīmī, Imām,—one of the 'Clamā of <u>Kh</u>urāsin and Māwarā-un-Nahr, 237.
- Sharaf-ud-Din Ahmad Farshi, Imam,—one of the Court poets of Yamin-ud-Din Bahram Shah, Malik of Sijistan and Nimros, 195, 196.
- Sharaf-ud-Din 'Ali,—author of a history, 782 \* 2.
- Sharaf-ud-Din, the Amir-i-Majlis,
  —governor of Nishāpūr, 1027 \* 8.
- Sharaf-ud-Dīn 'Aṭṭār, Imām,—a learned doctor, 190.
- Sharaf-ud-Din, the Balkhi, the Imim and poet, 742.
- Sharaf-ud-Din, the Khatib, Maulänä,—a native of Hirāt, 1126 n 6, 1127 n.
- Sharaf-ud-Din Mas'ad-i-Hasan, Malik,—commander of the forces of Khwarasm, 254.
- Sharaf-ud-Din b. Muhammad, son of 'Abd-ul-Malik, the 'Alkami, the Wasir of al-Musta'sim, the last of the 'Abbisi Khalifahs of Baghdad, 1265 n.

- Sharaf-ud-Din Muhammad, the Yasdi,—Wasir of Ohin-Timur, ruler of Khurasin under the Mughals, 1121 w.
- Sharaf-ud-Din Muşaffar, the Majirul-Mulk, 1028 n. See under Majir-ul-Mulk Sharaf-ud-Din Muşaffar.
- Sharaf-ul-Mulk al-Agh'arī,—envoy of Tughrā-i-Tughān Khān to Sultān 'Alānd-Dīn Mas'ūd Shāh, of Dihlī, 664 and m 4 and 6, 738, 739 and s.
- Sharaf-ul-Wulk Rashīd-ud-Dīn 'Alī Hanafī,—the Ulugh-i-Khās Hājib [Chief Royal Chamberlain], of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, of Dihlī, 888.
- Sharif of Makkah, the, 265 n 4.
- Sharkî dynasty of Jünpür, 589 n.
- Shars of Gharjistan, the,—submit to the suscrainty of Sultan Mahmud, of Ghaznin, 341 and n 6.
- Shāū-kam, the Mughal Shahnah of Bīah-Bālīgh, capital of the Yīddī-Kūt, 952 n.
- Shā'ūr, Wasīr of the Ismā'iliān or Fāṭimite <u>Kh</u>alīfahs of Misr, 209 n6, 210 and n, 211 n9, 212 n, 218 and n.
- Shā'ūr or Sāūr Bahādur,—one of the Nū-yīns of the <u>Oh</u>ingīs <u>Kh</u>ān, 1042 and n7.
- Shaw's "High Tartary," 950 s, 970 s 2, 1084 s.
- Sher Khan, of the Shera Khel, of the Sar subdivision of tribe the Ladi of the Batani Afghans or Patans, 511 n. See quder Sher Shah.
- Sher Khan, feudatory governor of Lakhanawati, 772 n, 776 n.
- Sher Khan,—uncle's son of Sultan

- Chiyag-ud-Din Balban, 794a 1. Same as the next, which see.
- Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, Malik Negratud-Dīn,—one of the Shamsiah Maliks in Hind, 714 n.9, 767, 768 and nand n.2, 782, 783 and na 7 and 9, 784 and nand n.2, 786 n.5, 788 n.9, 791 and n.3, 792 and n, 793, 794 and n.1, 795 n, 799 n.4, 800, 818 n.4, 841, 849 and n.8, 865 n.5, 867 n, 1217 n, 1224 n, 1225 n. Uncle's son of Ulugh Khān-i-Balban.
- Sher Khān-i-Surkh, son of Malik Nāşir-ud-Dīn Ḥusain, the Amīr-i-Shikār of Chaznīn, 725.
- Sher Malik b. Sulţān İbrāhîm, Ghasnawī, 105.
- Sher Shah, the Afghan, Governor of Bengal,—sets up as emperor of Dihli, 510 n, 511 n, 538 n, 582 n 6, 757 n 5.
- Sher Zād b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, Ghasnawî 107 and n7.
- Sherā Khel, the,—of the Sür subdivision of the Lüdi tribe of the Batanī Afghāns or Paṭāns, 511 s.
- Sherān, 'the 'Amīd, [chief of the tribe] of the Abū Sahlān, 1008 and n4.
- See Muhammad-i-Sheran, the Khalj.
- Sherkī or Serkī, son of Mangu Kāān, son of Tüli Khān, son of the Chingiz Khān, 1223 n.
- Sherwaiah b. Khusrau Parwis, IVth of the Akasirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.
- Sher-wan, one of the chiefs on the frontier of Ghur and Guzganan, 325 n, 327 n, 328 n.

- Sherwan Shah b. 'Ala-ud-Din Mahmud,-brother of Rukn-ud-Din Khur Shah, Badshah of the Mulahidah of Alamut, 1208 n.
- Shī'ahs, the,—the sect of 'Alī b.

  Abī Tālib, 646 n6, 994 n, 1189 n 9,
  1206 n 8, 1229 and n 8, 1230 n,
  1242 n 2, 1243 n, 1260 n.
- Shīam Parshād, author of a history of Gaur or Lakhaņawatī, xvi,—app. xxv.
- Shidaghū or Shīdaghū,—one of the Nū-yīns of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1280 n 5, 1281 n.

Shīdarķū or

- Shīdāskū, ruler of the country of Tingkūt, 949 n, 950 n, 952 n, 1081 n, 1085 n 3, 1086 n, 1087 n, 1088 n, 1089 n.
- Shihāb-i-Manşūr Abu'l Fath, the Muhtashim of the Mulāhidah, 1197, 1198, 1212, 1218.
- Shihāb-ud-Daulah Bughrā Khān Abū Mūsā-i-Hārūn, son of Sulīmān, son of the Ilak Khān,— IVth of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān. See under Bughrā Khān, Shihāb-ud-Daulah.
- Shihāb-ud-Daulah Hārūn Bughrā Khān, 46 n 4,—app. xviii. See under Bughrā Khān, Shihāb ud-Daulah.
- Shihāb-ud-Din, the Muhtashim, envoy of 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad, Bādshāh of the Mulāhidah of Alamūt, to the Ķūrīltāe of the Mughals, 1151 n.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn-i-Alb, Snrakhaī, one of the Wazīrs of Sulţūn Muhammud Khwārazm Shāh, 285, 1013, 1014 n 2, 1015 n.

- Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāsī,—Wālī, of Nārdīn in the territory of Diyāri-Bakr, 1152 n.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn-i-Ghāsī b. Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr-i-Muḥammad, al-Aiyūbī, Malik of Shām, 1264, 1265 and nn 9 and 1, 1266, 1267. See also under Malik-ul-Ghāzī.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn 'Alī, Malik, son of Malik Nūşir-ud-Dīn 'Uşmūn, son of Malik Tāj-ud-Din-i-Ḥarab, of Sijistān and Nīmroz, 194.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. Saif-ud-Dīn Sūrī. See under Shihāb-ud-Dīn Muḥammad 'Alī-i-Kharlak.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn Ḥarmawādī, Ķāzī, one of the Ķāzīs of Sulţān Ghiyāgud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī, 389 and n 2.
- Shihab-ud-Dîn Madînî, Malik. See under Shihab-ud-Dîn Muhammad 'Alī-i-Kharnak.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd, son of Nāsir-ud-Dīn 'Uamān, son of Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn-i-Ḥurab,—IXth of the Maliks of Sijistān and Nīmroz, 198 and n 5, 199 and n 7.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, son of Bahā-ud-Dīn Sām, son of 'Izz-ud-Dīn al-Ḥusain, Ghūrī,—his title was afterwards changed to Mu-'izz-ud-Dīn, xlviii, 368, 370. See under Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām Ghūrī.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn Muḥammad 'Alī-i-<u>Kh</u>urnak, sou of Malik Šaif-ud-Dīn Sūrī, son of <u>Sh</u>ihāb-ud-Dīn Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>arnak, Malik of Mādīn of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 344, 372 n, 408, 490. See also under Muḥammad-i-<u>Kh</u>arnak.

- Shihāb-ud-Din Muḥammad, [Kharnak], son of 'Izz-ud-Din al-Ḥusain Ghūrī, Malik of Mādīn of Ghūr, 337, 339 and n 4, 340 n, 343 and n 5, 344 n, 345 n 6, 472 n, 496 n 1.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, Malik, son of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn Iyal Timish, of Dihlī, 625.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn Muḥammad Shāh, Malik, son of Sulţān Nāşir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, son of Sulţān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, of Dihlī, 672.
- Shihād or Shihādan, son of Narīmān b. Afrīdun, the Bādehāh of Irān, 306.
- Shīkī Kutūķū, adopted son of the Ohingīz Khān and commander of the Tāttār Ming or Hazārah, 1093 n.
- Shīktūr or Shiktūr, the Nū-yīn,—one of the generals of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1256 n 6, 1264 n. He is called Hūlājū by some.
- Shimāghū, the Nū-yīn,—one of the generals of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1287 n.
- Shīrāmūn b. Kochū b. Uktāe Ķāān, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1178 n, 1180 n, 1183 n, 1184 n, 1185 n, 1220 n, 1243 n, 1287 n.
- Shīrīn, daughter of Mangū Ķā-ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Ohingīz</u> <u>Khān</u>, 1185 n.
- Shirley, Sir Robert, 295 n.
- Shirwan Shah, the,—title of the rulers of the Dar-band or Babul-Abwab, 998 n.

- Shīg, name of a tribe in the territory of  $\underline{Gh}$ ūr, 312 n 3. [1, 2.
- Shīs b. Adam, [Seth of Scripture], Shīs b. Bahrām, Amīr,—Mihtar or chief of the tribe of the Shīsānīān, 313 and n 5, 315.
- Shīs, youngest son of Malik Muhammad, son of Sūrī, Amīr of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 321, 322 and n. 329.
- Shīṣān or Shīṣānīān, the,—name of a people in the territory of Ghūr, 312 and n 3, 313, 316, 367.
- Shūdai-Shū-o-Shū or Shūdai-Shū-o-sū, [the "Ninkiassu" of some European writers], the Altān Khān of Khitā, 955 n, 1136 n 9.
- Shuja' b. Sultan Mas'ud, son of Sultan Mahmud Ghaznawi, 95, 101 n 6.
- Shujā'-nd-Dīn Abu'l Ķāsim,—governor of Kirmān for Malik Ghiyāşud-Dīn Aķ Sulţān, son of Sulţān
  Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm
  Shāh, 283 n 9, 284, 295 n.
- Shujā'-ud-Dīn 'Alī b. 'Izz-ud-Dīn al-Ḥusain, of the Shansabānī family of Ghūr, 338, 339 and n 4, 345 and n 6, 346, 391, 393 n 9, 417 n 5, 1002 n 9.
- Shujā'-ud-Dīn Ḥasan Tūrānī,—one of the officers of 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, the Maulānā of the Mulāḥidah, 1192 n.
- Shūls, the,—name of a people, 1281 n.
- Sīāl,—name of a tribe of Jats, dwelling at and around Jarg-i-Sīāl, 454 n.
- Sīāmak b. Kaiūmurt, the first King of 'Ajam, 304 n 2.
- Sīāmak b. Marnīās, son of Zuḥāk the Malik, 306.

- Siāmak b. Mub<u>sh</u>ī, son of Kaiūmurs, son of Ādam, 804.
- Siāni b. Ibrāhīm Lūdī, son of Shāh Ḥusain, of Ghūr, 510 n.
- Sifand-āsp b. Zuḥāk, son of Suhrāb, son of Shaid-āsp, 306.
- Sigisi or Sijizi slave of 'Umro, son of Lais Şuffari, the, 84 n 6, 184 n, 185 n.
- Sigizīs or Sijisīs, the,—name of a people in Sijistān, 184 n.
- Sikandar, Sultān,—who was first called Ahmad <u>Kh</u>ān, the last of the eight Afghān or Paṭān sovereigns of Diblī, 511 n.
- Sikandar b. Ilyās Ḥājī, [Sultān Shams-ud Dīn], ruler of Bangālah, 591 n.
- Sikandar Rūmī, 598, 852. See also under Alexander the Great.
- Sikandar-i-Zu'l Karnain, Alexander Bicornus, not the Macedonian, descendant of the fourth son of Tāraj, son of Yāfia [Japheth], 870 n.
- Simjūr-i-Dawātī,—governor of Sijistān on the part of Amīr Abū Nașr-i-Ahmad, Sāmānī, lvii, 85 and n 4, 36 n 9, 185 n.
- Simon de Montfort, Earl of Leicester, 846 n 7.
- Simurgh, the,—the fabulous bird of eastern romance, 1205 and n 5, nourishes Zâl-i-Zar, 318.
- Sinān-ud-Dīn <u>Oh</u>atī-sar [or Jatī-sar], the Sümrah chief of Dībal, 614 and n 8, 615 n 1.
- Sindian, the, inhabitants of Sind, 695 n9.
- Siūr Ķūķībī Bīgī, daughter of Badāe the Jākambū, brother of the Awang <u>Kh</u>ān, and wife of '1ūlī,

- son of the <u>Ohingiz Khān</u>, 1092 n, 1142 n, 1164 n, 1176 n 9, 1178 n, 1181 n, 1185 n, 1223 n.
- Siyāh-rū, signification of the term, 918 n. [691 n.
- Sketch of Buddhism, Hodgson's, Slavonians, the,—See under the Slavs.
- Slavs, the, 871 n, 872 n, 886 n, 887 n. See also under the Şaklābs and the Sclaves.
- Solankh Pal, Bae of Gwaliyur, 545 n 5,619 n 7.
- Somnath, the idol of,—destroyed by Sultan Mahmud of Ghaznin, 82, 83.
- Song, the,—the people of Maha-Qhīn or Southern China, as styled by the Chinese, 1136 n 9, 1219 n, 1222 n.
- Sozan-gar, the, definition of the term, 1003 and n1.
- So zanī, flowers worked of silk and thread, 1003 n 1.
- Sprenger, Prof. Alois, xvii, xxxi.
- Ssanang Setzen, 938 n, 1085 n 3, 1091 n.
- Stewart, vii, 568 n, 585 n 6, 666 n, 782 n 1, 762 n 9, 768 nn 8 and 4, 765 n 8, 770 n 7, 776 n, 777 n, 793 n 5, 905 n.
- Strabo, 1055 n.
- Strangford, Lord, liii.
- Student's Manual of Indian History, the, 370 n 9, 445 n, 467 n, 478 n 6, 479 n, 487 n 6, 510 n, 657 n 5.
- Stüpnagel, Mr. C. R., xxiii, \* 2.
- Subā<u>sh</u>ī or Subāsī, the Ḥājib-i-Buzurg of Sultān Mas'ūd of <u>Gh</u>asnīn, 124 n 4, 125.
- Subāshī-Tigīn, chief of the Turks of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 903 n.

- Şuffariûn, dynasty of the, xxxiii, 19 and n 1, 21, 23, 25, 26, 183 x 2, 184 n, 186 n, 816 n 2, 317, 320.— So called after Ya'kūb and 'Umro, the sons of Laig, the Şuffar, or Brazier.
- Sugātū, the Jusbī. See under Sūkātū.
- Süghüj-chī or Süghü-jijan, son of Iridam-chī the Barlās,—chieftain of the Mughal troops, 898 n, 899 n, 938 n.
- Suhrāb, son of Rustam-i-Zāl, the hero of ancient I-rān, 681.
- Suhrāb b. <u>Shaid-āsp</u>, son of Sīāmak,—descendant of Zuhāk, the Tāzī, 306.
- Suida Bahādur. See under Swidāe the Bahādur.
- Sujān Rāe, author of the Khulāṣatut-Tawārīkh, xvi.
- Suji, the Bigi, of the tribe of Barläs, of the progeny of Iridamchi, 939 n.
- Sujīūt, the, a tribe of Mughals,—one of the Hazārahs of Jūjī, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1093 n.
- Sūkātū or Sugātū, the Juzbī,—an Olķūnūt Kungķūr-āt Mughal, and commander of the Olķūnūt Ming or Hasārah, 1080 n.5.
- Suklab, the,—name of a people, 561 n 9. See under the Saklabs.
- Sulaimān **Kararā**nī,—app. xxi.
- Süldüs or
- Suldus, the, a tribe of Mughals, one of the Hazirahs of Chaghatie, son of the Chingis Khan, 939 s, 1093 s, 1094 s.
- Suliman, Mihtar, [Solomon of Scripture], 3, 187 and 23, 649, 650 2, 1161.

- Suliman,—one of the Amirs [nobles] of Halab, 225 n 4.
- Sulīmān, the Sipah-Sālār,—an officer of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 482 n.
- Sulīmān b. 'Abd-ullah b. Tāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain,—governor of Tabaristān, under his nephew Muḥammad, son of Tāhir, 15.
- Sulīmān, b. <u>Gh</u>iyāg-ud-Din Kai-<u>Kh</u>usrau. See under his title of Rukn-ud-Dīn.
- Sulīmān b. Ibrāhīm b. Sultān Mahmūd, <u>Gh</u>aznawī, 88.
- Sulīmān, son of the Ilak Khān, the third of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān, 901 n.
- Sulīmān b. Jaghar Beg-i-Dā'ūd, son of Mīkā'īl, son of Saljūk, the Turkmān, 133 n 3.
- Sulīmān b. Kai-Khusrau b. Kai-Kubād, of the Saljūkī dynasty of Rūm, 162 n 2, 163 n 5.
- Sulīmān b. Kaltimi<u>sh</u>, son of Isrā'īl, Saljūķī,—ruler of Rūm under Sultān Malik <u>Sh</u>āh, Saljūķī, 139 n, 158, 159 n.
- Suliman b. Mahmud of Ghasnin, 88 and n 3.
- Sulīmān b. Máḥmūd b. Sulţān Maudūd of Ghasnīn, 97.
- Sulīmān b. Shīs, the Amīr-i-Dād,—
  one of the Maliks of Sulţān Mu'isz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām,
  of Ghūr, 316, 366, 438, 401, 498
  and n 8.
- Sulīmān <u>Kh</u>ān, ruler of Samarkand, under <u>Malik Sh</u>āh, son of Sultān <u>Alb-Arsafān-i-Gh</u>āsī, Saljūķī, 137 n 6, 138 n and n 8.
- Sulīmān Shāh, the Aiyūbī Turkmān,—the Amīr-i-'Alam [Lord

of the Standard] of al-Musta'sim B'illah; the last of the 'Abbāsī Khalīfahs, 712n, 1227, 1231n, 1238, 1234, 1236, 1237, 1238n8, 1242n2, 1244 and n, 1246n5, 1247n, 1250, 1254.

Sulimän Shäh b. Jaläl-ud-Din Utsuz Khwārazm Shāh, son of Tāj-ud-Din Muḥammad, son of Ibak, the Turk, 238 n 8.

Suliman Shah b. Muhammad b. Malik Shah, son of Sultan Alb-Arsalan-i-Ghazī, Saljūķī,—ruler of Khwarazm under Sultan Sanjar, 236 n6.

Sulîman Shah, Ruku-ud-Dîn b. 'Izzud-Dîn Kulîj Arsalan, the Rumî Saljûk, 161 n.

Sultan, application of the title, 1235 n 1.

Sultān Malik b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, Ghaznawī, 107.

Sulțăn Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, Ghaznawī, 111.

Sulțăn Shāh b. Ibrāhīm b. Sulțān Mas'ŭd, Ghaznawi, 105.

Saltān Shāh, Jalāl-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd b. Iyal Arsalān, son of Jalāl-ud-Dīn Utsuz Khwārazm Shāh, son of Tāj-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, xlvi, 115, 181 n and n, 239 and nn 1 and 2, 240 n, 245 and nn 5 and 7, 246 and n 8, 247 n, 248 and n, 249, 250 nn 6 and 7, 260 n 7, 374 n 5, 377 n 5, 378 and n 8, 379 and n 5, 887, 391, 427, 456 and n 2, 457 n, 469 n 5, 514 n 4, 515 and n, 917 n 1, 927 n, 928 n,—app. ii.

Sumrah dynasty, the,—Rājpūt rulers of Lower Sind, 614 n 8, 615 n and n 1.

Sumrah tribe, the,-a tribe of Raj-

pūt descent in Lower Sind, 614 n8. [Khān, son of Bāīdū' Sūnds Khān. See under Sūnj Sungar Khān, Ķārlūķī. See under Sunkar Khān.

Sūnīnt, the, a tribe of Mughals, one of the Hazārahs of Chaghatāe Khān, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1093 n.

Sunj, father of Afrasiyab, the Turk, sovereign of 'Ajam, 882 n.

Sūnj <u>Kh</u>ān,--one of the Sardārs of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Taki<u>sh Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 976 n, 1118 n 9.

Sūuj Khān or Sundz Khān, son of Bāīdū,—VIIIth of the chieftains of the Tāttār I-māķ, 875 n, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 887 n.

Sūnjāk, the Bahādur,—one of the Nū-yins of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Qhingīz Kh</u>ān, 1239 n, 1240 n, 1243 n, 1263 n, 1286 n.

Sunkam, one of the chiefs of <u>Khitā</u>, 154, 911, 912 n, 924 n, 925 n.

Sunkar or Sungar Khān, Ķārlūķī, —one of the chief men of Ķārlūķ, 1130 n, 1132 n.

Sannis, the,—the orthodox sect of Muḥammadans, 646 n 6, 994 n, 1229 n 8, 1242 n 2, 1285.

Süntäe the Nü-yin,—one of the Nü-yins of Huläkü <u>Kh</u>än, son of Tüli, son of the <u>Ohingiz Kh</u>än, 1239 n, 1264 n, 1270 n 2, 1278 n.

Suntae, the Bahadur, 987 n 3. See under Swidae, the Bahadur.

Süntäe, the, a tribe of Mughals,—
one of the Hazārahs of Jüjī
[Tüshī] Khān, son of the Ohingīz
Khān, 1093 n.

- Sür, a subdivision of the Lūdī tribe of the Batanī Afghāns or Paṭāns; 820 n 4, 509 n, 510 n, 511 n.
- Sür dynasty of the Pațan Kings of Dihlī, the, 511 n.
- Sür b. Ismā'il b. Siānī b. Lūdī, founder of the Afghān tribe of Sūr, 510 n.
- Sür or Süs, son of Zuhāķ, the Tāzī, sovereign of 'Ajam, 307 and nn 6 and 8, 308.
- Surbāshī or Surpāshī, the Ḥājib. See under Subāshī, the Ḥājib-i-Buzurg.
- Sürghan or Surghan Shīrah, of the Süldüs tribe of Mughal, 939 n.
- Sürī, Abu'l Faşl or Bū-Faşl, Dīwān of Nīshāpūr, under Sultān Mas'ūd of Ghasuīn, 122 n 3, 123 n.
- Sūrī b. Muḥammad, son of Amīr Banjī,—third of the Shansabānīah dynasty of Ghūr, 84 n.7, 316 and nn 9 and 2, 317, 318, 320 n 4, 324 n, 336 n 4,—app. xix.
- Surkh bat—Red Idol,—name of an idol or figure in Bāmīān, 1058 n 6.
- Sur<u>kh</u>-rū, signification of the term, 913 n.
- Surpāshī or Surbāshī, the Ḥājib. See under Subāshī, the Ḥājib-i-Buzurg.

- Sürtäk Khātūn, daughter of the sovereign of the Kungkur-āt tribes,—and one of the wives of Jūjī, son of the <u>Ohingīz Kh</u>ān, 1102 n, 1140 n.
- Surtak b. Bātū, son of Tūshī, son of the <u>Ohingīz Khān</u>, 1173 n, 1290 and n 1, 1291 and nn 2, 5 and 6, 1292.
- Surtash, an officer of the Khwarazmī dynasty, 381.
- Sū Sen b. Madhob Sen,—the last ruler of the Hindū dynasty of Nūdīah, 558 n l.
- Suz or Sur, son of Zuhāk the Tāzī, sovereign of 'Ajam, 307 and nn 6 and 8, 308.
- Swatis, the,—the people styled the Shalmani by the Afghans, and the Dingan by the Tājzīks, 1043 n 1.
- Swidāe or Sahūdah, the Bahādur,—
  one of the Nū-yīns of the Chingīz Khān, 277, 976 n, 981 n, 983 n,
  987 and n 3, 988 n, 989 n, 990 n,
  991 n, 994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 997 n,
  998 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1001 and n,
  1014 n 2, 1027 n 8, 1028 n, 1030 n,
  1083 n, 1102 n, 1115 n 5, 1116 n,
  1152 n, 1165 n, 1168 n, 1169 n,
  1208 n.

T.

- Tababi'ah of Yaman, dynasty of the, xxxiii, 6, 7.
- Tabakāt-i-Akbarī,—name of a historical work, the, xii, xvi, 78 n, 113 n, 449 n 8, 453 n 3, 461 n, 469 n 9, 476 n, 477 n, 478 n 6, 486 n, 500 n 2, 518 n, 523 n, 533 n, 536 n,

541 n, 542 n 9, 545 n 5, 557 n 3, 559 n 2, 571 n 2, 575 n 2, 576 n 4, 577 n, 578 n 3, 590 n, 591 n, 592 n, 593 n 7, 594 n, 609 n, 610 n 8, 611 n 3, 612 n 4, 613 n 7, 616 n 3, 619 n 7, 623 n 1, 631 nn 5 and 8, 632 n 1, 633 n 8, 635 n 9, 638 n 1, 640

nn 2 and 8, 641 n 8, 642 n 2, 648 n, 645 nn 2 and 4, 646 n6, 647 n9, 648 nn 2 and 3, 651 n 7, 658 n 5, 654 n, 657 n 4, 658 nn 8, 1 and 2, 659 n 6, 661 n, 664 nn 4 and 5, 665 n 8, 666 n and n 9, 667 n 1, 668 n 5, 671 n 9, 675 n 5, 677 n 6, 678 nn 7 and 8, 680 n, 681 n 2, 682 n 5.688 n, 689 n, 690 n, 691 n, 692 n 4, 693 n 2, 695 nn 8 and 9, 696 n 4, 697 n6, 698 n, 699 n5, 705 n7, 707 n 8, 711 n 4, 716 n 5, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 802 n 1, 1271 n,—app. vi, viii, xiv, xvi, xxvi.

Tabaķāt-i-Nāṣirī of Minbāj-i-Sarāj, vii, xxx, xxxiv, liv, 67 n 2, 509 n, 912 n, 1045 n, 1202 n,—app. i, vii, viii, x, xiv, xvii.

Tabarī, at-,—Imām Muhammad b.

Jarīr, the Historian, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and n8, 10 nn 3 and 6, 13 n9, 42 n9, 58 and n3, 303 n7, 304 n1, 305 n6,—app. xviii. See also under the Tārīkh-i-Tabarī.

Tab or Tub Tingrī, the, 948 n, 955 n.
See under Kūkjū, son of Minglīk
Ichakah, the Kunakķumār.

Tābūr, son of Farāwwal or Ķarāwal,—ancestor of Zuḥāk the Tāzī, 804,—also called Tāzīo-barsed.

Tacitus, 871 n.

Tae b. Shā'ūr, the Wazīr of the Ismā'īlīān Khalīfah of Egypt, 209 n 6.

Taf-kāj <u>Kh</u>ān, Abu'l Muşaffar, son of Ibrāhīm, son of Naşr,—XIIth of the Afrāsiyābī <u>Kh</u>āns of Tur-kistān, 905 n.

Taghachār or Toshājār, the Bahādur,—one of the Nú-yīns of the Ohingīz Khān, 981 n, 988 n, 989 n.
Taghāchār, the Gürgân b. Süghū-ji-

jan or Süghüj-chī b. Iridam-chī, the Barlās,—one of the Nū-yīns of the Chingīs Khān, 1027 n 8, 1034 n 9, 1035 n.

Taghāchār b. Ū·Tigīn b. Yassūkā Bahādur,—brother's son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1220 n, 1221 n.

Taghāe Khān,—one of the Sardārs of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥam-mad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 978 n. 979 n.

Taghājār, the Nū-yīn, 276 n. See under Taghaohār or Toshājār, the Bahādur.

Taghār, an earthen vessel of capacity, 1192 n.

Taghar Khāķān, the,—title of the rulers of the Chuzz tribe, 961 n.

Tahamtam Shāh b. Sulţān Ibrāhîm, son of Sulţān Mas'ŭd, Ghuznawi, 105.

Tāhir, the Dabīr [secretary], of Sulţān Mas'ūd, son of Sulţān Maḥmūd of Ghaznīn, 92 n 3.

Tāhir b. 'Abd-ullah b. Tāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain,—fourth ruler of the Tāhirī dynasty, 10 n 5, 14, 15.

Tāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain,—general of al-Ma'mūm and founder of the Tāhirī dynasty, 9 and n 1, 10 and nn 3, 5, 7 and 8, 11 and nn 9, 2 and 4, 12 and n 6, 15 nn 6 and 1, 27 n 6, 28.

Tāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain, son-in-law of Khalaf b. Aḥmad, governor of Sijistān, 185 n, 186 n.

Tāhir b. <u>Kh</u>alaf b. Ahmad,—ruler of Sijistān, under the Sāmānīs, 81 n, 186 n.

Tāhir b. Muḥammad b. Tāhir b. Khalaf,—ruler of Sijistān, 184, 186 a. 187.

- Tāhir b. Muḥammad b. 'Umro, Ṣaffārī,—governor of Sijistān, under the Sāmānīs, 25 n 2, 184 n, 185 n.
- Tāhir b. 'Umro, son of Lais as-Şaffār [the Brazier], of the family of the Şuffārīun, 34 n 6.
- Ţāhir-i-Band-Ķadār, Malik, ruler of Mişr, 1259 n 3. See also under Band-Kadār.
- Tāhirīs, dynasty of the,—So called after the founder, Tāhir ibn ul-Husain, rulers of Khurāsān, xxxiii, 9, 10 and n 5, 14 n 1, 16 n 4, 17, 19, 22 nn 6 and 7, 23, 26 and n 2, 29, 232 n, 915 n.
- Tāijīūt, the, 899 n,—read the Tānijūt [Tānjīūt?]. See lxiii.
- Tāimāni Imāķ, the,—one of the Ohahār I-māķ, 874 n.
- Tā-īr, the Bahādur,—one of the Nūyīns of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 656 n, 727, 976 n, 1119, 1120 n 2, 1126 and n 6, 1132, 1135 and n 5, 1191 n, 1202 n.
- Tā-īr Asūn,—chief of the Urhār Makrīt tribe, 917 n, 947 n, 1091 n, 1141 n, 1142 n.
- Tā-īr Būķā, the Nū-yīn,—one of the generals of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1227 n 5.
- Tā $\bar{s}h\bar{i}$ , signification of the word, 866n, 927n.
- Tāīshī,—the envoy of the Ķarā

  <u>Kh</u>iţā-īs to Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dīn

  Muḥammad-i-Takish <u>Kh</u>wārazm

  <u>Sh</u>āh, 929 n. Tāīshī is probably
  his title.
- Ţā'i'u L'illāh, at-,—the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 44, 61, 62, 64, 65.
- Tāj-ud-Daulah Abū Sa'īd, surnamed

- Tutish, son of Alb-Arsalān-i-<u>Gh</u>āzī b. Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, the Saljūķī, 204 n. See under Tutish, Tāj-ud-Daulah, son of Alb-Arsalān-i-<u>Gh</u>āzī.
- Taj-ud-Daulah-i-Tutish, see the above.
- Tāj-ud·Dīn, the Jūzjānī,—one of the Khwārazmī Maliks in Hirāt, 1038 and n 3.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn, the <u>Kh</u>alj,—an officer of Sultān'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammadi-Takish <u>Kh</u>wārazm Shāh, 471 n 5.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn, of Mukrān, Malik,—one of the Maliks of the brother Sultāns of Ghūr, 890, 490.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn, Zawzanī, Ķāzī of Sulţān Bahā-ud-Dīn Sām, son of Sulţān Shams-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, Bāmīānī, 428.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn b. Şalāyah, the 'Alawī,—styled the Lord of Arbīl, 1238n 8, 1263 n.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Abū Bikr, son of 'Izzud-Dīn Kabīr <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Ayāz, feudatory of Multān, 656 n, 663 n 9, 668 n, 727 and n 7, 758 n 9, 810 and n.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Abu'l Fath b. Tāhir, son of Muḥammad,—second of the Maliks of Sijistān and Nīmroz, 187 and n 4, 188 and n 5, 189, 925 n.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Abu'l Faşl-i-Naşr, gon of Tāhir. See the above.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn 'Alī,—one of the Amīrs of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muham-mad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shūh, 481 n 8.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn 'Alī Mūsawī, Sayyid, the Mushrif-i-Mamālik of Dihlī, 652, 653 n 5, 654 and n, 753. See

128 Index.

- also under his title of Sadr-ul-Mulk.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn 'Alī Shāh b. Takish, son of I-yal-Arsalān Khwārazm Shāh, 244 n 4.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān, Sanjari-<u>Oh</u>ast, Malik of Awadh. See under Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān Sanjar-i-<u>Oh</u>ast.
- Tāj-ud-Dîn Azabar Shāh, son of Ulugh Khān-i-Abī Muhammad, the Khān of Guzarwān, 235 and n 3.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Bināl-Tigīn, of the family of the <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āhīs, —tenth ruler of Sijistān and Nīmroz, xxv, 199 and n 7, 200 and n 8, 201, 202 and n 6, 232, 233, 235, 626 n, 1119, 1122 n, 1124, 1125, 1203, 1204, 1205. See also under Bināl-Tigīn.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Ḥabashī-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, the Sipah-Sālār of Ghūr, 1006 and n9, 1007, 1059 n2, 1079 and n2, 1201 n.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Ḥabashī-i-Nezah-war, Amīr. See under Ḥabashī-i-Nezah-war.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn-i-Ḥarab, the Malik-us-Sa'īd, son of Shams-ud-Dīn Muhammad,—the fourth ruler of Sijistān and Nīmroz, and one of the Maliks of the brother Sultāns of Ghūr, 20, 191 and n6, 192, 193, 194 and n7, 248, 378, 390, 457 n, 490, 502 n 6, 504, 1199 n.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Ḥasau-i-Sālār, <u>Kh</u>ar-Post, Malik,—governor of the fort of Tūlak under the <u>Kh</u>wārazmīs, xxiv, 1197.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Ibrāhīm Shāh, son of Sultān Nāşir-ud-Dīn Mahmud Shāh, of Dihlī, 672.

- Tāj-ud-Dīn, 'Imād-ul-Mulk, the Jāmī,—one of the Ministers of State of the Khwārasm Shāhī dynasty, 963, 964.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Iyal-dūz, [styled Yal-dūz and Yal-duz by some],—slave of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥam-mad-i-Sām <u>Gh</u>ūrī,—Sultān of <u>Gh</u>aznīn, See under Iyal-dūs, Tāj-ud-Dīn.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Muḥammad Timrānī,—
  one of the Maliks of Sultān
  Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-iSām, Ghūrī, 390, 408.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Muḥammad b. Ķuţbud-Dīn Ḥusain b. 'Alī b. Abī 'Alī, the Ghūrī Malik, 1060.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Muhammad b. Ķuṭbud-Dīn Ibak, the Turk,—ruler of Khwārazm, 234 and n 9, 235 and n 1.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Sanjar, the Sihwastānī, Malik,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, of Dihlī, 103 and n7, 835 n and n4, 836 n6. He is also called Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Māh-peshānī, which also see.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Sanjar-i-Gazlak or Gajz-lak <u>Kh</u>ān,—one of the Maliks of Sultān <u>Shams-ud-Dīn</u> Iyal-Timish, of Dihlī, xxvi, 612 n 6, 613, 616 n, 618 n, 626, 643 n 4, 661 n, 722, 723 and n 1, 724, 725 n 6, 730.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Sanjar-i-Gurait <u>Kh</u>ān, Malik of Awadh, 663 n 9. See also under Tāj-ud-Dīn Sanjar-i-Kuret <u>Kh</u>ān.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Sanjar-i-Ķīķ-luķ, the feudatory of Budā'ūn,—one of the Maliks of the Shamsīah

dynasty of Dihli, 643 n 4, 661 n, 662 and n 6, 663 n 9; 754, 755, 756, 780, 867 n.

Tij-ud-Din Sanjar-i-Kuret or Gurait Khan, Malik of Awadh,—one of the Maliks of Sultan Nasir-ud-Din Mahmud Shah, of Dihli, 663 n 9, 674, 703 n 7, 756, 800, 867 n, 1201 n.

Tāj-ud-Dīn Sanjar-i-Māh-peshānī, Malik,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh, of Dihlī, 703 n 7, 741, 885 n and n 4, 836 and nn 6 and 9. He is also called Tāj-ud-Dīn-i-Sanjar, the Sihwastānī, which also see.

Tāj-ud-Dīn Sanjar-i-Tez Khān, Malik of Awadh,— one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāşir-ud-Dīn Mahmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 674, 703 n7, 704 n5, 714, 759, 760, 820, 851 n9.

Tāj-ud-Dīn Tughān, governor of the fortress of Kāran-dujz, on the part of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 990.

Tāj-ud-Dīn 'Uamān, the Maraghanī, —seneschal of the fortress of Khācsār of Ghūr, under his brother, 'Izz-ud-Dīn 'Umr the Maraghanī, 1199 n, 1200 n.

Tāj-nd-Dīn Zangī b. Fakhr-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd, son of 'fzz-ud-Dīn al-Husain,—Malik of Bāmīān, and one of the Maliks of the brother Sultāns of Ghūr, 342, 381, 390, 404 and n 3, 425 and n 3, 472 n 9, 481 n 8, 490.

Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir, the,—name of a historical work, xvi, 448 n 3, 458 n 6, 466 n 1, 469 nn 8 and 9, 470 n. 471 n, 476 n, 477 n, 480 n. 482 n,

484 n, 505 n, 516 n 2, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n 5 and n, 523 n, 524 n, 525 n, 582 n 2, 583 n, 534 nn 5 and 8, 589 n 5, 542 n 9, 543 n, 545 n 5, 606 n 3, 608 n 8, 609 n, 611 n 9, 615 n 1, 617 n, 619 n 7, 628 n 4,—app. ii, iv, v, vi, xiv, xxiii, xxv, xxvi.

Tāj-ul-Mulk Maḥmūd, the Dabīr [Secretary], the son of the Muaḥrif-i-Mamālik,—one of the Tājik officials of Sulṭān Rukn-ud-Dīn Fīrūs Shāh, of Dihlī, 635, 638, 761.

Tāj-ul-Mulūk Majd-ud-Dīn, son of Abū Lashkar-i-Aiyūb, son of Shādī al-Kurdī, 208 n 9.

Tājī, name by which the 'Ajamīs call the 'Arabs, 304 n 8.

Tājik-Mājik, the Afghāns so atyle Persian-speaking people who are neither Turks nor 'Arabs, 804 n 3.

Tājiks, the,—the descendants of 'Arabs, born and grown up in 'Ajam, xii. xx, xxv, 304 n 3, 419 n 9, 750 n 7. See also under the Tājziks.

Tājū or Tānjū, Nūyān,—one of the Nūyīns of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingīs Khān, xlvii, 162 n 2, 1237 n 4. See also Tānjū, the Nū-yīn.

Tājzik Ghūrīs, the, xii, xiii, 873 n, 1058 n 6. See also under the Tāzik Ghūrīs.

Tājziks, the, xii, xx, xxv, 878 n, 879 n, 883 n, 972 n, 973 n, 978 n, 980 n, 1003 nn 1 and 2, 1004, 1016, 1029 n, 1032 n, 1043 n 1, 1076 n, 1081 and n 7, 1103 n, 1131 n, 1167 n, 1168 n, 1192 n, 1281. See also under the Tājiks.

Tāk, the,—a sept of Rājpūts, 511 n.
Tāk, Tāgh or Dāgh, fifth son of
Āghūs Khān, the third sovereign
of the Mughal I-māk, 880 n.

Tak-db or Tag-db, definition of the term, 334 n 8.

Takalmish or Mankalmish,—one of the emissaries of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingīs Khān, to Rukn-ud-Dīn Khūr Shāh, the Bādshāh of the Mulāhidah of Alamūt, 1206 n 8.

Tak-so or Tag-so, definition of the term, 334 n 8.

Taķī-ud-Dīn Al-<u>Gh</u>āzī, son of al-Malik-ul-'Ādil Abū Bikr, son of Aiyūb, son of <u>Shādī</u> al-Kurdī, See under <u>Sh</u>ihāb-ud-Dīn-i-<u>Gh</u>āzī, son of <u>Malik-ul-'Ādil</u>.

Takish [Tughān Shāh], son of Alb Arsalān-i-<u>Gh</u>āzī, son of Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, Saljūķī, 188 n 8, 143 n 2.

Takish-i-Khwarazm Shah, son of Iyal-Arsalān, son of Jalāl-ud-Dīn Utsuz Khwarasm Shah, Sultan, xxii, 148, 165, 167 and n 8, 172 n 3, 181 n and n 9, 182 and n 1, 235, 239 and nn 1 and 2, 240 n, 241 and n 4, 242 nn 5 and 6, 243 n and nn 7 and 1, 244 and nn 3 and 4, 245 n and n 7, 246 n 8, 247 n, 248 n, 249 and n 3, 250 nn 4 and 6, 251 nn 9 and 1, 254, 255 n7, 260 n7, 265 n 4, 279, 280 n 7, 281, 286 n 6, 346, 377 n 5, 378, 382 and n 9, 383 n 2, 400 n 2, 457 n, 927 n, 928 n, 929 n, 934 n, 1118 n 9. He was styled 'Imad-ud-Din Takish Khān and 'Alā-ud-Din by others.

Taknā <u>Kh</u>ān, 278 n,—wrong name of Tūķ. Tug<u>u</u>ān, the Makrīt, which see.

Tak-Timish,—app. xii.

Talhah b. 'Abd'ullah,—one of the Prophet's companions, 9 n l, 11 and n 8,—styled Talhah-i-Talahāt.

Talhah b. Muhammad un-Nisā'ī, governor of Hirāt under the Sāmānīs, 42 n 3, 71 n 5.

Talhah b. Tähir-i-Zu'l Yaminain,
—second of the dynasty of the
Tähiris, 10 n 5, 12 and n 8, 18, 28
n7.

Talhah-i-Talahāt, title of Talhah b. 'Abd-ullah, the Prophet's companion, 9 n 1.

Tamahtan b. Sa'd b. Zangī, the Atā-bak of Fārs, 179, 180 n 5.

Tamghāj, a dynastic name of the sovereigns of Tibbat and Yughmā, 909 n, 935 n 8.

Tamghāj, the Turk, Amīr of Tabarhindah,—slave of Ķuṭb-ud-Dīn Ibak, 603 and n 6. He was at first called I-bak.

Tamghāj Khān b. Muḥammad Khān, XXIst, of the Afrāsiyābi Khāns of Turkistān, 908 n.

Tamghāj or Taghmāj Khān Ibrāhīm b. al-Husain,—one of the Khāns of Turkistān, 908 n, 935 n 3.

Tamghān b. Nosher,—ancestor of Sāmān-i-Khaddāt, 29.

Tamghūr, Yamghūr or Yighūr, one of the leaders of the Mughal army, 288 n 3.

Tamu-chī. See under Tamur-chī, the ruler of the Tāttār I-māķ.

Tamüchīn, 155 n 6. See Tamurchī, the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān.

Tamur <u>Kh</u>ān,—one of the <u>Oh</u>ibl--gāniān or forty slaves of Sulțān <u>Shams-nd-Din</u> Iyal-Timi<u>sh</u>, of Dihli,—feudatory of Sunnam, 795 n.

Tamur Khān-i-Kīrān, Malik of Awadh and Lakhaņawatī, 585 n 7, 689 n 8, 666 n, 740 and n 8, 741, 742. See also under his title of Kamr-ud-Dīn and also under Kīrān-i-Tamur Khān.

Tamur Khān-i-Sunķar, the 'Ajamī, Malik of Kuhrām,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān Nāşir-ud-Dīn Mahmūd Shāh, of Dihlī, 678.

Tamur-<u>ch</u>ī, the Jazbī, the Turk, one of the leaders of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān's army, 979 n.

Tamurchī or Tamurchīn, the Chingīs Khān, son of Yassūkā Bahādur, 892 n, 898 n, 899 n, 938 n, 939 n, 940 n, 941 n, 942 n, 948 n, 944 n, 945 n, 246 n, 947 n, 948 n, 949 n, 956 n, 1102 n. See also under the Chingīz Khān.

Tamur-chī or Tamurchīn, ruler and chief of the Tāttār I-māķ,—made captive by the Bahādur Yassūkā, father of the Ohingīz Khān, 898 n, 935, 936 n.

Tānāw-ohīn <u>Kh</u>ātūn, of the Bāyāūt tribe,—one of the wives of Mangū Ķā'ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1228 n.

Tand-pāl, son of Jai-pāl, ruler of Hind, 81 n. [n2.

Tangah, definition of the term, 584
Tangahan horses, definition of, 567
and n 6.

Tānijūt, the lxiii,—See under the Tānjīūt.

Tānīko of Țarās, leader of the Gūr <u>Kh</u>ān's army, 154, 200 n 7, 261 and n, 924 n. See also under Bānīko of Țarāz. Tānjīūt tribe of Mughals, the, lziii, 899 n, 988 n, 989 n, 940 n.

Tānjū or Tājū, the Nū-yīn,—one of the Nū-yīns of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingīs Khān, 1190 n 1, 1191 n, 1192 n, 1227 n 5, 1228 n, 1283 n 8, 1287 and n 4, 1289 n, 1240 n 1248 n, 1262 n, 1263 n, 1265 n. See also under Tājū Nūyān.

Tarah b. Käyah, son of Nüh,—ancestor of Zuhāk, the Tāsī, 303 n.7.

Tāraj or

Tārakh, eighth son of Yāfig [Japheth], 870 n, 872 n.

Tarākimah or Turkān, the I-lak of the, 909 n, 910 n.

 $Targh\vec{x}$ , a description of woven silk of a red colour, 272.

Tārīkh-i-Abu'l 'Alā-i-Ahwal, or the "Squinter," the, 116 n 8.

Tārīkh-i-Abu'i Faşl-i-Baihaķī, the, xvi, 77 n, 82 n 2, 101 n 7, 107 n 6, 119 n 8. See also under al-Baihaķī.

Tārīkh-i-Abu'l <u>Gh</u>āsī, Bahādur <u>Kh</u>ān. See uuder Abu'l-<u>Gh</u>āsī, Bahādur <u>Kh</u>ān.

Tārīkh-i-Āl-i-Sabuk-Tigīn, of Abu'l Faşl-i-Baihaķī, the,—entitled Tārīkh-i-Yamīnī; called also the Tārīkh-i-Nāṣirī, 105 n.

Tārīkh-i-Alfi, the, 112 n 5, 113 n, 117 n, 124 n 4, 138 n and n 8, 139 n, 142 n 1, 280 n 3, 286 n 6, 287 n 9, 294 n, 348 n, 374 n 5, 401 n, 402 n, 403 n, 407 n 5, 427 n, 434 n 8, 436 n 5, 439 n 4, 449 n 8, 457 n, 467 n, 471 n, 474 n, 476 n, 477 n, 481 n 1, 482 n, 484 n, 486 n, 409 n 8, 501 n 5, 502 n 6, 504 n 4,

505 n, 520 n, 526 n 8, 535 n, 536 n, 540 n, 545 n 5, 590 n, 592 n, 614 n8, 621 n6, 655 n2, 778 n, 869 n2, 876 n, 901 n, 906 n, 907 n, 928 n, 925 n, 927 n, 934 n, 941 n,945 n, 946 n, 956 n, 970 n 2, 976 n, 978 n, 979 n, 983 n, 987 n 3, 993 n, 995 n, 998 n, 999 n, 1005 n, 1009 n, 1020 n, 1024 n 2, 1027 n 8, 1035 n, 1037 n. 1047 n 4, 1082 n. 1087 n. 1088 n, 1098 n, 1100 n, 1115 n 5, 1122 n, 1126 n 6, 1127 n, 1135 n 3, 1138 n, 1140 n, 1148 n 4, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1193 n, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1206 n 3, 1211 n, 1220 n, 1221 n, 1233 n 2, 1241 n, 1243 n, 1244 n, 1265 n 2, 1286 n.—app. vi, xx.

Tārīkh-i-Fanākatī, the, 19n2, 31 n3, 35n5. See also under al-Fanākatī.

Tārīkh-i-Faṣiḥī, the, See the Mujmal-i-Faṣiḥī.

Tārīkh-i-Firishtah, the, xi. See under Firishtah.

Tārīkh-i-Fīrūz-shāhī of Shams-i-Sirāj, the, xvi.

Tārīkh-i-Firūs-shāhī of Ziyā-ud-Dīn Baranī, the, xvi, xxix, .582 n6, 584n2, 590n, 634n3, 677 n6, 678n8, 716n5, 776n, 778n, 794n1, 795n. See also under Ziyā-ud-Dīn Baranī.

Tārīkh-i-Ghāsānī, the, 869 n 2, 883 n, 892 n.

Tārīkh-i-Guzīdah, the, zlviii, 24 n3, 25 n2, 29 n9, 32 n6, 34 n5, 37 n3, 41 n8, 44 n4, 45 n8, 49 n7. 53 n2. 54 n7, 60 n7, 64 n1, 88 n5, 94 n3, 96 n1, 97 nn 3 and 4, 98 n5, 100 n5. 101 nn6 and 7, 102 n2, 103 n, 108 n5, 109 n7, 112 n5. 113 n. 117 n, 118 n. 119 n7. 124n 4, 126 n 2, 132 nn 9 and 1, 134 n 9, 138 n 9, 142 n 1, 148 n 2, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 154 n 2, 155 n and nn 3 and 7, 157 n 1, 158 n, 162 n 2, 163 n 4, 173 n 4, 174 n, 178 n 7, 185 n, 217 n, 232 n, 236 n 4, 240 n, 254 nn 2 and 8, 264 n, 266 n, 270 n 7, 297 n, 303 n 7, 350 n, 407 n 5, 439 n 4, 447 n 6, 452 n 1, 473 n 2, 474 n, 476 n, 478 n 6, 485 n 3, 678 n, 869 n 2, 908 n, 941 n, 961 n, 1009 n, 1144 n 5, 1199 n, 1221 n, 1222 n, 1250 n, 1253 n 4, 1256 n 6, 1278 n, 1287 n.

Tārīkh-i-Ḥāfiz Abrū, the, 941. See also under Ḥāfiz Abrū.

Tārīkh-i-Haft Iklīm, the, xvi, 85 w. Tārīkh-i-Hamd'ullah, the Mustaufī, 964 n 2. See also under Hamd'ullah the Mustaufī.

Tārīkh-i-Ibn Haişam, the, 11, 19, 26, 81, 56 and n 8, 60, 116 and n 1, 817, 820 n 2.

Tārīkh-i-Ibrāhīmī, the, 25 n 2, 31 n 3, 35 nn 4 and 5, 37 n 3, 41 n 8, 44 n 1, 49 n 7, 96 n 1, 98 n 5, 100 n 5, 155 n, 303 n 7, 317 n, 338 n 2, 358 n 3, 361 n 6, 407 nn 5 and 7, 523 n, 528 n 2, 869 n 2, 1020 n.

Tārikh-i-Jahān Ārā, the, 26 n 3, 31 n 3, 35 n 5, 41 n 8, 97 n 4, 117 n, 187 n 5, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 163 n 3, 176 n 4, 199 n 7, 282 n, 254 n 3, 302 n 6, 306 n 1, 312 n 6, 316 n 2, 320 n 4, 322 n, 388 n 2, 358 n 3, 863 n 8, 407 n n 5 and 7, 411 n, 412 n, 418 n 2, 423 n 8, 424 n 3, 427 n, 429 n 4, 482 n 1, 434 n 8, 436 n 5, 440 n 8, 452 n 2, 456 n 2, 486 n 4, 498 n 6, 574 n 5, 869 n 2, 1176 n 9.—app. xx.

Tarikh-i-Jahangir, the, 869 n 2.

892 n, 893 n, 931 n, 948 n, 957 n, 987 n 3, 1009 n, 1019 n, 1032 n, 1033 n, 1036 n 1, 1083 n, 1098 n, 1116 n, 1139 n, 1148 n 4, 1166 n, 1194 n, 1195 n, 1256 n 6, 1286 n.

Tārīkh-i-Jahān Kushāe, the, of the Jūwainī, xlix, 485 n 3, 535 n, 540 n, 869 n 2, 889 n, 979 n, 987 n 3, 1014 n 2, 1015 n, 1016 n 3, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 n 8, 1031 n, 1032 n, 1033 n, 1129 n 1.

Tārīkh-i-Khān-i-Jahān, the Lūdī, xvi.

Tārīkh-i-Khurāsān, the,—of Maulānā Mu'in-ud-Din, Sabzwārī, 19 n l, 1085 n.

Tārīkh-i-Majdūl, the,—of Imām Muḥammad 'Alī Abu'l Ķāsim 'Imādī, xxxii n 2, 69.

Tārīkh-i-Ma'ṣūmī, the,—of Mīr Ma'ṣūm-i-Bhakharī, 618 n 2.

Tārikh-i-Mir'āt-i-Jahān Numā, the, —a general History by Muhammad Baķā, 79 n. See the Mir'āt--i-Jahān Numā.

Tārikh-i-Mubārak Shāhī, the, xvi, 665 n 8, 691 n, 716 n 5.

Tārikh-i-Muķaddasī, the, 6, 303 n 7, 805, 1258.

Tārīkh i-Murassā', the,—of Muhammad Afzal <u>Kh</u>ān, <u>Kh</u>atak, xvi, 622 n.

Tārikh-i-Nāṣirī, the, 68, 105n, 119,
 122 and n 1, 317. See the Tārīkh
 -i-Āl-i-Sabuk Tigūn.

Tārīkh-i-Nisbat-i-Afāghinab, the, — of the Shaikh 'Abd-ur-Razzāķ, Matīzī, zvi.

Tārīkh-i-Rājahāhe Jammū, the, xvi.

Tārikh-i-Rashidī, the, —of the Mirzā Muḥammad Ḥaidar. the Doghlātī Mughal, xvi, 918 n, 1283 n 1.

Tārīkh-i-Sind of Mīr Ma'sum, the Bakharī, the, xvi, 548 n.

Tārīkh-i-Tabarī, the, xvi, 33 n 1, 38 n 5; 42 n 9, 44 n 4, 58 n 3, 308 n 2, 597 n 3. See also under at-Tabarī.

Tārīkh-i-Yāfa'ī, the, 9n1, 10n8, 11 n 4, 12 n 8, 41 n 8, 42 n 9, 60 n 8, 94 n 8, 97 n 4, 98 n 6, 99 n, 102 n 2.107 nn 7 and 8112 n 5, 113 ", 117 n, 118 n, 120 n 1, 132 n 9, 133 n 3, 136 n, 143 n 2, 146 n 5, 167 n 8,  $178 \, n \, 7$ ,  $204 \, n$ ,  $209 \, n \, 6$ ,  $212 \, n$ , 222n1, 223 n3, 224 n8, 233 nn 5 and 6, 235 n 2, 236 n 6, 239 n 2, 240 n, 244 n 3, 248 n 2, 251 n 1, 254 n 3, 264 n, 266 n, 268 n 3, 274 n9. 281 n5, 283 n3, 288 n3, 289 n, 370 n, 380 n 9, 381 n 2, 393 n 8, 400 n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 403 n,407 nn 5 and 7, 411 n, 419 n 5, 473 n 2, 496 n 9, 526 n 8, 532 n 4. 540 n, 869 n 2, 908 n, 926 n, 988 n, 1129 n 1.

Tārīkh-i-Yamīnī of Baihaķī. the, 105 n. See under the Tārīkh-i-Āl-i-Sabuk-Tigīn.

Tarikh-i-Yamini of al-'Utbi, -See the Kitab-i-Yamini.

Tarins, the,—name of a people, 1016 n 3.

Tarkhān, explanation of the word, 942n.

Tarkhān, one of four Mughal tribes styled the ulus-i-Oharganah, 1164 n 9

Tarkhūn, Malik of the people of the Sughd of Samarkand, 915 n.

Tarsā, application of the word. 567 n 4. 1234 n 9.

Tartars, the, 874 n, 899 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1089 n, 1167 n, 1171 n. See also the Tāttārs, of which word Tartars is the European spelling.

Tascherau, 159 n 7.

Tasdar [Naro] Jai-pal, ruler of Hird, 86 \*.

Tash, Husam-ud-Daulah, Abu'l 'Abbas, the Hājib,—commander of the forces of Amīr Nūḥ, son of Manṣūr, son of Nūḥ Sāmānī, 44 and n 2, 45 and n 7.

Tasso, 159 n.

Tassūkān or Yasaūkān <u>Kh</u>ātūn, the Tāttār,—third wife of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1092 n.

Tata, the,—the Chinese name for the Tattars.

Tatūr, the Gakhar, habitation of, 1132 m.

Tātār <u>Kh</u>ān, Muḥammad Arsalān, son of Arsalān <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Sanjar, governor of Lakhaṇawaṭī, 771 n, 772 n, 776 n, 779 n.

Tātārs, the, 691 n. See under the Tātiārs.

Tatatouggo, a master of the I-ghūrī language, 1186 n.

Tatris, the, also called the Rathhs, —one of the tribes of the Koh-i-Jūd, 1132 n.

Tattar I-māk, the, 874 n, 875 n, 876 n, 877 n, 881 n, 882 n. 884 n, 885 n, 887 n, 891 n, 897 n, 898 n, 899 n, 900 n, 937 n 1, 938 n, 940 n, 999 n, 1041 n 3, 1092 n, 1282 n 6.

Tättär Khan b. Alinjah Khan, son of Kiwak,—first ruler of the Tättär I-mak, 873 n, 874 n, 885 n, 887 n, 900 n, 951 n.

Tättär Ming or Hazārah, the,-one

of the divisions of the <u>Ohingis</u> <u>Khān's army, 1093 n.</u>

Tättärs, the,—descendants of Tättär Khän, son of Alinjah Khän, son of Kiwak, 866 n, 869 n 2, 873 n, 875 n, 877 n, 883 n, 884 n, 885 n, 886 n, 887 n, 891 n, 894 n, 896 n, 898 n, 899 n, 900 n, 913 n, 936 n 6, 937 n 7, 940 n, 944 n, 948 n, 949 n, 961 n, 980, 1001 n, 1014 n 2, 1036 n 1, 1041 n 3, 1076 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1106 n, 1109 n 2, 1127 n, 1135 n 3, 1151 n, 1167 n, 1168 n, 1217 n, 1235 n 1, 1248 n 8, 1284 n 5, 1290 n 9.

Tavernier, 860 n 9, 1119 n.

Tawārīkh-i-'Ajam, the,—name of a historical work, 1.

Tayānak Khān, also written Tayān and Tayānak, the,—Tūbukū or Taibukū, son of Balikto Inānaj,—sovereign of the Turkish tribe of the Nāemāns, 940 n, 944 n, 945 n, 946 n, 947 n, 949 n, 956 n, 1091 n, 1092 n.

Taylor, Meadows, author of the Student's Manual of Indian History, 445 n, 462 n, 467 n.

Tāsbū or Tāzbūr, son of Farāwwul or Ķarāwal,—ancestor of Zuhāk the Tāzī. 304. He is also called Tābūr and Tāzīo-barsed, which see. [Persians, 304 and n 3.]

Tāzī, name of the Arabs among Tāzik <u>Gh</u>ūrīs, the, 510 n, 511 n. See also under the Tājzik <u>Gh</u>ūrīs

Tāzīo-barsed, son of Farāwwal or-Ķarāwal,—father of all the 'Arabs and brother of Hoghang Malik, 303 and n7, 304. He is differently called Tābūr, Tāzbū' and Tāzbūr. Tazio-baraid, Tazio-barahed or Tazio-naread. See the above.

Taşkirah of the Daghistānī, the,

Taşkirah of Daulat Shah, the, 609 n5.

Taşkirat-ul-Abrār, the,—of the Akhūnd, Darwezah, xvi.

Tarkirat-ul-Mulūk, the,—of Yahyā

<u>Kh</u>ān, xvi, 89 n 8, 90 n 4, 99 n,
102 n 1 112 n 3, 113 n, 449 n 8,
461 n, 523 n, 546 n 7, 557 n 3, 593
n 7, 603 n 6, 609 n 1, 613 n 7, 619
n 7, 623 n 1, 639 n 4, 641 n 8, 647
n 9, 691 n.—app. vi, viii, xxvi.

Tehukan, possibly Tehūkang, the Faghfür, ruler of Ohīn, 1218n.

Templers, the religious military order of the, 101 n 8.

Terry's "Voyage," 585 n 7, 588 n.
Thamar, Queen, sovereign of Gharjistan 1001 n.

Thamūras-i-Dīw-Band,—third of the Bāstānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, and grandson of Hoshang, the second of the dynasty, 2.

Tharoo caste, the, 560 n 4. See under the Tihārū.

Thiņūr Diw, Rāe of Nahrwālah, 516 n 1.

Thomas, E., author of the "Chronicles of the Patan Kings of Dehli,"

x, xxii, xxiii, xxvi and n3, lv, 71

n5, 72 n6, 91 n9, 114 n, 288 n3,
369 n5, 399 n, 424 n6, 426 n6, 469

n9, 488 n1, 497 n3, 510 n, 514 n,
520 n, 535 n, 55 in6, 551 n, 558

n7, 560 n, 573 n9, 580 n8, 584

n2, 598 n8, 603 n6, 615 n, 622 n,
632 n, 637 n8, 638 n1, 642 n3,
644 n, 657 n6, 661 n, 666 n 668 n,
669 n, 8 679 n6, 680 n, 685 n4,

688 n 2, 690 n 1, 699 n 1, 780 n 6, 771 n, 772 n, 774 n, 779 n, 791 n 4, 795 n 2, 800 n, 818 n 6, 859 n 8, 861 n 5, 878 n, 1011 n, 1108 n 1, 1129 n 1, 1259 n 3.—app. i, iii, iv, vi, vii. See also under the Pathān Kings of Dehlī.

Thornton, 462 n, 705 n 7. [xxxi. Thousand and one Nights, Lane's, Thugatshur, wrong spelling of the name of Tughāohār, son of U. Tigīn, which see.

Tigīn, a Turkish title borne by Turks and Tāttārs, 944 n.

Tigîn-i-Buzarg, a name incorrectly given to Malik Balban-i-Buzarg 'Isz-ud-Dîn Kashlü Khān, of the Maliks of Sultan Shams-ud-Dîn I-yal-Timish of Dihlî, 661 n.

Tihārū, the,—a race of people dwelling in the mountains between Tibbat and Lakhanawatī, 560 and n 4, 562 n, 567 n 1.

'Times" newspaper, the, 899 n, 1027 n 8.

Timur, the Gurgun, Amir, [the celebrated Tamerlane], xlv, lviii, 109 n 9, 291 n, 450 n 3, 499 n, 884 n, 889 n, 893 n, 896 n, 898 n, 913 n, 926 n, 988 n, 1027 n 8, 1035 n, 1073 n 4, 1145 n, 1155 n 6, 1235 n 1.—app. x.

Timur, the Nu-yin, Amir of Kara-Kuram,—one of the Nu-yins of the Ohingiz Khan, 1178 n.

Timür Malik, governor of <u>Kh</u>ujand, under Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Taki<u>sh</u> <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Sh</u>āh, 972 n, 973 n, 974 n, 1118 n 9.

Timur Malik b. Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 254 n 3, 286 n 6. Timur Namah, the,—a history of Amir Timur the Gurgan, 887 n.

Timur Tash,—of the race of Kaian, chieftain of the Mughals. 888 n.

Timuriah dynasty,—another name of the Mughal dynasty founded at Dihli, by Bäbar Bädshäh, 1145 n.

Tingīz, the sixth son of Aghūz Khān, the third sovereign of the Mughal I-māķ, 880 n.

Tingīz or Tinjīz Khān b. Mangalī Khān, son of Yal-dūz,—VIIIth sovereign of the Mughal I-māķ, 881 n. [1092 n, 1138 n.

Tingkūt, the, a tribe of Mughals Tingkūt, son of Jūjī [Tūshī] Khān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1105 n, 1165 n, 1178 n.

Tingkūt language, the, 1085 n 3.

Tingrī Khān, the Shīdarķū or Shīdāsķū, the Bādshāh of the territory of Tingkūt or Ķāshīn, 1085 and n 3. 1090, 1095, 1096.

Tinjîz <u>Kh</u>ăn, See under Tingîz <u>Kh</u>ãn b. Mangalî <u>Kh</u>ân.

Tīpū's Library, vii.

Tîr Muhammad b. Malik <u>Sh</u>āb, son of Sulţān Alb-Arsalān-i-<u>Gh</u>āzī, Saljūķī, 144 and n 3. See under Muhanmad b. Malik <u>Sh</u>āh, the Saljūķī.

Tod's Rajasthān, 459 n 6, 459 n 9, 467 n, 517 n, 519 n, 522 n, 611 n 3, 691 n, 728 n 3, 828 n 3.

Tokashī, name of a ruler whose territory was subdued by Bātū Khān, son of Tūshī, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1170 n.

Tokuz-I-ghurs,—those of the I-ghurs who dwelt on the tokuz or nine rivers, 889 n, 951 n, 1097 n 6. Tomdns or ten Thousands,—the first of the four degrees of Mughals, 948 n.

Tonshī, Tūnshī or Tūshī,—envoy of the Karā-Khitā-īs to Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad Khwārazm Shāh, 929 n.

Torîs, the, 499 n,—read the Türis, see lxi.

To<u>sh</u>ājār, See under <u>Taghach</u>ār, the Bahādur.

Tott, Baron de, 1235 n 1.

To-whan, the Chalar (Jala-īr),—one of the officers of "Mengko" Ķā-ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1222 n.

Traditionists, the,—the four orthodox sects of Muhammadans, 884 and n6, 385.

Travels of Father Avril, in Tartary, 567 n 4.

Travels, Masson's, 339 n 7, 427 n, 1058 n 6.

"Travels in the steppes of the Caspian Sea, the Crimea, the Caucasus, etc., by Xavier Hommaire de Hell, 884 n.

Tschermiss, the,—an ancient people dwelling between the Volga and Tanaïs or Don,—the modern Oharkas probably, 1170 n 8.

Tubba'-i-Akbar b. Akrān, of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7.

Tubba'-ul-Aşghar b. Ḥassān, of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7.

Tubba'-ul-Ausat, of the Tababi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7.

Tubba's, the,—the royal tribe of Arabia Felix, 303 n 7. See also under the Tabābi'ah.

Tubba' Yawa, the incorrect spelling of the word Tabābi'ah.

- Tub or Tab Tingrī,—title of the Mughal saint, Kūkjū, son of Minglīk Ichakah, 948 n, 955 n.
- Tübukü or Taibukü, the Tayānak Khān, son of Balikto Īnānaj,—sovereign of the Nāemāus, 944 n. See also under the Tayānak Khān.
- Tughā Tigīn, the Ḥājib—one of the generals of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, son of Sultān Ibrāhīm, Chaznawī, 107.
- Tughachār, also styled Dālān,—one of the Nūyīns of the Chingīz Khān, 956 n.
- Tughāe-Tīmūr, son of Tūshī [Jūjī], son of the <u>Chingīz Khān</u>, 1102 n, 1164 n 9, 1165 n.
- Tüghān-i-Sunkar,—one of the Amīrs of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Mang-barnī, son of Sultān Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwarazm Shāh, 1116 n.
- Tüghān Khān, Sharaf-ud-Dīn, son of 'Alī, son of Mūsā, son of Satuķ-Kūjah,—VIth of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān, 85 n, 904 n.
- Tughān Shāh [Takish], son of Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, son of Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, son of Saljūķ, the Turkmān, 138 n 8.
- Tüghān Shāh b. Ibrāhīm, Sayyidus-Salāţin, son of Sulţān Mas'ūd, Ghaznawī, 105.
- Tüghān Shāh b. Mu'ayyid-i-Ā'īnahdār,—second of the Sanjarīyah Maliks of Nīshāpūr, 181 and n9, 182, 245 n7, 246 n8, 419 n6.
- Tughlak dynasty of Dihlī, the,—
  founded by <u>Gh</u>iyāṣ-ud-Dīn
  Tughlak, 510 n. [ghāsh <u>Kh</u>ān.
  Tughmākh <u>Kh</u>ān. See under Tum-

- Tughril, signification of the word, 866 n.
- Tughril, governor of Bangālah under Sultān Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn Balban, 589 n, 590 n, 776 n.
- Tughril II,—Amin <u>Khān's Nā'ib</u> in the governorship of Bengal, 772 n.
- Tughril, al-Mal'ūn, or the execrated, —the usurper of the throne of Ghasnīn, 98 n 8, 99 n and n 2, 100 and n 4, 101, 132 n 9.
- Tughril, Bahā-ud-Dīn, al-Mu'izzī as-Sultānī,—one of the Mu'izzīah Sultāns of Hind, 471 n, 518 n, 520 n, 544 and n4, 545 and n5, 546 and n7, 547 and n, 549 n4, 619 n6, 627 n4, 704 n5, 727 and n8, 732 n8, 767 and n6.
- Tughril, Bahā-ud-Dīn [styled- by some Badr-ud-Dīn], the Sanjarī, —governor of Hirāt, 248, 249, 374 and n 5, 375 n, 377 and n 6, 379 and n 5, 391, 427.
  - Tughril b. Arsalān Shāh b. Tughril b. Muhammad b. Malik Shāh, son of Sultān Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, Saljūķī, Sultān,—last of the Saljūķīah dynasty, 91 n 9, 165 n 2, 166 n and n 7, 167 and n 8, 171 n 9, 172 n 3, 242 and n 5, 247 n, 249 n 3, 250, 296 n.
  - Tughril b. Isrā'īl b. Saljūķ, son of Luķmān, the Turkmān, 126 n 2.
  - Tughril b. Mahmūd b. Muhammad b. Malik Shāh, son of Sultān Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, Saljūķī,—governor of 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam under Sultān Sanjar, 146 n 6, 151 n 6.
- Tughril b. Mas'üd b. Muḥammad b. Malik Shāh, son of Sultān Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, Saljūķī,—gover-

- nor of 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam under Sulţān Sanjar, 151 n 6.
- Tughril b. Muhammad b. Malik Shāh, son of Sultān Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, Saljūķī,—governor of 'Irāķi-'Ajam under Sultān Sanjar, 151 n 6, 170 n 8, 171 n 9, 242.
- Tughril b. Sankur, son of Maudūd, son of Zangī, son of Ak-Sankur, the Atā-bak of Fārs, 176 n 8.
- Tughril-i-Tughān <u>Kh</u>ān —one of the Maliks of Sultān <u>Sh</u>ams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish, of Dihlī, xxvii. See under his title of 'Izz-ud-Dīn.
- Tughril b. Tughril, Saljūkī,—last of the dynasty, 165 and n 2. See under Tughril b. Arsalān Shāh, which is his correct name.
- Tughril Beg b. Mīkā'īl, son of Saljūķ, son of Luķmān, the Turkmān,—the first of the Saljūķīah dynasty, 86n, 94n2, 103n9, 116n3, 117n, 119n7, 122 and nn8 and 9, 123 and n, 124n, 125 and nn7, 8 and 1, 126 and nn 2 and 3, 129 and n3, 130, 132 and nn 9 and 1, 133 n and n3, 135n2, 136n, 174n, 232n. His correct name is Abū Tālib, Muḥammad, and his title, Ruknud-Dīn Tughril Beg, Yamīn-i-Amīr-ul-Mu'minin.
- Tughril Shāh b. Ibrāhīm, Sayyidus-Salāţīu, son of Sulţān Mas'ūd, Ghaznawī, 106.
- Tughrul,—name of a bird used in field sports, 936 and n5.
- Tughrul Tigin,—surname of Tamurohi, the Tättär, afterwards known as the Äwang Khān,

- which see, 936 and n 5, 939 n, 940 n.
- Tūh or Tawah, son of Kābah, son of Nūh,—ancestor of Zuhāk, the Tāzī, 308.
- Tūḥ, son of Rū-shed,—ancestor of Tāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain, 9.
- Tuhfat-ul-Kirām, the,—name of a historical work, xvi. [nīān.
- Tūīnīān, the. See under the Tū-Tūjs or Tūj, son of Afrīdūn, the Bādshāh of 'Ajam, 308 and n 2.
- Tūjz, son of Farāwal,—brother of Hoshang, Bādshāh of 'Ajam, 303 n 7.
- Tük, son of Muklas,—governor of Kirman, 317 n 5.
- Tūķāe, Tūķā or Tūķīā, son of Būzanjar, the first ruler of the Būzanjar dynasty of the Mughal Imāķ, 894 n.
- Tūka<u>ch</u>ār or Tūk<u>ch</u>ār, the Nū-yān 988 n, 989 n. See also under Taghachār, the Bahādur.
- Tūkājār, the Gūrgān, son-in-law of the Chingīz Khān, 1027 n 8, 1120 n 2. See also under Taghāchār, the Gūrgān.
- Tūkal, the Ba<u>khsh</u>ī,—the Mughal Shahnah [Intendant] of Halab, 1264 n.
- Tūkal, son of Tūlī Khān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1169 n, 1170 n.
- Tukāshī, the <u>Kh</u>ātūn of Yessū-Mungā, son of <u>Ohaghatāe Khān, son</u> of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1184 n.
- Tükchar. See under Taghachar, the Bahadur.
- Tükiā or Tükā, son of Bū-zanjar, the first ruler of the Bū-zanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-māķ, 894 n.

Tükiä-Tigin, called also Saghnäk-Tigin, son of Üzär, the Kankuli, chief of Almäligh, 969 n 1, 986 n.

Tuklah, the Mughal Shahnah [Intendent] of Hillah, 1243 n.

Tuklah or Duklah, son of Maudūd, son of Zangī, son of Āķ-Sanķur, Atā-bak of Fārs under the Saljūķīs, 174 n, 175 n 7.

Tuklah or Duklah, son of Salghür, mistaken for Tuklah, son of Maudüd, which see.

Tuklah or Duklah, son of Sankur, mistaken for Tuklah, son of Zangī, which see.

Tuklah or Duklah son of Zangī, son of Maudūd, the Atā-bak of Fārs, 175 and nn 8 aud 9, 176 aud n 1.

 $T\bar{u}k$ - $l\bar{i}$  or Tugh- $l\bar{i}$  sheep, 968 and n7.

Tuktā, the Bīgī,—the Bādshāh of the Makrīt tribes, of the Mughals, 940 n, 941 n, 945 n, 946 n, 947 n, 949 n, 950 n, 951 n, 952 n, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n.

Tük-Tughān, the Amīr or Chief of the Makrīt Mughals of the Kaiāt division of the Mughals, 268 n 4, 269 n, 273 n, 931 n, 961 n, 970 n 2, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n, 986 n, 1097 n 6.

Tükülkü or

Tūkūlkū<u>ch</u>ī, the Juzbī,— one of the Nū-yīns of the <u>Ohingīz Kh</u>ān, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n.

Tūkūz or Dūkūz, daughter of Aighū, son of the Awang Khān,—the Christian Khātūn of Hulākū, son of Tūlī, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1193 n, 1262 n.

Tüküz-I-ghürs, the,—those of the I-ghürs who dwelt on the  $T\tilde{n}k\tilde{n}z$  or nine rivers, 889 n, 951 n, 1097 n 6.

Tūlān or Tūlān, the Juzbī, the Talangūt Mughal,—one of the Nū-yīns of the Ohingīs Khān, 989 n, 1004, 1028, 1054, 1055, 1061 n 7, 1080 n 5.

Tüli, Tülüi or Tülüe Khan, son of the Chingiz Khan, xlviii, 280 n 9, 585 n, 939 n, 940 n, 941 n, 944 n, 946n, 970n2, 975n5, 976 n. 988 n, 1000 n, 1004 n 1, 1012 n 9, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1020 n, 1027 n 8, 1028 n, 1031 n, 1032 n, 1033 n, 1034 n 9, 1035 and n, 1036 n 1, 1037 n, 1038 and nn 5 and 6, 1039 and n7, 1040, 1049 n2, 1084n, 1086n, 1087n, 1090n,  $1091 \ n$ ,  $1092 \ n$ ,  $1094 \ n$ ,  $1095 \ n$ , 1104 n 5, 1105 n, 1106 n, 1115 n 5, 1127 n, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1143 n, 1152 n, 1164 n, 1165 n, 1176 and n 9, 1177 n, 1178 n, 1181, 1199 n, 1228 n, 1225, 1226.

Tūlī, a Mughal word signifying mirror, eradicated from the lauguage of the Mughals in favor of gūsgū, 1176 n 9, 1177 n.

Tülüe Khān, son of the Chingis Khān. See under Tüli Khān.

Tuma,—one of the chiefs of the Kara-Khita-is, 911, 912 n.

Tumads, the,-or

Tūmāts, the,—a tribe of Mughals, 931 n, 981 n.

Tumghāsh [also written Tughmākh]

Khān,—a descendant of Bughrā

Khān of Turkistān, and father
of Turkān Khātūn, the consort of

Malik Shāh, son of Sultān Alb
Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, Saljūķī, 188 n 9.

Tüminäe or Tüminä-i, also written Tüminah or

Tumnah, son of Bae-Sankar or

Bae-Sunghar,—sixth of the Būsanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-māķ, 896 n, 1087 n.

Tünag or

- Tunak, first son of Turk, son of Yang [Japheth], 872 n, 878 n, 879 n.
- Tungani, the,—name of a people, 899 n.
- Tungusian race, the,—one of the four great races into which the Scythians are divided, 886 n.
- Tūnīān or Tū-īnān, the,—the priestly class of the Mughal idol-worshippers, 1110 and n7, 1111 n, 1157 and n9, 1158, 1160, 1162 n. Also called the Tūnīs.
- Tunis, the, li, 1110 and n7, 1111 n. See the above.
- Tunish, wrong name of Tutish, son of Sultan Alb-Arsalan-i-Ghazī, Saljūķī.

Tunur Rajahs, the, 520 n.

- Tür b. Faridün, the sovereign of 'Ajam,—ruler of Mäwarä-un-Nahr and Turkistän, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n.
- Tūrā-ohīn <u>Kh</u>ātūn, of the Bāyāūt tribe,—one of the wives of Mangū Kā'ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1223 s.
- Türak b. An<u>sh</u>ar,—ancestor of Tähir ibn ul-Ḥusain, 9.
- Tūrākīnah <u>Kh</u>ātūn, of the Ühāt or Ürhār Makrīt tribe,—second of the four <u>Kh</u>ātūns of Uktāe Ķā'ān, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 953 n, 1141 n, 1142 n, 1144, 1149 n 7, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1153 n, 1178 n, 1181 n 2.
- Turan Dukht, daughter of Khusrau Parwiz, son of Hurmuz, son of

- Nushirwan,—VIIth of the Akssirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.
- Türan Malik b. Jam<u>sh</u>ed Malik b. Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din Mas'üd-i-Karim, <u>Gh</u>aznawi, 107.
- Tūrān Shāh, son of Abū Lashkar-i-Aiyūb, son of Shādī al-Kurdī. See under Malik-ul-Mu'aşşam Shams-ud-Daulah, Tūrān Shāh.
- Türäu Shah b. Sulţān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawī, 105.
- Tūrānīān race, the,—known to the Greeks as Scythians, and in modern times as Tatārs, 886 n, 899 n.
- Turbae, the Mughal,—one of the leaders of the Ohingaz Khan's army, 1034 n. See also under Turtae.
- Turgut, the,—name of a tribe, 1091 n.
- Tūrīs, the,—a people reckoned among the Afghāns, but who claim other descent, lxi, 499 n.
- Turk, the first of the eight sons of Yafis [Japheth], progenitor of the Turks, 870 n, 871 n, 872 n, 873 n, 875 n, 879 n, 881 n, 882 n, 887 n, 900 n, 1106 n, 1140 n, 1259 n1.
- Turk-Ilī, Amīr,— chief of the Angīrās Ķunghurāt Mughals, 943 n.
- Turk Imāķ, the,—one of the Ohahār I-māķ, 874 n.
- Turkān Khātūn, daughter of Sultān Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, son of Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, son of Mīkā'īl, son of Saljūķ, son of Luķmān, the Turkmān, 906 n.
- Turkān Khātūn, daughter of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh, given in marriage by Mangū

Khān's command to Malik Şālih, son of Badr-ud-Dīn Lūlū of Mauşil, 1263 n.

Turkān Khātūn, daughter of Tumghāsh Khān, a descendant of Bughrā Khān of Turkistān,—one of the wives of Sultān Malik Shāh, the Saljūķī, and mother of Sultān Sanjar, 138 n and n8, 139 n, 143 n 2.

Turkān Khātūn, mother of Sultān Raziyyah, the daughter of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish of Dihlī, 638.

Turkān <u>Khātūn</u>, wife of Sultān Takish, and mother of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wā-razm <u>Sh</u>āh, 279 n 6, 280 n 7, 929 n, 930 n, 982 n, 993 n, 1082 n, 1098 n.

Turkān Khātūn, the Malikah-i-Jahān, chief consort of Sultān Sanjar, son of Malik Shūh, son of Sultān Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāzī, Saljūķī, 154 and n2, 155, 156 n8, 238 n6. 911, 926 n.

Turkān-i-<u>Kh</u>wājah-Tā<u>sh</u>, 699 n 2 See under the <u>Kh</u>wājah-Tā<u>sh</u> slaves.

Turkan Shah b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghasnawi, 106.

Turkish race, the,—one of the four great races into which the Scythians are divided, 886 n.

Turkish Slave dynasty of Diulī, the, 26 n 1, 41 n 6, 158, 510 n, 511 n, 587 n, 635 n 6.

Turk-manind or Turk-man,—why the Turkmans became so named, 879 n.

Turkmans, the,—one of the <u>Ohahar</u> I-mak, 871 n, 874 n, 878 n,

879 n, 880 n, 905 n, 909 n, 958 n, 1015 n, 1021 n 8, 1022 n, 1028 n, 1030 n, 1031 n, 1284 and n 4, 1281 n.

Turks, the, -descendants of Turk, the first of the eight sons of Yāfis [Japheth], xii, xiii, 31 n 4, 41 n 6, 45, 58, 62, 65, 69, 70, 119 n8, 231, 232n, 423n8, 477n5,482 n, 496 n 2, 497 and n 2, 509 n, 511 n, 536 n, 548 n 3, 556 and n 7, 564 n. 597 n 4, 606 and n 3, 607, 836, 869 n 2, 870 n, 871 n, 878 n, 874n, 878 n, 879 n. 880 n, 882 n, 883 n, 884 n, 885 n, 886 n, 887 n, 891 n, 892 n, 899 n, 900 and n, 901 n, 903 n, 904 n, 905 n, 907 n, 909 n, 912 n, 913 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 922 n, 923 n, 925 n, 926 n, 934 n, 935, 936 and n 6, 937 n 1, 940 n, 941 n, 944 n, 946 n, 948 n, 949 n, 953 n, 955 n, 956 n, 962 n, 978 n, 980, 983 n. 999 n, 1001 n, 1004, 1014 n 2, 1016 and n 3, 1021, 1022 n, 1029 n, 1030 n. 1032 n, 1041 n 3, 1045 n, 1076 n, 1082 n, 1087 n, 1091 n, 1094 n, 1097 n 6, 1100 n 9, 1106 n, 1117 and n 7, 1129 n 1, 1131 n, 1132 n, 1183, 1137, 1138 n, 1151 n, 1167 n, 1168 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1233 n 3, 1235 n 1, 1277 n, 1282 and n 6, 1284 n 5.

"Turks in India," the,—by Henry George Keene, M. R. A. S., Judge of Agra, 1094 n.

Tür-Kürghah or Tür-Kurghah, the name given by the Turks to the Great Wall of China, 956 n.

Türkütäs or Türghütäs Kariltük, great-grandson of Hamankä, son of Käidü Khän, the fourth of the Bū-zanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-māķ,— Bādshāh of the Tānjīūt Mughals, 988 n.

Türmatī, the Nü-yīn. See under Türtāe or Türtī.

Turner, 563 n.

Tursūtāe Aghūl, son of Tūlī <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1194*n*. Tūrtāe or

Türti, the Mughal Nü-in,—one of the leaders of the <u>Ohingiz Khān's</u> army, 293 n 5, 297, 584 n 1, 535 and n, 536 n, 539 n 2, 540 n.

Turtīah,—the lieutenant of Sultān
'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish
Khwārazm Shāh, in Samarkand,
931 n.

Tūsāl or Ūsāl, Amīr,—governor of Irān Zamīn under the Mughals, 1121 n, 1122 n, 1141 n.

Tūshī Khān, son of the Chingīz Khān, 268 and n 4, 269 and n, 273 n 5, 280, 281, 960 n 6, 961 n, 963 n 8, 1004 n 8, 1026 and nn 6 and 7, 1096, 1097, 1100, 1101, 1102 and n, 1103, 1104, 1146, 1164, 1172, 1283, 1284, 1292. See also under Jūjī which is the Irānī spelling of the name.

Tūtag or

Tūtak, first son of Turk, son of Yāfia [Japheth], 872 n, 878 n, 879 n.

Tūtār Aghūl, son of Bātū Khān, son of Tūshī [Jūjī] Khān, son of the

Ohingis Khan, 1289 n, 1248 n, 1286 n.

Tutash, son of Sultān Alb-Arsalāni-Ghāzī, son of Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, son of Mīkā'īl, son of Saljūķ, the Turkmān. See under Tutish, Tāj-ud-Daulah.

Tūtī,—one of the Chieftains of the Ghuzz tribe, 156.

Tutish, Tāj-ud-Daulah Abū Sa'īd, son of Sultān Alb-Arsalān-i-Ghāsī, son of Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, son of Mīkā'īl, son of Saljūk, the Turkmān, 189 n, 144 n 3, 204 n.

Tutmāj, signification of the word, 141 n 7.

Tutmār-i-Ohūbīn, the Bahādur,—
one of the leaders of the Ohingīz.
Khān's army, 981 n.

Tuwāw-chīn Khātūn, of the Bāyāūt tribe,—one of the wives of Mangū Ķā'ān, son of Tūlī Khān, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1223 n. Tūzak-i-Jahāngīrī, the,—app. xxi.

Tūz, signification of the word, 63 n 9.
Tūzī, name of an expensive and fine
fabric, 63 and n 9.

Tuzūk-i-Bābarī, the,—name of a historical work, xvi.

Tūzūn b. Abu'l Wafā, the Amīr-ul-Umarā, chief of the Turks of Baghdād, in the <u>Kh</u>ilāfat of al-Muttaķī B'illāh, 55 n 1, 58.

Tymunee, wrong spelling of the Tāimāni Imāķ, which see.

U

'Ubaidi Isma'ili dynasty in Egypt, the termination of, 217 n, 616 n 2.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Ubaid'ullah b. Tāhir,—deputy of 'Umro, son of Lais, Suffāri, at Baghdād, 23 n 9.

- Idisah or Udi Sah, ruler of Jalor, 607 n.
- Uduķūts, the,—one of the sept of the Makrīt tribe of Mughals, 947 n, 956 n.
- Udz-ūķī, the,—the three youngest sons of Āghūz <u>Kh</u>ān, the third sovereign of the Mughal I-māķ, 879 n, 880 n, 896 n, 1095 n.
- Oghūl-Ķūīmish or Oghūl Ķīmish, the chief <u>Kh</u>ātūn of Kyūk <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Oktāe Ķā'ān, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīs <u>Kh</u>ān, 1177 n 1, 1180 n, 1184 n, 1223 n.
- Ughūl Malik, or Aghūl Ṣāḥib, son of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammadi-Takiah Khwārazm Shāh, 254 n 3, 286 n 6.
- Ughūz Khān b. Karā Khān, son of Mughal Khān, third sovereign of the Mughal I-māk, 876 n, 880 n, 882 n, 891 n, 894 n. See also under Āghūz Khān.
- Ugrian race, the,—one of the four great races into which the Scythians are divided, 886 n.
- That Makrits, the, 1141 n. See under the Urhar Makrit.
- Thud Ormangkut, the,—a Mughal tribe of the race of Kaian, 1089 n.
- Timāk or Tī-māgh tribe, the, descendants of Alinjah <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Kiwak, 873 n.
- Un-Kun, the name given by the Mughals to the Great Wall of China, 956 n.
- Un-kūt tribe, the, 956 n. See under the Ung-kūts.
- Oīr-āt or Iūr-āt tribe of Mughals, the,—one of the Hazārahs constituting the Burānghār or Right

- Wing of the Mughal army, 940 n, 944 n, 950 n; 1098 n, 1164 n 9.
- Ujār, son of the <u>Ohingiz Khān</u>, 1092 m.
- Ujāsh or Akhās Malik b. Khān Malik—maternal uncle of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwārasm Shāh, 291 n.
- Ûj-ûkî, the, 879 n, same as the Udz-ûkî, which see.
- Okah-Karā or Irkah Karā,—brother of the Awang <u>Kh</u>ān, the sovereign of the Karāyat Mughals, 940 n.
- Ükdāe Ķā'ān, 1105 n. See under Uktāe Ķā'ān, son of the <u>Ohingīz</u> <u>Kh</u>ān.
- Ukīn or Ukain-Barķāķ, also written Ukīn-Barķā, son of Ķabal <u>Kh</u>ān, the VIIth of the Bū-zanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-maķ, 897 n, 955 n, 1217 n.
- Uklān or Ughlān, the Juzbī, son of the Nū-yān Manglīk,—of the Ulkūnūt Kungkūr-āt Mughals, 1006, 1007, 1056, 1080 and n 5.
- Uktāe or Uktāe Ķā'ān, son of the Ohingiz Khan, xlviii, 162 n, 180 n 5,  $280 \, n \, 9$ ,  $284 \, n$ ,  $889 \, n$ ,  $913 \, n$ ,  $917 \, n$ , 933 n, 934 n, 953 n, 956 n, 970 n 2, 974 n, 975 n 5, 996 n, 1001 n1026, 1042, 1047 and n 4, 1048 and n 8, 1051 n, 1057 and n 3, 1073 and n 4, 1075 n, 1076 n, 1077 n 6, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1084 n,  $1086 \, n$ ,  $1087 \, n$ ,  $1088 \, n$ ,  $1091 \, n$ , 1092 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1096.  $1097 \, n \, 7$ ,  $1099 \, n$ ,  $1101 \, n$ ,  $1102 \, n$ , 1103 n, 1104 and nn 4 and 5, 1105 n, 1106 and n, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1110 and n 6, 1111, 1112, 1113, 1114 and n 3, 1115 and n 5,

1116 n, 1117 n 6, 1118 n 9, 1120 n 2, 1121 n, 1122 n, 1126 and n 6, 1127 n, 1128 n, 1136, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1140 n, 1141 n, 1142 and n and n 2, 1143 and n, 1144 and nn 5 and 6, 1145 n, 1146, 1148 and nn 4 and 6, 1149 n and n 7, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1164 n, 1165 n, 1168 n, 1171 n, 1172 nn 8 and 9, 1176 n 9, 1177 n 1, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1186 n and n 5, 1200 n, 1217 n, 1223 n 3, 1283 n 9.

Ükül-Küimish, the chief Khātūn of Kyük Khān, son of Uktāe Kā'ān, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1177 n1. See under Ughūl Kūimish Khūtūn.

Üläghehi, son of Bätü Khān, son of Tüshi [Jüji], son of the Chingiz Khān, 1290 n 1, 1292 n.

Ulājī Bīgī, daughter of Ūktāe Ķā'ān, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 953 n.

Ulāk <u>Kh</u>ān,—one of the Sardārs of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Taki<u>sh</u> <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Sh</u>āh, 978 n, 979 n.

Ülä-Ķüsh Tigin or Alāķūsh Tigin Ķūrin,—Bādshāh of the Ungķūt tribe of Turks, 944 n, 945 n, 956 n, 957 n.

Ulä-tīmūr, [Vladimir, son of the Grand Duke George of Russia], ruler [Ḥākim] of Makār, 1170 n.

Oldae, son of Bartu, of the Angiras tribe,—a son-in-law of the Chingiz Khan, 1223 n.

Cljāc <u>Kh</u>ātūn,—one of the <u>Kh</u>ūtūns of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1254 n, 1261 n 7.

Oljāitū Sulțān,-poisoned by Ra-

shid-ud-Din, the Mughal historian, 1236 n.

Uljī, the Nū-yīn,—one of the Nūyīns of the Ohingīs Khān, 1180 n. Ulķūnūt, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughal I-māk, 898 n, 1094 n.

Ulkunut Kunghur-at or Kunghurat Mughals, the, 945 n, 1080 n 5.

Ulugh or Great Nü-yīn, the,—title of Tūlī <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīs <u>Kh</u>ān, 1177 n.

Ulugh Bar-Bak [Chief Master of the Ceremonies], one of the high officers of a court, 694 and n 3.

Ulugh Khān-i-Abī Muḥammad, Khān of Guzarwān, and Atā-bak of Sultūn Rukn-ud-Dīn Ghūrī Shānastī, son of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 235, 266, 281, 399, 414, 1003.

Ulugh Khān-i-Balban, of the Ilbarī tribe, who afterwards became sovereign of Dihli under the title of Sultan Ghiyag-ud-Din Balban, xiii, xxvii, xxviii, xxix, xxx, xxxiv n 4, lv, 538 n, 589 n, 634 n 2, 652 n 8, 661 n, 663 n 9, 664 and un 2 and 3, 667 and nn 1 and 3, 668 n, 673 n 8, 674 n 4, 675 n 5, 677 n 6, 678 and nn 7, 8 and 1, 680 and n and n 9, 681 and nn 10, 1 and 4, 682 n 5, 683 and n, 684 nn 8 aud 9, 685 and nn 2, 3 and 4, 686 and nn 5, 6 and 7, 687 and n 8, 688 nn 2, 3 and 4, 689 n 5, 691 and n, 692 n 3, 693 and nn 8, 9 and 2, 694 and nn 3, 4, 5 and 6, 695 nn 8 and 2, 696 n and n 4, 698 and n 7, 699 and nn 1, 2 and 5, 700 nn 6 and 7, 702 and n 3, 703 and n 7, 704 and n 2, 705 nn 6, 7 and 8,

706 and nn 1, 2 and 4, 707 and nn 5. 7 and 8, 708 and n 1, 709 n and mn 5 and 6, 710 and n 9, 711 n4, 712 nn 6 and 9, 718 nn 2 and 5, 714 nn 7 and 9, 715 and n 4, 716 n 5, 717 n, 720 and n 1, 721 n5, 726 n3, 733 nn 5, 6 and 7, 735 n 9, 758 nn 9 and 1, 759 and nn 4 and 6, 760 and n 9, 762 and n 8, 766 n 9, 767 and nu 8 and 1, 768 nn 8 and 4, 775 n, 777 n, 778 n, 781 and n 9, 782 n 3, 783 n and n 1, 784 nand n 3, 785 and n 2, 786 n 6, 787 n. 788 and n 9, 791 and nn 4, 5 and 6, 792 and n 1, 794 n 9, 795 n and n 2, 796 and n, 797 and n 5, 798, 799 and n 4, 800 and nn 5, 6 and 8, 801 and n, 802 and n 1. 805, 806, 807 and n 2, 808 and n 4, 809 and n6, 810 and n4, 811, 813 and n 5, 814 and n 9, 815 and n 8, 816, 817, 818 and nn 8 and 6, 819 and n 8, 820 and nn 1 and 2, 821, 822, 823, 824 and n 6, 825, 826, 827, 828 and nn 3, 5 and 6, 829, 830 and n 4, 831 and n 1, 832, 833, 834, 835 and n 2, 836, 837, 838 and n 7, 839, 840, 841 and n 6, 842, 843 and n 3, 844 and n 5, 845 and n 3, 846 and nn 5 and 6, 847 and n 4, 848, 849 and n 9, 850, 851 and n 9, 852, 853, 854 and n 3, 856, 857 and n 3, 858, 859 and n and n 7, 860 and n, 861, 862 and n 8, 863 and nn 2 and 3, 864, 884 n, 961 n, 1071 n 1, 1097 n 6, 1131 n, 1156, 1169 n 1, 1181 n 2, 1184 n, 1202 n, 1217 n, 1224 n, 1225 and n, 1228 n 6, 1294, 1295,—app. xi.

Ulugh Khān, son of Sultān Ghiyāşud-Dīn Tughlak,—of the Tughlak dynasty of Dihlī, 589 n. Ulugh-i-Khāş Hājib [the Chief Royal Chamberlain], one of the high officers of a court, 833 and n2.

Ulugh Kutlugh, signification of the title, 720, 865 n.

Ülün Ankah or Angah, of the Ülkünüt tribe of Mughals,— Khātūn of Yassükā, the Bahādur and mother of the Chingis Khān, 898 n, 1094 n.

Ulun Kujin, of the Ülkunüt tribe of Mughals,—<u>Kh</u>ātun of Yassukā, the Bahādur, and mother of the <u>Chingiz Kh</u>ān, 898 n. Also called Ülun Ankab.

Umaiyah [Umayyah], the <u>Khalifahs of the House of</u>, 1, 311.

'Umar, this name is written 'Umr by Raverty.

'Umaro b. Tubba', XIVth of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7.

'Umdat-ul-Mulk of Tirmis,—administrator of the affairs of Ghasnin, 1015 n.

'Umdat-un-Niswān,—title of Sultān Raziyyah, daughter of Sultān <u>Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish</u> of Dihlī, 637 n 8.

Um <u>Kh</u>ān, the name given by Marco Polo, to the Āwang <u>Kh</u>ān, 1089 n.

'Umr, the Bāwardī, Amīr,—one of the Maliks of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 1002.

'Umr, son of al-<u>Kh</u>attāb,—second of the four early <u>Kh</u>alīfahs 238 n 4, 721.

'Umr, the Maraghani, 'Izz-ud-Din, —governor of Hirât on the par of the brother Sultâns of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 193, 257 n 2, 1199 n.

- 'Umr-i-Rājī, the Kāfî, the Majd or Majīr-ul-Mulk,—one of the Wasīrs of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammmad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 990 n, 1027 n 8.
- 'Umr-i-Sarāj, a poet of Tūlak, 862.
  'Umr-i-Shalmatī, Amīr, the Sipah-
- Salar of the forces of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 395, 396, 410, 415.
- 'Umro, son of <u>Kh</u>alaf, son of Aḥmad, the ruler of Sijistān, 186 n.
- 'Umro, son of Lais, as-Ṣuffār, or the Brasier,—second of the Ṣuffārīūn dynasty of Khurāsān, 19, 20 n 8, 22, 23 and n 9, 24 and n 3, 25 and nn 1 and 2, 31 and n 5, 34 and n 6, 188 n 2, 184 n, 185 n, 186 n.
- 'Umro, son of Muhammad, son of Ya'kūb, son of Lais, aş-Şuffār, or the Brazier, governor of Sijistān, 35 and n 9.
- 'Umro, son of Ya'kūb, son of Lais, aş-Şuffār, governor of Sijistān, 34, 35, 185 n.
- 'Umro, son of Ya'kūb, son of Muhammad, son of 'Umro, son of Lais, as-Ṣuffār,—governor of Sijistān, 185 n.
- Unchī-Tigīn or Unjī-Tigīn, son of Yassūkā, the Bahādur,—brother of the <u>Ohingīz Kh</u>ān, 899 n, 1105 n. See also under U-Tigīn or Aw-Tigīn.
- Ong <u>Kh</u>ān, sovereign of the Karāyat Mughals, 470 n. See under the Āwang <u>Kh</u>ān.
- Ungkūt or Vīn-kūt, the,—a Turkish tribe who had charge of the Great Wall of China,—one of the Hazārahs constituting the Burānghār or Right Wing of the Mughal

- army, 944, 945 n, 946 n, 956 n, 959 n, 1093 n.
- Ungu, the name given by the <u>Khitā-īs</u> to the great Wall of China, 956 n.
- On I-ghürs, the,—those of the I-ghürs who dwelt on the Un or ten rivers, 889 n, 951 n, 1097 n 6.
- "Universal History," the, 292 n.
- University of Calcutta, 445 n, 527 n, 550 n 6, 553 n 5, 556 n 7.
- Unji-Tigin or Unohi-Tigin, son of Yassükā the Bahādur,—brother of the Ohingiz Khān, 899 n, 1105 n. See also under U-Tigin or Aw-Tigin. [Ung-kūts.
- Unkut Turks, the. See under the Unnush, son of Shis, son of Adam, [Anos of Scripture], 1.
- 'Unsuri, the poet,—one of the court poets of Sultan Mahmud of <u>Ghaznin</u>, 82.
- Urad Kalangkūts, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughals, 1094 n.
- Urāķāe or Urķāe-Ohūn, the Bahādur, —emissary of Tamur-chì, the Ohingīz Khān to the Awang Khān, 943 n.
- Urāniān, the,—a tribe of Turks, 275 n 2, 929 n.
- Urāsūt or Urūs Inīāl, Bādshāh of the Ķirghiz tribe, 951 n, 970 n.
- Urdah, son of Tüshī [Jūjī] Khān, son of the Chingīz Khān, 1102 n, 1152 n, 1164 n 9, 1170 n, 1178 n, 1179 n.
- Urdah or Ardah or
- Urdū or Ardū son of Atsiz, son of Iley,—sixth of the sovereigns of the Tattar I-māk, 875 n.
- Urghanah <u>Kh</u>ātūn,—one of the <u>Kh</u>ātūns of <u>Ohughatāe</u> <u>Kh</u>ān,

- son of the <u>Ohingiz Kh</u>ān, 1149 n.7, 1194 n.
- Urhār Makrīt, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughals, 917 n, 947 n, 1991 n, 1141 n. Also called the Uhāt Makrīt.
- Uri-angkut Küngkur-āts, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughals, 981 n, 1094 n.
- Urkāe or Urākāe Ohūn, the Bahādur, —emissary of Tamur-chī, the Chingīz Khān to the Āwang Khān, 943 n.
- Ursī Rāe,—a leader of the troops of the Rājah of Gujarāt, 521 n.
- Urus, the,—descendants of Rus, son of Yafis, [Japheth]. See under the Rus and the Russians.
- Urus I-nial or Urasut, Badshah of the Kirghiz tribe, 951 n, 970 n.
- Urut tribe of Mughals, the,—one of the Hazārahs constituting the Juwānghār, or Left Wing, of the Mughal army, 1093 n.
- Urzulū Shāh, son of Ulugh Khān-i-Abī Muhammad, Khān of Guzarwān, 235 n 3. Also called Tāj-ud-Dīn, Azabar Shāh.
- Usal or Tusal, Amīr,—governor of Iran Zamīn under the Mughals, 1121 n, 1122 n, 1141 n.
- Ushīn or Hoshīn tribe, the,—one of the four Hazārahs of Jūjī Khān, son of the Ohingīz Khān's contingent, 1093 n.
- Ushūn tribe, the,—one of the four tribes styled the ulūs-i-Ohār-gānsh, 1164 n 9.
- 'Usman, son of 'Affan, third of the four early Khalifahs, 70 and n 8, 223 and n 8:
- 'Uşmān, son of Jalāl-ud-Din 'Alī,

- son of Hasan Tigin of the Bughra Khān's family,—XXIIIrd of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān, Sultān of Samarkand, 260 n 7, 261 n, 262 n 1, 263 n, 401 n, 478 n 2, 475 n, 478 n 6, 479 and n, 480 n, 481 n, 485 n 3, 604 n 1, 909 n, 910 n, 911 n, 923 n, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 979 n.
- 'Usmān-i-Kharfash,—one of the Amīrs of Ghūr, 410 and \*2.
- 'Uşmān-i-Maraghanī, the Sar-i-Jāndār to Sultān <u>Gh</u>iyāg-ud-Dīn Maḥmūd, son of Sultān <u>Gh</u>iyāgud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 410. See also under Tājud-Dīn 'Uşmān, the Maraghanī.
- 'Ugmān, Shāh,—of Sīstān, grandson of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn 'Ugmān-i-Ḥarab, ruler of Sijistān and Nīmros, 201, 967 and n 3.
- 'Uşmānlī Sultāns, the,—why they claim the office of Khalīfah, 1260 n.
- 'Uāmānlī [vul. Ottoman] Turks, the, —they trace their descent from Aghūz Khān, son of Karā Khān, the third sovereign of the Mughal I-māk, 874 n, 878 n, 899 n, 909 n, 955 n, 1165 n, 1171 n, 1190 n 1, 1234 n 4.
- Ustād-i-Rāz-bān, the,—one of the officers of a court, 315 n 7.
- 'Uţārid [Meroury], also called Munshī, 312 n 9.
- 'Utbā or 'Utbī, al-, author of Tārīkh-i-Yamīnī, 44 n 4, 76 n 2, 87 n, 321 nn 6 and 7, 322 n, 324 n, 341 nn 6 and 7, 536 n.
- 'Utbā or 'Utbī, al-, Abū Ja'far, Wazīr of Amīr 'Abd-ul-Malik, son of Nūḥ. son of Naṣr, Sāmānī, 40 n 2.

- 'Utbā or 'Utbī, al-, Abu'l Ḥasan-i-'Abd'ullah, son of Aḥmad,---Wasīr of Amīr Nūḥ, son of Manşūr, son of Nūḥ, Sāmānī, 44.
- Otiohkīn, son of Bartān, brother's son of the Ohingīz Khān, 942 n.
  Also called U-Tigīn.
- Ūtichkin, son of Yassūkā, the Bahādur,—brother of the Chingiz Khān, 899 n, 1105 n. See also under Ū-Tigin or Aw-Tigin.
- U-Tigin or Utiohkin, son of Bartan, —brother's son of the <u>Ohingiz</u> Khan, 942 n.
- U-Tigin or Aw-Tigin, the Nü-yin, son of Yassükä, the Bahädur,—brother of the <u>Ohingiz Kh</u>än, 899 n, 1094 n, 1105 n, 1144 and n3, 1149 n7, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1164 n9.
- Utman Khel,—one of the independent Afghan tribes, 352 n 3.
- Utsuz, the Hājib,—one of the officers of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 992.
- Utsuz, the Nū-īn,—one of the Nūyīns of the Ohingīz Khān, 1063 and n 1.
- Utsuz, son of 'Alā-ud-Dīn al-Ḥusain Jahān Soz, Sulṭān of Ghūr, 238, 395 and n 4. See also under 'Alā-ud-Dīn Utsuz, son of 'Alā-ud-Dīn al-Ḥusain.
- Utsuz or Itsiz, Jalal-ud-Dīn Khwārasm Shāh, son of Kutb ud-Dīn Muḥammad, son of Nūsh Tigīn-i-Charjah, 254 n 2, 169 and n 6, 284

- nn 8 and 9, 285 n 1, 236 and nn 4, 5 and 6, 287 n and nn 7 and 9, 288 n and n 6, 289 n 2, 363 n 8, 908 n, 927 n.
- Usar, the Kankuli or Kanghuli, takes possession of Almaligh, 984 n, 985 n, 986 n.
- Uz-bak, another spelling of the name Yūz-bak.
- Uzbaks, the,—name of a people, 870 n, 874 n, 879 n, 890 n, 899 n, 921 n, 982 n, 947 n, 952 n, 1091 n.
- 'Uzd-ud-Daulah Abū Shujā' Fanā Khusrau, son of Rukn-ud-Daulah, Abū 'Alī-i-Ḥasan, son of Buwīah, Dīlamī, 45 and n 5, 57, 59 n 6, 60 n 8, 61 and n 4, 63 n 8, 64 and n 1.
- 'Uzd-ud-Daulah wa'd-Dīn, Amīr,—
  governor of Hindustān on the
  part of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn
  Mas'ūd-i-Karīm <u>Gh</u>aznawī, 106
  and n 5.
- 'Uzdī Hospital, the,—at Baghdād,—founded by 'Uzd-ud-Daulah Abū Shujā' Fanā Khusrau, son of Rukn-ud-Daulah Abū 'Alī-i-Hasau, son of Buwīah, Dīlamī, 1243 n.
- Uzjāk,—one of the leaders of Ķifchāķ, 1170 n.
- Us <u>Kh</u>ān,—one of the officers of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Sh</u>āh, 290 n 4, 291 n, 294 n, 297 n 9, 298 n 1.
- Üzläk Shāh, son of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 254n 3, 279n 6. See also under Arzalāk Shāh.

V.

Vähya-charya, the, 691 n.

Vairāgī āsram, 691 n.

Vajra Achāryas, the, 691 n.

Vakīl [Wakīl], signification of the term, 694 n 4.

Valani, the,—the name given by the Germans to the Koman Kapchak, the people dwelling in the country between the Danube and the Atil, 1168 n.

Vambéry, Professor, 782 n 2, 865 n, 866 n, 977 n.

Vambéry's Bokharah, 977 n.

Vassaf [Wassaf],—name of an author, 866 n.

Vasīr [Wazīr], signification of the term, 694.n 4.

Vertot, 217 m.

Vicar of Bray, 578 # 2.

Virats, the,—vul. for the Ui-rats, which see, 1098 n.

Visdelu, 919 n, 928 n, 933 n, 985 n.

Vladimír, son of the Grand Duke George of Russia, the ruler of Makār, 1170 n.

Von Dorn, 72 # 6.

Von Hammer, 1167 n, 1188 n 8, 1190 n 1, 1198 n, 1209 n, 1210 n 4 and n, 1226 n, 1246 n 5, 1254 n.

Voyage, Terry's, 588 n.

Voyages and Transactions of the Portuguese, the, 582 n 6.

Vüllers, 694 n 4.

## W.

Wabil, signification of the word, 1194 n.

Waḥīd-ud-Dīn, the Būshanjī, Ķāzī and Imām of Khurāsān, 1039.

Waḥid-ud-Dīn Marwazī, Ķāṣī,—one of the Court Ķāṣīs of Sulṭān <u>Gh</u>iyāṣ-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām of Ghūr, 384, 385.

Wajzān, Wazn or Wazan, son of Hain, son of Bahrām,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312.

Wakil, signification of the term, 694 n 4.

Wakil-i-Dar, the,—one of the high officers of a court, 694 n 4, 698 n 7.

Walaks, the,—name of a people, 959 n.

Wālan,—a leader of the troops of the Rājah of Gujarāt, 522 n. Walker, Colonel, 919 n, 920 n, 921 n, 929 n, 932 n, 950 n, 970 n 2, 987 n, 1008 n 5, 1009 n 7, 1018 n, 1098 n, 1207 n.

Walta'ab, son of Margad,—of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7.

Wamkah Porko,—Amīr of the country of Ķarīķlā, 1170 n.

Wang Khān, the Chinese name of the Āwang Khān, 959 n.

Wang-te-ching, son of Wang-shihyen,—general of Mangū Kā'ān, son of Tūlī <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Chingīz Kh</u>ān, 1218 n.

Warat, Darrat or Dirat, son of Hain, son of Bahrām,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312.

War-heshāu, son of Parwez, son of Parwez, son of Shansab,—ancestor of Amir Banjī, 312.

Warmaşan or Dar-man<u>sh</u>an, son of Parwes, son of Parwez, son of Shansab,—ancestor of Amir Banji, 312.

Warmesh-i-Bat,—chief of the district of Jarus or Kharus, 328 n, 328 n.

Warmesh, son of Shīs, of the family of the Shīsānīs, the Sipah-Sālār and champion of Ghūr, 366 and n 4, 367, 413 n 1.

Warmesh or Wir-mesh, son of Warmeshan, son of Parwez, son of Shansab,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312 and n 6.

Warmeshān, Warmasān or Warmashān, son of Parwez, son of Parwez, son of Parwez,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312. [17 n7.

Warrak, signification of the word,

Wāgiķ B'illāh, al-, the 'Abbāsī <u>Kh</u>alīfah, 14, 15, 22.

Washm-gīr, son of Ayas,—ruler of Rai, 56.

Waşşāf,—name of an author, 866n.

Wazīr, signification of the term, 694n, 698n7.

Wazīr of Lādān or Lāwān, the 1170 n.

Wazn or Wazan, son of Hain, son of Bahrām,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312,

Westmacott, 590 n, 591 n.

White Tatars, the,—a division of the Tattar, 884 n.

Wilford, 559 n.

Wolff, 939 n, 983 n, 994 n, 996 n, 1000 n, 1021 n 8, 1075 n, 1167 n.

Wood, Captain, 292 n, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1115 n 5.

## X.

Xavier Hommaire de Hell,—author of "Travels in the Steppes of

the Caspian Sea, the Crimea, the Caucasus," etc., 884 n.

## Y.

Yadah-tāṣh,—the rain-producing stone, as called by the Turks, 870 n, 1138 n. [i-Yāfa'ī.

Yāfa'ī, 207 n 8. See also the Tārīkh-Yāfiā, son Nūh, [Japheth of Scripture], 869 n 2, 870 n, 871 n, 872 n, 873 n, 874 n, 881 n, 886 n, 899 n, 955 n.

Yāfia Ughlān, or the Younger Yāfia [Japheth],—the title given to

Turk, the eldest son of Yafia when chosen head of his people, 872 n.

Yaghan Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhīm, son of Sultān Mas'ud, Ghaznawi, 106 and n 1.

Yagh-mū, Yaghmūr or Yagh-mur, the Turkmān,—one of the Mihtars or chiefs of the Saljūks, 116 n 3, 119 n 8, 120 and n 9, 121 and n 6, 122, 123 n.

- Yagh-rash or Bagh-rash, Amīr, whose son was the Shahnah [Intendant] of Hirāt, under the Mughels, 862 and n 9.
- Yaghūs, the,—the Arabic name of the <u>Khing But</u> or Grey Idol of Bāmīān, 1958 n 6.
- Yagsūm, son of Abrahat-ul-Ashram, of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 8.
- Yahya, St. John the Baptist 1253,
- Yahyā, the, Nakhjūānī, Amīr, governor of Ḥillah, on the part of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Ohingīz Khān, 1243 n.
- Yahya, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Saman-i-Khaddat, 29, 54.
- Yahyā, son of Ahmad, son of Ismā'īl, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Sāmān-i-<u>Kh</u>addāt, styled Abū Zakarīyā, 33, 37 n.
- Yahyā, son of A'kab,—disciple of the <u>Khalīfah</u> 'Alī and tutor of Ḥasan and Ḥusain, his sons, 1281.
- Yahyā, son of Asad, son of Sāmāni-<u>Kh</u>addāt,—governor of <u>Shāsh</u> and Isfanjāb, 27 and n 6, 28.
- Yahyā- i-Barmak,—the paragon of Orienfal liberality and generosity, 1295 and n 1.
- Yahyā, son of Ismā'īl, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Sāmān-i-<u>Kh</u>addāt, 33, 54.
- Yahyā, son of Naṣr, son of Aḥmad, son of Asad, son of Sāmān-i-Khaddāt, 54.
- Yahyā <u>Kh</u>ān,—author of the Tazkirat-ul-Mulūk, xvi, 84 n 9, 449 n 8.
- Yā-jūj and Mā-jūj [Gog and Ma-

- gog], descendants of Gumārī [Gomer], son of Yāfis, and the probable ancestors of the Samoydes, 872 n.
- Yāk, [Bos Grunniens], the <u>Kh</u>iţā-ī bull, 69 n.
- Yakah or the Unique Nū-yīn, the, —title of Tūlī <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1177 n.
- Ya'kūb, son of Abū Naṣr-i-Aḥmad, son of Ismā'īl, son of Aḥmad, son of Asad, son of Sāmān-i-<u>Kh</u>addāt, 54.
- Ya'kūb, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Sāmūn-i-<u>Kh</u>addāt, 29, 54.
- Ya'kūb, son of Lais, the Şuffār, or the Brazier,—founder of the Şuffārīūn dynasty, 10 n 5, 16, 17, 19 and n 1, 20 and n 3, 21, 22 and nn 7 and 8, 23 and n 1, 34, 185 n, 186 n, 317 and n 5.
- Ya'kūb, son of Muḥammad, son of 'Umro, son of Laig, the Şuffār, of the family of the Şuffārīūn, 184 n.
- Ya'kūb, son of Nūh, son of Manṣūr, son of Nūh, son of Man-ṣūr, Sāmānī, 52 n 8.
- Ya'kūb, son of 'Umro, son of Laig, the Şuffār, of the family of the Şuffārīūn, 34 n 6.
- Ya'kūb, son of Yūsuf, son of Nāṣirud-Dīn Sabuk-Tigīn,—commander of the forces of Sulţān Muḥammad, son of Sulţān Maḥmūd of <u>Gh</u>aznīn, 89 n 8.
- Yākūt, a slave of the 'Abbāsī dynasty,—governor of Fārs for the <u>Kh</u>alīfah, 55 n 1.
- Yāl-būr, another name of Ibak-i-Nā-Pāk, the Turki slave who

became master of Multan, 476 s.

Yal-düs, another way of writing the name, Iyal-düs.

Yal-dus or Yuldus, son of Ae Khan, —Vith sovereign of the Mughal-I-mak 881 n.

Yal-dūz, Yūldus or Yūldūs, third son of Āghūz <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Ķarā <u>Kh</u>ān, the IIIrd sovereign of the Mughal I-māk, 880 n.

Yal-dūs, Yūldus, Yūldūs or I-yal-dūs <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Mangalī <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Timūr-Tāsh,—chief of the Mughals on their issuing from Irgānah-Ķūn, 888 s, 891 n, 892 n.

Yalwāj, the Şāḥib. See under Maḥmūd Yalwāj.

Yamah Nüyān, 276 n, 277, 278 n, another name of Jabah, the Nüyīn, which see.

Yamak or Yamāk, the,—name of a part of the Ilberī tribe of Turks, 961 n, 1097 n 6, 1169 and n 1, 1295.

Yaman, the Maliks of,—the dynasty of the Tāhirīs, 26 and n 2.

Yam-ghūr or Yighūr,—one of the leaders of the Ohingīz Khān's army, 288 n 3.

Vamīn-ud-Daulah Niṣām-ud-Dīn, Abu'l Ķāsim Mahmūd, Sultān of Ghasnīn, 67 and n 1, 75, 80 n 5. See also under Sultān Mahmūd of Ghasnīn.

Yamin-ud-Daulah or

Yamīn-ud-Dīn Bahrām Shāh, son of Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn 'Ugmān, son of Tāj-ud-Dīn-i-Ḥarab, Malik of Sijistān and Nīmroz, 20, 193 and \$6, 194 and \$7, 195, 196, 197, 198, 402 \$n\$.

Yamīnī, the. See the Kitāb-i-Yamīnī of al-'Utbī.

Yamīnīah dynasty, the,—founded by Sultān Yamīn-ud-Daulah Maḥmūd of <u>Gh</u>asnīn, also called the Maḥmūdīah dynasty, which see, and the <u>Gh</u>asnawī dynasty, which see, 67.

Yamkālīn, or Bamķālīn, the,—a branch of the Sūldūs tribe, and one of the four Hasārahs of Uktāe, Ķā'ān son of the Ohingīs Khān, 1094 n.

Yanshī, probably the <u>Ohinese</u> name of Maḥmūd Yalwāj, the Wasīr of the <u>Ohingīsīah</u> dynasty, 1217 n.

Ya'rib, son of Kahtan, son of 'Abir [Heber of Scripture],—first King of Yaman, 6.

Yarkand Mission [to the ruler of the State of Kashghar], the, 899 n, 942 n.

Yarligh, 1268 n.

Yāsā or Yāsah, the name applied to the Code of the <u>Ohingis Khān</u>, 1108 and n 9.

Yasah, signification of the word, 1108.

Yassū-Mangū or—Mangī or

Yassū-Mungā or Mungah, son of Ohaghatāe, son of the Ohingīs Khān, 1148 n 4, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1185 n.

Yassükä or Yassükäe, the Bahädur, son of Bartän,—father of the Ohingis Khän, and Xth of the Büsanjar dynasty of the Mughal Imäk, 898 n, 899 n, 986 n, 988 n, 949 n.

Yassükäe Mungah, son of Ohaghatäe, son of the Ohingiz Khān, 1148 n.4. See under Yassü Mungā.

- Yassükän or Tassükän, a Tättär Nady,—third of the <u>Kh</u>ätüns of the <u>Oh</u>ingis <u>Kh</u>än, 1092 n.
- Yassükī, the Buhādur, 898 n. See under Yassükā, the Bahādur, son of Bartān.
- Yassülün, sister of Yassükän,—
  fifth of the <u>Kh</u>ätüns of the <u>Oh</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1092 n.
- Yasü Bükā, the Tā-īshī, of the Uhūd Urmāngkūt tribe of Mughals, the Korohī or guardian of the sepulchre of the Chingis Khān, 1089 n.
- Yasü Mungā, son of Jüjī Ķasār, son of Yassükā, the Bahādur,—nephew of the <u>Chingīz Kh</u>ān, 1180 n.
- Ya'ūķ, the,—the Arabic name of the Surkh But or Red Idol of Bāmīān, 1058 n 6.
- Yazdajird-ul-Asim [Evil-doer], also styled Kāwkhash [morose], XIIth of the Sāsānīān dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.
- Yazdajird b. Bahrām Gor, son of Yazdajird-ul-Aṣīm, XIVth of the Sāsānīān dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.
- Yazdajird-i-Shahryar, son of Khusrau Parwiz,—last of the Akasirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 6, 70 and nn 8 and 1.
- Yeilu Taishi, the,—the name of the Gür <u>Kh</u>ān of the Karā <u>Kh</u>iṭā-īs, according to D'Ohsson, 913 n.
- Yīddī-Ķūt,—title given to the rulers of the I-ghūr tribes, 952 n.
- Yiddi-küt, the,—ruler of the I-ghür tribes, whose capital was Bish-Bālīgh, 933 n, 951 n, 952 n, 953 n, 955 n, 961 n, 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 985 n, 1083 n, 1097 n 6, 1101

- n1, 1115 n, 1141 n, 1157 n l, 1158 n.
- Yighūr or Yām-ghūr,—one of the leaders of the Ohingīz Khān's army, 288 n 3.
- Yong-ku, the Chinese name of a tribe of West Tartary, 985 n.
- Yorkin, Portakin or Bortakin, chief of the Yorkin tribe of Mughals, 940 n.
- Yorkin, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughals, 940 n.
- Yughān-Tat,—title given to Saifud-Dīn I-bak, of the Shamsīah Maliks of Hind, 618 n, 866 n. See under Saif-ud-Dīn I-bak-i-Yughān-Tat.
- Yughān Tigīn,—title given to Ķadr Khān b. Yūsuf b. Bughrā Khān-i-Hārūn, the VIIIth of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān, which see, 905 n. He afterwards took the title of Bughrā Khān.
- Yūķān or Būķān, Bādshāh of the Oharkas, 1170 n.
- Yūl-dūz, another way of writing the name Iyal-dūz.
- Yūl-dūz Kalmāks, the,—a branch of the Ķālīmāķs, 1091 n.
- Yule, Colonel, 912 n, 916 n, 917 n, 918 n, 1010 n.
- Yūnānīān [Ionians], the, descended from Gumārī, son of Yāfis, [Gomer of Scripture], 872 n.
- Yūnānīs, [the Greeks], the, 2. See the above.
- Yūnas, son of Saljūķ, son of Luķmān, the Turkmān, 117 n.
- Yūnas <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Sultān 'Alāud-Dīn Taki<u>sh</u>, son of I-yal Arsalān <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Sh</u>āh, 242

n6, 249 and n3, 250 and n4, 251 n9. [876 n.

Yūrat, signification of the word, Yūrish-i-Haft Sālah, or "Seven years' Campaign," the, 1165 n.

Yüsha', son of Nün, [Joshua of Scripture], 1269'n.

Yüsh-müt, son of Hulāku Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingis Khān, 717 n, 1264 n, 1270 n 2, 1272 n n 5 and 6, 1273 n 7, 1278 n, 1279 n.

Yūsuf, [the Patriarch Joseph], 596, 597 and n 3, 598, 599, 600, 910 n.

Yūsuf, the Khwārazmī, governor of the fortress of Barzam,—the murderer of Sultān Alb-Arsalāni-Ghāzī, son of Dā'ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, Saljūķī, 137 n 4.

Yüsuf, the Tātār, whose Turkish name was Ṣafaktān of the tribe of Yamak, 267. See also under Ṣafaktān-i-Yamak.

Yūsuf, son of Altūn-Tāsh, the Hājib, the ruler of Khwārazm on the part of Sultān Maḥmūd of Ghasnīn, 121 n.

Yüsuf-i-Kadr <u>Kh</u>ān, 904 n,—the Gardezī so calls Kadr <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Yūsuf, son of Bughrā <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Hārūn, which see.

Yüsuf, son of Näşir-ud-Din Sabuk-Tigin, of <u>Ghaznin</u>, 75, 89 n 8, 324 n.—app. xvii.

Yüsuf, son of Saljük, son of Lukmän, the Turkmän, 117 n.

Yüsuf b. Sulțăn Ibrâhim, Ghasnawi, 105.

Yüsuf Shah, Sultan,—ruler of Gaur or Lakhapawati, 582 n C.

Yūsufzīs, the,—of the <u>Kh</u>āk'hī division of the Afghān nation, 78 n, 852 n 3, 1044 n.

Yüz-bak b. Muḥammad Jahān Pahlawān b. Iladd-gīz us-Sanjarī, the Atā-bak, ruler of Āzarbāijān, 172 and n 3, 173, 176, 265, 266 n, 296 n, 996 n, 997 n.

Yüz-bak-i-Ţughril Khān, Malik of Lakhaṇawaţī, 561 n 9. See under his title of Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn.

Yūz-Tā<u>sh</u>, the Ḥājib of Amīr Abū Ibrāhīm al-Muntaṣir, the last of the Sāmānīs, 81 n.

Z.

Zafar Namah, the,—name of a historical work, xvi, 278 n, 288 n 3, 289 n, 1131 n.

Zaffir Khān, 591 n.

Zaffir, the Sālār,—one of the Khalj Amīrs of Sultān Tāj-ud-Dīn Iyaldūz, 577 and n 8, 578 and n 9.

Zāfir, aş-, See under Malik-uş-Zāfir, son of Şalāḥ-ud-Dīu Yūsuf al-Aiyūbi. Zagatay, mispronunciation of the name of <u>Ohaghatāe</u>, son of the <u>Ohingīs Khān</u>, 1145 n.

Zāhid-i-Āhū Posh, the,—a holy man in the time of Sultān Sanjar, son of Sultān Malik Shāh, the Saljūkī, 237 n.

Zāhid, az-, See under Malik-uz-Zāhid, son of Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyūbī.

- Zihir, aş., See under Malik-uş. Zihir, son of Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn Yūsuf, al-Aiyūbī.
- Zahīr-ud-Dīn, the Bitik-<u>ch</u>ī,—one of the emissaries of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingīz <u>Kh</u>ān, to Rukn-ud-Dīn <u>Kh</u>ūr <u>Sh</u>āh, the Bād<u>sh</u>āh of the Mulāḥidah of Alamūt, 1206 n 3, 1207 n.
- Zahīr-ud-Dīn Fāryābī, Maulānā, the <u>Kh</u>wājah,—the Malik-u<u>sh-Sh</u>u-'arā [Prince of Poets], 243 and n8.
- Zahīr-ud-Dīn Fath-i-Karmākh, one of the Maliks of Sulţān Mu-'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, 490.
- Zahîr-ud-Dîn Muhammad-i-Karmākh,—one of the Maliks of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dîn Muhammad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, 490.
- Zāhir-nd-Dīn Saif-ul-Islām, son of Aiyūb, son of Shādī al-Kurdī, See under Malik-ul-'Azīz Zāhir-ud-Dīn.
- Zāhir-ul-Mulk 'Abd'ullah Sanjarī,
  —one of the Maliks of Sultān
  Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-iSām, of Ghūr, 390.
- Zaid, son of Muḥammad-i-Zaid-ul-'Alawī, the ruler of Tabaristān, —taken prisoner by Amīr Ismā'īl, son of Aḥmad, son of Asad, Sāmānī, 32.
- Zaidī, deputy of Husaiu-i-<u>Kh</u>armīl, See under Zaydī.
- Zain-ud-Din 'Ali, the Sayyid and Imam, 785 n 9.
- Zain-ud-Dîn Hāfişī, Wazīr of the Malik Un-Nāṣir, the Sulțin of Halab, 1268 n, 1264 n.
- Zain-ul-Akhbar, the,-name of a

- historical work by Abū Sa'īd, son of Ḥaiyah, the Gardaizī, xvi, 536 n.
- Zain-ul-Ma'agir, the,—name of a historical work, 468 n.
- Zainab, daughter of Sultan Mahmud of <u>Ghaznīn</u>,—given in marriage to the Bughrā <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Kadr <u>Kh</u>ān, the Xth of the Afrāsivābī Khāns of Turkistān, 905 n.
- Zāl b. Sām, son of Narīmān, father of Rustam-i-Dastān, 809 n, 318, 422 n7.
- Zambar, signification of the word, 1271 n.
- Zanbūr, the agent despatched by Tūlī Khān, son of the Chingīs Khān, to the inhabitants of Hirāt, 1036 n 1.
- Zanbūr, signification of the word, 1271 n.
- Zanbūrak, signification of the word, 1271 n.
- Zangī-i-Abī Ḥafş, Amīr,—chief of the troops of Sīstān and governor of the fortress of Tirmid, 1002.
- Zangī, son of Ak-Sankur, the Malik of Mausil. See under his title of 'Imād-ud-Dīn.
- Zangī, Ba'lamī, Malik,—uncle's son of Malik Ķutb-ud-Dīn Ḥusain <u>Gh</u>ūrī, See under his title of 'Imād-ud-Dīn.
- Zangī, son of Fakhr-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd, Malik of Bāmīān, See under his title of Tāj-ud-Dīn
- Zangī, son of <u>Khalifah Shaibānī</u>. Amīr,—the Wālī of Tu<u>khāristān</u>, 375 n.
- Zangī-i-<u>Kh</u>ar-Jam [or <u>Kh</u>ar-Qham], —one of the <u>Gh</u>ūrī Maliks of Sulțān 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-

- Takish Khwarasm Shah, 960 and n6.
- Zangi b. Maudūd, son of Zangi, son of Ak Sankur,—the Atā-bak of Fārs, 175 and nn 7 and 8, 176 and n 1.
- Zangī b. Sa'd, son of Zangī, son of Maudūd, the Atā-bak of Fārs, 266 n.
- Zangī b. Sanķur, son of Maudūd, son of Zangī, son of Āķ Sanķur, the Atā-bak of Fārs, 148, 175.
- Zangis <u>Kh</u>ān, wrong spelling of the name of the <u>Ch</u>ingīs <u>Kh</u>ān, 1058 × 6.
- Zankabā b. Tāzīo-barsed, son of Farāwwal or Ķarāwal,—ancestor of Zuḥāk, the Tāzī, 303 and n 7, 304.
- Zar'ab, Zu'l Nawash of the Tababi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7.
- Zarnik b. As'ad,—ancestor of Ţāhir ibn ul-Ḥusain, 9.
- Zartusht, founder of the Zorosstrian religion, 8.
- Zau b. Thamāsib, son of Manūchihr,—XIIth of the Bāstānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3.
- Zaydī, a steward or deputy in the employ of Ḥusain-i-Kharmīl,—takes possession of the fortress of Hirāt till defeated by Sulṭān Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 259 n 3.
- Zeeruk, Mahomed, Prince of Murv, 472 n, 478 n,—wrong name given by Briggs to Muḥammad-i-Kharnak.
- Ziā-yī,—author of a history, 60 n 7.
  Zireek, Mahommed, prince of Murve, 472 n, 478 n,—wrong name given by Dow to Muḥammad-i-Khar-nak.

- Zirghām, the Wasīr of the Ismā'īlian or Fāţimite <u>Kh</u>alīfahs of Egypt, 209 n 6.
- Ziyā-ud-Dīn 'Alī, Amīr,—appointed governor of Marw, his native city, by Tūlī Khān, son of the Chingīs Khān, 1088 n, 1084 n and n 9.
- Ziyā-ud-Dīn Baranī, author of the Tārīkh-i-Fīrūs-Shāhī, xvi, xxi, 590 n, 592 n, 716 n 5, 717 n, 771 n, 776 n, 779 n, 794 n 1, 795 n. See also under the Tārīkh-i-Fīrūs-Shāhī of Ziyā-ud-Dīn Baranī.
- Ziyā-ud-Dīn Junaidī, the Majd or Malik-ul-Umarā,—the Amīr-i-Dād of Gwāliyūr, for Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timish of Dihlī, 620, 643 n 4, 644.
- Ziyā-ud-Din Muḥammad-i-'Abd-us-Sallām Nisāwī, Ķāzī of Tūlak, son of the Ķāzī Majd-ud-Dīn Tūlakī,—governor of Tabar-hindah on the part of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, xxiii, 381 n 5, 458, 461 n, 462 n, 464, 1060 n 5.
- Ziyā-ud-Dīn Muhammad b. Abū 'Alī, Shansabī, the Durr-i-Ghūr or the Pearl of Ghur, 1, 252 and n 6, 255 n 7, 846 and n 8, 381 and n 4, 390, 391 nn 2 and 3, 393 and nn 8 and 9, 394 n, 367 n 5, 399 n 3, 400 n 3, 417, 418 n 4, 419 n 6, 468 n 4, 472, 478 n 6, 480 n, 488, 490 and n 8, 525 n, 1002 n 9. title was changed to that of 'Ala-ud-Din when the throne of Fīrūz-koh was conferred upon him by Sulțān Mu'iss-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām. also See under 'Ala-ud-Din Muhammad, the Malik-ul-Hājī.

- Ziyā-ul-Mulk, son of the Nizām-ul-Mulk Muḥammad Junaidī,—one of the Tājik officials of Sultān Ruknud-Dīn Firūz Shāh of Dihlī, 635.
- Ziyā-ul-Mulk, the 'Azīs, the Zaw-zanī,—one of the Wazīrs of Sultăn 'Alā-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Takish Khwārazm Shāh, 990 n, 1027 n 8.
- Ziyā-ul-Mulk Durmashānī or Durmashī,—one of the Wazīrs of Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām, of Ghūr, 489 and n 4.
- Ziyā-ul-Mulk Tāj-ud-Dīn,—appointed Wazīr of Sulţān Nāşirud-Dīn Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihlī under the title of Nizām-ul-Mulk, 710.
- Zotenberg, M. H., viii, xvii.
- Zu'l Karnain, See under Sikandari-Zu'l Karnain.
- Zu Shanātar, of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7.
- Zu'l Nawās, of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7 n 1.
- Zu'l Nawāsh, of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7 and n 1.
- Zu'l Yamīnain,—title of Tāhir ibn ul-Husain, the general of al-Ma'mūn the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, and founder of the Tāhirī dynasty in Khurāsān, lvii, 9 n 1, 11 and n 9.

- Zu-n-Nūn Arghūn, Amīr,—brother of Sultān 'Alī the Arghūn, the governor of the territory of Sijistān, 1122 n.
- Zubdat-ut-Tawārīkh. the,—name of a historical work. xvi, 449 n 8, 552 n 3, 556 n 8, 557 n 3, 559 n 3, 561 n 8, 566 n 7, 567 n 1, 568 n n 7 and 9, 569 n n 3 and 6, 572 n n 4, 6, 7 and 8, 575 n 1, 576 n 5, 577 n 580 n 9, 606 n 3, 611 n 3. 618 n, 619 n 7, 623 n 1, 643 n, 647 n 9, 648 n 3, 659 n 6, 665 n 8, 669 n 6, 716 n 5, 778 n.—app. vi, viii.
- Zuhāk, son of Arwand-āsp, called the Tāzī,—VIth of the Bāstānīah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 301, 302, 303 and n7, 304 and n1, 305 and n5, 306 and nn9 and 5, 307, 308 n2, 309 and n, 331, 340 and n3, 509 n.
- Zuhāk, son of Suhrāb, son of Shaidāsp,—ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 306.
- Zūtūmīn or Zūtū-manīn, son of Būķās, son of Bū-zanjar,—IIIrd of the Bū-zanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-mūķ, 894 n, 895 n, 904 n.
- Zuzi,—wrong spelling of the name of Jūjī <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingis <u>Kh</u>ān, 1167 n.

# PART II, GEOGRAPHICAL.

(n. stands for footnote).



### A.

- Ab-i-Bārānī, the,—the upper portion of the Kābul river, 288 n 3, 289.
- Ab-i-Fanākat, the, which is also called the Sīḥūn, 987 n. See also the river Fanākat.
- Ab-i-Khujand, the,—another name of the river Siḥūn, 921 n.
- Ab-i-Lakhaṇawaṭī, the,—a branch of the Ganges, 763 and n 1.
- Ab-i-Shudah, the,—for the river Sindh, 76 n 2, 77 n.
- Ab-i-Sind, the, 77 n, 78 n, 96 n l, 541 n 6, 1020 n. See also "the Indus" and "the Sind."
- Ab-i-Sugūn,—name of an island in the sea of <u>Kh</u>urz, 278 n, 286 n 6, 994 n.
- Ab-i-Sugun,—name of the sea of Khurz, 278 n.
- Ab-i-Sugun, the,—name of a considerable river falling into the sea of Khurz, 278 n.
- Ab-i-Tang, description of the term, 334 n 8, 335 and n 9.

- Abalīķ-<u>Ch</u>āķ, 937 n 8,—for Balīķ-<u>Ch</u>āķ, which see. [Sind. Abā-Sind, the, 78 n,—See the Āb-i-'Abbās-ābād,—a town in the district of Rai, 1207 n.
- 'Abbās-ābād,—a district west of Hamadān, 1239 n.
- Ab-gun,—name of an island in the sea of Khurz, 278 n.
- Ab-Istādah, in the territory of Wajīristān, 334 n 8.
- Abīward,—a city and district of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 13 n 8, 51 n 6, 116 n 3, 117 n, 119 n 7, 248 n, 471 n 5, 481 n 8, 1028 n, 1031 n, 1037 n. See also Bāward.
- Abū or Alū-gadh, fort of, 521 n, 522 n, 705 n 7.
- A'bu, mountain of, 522 n.
- Abū-Bikrpūr or Bū-Bikrpūr,—a district in Hindūstān, 285.
- Abū-gadh or Alūgadh, hills of, 522 n.
- Abuhar,—a town of Hindustan, 687 and n 9, 823 n 3.

Aburdhan-kot, 562 n. See under Burdhan-kot.

Achārīah or Ajārīah, 733 n 6, 824 n 8. See under Ajār.

Acre, liv, 215, 225 n 4, the 'Akkah of Arab geographers.

'Adan [Anglicized Aden], 766 and n1.

Aden, the 'Adan of Arab geographers, 766 n 1.

Adward Bihar, 491 and n 5, 524 n.

—app. xxiii.

Afghān-Shāl, the place where Sabuk-Tigīn was buried, 75 n 5.

Afghānistān, xi, xiv, xlvi, xlix, l liv, lv, 79 n, 80 n, 288 n 3, 304 n 3, 331 nn 1 and 2, 334 n 8, 363 n 6, 483 n, 538 n, 548 n 3, 622 n, 874 n, 876 n, 901 n, 1016 n 3, 1027 n 8, 1043 n 1, 1045 n, 1046 n, 1052 n 5, 1064 n 2, 1078 n 8, 1081 n 7, 1115 n 5, 1202 n, 1203 n,—app. xi.

Afrīkah.—a district of Mauritania, 1229 n 8.—the present Tūnis

Afshin,—capital of the Shars of Gharjistan, 369, 370 n, 385.

Afūān Shān or

Afwān Shān, 1220 n,—called elsewere Līwak-shān.

Agra, 546 n 7, 742 n 9, 1094 n.

Agrah, = Agra.

Agria,—a town of Hungary, 1167 n.

Ahang, the,—a river flowing past
the city of Ghaznīn, 321 n 6.

Ahangarān, a Dih or village near Ghaznīn, 321 n 6.

Ahangaran, - one of the fortresses of Ghūr, 821 and nn 6 and 7.

Aḥmad-ābād,—a town of Hindūstān, 455 n.

Ahrāwat or Ahrawat,—a Ķaşbah or town of Hindustān, 612 and n7.

Ahūrat,—a Ķaşbah or town of Hindustan, 612 n 7.

Ahwāz, written also Āhwās, 10, 22 n8, 24, 53 n6, 58, 61, 62, 65. Read Aḥwās in all cases, see lvii.

Aimin-ābād,—a town in <u>Kh</u>urāsān founded by Sultān Ibrāhīm <u>Gh</u>asnawī, 104.

Ai-Tigīn-ābād,—a more correct way of writing the word "Aytkīn-ābād, xlix.

'Ajam, territory of, xxxiii, 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14 and n3, 15 and n9, 32, 38, 56, 84 and n6, 132, 153 n7, 183, 203, 227, 231 and nn1 and 2, 243, 304 n3, 329 n, 383, 388, 409 n6, 421, 429 and n4, 456, 599, 750 n7, 764, 790, 872 n, 881 n, 1040, 1079, 1081 n7, 1089, 1111, 1112 and n8, 1117, 1138, 1158, 1179, 1196, 1215, 1226, 1266, 1270, 1292.

Ajār or Ajārī, territory of the Rāe Ohāhar, 733 and n6, 824 n8, 825.

Ajārkī or Ajārnah,—See the above. Ajmeer, for Ajmīr, which see.

Ajmere, for Ajmīr, which see.

Ajmīr, 346 n 9, 393, 404 and n 9, 458 n 6, 462 n, 465 n, 466 n 1, 467 n, 468, 469 nn 8 and 9, 491, 516 n 2, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n, 611 n 3, 627, 661 and n 2, 728 and n 3.

'Akā. See under 'Akkah.

Aķābar or Aķābir,—a place in Turkistān, 1083 n.—Also called Aķāīr.

Aķāīr or Akāīr,—a place in Turkistān, 1083 n.— also called Aķābar.

Akar,—a fortress on an island in the riverd Sinh, 294 n.

160 Index.

- Akarrah,—an ancient city in ruins, in the Banû district, 538 n.
- Aķāshīn,—the name of the city of Kāshīn, which see, as given by Abu'l <u>Gh</u>āzī Bahādur <u>Kh</u>ān, 949 n.
- Akdālah, also written Akdalah, one of the fortifications of Bangālah, 582 n 6. 590 n, 591 n.
- Akhlāt, also written Khalāt,—a fortified town in Armenia, 134 n 9, 170 n 8, 228 n 4, 297 n, 298 n and n 1, 299, 1264 n, 1276 n.
- Akhshī, [the Aksi of maps],—a city in the territory of Farghānah, 921 n.
- Akhsikat,—a city in the territory of Kāshghar [Little Bukharia], 922 n.
- Akhsisak. See the above.
- 'Akkah or 'Akā [Acre],—a city of Syria, 215, 225 n 4.
- Ak Masjid,—one of the ferries of the river Sīhūn, 970 n 2.
- Aķū or Aghū·Bālīgh, See Ķū or Ghū·Bālīgh.
- 'Āķūl,—a small town or village in the Mauşil territory, 62 and n7.
- Aksi, 991 n. See under Akhshī.
- Aķsū,—a city in the territory of Kāshghar [Little Bukharia], 889 n, 922 n, 969 n l.
- Alāe,—a station in Mughūlistān,
- Älah-Ba<u>sh</u>īn,—a fortress in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 1192 n.
- Alamātū or Almātū,—a town in Mughūlistān, 921 n. See also under Almātū.
- Alamut or Alamut,—the stronghold of the Mulähidah sect, 145 n 4, 265 n 4, 363 and n 8, 365, 706 m 8,

- 1010 n, 1151 n, 1187 n 7, 1188 and n 8, 1189, 1196, 1198, 1205 n 8, 1206, 1207 n, 1209 n, 1210 n, 1212 n, 1213 n, 1221 n, 1227 n 5.
- Alan, territory of the, 998 n, 1102 n, 1167 n.
- Alanjān canal, in the district of Hirāt. 1128 n.
- Alanjūķ,—a fortress in Āţarbāijān, 296 n.
- Al-Ask,—a town in the province of Māzandarān, 993 n.
- Ālā Tāķ, Tāgh or Dāgh, the,—a mountain in Armenia, 1264n, 1275n2, 1278n, 128!n. Hulākū Khān gave it the name of Lauba or Labnā Sāghūţ.
- Al-a'uz, 962 n, an error for Al- $\underline{Gh}$ ur, which see.
- Albania, 1001 n.
- Alehr or Lalehr,—a town of Hindustan, 627 and n 8.
- Aleppo, 162 n 3. See also under Halab.
- 'Alfin,—a village in the district of Damashk. 226 n 7.
- Al-<u>Gh</u>ūr,—the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr as called by the Arabs, 962 n.
- Alha,—a fort in the territory of Kanauj, 680 n.
- Al-Hasā,—a district and town of Arabia, 179 n 3.
- 'Alī-ābād,—a town in the district of Balkh, 129 and n.
- 'Alî-garh, otherwise Sābit-garh,—a town of Hindustān, 699 n 1, 795 n 2, 796 n.
- 'Alkamah,—a city of Afrikah or Mauritania, 1229 n 8.
- Allahabad, 683 n.
- Allygurh,—Anglicized form of 'Alī-garh, which see.

Almalig, 917 n, for Almäligh, which see.

Älmäligh or Älmäligh,—a town in Mughülistän, 154, 890 n, 919 n, 920 n, 921 n, 924 n; 969 n 1, 984 n, 685 n, 986 n, 1141 n, 1162 n, 1194 n. [920 n.

Almātī,—a town in Mughūlistān, Almātū,—a town in Mughūlistān, 890 n, 921 n, 970 n 2.

Almüt,—the stronghold of the Mulähidah sect. See under Alamüt.

Alpine Panjāb, the, 458 n 4.

Alps, the, 890 %.

Altae, the, [now the Siba],—a river in the territory of Kangaktae, 945 n.

Altãe mountains, the, 1102 n.

Altāe Soning, the,—the name given by Abu'l-Ghāzī Bahādur Khān to the Altāe river, 945 n.

Altai Mount, the, 920 n. See under the Altan mountains.

Altā-karā,—name of a place in Khitāe, 1138 n.

Altan or Golden Mountains, the northern boundary of Tashkand, 890 n, 920 n, 981 n.

Altan-I-mil, the, 919 n.

Altan Kol or Golden river, the,—a river of Kok Nawar, 981 n.

Alten-imel, the, 919 n.

Alū-gadh, fort of, 522 n. See also the fort of Abū.

Alû-gadh or Abūgadh, hills of, 522 n.

Alūs or Alūsh Arkī or Argī,—a tract of country in Mughūlistān, 895 n.

Alwar,—one of the Rajput states, 853 n 6.

Alwur, the hills of,—in Hindūstān, 519 n. [Amid.

Amadia, 1264 m. See also under Amar-kantak or Amar-kantaka, in the Jāj-nagar state, 588 m.

Amber,—a town in Räjpūtānah, 521 n.

Amid, capital of the Diyar-i-Bakr, 1263, 1264 n. 1265.

Amīn-ghar,—a village of Hindustān, 459 n 7.

Amrohah,—a town in Hindustan, 529 n 4.

Amū, the,—another name of the river Jihūn, 154, 426 \*\* 6, 917 \*\* 1, 1030 \*\*. See also under the Amūīah, Jihūn and Oxus.

Amūd, probably Amūī or Amūīah, which see, 917 and n 1.

Amūdah,—one of the principal towns of Kadhah Katankah, 587 n 4, 588 n.

Amūī,-or

Amūiah,—a town on the banks of the Jiḥūn [Oxus], 917 n 1, 1030 n, 1195 n.

Amūīah, the,—another name of the river Jīḥūn, 25 n 8, 84 n 9, 247 n, 878 n, 901 n, 903 n, 904 n, 917 n 1, 921 n, 927 n, 980 n, 988 n, 1024 n 2, 1044 n, 1109 n 3, 1115 n 5, 1116 n, 1127 n, 1138 n, 1141 n, 1174 n 4, 1191 n, 1192 n, 1193 n, 1195 n, 1211 n, 1287 n, 1290 n 9, 1293 n. See also under the Āmū, Jīḥūn and Oxus.

Amul,—a town and district in the province of Mazandaran, 45, 49, 278 n, 991 n, 994 n, 1058 n 5, app. xxi.

Amun, the,—another name of the river Jihun, 917 n.

- 'Anah,—a fortress of the Diyār-i-Bakr or Mesopotamia, on the east bank of the Furāt [Euphrates], 135 n1, 136 n.
- A'nak or I'nāk or Ighnāk, fortress of, 268 n.
- Anbar or Felugia,—a town on the Euphrates, 185 and n1, 882, 1240 n, 1260 n.
- Andar-āb,—a town in the district of Tukhāristān, xlix, 289 n, 290 n 4, 1019 n, 1020 n.
- An-des, the Upper,—or Urnā-desa, 663 n 8, 737.
- An-dessh-i-Bālā-tar, or the Uppermost Andes, 737 and n9.
- Andeshah,—for Andesah or the Andes, 787 n 9.
- Andīgān,—a strongly fortified place in the territory of Farghānah, 919 n, 921 n, 922 n, 928 n.
- Andījān,—the name given by the Arabs to Andīgān, which see.
- Andkhūd,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 261, 378, 474 and n and n6, 476 n, 477, 478 n6, 491, 501 n5, 532 and n9, 604 and n1, 910 n, 927 n.

#### Ankasãe,-or

- Anksāe,—a mountain country adjoining Khitāe, called also Tingķūt and Ķāshīn, 947 n.
- Antā-e or Intā-ī,—another name of the city of Taiming, the Pyenlyang of the Chinese, called also Nanking, 958 n.
- Antarbed, the Do-āb of,—the country immediately east of Dihlī, 607 n 6, 629 n 6, 682 n 5, 739 n 5, 816 n 4, 847 n 3.
- Antarbed Do-ābah, the. See the above.

- Anțăkiah of Sham, 138 n 8, 140 n 5, 158 n, 161 n. See also under Antioch.
- Antioch, 144 n 3. See alse under Antākīah.
- Aobah,—a place near Hirat, and also the name of one of its gates, 149 n 1, 155 n 3, 358 n 3, 426 n 4, 1051 n.
- Arabia, 670 n 5, 974.
- Arabia Felix,—the district of al-Yaman, 303 n 7.
- Aral, city of,—the capital of the Taghar Khākān, 961 n.
- Aral, Lake or Sea of, 916 n, 921 n, 962 n, 970 n 2.
- Aral Nawar, the. See the above.
- Ārān or Arān. See under Arrān.
- Arangul, now Warangul,—a town in the Dakhan, 589 n.
- Aras, the,—the river Araxes of the maps, 1287 n.
- Aravalli mountains, the. See the next.
- Arawali mountains, the, 521 n, 705 n 7.
- Arbela, the Arbīl of the Arabs 1263 n, 1278 n. See also under Arbīl of Mesopotamia.
- Arbīl,—a town in Āṣarbāījān, 995 n, 1001 n.
- Arbil,—a town of Mesopotamia, 1238 n 8, 1239 n, 1263 n, 1276 n, 1278 n.
- Arctic Sea, the, 1171.
- Ardabīl, the capital of Āzarbāījān,
  —Ardibil of the maps, 997 n.
- Ardahan,—a place in the Kurdish mountains between Kermanshah and Baghdad, 993 n.
- Ardas, fortress of,—in the territory of Khwārazm, 278 n.

- Ardibil. See under Ardabīl-
- Ardish or Artish,—a country to the S. W. of Tibbat, lxiii, 949 n, 950 n, 964 n 2, 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 1102 n, 1103 n, 1143 n.
- Ardīsh,—a fortress on the frontiers of the Makrīt and Nāemān territories. 950 n.
- Ardish Pass, the, 970 n 2.
- Ardish river, the, 969 n 1, 1143 n. See under the river Artush.
- Ardukend,—another name of Kāshghar, [Little Bukharia], the capital of Tūrān, 915 n.
- Arg, fortress of,—an error of the copyists for Uk, which see, xlv.
- Arghaian,—a district of Khurasan, 1121 n.
- Arghand, the,—a river in the country of Ghūr, 1061 n 9, 1070 and nn 8 and 9.
- Arghand-ab, the, 1070 n 9. See the
- Arjatū or Irjatū, the 'Ukbah or Pass of, 919 n.
- Ark, fortress of,—an error of the copyists for Uk, which see, xiv.
- Arkenekom,—name of a mountain of Mogolistān as given by Pétis de la Croix, 890 n.
- Arkey,—another name of Iriķi or Iriķiā the capital of Ķāshīn, which see, 952 n.
- Arkhnāk,—a country to the E. and S. of Bangālah, [turned into Arracan by Europeans], 598 n.
- Armalik, 917 n, for Almaligh, which see.
- Arman,—the country of the Armenians, 187, 144 n, 298 n, 1186 n, 1191 n, 1208 n, 1262 n. See also under Armenia.

- Armardan or Urmurdan. See under Umurdan.
- Armenia, 1162 n, 1290 n 1. See also under Arman.
- Arpān,—a city in the country of the Urūs or Russians, 1170 n.
- Arracan, 593 n. See under Arkhnak.
- Arrān,—a district of Āṣarbāījān, 143 n 2, 147, 173, 881, 996 n, 1117, 1195 n, 1237, 1265.
- Arsūf,—a town in Palestine, 220 n8.
- Arşuf,—the chief town in the district of Bāmīān, xlix, 1025 n.
  Also called Rāşif or Raşif, which see.
- Arūmī or Urūmī,—a city in the territory of Tingķūt or Ķāshīn, 1085 n 3.
- Artish, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which see.
- Artosh, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which see.
- Artush,—a city and district on the frontier of the Kāshghar state, 950 n, 970 n2, correctly written Ardīsh or Artīsh, which also see.
- Artush, the,—a river watering the Artush district, 950 n. See also under the Ardish river.
- Artush Pass, the, 970 \* 2.
- Artysh, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which see.
- Arwish, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which see, 964 n 2.
- Arzan,—a town in the territory of Mārdīn, 1279 n.
- Arri-Rum, the modern Erzroom, 161 n.
- As, country of the, 1102 n, 1164 n 9, 1165 n, 1169 n.

Asad-ībād,—a town in the district of Hamadān in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 242 n 6, 266 n, 1239 n.

Aşal Yürat, or the original Yürat of the Ohingis Khān, 1140 n.

Asan,—a city in the neighbourhood of Kashghar [Little Bukharia], 985 n.

Ascalon, 225 n 4,—the 'Askalan of Arab geographers.

Asdār,—a town in Tabaristān, 278 n, 991 n. Also called Astadār and Astawā, which see.

Ashām, 565 n, 586 n 9, 593 n,—the Indian province of Assam.

Ashiyār,—a fortress of Gharjistān, 894, 895, 898, 415, 418, 1011 n, 1048 and n 7, 1072, 1073, 1076, 1077 and n 6, 1200 n.

Ashnas,—a city in the neighbourhood of Tashkand, 971 n.

Ashuk, the,—a mountain in the district of Timran, 319.

Asī,—a fortress in the Kinnauj state, 86 n, 519 n.

'Aşī [Orontes], the,—the river of Antioch, 1276 n.

Asia, 244 n 4, 308 n 2, 567 n 4, 882 n, 916 n, 964 n 2, 986 n, 987 n, 994 n, 1003 n 4, 1010 n, 1019 n, 1028 n, 1043 n 8, 1045 n, 1046 n, 1078 n 8, 1110 n 6,—app. iv.

Asia Minor, 936 n 6, 996 n.

Asir Darah,—a pass on the way between Dajzak and <u>Gh</u>arjistān, 369.

Asjank or Sajang,—the Şaḥrā or Steppe of, 1141 n.

'Askalān [Ascalon],—a town of Palestine, 220 n 3, 225 n 4.

Asnī,—the stronghold of the Rājah of Ķinnauj and Banāras, 470 n 2.

Assam, 586 n 9,—the Ashām of native geographers, which see.

Assyria, 135 n 1, 1274 n.

Astadar,-or

Astadārah,—a town in Tabaristān, 991 n, 1208 n. Also called Asdār and Astawā.

Astarābād,—a town in the district of Jurjān, 278 n, 878 n, 991 n 994 n, 1121 n, 1127 n.

Astarah, same as Asdār, Astadār and Astawā.

Astash,—a city in the neighbourhood of Tashkand, 972 \*\*.

Astawa,-or

Astawah,—a town in the province of Tabaristan, 286 n 6, 991 n. Also called Asdar, Astadar and Astadarah, which see.

Astīah,—a fortress in the country of Ghūr, 1006.

Astiyā,—a town in the district of Karmān, 499 n.

Astrakhan, 943 n, 1000 n, 1290 n 9, the Ḥājī Tarkhān and Hashtar Khān of Oriental geographers, which see.

Astūrah,—a country or city in the Karā-chal or Karā-chāl mountains, 1046 n 8. [1048 n 1.

Atak, 79 n, 95 n 4, 288 n 8, 538 n, Atil, the,—the river Wolga or Volga, 870 n, 871 n, 872 n, 948 n, 1000 n, 1168 n, 1169 n, 1172 n 9, 1173 n, 1290 n 9.

At-pāshī,—a city in the territory of Kāshghar [Little Bukharia], 922 n.

Atrar, capital of the Province of Farab, 932 n, 969 n 1.

Atrowli,—name of a place in Hindustan, 809 n 7.

Attak. See under Atak. Attak-Banāras, 78 n.

Audh, app. xxiv. - Awadh, which 8<del>00</del>.

Austria, 1168 n.

Awadh,-the present Province of Oudh, xxiv, 491 and n 5, 524 n, 549, 550 n 6, 551 n, 558 n 7, 575 and n 1. 576. 577 n, 593 n 7, 594 and n and n 1, 608, 617 n, 627, 628 and n 3, 629, 633 and n7, 639 and nn 7 and 8, 662, 663 and nn 8 and 9, 664, 666 n, 673. 674, 686, 700 n 8, 701 and n 1, 703 and nn 7 and 8, 704 n 5, 714 n9, 785, 737, 738 and n4, 789, 740, 741 and n 2, 743, 744, 757 and n7. 759 and nn 5 and 6, 760, 762, 763, 764, 767 n 4, 768 and nn 3 and 4, 770 n 9, 772 n, 773 n, 793, 816 n 4, 830, 834, 835, 836 and nn 7 and 9, 837, 838 and n 7, 847 and n 4, 851 n 9, - app. xxiv, xxvi.

Awāj Tāk or Tāgh,—a mountain in the Nāemān country, 949 n.

'Ayn-i-Jalut,-Goliath's Spring,in Syria, 1277 n.

Aytkīn-ābād,—a district in the territory of Bust, xlix, 318 and n 6, 448 n 4. See under Ai-Tiginābād.

Azād,—name of a hill in Fīrūz-koh, 409.

Āzarbāijān, 53 n 6, 61 n 4, 134 n 9, 143 n 2, 141 n, 147, 152, 164, 166 n 7, 168, 169 and n 7, 170 and n 8, 171 and n 9, 172 and n 3, 173, 176,  $207 \, n \, 8$ ,  $247 \, n$ , 265,  $266 \, n$ , 296and n, 298, 717 n, 801 n, 861, 881, 992, 995 n, 996 n, 1117, 1119, 1151 n, 1159 n, 1195 n, 1227 and n 5, 1237, 1239 n, 1251, 1252, 1257 n, 1262 n, 1263 n 8, 1264 n, 1265, 1276 n, 1277 n. 1278 n. 1281 n, 1286 n.

Azdāķ [Azof], also called Sūdāk, which see, 1165 n.

Azerbaidjan, 996 n,—for Azarbāijan, which see.

A'zim-ābād-i-Talāwarī, 459 n 7, 779 See also under Talawari, formerly called Tara'in.

Azmardanjor Uzmurdan. See under Ümürdan.

Azmurdan or Uzmurdan. See under Ümürdan.

Azof. the Südāk of Oriental geographers, 1165 n.

B.

Ba'albak,—a town of Syria, the Baalbec of maps, 204 n, 207 n 8, 208 n 1, 229 n 5, 1276 n.

Bāb-ul-Abwāb, or Gate of Gates, 680 n7,998 n, 1287 n. = Darband, theDerbend of the maps, which see.

Bāb-ul-Faraḥ wa'n-Ni'am, or Gate of Gladness and of Benefits, of Mayyafarikin, 1269 n.

Bāb-ul-'Irāķ, or 'I-rāķ Gateway of Mayyāfāriķīn, 1264 n.

Bab-un-Nasr fthe Nasr Gate]. of Kähirah, 215 n 9.

Bab ush-Shahwat, or Gate of Desire, of Mayyāfāriķīn, 1269 n.

Babal or Babil, the ancient Baby. lon, 304 n 2. See also uuder Babil and Babylon.

166 Index.

Bābal, the name of the district of Pāyal or Pāyil as given by Firishtah, 640 n 8.

Bābil, the ancient Babylon, 2, 8, 304 n 2. See also under Babylon.

Babool, the name of the district of Pāyal or Pāyil as given by Briggs, 640 n 3.

Bābul, the name of the district of Pāyal or Pāyil as given in Elliott, 640 n 3.

Babylon, 140 n 2, 1237 n 6. See also under Bābil.

Babylonia, 1016 n 3.

Badakhshān,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 390, 423 n 8, 424, 426 and n 6, 494, 909 n, 921 n, 923 n, 987 n, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1044 n, 1058 n 6, 1145 n.

Badā'un, 524n. See under Budā'un. Bādghais,—a district of Khurāsan about Hirāt, lvii, 22, 23 n l, 36 n 9, 374 n 3, 479 n, 480 n, 580 n 9, 874 n, 1076 n, 1120 n 2, 1132, 1185 n, 1195 n.

Badī-koţ,—a district of Hindustān, 838 and n 1.

Bādkhīs or Badgheis, wrong spelling of Bādghais, 1120 n 2.

Badshāhān or

Badshān,—a halting-place near Hirāt, 325 n.

Badwan, fortress of, 115 n 6. See Balarwan of Gharjistan.

Bādwatī [Padmāwatī],—South Bihār probably, 592 n.

Bāc-kol, the,—a Kol or Lake between Mughūlistān and Ūzba-kistān [the Baikal Lake of our maps], lxiii, 890 n.

Bagh-i-Jūd, the Jūd Garden,—near the capital city of Dihlī, 606 n 3, 627, 708 and n 2, 709 and n, 785 and n 2, 786, 854 and n 1.

Bāgh-i-Jūn, the name of Bāgh-i-Jūd in the Tūj-ul-Ma'āṣir, 606 n 8

Bāgh-i-Khurram—the Pleasant or Delightful Garden,—near the capital oity of Khwārazm, 1098 n.

Bāgh-i-Shālimār,—a garden some distance W. of the Old city of Dihlī, 709 n.

Bāgh-i-Sultān,—the Sultān's garden
—near the city of Balkh, 128 n.

Bagh-i-Wazīr,—a Ribūţ on the frontier of Ghūr, 325 n.

Baghe-Eram,—wrong name given by Pétis de la Croix to the Bāghi-Khurram, which see.

Baghdad, the Dar-ul-Khilafat, xxiii, xlv, 10 and n 3, 11, 13 n 9, 14 and n 4, 15 n 6, 18, 22 n 7, 23 n 9, 24 and n 3, 25, 27, 32 n, 34 n 6, 35 n 6, 39, 43, 55 and n 1, 56 and n, 58 and n 3, 59, 60 and n 8, 61 n 4. 62, 63 and n 8, 64 n 1, 65 and n 6, 66 n7, 73 n9, 76, 87, 91, 125 n8,134 and n 9, 135 n 2, 136 n, 138 and n 8, 139 n, 142 n 1, 143 n 2, 144 n, 145 n 4, 146, 147, 167 n 8, 184 n, 185 n, 193 n 4, 204 n, 207 n 8, 218, 223, 243, 244 and n, 250 n 4, 265 n 4, 266 n, 277 n 5, 278 n, 296 n, 299 n, 465 n, 488 n 1, 616 n 2, 617 n, 622 n, 629, 658 n 2, 662 n 7, 666 n, 711, 712 n, 759 n 3,774 n, 796 and n 8, 797 n and n 4, 800, 936 n 6, 968 n 6, 991 n, 998 n, 1117, 1187 n 7, 1190 n 1, 1193 n, 1212 n, 1221 n, 1226 and n 1, 1228 n, 1229 and n 8, 1230 n, 1231 n, 1232, 1233 and n 3, 1234 and n7, 1235 n 2, 1236, 1237, 1238 and n 8, 1239 n, 1240 n, 1241 n and n 1, 1242 n 2, 1260 and n and n 6, 1261 and n and n 7, 1262 and n, 1264 n, 1266, 1267 and n 6, 1268, 1269, 1272, 1275 n 3, 1279 n.

Bagh-dād, the,—a river in the Sughd near the capital city of Samrkand, 909 n.

Beghshor,—a district between Charjistan and Faras, 375 and n 6, 376 n.

Baghzan,—the chief town of the Dzāzīs, 499 n

Bag-madī, the,-or

Bug-matī the,—a river flowing in front of the city of Burdhan-kot, 561 n1, 567 n1, 571 nn1 and 2, 764 n6,—app. xxii. See also under the Beg-matī.

Bagrām,—the original name of the city of Peshāwar which was so called up to Akbar's time, 81 n, 285 n 5, 452 n 8, 1002 n 5.

Bagura [Bogra],—app. xxi.

Bahand, 76 n 2. See under Bahind and Waihind.

Bahāwalpūr, the State of, 723 n 1, 812 n 2.

Bahāwan or Bhāwan,—a fortress in Hindūstān, 86 n.

Bahind,—a city on the bank of the river Sind, 76 and n 2, 78 n, 79 n, 80 n, 293 n. See also under Waihind.

Bahindah, fortress of, 79 n. See also under Bathindah.

Bahr-i-Khurz, the, 140 n5, = the Caspian, which see.

Bahr-i-Zulmat, [sea of Darkness]; the, 1170. [705 n 7.

Bahraīch, for Bharā'īj, which see, Bahrain,—a district of Arabia, the Bahroin of the maps, 179 n 3, 180. Baidan, 228 n 4.

Baihak,—a city and district in Khurāsān, 181 n 7, 1121 n.

Baihaķ,—a small town in Zāwulistān, also called Muķir, 67 n 3, 87 n.

Baikal Lake, the, 886 n, 890 n. See under the Bae-Kol.

Bailķān, a town in Azarbāijān, 997 n.

Baisue,—a town of Karā Khitāe on the river Til, 956 n.

Bait-ul-Mukaddas, the Holy City, [Jerusalem], 1269 n.

Baizā,—a town in Fārs, also called Nasā, 178 n 7.

Bājawr,—a country north of the Kābul river, xlvi, 1043 n 1.

Bakar,—a fortress of Sijistān, 1200 n.

Bakar, a fortress on an island in the river Sindh, 294 n. See also under Bhakar.

Bakarhā, for Nagrahār or Nangrahār, which see, 96 n 2.

Bakhrālā, for Bak-rālah, which see, 96 n 2.

Bakht-ābād, the name given by Humāyūn to the city of Gaur or Lakhaṇawaṭī, 559 n 2, 589 n 6.

Bākhtrian Oxyartes, stronghold of the, 1054 n 2.

Bakhtrus, the,—another name of the river Jīḥūn or the Oxus, 25 n 8.

Bākhurz,—a city and district in Khurāsān, 22, 181 n 7, 181, 247 n, 401 n.

Bakla,-or

Baklānah,—a town in Lakhanawaţī, 565 n 5.

Bak-matī, the. See under the Bagmatī and the Bog-matī. Bak-rālah,—a place east of the Mārgalah Pass, 96 n 2, 97 n.

Bakr Khel, the darah of, south of the Kurmah, 409 n.

Balālah,—a place near the city of Lahor. 294 n.

Balameen. See under Balimin.

Balaram or Balaram,—a district in the province of Awadh, 712, 714 n9, 767 and n4, 788 n9, 794 and n8, 849 n8.

Balarwan,—a fortress and district in <u>Gharjistan</u> of <u>Khurasan</u>, 115 and n6, 396, 415, 429 and n8, 456, 1072 and n5.

Balarwan of Bamian, 429 n 8.

Balasagun or Bala Sagun, 915 n, for Bilasagun, which see.

Bālāsghūn, 912 n, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Balbīs,—a town in the Diyār-i-Mişrīah, 212 n 1, 229 n 5.

Balc, for Balkh.

Baldjuna,—a desert of Turkistan, 942 n.

Balgasun, 916 n, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Balghassun, 916 n, for Bilasaghun, which see.

Bālijūnah, the,—a lake or spring in Turkistān, 942 n.

Balīķ-<u>Ch</u>āķ,—a spring of water in Kalur-ān of Turkistān, 937 and n.8.

Balimin [vulg. Balameen],—a town in the district of Karman, 499 n. Baljiunah Lake, the,—in Turkistan,

942 n.

Bāljūnah Būlāķ, Būlāgh or Balīķ, the,—a spring of water in Turkistān, 941 n, 942 n, 943 n. Bâljûnah Nawar,—a Lake in Turkistan, 942 n, 943 n.

Bālkash, the Tin-ghiz or Din-ghiz,
—a Lake in Mughūlistān, 920 n,
969 n.

Balkh,-a city and district of Khurāsān, 22 and n 4, 25, 26 n 3, 27 n 4, 31, 35 n 6, 43, 46, 47, 48, 50 and n4, 51 n6, 72 n6, 74, 75, 80 and n 5, 85 n, 86 n, 94 n 3, 95 n9, 113 n, 120 n5, 125 and n5. 126, 127, 128 n, 129 and n, 132 and n 9, 147 n 8, 184 n, 258 n, 260 and n 6, 275 and n and n 2, 280, 288 n 3, 289 n, 306 n 5, 324 n, 367 n 9, 368 n, 371, 372, 373 and n 9, 375 n, 378, 399, 401 n, 402 n, 412, 413, 424, 426 and n 6, 427 n, 429, 431, 433 n 7, 474, 481 n 8,  $610 \, n$ ,  $874 \, n$ ,  $904 \, n$ ,  $907 \, n$ , 916, 920 n, 921 n, 985 and n 2, 987, 989 n, 1002 n 4, 1008 n 5, 1009and n, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1014 n 2, 1015 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n,  $1023 \, n \, 9$ ,  $1024 \, n \, 2$ ,  $1025 \, n$ , 1027n 8, 1032 n, 1046 n, 1050 n, 1058 and n 8, 1059, 1063, 1076 n, 1082 n, 1097, 1115 n 5, 1145 n, 1174.

Balkhan Koh, the,—or the

Balkhān mountains,—on the northeast of Khurāsān, 123 n, 131 n7.

Balrām,—a district in Awadh. See under Balārām.

Baltādah,—a territory in Hindustān. See under Baltārah.

Baltae,—a city in the country of Khitae, 1189 n.

Baltārah,—a territory in Hindustān, 713 n 2, 714 n 9, 794 and n 8, 849 n 8. Balüt,—a town in the district of Karman, 499 n.

Balwan, for Balarwan of Gharjistan, which see, 429 n 8.

Balyūs,—the ancient name of the province of Kandahār, 1018 n.

Bam,—a fortress in Kirmān. See under Bamm.

Bāmī,—another name for the city of Balkh, 1024 n 2.

Bimian,—a district of Khurasan, xxii, xlviii, xlix, l, 74, 149 n 8, 245, 248, 249, 252, 260, 267, 288 n 3, 289 n and n 4, 304 n 1, 306 and n 5, 310, 320 n 3, 337, 338, 342, 358 n 3, 362, 369, 371, 372, 374, 375 n, 378, 379, 399, 401 n, 402 n, 405 n 3, 413, 414 and n 8, 421, 422, 423 n 8, 424 n 3, 425, 426 and n 4, 427 and n, 428 n 1, 429 and n 8, 430, 431 and n 7, 432, 433 and n, 434 and n, 435 n, 436 and n 5, 447 and n 7, 456 n9, 457 n, 472 n9, 490, 492 and n7, 493 and n, 494 and n1, 495, 496, 500 n 9, 501 and n 5, 502 and n 6, 504 n 4, 514, 922, 1002, 1011 n, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 n and n 8, 1023 n 9, 1024 n 2, 1025 n, 1042 and nn 5 and 6, 1047 n 4, 1050 n, 1058 n 6, 1072 n 9, 1071 n 2, 1081 n 1, 1082 n.

Bamm, a fortress in Kirman, 34 n6, 184 n.

Banākat,—a city in Māwarā-un-Nahr. See under Fanākat.

Banāras, the Benares of the maps, 470 and n 2, 491, 516, 518, 519 n, 545 n 5, 551 n, 562 n, 567 n 1, 592 n, 608, 627, 742 n 9, 743 n 3. Banārsī or Bārānī, capital of the Rāe of Jāj-nagar, 592 n.

Banbān,—a tract of country in Hindustān, 536 n, 541 n 7, 623 n 8. See also under Banīān.

Band-i-Amīr, the,—the embankment over the river Kur, founded by 'Uzd-ud-Daulah Buwīah, 64 n 1.

Band-i-Kāfirān or the Infidel's Dyke,—a place in Balkh, 128 n.

Bandīārān, mountains of, [the Kumā'un mountains], 799 and n2.

Bang,—that part of Lakhanawaţi which is liable to inundation being the western side of the river Gang, 585 n7.

Bang, territory of, 554, 557 and n 3, 558 and n 1, 584 n 4, 585 n 7, 586 n 9, 587, 590 n, 592 n, 594 and n 1, 595, 618 n, 629, 732, 769, 770 n 9, 773 n, 776 n.

Bangālah, 529 n 4, 554 n 9, 559 n and n 2, 562 n, 568 n, 582 n 6, 584 n 2, 585 n 7, 588 n, 589 n, 590 n, 591 n, 592 n, 593 n, 665 n 8. See also the above.

Bangash, Sarkār of,—the province of Karmān, l.

Bangāwn or Sagāwn. See under Bekānwah.

Bang-mati, the. See the Bag-mati and the Beg-mati.

Banian or Banban, -a tract of coun-

try in Hindüstän, xxvi, liv, 536 n, 541 and n 7, 612 n 5, 628 and n 8, 631 and n 8, 633 n 6, 645 n, 677 and n 6, 689 n, 780 and n 6, 750 n 6, 782 and n, 861, 862, 868 n 8, 1126 n 6, 1128, 1129, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1225 n.

Banj-rūt,—for Wanj-rūt, the territory in the Multān province, 728 x1.

Bānkī, otherwise Tarās,—a territory of Turkistān, 905 n, 921 n, 970 n 2. See also under Tarās.

Bannū, l. See Banū.

Banu,—a town in the province of Karman, 498 n 7, 588 n, 541 n 7.

Banyan,—a tract of country in Hindustan. See under Banian.

Bar or Par,—a place between Hirat and Ghür, 325 n.

Bar Nadī, the,—a river in Kāmrūd, 564 n.

Bārāb, 399 n 7,—another name of Fār-yāb, which see.

Bārah,—a Parganah of Allahabad, 683 n.

Bārān,—another name of Barwān, the town between <u>Ghaznīn</u> and Bal<u>kh</u>, which see, 288 n 3.

Baran,—a town east of Dihli, 604 and n 8, 645 n and n 9, 680 n, 723, 730, 745, 748, 754, 758, 759, 779, 791, 1029 and n 1. Afterwards called Bulandshahr.

Bārānī or Banārsī, capital of the Rāe of Jāj-nagar, 592 n.

Bārānī, for Barwān, the town between <u>Ghaznīn</u> and Bal<u>kh</u>, which see, 1020 n.

Bāras, 342, 398 n 5. Another name of the district of Fāras, which see.

Barbind, 585 n 7, for Barind of Barindah, which see.

Barda',—a town of Āşarbāijān, 997 n.

Bardar, mountains of,—in Hindustan, 696.

Bardasīr,—a town in the territory of Kirmān, 295 n. Also called Gawāshīr, which see.

Bareili, the Bareilly of the maps, 470 n 1.

Barendra, that side of Lakhanawatī which is not liable to inundation, being the eastern side of the river Gang, 585 n 7.

Bar-ghund, an error for Bas-Ghund, a fortress in the territory of Ghasnīn. See p. lviii.

Barhamün,-or

Barhanmūn,—a town in Hindūstān, 779 and n 2.

Bārī Do-āb, the,—in the Panjāb, 696 n 8.

Barian,—a place between Hirat and Chur, 825 n.

Bārih,—a district in the Panjāb, 455 n.

Barīhūn,—a district of Hindūstān, 764.

Barind,-or

Barindah,—that wing of the territory of Lakhanawatī which is on the eastern side of the river Gang, 585 and n7, 618 n, 787.

Barjanid,-or

Barjunid,—a fortress of Hindustan, 79 n.

Barmal-Madrue, or Madru-mue, a village on the frontier of Balkh, 75 n 5.

Barmās or Jarmās,—a district of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 338 n 9.

- Baroghil Pass,—a Pass leading to Käshghar, 1045 n.
- Barsakhān,—a place between Irān and Tūrān, 961 n.
- Barshābūr,—a town in Sindh, 76 and n 2, 285 n 5. See also under Barshāwar.
- Bar<u>sh</u>āwar or Par<u>sh</u>or,—a town in Sindh, 77 n, 291 n, 293 n, 540 n. See also under Bar<u>sh</u>ābūr.
- Bārsūl,—a town in Lakhaņawaţī, 574 n 8.
- Bar-tar,—a fortress in the territory of  $\underline{Gh}$   $\underline{ur}$ , 325 n.
- Bartās, the territory of, 1167, 1170 n.
- Bartuki,—a place in Gujarāt, 521 n. Barul,—a place in Gujarāt, 521 n.
- Bārūtah,—a halting-place in the vicinity of Dihlī, 851 and a 8.
- Barwalah,—the Burwala of the Indian Atlas, 732, 837 and n 4, 851 n 8.
- Barwān,—a town or city between Ghazuīn and Balkh, on the banks of the Āb-i-Bārānī, 288 and n 3, 289 n, 409 n 6, 540 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 and nn 7 and 8, 1042 and n 5, 1049 n 2, 1129 n 1.
- Barwan, for Balarwan of <u>Gh</u>arjistan, which see, 429 n 8.
- Barwān or Parwān,—a place north of Kābul, 288 n, 1020 n. See the Parwān Pass.
- Bār-yāb, 399 n 7,—another name of Fār-yāb, which see.
- Barzam,—a fortress on the river Jiḥūn, 187 n 4.
- Basan-kot,—a fortress in Lakhana-watī, founded by Sultān <u>Gh</u>iyāş-ud-Dīn 'Iwaz, lii, 582 and n 6, 629, 787, 773 n.

- Bashgal or Yashkal, Dara'h or Pass of,—on the road to Tal-kan, 1208 n.
- Bashghird,-or
- Bāshķir, territory of, 1165 n, 1166 n, 1167 n.
- Bas-kot, fortress of, 582 n 6. See under Basan-kot.
- Başrah, 65, 800, 1245 and n 4, 1261 n 7.
- Bastābād or Bust-ābād, 723 and n9.
- Bastām or Bustām,—a town of, <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 702 n 6. See also under Bustām.
- Bathindah or Bhatindah, fortress of, —capital of Jai-pāl, the Bādshāh of Hind, 79 n, 80 n, 458 n, 462 n, 491 n 1, 533 n, 645 n 4, 794 n 1.
- Bāward,—a city and district in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 119 and n7, 129 n, 491. See also under Abīward.
- Bay of Bengal, the, 589 n, 592 n. Bayabān of Ka'b, the,—an uncultivated plain between Tālķān and Balkh, 1009.
- Bāyazīd,—a place near the N. shore of the Lake Wān [Van of the maps], 1264 n.
- Bāzār-i-Farod,—a place to the south of the city of Sīstān, 195.
- Baz-Ghūnd,—a fortress in the territory of Ghaznīn, xlvi, 101 and n7, 103.
- Baz Kūshk-i-Sulţān,—a Ķaşr at the capital city of Fīrūz-koh, 403 and n 5, 405 n 4, 418.
- Bazul, 521 n, for Nadul of Gujarat, which see.
- Begānwah. See under Bekānwah. Begāwān. See under Bekānwah.

Beg-hatī, the. See the Bag-matī and the Beg-matī.

Beg-matī, the,—a river flowing in front of the city of Burdhan-kot, 561 and n1, 562 n, 570 n, 764 and n6. See also the Bagmatī.

Behär. See under Bihär.

Behoh,—a town on the western bank of the Indus, 78 n.

Bekānwah,—a city in Lakhanawatī, 565 and n 5.

Bekāwān. See under Bekānwah.

Belasaghun, 916 n, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Belasagun [Balgassun], 916 %, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Beloochistan, 1021 n 8, 1043 n 1, 1075 n.

Bengal. See Bangal.

Bengala. See Bangalah.

Bern, the city in Switzerland, xvii.

Bhagwat or Bhugwat,—a town and district between the Ganges and the Karam-Nāsah, 549, 550 n 5, 551 n,—app. xxiv.

Bhagwat or Bhugwat, parganah of, 550 n 5.

Bhakar,—a fortress on the Panj-āb, 294 n, 529 n 4, 542 n 9, 543 n, 544 and n 1, 613 and nn 2 and 7, 614, 615 n 1, 616 n, 628, 724 and n 2.

Bhakhar, 613 nn 2 and 7. See under Bhakar.

Bharah,—a district to the south of the Jūd mountains [the Salt Range], 1131 n, 1132 n.

Bharā'īj, on the eastern bank of the river Sar'ū, 665, 676, 677, 694, 703, 705 n 7, 707, 708, 827, 834 and nn 7 and 9, 835 n and n 4, 836 and nn 8 and 9. Bharat-pur, territory of, 790 n 9, 853 n 6.

Bhāṭah or Bhāṭī,—a tract of country adjoining Bihār and Bangālah, 587 n 4, 588 n, 593 n.

Bhatgong, anglicized name of Bhatīghūn.

Bhath-Ghorah. See Bhati-ghor.

Bhātī. See under Bhātah.

Bhāṭīāh,—a fortress near Multīn, 85 n.

Bhaṭī-ghor or Bhaṭî-Ghorā,—the tract lying on the left bank of the Son, east of Banāras, 743 and n 3.

Bhātīghūn or Bhatī-ghūn,—a city and territory in the Nīpāl valley, 567 n 1, 639 n 8.

Bhatī-kot,—a city in the district of Burshor or Parshāwar, 1022 n.

Bhatindah, fortress of,—capital of Jai-pāl, the Bādshāh of Hind, 79 n, 80 n, 794 n 1. See also under Bathindah.

Bhatnir,—a town in Hindustün, 80 n, 459 n 7.

Bhāwan or Bahāwan,—a fortress in Hindūstān, 86 n.

Bhawānī or Pārwatī, temple of, in Amarkantak of Jāj-nagar, 588 n.

Bhawānī,—a town in Hariānah, 791 n 1.

Bheolee, anglicized name of the town of Bhiūli, 550 n 5.

Bhīānah or Bīānah, formerly called Thankir or Thangīr, 520 n, 542 n9, 544 n1, 545, 546 n7, 547, 659 n4, 692 and n4, 709 n6, 712, 713 n2, 714 n9, 732, 747 and n1, 767, 784 n, 787, 788 and n9, 794, 824, 825, 849, 850. See also under Bīānah. Bhīlsān,—a fortress and city in Mālwah, 621 n 6, 622, 628, 733 n 5, 735 n 9.

Bhīm, also called [tān, 85 n. Bhīm-nagar,—a fortress of Hindus-Bhīrah,—a town of Hindustān, 491 and n 2.

Bhīūli, parganah, 550 n 5.

Bhīūlī or Bhīwalī,—a town and district between the Ganges and the Karam-Nāsah, 550 and n5, 551 n,—app. xxiv.

Bhiwali. See Bhiuli.

Bhugwat. See Bhagwat.

Bhuili, 550 n 5. See Bhiuli.

Bhūpāl, the Bhopal of the maps, 690 n 1.

Bhūtān [Bootan], hills of, 562 n, 568 n, 570 n 9.

Biah, the,—the Bias of Europeans, xxviii, 533 n, 647 n 9, 656 n, 658 and n 8, 667, 668 n, 684 nn 8 and 9, 687 and nn 9 and 1, 688 n, 689 n, 692 n 3, 693, 695, 696 and n 3, 704, 705 n 7, 707 and n 6, 714 n 9, 723 n 1, 758 n 9, 780, 783 n 7, 784 and n, 788 and n 9, 793 n 7, 795 n, 811 and n 8, 812, 813 n, 818 and n 4, 825 and n 6, 826, 839 n 4, 840 and n 2, 845 n, 846 n 6, 851, 862 n 8, 1131 n, 1136, 1152 n, 1156 and n 7, 1224 n, 1225 n.

Biana or Biāna, for Bīānah, 459 n 9, 546 n 7.

Biānah or Bhīānah, formerly called Thankir or Thangīr, 459 n 9, 471 n. See also under Bhīānah.

Bias, the,—the river Biāh as called by Europeans, 538 n.

Bidr,—a fortress in Taling [Talinganah], 589 n.

Bihand, 76. See under Bahind and Waihind.

Bihār, province of, 489 n 7, 519 and n, 520, 524 n, 550 and n 5, 551 and n and n 7, 552 and n 3, 553 n 5, 554, 556 and nn 6 and 8, 557 and n 5, 588 n, 589 n, 591 and n, 592 n, 593 n, 594 and n 1, 610 n 7, 626 n 3, 627, 663 n 9, 731, 736, 737 n 8, 743 n 4, 757 and n 7, 772 n, 773 n, 775 n,—app. xiii, xxiii, xxiv and n 2, xxv, xxvi. Also called Wihār.

Bihat, the,—or the Jhilam, 454 n, 535 n, 536 n, 587 n, 697 n. Also called the Wihat.

Bihatah or Wihatah, the. See the Bihat.

Bihrās,—a dependency of Nīshāpūr, 181 n 8.

Bijaipūr [Wijayāpūr],—district of, 560 n 4.

Bīja-nagar, 511 n. See under Bijayā-nagar.

Bījand, also called Wāeķāl Ķūrghān,—a stronghold in the country of the Makrits, 947 n.

Bi-jayā-nagar,—in Bellary district, Madras, capital of the Vijayanagar Kings, 511 n.

Bijnor or Bijnor [the Bjjnour of the Indian Atlas], 696 and n 4, 697 n.

Bijnour. See the above.

Bikānīr,—a district of Hindustan, 723 n 1.

Bikrām-pūr or Wikrām-pūr,—capital of Balāl Sen of the Hindū dynasty of Nūdīah, 558 n 1.

Bīktā<u>sh</u>. country of, 1088 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1220 n. Same as Tingnā<u>sh</u>, which see. Bilād-i-Dāwar, 267. See under Zamīn-i-Dāwar.

Bilād-i-Jibāl, in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 335 and n 3, 339 n 7. See also the Jibāl of <u>Gh</u>ūr.

Bilād-ul-Hind,—the country immediately north of Manşūrah, 674 \*8.

Bilad-us-Sind,—the country S.-E. of Manşūrah, 674 n 3.

Bilādsā-ghūn, 900 n 2, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Bilaram, 714 n 9. See also under Balaram.

Bilāsāghūn,—a city of Māwarā-un-Nahr near Kāshghar and the capital of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns, 134 and n 8, 135, 154, 155, 261, 264 n, 889 n, 900 and n 2, 905 n, 907 n, 912 n, 913 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 917 n, 918 n, 919 n, 920 n, 921 n, 923 n, 924 n, 925 n, 926 n, 931 n, 933 n, 952 n, 980 and n 7, 983 n.

Bilasagun, 919 n, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Bilaur Mountains, in the direction of Turkistan, 423 and n 1, 424 n, 922 n, 1046 n 3.

Bilaur [also written Billaur] Tāgh, 922 n. See also the above.

Bilsa,—a fortress in the Mālwaḥ province, 623 n 9.

Bindār or Pindār,—a fortress in <u>Gh</u>arjistān, 842, 417, 626, 762, 1072.

Bīrah,—a fortress in the territory of Siwālikh, 110.

Birār,—a proviuce of Central India, 588 n, 592 n.

Bīrbhūm,—a district of the Bardwān division in Bengal, 585 n 6, 592 n. Birgonī,—a place on the western

or Mārwār side of the Arawali mountains, 521 n.

Birouan, 1019 n, for Barwan, the town between <u>Ghasnin</u> and Balkh, which see.

Bīrwān, fortress of, 1072 n 5. See under Balarwān of Gharjistān.

Bishbalig or Bish-Balig, 918 n,—for Bish-Bäligh, which see.

Bish-Biligh, capital of the I-ghürs, 918 n, 920 n, 928 n, 980 n, 951 n, 952 n, 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 985 n, 1105 n, 1127 n, 1140 n, 1141 n, 1145 n, 1157 n 1, 1164 n, 1184 n.

Bisirām or Bisrām,—a fortress on the way from Uchchah to the Salt Range hills, 294 n.

Bisrām-pūr, 294 n. Same as Bisirām or Bisrām.

Bithandah, fortress of, 458 n, 680 n. See also under Bathindah or Bhatindah.

Bitanda or Bituhnda, 458 n, 680 n, the name of Bathindah or Bhatindah in Briggs.

Biyāh, the, 687 n 1. See under the Biāh.

Biyūr Nāwar, the,—the Lake of Biyūr,—in the country of <u>Kh</u>iţāe, 940 n.

Black River, the,—the Karā Murān, —a river between <u>Khitāe</u> and Mughūlistān, 882 n, 1216 n.

Black Sea, the, 896 n.

Boada,—a town on the bank of the Mahā-nadī, 588 n.

Bocara, for Bukhārā.

Bogra,—a district in the Rāj-shāhī division of Bengal, app. xxi.

Bohemia, 1167 n.

Bokhāra or Bokharah, for Bu<u>kh</u>ārā. Bolān Pass, the,—a mountain pass from Baluchistān into Afghānistān, 483 n.

Bolo [Poland], country of, 1168 n. Bombay, x, xiii, 95 n 4, 452 n 8, 1242 n 1,—app. xvii.

Bom-Labas or Labs,—a country on the northern boundary of Mughūlistān, 889 n.

Booloondshuhur, anglicized name of Bulandshahr, 680 n.

Boost, for Bust.

Bootan, Anglicized name of Bhūtān, which see.

Borkī-i-Buzurg,—great Borkī,—a city in the country of the Urūs, 1170 n.

Borysthenes, the,—a river west of the Black Sea, 896 n.

Bosnia, 1168 n.

Bostan, 1207 n, for Bustam, which see.

Brāhmanābād,—subsequently styled Dībal or Dīwal and Thathah, 295 n.

Brahmā-putr,-or

Brahmā-putra, the, 562 n, 564 n, 565 n, 586 n 9.

Brāmah,—a fortress in Hindūstān, 86 n.

Braminy, the,—a river running to the W. of Gang-pūr, 588 n. Also called Soank.

Bram-Talā,—a town in the territory of Jāj-nagar, 592 n.

Brinda, 585 n7, for Barind or Barindah, which see.

Bū-bikrpur or Abū-Bikrpūr,—a district in Hindūstān, 285.

Budāņūn, district and city of, 755 n8. See under Budā'ūn.

Buda'ün,—one of the two most important fiefs of the kingdom

of Dihli, 419 n5, 491, 505 n8, 506, 524 n, 529 n4, 580, 545 n5, 549, 551 n, 604 and nn 9 and 5, 606, 608 and n9, 609 n and n5, 626 and n2, 627, 628 n2, 631, 633, 654, 662, 663 n9, 684 and n8, 685, 690 and n8, 692 n3, 693, 696 n, 697 n, 698, 700, 703 and n7, 704 n5, 729, 786, 743, 750, 752, 753, 755 and n8, 756, 757, 759, 760, 784 and n and n3, 818 n4, 825, 830, 832, 833, 834 n9, 1224 n,—app. xxiv.

Bughlan or Buklan,—one of the divisions and districts of Balkh, 290 n 4, 426 n 6, 1000 n, 1046 n, 1081 n 1, 1082 n.

Bū-Ķais,—a mountain near Makkah, 670.

Buka Suchiku,—a place in Mughūlistān, 1083 n.

Bukhara. See Bukhara.

Bukhārā, 25, 29, 80, 82, 84, 86, 41, 43 and n 4, 45, 46 and n 4, 47, 48, 49, 50 and n 4, 51 and n 6, 52 and nandn 8, 53 n, 71, 72 n 6, 74, 117 n, 118 and n 4, 120 and n 1. 121, 123 n, 152, 153 and n 7, 154, 185 n, 186 n, 192, 260 n 7, 267, 268 n 3, 269 n, 278 n and n 5, 274 and n 9, 275 n 2, 276 n, 280 n 9. 412, 423 n 8, 600 and nn 3 and 4. 601 and n 8, 602, 800 n 8, 801 n, 877 n, 902 n, 903 n, 904 n, 905 n. 909 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 918 n, 922 n, 930 n, 982 n, 964 n 2, 970n 2, 972 n, 975 n 5, 976 and n, 977 n, 978, 979, 1011 n, 1032 n1033 n, 1034 n, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1097 n 7, 1118 n 9, 1128 n, 1141 n. 1142, 1145 n, 1181 n, 1223, 1275 n 3, 1285, -app. xxi.

176 Index.

- Buklan or Bughlan, district of. See under Bughlan.
- Buland Khel,—a town in the province of Karman, 499 n.
- Bulandesh, 308 n 9,—another name of Mandesh, which see.
- Bulandshahr [anglicized into Booloondshuhur], 680 n.
- Bülär [Bulghär], territory of 1103 n.
- Būldān Kā-īr, the mountain range of,—where Uktāe Ķā'ān is buried, 1148 n.
- Bulgaria, 1168 n, 1190 n 1, 1283 n 1. See also under Bulghär.
- Bulghāķ-pūr [place of great sedition],—a by-name acquired by Lakhanawaţī from the rebellious spirit of its governors, 582 n 6.
- Bulghār, territory of the, 234, 870 n, 871 n, 872 n, 886 n, 962 n, 1102 n, 1115 n 5, 1165 n, 1166, 1168 n, 1283, 1292. See also under Bulgaria.
- Bülkan or Bürkan Kaldun, styled the Yakah Kurük,—the place where the <u>Ohingiz Kh</u>an was buried, 1089 n, 1223 n.
- Bulo [Poland?], 1165 n, 1166 n, 1167 n.
- Bund-Emeer, the. See the Band-i-Amīr.
- Bundelcund, 777 n. See under Bundélkand.
- Bundélkaud,—a district of Hindustan, 546 n 7, 777 n.
- Bundi—a territory of the Hindus, 728.
- Būr,—a strong fortress in the territory of Ghūr, 328 n.
- Bur-Bilaur,—the Hill territory of, 424 n.

- Burdhan, 561 n S. Same as Burdhan-kot, which see.
- Burdhan-kot or Aburdhan-kot,—a city of Hindüstän, 561 and n 8, 562 n, 565 n, 566 n 9, 570 n,—app. xxi, xxii.
- Burhānpūr,—a town in Kāndes of Hindūstān, ix.
- Burhnawah, Anglicised Burnawa,
  —a town of Hindustan, 779 n 2.
- Burin, the,—the high tract of country N.-W. of Rām-pūr, in the Rāj-Shāhī district, 585 n7.
- Burj-i-'Ajamī,—a tower in the city of Baghdād, 1244 n.
- Burj-i-'Alî bin Wahab,—a tower in Mayyāfāriķīn, facing the west, 1269 n.
- Burj-i-Ķārlūgh,—a tower in Hirāt, 1128 n.
- Būrķān or Būlķān Ķāldūn, styled the Yakah Ķurūķ,—the place where the <u>Ohingis Kh</u>ān was buried, 1089 n. 1223 n.
- Burnawa, anglicised from Burhnawah, 779 n 2.
- Burning Mound,—Pushtah Afros, the, in Bādghais, 580.
- Burogil Pass,—a Pass leading to Kāshghar, 1045 n.
- Burshor, Purshor or Furshor [Peshā-war?], 81 n, 285 and n 5, 291, 452 and n 8 and 2, 453 n 4, 467 n, 491, 501 n 5, 525 n, 1002, 1013 and n 7, 1016, 1022 n, 1043 n 1.
- Burshor,—a place between Kandahār and the Indus, 285 n 5.
- Burwala, 837 n 4. See under Barwalah.
- Būshanj, also written Fūshanj,—a tract of country in Khurāsān, 22.
  See also under Fūshanj.

Bust,—a district and town in Khurāsān, 21, 74 and n 3, 94 n 3, 99, 111, 132 n 9, 194, 287, 317 and n 5, 318 n 6, 819 n 5, 320 and n 3, 324 n, 350 n 4, 355, 362, 392, 394, 397, 412 and n 8, 415, 422, 172, 480 n, 492 n 7, 502 n 6, 525 n, 1105 n, 1018 n.

Bust-ābāb or Bastābād, 723 n 9.

Bustām or Bastām,—a celebrated town in the territory of Khurāsān, 243 n, 255 n 7, 419, 420, 702 n 6, 1206 n 3, 1207 n.

Butan,—a district about the Hindu-Koh, 1044 n.

Buz-Ghund, fortress of. See under Baz-Ghund.

O.

Cabul, 509 n, for Kābul, which see. Cairo, 101 n 8, 140 n 2, 1276 n. See also under Ķāhirah.

Calcutta, v, 445 n, 527 n, 534 n 1. 541 n 7, 542 n 9, 550 n 6, 553 n 5, 556 n 7, 559 n, 590 n, 665 n 8, 666 n, 684 n 8, 686 n 7, 687 n 1, 692 n 3, 693 n 5, 711 n 5, 780 n 6, 735 n 8, 737 n 9, 767 n 5, 771 n, 773 n, 774 n, 783 n 8, 785 n 2, 788 n 2, 789 n 6, 800 n 5, 807 n 2, 809 n 5, 812 n 3, 814 n 3, 816 n 5, 818 n6, 826 n1, 829 n7, 831 n1, 836 n5, 838 n 1, 843 n 3, 844 n 1, 846 n6, 849 n7, 851 n8, 852 n3, 856n8, 860 n2, 862 n6, 968 nn 5, 6 and 8, 1043 n 1, 1047 n 4, 1111 n, 1130 n, 1148 n 5, 1154 n 5, 1158 n4, 1159 n5, 1188 n8, 1197 n3,  $1204 \, n \, 9$ ,  $1215 \, n \, 9$ ,  $1225 \, n$ , 1232n 1, 1233 n 3, 1241 n 1, 1242 n 2, 1251 n 9, 1258 n 9, 1259 n, 1265 n 9, 1266 n 4, 1267 n 6, 1268 n 7, 1272 n6, 1279 n 4, 1290 nn 8 and 1. 1292 n 9,—app. i, vii, viii, xviii, xix, xx, xxv, xxvi.

Calinger, 523 n, for Kälinjar, which see.

Callinger, 777 n, for Kälinjar, which see.

Campion,—a vitiated name of Kāshīn given to it by the old European travellers, 950 n.

Candahar, 41 n, 509 n, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1025 n. See also under Kandahār.

Caracatay, 969 n 1, for Karā-Khitāe, which see. [964 n 2.

Carcou,—a town in Karā-Khitāe, Carizme, 969 n 1, for Khwārasm, which see.

Carmania,—ancient name of the Persian province of Kirman, 518 n.

Curnál or Karnāl,—a town in Hindūstān, 459 n 7.

Caschgar, 984 n, for Kāshghar, which see.

Cáshmíu, the name of Kāshin, as given in the Kāshghar Mission Report, 950 n.

Caspian gates,—the Dar-band or Bāb-ul-Abwāb, as known to classical writers, 998 n, 1117.

Caspian, the, 140 n 5, 162 n 3, 278 and n, 879 n, 884 n, 886 n, 902 n,

- 916 n, 988 n, 991 n, 992, 994 n, 996 n, 998 n, 1001 n, 1029 n, 1117, 1188 n, 1286 n 9.
- Castle of Zuhāk, at the foot of the mountains of Zār-i-Margh, 331 n1.
- Caucasus, the, 884n, 886n. See also under the Kaukasas and the Kakāsus.
- Central Asia, xi, 69 n, 474 n 5, 878 n, 886 n, 890 n, 899 n, 919 n, 1001 n, 1106 n, 1115 n 5, 1202 n, 1258 n 8.
- Central Persia, 933 n, 939 n.
- Chachan,—a route of Kārwāns in the Kāshīn territory, 950 n.
- Ohāch, Ohāj or Shāsh,—a city in the territory of Farghānah, in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 921 n.
- Chach Hazārah,—a tract of country in Northern India, 1132 n.
- Ohachch,—a district along the banks of the Indus, 1132 n.
- <u>Ohaghān Sarāe, or 'white hostelry,'</u> in the Kūnar valley, 1044 n, 1045 n.
- <u>Ohaghāniān</u>,—a dependency of
   <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 88 n 2, 232 n, 374 n 5,
   423 n 8, 426 and n 6, 923 n.
- Chaghan-Jang,—the name given by the Mughals to half the country of Gandhar, where the people are white, 1216 n, 1217 n.
- Chāj, Chāch or Shāsh,—a city in the territory of Farghānah, 28 n 8, 921 n.
- <u>Uhambah,—a</u> village on the bank of the river Biāh, 533 n.
- Ohānd gateway in Lakhanawatī, erected by Sulţān Fīrūz Shāh-i-Abu'l Muzaffar, Shāh-i-Jahān, the Ḥabashī, 582 n 6.

- Ohandanpür, 470 n 1. See under Ohandpür.
- Ohandawar, 470 n 1, 518 n. See under Chandwar.
- Ohandīrī,—a territory in Hindāstān, 639 n 5, 690 and n, 691 n, 733, 824 n 7.
- Ohandpur,—a town in the district of Farrukhābād on the route from Bareilī to Fatḥ-ghar, 470 n1.
- Ohand-wal, or Jandwal,—a place a few miles S.-E. of Iţāwah, 470 n 1, 516 and n 9, 518 n, 742 and n 9, 743. Synonymous with the next.
- Chandwar or Chandawar, 470 and n1, 518 n, 742 and n9. See also the above, with which it is synonymous.
- Ohang or Ohing-Khū, the,—a river passing by the city of Taiming in Khitāe, 958 n.
- Chang-sha,—a city in the Chinese province of Hu-quang, 1222 n.
- Charamuin, the,—or Liao Ho,—a river in the country of the <u>Kh</u>itans, 885 n.
- Chardoar,—a town in Western Kamrud, 563 n.
- Qharizm, for Khwarazm.
- <u>Oharkas</u>,—the territory of the <u>Oheremis</u>, 1165 n.
- Oharkh,—a place a few miles from the right or east bank of the Lohgar river, 73 and n8.
- Ohashān or Chushān,—a station on the road between Hirāt and Chūr, 325 n. [459 n 7.
- Ohatang,—a village of Hindustan, Ohatganw [Chittagong],—a port of Bangalah, 592 n, 593 n.

Chan-nayman-suma,—ruins in the country of Karchin on the river Shan-tū, 1219 n.

Cheetore,—a tract of country in Hindustan, 520 n, 611 n 3.

Che-kyang,—a province of China, 1219 n.

Chenab, the, 1130 n. See under the Chinab.

Ohhar Kund or Jhar-kundah,—a tract of country lying on the right bank and upper part of the Son, 587 n 4, 588 n.

Ohhotah Näg-pür, 588 n.

Ohigal or Ohikal,—a small tract of country in Turkistan, 872 n.

Chilās,—a city or country in the Koh-i-Ķarā-chal, a range of the Himālayah, 1046 n 3.

Ohin, lvii, 29, 154, 155 n 5, 180, 183, 197 and n9, 214, 227, 230, 244, 268, 269, 270, 271 and n 1, 272, 273, 310, 383, 412, 496, 516 n 3, 534, 535 n, 561 and n 9, 677, 720 n 1, 764, 780, 817 n 7, 876 n, 877 n, 880, 891 n, 900, 903 n, 904 n, 907 n, 911 n 7, 912 n 9, 916 n, 922 n, 923 n, 924 n, 935, 961 n, 962 n, 963 and n 1, 966, 967, 968, 988 n, 1046, 1081, 1084, 1095, 1106, 1107, 1109, 1111, 1112, 1115 n 5, 1130 n, 1144, 1147, 1151, 1157, 1158, 1159, 1178, 1186, 1211 n 5 and n, 1215 and n 2, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1223, 1259 and n 2, 1282, 1283 n 8, 1284 n 3, 1288. See also under China. Ohin-i-Buzurg, or Great Ohina, 912 n 9. See under Maha-Qhin.

China, 564 n, 794 n 1, 796 n, 877 n, 886 n, 911 n 7, 912 n 9, 923 n, 924 n, 940 n, 950 n, 955 n, 959 n, 1022 n, 1148 n, 1147 n 1, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1222 n. See also under <u>Oh</u>īn.

Ohināb, the,—a river falling into the Indus, 76 n 2, 533 n, 536 n, 541 n 6, 678 n 1, 687 n 9, 816 n 2, 1130 n, 1154 n 1, 1155 n 6.

Ching,—a town in the district of Si-gan-fû, 1218 n.

Ching Ching,—a Chinese province, 885 n.

Ching-dū or Chingtū,—capital of the Altān Khān and metropolis of Khitāe, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 1136 n 9, 1137 n, 1222 n.

Ching-<u>Kh</u>ū or <u>Oh</u>ang, the,—a river passing by the city of Taiming in <u>Kh</u>iţāe, 958 n.

Ohingtu, 957 x. See also under Ohing-du.

Ching-tū-Fū, the Chinese province of, 1222 n.

Ohinhatah Do-ābah, the,—lying between the Chināb and the Bihatah, 535 n, 536 n.

Chirkah or Jirkah,—a city in the country of the Urûs [Russians], 1169 n, 1170 n, 1171 n.

Ohirkah or Jirkah, the,—a river in the country of the Urus [Russians], 1169 n.

Chittagong, 592 n. See under Chātgāņw.

Chitral, state of, 1044 n.

Ohitrāl, valley of, 1044 n, 1045 n.

Chitral, the. See the next.

Ohitrar or Ohitral, the, falso called the Kamah],—a tributary of the Kabul river, 79 n.

Chitta, for Khita.

Chong-King,—a province in the country of Ohin, 1222 n.

Chong-quey,—a mountain in the country of Ohin, 1222 n.

Chorásán, 1009 n, for Khurásán.

Chorassan, for Khurasan.

Chorienes [Kāl-yūn], the rock fortress of, 1054 n 2.

<u>Oh</u>ū, the,—a river of Mughalistān, 918 n, 919 n, 983 n.

Ohūl [uncultivated or desert tract] of Jarūķ, in Hindūstān, 293 n 5.

Ohu -i-Jalālī,—a name of the Ohūl of Jarūķ, so called after Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khwārazm Shāh, 293 n 5, 537 n.

Chūnār-garh,—a hill and fortress of Hindūstān, 550 n 5, 551 n.

Ohung du. See under Ohing du or Ohing tu.

Ohura-garh,—capital of the country of Kadhah-Katankah or Gadhah-Katankah, 587 n 4, 588 n.

Ohushān or Ohashān,—a station on the road between Hirāt and Chūr, 325 n. Ciandu, the capital city of Shangtū as called by Marco Polo, 1219 n.

Cobalek, 917 n, for <u>Gh</u>ū-Bālīķ or Bālīgh, which see.

Cobalic, 917 n, for Ghū-Bālīķ or Bālīgh, which see.

Comorin, anglicized name of Kumār or Kumārūn, 1205 n 4.

Constantinople, 139 n, 161 n, 860 n 9, 999 n, 1260 n. [Bihār.

Cooch Bahar, See under Küch-Corassan, 964 n 2, for Khurāsān, which see.

Corea, the name of Khūrjah, which see, as known to Europeans, 956 n.

Crimes, the, 884 n. See under Krim and Krimes.

Oroatia, a country of Eastern, Europe, 1168 n.

Cubáligh, 912 n, for Ghū-Bālīķ or Bālīgh, which see.

Cumania, 1168 n.

## D.

Dabus,-See the next.

Dabūsah, also called Dabūs and Dabūsī,—a fortified town about midway between Bukhārā and Samrkand, 977 n, 978 n.

Dabūsī,—See the above.

Dāe-ķān,—a town of Khurāsān in the district of Marw, 94 and n 3, 99 n 1, 125 n 8, 127 n 8, 130. An error for Tāl-ķān, see page 94 n 3.

Dāe-kū,—See the next.

Dāe-līū or Dāe-kū,—a country to the S.-W. of <u>Kh</u>itāe, it is called Gandhar by the Mughals, 1216 n, 1217 n.

Daghistan, country of the Alans, 999 n.

Dahānah-i-<u>Sh</u>er,—the Lion's Jaws,
—a Pass of Sara<u>kh</u>s, 387 and
n6.

Dahind, 77 n. See under Bahind and Waihind.

Dajayl or Dajaylah,—a district lying on either side of the Dijlah above Baghdād, 1240 n.

Dajayl, the, [the Little Tigris], 1240 n.

- Dajlah [Tigris], the, 242 n 6, read the Dijlah, see page lix.
- Dajzak,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 248, 367, 369, 378.
- Dakhan, the, viii, 511 n, 587 n 4, 589 n, 592 n, 1216 n, 1271 n.
- Dalmatia,—a province of Austria-Hungary, 1168 n.
- Damascus, the Dimashk or Damashk of the Arabs, 162 n 3. See under Damashk.
- Damashk [Damascus], capital of Syria, 158 n, 204 n, 205 and nn 4 and 6, 206 and n 7, 207 and n 8, 208 n, 209 n 6, 214 n 6, 218 n, 219 and n 9, 221 n, 222 and n 8, 223 n 5, 224 and n 9, 225 n 4, 226 n 8, 227 n 9, 228 and n 4, 229 n 5, 1264 n, 1276 n, 1277 n, 1278 n, 1280 n 5.
- Damawand,—a mountain in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, lx, 305, 1046 n 3, 1207 n.
- Damduma,—a place in the parganah of Dīwkoţ or Dīb-koţ between Lakhaṇawaţī and Dīnājpūr, 591 n.
- Damghān, the capital of Ķūmis, a district between <u>Kh</u>urāsān and 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 133 n 3, 158 n, 266 n, 991 n, 992, 1188 n 8, 1206 n 3.
- Damietta, the Dimyāţ of the Arabs, 226 n 8. See under Dimyāţ.
- Damik, 486 n 5. The correct name of this place is Dam-yak, which see.
- Damow or Damū,—a place east of Saugor [Sāgar] in Hindūstān, 824 n 9.
- Damrilah,—a town in the country of Sind, 294n, 641.

- Damü. See under Damow.
- Damüh,—a town in the country of Kadhah-Katankah between the capital <u>Oh</u>ürā-garh and Amüdah, 588 n.
- Dam-yak,—a place west of the Jhilam, on the route to Ghaznin, 432 and n 2, 484, 486 and n and n 5, 492.
- "Dandanekan,"—a small town in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 94 n 3. Same as Dandānķān, which see.
- Dandānķād or Dāndanķād,—a small town in the district of Marw in Khurāsān, 94n3, 99n1, 125nn 5 and 8, 127n8. See also the next.
- Dandānķān or Dāndanķān, 94 n 3, 125 n 8, 905 n. Same as the above, which also see.
- Dāngali,—a hilly tract in the Salt Range, 1130 n.
- Danïsur,—a town in the territory of Mārdīn, 1264 n, 1279 n.
- Danube, the, 1168 n.
- Dā-nū-ī,—a dependency of Amul, the capital of Tabaristān, 991 n.
- Dār-ul-Khilāfat, Baghdād. See under Baghdād.
- Dār-ul-Khilāfat, Dihlī, 525 n.
- Dar-i-Sarrājān, or Gate of the Saddlers, in Marw, 1029 n.
- Dar-i-Ţa'ām,—a place to the south of the capital city of Sistān, 20 and n 3, 195.
- Dar-i-Tang,—a fortress on the frontier of Baghdad, 1238 n.
- Darah-i-Gaz,—a valley near the city of Balkh, 128 n.
- Darah-i-Karman,—a small darah in the province of Karman, l.
- Darah-i-Khisht-Ab, the,-in the

182 Index.

- district of Hariw-ar-Rüd, 417 and n 4.
- Darah-i-Mandror,—in Afghanistan, 79 n.
- Darah-i-Nūr,—valley of the river Nūr, xlvi.
- Dara-nagar,—a town of Hindustan, 611 n 3.
- Darangawān [Daran-gā-oṇ],—a district in Hindūstān, 746 and n5.
- Dar-band, the Bāb-ul-Abwāb of the Arabs and the Derbend of the maps, 994 n, 998 n, 1117, 1286 n, 1287 n.
- Dar-band-i-Rum, [the Caspian Gates], 1117. See the above.
- Darbûr or Dar-bor. See under Dargün.
- Darghan,—a place in the neighbourhood of Samarkand, 120 and n 4.
- Dar-gūn,—a hill tract of Ţukhāristān, 423 and n 1.
- Dar-gür or Dar-gor. See under Dargün.
- Dar-gūt, Dar-kūt or Darkot. See under Dar-gūn.
- Darkūfah, 424 n. See under Dargūn.
- Darlah, the,—a river of Kāmrūd, 764 n 6.
- Darmash, 698 n. See also under Durmashān.
- Darunah or Daruntah, 77 n. See the next.
- Darūnthā,—a place at the point of junction of the rivers of Nūr and Ķirāt, xlv, xlvi, 77 n, 79 n.
- Darwäz, 424n. See under Dar-gün. Darwäzah-i-Bärs [Färs],—one of the five gates of the capital city of Sīstān, 20 n 3.

- Dasht-i-Chul, the,—a desert in Khurāsān, 1009 n 7.
- Dasht-i-Kabchak, the, 168 n 5. See under the Dasht-i-Kibchāk.
- Dasht-i-Khifchāk, the, 992. See under the Dasht-i-Ķibchāk.
- Dasht-i-Khurz, the,—a desert of Turkistan, 117 n, 1030 n.
- Dasht-i-Ķibchāk or Khifchāk, the;—a desert of Turkistān, 163 n5, 233 n6, 943 n, 992, 994 n, 998 n, 1000, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1087 n, 1101 n1, 1102 n, 1103 n, 1105 n, 1150 n, 1164 n 9, 1165 n, 1168 n, 1171 n, 1177 n1, 1178 n, 1286 n, 1287 n, 1290 n 9.
- Dasht-i-Ķifchāk, the. See under the Dasht-i-Ķibchāk.
- Dasht-i-Ķipchāķ, the, 233 n 6. See under the Dasht-i-Ķibchāķ.
- Dast-i-Qhap,—the left hand—a territory of Mughūlistān, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1220 n.
- Dast-i-Rāst,—the right hand—a territory of Mughūlistān, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1220 n.
- Datoli,—a place in the Do-āb of the Jūn and the Gang, 809 and n7.
- Dāwar,—a district in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 21, 74, 201 n 2, 267, 287, 317, 319 and n 5, 355, 362, 386, 389, 390, 472, 1018 n. See also under Zamīn-i-Dāwar.
- Dawar the, Darah of,—south of the Kurmah, 499 n.
- Dāwarī,—a district of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 201 and n 2.
- Dazak,—a town in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 248. See also under Dajzak.
- Debekote [Diw-kot or Dib-kot], parganah of, 591 n.

Decan, the, 511 n. See under the Dakhan.

Dehli. See under Dihli.

Dehly,-for Dihli.

Dekaka,—one of the chief cities of Bangalah, 586 n.

Denmark, 138 n 8, 722 n 6.

Deo-kali [Deva Kala],—a place S.-E of Kanauj, 680 n.

Deokot, 574 n 3, for Diw-kot, which see.

Depúr,—a place between Ghaznīn and the Mārgalah Pass, 96 n 2.

Deva Kala 680 n. See under Deckali.

Dewal, 534 n 1. See under Diwal and Dibal.

Dhākah, capital of Bang, lxi, 558 n 1, 586 n 9.

Dharāgarh, in the Dakhan, 589 n. Also called Dīw-gīr.

Dharam-gā-on, 746 n 5.

Dharam-pattan, the ancient name of Bhātighūn in the Nīpāl valley, 567 n 1.

Dholpūr,—a district of Hindūstān, 853 n 6.

Dhūtalī, 521 n, for the town of Palī in Gujarāt.

Dīār-i-Bakr, the. See under the Diyār-i-Bakr.

Diār-i-Rabi'ah,—a district in Mesopotamia, 996 n.

Dibal or Diwal,—one of the chief cities of Sind, 294 n, 295 n, 452, 491 n 5, 614 n 8, 615 n 1, 628. See also under Diwal.

Dībāl-pūr,—a district in Hindūstān, 795 n.

Dib-kot, of Lakhanawati. See under Diwkot.

Didankan, 94 n 3. Same as Dan-

danķād and Dandānķān, which see.

Digārchah. or Tishū Lāmbū,—a Buddhist monastery on the bank of the Sānpū river, 570 n 9.

Dih of Ahangaran,—a village near Ghaznan, 321 n 6.

Dih-i-Jalābīah,—a village of Baghdād, 1253 n, 1254 n.

Dih-i-Wakf,—a village of Baghdad, 1253 n, 1254 n.

Dihistan,—a district in the territory of Khurasan, 123 n, 124 n, 125 and n 8, 240 n.

Dihlī or Dehlī, x, xiii xxi, xxxiii n 2, xxvi, xxvii, xxviii, xxix, xxx, 1, 26 n 1, 41 n 6, 79 n, 91 n 9. 158 n, 168 n 2, 204, 205, 231 n 1, 248 n 1, 283 n 9, 293 n 5, 294 n, 317, 322 n, 345, 369 n 5, 379 n 4,  $388 \, n \, 7$ ,  $399 \, n$ ,  $418 \, n \, 2$ ,  $459 \, n \, 7$ , 460 and n 3, 462 n, 463 n, 467 n, 468, 469 and n 9, 470 n, 488 n 1, 489 n 6, 491, 497 n 3, 506 n, 508 n, 509 n, 510 n, 511 n, 514 n, 515, 516 n 2, 517 n, 518 n 5, 519 n, 520 n, 522 n, 523 n, 524 n, 525 and n, 527 n, 528 n, 529 n 4, 530 and n and n 5, 533 n, 534 n, 535 n, 537 n, 538 n, 539 n, 542 n 9, 543 n, 549and n 4, 550 n 6, 551 n and n 7, 552, 553 n 5, 562 n, 573 n 9, 575 and n 9, 576 n 6, 577 n, 579 n 4, 583 and n, 584 nn 2 and 3, 589 n, 591, 594 n 1, 598 and n 8, 602 and n 4, 604 n 9, 605 and n 1, 606 and n 3, 607 n and n 6, 608 and nn 8 and 9, 609 and n and nn 3 and 5, 610 n 7, 611, 612 n 6, 614 n 8, 615 and n 1, 617 n, 618 n, 619, 620 and n 4, 621 n 6, 622 n, 623, 624 n and n 3, 625, 627 n 5, 628 and n 3, 629.

630 and n 2, 631, 634 nn 9, 2 and 8, 635 and n 9, 636 and n 3, 637 n 8, 639, 640 and n 3, 644 and n, 645 and n. 646, 647 and n 9, 648, 649 and n 6, 652, 655, 656 and n, 659 and n and n 4, 660 and n 9, 662, 666 n, 667, 668 n, 670 n 2, 672 n, 675, 676, 677 and n 6, 679, 680 n, 683 and n 7, 684 and nn 8 and 9, 686, 687 and n 9, 688 and n and nn 3 and 4, 690 n 8, 691 n, 692, 693 n 2, 695 and n 9, 696 n, 698, 700 and n 8, 701 and n 1, 703, 704, 705 and n 7, 706, 707 n 6, 708 and n 1, 709 and n, 710, 711 and n 4, 712 n 6, 715, 716 n 5, 717 n, 718 n, 720 n 1, 722 and n 6, 724, 725 and n 5, 727 n 7, 728, 730 n 7, 735, 788, 741 and n 2, 745 n 2, 747, 749 n 2, 753, 756 and n 3, 757 n 5, 758 n 9, 761, 763, 767 n 1, 768 n 4, 769, 771 n, 772 n, 773 n, 774 n, 775 n, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 779, 780 and n5, 782 n, 783 and n9, 784 n and n6, 785, 786 and n6, 788 and nn 9 and 1, 789, 790, 791 n 1, 792 n 9, 793 nn 3 and 7, 794 nn 9 and 1, 795 n, 796, 797 and n 5, 801, 806, 809, 811 n 8, 812 nn 2 and 3, 814, 815 n 8, 816 and n 4, 817 n 7, 821 n 6, 823 n and n 3, 825, 830 and n 5, 834, 837 and n 2, 838 n 7, 839, 840 and n 2, 841 and n 5, 844 and n4, 845 and n, 846 nn 5 and 6, 847 n 2, 848, 849 and n 7, 850 n 6, 851 n 8, 855, 857 n 3, 860, 861 and n, 862 n 8, 863 n 3, 864, 878 n, 884 n, 910 n, 912 n, 932 n, 934 n, 936 n 6, 948 n, 961 n, 1002 n 6, 1045 n, 1129 and n 1, 1131 n, 1135 n 8, 1136, 1145 n, 1155 n 6, 1156, 1174 and n 2, 1181 n 2, 1184 n,

1201 n, 1202 n, 1224 n, 1225 n, 1259 n 3, 1271 n, 1274 n 1, 1275 n 3, 1285, 1288,—app. i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi, vii, xix, xxiii, xxiv, xxv. ijlah [Tigris], the, lix, 64, 242

Dijlah [Tigris], the, lix, 64, 242 n 6, 712 n, 1232, 1237 n 6, 1238, 1239 n, 1240 n, 1241 n 1, 1243 and n, 1245, 1261, 1268 nn 8 and 9, 1282 n. See also under the Tigris.

Dilam, territory of, 15, 55 n 1, 58, 59, 94 n 3, 137, 996 n, 1188 n, 1234 n 4.

Dilem, 996 n, for Dīlam, which see. Dīlūn-Yildūķ or Dīlūn Yūldūķ,—a place in Mughūlistān, 938 n, 944 n. Dimashķ. See under Damashķ. Dimāwand, an error for Damawand.

Dimawand, an error for Damawand.
See page lx.

Dimyāt [Damietta],—a town in the Diyār-i-Miṣrīah, 226 n 8, 228 n 4, 229 n 5.

Dīnājpūr,—a district in the territory of Lakhaņawaţī, 559 n, 562 n, 563 n, 591 n.

Dīnāl-pūr, 795 n, for Dībāl-pūr.

Dinaur, 1239 n, or

Dinawar, 996 n, or

Dînawr,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 13, 151 n 6, 242 n 6, 996 n, 1239 n.

Din-ghiz or Tin-ghiz [Lake] Bālkash,—a lake of Mughūlistān, 920 n. [see page li.

Dīnjā-pūr, an error for Dīnājpūr,
Dīnkot,—a town on the bank of
the Indus, 291 n.

Dīnūr,—a place between Ghaznīn and the Mārgalah Pass, 97 n.

Diul,—a city in the month of the river Sindh, 295 n. See under Dibal and Diwal.

Diwah, the,—a river of Lakhanawatī, 586 n 9.

Diwal or Dibal,—one of the chief cities of Sind, 294 n, 295 n, 452 and n 2, 491 n 5, 532, 534 and n 1, 614 n 8, 615, 641, 668 n, 1154. See also under Dibal.

Diwgir [Dharagarh], in the Dakhan, 589 n, 1216 n.

Diw-kot or Dib-kot,—a city of Lakhanawati on the eastern side of the river Gang, 562 n, 563 n, 564 n, 565, 571 n 2, 572 and n 4, 574 and nn 3 and 7, 575 and n, 576 and n 3, 578 and n 2, 585 n 6, 586, 591 n.

Diyār-i-Bakr,—a district in Mesopotamia, 136 n, 187, 169 n 7, 204 n, 206, 219, 222, 224, 298, 883, 996 n, 1141 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1263, 1264 n, 1268 n 9, 1270 n 2, 1280 n 5.

Diyar-i-Mişriah, 140 n 2, 209 n 6, 210 n, 212 n 1, 217 n, 218 n and n 5, 222 nn 8 and 9, 226 n 7, 228 n 4, 229 n 5, 230 n. See also under Egypt and Mişr.

Do-āb or Do-ābah, the,-of the

Bith and the Riwi, 550 n6, 668 n9, 679, 705 n7, 740, 811 n8, 1131 n, 1155 n6, 1156 n7.

Do-ābah, the,—of the Gang and the Jūn, 816.

Dolāb-i-Bakul—a place in Baghdād, 1243 n.

Dolī Shāng,—a fortified city in the country of Tingnāsh, 1220 n.

Don, the, 1000 n, 1001 n, 1170 n 3 and n.

Dooghak, or Jalālābād, capital of Sijistān, 188 n 7.

Doub, 921 n.

Dufflah, 566 n 8.

Dū-īn,—a city of Āzarbāijān, 170 n8.

Dujz-i-Kamālī,—a fortress in the Kuhistān of the Mulāḥidah, 1192 n.

Dū-mandesh,—a plac in the country of Ghūr, 808 n 9.

Duntoor, 96 n 2, wrong name of Depúr, which see.

Durdhan, 561 n 8, for Burdhankot, which see.

Durmashān, 392 n 6. See also under Darmash.

E.

Eastern Khurāsān, 403 n. Eastern Mongolia, 886 n.

Eastern Persia, 1119 n.

Echatana, the ancient name of Hamadan, 996 n.

Edessa,—a town of Mesopotamia, the Ruhā of Arab geographers, 225 n 4.

Egrigaia,—the name given by Marco Polo to Īrīķī or Īrīķīā, the capital of Tingkūt or Ķāsbīn, 952 n.

Egypt, liv, 13 n 6, 101 n 8, 139, 140
nn 2 and 5, 209 nn 5 and 6, 212
n 1, 215 n 9, 220 n 3, 221 n 6, 225
n 4, 226 n 8, 603 n 7, 766, 829 n 9,
1189, 1275 n 3. See also under
the Diyār-i-Miṣrīah and Miṣr.

Ekdala,—Europeanized form of Akdālah, which see, 590 n.

England, 809 n, 718 n.

Erbil, 1001 n. See under Arbīl of Azarbāijān.

Eski Saghra, 1100 n.

Euphrates, the, 135 and n 1, 140 n 2, 1241, 1256 n 6, 1260 n 5,

1264 n, 1275 n 2. See also the Furāt.

Europe, vi, 157 n 3, 214 n 8, 886 n, 1028 n, 1194, 1283 n 1,—app. v.

Euxine, the, 886 n. See also under the Black Sea.

## F.

Fādas, 375 + 6. another name of Fāras, which see.

Fai-fong-fu, the aucient Taiming and the Pian-kin of Europeans, 1136 n 9.

Faj Hanīsār,—a Pass in the mountains of Ghūr, xlix, 319 n 8, 441 n 7.

Faj of Khāesār,—a Pass in the mountains of Ghūr, 319.

Fallen Minar, - Pratah Minarah, - the, a fortress on the western bank of the Indus, 78 n.

Fanākat,—a city of Māwarā-un-Nahr, also called ash-Shāsh, now known as Tāshkand, 19 n 2, 28 n 8, 261 n, 932 n, 1083 n, 1084 n

Fanākat, the, [the Siḥūn or Jaxartes], 263 n, 931 n, 932, 972 n, 973 n, 975 n 5, 987. See also under the Sihūn and Jaxartes.

Fang-<u>ch</u>ing or Mang-<u>ch</u>ing,—a Chinese province, 1221 n.

Fār-āb or Fār-yāb,—a territory and city of Turkistān, 399 n 7, 915 n, 920 n, 921 n, 962 n, 969 n 1. Also called Utrār, which see. See also under Fāryāb.

Farāh or Farāh,—a city of Sīstān or Sijistān, xxv, 195, 201 and n 2, 233, 397, 472, 480 n, 1122 n, 1200 n, 1203, 1204.

Fāras or Bāras,—a district in the territory of Khurāsān, 342, 375 and n6, 390 and n7, 398 and n5.

Fār-āw, 399 n7, another name of Fār-yāb and Fār-āb, which see.

Farāwah,—a district and city in the territory of Khurāsān, 122, 123 n, 124 n, 125, 129 n.

Farghānah,—a district in Māwarāun-Nahr, 27 and n 6, 28, 29, 43, 52, 260, 465 n, 889 n, 890 n, 903 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 919, 921 n, 923 n, 970 n 2, 980, 1146.

Farhād-gurd,—a place at a short distance of Nīshāpūr, 16 and n 5.

Farman-dih,—a place in the territory of the Kuhistan, 1197.

Farrukhābād,—a district of Hindūstān, 470 n 1.

Fars, province of, 23 and n9, 24, 31 n 3, 34 n 6, 55 n 1, 56 n, 61 n 4, 62, 63, 65, 66 n 7, 84 and n 6, 133, 137, 148, 155 n 6, 169 and n 7, 173 and nn 4 and 5, 174 and n, 175 and n 8, 176 and n 1, 177 and n 6, 178 and nn 7 and 8, 179 and n 9, 180 n, 183 n 2, 184 n, 185 n, 187, 265, 266 n, 277 n 5, 283 n 9, 294, 295 and n 6, 296, 299, 304 n 2, 882, 934 n, 991 n, 1011 n, 1110, 1118, 1119, 1151 n, 1228, 1234

n 8, 1243 n, 1262 n, 1268 n 9,—app. xxi.

Fārus, 367 n7, another name of Fāras, which see.

Farwan,—another name of Barwan, the city between <u>Ghaznin</u> and Bal<u>kh</u>, which see, 288 *n* 3.

Farwan or Parwan,—a town on the Pani-hir river, 288 & 3.

Fāryāb or Fūrāb,—a territory and city of Turkistān, 56 n 3, 128 n, 243 n 8, 378, 391, 399 and n 7, 920 n, 1009 n, 1128 n. See also under Fārāb.

Fastāt or Fustāt, another name of Misr [Cairo], 1278 n.

Fath-ābād,—a place between Ghaznīn and the Mārgalah Pass, founded by Sulṭān Maudūd, son of Sulṭān Mas'ūd of Ghaznīn, 97 n.

Fath-ghar,—a town of Hindustan, 470 n 1.

Fath-i-Jang,—a district in the Rāwal Pindī Division of the Panjāb, 537 n.

Felugia or Anbar,—a town on the Euphrates, 135 n 1.

Feraber,—a town of Bukhārā, 964 n2.

Feroozkooh, for Fīrūz-koh, which see.

Filistin [Palestine], 215, 224. See also under Palestine.

Firbad,-or

Firbaz,—a fortress of Khwarazm, on the river Jihūn, 137 n 4.

Fīrūz-ābād,—now called the Kotilah of Fīrūz Shāh, one of the suburbs of Dihlī, 599 n.

Firuzabad, a town on the site of the ancient city of Chand-war, on the banks of the Jun or Yamunu, 742 n 9.

Firūz-ābād or

Fīrūzī gateway,—one of the quarters of Hirāt, 1036 n 1.

Fīrūzī Bāgh,—a garden of Ghaznīn, 87 n.

Firuz-koh, capital of the Bilad-ul-Jibal of Ghur, xxiii, xlvi, 1, 115, 150, 242 n 6, 243, 245, 253, 282 n, 285, 310, 337, 338 kl, 339 and n7, 340, 311, 344, 348 n, 349 n, 350, 356 and n 2, 357, 358, 361, 362, 364, 365, 369, 370, 371 and n 3, 372, 377, 386, 389, 393, 394 and n and n 3, 395, 396. 397 and nn 5 and 3, 398, 399, 400 and n 3, 402 n, 403 and n, 404, 405 and n 4, 406, 407 and n 5, 408, 409, 411 and n, 412 n, 413 n 1, 414, 415, 417, 418, 419 n 5, 424, 429, 430, 447, 456, 464 n, 472 and n 7, 480 n, 487, 490, 501 and n 5, 502, 523 n, 525 n, 583 and n 8, 772 n, 1002 n 7, 1004, 1006, 1007, 1047, 1048, 1055, 1056, 1057 and n 4, 1073, 1199 n, 1207 n.

Fīrūz-kohī, 874 v.

Firûz-pûr,—a town of Hindûstân, 790 n 9.

Fiwar,—a district and town between Gharjistan and Faras, 260, 342, 375, 376 n, 390, 409, 502 n 6, 1003, 1026, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1060, 1061 n 7,

Fû-cheu-Fû,—a town in the Chinese province of Se-chwen, 1222 n.

Fulād,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajnm, 991 n.

Fulad-Sum,—a city in the Kashghar territory, 922 n, 986 n.

Für, Pür or Porus. country of,-

a territory in Hindustan, 586 n, 587 n.

Furāt [Euphrates], the, 135, 136 n, 1240 n, 1241 and n1, 1261 n7, 1264 n, 1275 n2, 1277 n. See also under the Euphrates.

Furshor,—same as Burshor, which see.

Füshanj, also written Büshanj,-a

tract of country in Khurāsān near Hirāt, lxiii, 11 and n 4, 22, 36 n 9, 49 n 9, 81 n, 185 n, 186 n, 247, 377, 391, 991, 992, 1027 n 8, 1039.

Fusțăț or Fasțăț,—another name of Mișr [Cairo], 1278 n.

Fattehabad, incorrect spelling of Fath-ābād, which see.

G.

Gabar,—a town in the country of Bājawr, 1048 n l.

Gabarī, an error for Gībarī, see page lv.

Gabar-kot or Gibar-kot,—a fortress in Bājawr, xlvi, 1044 n.

Gadhah-Katankah or Kadhah-Katankah, also called Gondwanah, —a territory of Hindustan, 587 n 4, 591 n.

Gadhī,—a town of Bangālah, 592 n. 593 n.

Gah or Kāh,—a Kala' or fortress in Sijistān, 35 n.

Galwā-ī or Kalwā-ī,-or

Galwā-īn or Kalwā-īn,—a district of Hindūstān, 576 n.

Gandah-har, 1216 n, for Gandhar, which see.

Gandhār,—a town or city on the banks of the river Sind, 77 n, 78 n.

Gandhar,—the name given by the Mughals to the country between Hind and Tibbat, 1216 n, 1217 n. Called Kandhār by the Musalmāns and Mahā-Ohīn by the Hindūs.

Gändhärah, the ancient name given by the Hindüs to the hilly country on the frontier of Hindüstän, 537 n.

Gang [Ganges], the. See under the Ganges.

Ganges, the, 86 n, 107, 468 n 4, 469 n, 524 n, 550 nn5 and 6, 551 n, 559 n 2, 561, 562 n, 564 n, 582 n 6, 585 and n 6, 586 n, 589 n, 593 n 6 and n, 611 n 3, 618 n, 629 n 6, 639, 646, 667 n 3, 696 n 3, 697 and n, 737 n 7, 739 n 5, 740 n 8, 763 n 1, 809, 816, 838, 1021 n 8,—app xxii.

Gang-pūr,—a district of Bhāṭah or Bhāṭā, 588 n.

Gangūrī or Kankūrī,—a district of Hindūstān, 575 and n 2.

Ganjah,—a town of Gharjistan, in Khurasan, 144 n, 170 n 8, 998 n.

Ganj-rūţ, for Wanjrūţ, the territory in the Multan province, 723 n1.

Gan-nan, the former name of Kochin-China, 1221 n, 1222 n.

Garānah or Gārānah, the,—a river bounding the Gwāliyūr territory

Index.

189

- on the east and falling into the Jūn or Yamunā, 733 n 7, 825.
- Gardaiz,—a district and town in the <u>Ghaznin</u> territory, 435 n 2, 449 and n 9, 491, 498 n 7, 505, 518 n, 536 n, 538 n, 901 n.
- Gardes, lv, 901 n. Same as Gardaiz, which also see.
- Garī Kapura'h, the Afghān name of the fortress of Gīrī near the Sind or Indus, lv.
- Gariw or Kariw,—a district in the territory of Ghūr, 344 n 1.
- Gariwah of Süntüe the Nü-yin,—a tract of country to the west of Baghdad, 1239 n.
- Garmsīr,—a tract of country in the territory of Khurāsān, xlvi, lix, 16 n 3, 107, 108 n, 148, 253, 341, 342, 350, 374 and n 3, 392, 395, 397, 398, 448 and n 3, 472, 501, 540, 548 and n 3, 580, 878 n, 1006, 1014 n 2, 1015 n, 1016, 1075 n, 1133, 1226,—app. xx.
- Garwa,—a place near Sheorájpúr in Parganah Bárah of Allahabad, 683 n.
- Gasgūrī or Kaskūrī,—a district of Hindūstān, 575 n 2.
- Gaudah or Gaur,—a division of the country of Bangalah, 558 n7, 559 n2, 592 n. See also under Gaur.
- Gaur or Gaudah,—a division of the country of Bangālah, 558
   nn 7 and 8, 559 n 2, 564 n, 576 n 5, 582 n 6, 585 n 6, 588, 590 n, 592 n, 595 n 2, 617 n, 618 n, 778 n.
  - Gawāshīr, also called Bardasīr,—a town in the territory of Kirmān, 281 n 5, 283 and n 9, 284 and n 1, 285 n 2, 295 n, 934 n, 1119.

- Gaz, Darah of,—a valley near the city of Balkh, 128 n.
- Gazīw or Kazīw,—a district in the territory of Ghūr, 344 and n 1.
- Gazmīn, 1020 n, wrong spelling of Ghaznīn.
- Genoa, 1000 n.
- Georgia, 296, 996 n, 1001 n. See also under <u>Gh</u>arjistān and Gurjistān.
- Getes, Europeanized name of Jatah, which see, 959 n.
- Ghaghar, the,—a tributary of the Indus, 80 n.
- Ghūrā, the,—a river of Hindūstān, 533 n, 541 n 6, 723 n 1, 813 n, 1155 n 6.
- <u>Gharchistān</u>, same as <u>Gh</u>arjistān, which see.
- Gharishtan, same as Gharjistan, which see.
- Gharjah,—a place in the vicinity of Saurkand, 233 n.
- Gharjistān [Georgia],—a district or province of Khurāsān, xxi, 80 n 5, 95, 113 n, 115, 298 n, 341 and n 6, 342, 343, 350, 363, 367, 369, 370 and n, 372, 374 n 3, 376, 385, 390, 393, 394, 396, 397, 398, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419 n 5, 423 n 8, 424 n 3, 427 n, 429 n 8, 431, 456, 877 n, 918, 1003, 1008, 1011 n, 1020 n, 1029 n, 1036 n, 1038 n 6, 1048 and n 7, 1055, 1056 n, 1071 n 3, 1072 and nn 7 and 1, 1077, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n. See also under Gurjistān.
- Ghazghuzz [Taghar-i-Ghuzz],—a territory of Turkistān, 962 n,
- Ghazistān, for Ghazzistān, which see, 1071 n 2.

Ghaznah, same as Ghaznin, which

<u>Ghaznī</u>, another way of writing the word <u>Gh</u>aznīn.

Ghaznīn, vii, xii, xiv, xix, xx, xxi, xxii, xxiii n 2, xxiv, xxvi, xxxiii, xlvi, xlix, 1, 22, 27, 41 n, 43 and nn 4 and 5, 46, 49, 50 n 3, 71 and n 5, 72 and n 6, 73 and nn 7 and 8, 74 and nn 2 and 3, 76, 79 n, 82 and n 2, 85 n, 86 n, 87 n, 88 and n 2, 89 and n 8, 90, 91 n 8, 92 n 3, 93, 95 and nn 7 and 9, 96 and nn 1 and 2, 97 and n, 98 and nn 5 and 6, 99, 100, 102 n 1, 104, 105 n and n 6, 107, 108 and n and nn 2 and 5, 109, 110 and n 5, 111, 112 and nn 3 and 5, 113 n, 114 n, 115, 117 n, 124 n 4, 130, 132 and n 9, 133, 139, 143 n 2, 148 and n 5, 149 and n 2, 186 n, 189, 190, 231, 236 n 6, 243, 244 n, 248 and n 1, 252, 253, 255 and n 7, 256 and n, 257 n 2, 258 n, 265 n 4, 267 and n 7, 275 n, 285 and n 5, 286 and n 7, 287 and n 9, 288 n 3, 289 n, 290 and n and n 4, 291 n, 307, 308 n 2, 310, 313 n 4, 316 n and n 2, 317 n and n 5, 319 n 5, 321 and n 6, 323 n, 324 n, 326 n, 329, 330, 331 n 2, 332 and n 4, 333, 337 and n 7, 338 nn 1 and 2, 339 and nn 7 and 8, 340, 341 and n7, 342, 343 and n3, 344, 345, 346, 347 and n 2, 348 n, 349 n, 350 and n, 351 n, 353 n, 354, 355 and n, 356 and n and n 2, 357 n 7, 358 n 2, 361 n 6, 369 n 5, 370 n 2, 374 n 2, 376 and n 2, 377 and nn 4, 5 and 6, 378, 379 and nn 4 and 5, 380 and n 9, 382, 384, 390, 391 and a8, 392 and au 6, 394, 395, 398 and nn 7, 8 and 1, 399 and n

401 n, 403 n, 405 n 3, 412, 413 and n 1, 415, 416 and n 3, 417, 418, 419 n 5, 421, 422, 423 n 8, 427 and n, 428 n 1, 429, 431, 432 and n 1, 433 and n, 434 and n, 435 and n 2, 438 and n 2, 439 and n 5, 440 and n 6, 441 and n 7, 443 and n, 446 n and n 3, 447 n 6, 448 and n 3, 449 and n 8, 450 n, 451 n, 453 n 4, 454 n, 456, 458, 460 n 3, 461 n, 462, 464 n, 467 n, 469 n, 470, 471 n and n 5, 473, 474, 475 n 2, 476 n, 480 n, 481, 482 n, 483 n, 484 and n, 486 and n and n 5, 487, 488, 489 and  $n \, 2$ , 492 and  $n \, 7$ , 493 and n, 494, 495 and n 4, 498 n and n 6. 499 n 8, 500 and n 3, 501 and n and n 5, 502 and n 6, 503 and n 8, 504 and n 4, 505 and n and n 5, 506 and n 9, 509 n, 510 n, 513 and n 8, 514, 515, 516 n 2, 517 n, 518 n,519 n, 520 n 521 n, 522 n, 523 n 5 and n, 526 n 8, 527 and n, 528 n 2, 532, 533, 534 and n 5, 536 n; 537 n, 538 n, 541 n and n 7, 545 n 5, 546 n 7, 548, 549, 550 n 6, 575 n 9, 576 n 6, 577 and n, 578, 601, 602 and n 4, 604, 607 and n 5, 612 n 5, 614 n 8, 623 n 8, 627 n 5, 631 n 7, 633n 6, 655, 689 n, 690 n 8, 692 n 3, 725 and n 5, 790, 795 n, 874 n, 881, 901 n, 904 n, 905 n, 926 n, 936 n 6, 964 n 2, 989 n, 1002 and n 6, 1005, 1006, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1012, 1013,1014 n 2, 1015 n, 1016 and n 3, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021and n 8, 1022 n, 1023, 1042 and n 5, 1043, 1047 and n 4, 1050 n, 1057 and n 4, 1060 n 5, 1062 n 7, 1065, 1071 and n 2, 1072 and nn 5 and 8, 1073 and n 4, 1075 n, 1081 n 1, 1082 n, 1106, 1109, 1119, 1126,

1128, 1129, 1131, 1132 n, 1133, 1145 n, 1152, 1155 n 6, 1198 n 8, 1202 n, 1203 n,—app. iii, vi, xvii, xviii, xxvi.

Gheucserai, 971 n, for Kiwak Sarae, which see.

Ghiyaş-par or Shahr-i-Zaghau, one of the suburbs of Dihlī, 598 n 8.

Ghizni, for Ghaznīn, which see.

Ghizny, for Ghaznīn, which see.

Ghograh, the,—a river of Hindustan, 760 n7.

Ghoor, for Ghur, which see.

Ghor, for Ghur, which sec.

Ghorā-Trap, or the Horse's Leap, a place on the west bank of the Indus, 292 n.

Ghore, for Ghūr, which see.

Ghour, for Ghur.

Ghū-Bālīgh, the name given to the city of Bilāsā-ghūn by the Mu-ghals, lxiii, 264 n, 917 n, 918 n, 980 n 7.

<u>Gh</u>ū-Bāliķ, same as <u>Gh</u>ū-Bāligh, which see.

Ghulghuleh, 1058 n 6. Same as Gúlgúlih, which see.

Ghūr, xii, xiii, xx, xxi, xxii, xxiii n2, xxiv, xxv, xxxiii, li, 74, 84 and n7, 106 n3, 110 and n5, 111, 112 and n5, 114 n, 115, 149 and n8, 150, 155 n3, 181 and n9, 182, 192 and n1, 197, 199, 201, 231, 237 n8, 238, 240 n, 243 and n9, 244 n, 245 and nn6 and 7, 246 and n8, 218, 252, 253, 255 and n7, 256 and n, 257 and n 2, 258 n, 265 n4, 266, 267, 274 and n9, 276, 282, 285, 287 n9, 300, 302, 305 n7, 306 and nn 9 and 5, 307 n, 308 and n 2, 309, 311 and n 2, 312,

313 and n 4. 314, 315, 316 and n and n 2, 317, 318 and nn 9 and 1, 319 and n 5, 320 and nn 3 and 5, 321 and nn 6 and 7, 322 n, 323 and n, 324 and n, 325 n, 326 n, 327 n, 328 and n 8 and n, 329 and n, 330, 331 and n 1, 332 and n 5, 383 and n 6, 334, 335 and n 3, 336 nn 4 and 5, 337 and nn 6 and 7, 338 and nu 1 and 2, 339 n 7, 341 and n 7, 342, 343 and n 3, 344, 345, 346, 347 and n 2, 348 n, 349 and n, 350 and n, 351, 352, 355 and n7, 358 and nn 2 and 3, 359 and n, 361 and nn 6 and 7, 362, 363 and n 6, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369 and n 5, 370, 371 and n 3, 372, 374, 375 n, 376, 377 and n5, 378, 379 and n6, 380, 382, 385, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391 n 2, 392, 393 and n 8, 394 and n, 395, 396, 397 and n 3, 398, 399 and n 3, 400 nn 1 and 3, 402 n, 403 n, 407 n 6, 408, 409, 410 n 5, 411 n, 413, 414, 415, 416 and nn 9 and 2, 417 and n 9, 418, 419 n 5, 420, 421, 422, 424 and n 3, 425, 427, 431 and n 7, 432, 439 and n:4, 440 and n 6, 441 n 7, 442 n, 443 n, 446 and n 5, 447, 448 and n 3, 449. 456, 465 n, 471 n 5, 472 and n 7, 473 and n 2, 474, 480 n, 489, 401 and n 8, 492 and n 7, 493 n, 494 n 1, 496, 498 n, 502, 503, 504 n 2, 509 n, 510 n, 514, 515, 519 n, 522 n, 525 n, 534, 539 and n 2, 548, 550 n 6, 578, 580, 581, 582 n, 612, 626 and n 7, 612 n 9, 725, 750 n 7. 873 n, 877 n, 878 n, 881, 894 n, 918. 922, 925, 926 n, 928 n, 929 n, 936 n 6, 980, 1002 and n 9, 1003 and n, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1010 n, 1013 and n6, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1029 n, 1036 n, 1039, 1045 n, 1047, 1048, 1051 n4, 1055, 1056 and n and n1, 1058 and n6, 1059 n9, 1061 n9, 1062, 1063 and n8, 1065, 1070 and n7, 1071, 1072 n 5, 1073, 1075 n, 1076 n, 1079, 1106, 1108 n1, 1109, 1119, 1126, 1128, 1132 and n, 1133, 1188 n, 1198 n8, 1199 and n, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1203 and n, 1205, 1226,—app. xvi, xix.

Ghūzak, 'Ukbah of,—a pass in a lofty mountain of Northern India, 87 n. Ghuzz,—a country bordering on

Turkistān, 962 n.

Ghuzzistān, country of the Ghuzz tribes, 980, 1071 n 2.

Gibari, - a tract of country north of the Käbul river, between the Kamān and the Landey Sind, lv, 1043 and n, 1044, and n, 1045, 1047, 1073, 1081

Gibar-kot or Gabar kot,—a fortress in Bājawr, xlvi, 1044 n.

Gilan,—a province south of the Caspian, 15, 278 n, 991 n, 1029 n, 1117, 1188 n, 1192 n, 1208 n, 1234 n4, 1286 n9.

Gilgit,—a city or country in the Koh-i-Karā-chal, in the Himā-layah, 1046 n 3.

Gilū-Khari,—one of the suburbs of Dihlī, 598 n 8, 622 n, 634 and n 2, 636 and n 2, 709, 856, 857.

Gīrā, 95 n 5, for Girī or Gīrī, which see.

Gird-gan or Kodakan,—kaşbalı or town of, 1013 and n 1.

Girdkjuk, 1193 n, for Gird-Koh, which see.

Gird-Koh,—a strong fortress in the

Kuhistān of the Mulāḥidah, 991 n, 1188 n 8, 1192 n, 1193 n, 1206 and n 3, 1207 n, 1209 n, 1210 n, 1211 n, Girefte, 1119 n, for Jīraft, which see.

Giri or Giri,—a fortress near the Sind or Indus, xlvi, 78 n, 95 and n 5, 1043 n 1.

Go-balig, for Ghū-Bālīgh, which see, 918 n.

Gobī or <u>Sh</u>āmo desert, of Turkistāu, 951 n, 981 n. See also under the Kobī Desert.

Godagan or Kodakan,—kasbah or town of, 1013 n 1.

Golden River—Altan Kol, ← the, 981 n.

Golinth's Spring-'Ayn-i-Jālūt, in Syria, 1277 n.

Gondwanah, another name of Kadhah or Gadhah-Katankah, 587 n 4, 588 n.

Goolgooleh, 1025 n. Same as Gúlgúlih, which see.

Goonabad,—Junabad or Gunabad, as written in the maps, 394 n 2.

Goshah-i-nāb,—a place between Fīrūz-koh and Hirāt, 351 n 8.

· Gour, for Gaur, which see.

Gour, for Ghur, which see.

Gouro, 582 n 6, for Ganr, which see. Govindganj, a town on the Karataya river,—app. xxi.

Gowähati or Gowahātty,—a town in Western Kāmrūd, 563 n, 564 n, 565 n.

Gowk,—a district in the territory of Kirmün, 200 n 6.

Gran,—a town of Hungary, 1168 n. Grandhak, the,—a name of the river Bag-mati, which see, 561 n 1.

Great Armenia, 1001 n.

- Great Borki,—Borki-i-Busurg,—
  1170 n.
- Great Bukharis, 920 n, 921 n. The Māwarā-un-Nahr of Oriental Geographers.
- Great Russia, 1167 n.
- Gubalik, for Ghū-Bāligh, which see, 917 n. 918 n.
- Güdawüri, the,—the southern boundary of Jāj-nagar, 588 n.
- Güjäh or Küjäh,—a district of Hindüstän, lxii, 627, 750 n 6.
- Gujarāt, 82, 88 n 2, 517 n, 519 n, 521 n, 522 n, 592 n, 602, 646, 723 n 1, 800 n 8. See also under Guzerāt.
- Gujzarwān, the correct name of Guzarwān, which see, xlvii, 285 n 2.
- Gülgülih,—a town of Bāmiān, 427 n, 1025 n, 1058 n 6.
- Gumal, the,—a river south of Karmun, 1, 498 n 7.
- Gumbaz-i-Balüch, Balüj or Balüt,—
  a place on the east side of Sīstān,
  194 and n 8.
- Gum-rahān,—a place between Dam-yak and Bāmīān, 492 n 7.
- Gumul, the, I. See under the Gumal.
- Gūnābād or Junābād,—a town in the Ķuhistāu between Tabas and Hirāt, 394 n 2. See also uuder Junābād.
- Günah or Künah-waz,—a place in the country of Ghür, 351 n 8.
- Gungārām-pūr,—a town between
  Lakhaṇawaṭī and Dīnāj-pūr,
  591 n.
- Gūr-i-Wālisht,—a stronghold on the route between Ghaznīn and Mandesh, 319 n 5.

- Gurbat or Kurbat,—a fortress in the district of Hamadan, in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 997 s.
- Gurdwan, 235 n 2, for Gusarwan, which see.
- Gurgān or Gūrgān,—a district and town east of the Caspian, 22, 23, 32, 33, 43, 44, 45, 48, 51, 56, 104, 122 and n 3, 278 n, 296 n, 962 n. Called Jurjān or Jūrjān by the Arabs, which see.
- Gurganiah or Jurjaniah, chief city of Khwarazm, 84 n 8. See also under Jurjaniah.
- Gur-gānj, the capital city of <a href="Khwārazmas called by the 'Ajamīs, xlviii">Khwārazmas called by the 'Ajamīs, xlviii</a>, 903 n, 1097 n 7. It is the Urganj of the Turks and the Jurjānīah of the Arabs.
- Gurjistān [Georgia],—a district or province of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 144 n, 296, 297 n, 996 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1195 n. See also under <u>Gh</u>arjistān.
- Gurmseer, 16 n 3, wrong spelling of Garmsīr, which see.
- Gurmsehl, 16 n 3, wrong spelling of Garmsīr, which see.
- Gürwän, 235 n 2, for Gusarwän, which see.
- Guzar, the chief town of the territory of Fārāb in Turkistān, 921 s.
- Guzarwān, up the valley of the Murgh-āb river, xlvii, 235 and n2, 398, 402 n, 433 n7, 475 n8, 917, 1003. The Jusarwān of the Arabs, which see.
- Guzerāt, 511 n, 522 n, 1074 n. See also under Gujarāt.
- Guz-gan or Juzjan,—a district on the north-west frontier of Ghar,

xx, xxi, xlviii, 1008 n 2. See also under Jüzjān.

Güz-gänān or Jüzjānān,—a tract of country to the north of Ghür, xx, xxi, xlviii, 325 n. See also under Jüzjānān.

Güzrüd,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 995 n.

Gwalior, for Gwaliyur, which see. Gwaliyur, xxvi, xxvii, liii, 470, 471 n, 491, 521 n, 545 and n5, 546 and n7, 547 n, 604 and n8, 619 and n7, 620 and n1, 623 n9, 627, 631, 638, 639 n8, 643 and n4, 644 and n, 667, 690, 712, 713 n 2, 714 n9, 732, 733 and n7, 734, 735 n9, 743, 745, 752, 754, 755, 761, 779, 788 n9, 794, 824 and nn7 and 1, 849, 884 n, 1284, 1285.

## H.

Haidar-ābād, the city in the Dakhan, vii. [Sind, 540 n. Haidar-ābād, in the province of Hajar,—a district of Arabia, 179 n 3. Now called the Bahrain.

Hājī-nagar or Jājī-nagar,—a town of Hindūstān, 590 n.

Hājī-Tarkhān, afterwards called Hashtar Khān,—a city on the Atil [the Volga] river, 943n, 1000n, 1290n9. The Astrakhan of Europeans.

Hakat or Jakut,—a town of Turkistān, 961 n.

Hakrā, the,—a river separating between Wanj-rūt and Bikānīr, now the Sutlaj or Ghārā, 723 n 1, 881 n 8.

Halab [Aleppo],—a city in the Diyār-i-Shāmīah, 169 n 7, 173 n 4, 204 n, 205 n 6, 206 and n 7, 207 and n 8, 222 n 8, 225 n 4, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1186 n, 1239 n, 1256 n 6, 1261 n 7, 1262, 1263 n 8, 1264 n, 1267 n 6, 1274 n, 1275, 1276 n, 1278 n, 1286 n 9.

Halmand, the,—the Helmand of the maps, 441 n 7.

Hamadān,—a district and town in the 'Irāk-i-'Ajam, 10, 59, 61 n 4, 88 n 7, 93, 143 n 2, 151 n 6, 166, 170 n 8, 174 n, 242 n 8, 250 n 4, 251 n 9, 266 n, 994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 997 n, 1227 n 5, 1228 n, 1238 n 8, 1239 n, 1240 n, 1244 n, 1260 n 6, 1263 n.

Ḥamāh,— a town in ash-Shām [Syria], 204 n, 206 n 7.

Hāmil or Ķarā-Jāng,—a territory between Tibbat, <u>Khirā</u> and Hind, 1216 n.

Han, the,—a mountain west of Pekin, 1090 n.

Han-chong-Fû,—a town in the Chinese province of Shen-si, 1222 n.

Hang-chew,—the metropolis of Che-kyang and the empire of the Song, 1219 n.

Hānlī,—a ferry over the river Jhilam, 485 n 3.

Hānaī,—a province of Hindūstān xxvii, 93 n 9, 124 n 4, 468 and n 4, 469 n 9, 491, 517 n, 520 n, 611 n 3, 628, 634, 664, 674, 681, 687, 688, 693 and n 8, 694, 695, 699,

- 703, 726, 732, 767 n 8, 798 n 8, 807, 826 and n 3, 827 and nn 5 and 8, 831, 832, 837, 850,—app. ii, v.
- Harāwat,—a ķaşbah or town in Kindūstān, 612 n7.
- Hardwär [Hrad-war],—a town of Hindustan, 799 n 2.
- Hari, another name of the district and city of Hirut, which see.
- Harî, the, 372, 441 n7. See under the Harî-rûd.
- Harianah,—a district of Hindustan, west of Dihli, 791 n 1, 850.
- Harī-rūd or Harīw, the,—the river of Hirāt, 258 n, 259 n 8, 358 n 3, 407 n 6. See also under the river Harī.
- Hariw, the,—the river of Hirat, 358 n 3. See also under the Harirdd.
- Hariw-ar-Rūd, valley of the Hari river, 358 and n 3, 372, 417.
- Harmas or Jarmas,—a district of Ghur, 338 n 9.
- Harran,—a town in ash-Sham [Syria], 225 n4, 1152 n, 1264 n.
- Harriab,—the vulg. name of the darah of Irī-āb in the province of Karmān, 499 n.
- Harūs,—a district in the territory of Ghūr, 326 n. Also called Kharūs and Jarūs. [Arabia, 179 n 3.
- Hasā, Al-,—a district and town of Hasan Abdāl,—a town of Hindūstān between Rāwal Pindī and Attak, 95 n 4.
- Hashnüe,—á place outside the city of Sīstān, 192 and n 8.
- Hashtar Khan, the Astrakhan of Europeans, 943 n. See Haji Tarkhan.

- Hasht-nagar Do-ābah, near the Kābul river and the Sind, 535 n. Hashūe, same as Ḥashuūe, which see.
- Hasirah,—a halting-place in Hindustān, 693 and n7, 826 and n1. Hasūe, same as Ḥashuūe, which see. Ḥauz-rānī,—name of a plain in Hindustān, 641 n6.
- Haytal,—the territory of Khutlan, a dependency of Badakhshan, 423 n8, 1144 n6.
- Hāzam,—a strong fortress west of Halab, in the Diyār-i-Shāmīah, 264 n.
- Hazīrah-i-Ķārlūķ or Ķārlūgh, the, —a territory in Northern India, 1132 n.
- Hazār-asp,—a district and town of <u>Kh</u>wārazm, on the <u>Kh</u>urāsān side, 155 n, 236 n 6, 237 n, 473 n 2, 978 n, 1101 n 1.
- Hazār-<u>Ohash</u>mah [the thousand springs],—a district in the mountains of <u>Ch</u>ūr, 308 and n 5.
- Hazar-Darakht,- or
- Hazār-Darakhtān,—a place northeast of Ghaznīn, l, 435 and n 2.
- Hazār-Darakhtān,—a place between Ghaznīn and Gardaiz, 1, 435 and n 2.
- Hazār-Şaf,—another name of Hazār-asp, which see, 473 n 2.
- Hazrat-i-Turkistān, the Turkistān [Hazret] of the maps, 932 n.
- Hejakān or Jejakān,—a place in the country of Marīm, in the country of the Urūs, 1170 n.
- Herat, for Hirat, which see.
- Hin,—the name given by the Chinese to the country of Tingkût or Kāshīn, 947 n.

Hi-fong-kew,—a town in the Chinese province of Pe-che-li, 1219 n.

High Tartary, 950 n.

Hijāz,—a district of Arabia, 24, 133, 138, 190, 203, 214, 226, 228 and n4.

Hillah,—a town of al-'Irāķ, on the bank of the Euphrates, 1237 n 6, 1238, 1242 n 2, 1243 n, 1259 n 1, 1261 n 7.

Himilayah mountains, the, 468 n 4, 522 n, 611 n 3, 705 n 7, 706 n 9, 707 n 6, 737 n 9, 838 n 9, 1046 n 8.

Himār,—a fortress of Kurdistān, 204 n.

Hims,—a town in ash-Shām [Syria], 162 n 3, 204 n, 208 n, 214 n, 229 n 5.

Himyar, dominions of, 7.

Hind, xxi, xxiii, xxv, xxx, xxxiii, I, lii, lxii, 31 n 3, 78 n 7, 74 and nn 2 and 3, 81 n, 82, 85 n, 86 n, 103 n, 113 n, 114 n, 115, 117 n, 131 n 7, 132 n 9, 178 n 7, 201, 305 and n7, 308 n2, 309 n, 322 n, 347 n 2, 348 n, 377 n 5, 383, 419 n 5, 421, 438, 440, 445 n, 446 n 5, 457 n, 459, 490 n 8, 463 n, 465 n, 466 n 1, 476 n, 482 n, 483 n, 485 n 3, 488 n 1, 489, 492, 493 n, 500, 501 n 5.502 n, 503 n 8, 505 n, 513 n, 519 n, 522 n 5, 524 n, 525 n, 527 n, 537 n, 538 n, 545 n 5, 550 n 6, 553 n 5, 555, 560 and n 7, 561 n9,581n3,595n2,596,601n8,610 n, 611, 614 n 8, 622 n, 627 n 5, 630, 646, 649, 673, 674 and n 3, 696 n, 718 n, 754 n 5, 792 n 1, 829, 853, 862, 879 n, 882 n, 904 n, 905 n, 963 n 1, 992 n 8, 1047, 1061 n 9, 1062 nn 4 and 5, 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1126 n 6, 1144 n 6, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1224 n, 1225 n, 1246 n 5,—app. vi. See also under Hindüstän and India.

Hindoo Koosh, the. See the HinduKush.

Hindostan, for Hindustan.

Hindū-koh, the, 1044 n, 1075 n. See also under the Hindū-Kush.

Hindū-Ku<u>sh</u>, the, 74 n 2, 288 n 3, 318 n 7, 436 n 6, 1045 n, 1153 n. See also under the Hindū-Koh.

Hindun, 779 n 2, for Barhamun, which see.

Hindustan, xxi, xxiv, xxv, xxx, xxxii, li, 31 n 3, 69 n, 79 n, 82, 84 n 9, 85 n, 86 n, 93 and n 9, 95, 96 and n 1, 102 n 4, 106, 107, 109, 110, 111, 112 and a 3, 115, 125, 145 n 4, 148, 170 n, 189 n 1, 199, 204, 214, 219 , 9, 235, 248, 267, 275 n, 283 n 9, 287 and n 1, 290 n4, 293, 305, 306 and n5, 310 and n 5, 316 n 2, 322 n, 324 n. 348 n, 350, 383, 398 and n 7, 416 n 9, 432, 440, 445 n, 452 n 2, 455, 456, 457 n, 458, 460 n 3, 462 n, 464, 470, 481 n 8, 482 and n, 483 n. 484 n, 485 n 3, 488 n, 489 and n and n 4, 499, 503, 504, 505, 506 and n 9, 507, 509, 512 and nn 5 and 6, 516, 518 n, 522 n 5, 524 n, 525 n, 526 n 8, 527 n, 528, 530, 532 n 3, 535 n, 536 n, 637 n, 545, 547, 548 n 3, 549, 551 and n 7, 558 n5. 560 n4, 561 and n2, 562 n, 577 n, 578 and n 9, 581, 582 n 6, 583, 584 n 2, 594 and n 1, 597, 599, 602, 604, 607 and n6, 609 and n5,610,611,614n8,616n,617n618 and n, 623 and n 9, 626 n, 628, 629 and n6, 633, 646, 657, 676, 679, 687 n9, 703, 704, 703 n7, 725, 781, 734, 789, 759, 760, 764, 795 n, 797 n, 800, 802, 816, 818, 823, 825, 830 and n3, 886, 837, 838, 889, 847, 863, 869 n1, 873 n, 874, 934 n, 1015 n, 1046, 1061, 1070, 1081, 1129, 1135 n5, 1151, 1152 n, 1153, 1156, 1174 and n5, 1191 n, 1198 n8, 1203, 1204, 1205 n3, 1211 n,—app. i, xxii. See also under Hind and India.

Hirā,—a mountain of Makkah in Arabia, 670.

Hirat,-a district and city in the territory of Khurāsān, xiii, xx, xxi, xxv, xlvii lxiv, 11 n 4, 12 n 6, 22, 23 and n 1, 27 and n 6, 28, 36 and n 9, 37 n, 38 n 6, 40 n 4, 41 n, 42 n 3, 44, 45 n 8, 46 and n 4, 47, 48, 50, 51 n 6, 71 n 5, 74 n 3, 81 n, 85 n, 89 n 8, 90, 91 nn 8 and 2, 93, 102 n 2, 104, 122 n 8, 129 n and n 3, 130 and n, 132 n 9, 138 n 8, 149, 153 n 7, 180 n 7, 185 n, 198, 237 and n 8, 246 n 8, 247, 248, 249, 255 n7, 256 n, 257 and n2, 258 and n, 259 and nn 3 and 5, 260 n6, 264n, 287 and n and n 1, 324 n, 325 n, 326 n, 328 n, 339 n 8, 341 and n 7, 342 and n 1, 346, 349 n, 358 and n 3, 362, 363 n 8, 364, 370 n 2, 371, 372, 373 and n9, 374 and n5, 375 n, 877 and n6. 378. 379 n5. 381 n5. 383, 884 n 5, 390, 391, 393 n 8, 394 n 2, 397 n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 404 and n 1, 407 and n 8, 409 and n 6, 410 n 6, 411 n, 412, 415, 417, 419 n 5, 424, 427, 447 n 6, 455 n, 471 and n 5, 472 and n, 475 n 1, 480 n, 493 n, 502 n 6, 503, 504 n 2, 540 n, 873 n, 874 n, 903 n, 989 n, 990 n, 991 and n, 1003, 1004 n 5, 1006 n 1, 1011 n, 1012 n 9, 1018, 1014 n 2, 1015 and n, 1016, 1017 n, 1018 and n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021, 1022 n, 1023, 1027 n 8, 1036 and n 1, 1037 n and n 2, 1038 and n 6, 1039 and nn 8 and 9, 1042 n 6, 1046 n, 1048, 1049 and n 2. 1050 n, 1051 n, 1052 and n 5. 1053 n 9, 1054 n, 1056 n, 1057 and n 4, 1059 n 9, 1070 and n 8, 1075 n, 1119, 1120 n 2, 1126 n 6, 1127 n, 1128 n, 1132, 1137 n. 1143 n, 1154 n 2, 1177, 1185 n, 1194 n, 1198 n 8, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1203 n, 1205 n 8, 1206 n 3, 1226 and n 1, 1292 n 1.

Hirāt, river of. See the Harī and the Harī-rūd.

Hīrmand, the,—a river of Central Asia, 194, 309 n, 355 n 6, 1020 n. Hiṣār of Andkhūd, 478 n 6.

Hişār of Karah, in the territory of Khurāsān, 1203.

Hişâr of Lohor, 1133 and n7.

Hişār of Sīāl-kot, 453.

Hişār of Tāķ, 1029 n. [Dihlī, 646. Hişār-i-Nau [the New Citadel], of Hiṣārī,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 233 n 5.

Ho-chew,—the name given by the Chinese to the capital of the I-ghūrs, 920 n, 985 n, 1220 n, 1222 n.

The Ho-chew or Ko-chew of the Jesuits' map.

Hohang-Ho, the,—a river of China, 921 n, 951 n, 981 n, 1138 n. Also called the Karā-Murān, which also see.

Hok, wrong name of the fortress of Uk, 1122 n.

Holānī Nūķāt,—a place in the mountain range of Mū-āwand or Mū-āwandur, in Turkistān, 942 n.

Ho lin,—the name given by the Chinese to the Ulugh Yūrat, 1105 n.

Ho-nau, the Chinese province of, 1218 n.

Ho Si, the ancient name of the country of Tingkūt or Ķāshīn, 947 n.

Hrad-war [Hardwar], a town of Hindustan, 799 n 2.

Hujrah-i-Shāh Muķīm,—a town on the bank of the Biāh, 533 n.

Buk and Kurk,—a district in the territory of Kirman, 200 n 6.

Hulwan,-a district and town in

the territory of 'Irāķ, 10 n 3, 22 n 7, 55 n 1.

Hulwan Pass, the "gate" of 'Itak, 251 and n 8, 266 n, 1117.

Humāyūn-dujz,—a fortress in the Kuhistān of the Mulāhidah, 1207 n.

Hungary, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1168 n.

Hû-quang, the Chinese province of, 1219 n, 1221 n, 1222 n.

Hurmuz,—an island in the sea of Fars [the Persian Gulf], 179 n 3, 180 and n, 383.

Hushnūdī, same as Hashnūe, which see.

Hūtalī or Dhūtalī,—a place in Gujarāt, 521 n. [see. Hyarcan, 984 n, for Yārķand, which

## I.

'Id gall,—name of a gate of Samarkand, 979 n.

Idur,—a town of Hindustan, on the road from Ajmir to Nahrwalah, 521 n. [of, 263 n.

Ighňāk, I'nāk or A'nak,—fortress Ighřāk,—name of the territory held by the Ighrāk tribe of Turkmāns, called after them, xlix, 391 and n8.

I-ghūr country, the, 876 n, 889 n, 920 n, 933 n, 952 n, 960 n 6, 984 n, 1140 n, 1145 n. See also under I-ghūriā and I-ghūristān.

I-ghūriā, or country of the I-ghūrs, 984 n. See also under the I-ghūr ceuntry and I-ghūristān.

I-ghūristān, 952n, 114l n. See also under the I-ghūr country and I-ghūrīā.

Ihrāwat,—a ķaşbah or town in Hindūstān, 612 n 7.

1-kah,—a city in the country of the Urūs [Russians], 1170 n.

I-krā Murān, the,—another name of the river <u>Oh</u>ang or <u>Oh</u>ing-Khū, which see, 958 n.

I-lah, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 890 n. See also under the river Ilīh.

I-lak,—a fortress in Māzandarān, 993 n, 996 n.

 I-lāl or I-lal,—a fortress of Mūzandarān, 277 n 5, 278 n, 993 n, 994 n,—also called Lāl.

I-lāmi<u>sh,</u> plain of. See under I-lā<u>sh</u>. [993 n.

I-lān,—a fortress in Tabaristān,
 I-lāsh or I-lāmish,—a plain of Turkistān, lv, 932 n.

1-lîh,—a town in the territory of Kāshghar [Little Bukharia], 922 n.

I-lih or I-lih, the,—a river of Mughulistan, 919 n, 920 n, 969 n 1. See also under the I-lah.

'Imādīah,—a fortress of Kurdistān, founded by 'Imād-ud-Dīn Zangī, 204 n.

I-mīl or I-mil,—the territory on the river I-mīl of Mughūlistāu, 890 n, 913 n, 930 n, 931 n, 982 n, 1105 n.

I-mīl, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 890 n, 913 n, 916 n, 1083 n.

I'nāķ or Ighnāķ or A'naķ,—fortress of, 263 n.

In Chan mountains, the,—in Mughūlistān, 885 n.

Inda-khūd, an error for Inddakhūd. See page lxi.

Inddakhūd or Andkhūd,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 532 n9, 604 n1, 929 n. See also under Andkhūd.

India, v. vii, viii, x and n 2, xi, 41 n, 69 n, 72 n 6, 76 n 2, 78 n, 79 n, 80 n, 84 n 8, 87 n, 90 n 1, 93 n 9, 94 n 3, 96 n 1, 104 n 2, 107 nn 6 and 9, 110 n 1, 128 n, 131 n 7, 309 n, 312 n 6, 318 n 9, 323 n, 327 n, 351 n 9, 852 n 3, 355 n 4, 363 n 8, 380 n 9, 431 n 7, 441 n 9, 445 n, 446 n 5, 451 n, 456 n 1, 458 n5, 459 n7, 463 n, 469 n, 470 nand n 2, 471 n 5, 485 n 3, 501 n, 505 n 8, 508 n, 511 n, 516 n 2, 517 n3, 519 n, 523 n, 524 n, 525 n,526 n, 527 n, 530 n 7, 531 n 8, 532 n 3, 534 n 1, 535 n, 536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 539 n, 541 nn 7 and 8, 542 n 9, 545 n 5, 546 n 7, 550 nn 5 and 6, 553 n 5, 554 n 6, 564 n, 584 n 2, 589 n, 677 n 6, 723 n 1, 800 n 8, 801 n, 878 n, 912 n, 964 n 2, 991 n, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1019 n, 1028 n, 1043 n 1, 1045 n, 1046 n 2, 1056 n 1, 1064 n 2, 1071 n 1, 1081 n 1, 1094 n, 1129 n 1, 1131 n, 1143 n, 1154 n 2, 1155 n 6, 1174 n 5, 1192 n, 1202 n, 1225 n, 1288 n 3,—app. ii, iii, iv, viii, xviii. See also under Hind and Hindūstīn.

Indian Desert, the, 812 n 3, 823 n 8. Indian Ocean, the, 1075 n.

Ind-khū, for Indda-khūd.

Ind-khūd, for Indda-khūd.

Indra-prastha,—an ancient Hindū town near Dihlī, 598 n 8.

Indus, the, lv, 74 n 2, 76 n 2, 78 n, 79 n, 80 n, 95 n 7, 96 nn 1 and 2, 285 n 5, 288 n 3, 290 n 4, 291 n, 292 n, 293 n 5, 294 n, 295 n, 297 n, 391 n 9. 403n, 416n9, 455n, 457 n, 462 n, 471 n 5, 485 n 8, 486 n 5, 498 n 7, 499 n, 533 n, 534 n 1, 535 n, 540 n, 541 n 7, 543 n, 615 n9, 644n7, 668n, 674n3, 678n7, 687 n1, 809 n1, 816 nn 2 and 3, 1015 n, 1017 n, 1021 n 8, 1043 n 1, 1044 n, 1045 n, 1046 n and n3, 1047 n4, 1049 n2, 1050 n,  $1057 \, n \, 3$ ,  $1074 \, n$ ,  $1075 \, n$ ,  $1129 \, n \, 1$ , 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n, 1144 n 6, 1145 n, 1154 n 1, 1155 n 6, 1181 n 2, 1201 n, 1225 n. See also under the Sind.

Infidel's Mount, the, [Kāpir di Giri], 78 n.

Intā-ī or Antā-e,—another name of the city of Taiming, the Pyenlyang of the Chinese, which is also called Nanking, 958 n.

Ions, the name given by Hayton to

the capital city Kay-ping-Fu, afterwards Shang-tû, 1219 n.

"Irak, xlvi, lvii, 10, 22, 23, 31 n 3, 83, 89 n 9, 48, 45, 55 and n 1, 56 n, 59, 61 n 4, 63, 66 n 7, 84 and n 6, 87 and n, 88 and n 7, 89, 93, 107 n 6, 108 n 5, 121, 130 and n 6, 132 n 2, 133, 137, 144 n, 146 n 6, 147, 149 n 8, 150, 151 and n 6, 152, 157 n 4, 158 n, 159, 165, 166 and mand n 7, 167 and n 8, 168, 169, 171 and n 9, 172 n 3, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177 n 6, 181, 204 n, 235, 239 n9, 242 and nn 5 and 6, 243 and n and n 7, 244 n 4, 247 n, 249 and n 3, 250 and nn 4 and 6, 251 and nn 8 and 9, 252 n 3, 253, 265 and n 4, 266 and n, 268 n 4, 273 n, 275 n and n 2, 276, 277 n 5, 279, 281 and n 5, 282 and n, 283 n 9, 284, 285, 295 n, 296 n, 297 n, 305, 377 n 5, 381 n 2, 382 and n 8, 383, 392, 439 n 4, 457 n, 578, 610 n 6, 711, 786 and n 5, 790, 801 n, 851 and n7, 861, 878 n, 881, 927 n, 963 n 3, 990 n, 992, 994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 997 n, 1001, 1014 n 2, 1016 n 3, 1074 n, 1105, 1109, 1115, 1117, 1119, 1151 and n, 1152 n, 1158, 1189, 1193 n, 1195 n, 1196, 1206 and n 3, 1207 and n, 1215 and n 1, 1221 n, 1226, 1227, 1228, 1229, 1232 and n 1, 1234, 1259, 1264 n, 1265, 1266.

'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 132 n 9, 151 n 6, 308 n 2, 974 n, 996 n, 1009 n, 1117, 1188 n, 1209 n, 1210 n, 1256 n 6.

'Irāķ-i-'Arab, 204 n. 308 n 2, 1228 n, 1230 n, 1233 n 3, 1243 n, 1256 n 6.

Irākanah-Ķūn. See under Irgānah Ķūn. Iram,—the famous garden of Shaddad, son of 'Ad, 386.

I-rān, ix, xiv, lvii, lx, 3, 4, 5, 19
n1, 29, 115, 116, 118, 184 n9,
137, 183, 187 n, 280, 231, 271 n,
280, 284, 302, 808, 309 n, 882,
423 n 8, 454 n, 561 n 9, 579 n 4,
696 n, 717 n, 720 n 1, 784 n 5,
797, 870, 882 n, 888 n, 917 n 1,
938 n, 961 n, 964 n 2, 990 n,
1011 n, 1087 n, 1107, 1111, 1112,
1138, 1151, 1153, 1172, 1190 n 1,
1196, 1215, 1226, 1259, 1266,
1287 n.

I-rān Zamīn, 877 n 1082 n, 1094 n, 1116 n, 1121 n, 1152 n, 1164 n, 1181 n 2, 1186 n, 1190 n 1, 1191 n, 1192 n, 1193 n, 1194 n, 1195 n, 1200 n, 1211 n, 1215 n 4, 1254 n, 1257 n, 1263 n 8, 1286 n 9, 1293 n.

Irdīsh or Irtīsh,—a country to the S.-W. of Tibbat, lxiii, 890 n. See also under Ardīsh or Artīsh.

Irgānah Ķū or Ķūn,—a range of mountains in Mughūlistān, 882 n, 888 n, 890 n, 891 n, 893 n, 894 n, 895 n, 937 n 9, 938 n, 1091 n.

Irī-āb [vulg Harriab],—a darah in the province of Karmān, 499 n.
Irīķī or Irķī, the capital of Ķāshīn in the Tingķūt language, 952 n, 1085 n, 1086 n.

Iriķīā or Irķīah, the capital of Ķā-<u>sh</u>īn or Tingķūt, as called by the Mughals, 1085 n, 1086 n, 1088 n.

Irjatū or Arjatū, the 'Ukbah or Pass of, 919 n. [Ķūn.

Irkanah-Kün. See under Irgānah-Irkī. See under Irīķī.

Irkīā. See under Iriķīā.

Irmānīah,—a town of Armenia, 298 n 1.

Iron Gate Pass, the,—a place between two mountains, through which lay the route between Turkistan and Ohin, 1147 n 1.

Īrtākīā or Irtāķīā, the capital of Tingķūt or Ķā<u>sh</u>īn, 1088 n.

Irtīsh or Irdīsh, 890 n. See under Ardīsh or Artīsh.

Irtīsh, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 920 n, 950 n, 970 n 2.

Irtish-Jüllik, the,—a river of Mughülistän, 890 n.

Isfahāu,—a district and town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 28 n9, 33 n8, 55 n1, 88 nn 2 and 7, 89 and n8, 93 n6, 137 n8, 138 n8, 143 n2, 144 n, 145 n4, 170 n8, 173, 176, 244 n4, 249 n3, 251 n9, 252 n3, 253, 282 n, 296 n, 297 n9, 298 n, 426 n5, 579 and nn 4 and 6, 906 n, 995 n, 1116 n, 1117, 1226, 1227 and n2, 1233, 1274 n. Also called Şafahān.

Isfand,—a town in the district of Nīshāpūr in Khurāsān, 131 n7.

Isfanjāb,—a town of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 27, 28 and n8, 49 and n6, 903 n, 905 n, 916 n, 962 n. Also called Sfanjāb and Sifanjāb.

Isfarā'īn or Isfarāyīn,—a town in the district of Nīshāpūr in Khurāsān, 276 n, 480 n, 990 n, 991 n, 1121 n.

Isfarāyīn. See under Isfarā'īn.

Isfirār or Isfīrār, fortress of,—in the territory of Khurāsān, lxiv, 201, 897 n 7, 1197, 1198. See also under Isfīzār.

Isfizār or Isfizār,—a district and town in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, the present Sabswār, xxiv, lxiv, 397 and n7, 472, 480 n,

1051 n, 1062 n 4, 1070 and n 8. See also under Isfirār.

Ishrüsnah,—a district and town of Mäwarä-un-Nahr, 962 n. See also under Isrushtah.

Iskandarīah or Sikandarīah,—the Alexandria of the maps, 210 n 224.

Isrushtah or Sīrushtah,—a district and town of Māwarā-un-Nahr 27 n 6,962 n. Also called Ishrüsnah.

Issigh Lake, the,—same as the Issigh-Kol, which see.

Issigh-Kol or Issigh-Kol, the,—a little sea or great lake in Mughūlistān, 870 n, 879 n, 890 n, 919 n, 922 n, 970 n 2.

Issīķ-Kol, the,—same as the Issīgh-Kol, which see.

Issik-kūl, the, for the Issigh-Kol, which see.

Issi-kol, the,—same as the Issigh-Kol, which see.

Istakhur or Istakhur,—a district and town in the territory of Fars, 94 n 3, 174, 176 n 1, 178 n 7, 266 n, 304 n 2.

Istanbul,—another name of Constantinople, 161 n, 168 n 5.

Istawā or Astawā, same as Asdār, Astadār and Astawā.

Istia,—one of the mountains of the range between <u>Ghasnin</u> and Hirat, 889 n 8, 870 n 2.

Istīah,—a district between <u>Ghasnīn</u> and Hirāt, 339 and n 8, 370 and n 2, 448.

Istiya, same as Istia and Istiah, which see.

Ițāwah,—a town of Hindūstān, 518 n, 742 n 9.

- Jab,—a tract of country in Ghuzsistan, 980 and n 9.
- Ja'bar,—a fortress in the territory of Rūm, 204 s.
- Jadar, the,-or
- Jadarah, the,—a river of Mawaraun-Nahr, 434 and n 9. See also under Jazar.
- Jadīdah Masjid,—in Mansūriyah of the Diyār-i-Miṣrīah, 229 n 5.
- Jagdespür,—a town of Hindüstän, 851 n 8.
- Jage-nagur, for Jāj-nagar.
- Jagnath,—a province of eastern Bang, 557 n 8, 558 n 8.
- Jāh-ķūt,—another name of <u>Kh</u>iţā or <u>Ch</u>īn, 912 n 9. See also under Jākūt or Jāūkūt.
- Jāik, the,—a river of Turkistān, 870 n.
- Jailam, the, 815 n 8, for the Jhilam, which see.
- Jaipūr, the Rajpūt State of, 853 n6.
- Jāj,—another name of the district of <u>Shāsh</u> in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 28 n 8, 921 n.
- Jājī-nagar or Ḥājī-nagar,—a town of Hindūstān, 590 %.
- Jāj-nagar, territory and State of, xxvii, liv, 573 and n2, 574 n3, 585 n6, 587 and nn3 and 4, 588 n, 589 n, 590 n, 591 n, 592 n, 628 n2, 663 n9, 664 n6, 665, 666 n, 701 n1, 738, 739 and n6, 740 n8, 762, 763 and nn3 and 4, 779 n.
- Jāj-nagar-Ūdīsah, 592 n. See under Jāj-nagar and Ūdīsah.
- Jájnagur, for Jaj-nagar.
- Jāj-pūr,—a town or city in the Jājnagar State, 587 n 4.

- Jē-jurm—a city and district in the territory of <u>Khurāsān</u>, 181, 1087 n, 1121 n.
- Jāķashmān or Jaķahmān,—a tract of country W. of Kāshghar, 922 w.
- Jāķahmān, same as Jāķashmān, which see.
- Jakut or Ḥakat,—a town of Turkistan, 961 n.
- Jāķūt or Jāūķūt,—the name given by the Mughals to the country of Khitā or Ohīn, 912 n 9, 1216 n, 1220 n. Also called Jāh-ķūt.
- Jalālābād,—a town of Afghānistān, 79 n, 331 n 1, 1012 n 4, 1025 n.
- Jaläläbäd or Donahnk, capital of the country of Nimroz and Sijistän, 188 n 7.
- Jalandar or Jalhandar,—a town in the Panj-āb, xxvii, 679 and n 8, 746.
- Jalandhar. See under Jalandar or Jalandar. (see.
- Jalhandar, same as Jalandar, which Jalisar,—a territory in Hindüstän, 718 n 2, 714 n 9, 794, 849 and n 8.
- Jālish,—a tract of country south of Mughūlistān, 889 n.
- Jālor,—a ķaşbah or town of Hindustān, 607 n, 627.
- Jām,—a city and district in the territory of Khurāsān, 22, 181, 247 n, 990 n, 991 n.
- Jam, the, same as the Jam Muran, which see.
- Jam Murān, the,—a river flowing from the Āltān mountains, 951 n, 981 n, 983 n. See also under the Kham Murān.
- Jaman, the, 634 n 2. See under the Jamas and the Jün,

- Jamua, the,—the Jumna of the maps, 470 n 2, 524 n, 709 n. Also called the Jün or Yamunā, which also see.
- Jamu,—a territory of Hindustan, 824 and n 9, probably an error for Damow or Damu.
- Jamu, the Hindu State of,—in Kashmīr, 79 n, 733 n 5. See also under Jamun.
- Jamün, the Hindü State of,—in Kashmir, 453 n 4, 454 n, 455 n, 460 n 3, 466 n 1, 467 n. See also under Jamü.
- Janābād, an error for Junābād, see page lxi.
- Jānān, the,—a river in the country of Bolo, 1168 n.
- Jand or Jund,—a territory of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 927 n, 971 n, 972 n, 973 n, 982 n, 983 n, 1028 n. See also under Jund.
- Jand-i-Shapūr,—a town of Ahwāz, 22 n 8.
- Jande-Shapur, same as Jaud-i-Shapur.
- Jandwäl,—a place a few miles S.-E. of Iţāwah, 470 n 1. See also under <u>Oh</u>and-wäl.
- Jang, <u>Chaghan</u>. See under <u>Chaghan Jang</u>.
- Jang, Kara. See under Kara-Jang. Jang-i-Sial,—a town in the Panjab, 454 n.
- Janinah mountain of Telkan, the, 1012 and n 2.
- Janiah mountain, for Janinah mountain. [Hindustan, 627.

  Janjer,—a town in the country of Janjhüi or Janjhühi,—another name of the Jüd mountains or the Salt Range, 587 n. 1131 n.

- Jannat-abad,—name of the tamen or district in which Gaur is situated, 582 n 6.
- Januat-ul-Bilad,—Bangalah is sometimes so styled, 559 \* 2.
- Jān-Sindān, See under Khān-Sindān.
- Jār,—a district in the country of Ghür, 1070 and n 7.
- Jarali,—a place in the Do-ab of the Jun and the Gang, 809.
- Jarā-lūm,—a canal in Mughūlistān, out by Ķāidū, the fourth Khān of the Būzanjar dynasty of the Mughal-I-māk, 896 n.
- Jarmas,—a district in the country of Ghur, 338 and #9, 346. Also called Harmas and Barmas.
- Jarmun, mountains of, 1.
- Jarük, the Qhül [uncultivated or desert tract] of, 293 n 5. Afterwords called the Qhūl-i-Jalālī, which see.
- Jarum, the district of Garmsir in the territory of Khurusan, 16 and n3, 267, 343, 362, 376 and n9, 391, 426.
- Jarüs,—a district in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ür, 326 n. Also called Kharüs and Harüs.
- Jasalmīr,—a territory of Hindūstān, 80 n.
- Jasüdah,—a parganah between Udīsah and Bangālah, 593 n. Turned into Jessore by Europeans.
- Jatah,—another name of Mughūlistān, 889 n, 959 n. Called Getes by Europeans.
- Jāt-nagar,—a district of Bangālah, 592 n, 739 n 6.
- Jatr-sbad,-a town of Khurasan

- founded by Sultan Ibrahim Ghasnawi, 104.
- Jatwan,—a district and town of Hindustan, 469 n 9.
- Jāūķūt or Jāķūt, 1220 n. See under Jāķūt and Jāh-ķūt.
- Jawzjān or Juzjān, 1011 n. See under Jusjān or Güzgān.
- Jawzjānān—a tract of country in Khwārazm, 232 n.
- Jaxartes, the, 28 n 8, 76 n 2, 916 n, 970 n 2, 1084 n. See also under the Sībūn.
- Jazī'ir, the, 204 n, probably the Jazīrah, which see.
- Jazar, the,—a river of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 267 and n6, 434 n9. Also called the Jadar and the Jadarah.
- Jazirah, the, [Mesopotamia], 185, 204 n, 228 n 4, 882.
- Jasūrān,—a town of Khurāsān, on the way between Balkh and Hirāt, 258 n.
- Jejakān or Ḥejakān,—a place in the country of Marīm, 1170 n.
- Jem, the, 983 n. Same as the Jam Muran, which see.
- Jend, 978 n. See under Jand and Jund.
- Jennessi, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 983 n.
- Jericho,—the Arīḥā of Arab Geographers, 893 n 8.
- Jerusalem, xlvii, lix, 8, 4, 101 n 8, 105 n 5, 148 n 2, 204 n, 209 n 6, 210 n, 220 n 8, 221 n, 1269 n. Called Bait-ul-Mukaddas by the Arabs.
- Jessore, 598 n. The Jasüdah of the natives, which see.
- Jezdoun,—a dependency of Hirat, 287 s.

- Jhajhar,—a town of Hindüstäu, 714 n 9, 788.
- Jhanjhānah,—a district in the country of Hindustän, 759.
- Jhār-Kundah or Ohhār-Kund,—a tract of country in Hindūstān, lying on the right bank and upper part of the Sop, 587 n 4, 588 n, 591 n.
- Jhilam, the,—the Jhelum of the maps, xxiv, liv, 97 g, 403 n, 454 n, 483 n, 485 n 3, 486 n 5, 535 n, 536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 604 and n 6, 678, 688 n 3, 697 n, 815 and n n 8 and 1,822,823 n,1130 n, 1131 n, 1154 n 1, 1155 n 6. Also called the Bihat. See also under the Jihlam and the Jīlam.
- Jhind. See under Jind.
- Jibāl, the,—or Mountains of Dīlam, the tract of country south of the Caspian, 1188 n. Also called the Kohistān.
- Jibāl, the,—the mountain tract of the north of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 149 and n 8, 343, 358 n 2, 364, 365, 366, 371 n 3, 439 n 4, 1188 n.
- Jibal of Hirat, the, xx, xxi.
- Jibāl, the,—or the Highlands of 'Irāk, called also the 'Irāk-i-'Ajam, lvii, 39 and n 9, 137, 173, 1117, 1189.
- Jibal of Khurasan, the, 282.
- Jibāl-i-Jūdī, the, 482 n. See the Jūd Hills.
- Jihlam, the, same as the Jhilam and Jilam, which see.
- Jīḥūn, the, xxiv, lvii, lxiii, 24, 25, 31, 35 n6, 46 n4, 76 n2, 84, 86, 116 and n3, 117 n, 118, 119, 120 and n1, 121, 123 n, 134 n4, 154 and n9, 155 n6, 156 n8, 261 n,

268 n. 274 n 1. 275 n and n 2. 276 n, 277, 280, 291 n, 292 n, 309 n, 345, 883, 410 n 6, 412, 414 n8, 426 n6, 473 n2, 474, 480 m 485 n 3, 879 n, 882 n, 890 n, 904 n, 909 n, 911 n 7, 914, 915 n, 916, 917 n 1, 921 n, 926 n, 931, 932 n, 963, 973 n, 987, 988 n, 989 and n, 990 n. 1001. 1003 nn 4 and 1, 1005 n. 1008. 1009, 1027 n 8, 1082 n, 1084 n, 1086 n, 1097. 1099 n, 1109 n 3, 1127 n, 1141 n, 1145 n, 1186 n, 1193 n, 1195 n, 1226, 1290 n 9. See also under the "Oxus."

Jilam or Jilam, the,—the Jhelum of the maps, 96 n 1, 97 n, 483 n, 604, 678, 815, 822. See also under the Jhilam.

Jili,—a ferry over the Jhilam river, 485 n 3.

Jind [Jhind],—a district of Hindustan, 782, 832, 837.

Jīraft,—a district and town in the province of Kirmān, 283 n 9, 934 n, 974 n, 1118 n 9, 1119 n.

Jirfat, an error for Jiraft, which see.

Jīrjān,—a tract of country south of Kāshghar, 922 n.

Jirkah or Ohirkah, —a city in the country of the Urus, 1169 n, 1170 n, 1171 n.

Jirkah or <u>Qhirkah</u>, the,—a river in the country of the Urus, 1169 n.

Jît-pûr, 705 n 7. See under Santûr, which is the correct name of this place.

Jitur, 705 n 7. See under Santur, which is the correct name of this place.

Jodpur,—a town of Hindustan, 611 n 3, 705 n 7.

Jodhpur, same as Jodpur, which see. Jogi Bāla-nāth, hill of,—in the district of Naudanah, 537 n.

Josh-i-Āb-i-Garm, [the jet of hot-water],—a place near to Tigīn-ābāb, 353.

Joshbak,—a subur of the city of Mausil, 1281 n.

Jounpoor. See under Jünpür.

Jowar, the, 267 n 6. See the Jazar and the Jadarah.

Jowarsher,—a fortress in the territory of the Kuhistan, 1197.

Jud Bagh, the, 709 n. See under the Bagh-i-Jud.

Jūd Hills, the,—the Salt Range of our maps, 294 n, 481, 482 n, 484 n, 537 n, 538 n, 678, 815, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n. See also under the Koh-i-Jūd.

Jūd,—a plain before the city of Dihlī, 529 n 4, 606 and n 3, 786 n 3. Judea, 101 n 8.

Jue Injil,—name of a canal in Hirat, 1128 n.

Jū-in,—a district of Khurāsān, 1121 n.

Jūjāhū Ķasķīn,—a city of Mughūlistān on the banks of the Ķarā-Mūr-ān, 1187 n.

Juk and Kuk. See the next.

Jūk and Lūk,—a district in the territory of Kirmān, 200 n 6.

Jūlik,—one of the ferries of the river Sīhūn, 970 n 2.

Jumna, the, 709 n. See under the Jamna and the Jun.

Jūn or Yamuuā, the,—the Jumna of the maps, 470 n 2, 518 n, 629 n 6, 684 n 2, 640, 646, 667 n 3.

683 n 5, 686 and n 6, 696 n 3, 703, 709 n, 733 n 7, 742 n 9, 809, 816, 817, 821 and n 6, 850 n 8. See also under the Jamua.

Junābād or Gūnābād,—a town in the Kuhistān, between Tabas and Hirāt, lzi, 381 n 5, 394 and n 2, 491.

Jund, or correctly Jand,—a territory of Māwarā-un-Nahr, xlviii, 120, 121, 233, 237, 240 n, 242 n 6, 254, 263 n, 269 n, 273 n, 908 n, 909 n, 911 n, 921 n, 1099 n, 1292. See also under Jand.

Jünpür [vul. Jounpoor],—a city of Hindüstän, founded by Fīrüs Shäh Tughlak, 93 n 9, 589 n, 591 n.

Jurjan or Jürjan,—a district and town east of the Caspian, 31 n 8, 37 n, 45 n 7, 81 n, 104, 151 n 6, 255 n 7, 278 n. Called also Gurgan, which see.

Jūrjānān, capital of Khwārasm, 232 n. See under Jūrjānīah.

Jürjāniah, the capital of <u>Kh</u>wārasm on the <u>Kh</u>urāsān side, 52 n 9, 84 n 8, 85 n, 282 n, 962 n.

Jurowli,—a town of Hindüstän, 809 n7.

Juwain,—a town in the territory of Khurāsīn, 990 n.

Jusarwan, up the valley of the Murgh-ab river, xlvii, 285 n 2, 376, 890, 402 n, 475 and nn 8 and 2, 917. Called also Gusarwan, which see.

Juzdez,—a fortress in the Kuhistan, 85 n, 186 n.

Jusjān or Güzgān,—a district on the north-west frontier of <u>Gh</u>ūr, xx, xxi, 1011 n. See also under Güzgān.

Jūzjānān or Gūzgānān,—a district and town of Khurāsān, xx, 75 n 6, 81 n, 186 n, 325 n, 1097 n 7. See also under Gūzgānān.

## K.

Kā'ān Ling, the,—a great river of China, lv, 1220 n. Known to the Chinese as the Kyan-lin.

Ka'b, Bayabān of, an uncultivated plain between Ţālķān and Balkh, 1009

Ka'bah, the sacred Temple of Makkah, 8, 178, 190, 192, 243.

Kabal, the,—a river of Turkistan, 970 n 2.

Kabāliķ, 154, 918 n, 920 n, 985 n.

An error for Kaiālīk, see page zlvii.

Kab<u>ch</u>šķ, same as Kib<u>ch</u>šķ, which see.

Kab<u>ch</u>āķ,—a da<u>sh</u>t or plain in Turkistān, 877 n.

Kabūd Jāmah,—a territory of Khurāsān, 1121 n.

Käbul, territory of, xiv, xlix, I, 19 n1, 22 and n5, 31 n3, 73 and n8, 74 n2, 77 n, 78 n, 88 n2, 97 n, 98 n8, 267, 288 n3, 289 n, 306 n5, 308 n2, 309 n, 324 n, 334 n and n8, 376, 391, 434 n, 441 n7, 502 n6, 509 n, 717 n, 873 n, 874 n, 881, 1015 n, 1020 n, 1022 n, 1025 n, 1042 n5, 1044 n, 1047, 1051 n, 1057 n4, 1115 n5, 1119, 1131 n. 1144 n6, 1202 n.

- Kābul, the,—the river passing through Kābul, xvi, 77 n, 78 n, 79 n, 288 n 3, 535 n, 588 n, 584 n, 1022 n, 1048 n 1, 1044 n.
- Kabulah,—a town on the bank of the Biah, 533 n.
- Kabuskan, 1196 n, for Khabushan, which see.
- Kachb, the Rinn or desert of, between Sindh and Gujarët, 82 n2.
- Kāchtī, the name given by Abu-le-<u>Gh</u>āzī Bahādur <u>Kh</u>ān to Īriķī or Īriķīā, the capital of Kāshīn or Tingkūt, 1086 n.
- Ķādas or Fāras,—a district and town of <u>Khurāsān</u>, 375 n, 1026, 1054 and n 2. Also written Ķādus and Ķādush, which also see.
- Kadhah-Katankah or Gadhah-Katankah,—a tract of country in Hindustan, 587 n 4, 588 n, 739 n 6.
- Kādus,—a district and town of Khurāsān, 367 n 7, 398 n 5. See also under Ķādas, Ķādush and Fāras.
- Ķādush,—a district and town of Khurāsān, 375 n, 1054 n 2. See also under Ķādas, Ķādus and Fāras.
- Kaf, mountain of. See under the Koh-i-Kaf.
- Ķaf<u>oh</u>āķ, same as Ķib<u>ch</u>āķ, which see.
- Käfiristän,—a tract of country in the Hindū Kush, 1044 n.
- Kāh or Gāh,—a Kala' or fortress of Sijistān, 35 n, 1208 n.
- Kahan, the,—a feeder of the Wana-Gangā river, 588 n.

- Kähirah, the capital of Misr and the Cairo of the maps, liv, 101 n8, 140 n2, 209 n5, 212 n1, 218 n5, 215 n9, 217 n, 218 n, 228 n4, 229 n5, 1260 n, 1276 n.
- Kahlukah or Pass of Kongkahān. See under Kongkahān.
- Kahlür,—a city in ruins on the east side of the Sind, 538 n.
- Kahram, 469 n 7,—app. v, for Kuhram, which see.
- Kaiāligh or Kaiālīgh, same as Kaiālik or Kaiālīk, which see.
- Kāiālik or Kaiālik,—a territory of Turkistān S. of the Ulugh Tāgh and between Turfān and Āķaū, xlvii, 900 and n2, 924 n, 930 n, 931 n, 969 n1, 970 n2, 982 n, 986 n, 989 n, 1004, 1023, 1054, 1055, 1061 n7, 1066 n6, 1112 n8, 1132 n, 1141 n, 1184 n. See under Kabālik and Kayālik.
- Kaif or Kayif,—a town in the Divar-i-Misriah, lix, 229 n 5.
- Kailar, the,—a river of Turkistān, 943 n. See under the river Kalār.
- Kailās,—a ridge in the Himālayah mountains, 737 n 9.
- Ķā'in,—the chief place of the Kuhistān, lxiv, 195 n 3, 394, 1039, 1197, 1198 and n 7, 1203, 1205 n 8, 1214 n 8.
- Kai-song-fü, the capital of the Chinese province of Honan, 958 n.
- Kaithal,—a tract of country in Hindustan, 648 and n 3, 692, 697 n, 699 and n 5, 707 n 7, 749 and n 2, 831, 840, 841.
- Kajā,—a district south of Nang-rahār, 1022 n.

Kajlā,—or

Kajlah,—the name of a place on the route to the Indus by the Paiwar Pass, 290 n 4.

Kā-jū,—a city in the territory of Tingkūt or Kāshīn, 1085 n.

Kajūrān,—a kasr and territory in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 319, 342, 370, 1015 n, 1018 n. See also under the Kaşr-i-Kajūrān.

Kakāsūs or Koh-i-Kāf, the, 1287 s, the Caucasus of the maps.

Ķākh,—a small town in the Kuhistān, a dependency of Junābād, 391 and n 2.

Kakshāl mountains, the,—in Mughūlistān, 970 n 2.

Kala'-i-Kāh or Gāh,—a fortress of Sijistān, 35 n, 1203.

Kala'-i-Nau,—a fortress on the Amū. 1030 n.

Kala'-i-Safid,—a fortress of Fars, 175 n 7, 178 n 7.

Kala'-i-Shāh,—a strong fortress of Isfahān, 145 n 4.

Kalūchīn,—a mountain range of Turkistān, 941 n.

Kal'ah of Baghdad, the, 1243 n.

Kalah-i-Koh,—a place near Hirāt, 1126 n 6.

Kālair or Kāleir,—a place near the frontier of Tirhut, 704 and n 2, 838 n 7.

Kālā Nāwar. the,—a lake of Turkistān, 943 n.

Kalangāe Ķadā or Ķad,—a territory bordering on Khitā, 943 n, 945 n. [Sind.

Kālanjī, 1074n, for Kālinjār of Kalangūsh,—or

Kalanküsh,—a city in the country of Tingküt or Kāshīn, 947 n.

Kalar,—a territory near the country of the Bolo, 1167 n.

Kalär, the,—a river of Turkistän, the Kailar of our maps, 948 s.

Kalāt, an error for Ķal'āt, see page lz.

Kal'āt,—a place near Tus in Khurāsān, lx, 276 n.

Kālbī,—a territory of Hindustān, 524 n, 553 n 5.

Käler or Kälair,—a place near the frontier of Tirhut, 704 n 2. See also under Kälair.

Kālinjar,—a strong fortress of Hindūstān, in Bhaṭī-Ghorā the tract lying on the left bank of the Sop, east-of Banāras, 491, 523 n, 524 n, 553 n 5, 603 n 6, 682 n 5, 704 n 2, 733, 734, 735 and n 9, 743 n 3, 755, 757 n 7, 769, 777 n, 817 and n 6, 824 and n 8, 825, 850 n 3, —app. xxiii.

Kālinjar,—a strong fortress in the province of Multān, 75 n 6, 87 n, 88 n 2, 94 n 2, 117 n, 120 n 3, 126 n 2, 905 n, 1074 n,—also called Talwārah.

Kālinjar,—a territory on the banks of the Sind river, 1074 n, 1075 n.

Kāliūn,—a fortress of Khurāsān, 1003. See under Kāl-yūn.

Kaliyar,—a few miles north-east of Rurki, 704 n 2.

Kāliyūn or Kāl-yūn. See under Kālyūn.

Kalunjur, 524n, for Kälinjar of Hind.

Kalur-ān or Kalūr-ān,—a tract of country north of Turkistān, 987 and n7, 940 n, 953 n, 1000 n, 1105 n, 1140 n, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1215 n 2, 1219 n.

Kalūr-Ān or Lukah, the,—a river of Turkistān, 892 n, 894 n, 980 n 1.

Kalwā-i,-or

Kulwā'īn,—a tract of country in Hindūstān, 576 n.

Kāl-yūn or Kāliyūn,—a territory and fortress in the country of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 375, 390, 409, 412, 502 n6, 1003, 1051 and n, 1052, 1053 and n9, 1054 and n2, 1055, 1061.

Kāl-yū<u>sh</u>,—a territory of <u>Khurēsān</u>, 502 n 6, for Kāl-yūn or Kāliyūn, which see.

Kāmā, the,—a river in the country of Bulghār, 1165 n.

Kāmah,—a town in the Bharat-pūr territory on the route from Mathurah to Fīrūz-pūr, 790 and n 9.

Kāmah,—a town of Afghānistān, between Jalālābād and Peshāwar, 79 n.

Kāmah, the,—another name of the river Ohitrār or Ohitrāl, 79 n.

Kamaj, the,—a river of Turkistān, 970 n 2.

Kamāņ, the,—the river of Kunar, north of the Kābul river, 1048 n1.

Kāmil, 1020 n, wrong spelling of Kābul.

Kām-jīw,—a city in the territory of Tingkūt or Kāshīn, 1085 n.

Kam-Kamjīūt,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 951 n. See also under Kum-Kumjīūt.

Kam-Kamjīūt, the,—a river in the country of Ķirķīz in Turkistān, 969 n 1.

Kam-Kun<u>ch</u>ak,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 951 n, 959 n. See also under Kum-Kunjak. Kamroop, 765 n 8, for Kāmrūd, which see.

Kāmrūd,—a district and city of Hindūstān, 535 n, 554, 557, 558 n8, 561 and n9, 562 n, 563 n, 564 and n, 567, 569 and nn 4 and 5, 570 and n and n9, 573, 587, 593 n, 594 and n1, 595, 701 n1, 764 and n6, 765 and n8, 766, 770 n9, 771 n, 773 n, 775 n, 779 n, 1046 and n and n3, 1081. Also called Kānwrū.

Kamrup, 563 n, for Kāmrūd, which see.

Kān-i-Gul,—a mead near Samarkand, 1194 n.

Kanauj, same as Ķinnauj, which see.

Kandahār, mistaken for Gandhār and Kandhār, the country between Hind and Tibbat, 77 n, 80 n, 1217 n.

Kaudahār, the town of Afghānistān, ix, xiv, xlix, 35 n, 77 n, 80 n, 285 n 5, 331 n 2, 339 n 7, 355 n 6, 509 n, 713 n, 873 n, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1020 n, 1217 n.

Kāndes,—a district of Hindustān, ix.

Kandhär or Gandhär, 1216 n, 1217 n. See under Gandhar.

Kanduana, 588 n, for Gondwinah, which see.

Kangaktāe,—a territory in Turkistān, 945 n.

Kang-chong-Fû,—a town in the Chinese province of Shen-si, 1222 n.

Kānjar, 1074 n, for the territory of Kālinjar on the river Sind.

Kankūrī or Gangūrī,—a district of Hindūstān, 575 and n 2. Kanouj, 467 n, for Kinnauj, which

Kanpilah,—a town lying on the southern bank of the Ganges a few miles N. N. W. of Budā'ūn, 551 n.

Kan-su,-or

Kansuh, -a dependency of the kingdom of His, 947 n.

Kānwrū, 593 n. Another name of Kāmrūd, which see.

Käpir di Giri—the Infidel's Mount,
—a place on the western bank of
the Indus, 78 n, 1043 n 1.

Kaptshak, for Kibohak.

Karā-bāsh,—a tract of country in the territory of Tingkūt or Ķāshīn, 1140 n.

Karā Bilād, the,—in Mughūlistān, 1179 n.

Karā-chal or Karā-chāl, the,—a range of mountains in Northern India, 1046 n and n 8.

Karā Chāng, lv.

Karā-Jāng,—the name given by the Mughals to half the country of Gandhar, where the people are black, lv, 1216 n, 1217 n.

Karā <u>Kh</u>aţā-i, an error for Karā <u>Kh</u>iţāe.

Kara-Khelin, for Kara Kuram.

Kara-Kherem, for Kara Kuram.

Kara Khita,-or

Karā Khitāe,—a country north of Khitā, xlvii, 154, 236 n6, 239 and n, 240 n, 245 n7, 263, 264 n, 270, 283 n9, 401 n, 402 n, 473 n 2, 474 n, 736 and n 4, 746, 749, 900, 903 n, 911, 912 n 9, 921 n, 924 n, 926 n, 932 n, 938 n, 934 n, 956 n, 959 n, 966 n 6, 967 n, 980, 983 n, 986 n, 1118 n 9.

Karakhitai, 921 n, for Kara-Khita, which see.

Ķarā <u>Kh</u>wājah,—a territory in Ighūristān, 952 n, 1141 n.

Karā Kol or Black Lake,—of Turkistān, 909 n, 970 n 2.

Kara-Koram, for Kara Kuram.

Karakorum, 915 n, for Ķarā Ķuram. Ķarā Ķum,—a tract of country in Turkistān, xlviii, lv, 268 n 4, 982 n, 983 n, 1140 n, 1176 n 8.

Karā Kuram,—a tract of country in Turkistān, xlviii, lv, 154, 268 n4, 875 n, 889 n, 915 n, 916 n, 917 n, 920 n, 924 n, 940 n, 942 n, 947 n, 958 n, 959 n, 969 n 1, 982 n, 1089 n, 1090 n, 1101 n 1, 1105 n, 1110 and n5, 1139 n, 1140 n, 1141 n, 1174 and n3, 1176 and n8, 1178 n, 1180 n, 1184 n, 1185 n, 1191 n, 1211 n, 1215 nn 2 and 4, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1225 n, 1290 n 1. It is always mentioned as the Asal or original yūrat of the Chingīn Khān and known also as the Urdūe-Bālīgh.

Karā Kuram,—a mountain range between the Karā Tū and the Uskūn-Lūk ranges, 889 n, 920 n, 1140 n.

Ķarā-Mūr, the, 1095. See the Ķarā Mūrān.

Karā Mūrān or Black river, the,—a river of Mughūlistān and Khitā, lxiii, 882 n, 885 n, 895 n, 920 n, 951 n, 981 n, 1095, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1216 n. The Hohang-Ho of the Chinese.

Karā-Sū [the Black Water],—an aqueduct drawn from the river Jīḥūn to the city of Khwārazm, 478 n 2, 474 and n 4.

- Karā-Tāgh, the,—a mountain of Turkistān, 970 n 2.
- Karā-Tāl,—on the north of Mughūlistān, 889 n.
- Karā-tāl, the,—a river of Turkistān, 919 n.
- Karā-Tū, the,—a range of mountains in the country of the I-ghūrs, 889 n.
- Karā<u>ch</u>ī, the supposed site of Dībal, 295 n, 452 n 2.
- Karah,—a territory of Hindustan, 591 n, 592 n, 626 and n 8, 663 and n 8, 664, 673, 679 nn 3 and 5, 681 and n 2, 682 n 5, 683, 684, 694 and n 3, 702 and n 4, 704, 737, 738, 739 n, 743, 747, 757 n 7, 768, 769, 777 n, 790, 796 n, 798, 817, 818 and nn 3 and 4, 827, 830, 838 n 7, 847 and n 4, 848, 849 and n 6, 850 n 3.
- Karah,—a Hişār or fortified town in the territory of Khurāsān, 1203. [Karā Khitā.
- Karah Khita, 736 and n 4. See under Karahah Darah,—one of the Passes on the route from Ghaznin to Lahor, 505 and n 5.
- Karak,—a town in the country of Shām [Syria], 215 n 9, 218 n, 225 n 4, 229 n 5, 230 n.
- Karak or Kuruk,—a place of Hindüstän in the district of Hariänah between Rot-hak and Bhawānī, 791 n 1.
- Karakh,—a place [township] in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 759 and n 8.
- Kuram-battan or Karam-pattan, see Kar-battan.
- Karam-Nāsah or Karam-Nāsā, the, —a river of Hindūstān, lxi, 550 n 5, 551 n.

- Karam-sin, 567 n 1. See under Karbattan.
- Karānah or Kārānah, the,—a river of Hindūstān, bounding the Gwaliyūr territory on the east and falling into the Jūn or Yamunā, 733 n 7, 825.
- Ķāran-dujz,—a fortress of Māzandarān, 990 n, 991 n, 993 n, 994 n, see also under Ķārūn and Ķārūndujz.
- Karan-pattan, 567 n 1. See under Kar-battan. [battan.
- Karan-tan, 567 n 1. See under Kar-Ķarār,—a town in the country of Marīm, 1170 n.
- Karār-kot,—a fortress of Hindustān, 93 n 9.
- Karar-battan or Karar-pattan, 567 n.l. See under Kar-battan.
- Karās Murān, the,—a river of Turkistān, 940 n.
- Karāsah Darah, for Karāhah Darah, which see.
- Karāt, the,—a river of Afghānistān. See the Kirāt.
- Karataya, the,—a river of Hindustan,—app. xxi, xxii.
- Kar-battan or Kar-pattan,—a city in the country of Tibbat, li, 565 n, 567 and n 1.
- Karchin,—a tract of country in Mughülistän, 1219 n.
- Karendar, 993 n, for Kāran-dujs of Māzandarān, which see.
- Karhakatenka, the chief city of Kanduana [Gondwänah], 588 n.
- Karīķlā,—a city in the country of the Urūs, 1170 n.
- Karīm-yū,—a city in the country of Mahā-Ohīn or Tingnāsh, 1141 n.

Karīw or Garīw,—a district in the territory of Ghūr, 844 n 1.

Karkh—a suburb of Baghdād, 188 n8, 243, 759 n8, 1229 n8, 1231, 1288, 1240 n, 1262 n.

Karkh, 171, 279 n, by mistake for Gurj [Georgia].

Karķīr,-or

Karķīz,—a country of Turkistān, 888 n. See also under <u>Kh</u>ar-khez.

Kārlīk or Kārlūk Tāgh, the,—a mountain in Northern India, 1132 n.

Kārlūķ Hazārah or Hazārah-i-Kārlūgh or Kārlūķ,—a district in Northern India, 1132 n.

Karlük Tägh, the, see the Kārlīk Tāgh.

Karmah-nasah, an error for Karam-Nasah. See page lxi.

Karmān,—a province in southern Afghānistān, l, lv, lx, 285, 290 n, 489 n 4, 476 n, 492 n 7, 493 and n, 494, 495, 498 and nn 6 and 7, 499 and n and n 8, 500, 501 n and n 5, 503 and n 8, 506, 518 n, 526 n 8, 527 n, 538 n, 540 n, 541 n 7, 623 n 8, 633 n 6, 901 n, 1021 n 8, 1022 n, 1108 n 1, 1129, 1131 and n, 1132 n.

Karmān Dara'h,—a small dara'h in the province of Karmān, l, 499 n.

Karnāl or Carnāl,—a town in Hindūstān, 459 n 7.

Karokol,—a canul in Mughūlistān, 896 n. See under Jarā-lūm.

Karra, 694n3, for Karah of Hindustan, which see.

Karrman, an error for Karman, see page lx.

Kārş,—a district and town in the territory of Rūm, the Kars of the maps, 161 n.

Karshākh, the capital of the Tähiri dynasty in Khuršsān, 14 n 1.

Kar<u>sh</u>ī,—a kaşr near Karā-Kuram founded by Uktāe Kā'ān, 1139 n.

Karshin, 567 n 1. See Kar-battan or Karpattan.

Kar-Tagh,-or

Kar-Tāķ,—a range of mountains in Mughūlistān, 875 n, 879 n.

Kārūn,—a fortress of Māsandarān, 277 n 5, 278 n. See also under Ķārūn-dujz.

Ķārūn-dujz,—a fortress of Māzandarān, 277 n 5, 278 n, 991 n. See also under Ķāran-dujz.

Karyat-ul-Ghaffar,—a village on the Tigris, 1245 n 4.

Ķaryat-ul-'Uķāb,—a village on the Tigris, 1245 n 4.

Kasal Ankah,—a city in the country of the Urus, 1170 n.

Kāsān,—a town in the country of the Urūs, the Kazan of the maps, 652 n 2, 1165 n.

Kasānlik,—a town in the Turkish Empire, 1100 n.

Kaschin, 947 n, for Kāshīn, which see.

Kasghar, lvii, 29, same as Kashghar, which see.

Kash,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 46 and n 3, 1194 n, 1206 n 3.

Kashā, see under Kashī.

Kāshān,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 996 n, 1118.

Kashgar, for Käshghar, which see. Käshghar,—a territory and city in Central Asia, lvii, 29, 46 n 4, 52 n, 74, 134 and n 8, 261, 263 n, 269 n, 424 n 3, 431, 577 n, 882 n, 889 n, 899 n, 901 n, 902 n, 903 n, 904 n, 905 n, 907 n, 912 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 917 n, 919 n, 920 n, 921 n, 922 n, 923 n, 933 n, 940 n, 942 n, 944 n, 950 n, 952 n, 961 n, 964 n 2, 968 n6, 970 n 2, 971 n, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n, 984 n, 985 n, 986 n, 987 n, 1044 n, 1045 n, 1047, 1069 n 4, 1075 n, 1091 n, 1106 n, 1141 n, 1145 n.

Kashī or Kashā,—a territory of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 340, 361 and n 1, 362, 364, 895.

Kashif, 878 n 1, for Kilaf or Kilif, which see.

Kāshīn, the capital of the country of Tingkūt, called also Kāshīn, 947 n, 949 n, 950 n, 1084 n, 1085 n, 1086 n, 1087 n, 1088 n.

Kashkar, 1044 n, same as Kashghar, which see.

Kashmandi or Kashtmandi,—a district of Awadh in Hindustan, 549, 704 n 3, 759 and n 5, 838 and n 2.

Kashmī-ghāṣūr,—a place in Turkistān, 961 n.

Kashmīr, State of, 424 n 3, 431 and n 5, 469 n, 536 n, 611 n 3, 893 n, 1044 n, 1046 n 3, 1126 n 6, 1031 n, 1135 n 5, 1191 n, 1218 n.

Kashtmandi, 549, see under Kashmandi.

Kasī, 361 n 1, for Kashī or Kashā, which see.

Kāsilī,—a town of Hindustān, 728 and n 3.

Kaskūrī or Gasgūrī,—a district of Hindūstān, 575 n 2.

Kasli, 728 n 3, for Kāsilī, which, see.

Kasmandah,—or

Kasmandī,—a district of Awadh

in Hindūstān, 704 n 8, 759 and n 5, 838 and n 2. Also called Kasmandhī, Kashmandī and Kashtmandī.

Kaşr-Bāgh [the Garden Castle],—in the capital city of Dihlī, 669 and n.9.

Kaşr-i-Fīrūzī, the,—in the capital city of Dihlī, 661. See also under the Kūshk-i-Fīrūzī.

Kaşr-i-Kajūrān,—a territory in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 319, 341, 448, 1018 n. See also under Kajūrān.

Kaşr-i-Sabz [Green Castle], the,—in the capital city of Dihlī, 675, 858. See also under the Kūshk-i-Sabz.

Kaşr-i-Safed [White Castle], the, —in the capital city of Dihlī, liii, 553 n 5, 554, 651 and nn 6 and 7, 656, 661 and n, 685, 751.

Kaşr-i-Sultān, the,—in the capital city of Dihlī, 657.

Kasrak,—a place in Hindustan, 791 and n1.

Kaşrs of <u>Gh</u>ūr,—the fortified villages in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 831 and n 2.

Kassagol, the,—a Lake of Turkistān, 983 n.

Kassullie, 728 n 3, for Kāsilī, which see.

Kaswin, 1190 n 1, for Kazwin which see.

Kāt,—a town on the Jīḥūn in the district of Hazār-asp, in <u>Kh</u>wā-razm, 973 n.

Katah-waz,—a place in the country of Ghūr, 351 n 8.

Katak or Katuk,—a city in the sahrā or steppe in the E and S. of Kāshghar, 922 n.

- Katankah,—name of a mouşa' in Kadhah-Katankah, of Hindüstän, 587 n 4.
- Katāsin,—a frontier town on the Mahā-nadī river towards Lakhanor of Lakhanawatī, xxvii, 585 n 6, 588 n, 664 n 6, 738 and n 2, 739 and n.
- Katāsinghah,—a more correct name of Katāsin, which see, 588 n.
- Kätheher, see under Käthehr or Käther:
- Kāthehr or Kāther,—a town in the district of Budā'ūn in Hindūstān, 627 n8, 663 n9, 697 n, 698, 699 n5, 755.
- Katif,—a tract of country in Arabia, 179 n 3.
- Katif,—a town in the Diyar-i-Mişrîah. lix.
- Katran,—a Desht or Desert on the frontier of Samarkand, 155 n, 909 n.
- Katuk, See under Katak.
- Kaukasas, the, 998 n, 1287 n, the Caucasus, of the maps, also called the Koh-i-Kāf.
- Kawak, see under Kāwīk.
- Kāwīk,—name of a pass and fortress in the range of Hindū Kush, called Kawak by modern travellers, 436 and n 6.
- Kayālik or Kayālīk, 918 n, 920 n, 985 n. See under Ķaiālik or Kaiālīk.
- Kayif or Kaif,—a town in the Divar-i-Misriah, lix, 229 n 5.
- Kay-ping-fü, capital of the Chingiziah dynasty in Tartary, afterward called Shang-tû, 1141 n, 1219 n.

- Kasan,—a town of Russia in Europe, 652 n 2, 987 n 3, 1020 n, 1086 n.
- Ķazil-Tā<u>sh</u>,—a place in Turkistān, 941 n.
- Kasiw or Gasiw,—a district in the territory of Ghür, 844 and n l.
- Kazvin, 996 n, for Kazwin, which see.
- Kazwin,—a district and town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 61 n 4, 93, 125 n 8, 144 n, 242 n 6, 277 n 5, 990 n, 993 n, 994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 1010 n, 1189, 1190 n 1, 1192 n, 1208 n, 1211 n, 1227 n 5.
- Kazwin Darah, the, 993 n.
- Kelardjek, 1046 n 3, for the Karāchal, which see.
- Kem, the, 993 n, for the river Jam in Turkistan.
- Kenchak,—a town in Ķarā-<u>Kh</u>iţā, 919 n.
- Kerman, 1021 n 8, for Karman of Afghanistan.
- Kerman, 1119 n, for Kirman of Persia.
- Kermanshah,—a town in the Jibal or 'Irak-i-'Ajam, 993 n.
- Kesh, 46 n 3, for Kash of Khurasan, which see.
- Kesh, 180 n, for Kish of Fars, which see.
- Khabüshan,—a town of Khurāsān, 991 n, 1196 n, 1206 n 3. Called also Küshān.
- Khā-e,—a town on the bank of the Bīāh, 533 n.
- Khāesār, Faj or Pass of,—in the mountain of Ro'en in the central part of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 319 and n 8.
- Khāesār or Khaisār,—a town and district in the country of Ghūr, xxiv, xxv, lx, 201, 233, 967 and

n 3, 1062, 1181 n 2, 1198, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1202, 1203, 1205.

Khafchāķ, same as Ķibchāķ, which

Khaibar Pass, the, 1, 352 n 3, 483 n, 538 n.

Khaibar mountains, the 79 n.

Khair-ābād,—a town of Khurāsān founded by Sultān Ibrāhīm Ghaznawi. 104.

Khaisār,—a town of Ghūr. See under Khāesār.

Khākistar Burj,—a bastion in the fort of Hirāt, 1050 n.

Khalāt,—a town in Armenia, 228 n4, same as Akhlāt, which also see.

Kham Murān, the,—a river flowing from the Āltān mountains, of Turkistān, 951 n, 952, 981 n. Also called the Jam Murān, which also see.

Khāmil,—a province in the country of the I-ghūrs, 920 n.

Khān Bālīgh,—a city a little north of Pekin 918 n. 958 n, 1219 n. Called Yen-king by the Chinese.

Khān-Sindān,—a territory of Turkistān, 1220 n.

Khānah-ābād,—a place in the 'Irāķi-'Ajam near Hamadān, 1228 n.

Khandah-i-Shāpūr or Jand-i-Shapūr,—a town in the district of Ahwāz, 22.

Khandān,—a tract of country on the frontier of Ohin, 155 and n 5, 911 and n 7.

Khānķīn,—a town in 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 1239 n, 1243 n, 1262 n.

Khān-kūe, see under Khān-zjū Khān-kūe. [1191 n. Khānpūr,—a town of Hindūstāu, Khān-sjū Khān-kūe, the name of China as called by the natives, 912 n 9.

Kharezm; for Khwarazm.

Kharizm, for Khwarasm.

Khar-kher,-or

Khar-khez 883 n. See under Kharkhez Tungüt.

Khar-khez Tungūt,—a tract of country south of Mughūlistān, 876 n, 883 n.

Kharkhīz,—a tract of country west of China, 962 n.

Kharūs,—a district in the territory of Ghūr, 326 n. Also called Jarūs and Ḥarūs.

Khațā-ì, an error for Khitā and Khitāe, see page lix.

Khatl,—a tract of country in Turkistan, 923 n. See also under Khatlan and Khutlan.

Khatlān.—a tract of country in Turkistān, 911 n 7, 923 n, 1152, 1226. See also under Khutlān.

Khawānīn,—a tract in the territory of Ghūr, 324 n.

Khifchāķ, same as Ķibchāķ, which

Khirkhīz,—a territory north of Mughūlistān, 923 n. See also under Ķīrkīr or Ķirķīz.

Khisht-Ab, Darah of,—in the district of Hariw-ar-Rūd, 417 and n4.

Khitā,-or

Khithe,—the country of China as known to the people of Mawara-un-Nahr, xlvii, lix, 140 n 5, 149, 154 n 2, 169, 188, 203 n 1, 204 and n 3, 205, 243, 244, 246, 252, 258 n, 260 and n 7, 261 and n, 262, 263 and n, 264 and n, 283

n9, 284, 285, 294 n, 378, 387, 448, 474, 475 n 2, 479 n, 480 n, 481, 482 n, 483 n, 484 n, 491 and n5, 532, 593 n, 604 and n1, 876 n, 882, 889 n, 892 n, 896 n, 897 n, 909 n, 911, 912 n 9, 928, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 940 n, 943 n, 947 n, 950 n, 955 n, 956 n, 957 n, 959 n, 961 n, 965 n 5, 966, 981 n, 1073 n 4, 1086 n, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1095, 1115 n 5, 1116 n, 1119 n, 1136 n 9, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1141 n, 1143 n, 1149 n, 1152 n, 1157 n 1, 1165 n, 1185 n, 1186 n, 1189, 1215 n 1, 1216 n, 1217 n.

Khitā-ī, see under Khitā or Khitāe.

Khittah of Sangah,—a district in the country of Mandesh in Ghür, 331.

Khiva, the modern capital of Khwārazm, 929 n, 1097 n 7, 1098 n, 1100 n. See also Khīwaķ.

Khīwaķ or Ķīwak, capital of Khwārazm, 929 n, 1098 n, 1100 n. Anglicized into Khiva

Khokand,—a city in the territory of Farghanah, 921 n.

Kholin or Korin,—name of the ancient capital of the Turks, 916 n. [see.

Khorassan, for Khurāsān, which Khost, the darah of,—south of the Kurmah, in the province of Karmān in Afghānistān, 499 n.

Khotan, see under Khutan.

Khowāf,—a city and district in Khurāsān, 181 n 7, 258 n, 994 n, 1037 n, 1195 n.

Khuarezm, for Khwārazm.

Khuarizm, for Khwarazm.

<u>Kh</u>ũe,—a town in Armenia, 144 n, 297 n, 997 n.

Khüjān,—a dependency of Nīghāpūr in the territory of Khurāsān, 181.

Khujand,—a city in the territory of Farghanah, 271 n, 905 n, 908 n, 921 n, 970 n 2, 972 n, 974 n, 975 n 5, 988 n, 1118 n 9, 1284.

Khujistān,—a dependency of Bādghais in the highlands of Hirāt, 23 and n 1.

Khūk and Kūk, see the next.

Khūk and Lūk,—a district in the terrritory of Kirmān, 200 and n6.

<u>Kh</u>ūljah,—a city of Turkistān, 919 n, 920 n, 969 n.

Khulum,—a town in the district of Tukhāristān of Balkh, 288 n 3, 426 n 6.

Khumār,—a darah in the country of Ghaznīn, 99.

Khûnsāe or Khunsāe, capital of the country of Tingnāsh or Ningāish, 1216 n.

Khūrand,—a district of Khurāsān, 1121 n.

Khuranjiah,—a tract in the country of the Ghuzz, 962 n.

Khurāsān, xxiii, xxiv, xxix, xlvi, xlix, lxii, 9, 10 and n5, 11 and n4, 12 and n6, 13 and nn 8 and 9, 14 and n1, 15 and n9, 16 and n4, 19 and n1, 23 and n9, 24 and n3, 25, 27 and n6, 28, 29, 30, 31 n3, 32, 33, 34 n6, 35 n6, 36, 37 n, 38, 39, 42, 44, 46 and n4, 47, 49, 50 n4, 51 nn 6 and 7, 52 n, 56, 71, 74 and n3, 75, 81 n, 82, 84, 85 n, 86, 88 n2, 89, 93, 94 and n3, 98 and n8, 99, 100, 102 nn 1 and 2, 103, 108 and n5, 109, 110, 111, 115, 116 n3, 117 and n, 118, 119

and mn 7 and 8, 120 and m 1, 122, 128 m, 124 m 4, 125 and m 8, 127, 180 and n 6, 132 and nn 9 and 2, 183 n, 188 n 8, 140, 148 n 2, 144 n, 146 and nn 6 and 7, 147 and n 8, 148 n 4, 152, 155 n 6, 156, 157 n 1, 167 n 8, 168 n 2, 169 n 7, 174 n. 180 n 7, 184 n, 189, 190, 192, 193, 195, 197, 198, 231 n 2, 232 n, 233 n, 235, 286 n 6, 239, 240 and n, 241, 242 n 6, 245, 246 and n and n 8, 247 n, 250 nn 4 and 6, 251 and nn 9, 1 and 2, 253 and n 8, 255 n 7, 256, 257 n and n 2, 258 and n, 259 n 3, 260 n 7, 262 n 1, 263 n, 269, 274 n 1, 275 n, 276, 277 n 5, 280 n 1, 282 and n, 286, 290, 297 n 9, 808 nn 9 and 2, 309 n, 311, 320, 324 n, 329 n, 335 n 4, 842, 844, 845, 846, 849 n, 859 n, 362, 367, 370, 375 n, 377 n 5, 378, 380, 381, 382 nn 8 and 9, 383, 387, 393, 394 n 1, 397, 400, 403 n, 409, 410, 412, 414, 415, 424 and n 6. 438, 448 n 3, 457 n, 465 n, 471 and n 5, 473 n 2, 476 n, 479 n, 480 n. 487 n 7, 489, 493 n, 503, 504 n 4, 514, 515 n 8, 523 n, 534, 541, 545, 546 n 7, 548 n 3, 578, 579 n 4, 609, 612 and n 5, 655 and n 2, 668. 675 n 5, 686 and n 7, 687, 702 n 6, 711, 715 n 4, 725, 783, 786, 821, 822 and n 9, 851 and n 7 856 and n7, 857 n 1, 859, 860 n 9, 863, 878 n, 879 n, 906 n, 907 n, 908 n, 915 and n, 916 n, 917 n 1, 920, 925 n, 926 n, 927 n, 931, 983 n, 943 n, 963, 964 n 2, 988 n, 989 n, 990 and n, 992, 993 n, 994 n, 996 m, 1001 and m, 1003, 1004 and \*1, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008 and n 5, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1018,

1014 n 2, 1015 n, 1019 n, 1021, 1025 m. 1026, 1027 m 8, 1028 m. 1031 n, 1034 n, 1087 n, 1039, 1040 and n 2, 1042, 1045 m, 1046 m 1047. 1048. 1050 m. 1051 m 4. 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056 n, 1068 and n 6, 1059 and n 9, 1061 n 1, 1065, 1070, 1071 and n 3, 1072, 1077, 1079 and n 8, 1088 n, 1105, 1109, 1110 and n, 1115, 1116 n, 1119, 1120 n 2, 1117. 1121 %. 1127 n, 1128, 1182 n, 1183, 1141 n, 1142, 1146, 1149 n, 1151 and n, 1152 n, 1154 n 2, 1174 and nn 2 and 4, 1177, 1192 n, 1196, 1197, 1198, 1199 n, 1205 n 8, 1209 n, 1211 n, 1213, 1226, 1228, 1232 n 9, 1234, 1258 n 8, 1271 n, 1292 and n 1.—app. ii, iii, iv.

Khūrjah or Khūrjat,—the Corea of Europeans, 956 n, 957 n, 959 n, 1086 n, 1088 n, 1219 n.

Khūrjat, see under Khūrjah.

Khurķān,—a town between Khurāsān and 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 1206 n 8.

Khurramābād,—a town in 'Irāķ-i'Ajam, 995 n.

Khurz, country of,—in Turkistan, 962 n, 1102 n.

Khurz, Sea of, [the Caspian], 140 x 5, 278 and n, 991 n, 1117. See also under the Caspian.

Khusën,—a halting place in Khurësën, between Hirët and Ghür, 325 n.

Khūsh-āb,—a district in the Panjāb south of the Jūd mountains [Salt Range], 455 n, 496, 587 n, 588 n, 1131 n, 1132 n. [which see. Khushūdī, 192 n 8, same as Ḥashuūe, Khutan,—a tract of country in Central Asia, the Khotan of the

maps, 140 n 5, 268 n, 904 n, 905 n, 912 n 9, 915 n, 922 n, 923 n, 944 n, 984 n, 986 n, 987 n, 1115 n 5, 1141 n.

 Khutlān,—a dependency of Badakhshān in Turkistān, 155 n 5, 231 n 2, 374 n 5, 428 n 8, 923 n. See also under Khatlān.

Khutta, for Khita, which see.

Khûzistān,—a province of Irān, 148 n 2, 174 n, 238 n, 283 n 9, 883, 1239 n.

Khwār,— a district or tract of country in the neighbourhood of Rai, 1207 n.

Khwārazm or Khwārizm,—a territory on both sides of the Jihun, xlv, l, li, 24n3, 5ln6, 52n9 and n, 84 and nn 8 and 9, 85 n, 86 n, 88 n 2, 117 n, 120 and n 5, 121, 128 n, 125 n 8, 132, 138, 137 n4, 138 n8, 148, 155 n, 167 n8, 169 and n 7, 172 n 3, 181, 182, 197, 199, 230, 231 and n 2, 232 n, 233 and n, 234 and n 8, 235 and n, 236 and n 6, 237 and n, 238 and n 6, 240 and n, 242 n 6, 243 n, 244 n 4, 245 and n, 246 n 8, 247 n, 249 n 8, 251 and nn 9, 1 and 2, 252, 253, 254, 255 and n, 256 n, 257 n 2, 258 n, 259, 260 and nn 6 and 7, 261 n 8, 262 and n 1, 263 n, 264 n, 267, 268 n 8, 274 n 1, 276, 278 n, 279 and n 6, 280 and nn 9 and 1, 281, 286 and n 6, 344, 382, 393 and n 8, 394, 399, 400 n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 403 n, 405 n 3, 412, 419, 420, 425 n 3, 456 n 2, 457 n, 471 n5, 478 and n2, 474 and n, 475, 476 n, 480 n, 481 n 8, 482 n,  $485 \, n \, 3$ ,  $488 \, n \, 1$ , 491, 504,  $505 \, n$ , 515 n, 527 n, 539 n 3, 604, 609, 625, 678, 725, 790, 798 n 5, 879 m, 903 n, 905 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 917 n, 919, 921 n, 927 n, 929 n,  $932 \, n, 933 \, n, 934 \, n, 948 \, n, 964 \, n \, 2,$ 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 972 n, 978 n, 980 n 8, 982 n, 986 n, 988 n, 994 n, 996 n, 1000 n, 1004 nn 8 and 1, 1013. 1026 and n 7, 1030 \*. 1046 n, 1032 n, 1045 n, 1059, 1082 n, 1097 and n 7, 1098 and n, 1100, 1101 and n1, 1102 n. 1116 n, 1117 n, 1118 n 9, 1120 n 2, 1121 n, 1123 n,1131 n, 1145 n, 1146 n 8, 1166, 1212, 1283, 1292,—app. ii.

Khwarizm, see under Khwarazm.

Khwārkān,—a village of Āşarbāijān, 1286 n 9.

Khwaruzm, for Khwarazm.

Kibchāk,—a desert of Turkistān commonly called Dasht-i-Kibchāk, xlvii, lxiii, 283 n 6 284, 287, 239, 240, 254, 261 n 9, 272 n 9, 279, 298 n, 644 n, 742, 754, 756, 761, 775, 789, 790, 877 n, 885 n, 929 n, 980 n, 959 n, 981 n, 988 n, 992, 996 n, 998 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1026 and n 7, 1097 n 6, 1101, 1115 n 5, 1149 n 7, 1165 n, 1167 n, 1170 n 4 and n, 1172 n 9, 1178 n, 1215 n 1, 1277 n, 1283 and n 8, 1284 and n 3, 1285, 1286 n 9, 1291, 1292.

Kiblah, the,—the direction of the Temple of Makkah to which the Moslims turn in prayer, 346.

Kich,—a town in Mukran on the frontier of Sind, 283 n 9, 934 n, 1020 n, 1078 n 4.

Kichah or Kinchah,—a tract of country N. E. of Samarkand, 985 n.

Ķichik Bukhārā [Little Bukharia],

—the western part of the Kāshghar territory, 920 n.

Kīdān,—a district in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 817 n, 321, 842, 343, 849, 869, 415, 432 and n8, 490, 491.

Kief,—a town in Russia in Europe, 1171 n.

Ķif<u>ch</u>āķ, same as Ķib<u>ch</u>āķ, which see.

Kij,—a town in Mukrān, 88 n 2. See under Kīoh.

Kikrab,—a tract of country in Turkistan, 980 and n 9.

Kīlaf or Kīlif,—a town on the Oxus, xlix, 378 and n 1.

Kila Garhi, 588 n 8, for Gīlū-Kharī, which see.

Kīlif, xlix, see under Kīlaf.

Kīmāk,—a tract of country in Turkistān, towards the Caspian, 923 n, 962 n.

Kinchah, see under Kichah.

Kinien,—a valley in Mughūlistān, 1143 n. See also under Ki-nyen. Kinnauj,—a province in Hindustān, lxii, 86 n, 88 n 2, 402 n, 466 n 1, 467 n. 470 and n 2, 491, 518 n, 545 n 5, 628 n 2, 639 n 8, 644 n, 665, 678 n 7, 679, 680 n, 683, 701 n 1, 733 and n 5, 742 n 9, 743, 762, 816 n 5, 818 n 4,824, 884 n, —app. vi.

Kinnauj-i-Sher-garh,—a fortress of Hindustän, 627.

Kin-sha or Kyang, the,—a river of China, 1218 n.

Ki-nyen,—a cave in a mountain to the north of the sandy desert, where the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> was buried, 1090 n. See also under Kinien.

Kipchak, for Ķibchāk, which see. Ķirā-ķīr, the country of Ķīrķīr or Ķīrķīz, as called by Mīrzā Ḥaidar, 876 n, 889 n, 923 n, 1184 n. See also under Ķīrķīr.

Ķirā-ķīz, 923 n. See also under Ķīrķīr or Ķīrķīz.

Ķirāt or Ķarāt, the,—an affluent of the Kābul river, xlv, 77 n, 78 n.

Kirdkuh, 1193 n, for Gird-Koh, which see.

Ķirghīz,—see under Ķīrķīr or Ķīrkīz.

Kīrķīr or Ķīrķīz,—a country north of Mughūlistān, 876 n, 889 n, 923 n, 944 n, 950 n, 952 n, 969 n, 1184 n.

Kirkir Nor,—the Kirkir or Kirkis of the Jesuits' map, 876 n.

Ķīrķīz, Ķirķīz or Ķirķīz,—800 under Ķīrķīr or Ķīrķīz.

Kirmān, province of, 23 n 9, 24 and n 3, 31 n 3, 34 n 6, 55 n 1, 60 n 8, 61 n 4, 65 and n 6, 66 n 7, 88 n 2, 93 n 8, 132 n 9, 133, 138 n 8, 155 n 6, 158 n, 167 n 8, 184 n, 189, 199, 200, 232, 246 n 8, 261 n, 281 n 5, 282 n 7, 283 and n 9, 284 and n, 285, 286, 294, 295 n, 297 n, 317 n 5, 378 and n 8, 499 n, 518 n, 610 n, 616 n, 882, 933 n, 934 n, 1001 n, 1075 n, 1109, 1118 and n 9, 1119 and n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1208 n, 1234 n 8, 1235 n 1, 1243 n.

Kīsh or Kish,—a city on a hill on an island, in the Sea of Fars, called Hurmūz, 179 and n 4, 180 n.

Ķīwaķ, 1100 n. See under Khīwaķ. Kiwak Mazār,—a shrine to the north east of Bukhārā, 977 n.

Kiwak Sarāe,—a sarāe near Samarkand, 971 n, 978 n.

- Kisil-kol,—a lake in Turkistän, 876 n. [876 n.
- Kisil-tum,—a place in Turkistān, Kisil-Tāgh,—a range of mountains in Mughūlistān, 876 n.
- Kebalik or Kabalik, for Kaiālik, which see.
- Kobī or Kob, the Great Desert of Turkistān, 920 n, 1217 n. See also under the Gobī Desert.
- Kobīghah Mūr-ān, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 1221 n.
- Ko-chew, capital of the I-ghurs, 1220 n.—See also under Ho-chew.
- Kochin-China,—a country of Eastern Asia, 1221 n.
- Kodakān or Godagān, kaşbah or town of, 1013 n 1.
- Koh-i-Āzād, the,—a hill near the city of Fīrūz-koh, the capital of Ghūr, 406, 407, 409, 410 and n 3.
- Koh-i-Bāla-nāth, the,—a hill country in Nandanah, 537 w.
- Koh-i-Janinah, the,—a mountain of Talkan, in the territory of Khurasan, 1012 and 2.
- Koh-i-Jūd, the,—a range of mountains in Northern India, the Salt Range of the maps, 482 n, 484 n, 491, 537 n, 538 n, 539 n, 627 n 9, 1131 n, 1155 n 6. See also under the Jūd Hills.
- Koh-i-Kāf, the,—a mountain supposed to surround the world, 1205 and n 5.
- Koh-i-Käf or Kakäsus, the, 1287 n, the Caucasus of the maps.
- Koh-i-Karā-<u>oh</u>al, the,—a range of mountains in Northern India, 1046 and n and n 8.
- Koh-i-Kārlīk, the, see under the Kārlīk or Kārlūk Tāgh.

- Koh-i-Maidin, the,—a hill near the city of Firus-koh, the capital of Chur, 410.
- Koh-i-Nukrah,—a lofty hill in Khurāsān between Marw and Balkh, 1008 n 5.
- Koh-i-Sangin [the Stony Mountains],—in Eastern India, 598 n.
- Koh-i-Sher, the,—a hill in the district of Bust in Khurësan, 448 n 4.
- Koh-i-Silmür,—the hill tract of Sirmür, 706. See under Silmür.
- Koh-i-Siwālikh, the Alpine tracts below the higher range of the Himālayah, 469 n, 611 n 3.
- Koh-i-Siyāh, the,—a range of mountains east of Afghānistān, xiv.

  Also called the Sulīmānī mountains.
- Koh-i-Surkh, the,—a range of mountains south of Afghānistān, xiv.
- Kohāt,—a tract of country between the Darah of Kaşmān and Peghāwar, l.
- Kohistän of the Mulähidah, the, a mountaineus tract of country south of the Caspian, 1188 n, 1205 n 8. See also under Kühistän.
- Kohistān of Badakhshān,—a mountainous tract of country south of Farghānah, 921 n.
- Koh-pāyah, the,—the hill tracts of Mewāt, in Hindustān, 604 n 5, 840 n 6, 850, 851, 852, 864.
- Koh-pāyah of Gībarī, in Sind, 1044, 1045, 1047.
- Kokjah-Tingiz the,—a lake north of Mughülistän, 889 n, 890 n.
- Kok Nāwar,—a place in Turkistān, 981 n, 1150 s.

Kokonor, 981 n, 1150 n, incorrectly for Kok-Näwar. [Kok Näwar. Koků Näwar, 1150 n. See under Kol,—a territory and fortress of Hindustân, xxviii, 470, 491, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 550 n 6, 589 n, 684, 662, 698, 712, 713 n 2, 714 n 9, 787, 788 n 9, 794, 849.—app. vi.

Kol-i-Åb or Kol-åb,—a dependency of Badakhshān, in Turkistān 423 n8, 923 n. Another name of Khatlān or Khutlān, which see.

Kolghā Nāwar, the,—a lake of Turkistān, 948 n.

Kolghān or Kolkān,—a territory of Turkistān, 1115 n 5, 1187 n.

Kolī,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 1217 n.

Kolkan, see under Kolghan.

Kolüsh Arki or Argi,—a tract of country in Mughülistän, 895 n.

Komak,—a city in the country of the Urus [Russians], 1168 n.

Komatapūr, the old capital of Kāmrūd on the west bank of the Darlah river, 764 n 6.

Kon-chin-Fû,—a city S. E. of Hochew in China, 1222 n.

Kongkahān,—a Kahlukah or Pass between two lofty mountains in Khitā, 1137 n.

Koniah, see under Kuniah.

Kons, the,—a river of Hindustan, 578 and n 1.

Konsī, the, see the above.

Koorum, the, 74 n 2, for the Kurmah, which see.

Kopal,—a Russian station in Central Aisa, 918 n.

Korchin,—a tract of country in Mughülistän, 1219 n.

Kores or Cores, 1218 n. See also under Khūrjah.

Korin or Kholin,—name of the ancient capital of the Turks, 916 n.

Kortūkūk Jīwan,—a place in the middle of Mughūlistān, 1217 n.

Kos, the, see the Kons.

Kosan,—a city in the territory of Kashghar, 922 n.

Koshah-Dāgh,—a place in the territory of Rūm, 1239 n.

Kosī, the,—see the Kons.

Kotah-bās,—a place in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 851 and n 8.

Kotilah of Fîrūz Shāh,—the present name of Fīrūz-ābād in Dihlî, 599 n, 621 n 6.

Kourah,—a city in the territory of Kāshghar, 922 n. [see.

Koylak, 900 n 2, for Kaiālīķ, which Krim, the Crimea of the maps, 298 n, 1102 n, 1165 n. See also under Krimea.

Krimea,—or

Krimiā, the Crimea of the maps, 1000 n, 1102 n. See also under Krim.

Kū-Bālīgh or Bālīk,—the name by which Bilāsāghūn was known to the Mughals, 913 n, 917 n. See also under Ghū-bālīgh.

Kubbah-i-Shaikh-ul-Makārim,—a place in the district of Baghdad, 1262 n.

Kūch or Kūj,—a territory to the north of Bangālah, 568 n, 570 n 9, 593 n.

Kūch Bihār or Cooch Bahar, 586n 9. See the above.

Küchah, see under Küjäh or Küjah. Kū<u>oh</u>ān,—a town of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 1196 n, same as <u>Kh</u>abūshān, which see.

Kūchīng Ohīw,—a city in the Khitāe territory, 956 n.

Ku-Ohīw,—a city in the Khitae territory, 956 n.

Kuds [the Holy City], 215. See also under Jerusalem.

Kudsi [the Holy Land], 214. See also under Filistin and Palestine.

Kūfā or Kūfah,—a town of 'Irāķ, 384, 513, 1238.

Kuhandujs,—a fortress of Tukhāristān, 288 n 3, 1153 n. Afterwards called Kunduz, which see.

Kuhistān of the Mulāḥidah, the,—
a mountainous tract of country
south of the Caspian, xx, xxiv,
xxv, lxi, 50 n 4, 85 n, 141, 195 and
n 3, 196, 244 n 4, 255 n 7, 381 n 5,
894 and n 1, 496, 1015 n, 1028 n,
1039, 1061, 1062, 1187, 1196
n 1, 1192 n, 1193 n, 1195 n, 1196,
1197 and n 3, 1198, 1200, 1204,
1205 and n 8, 1207 n, 1209 n,
1210 n, 1212 and n, 1213, 1227 n 5.
See also under the Kohistān.

Kuhnah Ürganj, capital of Khwā-razm, 929 n, 1098 n.

Kuhrām,—a district and fortress of Hindustān, 457 n, 469 and nn7 and 9, 470 n, 491, 516 n 2, 517 n, 529 n 4, 532, 538 n, 607, 627, 628 n 2, 634 and n 9, 678, 697 n, 699, 728, 758, 780, 785, 830, 840.—app. iii, v, vi.

Kuhustan, 1028 n, for Kuhistan, which see.

Kūīking or Kūyūīking,—a city in the country of Khūrjah [Corea], 956 n. Küj or Kü<u>ch</u>,—a territory to the north of Bangālah, 568 n, 598 n, See also under Kü<u>ch</u>.

Kujā,—a tract of country in the territory of Ohin, 961 n.

Kūjāh or Kūjah,—a district of Hindustān, 627 and n9, 750 and n6, 1225 n. Also written Kū<u>oh</u>ah and Gujah.

Ķūlā Sūe, the,—or River Ķūlā, in Turkistān, 948 n.

Kulan Bazi,-or

Kulān Tāzī,-or

Kulān Yāzī,—a place in the vicinity of Fanākat, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1103 n.

Kuldja, see under Khūljah.

Kulunjur, 524 n, for Kälinjar of Hind.

Kul-wāzī gateway,—at Baghdad, 1243 n, 1250 n, 1253 n.

Kulzum or Sea of Khurz, the,—the Caspian, 991 n.

Kum,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 994 n, 996 n, 1118.

Kumār,-or

Kumārūn, the Comorin of the maps, 1205 n 4.

Kumā'un,—a range of mountains in Northern India, 799 n 2.

Kūmis,—a district or province between Khurāsān and 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 1209 n.

Kum-Kumjūt,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 1184n. See also under Kam-Kamjūt.

Ķūm-Ķunjaķ,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 981 n, 982 n. See also under Ķam-Kunohaķ.

Kūnah or Gūnah-wāz,— a place in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 351 n 8. Kunar,—a valley north of the



Kabul river, 1048 n 1, 1044 n, 1045 n.

Kūnaūn-Ķor-ķšn,—a fortress in Mughūlistān, 1047 n 4.

Kun-Chiw,—a city in the Khitae territory, 956 n.

Kundus, xlviii, same as Kunduz, which see.

Kunduz,—a fortress of Tukhāristān of Balkh, in Khurāsān, xlviii, 288 n 3, 289 n, 809 and n 2, 1008 n 5, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1023 n, 1109, 1110 n, 1153 and n, 1226 and n 9. Formerly called Kuhandujz.

Küng-<u>Oh</u>īw,—a city in the <u>Kh</u>iţāe territory, 956 n.

Kūnī, 572 n7, for Nāran-go-e or Nāran-ko-e, which see.

Kunia Urganj, for Kuhnah Urganj, which see.

Kūnīah,—a city in the territory of Rūm, called Koniah by Europeans, 160 and n and 9, 161 and n, 162.

Kuntil, 550 nn 5 and 6. See under Kuntilah.

Kuntilah,—a town of Hindustan west of the Karam-Nasah, the Kuntil of the Indian Atlas, 550 an 5 and 6, 551 n.

Kur, the,—a river of the Persian Empire, 64 n 1.

Kūram, 1115 n 5.

Kurbat or Gurbat,—a fortress of Hamadān, in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 997 n.

Kurdish mountains, the,—between Kermanshah and Baghdad, 998 n. Kurdistan, 204 n. 1228 n.

Kuren or Ourga, 895 n. See under Ulüs-i-Aurgah or Urgah.

Kürkah Kinchan or Kipjan,-a for-

tress in the country of the Makrits, 947 n.

Kûrkan, the,—a river of Turkistan, 948 n.

Kurmah,—a district in the province of Karman, in Afghanistan, 499 n.

Kurmah, the,—a river watering the Karman province, 74 n 2, 498 n 7, 499 n.

Kurmah Darah or valley, the, the upper portion of the province of Karman, xiv, 498 n 7, 499 n.

Kurrah, 777 n, for Karah of Hindustan.

Kurram, the, 498 n 7, 499 n, for the Kurmah darah and river.

Kuruk or Karak,—a place of Hindustan, in the district of Harianah, between Rot-hak and Bhawani, 791 n 1.

Kurum, the, 74 n 2, for the Kurmah, which see.

Kusdār,—a city in the province of Mukrān, 74 and n 3, 88 n 2, 319 n 5, 616 n, 1015 n, 1018 n.

Ķūshān, 1206 n 3. See under Khabūshān.

Kūshk-i-Akhohak, the,—or

Küshk-i-Akhjak, the,—or

Kūshk-i-Akhjuk, the,—a castle in the capital city of Khwārasm, 281, 1100 and n 8.

Kūshk-i-Fīrūzī, the,—a castle in the capital city of Dihlī, 638 and n 2, 676. See also under the Kaşr-i-Fīrūzī.

Kūshk-i-La'l, the,—a castle in the capital city of Dihlī, 599 n.

Kushk-i-Manşur, the,—a castle on the bank of the Furāt in 'Irāķ, 1240 n. Küshk-i-Näkhüd,—a castle in Afghānistān, 381 # 2.

Küghk-i-Sabz [the Green Castle],
—in the capital city of Dihlī,
856. See also under the Kaşr-i-Sabz.

Kūshk-i-Safed,—a castle in Afghānistān, 831 n 2.

Küshk-i-Sultān,—a fortress in Fīrūs-koh the capital of Ghūr, xlvi.

Kustāntiah, 999 n, for Kustantiniah [Constantinople].

Kuşūr,—a town on the bank of the Biāh, 533 n.

Kū-Tāķ,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 1105 n.

Kuthī Masjid, the,—in the city of Dihlī, 520 %.

Kutlügh Bäligh,—the name given by

the Chingis Khān to the town of Zarnūk in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 975 \* 5.

Kutluk balig, for Kutlügh Bäligh, which see.

Kūt-Tāgh,—a range of mountains south of the country of the I-ghūrs, 889 n.

Kū-yang-quan,—a place in the country of the Khitā-īs, 958 n.

Kuyūlking, same as Kūlking, which see.

Kya-ling,—a town in the country of Ohin, 1222 n.

Kyang or Kin-sha, the,—a river of China, 1218 n.

Kyang-nan,—a Chinese town, 1219 n.

Kyan-lin the,—a river of China, lv, 1222 n.

## L

Lacaracoonda, for Lakarkundhah, which see.

Ladāķīah,—a town in the territory of Rum, 140 n 5.

Lādān or Lāwāu,—a town in the country of the Urūs [Russians], 1170 a.

Läghri,—a fortress of Gharjistän in the territory of Khurasan, 1072 and n 6.

Lähnor,—a district and town of Hindustan, 458 nn 3 and 4, 454 n. See also under Lähor.

Lähor or Lohor,—a district and town of Hindustän, xxi, xxii, xxx, 1, 1i, 88 n 2, 95 n 7, 108 n 5, 110 n 1, 112 and n 3, 113 n, 114 and n, 115, 190, 294 n, 849 n, 891

and n 9, 398 n 9, 449 n 8, 452 and nn 1 and 2, 453 and nn 3 and 4, 455 and n, 456, 457 n, 460 n, 461 n, 462 n, 463 n, 467 n, 476 n, 481 and n 9, 482 n, 484 n, 489, 491, 499 n 8, 508 n 8, 505 and n and n 5, 506 n 9, 523 n, 524 n, 525 and n, 526 and n and n 8, 527 n, 528 n 2, 529 n 4, 580 and n, 582, 533 n, 534 n and nn 5 and 6, 536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 539 n, 542 n 9, 547 n, 550 n 6, 577 n, 605, 607 and n 5, 608 and n 7, 609 and n 5, 612, 614 n 8, 627, 628 n 4, 681, 634, 641, 644 and n7, 655 and n 2, 656 n, 657 and n 7, 668 n, 674, 676 n 9, 677 n 6, 678, 684 and n 9, 689 n 6, 692 n 3, 696 m,

700 and n 8, 704, 726 and n 8, 727 and n 5, 728, 747 and n 1, 762, 768, 775 n, 788, 784 and n, 792, 793 and n and n 7, 795 n, 810 n, 811 and n 8, 812, 818 n 4, 822 n 9, 825 n 6, 830 and n 5, 839 n 4, 850 and n 6, 1126 nn 5 and 6, 1129 n 1, 1132, 1133 and n 8, 1135, 1136 and n 8, 1138, 1142, 1153, 1155 n 6, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1224 n, 1225 n,—app. xxiv.

Lahore. See under Lähor.

Lah-şā [al-Ahsā],—a tract of country in Arabia, 179 n 8.

Lā-'īr-wāl, the,—a tributary of the river Sind, 77 n.

Lakarkundhah,—a city of Hindustan in the Jaj-nagar State, 585 n 6.

Lake Baikal or Bae-Kol, the,—see the Baikal and the Bae-Kol Lake.

Lake Bāljīūnah, the,—see the Bāljīūnah Lake.

Lake Bālkash, the,—see the Bālkash Lake.

Muhammadan Lakhanawati,-a State of Hindustan and its capital, xxvii, liv, lix, lxi, lxii, 489 and n 7, 491 and n 5, 503 n 8, 530, 535 n, 547 n 8, 548 and n 2, 552, 553 n 5, 554, 558 n 7, 559 and n 2, 560, 561 n 9, 562 n, 565, 567, 568, 573 and nn 9 and 2, 575 and n and nn 9 and 1, 577 n, 578, 579 and n 4, 581, 582 n 6, 588 and n, 584 and n 3, 585 nn 6 and 7, 586, 587 and n 3, 588 n, 589 n, 590 n, 591 and n, 592 and n, 598 n 6, 594 and n, 595 n, 610 and n 7, 614 n 8, 617 n, 618 and n, 625, 626 and n 3, 627 and n, 628 n 3, 680 and n1,683 and n7,686 n3,641 and n8, 662, 663 and n8, 664, 665 and n8, 666 n, 667, 673, 701 n1, 712 and n9, 713, 780, 782, 786, 787 and n7, 788, 789 and n, 740 and n8, 741 and nn1 and 2, 748, 744, 747, 756, 757 n7, 762, 768, 764, 769, 770 and n9, 771 n, 772 n, 778 n, 774 n, 775 n, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 779 n, 787, 797 n, 808, 809, 827 n9, 833 n9, 847 n4, 848 and n, 849, 878 n, 1003 n4, 1046 n, 1081 and n9, 1111 n, 1251 n9,—app. xiii, xviii, xxvi. See also under Lakhnautī.

Lakhanawatī Lakhan-or,—a name by which Lakhan-or is sometimes styled, 585 n 7, 786, 787 n 7.

Lakhan-or or Lakh-or,—a city of Lakhanawati on the western side of the river Gang, 573 and n 2, 574 n 3, 585 and nn 6 and 7, 586, 588 n, 633 n 7, 636 n 3, 736, 737 and n 7, 739, 1072 n 6.

Lakhī jungle, the,—in the territory of Bhatindah, 79 n.

Lakhiyah, the,—a branch of the Brahmā-putra south of Kāmrūd, 562 n.

Lakhmana-watī, original name of Lakhanawatī, 548 n 2.

Lakhnão, x n 2,—the Lucknow of the maps.

Lakhnau, 759 n 5,—the Lucknow of the maps.

Lakhnaur, 588 n 5. See under Lakhan-or.

Lakhnantī, liv, lix, 203 n 1, 204, 582 n 6, 585 n 6, 771 n, same as Lakhaņawaţī, which see. [see. Lakh-or, same as Lakhaņ-or, which Lakhwāl,—a town of Hindūstān, 714 n 9, 788.

226 Index.

- Lakkī,—a town, district and range of mountains in Sind, 616 s.
- Lakshmaņa-watī, original name of Lakhaņawatī, 548 n 2.
- Läl,—a fortress in Tabaristan, 280, 993 n. See also under I-läl.
- Lalehr or Alehr,—a'town of Hindustān, 627.
- Lalitah-pattan,—a city in the Nīpāl valley, near the Beg-madī river, 567 n 1.
- Lamandesh, 308 n 9, for Mandesh, which see.
- Lambah-Sar. See under Lanbah-Sar.
- Lamghān,—a territory of Afghānistān, xlviii, 77 n, 79 n.
- Lamghānāt or the Lamghāns, xlviii, another name of Lamghān, which see.
- Lamhessar, 1188 n 8, for Lanbah-Sar, which see.
- Lampagee,—the name of Lumgh'an in the classical writers, 72 n 6.
- Lamsher, 1188 n 8, for Lanbah-Sar, which see.
- Lamsir, 1188 n 8, for Lanbah-Sar, which see.
- Lanba or Labnā Sāghūt,—the name given by Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān to the mountain of Ālā-Tāgh, which see, 1264 n.
- Lanbah-Sar,—a fortress in the Kuhistān of the Mulāḥidah, 1188 and n 8, 1206 and n 1 and 2, 1207 n, 1209 n, 1227 n 5.
- Lan-chew,—a Chinese town, 1222 n, now Pau-ning-Fû.
- Landaey Sind, the,—a tributary of the Indus, 78 n, 79 n, 1043 n 1.
- Lanhar, 1074n, for Kilinjar of Sind.

- Lanjar, 1074 n, for Kälinjar of Sind.
- Lanj-rūt, for Wanj-rūt, the territory in the Multan province, 728 #1.
- Lankai,—a fortress in the territory of Tingkūt or Ķāshīn, 947 n.
- Lär or Läristän,—a province of Persia, 93 n 7, 990 n, 991 n, 1207 n. See also under Lüristän.
- Lāristān,—a province of Persia, 93 n7. See also under Lār and Lūristān.
- Lār-jān,—a fortress on a mountain in Tabaristān, 993 n.
- Lār-jār,—a fortress in Tabaristān, 280 n 3.
- Lāsh,—a fortress in the territory of Sijistān, 1122 n.
- Lath of Kutb Şaḥib,—name of the Minarat in the city of Dihlī, 621 n 6, 622 n.
- Lātkīn-ābād, more correctly written Aytkīn-ābād, which see, 318 n 6.
- Lāwah,—a town in Rājpūtānah, 728 and n 3.
- Lāwān or Lādān,—a town in the country of the Urus [Russians], 1170 n.
- La-wir or Lū-ir, on the way between Rūd-bār and <u>Gh</u>arjistān, 869.
- Lenkoran, 1021 n 8, for Sankuran, which see.
- Lewak Shān, 1220 n. See under Līwak-shān.
- Lewpan,—a mountain in the Chinese province of Shen-si, 1219 n, 1221 n.
- Lhāsā, capital of Tibbat, li-

Lhuri,—a fortress in Sind, 542 \*9, now called Rohri.

Liso Ho or Charamuin, the,—a river of Chins, 885 n.

Lignits,—a town of Hungary, 1168 n.

Lin-tau-Fû,—a town in the Chinese province of Shen-si, 1218 n.

Lithuania, 1167 n.

Little Bukharia—Ķiohik Bukhārā, or the western part of the Kāshghar territory, 920 n, 922 n.

Liwak-shin,—a place within the confines of Tingnāsh, 1088 n, 1220 n,—called elsewhere Afwān-Shin. [Nāwar.

Lob, 1084. See also under Lob Lob Kaşal,—or

Lob-Katal,—a city which formerly existed in the territory of Kāsh-ghar, 922 n.

Lob-Nāwar,—a tract of country on the borders of Tibbat, 951 n, 1085 n.

Logar, the, 1021 n 7. See under the Lohgar river.

Logurh, the, 288 n 3. See under the Lohgar river.

Lohāwar or Luhāwar,—a district and town of Hindūstān, 453 n3, 482 n, 484 n, 524 n, 533 n, 536 n, 1126 and n5, 1135 n3, 1201 n, 1225 n. See also under Lähor.

Lohgar or Logar, the,—a river of Afghānistān, 73 n 8, 288 n 3, 1019 n, 1021 nn 7 and 8, 1042 n 5.

Lohor, same as Lähor, which see.

London, 309 n, 352 n 3, 883 n, 884 n, 1078 n 8, 1094 n, 1131 n, 1147 n 9, 1173 n 1.

Long-Ching,—a city in the Khita-i country, 956 n.

Long-gan-Fû,—a town in the country of Ohin, 1222 a.

Long-kang,—a place to the east of the Chinese city of Whan-chew, 1218 n.

Lopī, 670 n 2. See under Lūnī.

Lost River of the Indian Desert, the,—the Hakri, 707 n 6, 723 n 1, 811 n 8, 812 n 3, 813 n, 822 n 3, 823 n 3.

Lowah-wal,—a village of Hindustan on the banks of the Biah, 538 s.

Lower Sind, 614 n 8, 615 n 1, 1074 n. See also under Sind.

Lower Suwat,—a mountain district to the west of Kashmir, lv.

Lucknowty, 777 n, for Lakhanawatī, which see. [640 n 3.

Lūdīānah,—a town in the Panjāb, Luhāwar, same as Lohā-war and Lāhor, which see.

Luhāwūr, same as Lohā-war and Lāhor, which see.

Luhrī,—a fortress in Sind, 542 n 9, now called Bohrī.

Lū-ir. See under La-wir.

Lük,—a fortress in Lower Sind, 616 n.

Lukah, the,—a river of Turkistān, 981 n. Also called the Kalūr-Ān. Lumghán, 72 n 6, for Lamghān, which see.

Lūnī,—a town few miles north of Dihlī, 670 and n 2.

Lû-pan, mountain of, 1221 \*. See under the Lewpan mountain.

Lüristän, -a province of Persia, 174n, 277 n 5, 297 n 9, 991 n. See also under Lär or Läristän.

Lyan-tong,—a territory south of the Kobi Desert, 1217 a.

Lyau,—the empire of the Kin, 921 s.

Ma'abar, the,—in the Dakhan of Hind, 1216 n.

Ma'bar,—a village on the La'īrwal river, 77 n.

Mäburn-äbäd,—a town of Khurāsan, 287 n.

Macedon, 680 n 7, 1044 n.

Mā-<u>ch</u>īn,—a country east of <u>Kh</u>itā, 271 n, 883, 871 n, 912 n 9. See also under Mahā-<u>Oh</u>īn.

Madaran or Madaran, Sarkar of, south of Bangalah, 598 n.

Madāyin,—a place near Baghdād, 1245 n.4.

M&din,—a district in the territory of Ghūr, 838, 848, 844, 361, 364, 867 and n7.

Madinah,—the city where the prophet Muhammad is buried, 5, 7, 24, 64 n 1, 82, 138, 140 n 5, 218 n 5, 227 n 1.

Madinat-ush-Shahid [Madinat-ush-Shuhadā], or city of the Martyrs [Martyropolis], 1269 n.

Madrasah-i-sar-i-Haws,—a place to the south of the city of Sīstān, 195.

Madriwi, for Madru-mue, which

Madrue, for Madru-mue, which see.

Madrū-mūe,—a village on the frontier of Balkh, 75 and n 5.

Madrūsā-ṣālā, or Madīnat ush-Shahīd [Martyropolis], 1269 n.

Madrūkah,—a town of 'Irāķ on the east bank of the Furāt [Euphrates], 1240 n.

Mādūm-un-Nahr, 903 n. See under Mādūn-un-Nahr. Midun-un-Nahr,—a term applied to the cis-Amuiah or cis-Oxus countries, 903 n 8, 1137 n, 1146 n. Maghrab [Mauritania], 2, 7, 208.

Maghrab [Mauritania], 2, 7, 208, 209 and #, 214, 1252. See under Maghrib.

Maghrib, the countries in the north of Africa, west of Egypt, 1198 n, 1228 n. See also under Maghrab. Mag-madī, the. See under the

Bagmati and the Beg-mati.

Magyar, territory of, 1165 n, the Majār of the Arabs.

Mahāban,—a place on the western bank of the Indus, 78 m.

Mahā-Ohīn, the country east of Khitā as called by the Hindūs, 912 n 9, 1136 n 9, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1141 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1219 n. See also under Mā-Ohīn.

Mahamhā-ī or Mahamhā-ī Darah,
—a pass between Kāmrūd and
Tirhut, 568 and n 7.

Mahā-nadī, the,—a river between Lakhan-or and Jēj-nagar, 588 n, 592 n.

Mahā-nadrī or Mahān-adrī, the, 592 n. See under the Mahā-nadī. [the Mahā-nadī.

Mahanmhā-ī Darah, the. See under under the Mahamhā-ī Darah.

Mahā-pūr, 697 n, 799 n 2. See under Mīā-pūr.

Mahā'ūn,—a town of Hindūstān, 783, 755. See also under Mahāwan.

Mahāwan,—a town of Hiudūstān, 713 n 2, 714 n 9 733, 794, 824, 849 n 8. See also under Mahā'ūn.

- Mahir,—a place in the Sagar and Narbadah territories, 788, 744 and n 9, 824. See also under Mihir and Mihar.
- Mahmudābād,—a town between Tabrīs and Hamadān, 997 n.
- Mahobah, capital of the territory of Kälbī, in Hindūstān, 524 n, 558 n 5, 755, 757 n 7,—app. xxv, xxvi.
- Mahû,—a town in the country of Khitā or Ohīn, 1222 n.
- Maidin, the darah of,—south of the Kurmah, in the province of Karman, 400 n.
- Maidan,—a district near Kābul, 98 n 8.
- Maidin-i-Sabs,—a place in the city of Chasnin, 287 n 9.
- Maiden's Castle, the,—in the territory of Ghūr, 840 n 1.
- Maifarkat, the name of Mayyafarikin [Martyropolis] in the Syrian language, 1270 m.
- Maihand, 878 n 9, for Maimand, which see.
- Maimanah,—a place in Khurāsān, 129 n 3,—more correctly Mihanah.
- Maimand,—a small town of Ghaznin, 92 n 3, 378 and n 9, 391, 399.
- Maimūn-Dujs,—a stronghold in the Kuhistān of the Mulāḥidah, 706 n 8, 1206 n 1, 1208 n, 1209 n, 1257 n.
- Majār, territory of, 1165 n, the Magyar of Europeans.
- Makar,—a city in the country of the Urus [Russians], 1170 n.
- Makhad,—a town on the river Sind, 538 n.

- Makhiëlah mountains, or the Kohi-Jüd,—now known as the Salt Range, 458 n 4, 587 n, 588 n, 609 n 8.
- Makkab, the sacred city of the Moslems in the territory of Hijas, 5, 8, 24, 82 and n 8, 103 n, 188, 189 n, 140 n 5, 178, 185 n, 190, 192, 227 n 1, 228 n 4, 265 n 4, 346, 384 n 7, 717 n, 757 n 5, 835 n 3.
- Makrān, 88 n 2, same as Mukrān, which see.
- Maksadah or Maksidah,—a town of Hindüstän, 576 and n 4,—app. xxil.
- Maksidah or Maksidah, 576 n 4. See under Maksadah.
- Makwanpur,—a town of Hindustan, 560 n 4, 567 n 1.
- Mālān,—a Dasht or desert in the territory of Hirāt, 185 n.
- Malāzah-gird,—a place in Āṣarbāījān in the vicinity of Akhlāţ, 134 n 9.
- Mildah,—a city in the Bengal Presidency, 590 m.
- Malik-pūr,—a town in the Panjāb, 536 n, 537 n. See also under Malkapūr.
- Malkapūr,—a town in the Panjāb, 293 n 5, 536 n. See also under Malik-pūr. [wah.
- Málwa, 623 n 9. See under Māl-Mālwah,—a territory of Hindustān, 491, 587 n 4, 588 n, 589 n, 592 n, 622, 628 n 9, 628, 639 n 8, 690 n, 691 and n, 738 n 5, 743, 769, 817 and n 6, 824 and n 7.
- Manbij,—a fortress in the neighbourhood of Ḥalab, in the Diyāri-Shāmīah, 204 n.

Mandaur,—a province of Hindustan, 661.

Mandawar,—a fortress within the limits of the Siwalikh territory, 611 and n 3, 623 n 9, 627, 778 and n 1.

Mandawar, 611 n 8, for Mandawar, which see.

Mandeah,—a stronghold in Khurāsān, 308 n 9.

Mandesh,—a district and city in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 306 n 5, 308 and n 9, 311 and n 3, 318 and n 1, 319 n 5, 340, 1002 n 8, 1072 n 7.

Mandhu.-or

Māndhūņ,—a district south of Bangālah, 589 n, 593 n.

Mandiānah,—a district in the country of Hindūstān, 759.

Mandror, the chief town of Lamghān, in Afghānistān, 79 n.

Mandror, darah of,—in Afghānistān, 79 n.

Mandu of Mandu, 611 n 3 623 n 9, for Mandawar, which see.

Mandud, 611 n 8, for Mandawar, which see.

Mangali-Süyah,—another name of the territory of Käshghar, 922 n.

Mang-ching,—a town in the country of Khits or Chin, 1221 n.

Mäng-Fün,—a town in the country of Khitā or Ohīn, 1221 n.

Mangir, Sarkār of,—in the province of Bangālah, 593 n.

Mangishlak. See under Meng-Kishlak.

Manglan,—a range of Hills in Northern India, 453 n 4.

Manhārah,—a village on the east bank of the La'īr-wül river, 77 n.

Minik-pur,—a district and town of Bangalah south of Awadh, 590 n, 591 n, 668 n 8, 704, 737, 788, 757 n 7, 830, 838 n 7, 847, 848.

Mānish,—a town in the territory of Awadh, 744 and \$5.

Manj, name of the fortress of Bramah in Kinnauj, 86 n.

Mankas,—a city in the country of Bulo [Poland], 1166 n, 1167 n, 1171 n.

Man-Minārah,—a fortress on the west bank of the Indus above Atak, 78 n, 1048 n 1.

Mansurah or Mansura,—the capital of Sind, lix, 82, 539 and n 4, 674 n 3, 809, 810 n.

Manşūriah,—a fortress in Khurāsān, 1192 n.

Manşūrīah,—a town of Sindūstān, 533 n.

Manşūriyah,—a town in the Diyāri-Miṣrīah, 229 n 5. It is incorrectly printed Manṣūrah, see page lix.

Mansūr-pūr,—a district west of Dihli and north-west of Kaithal, 635, 707, 749 and n 2, 750, 830.

Man-Yazīd,—a fortress in Khuršsan, 82 and n 6.

Manzī,—the country east of Khitā as called by the Chinese, 1152 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1219 n, 1257 n. It is the Mahā-Ohīn of the Hindūs.

Mār-ābād,—a town of Khurāsān ten farsakhs from the city of Hirāt, 328 n.

Marāghah,—a town in the province of Āzarbāijān, 170 n 8, 178, 471 n 5, 997 n, 1001 n, 1254 n, 1256 n, 1262 n. Mamah-Dujz,—a fortress in the mountainous tract between Hamadin and the Siwid of Baghdad, 1238 n 8.

Maranjat, 1197 n 3, for Sar-i-Takht in the Kuhistän.

Marān-zād,—a place in the Hirāt district, 858 n 3.

Marbakht, 1197 n 3, for Sar-i-Takht in the Kuhistān.

Marddin. See under Mardin.

Mārdīn,—a town in the Diyār-i-Bakr, 1152 n, 1264 n, 1265 and n 1, 1278 n, 1279 n.

Mārgalah Pass, the, 96 n 2, 97 n. See under the Mārīgalah.

Margh-i-Nūlah,—a tract in the country of Ghūr, 415.

Marghanian,—the Marghilan of the maps, 921 n.

Marghīlān,—a city in the territory of Farghānah, 921 n.

Mārīgalah or Mārgalah, the,—a pass in the Panjāb, between Rāwal Pindī and Attak, 90 and n 1, 95 and n 4, 96 n 2, 97 n, 713 and n 6.

Marikhat, 1197 # 3, for Sar-i-Takht in the Ķuhistān.

Marim,—a tract in the country of the Urus, 1170 n.

Mārjīn,—a town in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 972 n.

Markumah,—a monastery in the city of Mayya-farikin, 1268.

Maro Malkah,—a town of Hindustan, 391 and n 1.

Marot, 812 n 3, for Marūt, which see.

Martyropolis,—the city of Mayyā-fāriķīn, in the Diyār-i-Bakr, 225 n4, 1264 n, 1269 n, 1270 n. See also under Mayyāfāriķīn.

Marût or Marût,—a place on the route from Dilhī to Unhchah, 688 and n 3, 812 and n 2 and 3, 851 n 8.

Mārūtah 851 n 8, for Bārūtah, which see

Marw,-a district and town in the territory of Khurāsān, 6, 12 n 8, 17, 23, 27, 38, 39, 50, 51, 70, 94 and nn 2 and 3, 97 n 3, 120 n 3, 121, 122 and nn 8 and 3, 124 n 4, 125, 126, 127, 129, 181 n 7, 132 and n 9, 133, 137, 146, 151, 152, 153 n 7, 156 and n 8, 233 n, 246 and n 8, 247 n, 248, 249, 250 nn 4 and 6, 251 n 9, 255 n 7, 256 n, 257 n 2, 311 n 1, 367, 375 n, 378, 381, 387, 393 n 8, 399, 400 n 3, 414, 457 n, 472 n, 478 n, 479 n, 480 n, 481 n 8, 491, 514 n 4, 906 n, 996 n, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1021 n 8, 1027 and n 8, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1030 n, 1031 n, 1032 n, 1033 n, 1034 n, 1036 n 1, 1037 n and n 2,  $1046 \, n$ ,  $1049 \, n$  2,  $1058 \, n$  5, 1226, app. iii.

Marw, the,—a brauch of the Oxus, 1030 n, 1031 n.

Marw-ar-Rūd,—a district and town in the territory of Khurāsān, 35 n2, 247 n, 257 n2, 300, 378, 391, 405 n3, 457 n, 481 n8, 491 and n4, 509 n, 1009 n, 1019 n, 1028 n. Now called Murghāb.

Marw-ar-Rûd, the,—a branch of the Oxus, 181 n7. Now called the Murgh-âb.

Marw-i-Shāh-i-Jahān,—a district and town in the territory of Khurāsān, 94n3, 131n7, 146, 152, 381, 397 and n9, 1027 n 8, 1028 n. See also under Marw. Mārwār,—a district în Rājpūtānah north of Jodpur, 521 n, 611 n 8.

Marwat,—a district in the province of Karman towards the Sind, 498 n 7.

Mash-had of 'Alī,—at Kūfah of 'Irāk, 64 n 1.

Mash-had of Müsä-i-Ja'far,—at the Karkh of Baghdad, 1232 and #9.

Mash-had of Tus,—in Khurasan, 1149 n, 1232 n 9.

Mashrik-ar-Rüd, 491 n 4, for Marwar-Rüd, which see.

Masul, the Kahlukah or Pass of, in the territory of Tingnagh, 1220 s.

Mathan or Mithan, 541 n7, for Banian, which see.

Matharah. See under Mathurah. Mathurah,—a city of Hindüstän, 85 n. 790 n 9.

Mau-Bäligh, or the unfortunate city, —the name given by the Mughals to Räsif, the capital of Bämiän, after its ruin, 427 n, 918 n, 1012 n 3.

Mauritania, 1229 n. See under Maghrab and Maghrib.

Mauro Castrum [Malāsah-gird], a place in Āṣarbāijān in the vicinity of Akhlāt, 184 \* 9.

Mausil,—a district and town of Mesopotamia, lviii, 59 and n4, 62 and n7, 148, 149, 169, 203 and n1, 204 and n, 205 n6, 207 n8, 225 n4, 883, 934 n, 1228, 1232, 1234 n8, 1237, 1239 n, 1244 n, 1247 n, 1252, 1262 n, 1270, 1272 n5, 1278 n, 1280 n5, 1281 n, 1282 n.

Mavrennahr, 915 n, for Māwarā-un-Nahr. Mäwar-un-Nahr, for Mäwarä-un-Nahr, see page lviii.

Miwari-un-Nahr Transoxiana ]. the countries beyond the Jihun. lvii, 12 n 8, 19 n 2, 24 and n 8, 26, 26 and # 3, 27 and # 6, 28 and # 8, 31 and # 8, 35 # 6, 44, 52 # and n1, 85 n, 86 n, 87 n, 116 and n2, 117 and m, 120 and m 3, 122 m 8, 128 n, 184 n 8, 187 n 6, 188 n 8, 189 n, 140 n 5, 147 and n 8, 154 n 2, 155 n, 227, 288 n, 246, 260 and n 7, 264 n, 266, 267, 269, 278 n and n 5, 275 and n, 280, 281 n 5, 874 n5, 875 n, 882, 426 n6, 484 n1, 759 n 3, 878 n, 880, 881 n, 882 n, 901 n, 902 n, 903 n, 904 n, 906 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 911 n, 912 n 9, 914n, 915n, 916n, 918, 921n, 928 n, 925 n, 926 n, 927 n, 930 n, 978 n, 980 and n 8, 998 n, 1007, 1015 n, 1075 n, 1087 n, 1097 n 7, 1187 n, 1142, 1145 n, 1146, 1152 n, 1186 n, 1194 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1218, 1220, 1258 n8, 1275 n3, 1292,app. xviii. [576 n 4,-app. xxii. Maxabad,—a town of Hindustan, Maxadabad,—a town of Hindustan, 576 n 4,—app. xxii.

Mayyš-fāriķīn [Martyropolis],—a city in the Diyār-i-Bakr, liz, 225 n4, 226 n7, 1262, 1264 n, 1265 and n9, 1268 and n9, 1269 n, 1270 and n and nn 2 and 3, 1272 n5, 1273 n7, 1278 n, 1279, 1280.

Māzandarān,—a province of Persis, 45 n 9, 94, 180 n 7, 241, 242 n 6, 261 n, 274 n 1, 277 and n 5, 279, 280, 926 n, 933 n, 990 n, 991 n, 992, 994 n, 1000 n, 1004, 1028 n, 1063, 1117 n, 1120 n 2, 1121 n, 1188 n and n 8, 1207 n. Masār-i-Hairat-i-Turkistān, or Turkistān [Hasret],—name of I-lāsh in the territory of Tarās, 932 n.

Mecca, 885 \* 8, for Makkah, which see.

Meemuna, 378 n 9, for Maimand, which see.

Megnah, the,—a river in southeastern Bengal, 589 n.

Meimuns, 378 n 9, for Maimand, which see.

Meng-Kishlak,—the Winter Station of the Meng, the Mangishlak of the maps, 1164 n 9.

Meimundiz, 1209 n, for Maimundujs, which see.

Merke,—a town in the country of Karā-Khitā, 919 n.

Merou, for Marw, which see.

Meru, for Marw, which see.

Merv, for Marw, which see.

Merv-i-Shāh-Jahān, 94 n 3, for Marwi-Shāh-i-Jahān, which see.

Meshed, 1232 n 9, for the Mash-had of Tus in Khurasan.

Mesopotamia, 135, 136 n, 204 n, 921 n, 1274 n, 1279 n. The Jazīrah of Arab geographers.

Mewar,—a city of Hindustan, near the Aravalli mountains, 705 n7.

Mewat, the hilly tract of,—in Hindustan, 684, 705 n 7, 706 n 9, 760, 818, 837, 846 n 6, 850, 851.

Mīāfārķīn, an error for Mayyāfāriķīn.

Mīā-pūr,—a place on the river Gang near Rurkī, 697 and n, 799 and n 2.

Mīā-pūrī,—an old place a little to the S. W. of Hardwar, 799 n 2.

Michani,—a place in the Khaibar mountains, 79 n.

Michni, for Michani.

Mīhanah,—a place in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 129 n 3,—called Maimanah by Europeans.

Mihar, 714 n 9, 733. Same as Mahir and Mihir, which see.

Mihir or Mihīr,—a place in the Sagar and Narbadah territories, 657, 718 n 2, 744 n 9, 794, 849 n 8. See also under Mihar and Mahir.

Mihrān, the,—another name of the river Sind [Indus], 295 n.

Mihrīn-dujz,—a fortress in the Kuhistān of the Mulāḥidah, 1192 n.

Minār of Ķutb Şāhib,—in the capital city of Dihlī, 520 n, 621 n 6, 622 n, —app. iv.

Mingrelia,—a country south of the Caucasus, 860 n 9.

Mírat, 688 n 3, 812 n 2. See also under Mīrath.

Mīrath or Mīrat,—a city east of Dihlī, the Meerut of the maps, 469 and n9, 491, 515, 516 n2, 702 and n3, 799,—app. ii, iv, v. See also under Mírat.

Mirwan or Nirwan,—a plain in the territory of Sind, 1047 n 4.

Misr, 13, 47 n7, 55 n 1, 134, 137, 139, 140 and nn 2 and 5, 163 n 5, 203, 204 n, 205 n 4, 206, 208 n 2, 209 and n and n 6, 210 and n, 211 and n 9, 212 and n 1, 213 and n 3, 214, 216 and n 3, 217 n, 218, 219, 222, 223 and n and nn 4 and 5, 224 and n 8, 225 n 4, 227 n 9, 228 and n 4, 229 n 5, 230, 299, 303 n 7, 470 n, 597, 616 n 2, 766, 796, 1152 n, 1193 n, 1246 n 5, 1254 n, 1256 n 6, 1259 n 3, 1260 n, 1261 n, 1265 n 9, 1275 n 3, 1276 n, 1277 n,

1978 n, 1280 n 5, 1281 n, 1282 n.
See also under Egypt and Kähirah.

[Mitriah.

Misriah. See under the Diyar-i-Mithan or Mithan, 541 n 7, 623 n 8, for Banian, which see.

Mithan-kot,—a place on the Indus, 541 n7.

Mogan, plain of, 996 n, 1001 n. See under Mughan.

Mogholistän. See under Mughalistän or Mughülistän.

Mogolistan. See under Mughalistän or Mughülistän.

Mongolia, 950 n. See also under Mughalistän or Mughalistän.

Montreal,—a town in the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem, 210 n.

Mooltan, for Multan, which see.

Morang,—a tract of country in Central Asia, 1044 n.

Moravia, 1167 n.

Mosal, for Mausil.

Mosdok,—the chief city of the Cherkassians, 999 n.

Mū-āwand,-or

Mū-āwandur,—a mountain range of Turkistān, 942 n.

Müdah, 627 and n7. See under Mündüdah.

Mughal-Tāgh,—a mountain in the territory of Farghānah, north of Khujand, 921 n.

Mughalistān or Mughūlistān [Mongolia], 271 n, 880 n, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 889 n, 890 n, 895 n, 896 n, 902 n, 913 n, 919 n, 922 n, 966 n 6, 988 n, 998 n, 1081 n 1, 1083 n, 1089 n, 1091 n, 1099 n, 1103 n, 1112 n 9, 1127 n, 1128 n, 1140 n, 1174 n 5, 1217 n, 1258 n 8. Also styled Jatah.

Mughān, plain of,—on the Cuspian in Āṣarbāījān, 995 n, 996 n, 1001 n.

Mughūlistān. See under Mughalistān.

Mukir,—another name of Baihak in Zāwulistān, 67 n 8, 87 n.

Mukrān,—a territory between Sind and Kirmān, xxiii, 88 n 2, 193 and n 4, 244, 283 and n 9, 284, 295 n, 390, 490, 534 and n 1, 616 n, 882, 934 n, 1074 n, 1075 n.

Mukrānāt or the Mukrāns, 295 n. See under Makrān.

Mulhidistan,—territory of the Multhidah, 394 and n1, 1187 and n6, 1198, 1206, 1207 n. See also under the Kuhistan.

Mūlī Sāng,—a fortified city in the country of Tingnāsh, in Turkistān, 1220 n.

Multan,-a district and town in the country of Sind, xiii, xxvi, 1, 85 n, 88 n 2, 91 n 2, 110, 114 n, 117 n, 119, 120 and n1, 187, 298, 294 n. 297, 321 n 7, 449 and nn 1 and 2, 451, 453 n 4, 454 n, 455 n, 456, 466 n 1, 476 n, 477 n, 482 n, 489, 491, 529 n 4, 530, 532 and n 1, 533 m, 535 and n, 586 n, 538 n, 539, 540 n. 541 and n7, 542 n9, 548, 610 n. 611, 612, 614 n 8, 622 n, 628 n 8, 628, 633 and nn 6 and 8, 634 n 9, 644 n 7, 645 and n, 656 n, 663 n 9, 668 n, 676 n 9, 677 nn 5 and 6, 678 n 7, 686 n 7, 687 and n 9, 688 and n and nn 8 and 4, 689 and n, 692 and n 3, 695 and nn 9 and 2, 696 naud n 8, 707 and n 6, 711 and n4, 714 n9, 720 n1, 728 and n1. 725 and #6, 727 and ##5 and 6, 728, 780 n 6, 781, 746, 747, 758,

781 and nn 9 and 2, 782 and n 3, 788 and n and n 7, 784 and n and n 3, 785, 786, 792, 795 n, 810 n, 812 n 2, 816 n 3, 822 and nn 9 and 1, 823 and n 3, 825 n 6, 840 n 2, 844 and n 1, 845 n, 859 n 8, 860, 903 n, 1074 n, 1129, 1130 n, 1183, 1153, 1154 and n 1, 1155 n 6, 1158 and n 7, 1174, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1224 n.

Mūmin-ābād,—a fortress in the Ķuhistān of the Mulāḥidah, 1203.

Mundore, 611 n 3, for Mandawar, which see.

Mündüdah or Müdah,—a town of Hindüstän, 627 and n 1.

Muner,—a place at the confluence of the Soane [Son] with the Ganges, 550, 551 s. Mūrang, the,—a river of Hindūstān, 560 n 4.

Murdhan,-or

Murdhan-kot, 561 n 8, for Burdhankot, which see.

Murgh-ab, 1009 n, the later name of Marw-ar-Rūd, which see.

Murgh-ab, the,—a river of Khurasan falling into the Oxus, xxi, 235 n 2, 248 and n 2, 324 n, 363, 379, 394, 457 n, 514 n 4, 1010 n.

Murghah,—a fortress in the district of Marw, 1034 n.

Murv,-and

Murve, 472 n, for Marw.

Mūsh,—a town near the banks of the eastern branch of the upper Euphrates, 1275 n 2. [lviii. Mūsil, an error for Mausil, see page

## N.

Nab,—a town between Firuz-koh and Hirat in the valley of the Hariw-ar-Rud, 358.

Nadiya,—a town in the province of Bengal,—app. xxiv.

Nadole, in Gujarāt, 522 m. See Nadūl.

Nadul,—a town in the territory of Gujarāt, 521 n, 522 n.

Nãe,—a district in the territory of Bāmīān, 414 and n 6.

Não,—a fortress in the district of Wajiristan, 103 and n6, 824 n, 857 n1.

Naeman country, the, 949 n, 981 n, 1145 n, 1219 n.

Nagāwān. See under Nakawān or Nakāwān.

Nag-awr, -a district and town in

the territory of Siwālikh, 200 and n 2, 469 n, 520 n, 549, 611 n 3, 616, 668 n, 685 n 4, 689 and n 5, 695 and n 2, 696 n, 699, 702 n 3, 759, 767, 780, 781 and n 9, 783 n 9, 792 and n 1, 798 and n 8, 827 n 5, 829, 830.

Naghawr, fortress of, 110. See under Nag-awr.

Nag-matī, the. See the Bag-matī and the Beg-matī.

Nagoor, 520 n, for Nag-awr, which see.

Nagor, 585 n 6, for Lakhan-or, which see.

Nagore, 611 n 3, for Nag-awr, which see.

Nagrahār, 96 and n 2, 1016. See under Nangrahār.

- Nahāsiah,—a town in the district of Baghdād, 1248 n.
- Nahr-i-'Isā, the,—a canal or stream in the district of Baghdād, 1240 n.
- Nahr-i-Sher, the,—a canal or stream in the neighbourhood of Baghdad, 1241 and n 1.
- Nahrwālah,—a city in the territory of Gujarāt, 82, 88 n 2, 114 n, 294 n, 451, 470, 491, 516, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n, 545 n 5, 602 and n 2, 1074 n.
- Nahrwan,—a town in the district of Baghdad, 62.
- Nahshab, for Nakhshab, the station between Hirāt and Chūr, which see.
- Nāhūn or Nāhun,—a town in the Silmūr or Sirmūr territory in Hindūstān, 839 n 9.
- Najaf,—a town of 'Irāk where the Khalifah 'Alī is buried, 1243 n.
- Najās or Na<u>kh</u>ās,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 995 s.
- Nakawān or Nakāwān,—a village in the district of Pāyal in Hindūstān, 640 and 24.
- Nakhās. See under Najās.
- Nakhistān,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 70 and n6.
- Nakhjīr,—a town in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, xlix.
- Nakhjūān,—a town in Ārarbāījān, 296 n, 997 n.
- Na<u>khsh</u>ab,—a town in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 46, 274 n 1, 1004 n 1, 1084 n.
- Nakhshab,—a station between Hirāt and <u>Gh</u>ūr, 325 n.
- Nālah-i-Bīāh, the, 533 %, another name of the Bīāh, which see.
- Naman, 1219 n. See under the Näemän country.

- Namān-Karah, 944 n. See under Samān-Kaharah. [i-Nu'mān.
- Namän Pushta. See under Pu<u>sh</u>tah-Namäz-gäh,—name of a gate of
- Namāz-gāh,—name of a gate of Samarķand, 979 n.
- Nămiān,—a district in the territory of Khurāsān, 401 n, 1020 n, 1021 n 8, 1082 n. Same as Bāmiān, which see.
- Nandah Dīwī,—one of the peaks overlooking the Kumā'ūn mountains, 799 n 2.
- Nandanah,—a district and fortress in the Sind-Sāgar Do-ābah of the Panjāb, 85 n, 534 and n 1, 535 n, 536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 539 n, 623 n 8, 627 and n 9, 678 and n 7, 679 n 6, 750, 815 n 6, 816 n 5, 1131 n.
- Nandanah, the,—a small river in the district of Fath-i-Jang in the Panjab, 537 n.
- Nandanpūr,—a small district north of Nandanah, 587 n.
- Nandna, 678 n7, for Nandanah, which see.
- Nandua-tari,—a fortress in the territory of Mukrān, 584 n l.
- Nandanah, fortress of, 537 n, for Nandanah, which see.
- Nang-matī, the. See the Bag-matī and the Beg-matī.
- Nangnihār, 94 n 8, for Nangrahār or Nagrahār, which see.
- Nangrahër, originally called Nekanhär,—a district immediately south of the Käbul river, 1, 98 and n 2, 540 n, 1015 n, 1016, 1622 n, 1043 n 1, 1044 n, 1103 n. Also called Nagrahär.
- Nanking, 958 n, another name of the city of Taiming, which see.

Naršin, 459 n 7, 537 n, 635 n 4, for Tarā'in, which see.

Naran-go-e,-or

Nāran-ko-e,—a district of Hindustān, 572 and n 7, 577.

Narbadah,—a territory of Hindustan, 744 n 9.

Narbadah, the,—a river of Hindustan. 588 n. 853 n 6.

Nārdīn [Mārdīn?],—a city in the Diyār-i-Bakr, 1152 n.

Nārdīn, 85 n, 86 n, 537 n, 678 n 7, for Nandanah, which see.

Nārīn, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 890 n.

Närkotī, 572 n 7, for Nāran-go-e or Nāran-ko-e, which see.

Nārnūl,—a town of Hindūstān, 730.

Nasībīn,—a town in Mesopotamia, 1264 n 4.

Nasīr-koh of Tāl-kān,-or

Nasr-koh,—a fortress of Tāl-kān in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 94 n 3, 993 n, 1003, 1005 and n 3, 1006, 1008, 1010, 1012, 1013.

Nava deva kala,—a town close to Rájgir about four miles S. E. of Kanauj, 680 n.

Neh,—a district and town in the territory of Nimroz, 196, 199, 200, 1062 and n 4, 1204.

Nehawend, 996 n, for Nihāwand, which see.

Nek-anhär, l, 1022 n, 1043 n 1, the original name of Nangrahär, which see.

Nek-Nihār, l, same as Nangrahār, which see. [Nīpāl.

Nepāl, 737 n 9. See also under Nephugard,—the name of Mayyāfāriķīn [Martyropolis] in the Armenian language, 1270 n. Nessa, 998 n, for Nisi, which see.

New Cairo, 140 n 2. See also under Kāhirah.

New Khulja,—a town in Mughulistān, 920 n.

Nicæs, the city of Nisā in Khurāsān, 1055 n.

Nigāristān,—a fortress of Gharjistān, 1199 n.

Nihāwand,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 807, 995 n, 996 n.

Niḥshab, for Nakhshab, the station between Hirst and Ghūr, which see.

Nikālah,—a place near Lāhor, 294 n.

Nil, the. See under the Nile.

Nīl-āb, territory of the Nīl-āb or river of Kābul, 1182 n.

Nīl-āb,—a ferry on the river Sind, 291 n, 292 n, 454 n.

Nīl-āb, the,—the river of Kābul, 78 n, 486 n 5. See also under the Kābul.

Nil-Ab, the,—another name of the river Sind, 1020 n.

Nile, the, 140 and nn 2 and 5, 218 n, 228 n 4.

Nīm-roz,—name by which the country of Sijistān is styled, 12 n5, 16, 20 and n3, 24, 84, 154 n2, 183 and n2, 185 n, 186 and n, 187, 188 and n7, 189 and n8, 190, 191, 194, 195, 196 and n5, 197, 198, 199 n7, 200, 201, 233, 287, 317, 378, 390 n6, 391 and n1, 626 n, 911 n6, 925 n, 967 and n3, 1119, 1120, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1203, 1204 n1.

Ninduna, 537 n, for Nandanah, which see.

Ningaish or Ningits,-a country

adjoining Khitā or Chīn, 1087 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1219 n, 1220 n. See also under Tingnāsh.

Ning-hya,—the Chinese name of Tingšī, a city in the territory of Tingķūt or Ķāshīn, 1085 n.

Ningias, 1216 s. See under Ningaish.

Nipal or Nepal, valley of, 561 n 1, 567 n 1, 639 n 8, 787 n 9.

Nirwan or Mirwan,—a plain in the territory of Sind, 1047 n 4.

Nirwul or Nirwur, 690 n 1. See under Nurwul or Nurwur.

Nisā,—a district and town in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 116 n 8, 117 n, 119, 121, 122, 123 n, 124 n, 125, 129 n, 262 n 1, 286 n 6, 391, 458 n 4, 491, 749 n 4, 993 n, 1031 n, 1033 n, 1037 n, 1055 n, 1060 n 5.

Nisatah,—the place where the Landaey Sind join the Kābul river, 79 n. [see.

Nīshābūr, same as Nīshāpūr, which Nishapoor, 993 n, for Nīshāpūr, which see.

Nishapor, for Nishapur, which see. Nīshāpūr or Nīshābūr,—a district and town of Khurasan, 13 and n8, 14 and n1, 15, 16, 17, 22 and n 7, 23, 36, 42 and n 3, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 and n 8, 48 and n 4, 49, 50 and n 4, 51 n 6, 59, 70 n 8, 71 n 5, 74 n 8, 76 n 2, 80 n 5, 81 n, 89 n 8, 94 n 2, 119, 122 and n 3, 124 n. 125 and n 8, 128 n, 130 and n, 131 n7, 182 n9, 138 n8, 181, 182, 193, 199, 238 n 8, 240 n, 245 n 7, 250 and n 6, 251 n 9, 252 and n 4, 254, 255 and #7, 273 m, 276 and m. 277 and n 5, 280, 286 and n 6, 346, 375 n, 380, 381 and nn 2, 4

and 5, 385, 391 and n 3, 798 and n 8, 418, 491, 513, 626 n, 908 n, 962 n, 987, 989 n, 990 n, 992, 993 n, 1000 n, 1014 n 2, 1027 n 8, 1028, 1031, 1033 n, 1034 n, 1035 n, 1036 n 1, 1037 n, 1049 n 2, 1058 n 8, 1116 n, 1117 n, 1126 n 6, 1212, 1226.

Nişîbîn. See under Nasîbîn.

Nobo-dwip, the former name of Nüdiäh, 559 n.

Nocreceuh,-or

Nocrecouh, for Nukrah-koh, which see.

No-po-tī-po-kīn-lo, Chinese name of Nava deva kala, which see, 680 n.

North China, 940 n.

North Western Provinces, the, 1131 n.

No-<u>sh</u>ahr,—a town in Aşarbāījān, 1286 n 9.

Nûbah, the Nubia of Europeans, 214 n 6.

Nubia. See under Nübah.

Nüdawär, the. See under the Nüdwär.

Nuddeah, 558 n 7, for Nüdiah, which see.

Nūdīah or Nūdīā, capital of the Hindū State of Lakhanawatī, before the Muhammadan conquest, lxi, 520, 554, 557 and n 4, 558 n 7, 559 and n and nn 2 and 8, 574 and n 6,—app. xxiii, xxv, xxvi.

Nüdwär or Nüdawär, the,—a river of Khwärazm, 478 n 2.

Nukrah-koh,—a mountain of Tukhāristān of Balkh, in Khurāsān, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1011 n.

Nu'man, Pushtah or mound of,-

in the territory of Khurāsān, 1009 and n 7, 1011 n, 1045 n. See also under the Pushtah-i-Nu'mān.

Nur,—a fortress in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ur, 328 n. See also under Tur.

Nür,—a district in the territory of Bukhārā, 117 n, 118 and n 4, 120, 976 n. [473 n 2.

Nür, the,—a river of Khwārazm, Nür, the,—a stream falling into the Kībul river, xlv. 77 n. 78 n.

Nūr, Darah of,—valley of the river Nūr, xlvi, 78 n. Nurokīrāt, the,—78 n, for Nūr and Ķīrāt, two streams falling into the Kābul river.

Nurwul or Nurwur,—a place forty miles east of Bhūpāl, 690 and n and nn 8 and 1, 691 n, 738 n7, 824 n 7, 825 and n 4.

Nurwur, 690 and n and nn 8 and 1. See also under Nurwul.

Nū-shā,—a city in the territory of Khitā, 956 n.

Nuzul, 521 n, for Nadul in Gujarst, which see.

0.

Ohan,—a tract of country in Mughūlistān, 1219 n.

Ohind, 78 n, for Uhand, a town on the Upper Indus.

Oke, wrong name of the fortress of Uk, 1122 n.

Old Cairo,—the al-Mişr of the natives, 140 n 2.

Old Kuldja,—a town on the river Ili in Mughūlistān, 919 n.

O-li-ma-lu,—the Chinese name of Almālīgh, 985 n.

Onon, the,—a river in the country of Khitā or Ohīn, 1218 n.

Ooch,—the name of Uchchah as spelt by Europeans, 541 n 6.

Oocha, 450 n, for Uchchah, which see.

Oong,—a town on the western bank of the Indus, 78 n.

Ordu Balik, 1189 n, for Urdüe-Bālīgh, which see.

Orontes, the,—called al-'Āṣī by the Arab geographers, 1276 n.

Ossetce,—the country of the As as called by Europeans, 1165 n, 1169 n.

Ouala, fortress of, 1019 n. See under Wäliän and Wälishtän.

Ouche,—the name of Uchchah as spelt by Europeans, 541 n 6.

Oude, 550 n 6, same as Awadh, which see.

Oukāeir, 1083 n, for Aķābir or Aķāīr of Turkistān.

Ourga, 895 n. See under Ulüs-i-Aurgah or Urgah.

Ousaneth,—a fortress of Gharjistan, 1001 n.

Oxford, 268 n 3.

Oxus, the, xxix, xlix, 25 n 8, 76 n 2, 84 n 9, 123 n, 278 n, 292 n, 426 n 6, 879 n, 882 n, 903 n, 921 n, 995 n, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1024 n 2, 1044 n. See also under the Jīhūn.

Oxyartes,—a Bākhtrian stronghold, 1054 n 2.

Paohoham,—a province of Bangalah, on the west side of the river Ganges, 586 n.

Padmāwatī or Bādwatī,—South Bihār probably, 592 n.

Paiwar,—a town in the province of Karman, 409 n.

Paiwar,—a darah or valley in the province of Karman, 499 n.

Paiwar Pass,—on the route from Chasnin to the Indus, 290 n 4.

Pakkā-kot,—a place on the route between <u>Khush</u>-āb and Makhad on the Sind, 588 n.

Pakla'i, 1043 n 1. Same as Pakli, which see.

Pakli,—a tract east of the Indus, 1043 n 1, 1045 n.

Palamão,—a district in the territory of Bhāṭah, west of Bangālah, 588 n.

Palestine, 214 n 8, 326 n, 470 n. See also under Filistin.

Pali,—a town in the territory of Gujarat, 521 n.

Palri,—a place in Gujarat close to the Arawali hills, 521 n.

Paltarah,—a territory in Hindustan, 713 n 2. See also under Baltarah.

Palwal,—a place in the Bharst-pur territory in Hindustan, 726, 790.

Pamir, mountains of,—in Central Asia, 426 n 6.

Panduah,—a town in the territory of Bangalah, 590 n, 591 n.

Pānīpat,—a town of Hindustān, 505 n 8, 679.

Panj-Ab, the,—the five rivers of the Panjab, 609 n 1, 614, 811 n 8, 1155 n 6.

Panjab, the, xiv, xxiv, xxvii, li, 79 n,

95 n 4, 96 n 1, 112 n 3, 113 n, 114 n, 121 n 7, 125 n 5, 290 n 4, 291 n, 293 n 5, 326 n, 458 n 4, 454 n, 455 n, 466 n 1, 469 n, 477 n, 503 and n 8, 505 n, 518 n, 526 n 8, 527 n, 533, 535 n, 537 n, 539 n, 608 n 8, 609 n n 1 and 5, 610 n 6, 633 n 6, 644 n 7, 645 n, 663 n 9, 678 n, 687 n 1, 689 n, 696 n 3, 713 n n 2 and 6, 716 n 5, 730, 784 n 4, 811 n 8, 822 n 9, 860 n, 869 n 1, 905 n, 997 n, 1015 n, 1043 n 1, 1074 n, 1144 n 6, 1152 n, 1155 n 6, 1184 n, 1202 n, 1225 n,—app. xxi.

Panj-āb,—a ford over the river Amūiah or Jīhūn, 988 n.

Panj-āb-i-Sind, the,—the five rivers of Sind, 503 nn 7 and 8.

Panj-Angusht,—a halting-place in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 1231 n, 1288 n8.

Pauj-dīh,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 378, 391.

Panj-hīr, the,—a river of Afghānistān, 288 n 3.

Panjkora, the,—a river in the Swat country, 1045 n.

Panj-Nad, the,—or the five rivers, 1155 n 6. Same as the Panj-Ab, which also see.

Pāpīn,—a town in the district of Nangrahār, 1044 n.

Pār or Bār,—a place in the territory of Khurāsān, between Hirāt and Ghūr, 325 n.

Pāras, the,-or

Pāras-Kol, the,—east of Mughülistān, 890 n.

Parāyān,—a place in the territory of Khurāsān, between Hirāt and Chūr, 325 n.

Paris, viii, 68 n 4, 159 n 7, 319 n 5, 385 n 9, 340 n 1, 354 n 7, 376 n 3, 410 n 5, 426 n 5, 432 n 1, 433 n 6, 491 n 2, 567 n 2, 568 n 7, 572 n 7, 573 n 2, 581 n, 605 n 1, 626 n n 7 and 8, 627 n 9, 672 n 2, 674 n 4, 684 n 8, 695 n 1, 703 n 9, 870 n, 1071 n 2, 1197 n 3, 1283 n 8, 1292 n 8,—app. xv.

Parshāwar or Barshābūr,—a city on the bank of the river Sind, 76 n 2, 80 n, 483 n, 533 n, 1015 n, 1022 n, 1043 n 1, 1047 n 4, 1082 n. See also under Barshābūr, Barshāwar and Burshor.

Parahor, 540 n. See under Barshāwar and Parahāwar.

Pārs-kol, the,—east of Mughülistān, 890 a.

Parthia, 1188 n. Now the 'Irak-i-'Ajam, which see.

Parwan,—another name of Barwan, the town between <u>Ghasnin</u> and Balkh, which see, 288 \*\* 3.

Parwan or Farwan,—a town of Afghanistan, on the Panj-hir river, 288 \* 3.

Parwan Pass, the,—a narrow valley north of Kābul, xlix, 288 n 3, 259 n, 290 n, 1020 n, 1042 n 5, 1075 n. Also called Barwan.

Parwan Pass, the,—over the Hindu-Kush, 288 n 8.

Pārwatī or Bhawānī, temple of, in Amarkantak of Jāj-nagar, 588 n.

Pār-yāb, of Turkistān, 128 n. See under Fār-yāb.

Patan [Pachcham],—a province of Bangālah, on the west side of the river Ganges, 586 n. [tah. Patestah, 550 n 5. See under Patī-

Pathadah, 645 n 4, for the fortress of Tabarhindah in Hindustän.

Pathindah, fortress of,—capital of Jai-pāl, the Bādshāh of Hind, 458 n, 462 n, 603 n 6, 645 n 4. See also under Bathindah and Bhatindah.

Patīālī,—a town on the southern bank of the Ganges, 551 n.

Patītah,—a town of Hindūstān, between the Ganges and the Karam-Nāsah river, 550 nn 5 and 6, 551 n.

Pau-ning-Fû, 1222 n. The present name of Lan-chew, which see.

Pāyal or Pāyil,—a town on the route from Dihli to Lūdiānah, 640 and n3.

Pāyil. See under Pāyal.

Pe-che-li,—a province of Khitā or Chīn, 1219 n.

Pekin,—the capital of China, 920 n, 958 n, 1090 n, 1219 n.

Pelusium, the modern Balbis in Egypt, 212 n 1.

Persia, 14 n 3, 70, 93 n 7, 295 n, 309 n, 426 n 6, 442 n, 447 n 9, 694 n 4, 991 n, 1003 n 5, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1064 n 2, 1188 n 8, 1264 n, 1279 n, 1292 n,—app. xxi. See also under I-ran.

Persian Gulf, the, 179 and n 8, 1245 n 4.

Peshāwar, 1, 76 n 2, 78 n, 79 n, 81 n, 285 and n 5, 291, 452 n 8, 465 n, 510 n, 518 n, 535 n, 588 n, 874 n, 1002 and n 5, 1013, 1016 n 3, 1021 n 8.

Peshawir, for Peshawar, which see.
Peshawur, for Peshawar, which see.
Pharwala,—a hilly tract in the Salt
Range, 1130 n. [1136 n 9 .
Pian-kin,—a city of Khita or Ohin,

- Pich or Pich,—a country north of the Käbul river, 431 n 5, 1044 n.
- Piechipali, 918 %, for Bish-Bāligh, which see.
- Pind-i-Dādan <u>Kh</u>āu,—a town in the district of Nandanah, 537 n.
- Pindār or Bindār,—a fortress in Gharjistān, 342. See also under Bindār.
- Pinjan,—a place near Turfan in Turkistän, 916 n.
- Pirisabur,—a city in Assyria on the Euphrates, 135 n 1.
- Pīr-Pinjāl,—a range of mountains in Kashmīr, 1044 n, 1132 n.
- Pishawur, 518 n, for Peshawar, which see.
- Pitan,—a city in the territory of Gadhah-Katankah, in Hindustan, 588 n.
- Piwar, 499 n, for the Psiwar darah, which see.
- Po-chu, the,—name of the Oxus with the Chinese, 426, n6.
- Poland, 1165 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1171 n.
- Po-la-si,—name of Fars with the Chinese, 1011 n.
- Pragiyotisha,—the ancient name of Gowahatty in Western Kamrad, 563 n.
- Pratah Minārah,—Fallen Minār, a fortress on the western bank of the Indus, 78 n, 1043 n 1.
- Precop,—a town in the country of the Urūs, 1000 n.
- Pul-i-Ahangaran,—a place between

- Ghür and Ghaznin near unto Firüs-koh, 1047 and n 5.
- Pul-i-'Ashikan,—a stone bridge across the river Hirmand, 355 n6.
- Pul-i-Kārwān,—a place in the vicinity of 'Alī-ābād of Balkh, in Khurāsān, 129 n.
- Pul-i-Yak Tāķ,—an One-arch Bridge in the city of <u>Ghaznīn</u>, 445 and n1.
- Punjāb, the, 79 n. See also under the Panjāb.
- Pūrab,—a province of Bangālah, on the east side of the river Ganges, 586 n.
- Purb, 586 n. See under Pürab.
- Pur<u>sh</u>āwar, 483 n, 533 n. See under Par<u>sh</u>āwar. [Par<u>sh</u>āwar.
- Purshor. See under Burshor and
- Pusht,—a name of a dependency of Bädghais, in Khurasan, 580 n 9.
- Pushtah Afroz [the Burning Mound], in Badghais of Khuraszn, 580 and n 9.
- Pushtah-i-Nu'mān, the,—a hill in the vicinity of Tāl-ķān of Khurāsān, xlix, 1009 and n7, 1011 n, 1012, 1013 and n8, 1020 n, 1023, 1027, 1045 n, 1049 n2, 1071, 1073, 1079 n3, 1082 n.
- Putun,—the Rājpūt State of Pātan (or Anhilwāra Pattan) in Gujarāt, 467 n, 520 n.
- Pyen-lyang,—the name of the city of Taiming with the Chinese, 95 n.

Q

Quang-si,—a province in the country of Khitā or Chīn, 1222 n.

Quey-chew,—a Chinese town on the borders of Hû-quang, 1222 n.

Quey-lin-Fû, capital of the Chinese province of Quang-si, 1222 n.

R.

Rabāţ-i-Māsah,—a tract of country in the territory of Khwārazm, 121.

Rād, 585 n 5, same as Rāl and Bārh, which see.

Rādakān,—a plain in the territory of Tūs in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 247 n, 256 n, 991 n, 1196 n, 1287 n

Rādīān, 991 n, wrong name given to the plain of Rādakān, which see.

Rafāķ [Riwāķ ?]-i-Yahūd,—a maḥallat or quarter in the city of Mayyāfāriķīn [Martyropolis], 1269 n.

Rägh-i-Wejz,—a plain in the territory of Ghür, 372 n 1.

Ragh-i-Zar,-or

Rāgh-i-Zarīr,—a plain in the territory of Ghūr, 372 and n 8.

Rahab, the,—a river of Hindustan, 697.

Rahī, 521 n, for the town of Palī in Gujarāt.

Rai,—a district and town in the 'Irūķ-i-'Ajam, l, 10, 16 and n 2, 33, 43, 47, 53 n 6, 55 n 1, 56, 59, 61 n 4, 62, 81 n, 93, 121, 124 n, 125 nn 8 and 1, 132 n 9, 136 n, 143 n 2, 144 n, 166, 167 n 8, 171 n, 176 n 4, 177 n 6, 180 n 7, 249 n 3, 266 n, 276 n, 277 n 5, 273 n, 283 n 9, 296 n, 297 n 9, 990 n, 991 n,

994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1228 n, 1262 n, 1278.

Rājah Hodaey's castle,—on the west tern bank of the Indus, 78 n.

Rajgir,—a fortress to the S.-E. of Kanauj, 680 n.

Rāj-mandrī,—a district of Hindustān, 592 n.

Rājpūtānah, 728 n 3.

Rāj-Shāhī,—a district of Hindūstān, 585 n 7. [see.

Rakah, 12 n 6, for Rakk'ah, which Rakk'ah,—a town in Mesopotamia, 12 and n 6.

Rāl [Rārh],—one of the two wings of the territory of Lakhaṇawaṭī, on the western side of the river Gang, 585 and nn 5 and 7, 737 and n 7.

Ramhek, 487 n, for Dam-yak, a place west of the Jhilam, on the route to Ghaznīn.

Rammā,—a town in the Diyār-i-Bakr, 1152 n.

Rām-pūr,—a town in the Rāj-Shāhī district, 585 n 7.

Rām-Shahr,—a city in the territory of Sijistān in Khurāsān, 20 n 3. See also under Rām-Shahristān.

Rām-Shahristān,—an ancient city in the territory of Sijistān in

Khurisin, 1123 n. See also under Rim-Shahr.

Rim-takht,—a town on the western bank of the Indus, 78 n.

Rang,—a fortress of Guzarwan, in the territory of Khurasan, 1008, 1072 and n 4.

Rangamahat,—one of the chief cities of Bangalah, 586 n.

Rangamati,-or

Rangamatty,—a district of Bangālah, 586 n 9.

Rang-pur,—a town in the territory of Bengal, 570 n 9.

Rantabhūr or Ranthabhūr,—a fortress of Hindūstān, 517 n, 519 n, 542 n 9, 591 n, 610, 611 n 9, 623 n 9, 627, 642, 684, 685, 713, 818 and n 4, 819, 824 n 8, 850.

Ranthubhūr, same as Rantabhūr, which see.

Rarh or Rai,—one of the two wings of the territory of Lakhanawati, on the western side of the river Gang, 585 and nn 5 and 7, 787 and n 7.

Rasiet, mountains of,—a name given by mistake to certain mountains in Ghūr, xlix, 318 n 9, 319 n 8, 441 n 7.

Rigif or Ragif,—the chief town in the district of Bimiin, xlix, 427 n, 434 n, 435 n, 1025 n. Also called Arguf.

Rāsīn,—a fortress in the district of Mālwah, in Hindūstān 587 n 4, 588 n.

Ratan-pür,—a fortress in Jhär-Kundah, north of Jäj-nagar, 587 n 4, 588 n, 591 n.

Rāwā,—a town in the Diyār-i-Bakr, [Mesopotamia], 136 n.

Rāwah or Rāwī, the,—a river of Lohor in the Panjāb, 726 and n 8, 811 and n 8, 1156 n 7. See also under the Rāwī.

Rāwal Pindī,—a Division under the Panjāb Government, 95 n 4, 537 n, 538 n, 1191 n.

Rāwan Hrida, Lake,—the fountainhead of the Sutlai, 737 n 9.

Rāwī or Rāwah, the,—a river of Lohor in the Panjāb, 460 n 3, 811 and n 8, 1181 n, 1154 n 1, 1155 n 6, 1156 n 7. See also under the Rāwah.

Rayi, 996 n, for Rai, which see.

Rās,—a place near Sabzwār, xlix, 385 n 9, 429 n 4.

Razān,—a tract of country in the territory of Ghūr, 326 n.

Red-River—Sur kh-rūd—, the,—a tributary of the Kābul river, 79 %.

Reg-i-Gunjān,—a place to the south of the city of Sijistān, in the territory of Khurāsān, 20 and n 3. See also the next.

Reg-i-Rawān,—a running or flowing sand situated near Kala'-i-Kāh in Sijistān, 35 n.

Reg-Pul,—a sand or gravel gate of Firus-koh leading to Bust, 412 and n 8.

Rhutak, 487 n, for Dam-yak, a place west of the Jhilam on the route to Ghaznin.

Right or Zight, for the fortress of Sar-i-Taght in the Kuhistan, 1197 n 3.

Rinn or desert of Kaghh, the,—between Gujarāt'and Sind, 82 n 2.

Rintambór, 623 n 9, for Rantabhür, which see. [Hindustän, 806, 807. Riwäri,—a tract of country in Ro'en,—a mountain in the central part of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 319.

Roh,—a tract of country in Afghānistān, 510 n.

Bohrī or Lhurī,—a town of Sind, 542 n 9.

Rohtak,—a town of Hindustan, 693 n 7.

Rohtuk, 487 n, for Dam-yak, a place west of the Jhilam, on the route to Ghaznīn.

Rome, 1268 n 9. See also under Rümīah-i-Kubrā.

Bot-hak,—a town in the district of Harianah in Hindustan, 791 n1.

Roz-mandesh, 808 n 9, for Mandesh, which see:

Rudak,—a town in the district of Samarkand, 158 n 7.

Büdbär,—a district and town between Gilän and Kazwin, 908 n, 996 n, 1192 n. See also under Rüdbärän or Rüd-bärät.

Rüdbär of Jīraft,—in the province of Kirman, 283 n 9.

Rūdbār,—a town in the district of Marw, 367 and n 8, 369, 378, 379, 399, 427.

Rūd-bārān,-or

Rüd-bērāt,—a district and town between Gilān and Kaswin, 1208 n, 1209 n. See also under Rüdbēr.

Rue,—a town in the territory of Khurasan, 471 n 5.

Ruhi [Edessa],—a town of Mesopotamia, 225 n 4, 1264 n.

Rühah, 1264 n, for Ruhi [Edessa], which see. Ruhtās,—a fortress on the route between Ghaznīn and Hind, 588 n. Ruhtās-garh,—a district of Hindüstān, 588 n.

Rū-īn-dujz,—a fortress of Maršghah in Azarbāijān, 997 s, 1001 s. Ruirā,—a town near the hill of

tuira,—a town near the min Abū in Gujarāt, 521 n.

Rukhaj,—a small tract of country in the district of Bust, 318 and n6, 350 and n4.

Rum, the Bilad or country of, xlvii, lix, 3, 4, 5, 133, 134 n 9, 135, 137, 138 and n 7, 139 n, 140 n 5, 167 and n 4, 158 n, 159, 160, 161, 162 and n 2, 163 and n 5, 164 and n 7, 168 n 2, 171, 204 n, 211, 214, 215, 219, 226, 229, 235 n, 297, 298 n, 752, 884, 1141 n, 1161 n, 1162 n, 1158, 1160 n 8, 1167, 1186 n, 1191 n, 1192 n, 1195 n, 1228 n, 1237 n 4, 1239 n, 1152, 1262 n, 1276 n, 1292.

Rümiah-i-Kubrā [Rome], 1268 n 9, 1269 n.

Rumilis,—a country in Turkey in Europe, 575, 752.

Rurki,—a town of Hindustan, 704 n2, 799 and n2.

Bus or Urus, country of, 870 n, 887 n, 999 n, 1102 n, 1165 n, 1167 n, 1168 n, 1292 and n 9.
 See also the next.

Russia, 886 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1169 n 2, 1170 n, 1171 n. See also the above.

Rustamdër,—a territory on the shores of the Baḥr-i-Khurs [the Caspian sea], 263 n, 991 n, 1117. Ruthenia, 1168 n.

- Sabā [Sheba],—a tract of country in the territory of Yaman in Arabia, 6, 303 n 7.
- Sabangjī or Sabankjī, 1072 n 8. See under Sabekjī.
- Sabegjī, fortress of, 363. See under Sabekjī.
- Sabekh,—a fortress in the vicinity of Ghaznīn, 1072 n 8.
- Sabekjī or Sabegjī.—a fortress in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, now western <u>Afgh</u>ānistān, 363 and n 6, 1072 and n 8.
- Sābit-garh, otherwise 'Alī-garh, a town of Hindûstān, 796 n. See also under 'Alī-garh.
- Sabrās, -- a dependency of Nīshāpūr in the territory of Khurāsān, 181 and n 8.
- Sabrāsh, 181 n 8, for Sabrās, which see.
- Sabzwār,—a district in the territory of Khurāsān, xlix, 247 n, 397 n7, 491, 1027 n8, 1037 n,—formerly called Isfīrār.
- Sadd-i-Sikandar or Bāb-ul-Abwāb, 680 n7. See under the next.
- Sadd-i-Yājūj-Mājūj or Barrier of Gog and Magog—the Great Wall of China, 680 n 7, 794 n 1.
- Saḍhūrā, the,—an old name of the Chināb, 76 n 2, 80 n. See also under the Sūdarah or Sūḍharah.
- Sadī-Ķurgān,—a place in Mughūlistān, 940 n.
- Sadūsān or Sīwastān, -- a city in the province of Sīwastān or Siḥwān, now the Upper Sind, 294 n, 540 n. See also under Sīwastān.
- Şaf,—a fortress of Hindüstän, 1205 a.S.

- Şafalıān, same as Işfahān, which see.
- Safed Koh, the. See under the Safid Koh.
- Şafhed,—a fortress in Sijistān or Sīstān, in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, xxv, 1205 and n 3.
- Safhed Koh or Sufed-Koh,—a fortress in the territory of Sijistan, in <u>Kh</u>urāsan, 202 and n 6.
- Safid-Koh or Safed Koh,—a range of mountains in Afghānistān, 74 n2, 874 n, 1022 n, 1044 n. Also called the Spīn-Ghar.
- Safkīn, 1283 n 1, for Saksīn, which see.
- Sagar or Saugor,—a territory of Hindustan, 744 n 9, 824 n 9.
- Sagāwn,—a town in the territory of Lakhaņawaţī, 655 n 5.
- Saghar or Taghar-i-Ghuzz,—a tract of country in Turkistän. 960 and n 6, 961 n, 963 and n 8.
- Saghir or Saķir,—a town of Turkistān between Kāshghar and <u>Kh</u>iţā, 960 n 6, 961 n.
- Sagh-nāķ, xlviii, 908 n. Same as Sak-nāķ, which see.
- Sahāran-pūr,—a town of Hindūstān, 611 n 3.
- Şahārī. See under Şuhārī.
- Sahilî or Sihwalî, 550 n 5, for Bhîülî or Bhīwalī, which see.
- Sahl-ābād,—a place in the territory of Khurāsān, on the frontier of Balkh, 75 n 5.
- Sählat or Sahlast, 550 n 5, for Bhagwat, which see.
- Şahrā-i-jūd,—a place in Hindūstān near the capital city of Dihlī, 758 n 2.

- Sahrā-i-Mū<u>sh</u>,—the valley of the Furāt [Euphrates], north of the Alā Dāgh, 1275 and n 2.
- Şa'īd,—a district in the Diyār-i-Miṣrīah [Upper Egypt], 210 n, 228 n 4.
- Saidā,—a town in the province of Karmān, 499 n.
- Sa'idān—Upper Egypt—, 228 n 4. See also under Sa'id.
- Saifrūd,—a fortress in the territory of Ghūr, 115 and n6, 375 n, 390, 456, 1062. and n7. 1066, 1068, 1070 and n and n8, 1072 n5.
- St. Petersburg, vi, vii, viii, 68 n 4, 70 n 6, 72 n 6, 254 n 3, 269 n 3, 516 n 1, 572 n 7, 609 n 2, 619 n 7, 680 n 9, 682 n 5, 791 n 3, 832 n 7, 853 n 5, 900 n 2, 1023 n 9, 1284 n 4, 1289 n 4,—app. xv.
- Şairām or Sairām,—a town of Turkistān S. of the Ulugh Tāgh, 877 n, 969 n 1, 970 n 2.
- Snjāng or Asjānk,—a Şaḥrā or Steppo in Mughūlistān, 1141 n.
- Sakah-Mānī, 697 n 5, for Tiklah-Bānī, in Hindūstān.
- Sakar,—a fortress on an island in the river Sindh, 294 n, 542 n 2, 543 n.
- Saker, 960 n 6. Same as Saghir or Sakir. [see.
- Sā-Khānah, for Siyā-Khānah, which Sakīt,—a town of Turkistān, 961 n.
- Saklab,—country of the Slavs or Sclavonians, 1167, 1292. See also under Suklab.
- Sak-nāk or Sagh-nāk,—a town of Turkistān north of Utrār, xlviii, 908 n. 970 n 2, 971 n.
- Saknāt, 557 n 3, 558 n 8. Sec under Sankanāt.

- Saķsīn,—a territory in Turkistān, 234, 1115 n 5, 1283 and n 1, 1291, 1292 and n 9.
- Salā-Mihr,—a fortress in the district of Zawzan in Khurāsān, 258 n, 283.
- Salcot, fort of, 454 n, for Siāl-kot, which see. [tān, 1090 n.
- Sali-chwen,—a place in Mughūlis-Sālī kī Sarāe,—a place on the route from Rāwal Pindī to <u>Kh</u>ānpūr, 1191 n.
- Sālingāe or Salingāe,—a tract of country north of Mughūlistān, 870 n, 889 n, 1185 n.
- Salingah. See the above.
- Sālingah or Sālingāh, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 888 n, 889 n, 940 n, 947 n.
- Salmās,—a town in Āţarbāîjān, 997 n, 1262 n.
- Salomad,—a fortress in the district of Khowaf, in the territory of Khuiāsān, 258 n.
- Salt Desert [Shoristān], the,—in the territory of Khurāsān, between Hirāt, the Kuhistān and Sijistān, 1015 n.
- Salt Lake, the,—in Rājpūtānah north of Ajmīr, 728 n 3.
- Salt-Range, the,—a range of mountains in Northern India, 74 n 2, 294 n, 482 n, 498 n 7, 537 n, 541 n 7, 609 n 3, 623 n 8, 727 n 6, 1130 n, 1131 n. See under the Makhīālah Hills and the Jūd mountains or Koh-i-Jūd.
- \$a'lūk,—a fortress in the province of Gilān, 1029 n.
- Salu-ling-kiw,—one of the entrances in the great Wall of China, 955 n.

Sālūrah or Aslūrah,—a place in the territory of <u>Khurāsān</u>, on the limits of Maimand and Fār-yāb, 400 and n 8.

Samairam,—one of the dependencies of Isfahān, in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 170 n 8.

Samāīrān,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 880 s.

Sāmān,—a place in the territory of Balkh, 26 n 8.

Sāmān,—a village in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 26 n 8.

Samānah or Sāmānah,—a district in Hindus.ān, 516 n 2, 608 n 8, 707, 708, 758, 785, 880, 840, 841.

Samand,—a place of Hindustan, 608 n 8.

Samān-Kaharah or Samān-Karah, —a place in Mughūlistān, 944 n, 918 n, 966 n.

Sam-Ārām, the original name of Samairam, which see.

Samarcand, 1045 n, for Samrkand, which see.

Samarkand. See under Samrkand. Samarkant,—a city on the river Atil or Wolga [the Volga], 1290 \*\*9.

Sambal, 684 n 8, for Sanbhal, which see.

Sām-ghar,—a tract west of Kāsh-ghar, 922 n.

Samisat, Samisat or Şamişat,—a town in the Diyar-i-Shamiah, 223 and n 5 and 6.

Samnagan,—a division or district in the territory of Balkh, 426 n6.

Samuakān,—a dependency of Nīghāpūr, in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 181 n 8. Samrā-mū,—a town in Hindustan, 703 n 1.

Samrkand or Samarkand .-- district and town in Mawara-un-Nahr, xxx, 26, 27 and # 6, 28, 29, 80, 36, 37 n, 45, 49, 72 n 6, 117 n, 120 n 4, 187 n 6, 188 n and n 8, 147 and n 8, 153 n 7, 154 n 2, 155 n, 233 n, 260 n 7, 261 n, 262 n1, 263 n, 265 and n 3, 268 n 4, 269 n. 273 n and n 5, 274 and nn 9 and 1, 275 n 2, 277, 280 n 9, 401 n, 473 n 2, 478 n 6, 479 and n. 480 n, 485 n 3, 604 n 1, 801 n, 901 n, 903 n, 904 n, 905 n, 906 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 915 n, 918 n, 919 n, 921 n, 922 n, 924 n, 926 n, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 970 n 2, 971 n, 974 n, 977 n, 978 and n, 979 and n, 980 and n 8, 981, 982 n, 983 n, 985 n, 988 n, 993 n, 1004 and n 1, 1032 n, 1045 n, 1082 n, 1097 n 7, 1099 n, 1141 n, 1145 n, 1164 n, 1194 n, 1222, 1258 and n 8, 1266, 1275 n 3, 1287, 1288, 1289,

Samudr,-or

Samudra, 561 n 2, same as Samund, which see,

Samund, the,—name of the river Beg-matī in the Hindūī dialect, 561 and n 2, 562 n.

Şanādīān,—a tract of country in the Diyār-i-Shāmīah, 228 n 4.

Sanbagjī or Sanbakjī, 1072 n 8. See under Sabekjī.

Sanbhal, Sirkär of,—a district of Hindustan, 468 n 3, 684 and n 8, 818 n 4, 1224 n.

Sanbhal-pūr,—a district of Hindūstān, north of Jāj-nagar, 588 n. Sānbhar or Sanbhal,—a district

- and town on the Great Salt Lake in Rājpūtānah, north of Ajmīr, 728 n 3.
- Sanbhar Namak, 728 and n 3. Same as Sanbhar or Sanbhal, which see.
- Sang-i-Surākh,—one of the routes between Ghaznīn and Hindūstān, 267, 503 and n 8, 505 and n 6, 506, 527 n.
- Sang-i-Sūrākh,—a Kotal or pass near the Halmand river, on the route from Ghaznīn and Kābul into Ghūr, 441 and n7.
- Sang-i-Surkh,—a strong fortress in the territory of Ghūr, 441 n7.
- Sangah, capital of the district of Mandesh in Ghür, 331, 340, 341, 417 n9, 1002 and n8, 1072 n7, 1079 and n1.
- Sangān, 181 n 8, for Shangān, which Sangarah or Sankrah,—a district of Hindūstān, 590 n, 591 n.
- Sanjār,—a town in Mesopotamia, 146, 1281 n.
- Sankanāt,—a province in eastern Bang, 557 and n 3, 558 and n 8.
- Sankarah, 591 m. See under Sangarah.
- Sankarān, read Sankurān. See page lviii.
- Sanknāt, 557 n 3, for Sankanāt, which see.
- Sankrah, 591 m. See under Sangarah.
- Sankurān,—a district in the province of Karmān, 290 n, 491, 492 n 7, 495, 498, 499 n, 540 n, 1021 n 8. Now called Shalūzān, which also see.
- Sankurān, 115 n 6, for Saifrūd, the fortress of Ghūr, which see.

- Sankuran, mountains of, 290 n, 1021 n 8.
- Sanpo, the,-or
- Sānpū, the,—name of the Brahmāputra behind the Himālayahs, li, 562 n, 563 n, 570 n 9.
- Santoo,—a town S.-W. from Jodhpur, 705 n 7.
- Santpūr,—a town near Ābū in Gujarāt, 705 n 7.
- Santūr or Santūr-garh,—a town of Hindūstān, 705 and nn 6 and 7, 758, 839 and n 4, 840.
- Santūr-garh, 839 n 4. See under Santūr.
- Sanțūs,—a town of Hindūstān, 576 and n 4.
- Saptari, plain of,—in Hindustan, 560 n 4. [995 n.
- Sar-i-Āb,—a town in Āṣarbāījān, Sar-i-Pūl,—a town in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 978 n, 1057 n 4.
- Sar-i-Takht,—a fortress in the district of Tun, in the Kuhistan of the Mulahidah, 1196 n, 1197 and n 3.
- Sar-i-Ulang Pass,—one of the passes of the Hindū-Kush, 288 n 3.
- Sarāe,—a city on the Ātil or Wolga, 1173 n, 1290 n 1.
- Sarāe-i-Barūţah,—a place of Hindūstān, north-west of Dihlī, 851 n 8.
- Sarāe-i-Sīāsatī,—a royal palace in Sīstān, 189, 195.
- Sarāe-i-Sulţān,—a palace at Baghdād, 64 n l.
- Sarāe-i-Ţāhirī,—a palace in Sīstān, 186 n, 187.
- Sārahāe-mērī,—a place of Hindūstān, north of Lakhanawaṭī, 582 n 6.

- Sarakhs,—a district and town in the territory of Khurāsān, 50, 81 n, 94 and n 3, 124 n, 125, 126, 127, 129, 130, 131 n 7, 181 n 9, 246 n 8, 247 n, 399, 471, 472 n, 479 n, 545 n 5, 1009 n, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1030 n, 1031 n, 1033 n, 1037 n.
- Saraswatī, the,—a river of Hindustān, now the Sursutī. 468 n 3.
- Sar-hadd,—a tract of country in the Diyār-i-Shāmīah, 222 and n 1. Sarha-sang, xlix.
- Sārī,—name of Sārī, the Nū-yīn, mistaken for a place, 711 n 4.
- Sārīgh-i-I-ghūr,—a tract of country south of Kāshghar, 922 n, 987 n.
- Barīgh-Kol, the,—a lake in the mountains of Badakhshān, in Turkistān, lv, 987 n.
- Sarīgh-Kol, darah of,—in the mountains of Badakhahān, in Turkistān, lv, 987 n.
- Sarīgh Pāmir, the,—a range of mountains in Central Asia, 987 n.
- Sarīķ-kul, the, 987 n. See under the Sarīgh kol.
- Sariz Pamir, 987 n, for Sarigh Pāmir, which see.
- Sarjoo, the, 836 n 8, for the Sar'ū, which see.
- Sarjou, the, 836 n 8, for the Sar'ū, which sec.
- Sarkār of Banga<u>sh</u>. See under Banga<u>sh</u>.
- Şar-khad,—a tract of country in the Diyar-i-Shamah, 222 n 1.
- Şar-şar, —a town in the district of Baghdād, 1243 n.
- Sarsuti, 812 n 3. See under Sursuti.

- Sarsuti, the, 459 n7. See under the Sursuti.
- Sar'ū, the,—a river of Hindūstān, 836 and n 8, 837, 838.
- Sata-<u>Kh</u>ānah, for Siyā-<u>Kh</u>ānah, which see.
- Sātgāwņ,—a town in the territory of Lakhaņawaţī, 565 n 5.
- Saugor or Sāgar,—a territory of Hindūstān, 824 n 9. See also under Sāgar.
- Sawah,—a town in the 'Irak-i'Ajam, 144 n, 147 n 8, 151 and n 8, 1200 n, 1201 n.
- Sāwah Pass, 151. See under Sāwah. Saygill, 1018 n, 1020 n, an error for Sigiz or Sijiz, which see.
- Sayo, the,—a tributary of the Theiss, in Hungary, 1167 n.
- Sclavonia, 1283 n 1. See also under Saklāb and Suklāb.
- Sea of Darkness,—the Arctic Sea, 1170.
- Sea of Fars—[the Persian Gulf], 179 n.
- Sea of Gilan—[the Caspian], 1286 n.
- Sea of Khurz, [the Caspian]. See under Khurz.
- Sea of Maghrib,—the Mediterranean, 1228 n.
- Sea of 'Umman [Oman], 903 n.
- Sea of Urumi,—the Lake Urumiah, in Armenia, 1262 n.
- Sea of Yūnān,—the Mediterranean, 1228 n.
- Se-chwen,—the Tingnish of the Musalmün writers and the Mahü-<u>Ch</u>in of the Hindüs, 1219 n, 1221 n, 1222 n.
- Sehwan, 294 n, same as Sihwan, which see.

- Seket, capital of the territory of Shish, in Mawara-un-Nahr, 28 n8.
- Selinga, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 983 n. See under the Sālingah.
- Sera-Mow,—a town of Hindustan, 760 n 7. See under Sihrā-mū.
- Sersily, the,—a river of Hindustan, 588 n.
- Sewastān, 1154. See also under Sīwastān.
- Sew-chwen,—a province of <u>Kh</u>iţā or <u>Oh</u>īn, 1218 n.
- Sfanjāb, same as Isfanjāb, which see.
- Shabartū,—a plain in the territory of Khurāsān, near the city of Hirāt, 1036 n 1.
- Shāburān,—a town in the district of Shirwān, in the territory of Āzarbūījān, 1287 n.
- Shaburghān,—a dependency of Balkh, in Khurāsān, 128 n, 129 and n, 635 n 7. See also under Shīwarghān.
- Shād-yākh,—a city of Nīshāpūr, in the territory of Khurāsān, 14 and n1, 16 n4, 238 n8, 242 n6, 247 n, 250 n6, 251 nn 9 and 1, 252 n3, 255 n7, 256 n, 259 n3, 286 nn 6 and 7, 381 n2, 393 n8.
- Shafurkan, 129, 635 n7, same as Shaburghan, which see.
- Shagan, 181 n 8, for Shangan, which see.
- Shaghnan, same as Shakuan, which
- Shāh-ābād,—a town of Hindustān, 109 n 6.
- Shāhanshāhī,—a fortress in the territory of Nīmroz, 196.

- Shāhantalah,—a mountain north of Tabrīz in Āzarbāijān, 1286 n9.
- <u>Shāh-dujz,—a fortress in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 1192 n, 1207 n.</u>
- Shāh-pūr,—a district of Rāwal Pindī in the Panjāb, 537 n.
- Shāh-Rūkhiyah,—the later name of Fanākat or Banākat, the city in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 972 n
- Shahr-i-Nau,—a place in Gīlū-Kharī near Dihlī, 634 n 2, 856, 857.
- Shahr-i-Sabz,—a town of Mūwarāun-Nahr, formerly called Kash, 1194 n.
- Shahr-i-Zaghan or Ghiyāṣ-pūr, one of the suburbs of Dihlī, 598 n8.
- Shahristān, the ancient capital of Sijistān or Sīstān, 1030 n, 1120, 1122 n.
- Shahristānah,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 1116 n.
- Shahristānah Gateway,—in Marw, 1031 n.
- Shāhū,—a mountain in Āzarbāījān, 1286 n 9.
- Shaknan or Shaghnan,—a mountainous tract of country in the territory of Khurasan, 306 and n2, 423 and n9, 426.
- Shalūzān,—a district and darah in the province of Karmān, 450 n 3, 491, 492 n 7, 493 n, 499 n, 503 n 8, 526 n 8, 527 n, 1022 n. See also under Shanūzān and Sanķurān.
- Shaluzan, the,—a stream falling into the Kurmah, in the province of Kurman, 499 n.
- Shām [Syria], xxxiii, 2, 55 n 1, 137, 140 n 5, 144 n, 140, 158 n, 167 n 8

169, 171, 203 and n1, 204 n, 205 and n6, 206, 207, 208 and nn1 and 2, 209 and n6, 210 and n, 211, 213 and n5, 214 and n, 216, 218 n, 219, 222 and n9, 223, 224, 225, n4, 226 and nn7 and 8, 227 n9, 228 and n4, 229 n5, 230, 297 and n, 298 and n, 299, 766, 884, 974 n, 1152 n, 1158, 1160 n8, 1191 n, 1210 n, 1211 n, 1223 n, 1239 n, 1246 n5, 1251, 1252, 1956 n6, 1263 n and n8, 1264 n, 1265 and n9, 1267 n6, 1270 n2, 1275 and n3, 1276 n, 1277 n, 1278 n, 1282.

Shamākhī,—a town in Āşarbāījān, 998 n. 1287 n.

Shamālī Kachhī Do-āb,—in the Panjāb, 696 n 3.

Shaman Gara [Samën Kaharah?]
—a place in Mughülisten, 968 n.

Shāmiah, territory of, 126 n7. See under Shām [Syria].

Shāmilān,—a range of mountains in the Himālayah, 1046 n 8.

Shamīsāt, 223 n 6. See under Sami-

Shāmo or Kob,—the Great Desert of Turkistān, 920 n.

Shamum,—a town in the Diykr-i-Misriah, 229 n 5.

Shandu, 1219 n, for Shang-tû. See also under Ciandu.

Shangan,—a dependency of Nishapur in the territory of Khurasan, 181 and \*\*8.

Shang-tû,—the later name of Kayping, Fu, capital of <u>Oh</u>in under the Mughals, 1141 n, 1219 n.

Shangtû, the, 1219 n. See under the Shan-tû.

Shan-tû or Shangtû, the,-a river

in the country of Karchin, in Mughūlistān, 1219 n.

Shanüsan,—a district in the province of Karman, in Afghänistan, 290 n, 450 n 3, 492 n 7, 499 n, 540 n. See also under Shalüsan and Sankurān.

Shāristān,—a wild desert in Khwā-rasm, 286.

Shāristānah,—one of the dependencies of Nīshāpūr in Khurāsān, 181, 255 n, 491.

Shart, the district of Baghdad, 15 n6.

Shāsh or ash-Shāsh,—name of a territory, river and city of Māwarā-un-Nahr on the Siḥūn or Jaxartes, on the frontier of the Turks, 27 and n 6, 28 and n 8, 916 n, 921 n, 932 n, 971 n, 973 n,—it was also called Fanākat and is now known as Tāshķand.

Shatt-i-Nudawar, the,-or

Shatt-i-Nüdwär, the,—a river of Khwārazm, 478 n 2.

Sheba,—a country in Arabia, 308 n7. See under Sabs.

Shen-si,—a Chinese province, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1222 n.

Sheorājpur, 683 n. See under Shīw-rāj-pūr.

Sher-ban,—a gate in the city of Nishapur, in the territory of Khurāsan, 1035 n.

Sher-Sang,—a fortress in the mountains of Hirat, 842.

Sherwin, 1195 n, same as Shirwan, which see.

Shetāb,—a fort in the city of Gandhār, 77 n.

Shīž-Khānah, for Siyā-Khānah, which see.

- Shibbergan, 1195 n, for Shiwar-ghan or Shiwarkan, which see.
- Shigatze,—a town in the territory of Tibbat, li.
- Shighnan, 423 n 9, for Shaghnan or Shaknan, which see.
- Shighnān,—a territory on the Upper Oxus, 1044 n.
- Shihab-ud-Din, -- or
- Shihāb-ud-Dîn-pūr,—a place in the Panjāb, 467 n.
- Shikār-gāh of Sulţān Fīrūz Shāh,
   in the capital city of Dihlî, 621
   6.
- Shinā-Khānah, for Siyā-Khānah, which see.
- Shīrān, 181 n 8, for Sabrās of Nīshāpūr, which see.
- Shīrān-koh,—a fortress in the 'Irāķi-'Ajam, 277 n 5, 990 n.
- Shiraz, the capital of Fars, 25 n 2,
   55 n 1, 64 n 1, 266 n, 295 n, 407
   n 8, 974 n, 1118 n 9.
- Shiriyah,—a town in the district of Dajayl, in the Arabian 'Irāķ, 1240 n.
- Shirwan,—a district in Azarbāijan,
   lix, 170 n 8, 994 n, 998 n, 1152 n,
   1195 n, 1287 n.
- Shiwarghān or Shiwarkān,—a tract of country in the territory of Khurāsān, 128 n, 1009 n, 1050 n, 1195 n, 1226 n I. See also under Shaburghān. which see.]
- Shiwarkān, same as Shiwarghān, Shiw-astān, 532 n 3, another name of Siwastān, which see.
- Shīwistān,—the modern Siḥwān or Upper Sind, 1074n. See also under Sīwastān.
- Shīw-rāj-pūr,—a town in Parganah Bárah of Allahabad, 683 s.

- Shiwetan, an error for Shiwistan. See page lxiv.
- Shiyā-Khānah, for Siyā-Khānah, which see.
- Shoristān,—the Salt Desert in the territory of Khurāsān, between Hirāt, the Kuhistān and Sijistān, 1015 n.
- Shustar,—a city in the province of Khūzistān, in Persia, 296 n, 1261 n 7.
- Siāl-kot,—a fortress and town in the Panjāb, 453 and n 4, 454 n, 455 and n, 491, 627.
- Siba, the,—a river in the territory of Kangaktãe, in Mughūlistãn, 945 n.
- Siberia, 961 n.
- Sibr, the original name of Siberia, 961 n.
- Sicily, xlvii.
- Sifanjāb, same as Isfanjāb, which see.
- Si-gan-fû, capital of the Chinese province of Shen-si, 1218 n.
- Sigistān, same as Sijistān, which see.
- Sigiz or Sigizī,—a mountain range in Zābulistān, 184 n, 1018 n.
- Signitz,—a town of Hungary, 1167 n.
- Sih-Goshah-i-nāb,—a place in the mountain tracts of Hirāt, 149, 351 n 8, 358.
- Sihnür, Hills of,—in . Northern India, 684, 1224 n.
- Sihrā-mū,—a town of Hindūstān, west of the Ghograh river, the Sera Mow of the Indian Atlas, 760 and n7.
- Sihūn [Jaxartes], the, 28 n 8, 76 n 2, 268 n 3, 271 n, 273 n, 877 n,

879 n, 890 n, 904 n, 916 n, 921 n, 922 n, 931 n, 932 n, 968 n 8, 970 n 2, 972 n, 983 n, 985 n, 988 n, 1000 n, 1082 n, 1192 n. See also under the Jaxartes.

Sihwali, 550 n 5, same as Sahili, which see.

Siḥwān or Sehwān,—the present name of Sīwastān or Upper Sind, 294 n, 540 n, 692 n 4, 1074 n. See also under Sīwastān.

Sijistan,—a district or province in the country of Khurāsān, xxiv, xxv, xlv, liii, 12, 19 and n 1, 20 and n 3, 21, 22, 23 and n 9, 24 n 4, 25 n 2, 31 n 3, 34 and nn 6 and 8. 35 and n, 43 and n 4, 76 n 8, 81 n, 85 n, 134, 183 n 2, 185 and n, 186 n, 187, 188 and n7, 192 and nn 8 and 2, 193 n 5, 198, 199 n 7, 305 n 7, 309 n, 324 n, 376 and n 1, 378, 390 n 6, 391 and n 1, 398 n 4. 402 n, 419 n 5, 423 n 8, 417, 457 n, 490, 502 n 6, 503, 504 and n 2, 510 n, 616 n, 626 n, 882, 911 n 6, 925 n, 967 n 3, 1015 n, 1062 n 3, 1119, 1120 and n 2, 1122 n, 1123 n, 1125, 1126 and n 6, 1127, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1204 n 1. See also under Sistān.

Sijiz, 1018 n. Soo under Sigiz or Sigizī.

Sikandariah, same as Iskandariah, which see.

Sikhim, State of,—in the Eastern Himālayah Mountains, 562 n, 563 n. [Kol, which see. Si-Kol, the,—same as the Issigh-Silesia, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1171 n.

Sil Hāko,—a bridge over a small branch of the Brahmaputra near Gowähati, 563, 564 n.

Silmür or Sirmür,—a hilly tract and city in Hindüstän, 706 and n 2, 839 and n 9, 840.

Simnān,—a town in the district of Kūmis, between Khurāsān and 'Irāk-i-'Ajam, 991 n, 1207 n.

Sind or Sindh, li, liii, 23 n 9, 82, 88 n 2, 96 n 2, 115, 200, 283 n 9, 284, 290 n 4, 292 n, 293 n 5, 294 n, 305 and n 7, 308 n 2, 318 n 6, 451 n. 476 n, 491 n 5, 503 n 7, 522 n 5, 524 n, 529 n 4, 530, 532, 534 and nn 6 and 1, 537 n, 538 n, 539 n, 540 n, 610 nn 6 and 7, 612, 614 and n 8, 615 n 1, 616 n, 623 n 8' 644 n 7, 646, 656 n, 661 n 2, 678, 674 and n 3, 676 n 9, 687 n 9, 695 n 1, 704 n 5, 707 n 8, 722 and n 8, 724, 727 and n 6, 728, 730, 768 n 4. 784, 786 and n 6, 788, 792, 795 n, 809, 810 n, 812, 816 and n 3, 823 n 3, 840, 811, 850, 853 n 9, 859 n 8, 860, 862, 863 n 3, 869 n 1, 997 n, 1023 n, 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1129, 1131, 1153, 1251 n 9, -app. xvii, xviii.

Sind [Indus], the, 77 n, 78 n, 80 n, 267, 290 n 4, 291 n, 292, 294 n,451n, 485n3, 498n7, 534, 535n, 536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 540 n, 541 n 6, 544, 623 n 8, 631, 674 n 3, 677, 678 n7, 679, 687 n1, 695 and n9,  $696 \, n$ , 724,  $733 \, n$  7, 758, 792, 815, 816 n 2, 993 n, 997 n, 1007. 1020 n, 1023, 1042, 1043 n l, 1046 n3 $1073 \, n \, 4$ ,  $1074 \, n$ ,  $1075 \, n$ , 1082 n, 1133, 1135 n 3, 1145 n, 1153, 1154 n 1, 1201 n, 1217 n. See also under the Indus.

Sind-i-Biāh, the, [the river of Biah], 687 n 1. See under the Biāh. Sindh. See under Sind.

- Sindlim-yū or Sindlim-yū,—a city in the country of Mahā-Ohīn or Tingnāsh, 1141 n.
- Sind-Sagar, the, [the river Sind], 498 n 7, 499 n, 541 n 6.
- Sind Sāgar Do-ābah, the,—in the Panjāb, xxiv, 96 n 2, 455 n, 535 n, 537 n, 539 n, 609 n 5, 623 n 8, 677 n 6, 815 n 5, 816 nn 3 and 5, 1131 n, 1155 n 6, 1181 n 2.
- Sindüstän,—another name of Siwastän or upper Sind, 294 n, 532 and n 3, 1154. See also under Siwastän.
- Sining,—a city of Mughūlistān, on the banks of the Karā Mūr-ān, 1137 n.
- Sinjāb,—a town or city in Māwarāun-Nahr, 905 n. See also under Isfanjāb or Sfanjāb.
- Sipahān, same as Işfahān, which see.
- Sira Mūrān, the,—the river Sira of Mughūlistān, 1219 n.
- Şīrām,—a town of Turkistān, 877 n. See also under Sairām.
- Sirān, 181 n 8, for Sabrās of Nīshāpūr, which see.
- Sirauri, 459 n7, for Tarā-īn on the banks of the Sursutī.
- Sirgoojah,—a district of Hindustan, 591 n.
- Sirhind,—a town in the Panjab, 458 n, 533 n, 707 n 6.
- Sirhoī,—a town in Rājpūtānah near the Arawalī Hills, 521 n.
- Sirmur, 706 and n 2, same as Silmur, which see.
- Sirmür Bardür, hills of, 641 and n 5. See under Silmür or Sirmür.
- Sirr, the,—another name of the Sihūn [Jazartea], 970 n 2.

- Sirsutty, the, 459 n 7. See under the Sursuti.
- Sīrushtah,—a district and town of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 27 n 6. Also called Ishrūsnah and Isrushtah.
- Sīstān,—a district or province in the torritory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, xlvii, 16, 99, 133, 137, 186 n, 187, 188 and n 7, 189, 190, 192, 193, 194, 195, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201 and n 4, 202, 233, 235, 248, 504 and n 2, 926 n, 967, 988 n, 1002, 1013, 1016, 1037 n, 1047, 1048 and n 9, 1053, 1062 and n 4 and 5, 1065, 1119, 1120 and n 2, 1122 n, 1123 n, 1124, 1193 n, 1203, 1205. See also under Sijistān. [see.
- Sitnoor, 705 n7, for Santūr, which Siwād,—the country surrounding the city of Baghdād, 1238 n8.
- Siwālik hills,—in Northern India, 693 n 8, 837 n 3. See also under Siwālikh.
- Siwālikh,—a tract of country in Northern India, 110, 200 and n 2, 468 and n 4, 469 n, 608, 611 and n 3, 674, 693 and n 8, 703, 710 and n 8, 728, 781, 786, 830, 837 and n 3, 850.
- Siwastān,—a district or province to the north west of Sind, the present Siḥwān, 88 n 2, 294 n, 520 n 4, 532 n 3, 538 n, 539 nn 4 and 5, 540 n, 610, 614 n 8, 616 n, 628, 631 n 8, 668 n, 1154.
- Siyā-Khānah,—a fortress of Gharjistān, in Khurāsān, 416 and n 3, 1072 and n 7.
- Snowy Mountains, the,—the Pir Pinjal Mountains, 1132 n.
- Soane, the,—a river of Hindustan, 551 n. See also under the Son.

- Soank, the,—a river of Hindustan, running to the W. of Gang-pur, 588 n.
- Sodra, the, 678 n 1, for the Sudharah, which see.
- Soliķā or Sülīķā,—a tract of country between <u>Oh</u>īn and Hind, 1217 n.
- Somnāth,—a town of Gujarāt, 82 and n 2, 86 n, 88 n 2.
- Son, the,—a river of Hindustan, 551 n, 588 n, 743 n 3, 817 n 9.
- Soorsutty, the, 459 n7. See under the Sursuti.
- Southern China, the country called Maha-Chin by the Hindus, 1136 n.9.
- Spîn-ghar or Safed-Koh,—a mountain range in southern Afghānistān, 498 n 7, 499 n, 1044 n.
- Stony Mountains, the,—the Koh-i-Sangin, 593 n.
- Strigonia,—a province of Hungary, 1168 n.
- Süädak or Südäk,—a city in the Krimea, 999 n. See also under Südäk.
- Şübah of Bangālah, 592 n. See under Bangālah.
- Şübah of Bihār, 593 n. See under Bihār.
- Suchur, 960 n 6, same as Saghir or Saķir.
- Sucuir, 960 n 6, same as Saghir or Sakir.
- Sūdāķ or Sūūdaķ,—a city in the Krimea, 999 n, 1000 n, 1165 n.
- Sadhara,-or
- Südharü,—a town to the north-west of Wazīrābād, 678 n 1, 726.
- Sudarah, the,-or
- Sudharah or Sudhara, the,—an old name of the Ohinab, 76 n 2, 483 n,

- 588 n, 589 n, 644 n 7, 668 n, 678 and n 1, 679, 813, 814, 816 n 2, 1130 n, 1225 n.
- Süff,—a town in the country of Azarbāijān, 995 n.
- Sufed-Koh, fortress of. See under Safhed Koh.
- Şūfī-Khānah gate, the,—one of the gates of the city of Utrār, in Turkistān, 971 n.
- Sughd or Sughd,—a province in Mawara-un-Nahr, and the country round Samarkand, 117 n, 905 n, 909 n, 915 n.
- Suhīn or Sūhīn, the,—a river issuing from the Jūd mountains, 1130 n, 1131 n.
- Suhārā,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 233 n 5. See also under the next.
- Şuhārī or Şahārī,—a tract of country in Turkistān, zlvii, 238 and n 5, 237.
- Sūjā,—a place in Turkistān, in the neighbourhood of the Awāj Tāk or Tāgh, 949 n.
- Sujā, the,—a river of Turkistān in the neighbourhood of the Awāj Tāķ or Tāgh, 949 n.
- Sujū,—a city in the territory of Tingkūt or Ķāshīn, 1085 n.
- Sūķ-i-Amīr,—a town opposite

  <u>Sh</u>īrāz founded by 'Uzd-ud-Daulah Buwāh, 64 n 1.
- Sūķ-i-Sultān,—a place in the city of Baghdād, 1243 n.
- Sükankā,—a tract in the country of Jāūķūt or Khitā, 1220 n.
- Sūķ-Ohiw,—a city in the country of the Khitā'is, 956 n.
- Sūkin or Sunkin,—a city in the country of Khūrjah [Corea], 956 n.

- Sukkier, 960 n 6, 961 n. Same as Saghir or Sakir.
- Suklab,—country of the Slavs or Schavonians, 561 n9, 1283. See also under Saklab.
- Sukquier, 960 a 6. Same as Saghir or Sakir.
- Sukuir, 960 n 6. Same as Saghir or Sakir.
- Sülikā, same as Solikā, which see.
- Suliman mountains or Koh-i-Siyah, the,—a range of mountains east of Afghanistan, xiv, 187 and n 3. Also called the Sulimani mountains.
- Salimānī mountains, xiv. See the above.
- Sulțānīah,—a fortress in al-Kāhirah [Cairo], 229 n 5.
- Sultān-kot,—a city and fortress in the Bhīānah territory in Hindūstān, 545, 546 n7, 732 and n3, 824.
- Sultān-pūr,—the name given by Ulugh Khān, son of Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn Tughlak to the town of Arangul now Warangul in the Dakhan, 589 n.
- Sunām,—a district of Hindūstān, 633 n 8, 699, 714 n 9, 726 and n 9, 730, 788, 795 n, 880. Also called Sunnām.
- Sunarganw,—a tract of country in Lakhanawatī, 558 n 1, 590 n, 591 n.
- Sunārgāņw,—a district of Hindustān, near Talingānah, 590 n.
- Suni-pat,—a town to north-west of Dihlī, 851 n S.
- Sunkhūs,—a dependency of Nīghāpūr in the territory of Khurāsān, 181 n 8.

- Sunkin, same as Sükin, which see.
- Sunnām,—a district of Hindustān, 795 s. See also under Sunām.
- Sürah,—a place in the Ghaznīn territory, 1014 n 2.
- Suraj-garh,—a parganah west of 'Bangalah, 598 n.
- Sürat, Bandar of,—in the Bombay Presidency, ix.
- Sarkh-Ab Kotal, the,—in Afghanistan, 1022 n.
- Surkhāhān,—a fortress in Māzandarān, 991 n.
- Surkh-Ghar,—a range of mountains in the country of Ghūr, 318, 319 \*\*.
- Surkh rūd [Red River], the, a river of Afghānistān, 79 n.
- Sursuti,—one of the Muḥalls of Sirker Sanbhal, in Hiudūstān, 466 n 1, 468 and n 3, 491, 529 n 4, 532 and n 4, 533 n, 611 n 3, 627, 688, 731, 755, 812 and n 3, 837.
- Sursutī, the,—a river of Hindūstān, 459 n 7, 463 n, 468 and n 3.
- Sūs,—a district in <u>Kh</u>ūzistān or Ahwāz, 304 n 2.
- Sutlaj, the,—the Sutlej of the maps, 79 n, 468 n 4, 533 n, 611 n 3, 687 n 9, 723 n 1, 739 n 9, 788 n 9, 811 n 8, 823 n, 1155 n 6.
- Suwāt,—a mountain district to the west of Kashmir, lv, 431 n 5, 1044 n, 1045 n, 1062 n 2.
- Swat or Swat. See under Suwat. Swen-wha-fu,—a town in the country of Khita, 958 n.
- Sylhet,—a district in the territory of Assam, 763 n 4.
- Syria, 162 n 3, 766, 1251, 1267 n 6, 1275 n 3, 1277 n, 1279 n. See also under Shām.

Tabarhind,-or

Tabarhindah,—a district and town of Hindustan, xxiii, 381 n 5, 457 and n and n 3, 458 n, 460 n 3, 461 n, 464, 466 and n 1, 491 and n 1, 532, 533 n, 542 n 9, 603 and n 6, 607, 612, 613, 627, 645 and nn 9 and 4, 647 and n 9, 649, 652, 689 n 6, 695 and n 2, 699, 700 n 8, 704 n 5, 714 n 9, 723, 746, 748, 749, 753, 762, 767, 768 and n 2, 784 and n, 788, 792, 793, 794, 830, 1059 n 9, 1060 n 5, 1217 n,—app. ii, iii.

Tabarhindh, same as Tabarhindah, which see.

Tabaristān,—a province on the Caspian, 15 and n 6, 16, 22, 31 n 3, 32, 33, 43, 63, 84 and n 6, 88 n 2, 93, 133, 137, 263 n, 278 n, 280, 881, 992, 993 n, 1117, 1119.

Tabas,—a town in the Kuhistan of the Mulahidah, lxiv, 80 n 5, 125 n 8, 182 n 9, 394 n 2, 496, 1203. Also called Tabbas.

Tābas, 744 n 5, for Māniah of Hindustān, which see.

Tubbas, 125 n 8. See under Tabas of the Kuhistan.

Tabrīz, capital of the Atī-baks of Azarbāījān, 136 n, 170 n 8, 172 n 3, 296 n, 298 n 1, 488 n 8, 492 n 7, 861, 995 n, 997 n, 1192 n, 1228 n, 1262 n, 1263 n, 1281 n, 1286 n 9, 1287 n.

Tāe-ghān, fortress of, 1008 n 5.
See under Tāe-ķān of Tukhāris-

Tăe-țăn of Bada<u>khsh</u>ăn, 1010 n. Same as Țăe-țăn of Ţu<u>kh</u>āristău, which see. Tie-ķān of Ķunduz, 1010 n, 1082 n, 1153 and n. Same as Tāe-ķān of Tukhāristān, which see.

Tāc-kān,—a town in the district of Tukhāristān in Balkh, east of Kunduz, xlviii, xlix, lxi, lxiii, 23 n4, 94 n3, 128 n, 288 n3, 289 n, 290 and n and n4, 376 n7, 426 n6, 809 and n2, 1008 n5, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1082 n, 1109 n4, 1110 n, 1153 and n, 1226 and n9.

Taflīs,—a city in the territory of Charjistān, 996 n, 998 n, See also under Tiflīs.

Tag-ab, the,-or

Tag-āo, the,—a river and valley in Afghānistān to the east of Kābul, 331 n 8, 1044 n.

Taghar-i-Ghuzz,—the Taghar or country of the Ghuzz tribe, in Turkistān, 923 n, 961 n, 962 n. See also under Ghuzzistān.

Taghazgaz,—a country of Turkistan, probably corrupted from Taghar-i-Chuzz, 962 n.

Tahkāl, the old capital of the province of Peshāwar, 1002 n 5.

Tahkrī, an error for Tigharī, see page xlvi.

Taht Hazārah,—a tract of country along the banks of the Indus, 1132 n.

Tāikān or Tālikān, 1010 n, for Tāeķān of Ţukhāristān.

Tai-li-fû,—a town in the Chinese province of Yun-nan, 1217 n.

Taiming, the present Pyen-lyang, a city in the Chinese province of Honan, 958 n, 960 n, 1136 n 9, 1138 n,—called also Nanking.

- Tai-tong-fû,—a city in the country of Khiti or Ohin, 958 n.
- Tajīr-Koh,—a tract of country in the territory of Ghūr, xlix, 819 and n 4.
- Tak,—a fortress in the territory of Sijistan, 76 n 8, 81 n, 186 n, 356 n, 1028 n, 1029 n.
- Tāķ of Zābulistān, 356 n. See under Tāķ of Sijistān.
- Tāk Bridge, the,—in the city of Chaznīn, 356 n.
- Tak-āb,—a small district in the territory of Wajīristān, 334 and n8.
- Tak-āb or Tak-āo, the, 834 n 8. See under the Tag-āb or Tag-āo.
- Takarhārūd, 94 n 8, 96 n 2, for Nangnihār or Nagrahār, which see.
- Takht-i-Bihī,—a hill north-east of Poshāwar, 78 n.
- Takrīt,—a town and fortress on the Dijlah [Tigris] above Baghdād, 207 n 8, 1232, 1233 and n 2, 1237.
- Tal-i-Bāshir,—a strong fort and small town on a hill, two day's journey north of Halab [Aloppo], 1273 n.
- Tālakān of Juzjān, 1011 n, for Tāeķān of Ţukhūristān.
- Ta-la-kien,—the Țāl-kān of Khurāsān as called by the Chinese traveller Hionen Thsang, 1011 n.
- Talandah, for the fort of Talsandah, which see.
- Tālān Wasīr,—a place in Mughūlistān, 1165 n.
- Talās or Talāsh,—a little district immediately north of Lower Suwāt, lv.

- Talās or Talāsh,—lv. See under Tālāsh of Turkistān.
- Talas or Talash,—another name of Taras, which see, 982 s.
- Tālāsh or Talās,—a city of Turkistān, lv, 877 n.
- Tālāsh, the,—a river of Turkistān, 879 n.
- Talāwarī,—a town of Hindustān near Pānīpat, south of Thānī-sar, 459 n 7, 463 n, 467 n, 486 n, 505 n 8, 537 n, 635 n 4, 761 n 1,—formerly called Tarā'īn, which see.
- Talbandah, for the fort of Talsandah, which see.
- Talbīs,—a place in the Diyār-f-Mişrīah, 211.
- Talcan, 1010 n, 1011 n, for Tae-kan of Tukharistan.
- Talcan, 1011 n, for Tal-kan of Khurasan.
- Talcun, 1011 n, for Tae-kan of Tukharistan.
- Talh-pat or Tal-pat,—a place in Hindústān, S.-E. of Dihlī, 837 and n 2.
- Tali,—a town in the country of Khitā or Ohīn, 1221 n.
- Tālikān,—incorrectly for Tāc-ķān of Tukhāristān, which see, 94 n 3, 1010 n.
- Tālikān on the Murgh-āb, 1010 n, for Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, which
- Tālikhān,—incorrectly for Tāc-ķān of Ţukhāristān, 94 n 3, 1008 n, 1010 n.
- Taling,-or
- Talinganah,—a city in south-eastern Bengal, 588 n, 589 n, 590 n, 592 n. [see.
- Talkah-Bani, for Tiklah-Bani, which

Index.

- Talkah-mānī, for Tīklah-Bānī, which see.
- Tāl-ķān,—a dependency of Kanwīn in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1208 n.
- Tal-kan, —a city and town in the territory of Khurasan, between Marw and Balkh, xlviii, xlix, lxi, lxiii, 22 n4, 46, 94 and n3, 257 n2, 296, 376 and n7, 378, 390, 398, 399, 426 n6, 474 n, 478 n6, 481 n8, 502 n6, 809 n2, 917, 996 n, 1903, 1005, 1008 and n5, 1009 and n, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1012, 1013, 1015 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1022 n, 1025 n, 1027 n8, 1040 n2, 1049 n2, 1071 and n2, 1079 and n3, 1082 n, 1099 n, 1101 n1, 1103 n, 1109 and n4, 1110 n, 1153 n, 1201 n.
- Tāl-ķān of Tukhāristān, 428 n 6, 809 n 2, 1009 n. See under Tāe-ķān of Tukhāristān.
- Tal-pat, same as Talh-pat, which
- Talsandah,—a stronghold within the limits of Kinnauj, xxvii, 679 and n 6, 680 n, 816.
- Talwandī,—name of several places in Hindūstān, 459 n 7.
- Talwarah,—the present name of the fortress of Kalinjar of Multan, 75 n 6, 87 n, 1074 n.
- Talwarah,—a place in Hindustan, on the road from Dihli to Bhatmir, 459 n 7.
- Tāmāk,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 877 n, afterwards called the Dasht-i-Kabohāk.
- Tamghāj,—a city and territory of Turkistān, 154, 270, 271, 900, 900 n, 924 n, 935 and n 3, 936, 954, 956, 957 n, 958 n, 960 and

- n6, 961 and n, 963, 965 and n 6, 966, 967, 968, 974, 1047, 1082, 1084, 1095, 1097, 1106, 1107, 1109, 1111 and n, 1112, 1144 n 4, 1157, 1158 n 3, 1186, 1215 and n 3, 1257 n, 1282, 1291.
- Tamishah, an error for Timmishiah, see page lx.
- Tamking, capital of the Altan Khan of Khita, 1138 n, 1139 n.
- Tana's, the,—another name of the river Don, 1170 n 3.
- Tanésar, 459 n 7, for Thanisar, which sec.
- Tangah-Takū, 991 n, same as Tang-Talū, which see.
- Tangāi or Tingāi,—a city in the territory of Tingķūt or Ķāshin, 1085 n3.
- Tangan, the,—a river in the territory of Bangalah, 590 n.
- Tangistan, or region of tangs or defiles,—the assemblage of mountains which constitutes the territory of Bootan, 568 n.
- Tangtāba<u>sh</u>,—another name of the country of Tingnāsh or Ningāīsh, 1087 n.
- Tang-Talü,—a range of mountains between Lüristän and Färs, 277 n5, 991 n.
- Tangusthan,—the mountains of Bootan, 568 n. See under Tangistan.
- Tangut, 947 n, for the country of Tingkut or Käshin.
- Tanklah-Bānī, for Tīklah-Bānī, which see.
- Tanklah-Pānī, for Tiklah-Bānī, which see.
- Tannassar, 461 n, for Thani-sar, which see.

Tara'i,—the marshy forest at the foot of the Sub-Himaleyah, 838 n9.

Tarā'in,—a town of Hindūstān, on the banks of the Sursuti, south of Thānīsar, 432 n9, 457 n, 459 and n7, 463 n, 466, 477 n, 486 n, 491, 505 and n8, 515 n7, 537 n, 549, 608 and n8, 635 and n4, 761 and n1, 779 and n3, 802 n2, 1059 n9,—now called Talāwarī or Tarāwarī.

Tarā'in gate,—one of the gates of Firūz-koh, the capital of Ghūr, 410 n 5.

Tarā'īn-ghar, 460 n3, same as Tarā'īn, which see.

Tarak [vul. Terek], the,—a river of Azarbāījān, 1287 n.

Tāram,—a territory in the province of Lār or Lāristān, in Persia, 93 and n 7, 1192 n.

Tārān,—a village of Māwarā-un-Nahr, near Bukhārā, 1145 n.

Tarāwarī,—a town of Hindūstān, south of Thānī-sar, 463 n,—formerly called Tarā'īn, which see.

Tarāz,—a territory of Māwarā-un-Nahr, beyond the frontier of Shāsh, on the side nearest to Tur-kistān, 154, 200 n 7, 261 and n, 268 n 8, 283 n 9, 402 n, 411 n, 474 n, 889 n, 905 n, 911, 915 n, 919 n, 921 n, 923 n, 924 n, 929 n, 932 n, 934, 970 n 2, 1118 n 9,—called also Bānkī and Talās or Talāsh.

Tarbogor,-or

Tārbokor,—a tract of country east of the territory of Kāshghar, 922 n.

Targhū-Bālīgh, -the later name of

the Asal Yürat or the original Yürat of the Chingis Khān, in Kalur-ān and Karā-Kuram, 1140 n.

Tarhind, 466 n 1, for Tabarhindah, which see.

Tarhindah, 458 n, for Tabarhindah, which see.

Tarkān, the,—a river issuing from the mountains of Büldan Kā-īr and falling into the river of Ardish in Turkistān, 1143 a.

Tarkū,—the chief city of the Alāns in Dāghistān, 999 n.

Tarmaz, 198 n 2, incorrectly for Tirmiz or Tirmid. [see. Tarrai, incorrectly for Tara'i, which Tartary, 567 n 4, 985 n, 1089 n, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1265 n. See also under Tataristan and Tattary.

Tāshkand,—a territory and city of Māwarā-un-Nahr, on the Sīḥūn or Jaxartes, on the frontier of the Turks, 28 n 8, 890 n, 919 n, 921 n, 932 n, 970 n 2, 972 n, 973 n, 975 n,—formerly called Shāsh.

Tataristān, 270. See under Tartary and Tāttāry.

Tättäry, 920 n. See also under Tartary and Tataristän.

Taulikhaun, 1010 n, incorrectly for Tae-kān of Tukhāristān.

Tauris,—a town in the territory of Iran Zamin, 1194n.

Taxilas,—a town a few miles east of the Indus, 78 n.

Tāyāng-fū,—another name of Ching-dū, the capital of the Åltān Khān of Khitā, 1136 n9, 1137 n,—the present Fai-fong-fu. Tāyif,—a town of al-Ḥijāz in Arabia, 140 n 5.

- Tayming, 900 s, same as Taiming, which see.
- Tās Murān, or River Tās,—a river of Turkistān, 947 s.
- Terek,—a river in the province of Aşarbāijān, 1287 n. See under Tarak.
- Terki,—the chief city of the Cherkassians, 999 n,—the present Mosdok.
- Tes,—a scaport in the province of Mukrān, 1075 n.
- Thaigin,—a castle in Grand Tartary, 1089 n.
- Thaneswar, app. i. See under Thanisar.
- Thangir or Thankir,—a fortress of Hindustan, the present Bianah, 545 and n 5, 546 n 7, 724 n 2. See also under Thankir.
- Thani-gar,—a city and town of Hindustan, 458 n, 459 n 7, 460 n 3, 461 n, 463 n, 608 n 8,—app. i.
- Thankir or Thangir,—a fortress of Hindüstän, the modern Bianah, 470 and n 8, 471 n, 491, 516, 520 n, 521 n, 542 n 9, 544 n 1, 545 and n 5, 628. See also under Thangir.
- Thathah,—a district and town in Lower Sind, 295 n, 452 n 2, 614 n 8.
- Thebes,—a city of ancient Egypt, 140 n 2.
- Theiss, the,—a river of Hungary, 1167 n.
- Therapia,—a quarter of Constantinople, 899 n.
- Thianshan, or Ulugh-Tigh mountains, in Mughülistän, 920n, 922n.
- Thus, 1028 n, for Tus the city of Khurāsān, which see.

- Thoung-ling or Mountains of Pamir, the, 426 n 6.
- Thuttea,—a town about eight miles S. of Kinnanj, 680 a.
- Tibbat, 517 n 8, 560 and nn 4 and 7, 562 n, 563 n, 564 and n, 565, 568 n 7, 578 and n 2, 593 n, 666 n, 737 n 9, 570 n, 889 n, 896 n, 909 n, 923 n, 935 n 3, 944 n, 950 n, 951 n, 981 n, 1046 n, 1084, 1106, 1137 n, 1141 n, 1158 n 3, 1184 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1221 n.
- Tiberhind, 458 n, 461 n, for Tabarhindah, which see.
- Tiberias,—the Tabariah of the Arabs, 221 n.
- Tibet, 560 n 4, for Tibbat, which see.
- Tiffis,—a town of Gharjistan, 297 n. See also under Taffis.
- Tigharī, xlvi.
- Tigin-ābād,—a city between <u>Ghas-</u>nīn and <u>Gh</u>ūr, xlix, 89 and n 8, 110 n 5, 111, 148, 253, 324 n, 347 n 2, 350, 353, 376 and n 9, 391, 448 and n 3, 449, 1015 n.
- Tigree Barehnee,—a place of Hindustan, 697 n 5.
- Tigris, the, 6t, 207 n 8, 1232, 1238, 1240 n, 1241 n 1, 1260 n 5, 1268 nn 8 and 9. See also under the Dijlah.
- Tiklah-Bami, for Tiklah-Bani, which see.
- Tīklah-Bāni,—a town of Hindustān, 697 and n and n 5.
- Tiklah-Mani, for Tiklah-Bani, which see.
- Til or Til, the,—a river of Kara-Khitae, 956 n.
- Tîlak-pür,—a town of Hindüstan 697 n 5.

- Tilek-poor, for Tilak-pur, which see.
- Tilkah-Banī, for Tīklah-Banī, which see.
- Tillock-poor, for Tīlak-pūr, which see.
- Tillok-poor, for Tīlak-pūr, which
- Tilsindah, for the fort of Talsandah, which see.
- Tilsurra,—a town of Hindustün about twelve miles S.-S.-W. of Kinnauj, 680 n.
- Timmeshah or Timmishah, for Timmishiah, which see.
- Timmishiah, darah or Pass of,—in Khurāsān, on the road from Nīshāpūr to Māzandarān, lx, 277, 992 and n 6.
- Timrān,--a district in the country of Ghūr, 319, 344, 408, 490, 1079.
- Timur Kala'h,—a fortress in the territory of Khurāsān, 1004 n 1.
- Tingāi or Tangāi,—a city in the country of Tingķūt or Ķāshīn, 1085 n. [which see.
- Tingbāsh, 1216 n, for Tingnāsh, Tinghūt or Tingūt, 947 n. See under Tingkūt.
- Tingit,—a tract of country in Turkistān, xlviii, 270, 960 and n 6, 963, 1047, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1104, 1106, 1107, 1109, 1111 and n, 1157, 1158.
- Tingkūt or Tinghūt,—a mountainous country adjoining Khitāe, called Ķāshīn by the Mughals, alviii, 944 n, 947 n, 949 n, 950 n, 952 n, 1046 n, 1047 n 4, 1073 n 4, 1084 n, 1085 n, 1088 n, 1092 n, 1115 n 5, 1140 n, 1217 n, 1220 n, —also called Anksāe or Ankasāe.

- Tingnās, 1216 n, for Tingnāsh, which see.
- Tingnāsh or Ningāish,—the country east of Khitā or Chīn as called by the Mughals, 1086 n, 1087 n, 1088 n, 1141 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1219 n, 1220 n,—it is called Mahā-Chīn by the Hindus and Manzī by the Chinese.
- Tingtash, 1216 n, for Tingnash, which see.
- Tingut, 1111 n,—probably a misprint for Tingit. [kūt.
- Tinkūt, 952 n. See under Ting-Tiparah,—a district in the province of Bengal, 589 n.
- Tiperah, same as Tiparah, which sec.
- Tirauri, 459 n7, for Tarawari, which see.
- Tirhūt,—a district and town in the province of Bengal, 563 n, 564 n, 568 and n7, 588, 627, 639 n8, 704 n 2, 705 n 7, 737, 830, 838.
- Tirmaz,—a village in the territory of Khurāsān, on the frontier of Balkh, 75 n 5.
- Tirmid or Tirmiz,—a city of Mā-warā-un-Nahr, on the Jiḥūn or Oxus, 154 n 2, 265 n 4, 275 n 2, 375 n, 401 n, 423 n 8, 424 n 3, 426 n 6, 431, 917, 988 n, 1002 and n 1, 1004 and n 1, 1005 and n, 1011 n, 1024 n 2, 1099 n, 1174, 1175, 1176, 1195 n, 1275 n 3. See also under Tirmiz.
- Tirmid, the,—a river falling into the Jihan, 275 n 2.
- Tirmidh, 1275 n 8. See under Tirmid.
- Tirmiz or Tirmid,—a city of Māwarā-un-Nahr, on the Jihūn

- or Oxus, 147 n 8, 154 n 2, 156 n 8, 198 and n 2, 258, 276 n, 906 n, 926 n, 1002 n 1, 1015 n. See also under Tirmid.
- Tiroca,—a town of Hindustan, about ten miles S.-W. of Kinnauj, 680 n.
- Tiroury, 459 n 7, 461 n, for Tarawari, which see.
- Tirur, 691 n, incorrectly for the stronghold of Nurwur or Nirwur, which see.
- Tishū Lāmbū or Digārohah,—a monastery in Tibbat, the seat of a Lāma, 570 n 9.
- Tistah, the,—ariver passing through the country of Sikhim, 562 n, 563 n.
- Tis or Tez,—a seaport in the province of Mukran, 1075 n.
- Tocarestan, 1010 n, for Tukhāristān, which see
- Tocaristan, 1011 n, for Tukhāristān, which see.
- To-ī of Būshanj [Fūshanj],—a place in the territory of Hirāt, 991 and n 4.
- Tokashī,—a tract in the country of the Urūs, 1170 n.
- Tokharistan, 1011 n, for Tukhāristān, which see.
- Tolak, 1045 n, for the fortress of Tülak, which see. [kāt.
- Toncat, 1083 n. See under Ton-Tong-king,—a province of <u>Kh</u>iţā or <u>Chin</u>, 1221 n.
- Tonkat or Toncat,—a city of Mawara-un-Nahr, 1083 n, 1084 n.
- Tops, the,—a river of Hindustan, 683 n.
- Trāķ,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 471 n 5.

- Transoxiana, 1010 n, 1058 n 6, 1064 n. See also under Māwaŗāun-Nahr.
- Trans-Oxus, the equivalent of Miwarf-un-Nahr, 921 s.
- Tripoli, in Syria, 101 n 8.
- Tachy Li, the Chinese province of, 885 n.
- Tsing-fû-hay,—a province of China, 981 n.
- Tughlak-ābād,—a suburb of the city of Dihlī, 599 n.
- Tukeeabad, 448 n 3, for Tigin-ābād, which see.
- Tukhāristān,—a tract of country in Khurāsān, dependent on Balkh, xxii, xlix, lxii, 22, 71, 74, 84, 94 n3, 99, 132, 137, 290, 306 and n3, 317 n5, 320 n3, 337, 362 and n2, 375 n, 401 n, 405 n3, 421, 422, 423 and n8, 424 n3, 426 and n6, 427 and n, 428 and n1, 431, 432 n1, 436, 481 n8, 505, 809 n2, 880, 989 n, 1002, 1004, 1006, 1008 n5, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1016, 1018 n, 1025 n, 1045 n, 1054, 1057 n4, 1058 n6, 1061 n7, 1081 n1, 1109, 1110 n, 1133, 1152, 1153 n, 1226 n9.
- Tūkū Kahrah,—a place in Mughūlistān, 940 n.
- Tulā, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 1083 n.
- Tūlak,—a district and town in the mountains near Hirāt, in the territory of Khurāsān, xx, xxi, xxiii, xxiv, xxv, 201, 362, 381 n 5, 457 n, 458 and n 4, 464 and n 6, 963, 1003 n 2, 1004, 1006, 1007 465, and n 4, 1025 n, 1045 n, 1055 n 6, 1057, 1059 and n 9, 1060 and n 5, 1061 and n 9, 1062 and nn 4 and 5,

1069, 1070 and n, 1197, 1198, 1201 n,—app. iii, v.

Tülkäe,—a city of Turkistän, between Bīsh-Bālīgh and Ķarā-Ķuram, 1184 n.

Tun,—a district and town in the Kuhistan of the Mulahidah, 1192n, 1196n, 1197n3, 1198, 1214 and n8.

Tunganabad, 448 n 3, for Tiginābād, which see.

Tung Chiw,—a city in the country of the Khitā-īs, 956 n.

Tung-quin, - a town between Gannan and Ohin, 1222 n.

Tangūt or Tūngūt,—a tract of country south of Mughūlistān, 889 n. [Ghūr, 328 n.

Tūr,—a place in the country of Tūr,—a city in the saḥrā or steppe in the E. and S. of the territory of Kāṣḥgḥar, 922 n.

Tūr,—or

Tūrān,—the countries east of the Jiḥūn [Oxus], from the limits of Hind to the frontier of the Turks, lxiv, 29, 84, 116, 118, 133, 137, 280, 561 n9, 579 n4, 797, 870 and n, 871, 877 n, 882 n, 902 n, 914 n, 915 n, 917 n 1, 920 n, 961 n, 990 n, 1087 n, 1107, 1277 n. Tūrān Zamīn,—the tract of country

from the Naeman country to the banks of the Jihun, 1073 n 4, 1075 n, 1145 n.

Türfān,—a tract of country south of Mughūlistān, 889 n, 916 n, 920 n, 922 n, 966 n 6, 969 n 1, 985 n.

Turkey, 694 n 4.

Turkish, or country of the Turks, 878 n. See under Turkistan. Turkistan, zlv, zlvii, liv, lv, 27 n 4. 29, 45 and n 8, 69, 70 and n 8, 84, 86 n, 91 n 2, 84 n 3, 117 and n. 118, 138, 184, 154, 155, 164, 186 n, 233 nn 5 and 6, 237, 242 n6, 244 n4, 246, 254, 260, 261, 264, 267, 268 n 4, 270 and n 5. 271 n, 273 and n 5, 280, 383, 423 and n 8, 426 n 6, 474, 480, 481, 485 n 8, 513, 532, 560 and n 4, 561 n9, 562n, 566n9, 594n1, 598,599 and n 10, 618 n, 626, 655 n 2, 695, 696 n, 712 n 9, 781 n 9, 744 n 9, 768, 771 n, 772 n, 774 n. 775 n, 784 and n and n 5, 786 and n 5, 791, 792, 793 n, 796, 800, 809, 815, 816, 847 n 1, 857 and n 1, 862, 863, 870 n, 872 n, 880, 881 n, 884 n, 890 n, 893 n, 896 n,  $901 \, n$ ,  $902 \, n$ ,  $903 \, n$ ,  $904 \, n$ ,  $905 \, n$ , 906 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 911, 914n, 915n, 916n, 917n1, 918n, 919 n, 920 n, 921 n, 923 n, 924 n,925 n, 926 n, 932 n, 933 n, 934, 935 n 3, 937, 943 n, 952 n, 960 n 6,  $961 \, n, \, 962 \, n, \, 963 \, n, \, 966 \, n \, 6, \, 968,$ 969 n 1, 977 n, 980 and n 8, 984 n, 1011 n, 1026, 1035 n, 1044 n, 1046 n3, 1047, 1073, 1077, 1082 n, 1084 n, 1091 n, 1097 and n 6, 1104, 1107, 1109, 1111, 1112 and n 8, 1114, 1117, 1119, 1127 n, 1128 n, 1133, 1146, 1147, 1149 n, 1152 n, 1157, 1158, 1166, 1172, 1178, 1186 and n, 1191 n, 1194 n, 1200 n, 1215, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1220, 1228, 1224 m, 1225 m, 1226, 1257 and m, 1283 and nn 8 and 1.

Tür-kürghah or Tür-kurghah, name of the Great Wall of China with the Turks, 956 n.

Turshīz,-a fortress in the Kuhis-

tin of the Mulähidah, 244 n 4, 254 n 4, 1192 n.

Turusht,—a town near Rai in the 'Irēķ-i-'Ajam, 125 n 1.

Tüs,— bity of Nīshāpūr in Khurāsān, 40 n 4, 44, 47, 48, 71 n 5, 81 n, 130, 131 n 7, 181 n 9, 246

n 8, 247 n, 250 n 6, 255 n 7, 276 n, 471 aud n 5, 472 n, 470 n, 491, 545 n 5, 991 n, 1027 n 8, 1028 n, 1030 n, 1087 n, 1046 n, 1117 n, 1128 n, 1149 n, 1194 n, 1196 n, 1257 n, 1287 n.

Tyana, 78 n.

### U.

'Ubaidian,—a tract of country in Arabia, 228 n 4.

Uch, the Europeanized name of Uchchah, 200 n 4, 541 nn 6 and 8, 542 n 9, 810 n, 812 n 3. See also under Uchchah.

Uchchah,—a city in the Sind province, on the united waters of the Ghars and the Ohinab, xxi, xxv, xxvii, hi, 200 and n 4, 293, 294 n, 449 n 2, 450 n, 451 and n, 489, 491, 530, 531 and #8, 532 and n 1, 588 n, 538 n, 580 n, 541 and nn 6 and 7, 542 n 9, 543 n, 544 and n 2, 609 n I, 611, 612 and m6, 613 and n7, 614 n8, 615 and n 1,617 n,618 n,628,633 n 6,641 n 8, 645 n, 656 n, 667, 668 and n, 688 and n and n 3, 689 and n and m6, 692 and n 3, 695 and n 2, 696 mand #8, 707, 711 and #4, 714 #9, 722, 724 and #3, 727 and #6, 728, 730, 731, 746, 758 and n 9, 780, 781 and n 9, 782, 783 and n, 784 and n and n 3, 785, 786 and n6, 792, 809, 810 n, 811 and n8, 812 n 2, 813 n, 825 n 6, 840 n 2, 851 n 8, 860, 1074 n, 1153 and n 9, 1154 and n 2, 1155 n 6, 1156 and n 7, 1181 n 2, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1224 n.

Uchchah-i-Jalali,—name by which Uchchah is also called, 541 n %.

Uahchah-i-Makhdūm,—one of the quarters of the city of Uchchah, 541 n6.

Uchchuh-i-Mughal,—one of the quarters of the city of Uchchah, 541 n6.

Uchchah-i-Sharif,—one of the quarters of the city of Uchchah, 541 n 6.

Uch-Ughūl Ulādmūr,—a city in the ccuntry of the Urūs [Russians], 1171 n.

Udal,—a fortress of Hindustan, about four miles S. E. of Kanauj, 680 n.

Udīpūr,—a town to the east of the Arawalī mountains, 521 n.

Udīsah,—a territory adjoining Jājnagar, 592 n, 593 n.

Udīsah-Jag-nāthh,—a district of Bangālah, 588 ñ.

Uhand,—a fortress above the junction of the Nīl-āb or river of Kābul with the Indus, 78 n.

Uiguria, 918 a, for I-ghūriā, or country of the I-ghūrs, which see.

Uin-Kun,—the Turkish name of the Great Wall of China, 956 n.

- Ujan,—a town in the territory of Fars, 184 n.
- Ujén, 623 n 9, for Ujjain, which see.
  Ujī-<u>Kh</u>el,—a town in the province of Karmān, in Afghānistān, 499 n.
- Ujjain, the aucient capital of Mälwah, 517 and n8, 621 n6, 628 n9, 783 n 5, 785 n 9, 817 n 7.
- Ujjain-Nagari,—a fortress of Mālwah, in Hindūstān, 622, 623 n 9, 628.
- Uk,—a place in the territory of Sijistān, 85 n, 81 n. See also under Uk.
- Ok,—a fortress of Sijistin or Zāwulistān, xlv, xlvii, 34 and n 8, 35 n, 201 and n 4, 1120 and n 2, 1122 n, 1124, 1125, 1126 and n 6, 1193 n.
- Ukā,—a place in Turkistān, 1088 n. Also called Akābar and Akāīr.
- 'Ukba Ghūzak,—a pass in a lofty mountain in Northern India, 87 n.
- Olā-tīmūr [Uladimr],—a territory in the country of the Urūs [Russians], 1171 n.
- Ulugh-Tagh or Ur-Tagh,—a range of mountains in Mughūlistan, the Thianshan mountains of the maps, 876 n, 920 n, 922 n, 949 n, 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 1184 n.
- Ulugh-Tāķ, same as Ulugh-Tāgh, which see-
- Olugh-Yürat, also styled the Aşal or Original Yürat of the Ohingis Khān, referring to Kalür-in and Karā-Kuram, 1104 n 5, 1105 n, 1138 n, 1140 n, 1186 n.
- Ulus or Ulush Arki or Argi, a tract of country in Mughulistan, 895 %.

- Ulüs-i-Aurgah or Urgah,—the Ourga or Kuren of modern maps, 895 n.
- Umbeylah,—a town in the Panjāb, the Umballa of the maps, 327 n
- 'Ummān,—a district and town in Arabia, 65 n 5, 88 n 2, 179 n 3, 908 n.
- 'Umman, sea of,—the Arabian Sea,
- Umurdan or Umardan, the capital of the Jij-nagar state, 588 n, 763 and n 4.
- Umurdan or Umardan,—a wilayat or district of the Jaj-nagar state, 588 n.
- Un Mūrān, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 943 n.
- Ungū.—name of the Great Wall of China with the Khitā-īs, 956 n.
- Un-Nür, the, 77 %. See under the river Nür.
- Un-ūi,—a city in the country of the Khitā-is, 956 n.
- Upper Andes, the, 663 % 8, 737.
- Upper Do-1b, 705 n 7.
- Upper Hungary, 1168 n.
- Upper Jennessei, the, 983 n.
- Upper Sind. See under Sind.
- Ur or Aor Mürän, the,—a river on the frontier of Khitā, 943 n.
- Urdu Balik. See under the Urdüe Baligh.
- Urdue-Bālīgh,—another name of Karā-Kuram, which is also called the Ulugh Yūrat, 916 n, 1105 n, 1110 n 5, 1121 n, 1139 n, 1140 n, 1172 n 9.
- Urdūķand,—another name of Kāghghar, 984 n.
- Urga,—a town in Mughülietän, 1090 s.

- Urgan,—a place in the territory of <u>Chasmin</u>: probably Urgun, xlix, 892 and s. 6.
- Urgānj, the capital city of Khwārasm, as called by the Turks; the Jurjānīah of the Arabs and the Gurgānj of the 'Ajamīs, xlv, xlviii, 52 n9, 188 n, 903 n, 929 n, 1000 n, 1097 n7, 1098 n, 1101 n1, 1103 n, 1116 n, 1118 n 9, 1123 n, 1145 n.
- Organj-i-Kubrī [Kubrā?], the capital of <u>Kh</u>wārazm, 929 s. See also under Orgānj.
- Urgendj, 1097 n7, 1098 n, for Urganj, which see.
- Urghundab, the, 1070 n 9, for the Arghand, which see.
- Urgūn,—a place in the district of Ghasnīn, xlix.
- Urgundab, the, 1070 n9, for the Arghand-ab, which see.
- Urjand, 52 and n 9, for Uz-gand, the city in Farghanah.
- Urkan, 392 n 6, same as Urgan, which see.
- Urmurdan or Armardan. See under Umurdan.
- Urnā-desa or the Upper An-des, a part of Tibbat, 787 and 9.
- Ur-Tagh or Ur-Tagh,-or
- Ur-Tāķ or Ur-Tāķ,—a range of mountains in Mughūlistān, 875 n, 879 n, 970 n 2. See also under Ulugh-Tāgh.
- Urumchi,—a city in the country of the Ighūrs, 918 n.
- Urūmi or Arūmi,—a city in the territory of Tingķūt or Ķāghīn, 1085 n.
- Urumî, sea of,—the Lake Urumîah in Azarbāijān, 1262 n.

- Urumiah, Lake,—in Aşarbāijān, 1262 n.
- Uramtsi,—a city in the country of the I-ghurs, 918 n.
- Urus or Rus, country of, 1165 n, 1168 n, 1171 n.
- Usaneth,—a fortress in <u>Gh</u>arjistān, 1001 n. [658 n 2.
- Ush,—a place near Baghdad, 622 n, Ush,—a city in the territory of Farghanah, in Mawara-un-Nahr, 921 n, 962 n.
- Uskun-Luk, the,—a range of mountains in the country of the I-ghurs, 889 n.
- Usun, the,—a river issuing from the mountains of Buldan Kā-īr and falling into the river of Ardīgh in Turkistān, 1143 n.
- U-tagh, -or
- U-tāķ,—a tract of country in Mughūlistān, 1178n.
- Utrār,—a district and town in Turkistān, 262 n 1, 266 n, 272 and n 1, 273 and n 5, 280 n 9, 889 n, 890 n, 908 n, 911 n, 920 n, 953 n, 966, 968, 969 and n 1, 970 and n 2, 971 n, 975 and n 5, 978 and n, 985 n, 986 n, 988 n, 1041, 1184 n,—also called Fārāb, which see.
- Uzbakistān, the country of the Uzbaks in Turkistān, 890 n.
- 'Uzdî hospital,—of Baghdād, founded by 'Uzd-ud-Daulah Buwiah, 1248 n.
- Uzgand,—a city and town in the territory of Farghānah, in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 52 n 9, 58 n, 188 n and n 8, 903 n, 921 n, 922 n.
- Uzjand, 52n9, for Uzgand, the city in Farghanah.

Uzķand or Yūsķand,—a city in Turkistān, zlv, 970 n 2, 971 n.

Usmurdan or Asmurdan. See under Umurdan.

#### V.

Vacuf,—incorrect spelling of Wakf, the village in the district of Baghdid, 1254 n.

Vaihund, 339 n7, for Waihind, which see.

Valania, the country between the Danube and the Atil or Volga, 1168 a.

Van, Lake,—in Armenia, 1264 n. See also under Win. Vladimr,—a territory in the country of the Urus [Russians], 1171 n.

Volga, the, 1170 n 3. See also under the Wolga.

Vū-chang-Fū, capital of the Chinese province of Hū-quang, 1219 n, 1221 n.

#### W.

Wabern,—a town of Switzerland near Bern, xvii.

Wabhind or Wabhand, 76 n 2. See under Bahind and Waihind.

Wadawajzd,—a town of Gharjistan in Khurasan, 369 and n 8, 370 n.

Wadi, the,—the valley of the Tigris and the Euphrates, 1260 and n.5.

Wādī, the,—a valley near the 'Ayn-i-Jālūt in Syria, 1277 n.

Wēckāl Ķūrghān or Bijand,—a stronghold in the country of the Makrits, 947 n.

Waeshan,—a district in the country of Ghur, 317 n 4.

Wahand, 76, 80 n. See under Bahind and Waihind.

Wahind, 76, 77. See under Bahind and Waihind.

Wahind-Sagar, the,—the river of Wahind or the Indus, 80 n.

Waihind or Bahind,—a city on the bank of the river Sind, 76 and n 2, 77 n, 78 n, 79 n, 80 n, 81 n, 293 n, 339 n 7, 1018 n, 1043 n 1, 1217 n.

Waihind,—the ancient name of Ķandahār of Afghānistān, 1018 n.

Wajiah,—a district and castle in the country of Ghür, 340 and n1, 392 and n6.

Wajīristān,—a district and town in the country of Ghūr, 103 n 6, 824n, 334 and n 8, 357 and n 1, 366, 369, 447, 491.

Wajzāward, 870 n, for Wadāwajzd, which see.

Wahf,—a village in the district of Baghdad, 1254 n.

Wākhān,—a tract of country in Central Asia, 1044 n.

Wakhsh,—a dependency of Badakhshān, 390, 424 and n 2, 426 and n 6, 436, 490, 494, 909 n. Also called Khutlan.

Wakheh-Ab, the,—a river issuing out of Turkistin and falling into the Oxus near Tirmid, 426 n6, 909 n.

Wāliān,—incorrectly for Wāli<u>sh</u>tān, xlviii, 288 n, 289 n, 290 n 4, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1025 n.

Walidan,—a tract of country in the Jazīrah [Mesopotamia], 228 n 4.

Wālīn,—an error for Walwālij, which see, 288 n 3, 1018 n.

Walshian,—a town north of Bāmiān and Ghaznīn, 1018 n.

Wālshīān,—a district in the country of Ghūr, 317 n 4.

Walisht,—a territory in the mountain tract of Warani, in the country of Ghūr, 319 and n 5, 581 and n, 1018 n.

Wälishtan, 317 n 4, 581 n. See under Wälisht of Chur.

Wälishtân,—a tract of country in Tukhāristān of Balkh, xlviii, xlix, 581 and n, 1016, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1025 n.

Wālistān,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 319 n 5.

Walkh,—a town in Tukhāristān of Balkh, 426 n6, 989 n, 1002 and n4, 1004, 1023 and n9, 1024 n2, 1025 and n and n3, 1026, 1027 n8, 1054, 1058 n8, 1061 n7.

Walwālij,—a town in the district of Balkh, in Khurāsān, 288 n 8, 426 n 6, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1025 n, 1153.

Wāmīān,—incorrectly for Wāliahtān, xlviii, 288 n 8, 289 n, 290 n 4. Wāmīān, 288 n8. Same as Bamīān, which see.

Wamund, for Waihind in Reynolds' version of al-'Utbī, 76 n 2.

Win [vul. Van], Lake,—in Armenia, 1264 n, 1275 n 2.

Wana-Gangā, the,—a river of Hindustān, 588 n.

Wanj-rût,—a territory in the Multan province, 723 and n 1, 725 n 6.

Warangul, in the Dakhan, formerly called Arangul, 589 n.

Warani,—a mountain tract in the country of Ghūr, 319, 1018 n.

Warshad,-or

Warshādah,—a territory in the country of Ghūr, 339 and n 6.

Warshar, 339 n 6, for Warshadah, which see.

War-Tagh,-or

War-Tāķ,—a range of mountains in Mughūlistāu, 875 n.

Warwálin, 426 n 6, for Zawālīn, the district of Balkh.

Wāsiţ,—a district and town in 'Irāķ, 10, 1261 n 7.

Wāwālīn,—a town in the vicinity of Kunduz, 288 n 3.

Wazīrābād,—a town of Hindūstān, 678 n 1.

Wojz,—a plain in the territory of Ghūr, 372 n 8.

Wesah,—a district of Mawara-un-Nahr, 423 n 8.

Western Asia, 125 n 8, 879 n, 930 n, 1190 n 1.

Western Lyan,—the empire of the Kin with the Chinese, 921 n.

Western Kamrup, 563 n.

Western Turkistān, 980 n 8.

Wha-chew,—a town in the district of Si-gan-fû, in China, 1218 a.

Whan-chew,—a city in the Chinese province of Karchin, 1141 n, 1218 n.

Whatindah, fortress of, 79 n, 80 n.
Same as Bathindah or Bhatindah, which see.

Whayley,—a town in the country of Khitā or Ohīn, 958 n.

Wihār, 552 n 3,—name of Bihār in Sanskrit.

Wihat or Bihat, the, 535 n, 536 n, 537 n. See the Bihat.

Wihatah or Bihatah, the, 536 n. See under the Bihat.

Wijayāpūr or Bijaipūr,—a town of Hindūstēn, 560 n 4.

Wikrāmpūr or Bikrāmpūr,—a town of Hindūstān south-east of Dhā-kah, 558 n l.

Windsor Castle, 651 n 6.

Wolga, the,—the Volga of the maps, 870 n, 1000 n, 1169 n, 1170 n 3, 1172 n 9, 1173 n, 1290 n 9. It is also called the Atil.

Wurdhan, 561 n 8, for Burdhan-kot, which see.

Wurmashan, 392 n6.

Wurūdah-Dujz,—a fortress in the mountainous tract between Hamadān and the Siwād of Baghdād, 1238 n 8.

## X.

Kandu, the name in Ramusio of Shang-tū or Kay-ping-Fu, the capital of the Ohingisiab dynasty in Tartary, 1219 n,

# Y.

Yakah Kurūk,—the place where the Ohingiz Khān was buried, 1123 n.
Also styled Būlķān or Būrķān Kāldūn.

Yakah Wandür,—a mountain range in Mughülistän, 1143 n,—formerly called Büldan Kä-ir.

Ya'kübah,—a district in the neighbourhood of Baghdād, 1255 n.

Valarwān,—a fortress in <u>Gh</u>arjistān of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 115 n 6, 1072 n 5. Same as Balarwān of <u>Gh</u>arjistān, which see.

Yalāsā-ghūn, 920 s, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Yamak or Yamāk,—a district and town in Turkistān, 961 n.

Yaman,—a province in Arabia, xxxiii, 6, 7, 8, 26 and n 2, 138 140 n 5, 203, 214 and n 6, 226 and n 7, 228 and n 4, 303 n 7.

Yamunā, the,—another name of the Jūn or Jumnah, 733 n 7, 742 n 9. See also under the Jūn.

Yangi-kant,—a town on the Sihum [Jaxartes], 970 n 2.

Yangtsī, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 1256 n 6.

Yaraz or Yazar,—a fortress in the territory of Sijistan, 1028 n.

- Yarkand,—a city south-east of Kashghar, 899 m, 922 n, 942 n, 984 n, 1044 n.
- Yaahkal or Baahgal, Dara'h or Pass of,—on the road to Täl-kän, 1208 a.
- Yasun Mur-an, the,—a river issuing from the mountains of Buldan Kā-īr and falling into the river of Ardīsh in Turkistān, 1143 n.
- Yatur or Yitur,—a fort near the Junction of the La'īr-wal with the Ab-i-Sind, 77 n, 78 n.
- Yāzar or Yāraz,—a fortress in Sijistān, 1028 n.
- Yazd,—a town in the territory of Azarbāijān, 296 n.
- Yazdawiah or Zaudiah,—a dependency of Hirat, 287 n.
- Yaswan,—a plain in the territory of Sind, 1047 n4. Also called Mirwan and Nirwan.
- Yehu,—a mountain range of Khitā, 958 n.
- Yellow Lake, the,—the Sirigh-Kol, of Badakhehān, lv.
- Yellow River, the,—in Mongolia, 950 n.
- Yellow Valley,—the Sārīgh-Ķol, in Badakhehān, lv.

- Yengigent, 970 n, for Yangi-kant, which see.
- Yenissei, the, 983 n, for the Jam or Kham-Muran.
- Yen-king,—a city a little north of Pekin, 958 n.
- Yighur [I-ghūr],—a country of Turkistān, 267, 270. See under the I-ghūr country.
- Yitur or Yatur,—a fort near the Junction of the La'ır-wal with the Ab-i-Sind, 77 n, 78 n.
- Ylale, 993 n, for Ilal, the fortress of Mazandaran, which see.
- Yughmā,—a city and territory in Turkistān, 902 n, 909 n, 935 n 3, 1158 n 3.
- Yughmā-oul,—a city or town in Turkistān, 935 n 3.
- Yūmghāl,—a place in Mughūlistān, 1258 n 8.
- Yunan, sea of,—the Mediterranean, 1229 n.
- Yun-nan,—a tract of country in Tibbat, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1221 n.
- Yūrat. See under the Ulugh Yūrat or Aşal Yūrat.
- Yūzķand or Uzķaud,—a city in Turkistān, 971 n.

Z.

- Zābil, 1020 n, wrong spelling of Zābul.
- Zābul,—a district and town in the country of Ghūr, 309 n, 319 n 5, 376, 880, 1020 n, 1022 n.
- Zabulestan, 1017 n for Zabulistan, which see.
- Zābulistān,—a tract of country north-east and south-east of <u>Ghaznīn</u>, 88 n 2, 184 n, 356 n, 1017 n, 1020 n. See also under Zawūlistān.
- Zafarābād,—a city of Hindustān, 93 n 9, 501 n.

Zamīn,—a district in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 886.

Zamīn.i-Dāwar,—a district in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ūr, in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 21, 74, 111, 185 n, 267, 317 n 5, 320 n 3, 324 n, 329 n, 850, 355 n 7, 874 and n 3, 386, 393, 394, 397, 492 n 7, 493 n, 1018 n. See also under Dāwar.

Zamin of Kuşdar, 74. See under Kuşdar.

Zang, fortress of, 1072 n 4, saute as Lang, which see.

Zēr-i-Margh,—a mountain of Mandesh in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 306 and n 4, 318, 331, 410 and n 5.

Zarān or Razān,—a tract in the country of Ghūr, 326 n.

Zaranj, the capital city of the territory of Sijistān of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, xxiv, 20 n 3, 188 n 7, 195 n 2, 309 n, 318 n 6, 1122 n, 1123 n.

Zarīr,—a plain in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 372 and n 8.

Ghur, 872 and no.
Zārīstāu,—a district in the country of Ghūr, 819 n 5.

Zar-koh,—a fortress in the Kuhistan of the Mulahidah, 1192 n.

Zarnuk,—a town of Mawara-uu-Nahr. 975 n 5.

Zaudiah or Yazdawiah,—a dependency of Hirāt, 287 n.

Zāwah,—a town in the district of Nīshāpūr, 989 n, 1195 n.

Zawālīn,—a district in the territory of Balkh, in Khurāsān, 426 n 6 1018 n.

Zāwal, 819 n 5, 880. Same as Zābul, which see.

Zāwalistān,—another name of the territory of Sijistān, in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 16, 21, 67 n 3, 71, 87 n, 88 n 2, 102, 106, 184 n, 267, 317 and n 4, 320, 356 n, 1017 n, 1020 n, 1119, 1120 n 2, 1133.

Zawzan, —a town in the province of Nishāpūr, in Khurāsān, 177 n6, 258 n, 266 n, 281 n5, 282 n7, 283 and nn 8 and 9, 286 n7, 287 n. Zerān, —a darah in the province of Karmān east of Shalūzān, 499 n. Zerni, the ancient capital of the country of Ghūr, 1057 n 4.

Zikht or Rikht, 1197 n 3, for the fortress of Sar-i-Takht in the Kuhistan.

Zinjān,—a town of Azarbāijān, 995 n.

Zinjān,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, xxix, 821 n 5.

Zuhāk-i-Mārān, castle of,—a fortress near Bāmīān, 304n i, 1025n.

Zūmisht,—a town in the province of Karmān, 499 n.

#### ERRATA.

P. 7, for lines 5-7a substitute:-

Akbar-Nāmah, of Abu'l Faşl 'Allāmī, the, 869 n 2, 880 n, 883 n, 888 n 889 n, 894 n.

Akbar-Namah, of Faişî the Sarhindî, the, xvi.

P. 13, l. 42 b, dele p. 145 n 4, and add:-

Ayaz,—one of the officers of Malik Shāh, son of Rukn-ud-din Barkiārūk, the Saljūķi sovereign, 145 n 4.